

therefore, to offer a simple definition: A hospital hostel is a unit for the chronically mentally ill in which:

- (1) the residents remain in-patients;
- (2) the building is physically separate from the parent hospital;
- (3) the daily programme places an emphasis on the performance of 'life skills' such as cooking, shopping and cleaning.

If criterion (2) is not met I suggest that the unit is a *hostel ward*, whereas if only (1) and (2) apply the facility is a *ward in the community*. Hospitals hostels, then, have been operating in the United Kingdom for over 25 years, but surprisingly no-one seems to know how many there are. It is my impression, however, that there are many more *quasi hospital hostels* in which the residents are discharged patients contributing their DHSS benefits to the funding of the hostel. In Oxford, for example, although we have only two hospital hostels, there are five hostels for the chronically mentally ill, heavily supported by Health Authority staff, run by the independent charity Oxford Group Homes Organization.

Although there may be some advantages in separating hostels from the more regressive aspects of hospital care, I believe the main motive for the development of 'quasi hospital hostels' has been financial. The demand-led nature of DHSS benefit-payments has in recent years permitted developments impossible for cash-limited and 'RAWped' Mental Health Units. Around Britain much time and ingenuity have been expended in setting up such hostels, but as the Audit Commission pointed out, the resultant complex arrangements are not necessarily the most cost-effective way of spending taxpayers' money.

Within Sir Roy Griffiths' Report, however, there is a suggestion which if followed would resolve many absurdities, whilst ensuring the quality of care currently being delivered by hospital hostels. Paragraph 6.12 states "The responsibilities of regional and district health authorities should in general continue to be the provision of health care. In broad terms this involves investigation, diagnosis, treatment and rehabilitation undertaken by a doctor or by other professional staff to whom a doctor . . . has referred the patient." This means to me that the "severely disabled psychiatric patient", "new long stay", or "young adult chronically mentally ill" should be recognised as requiring health care and treatment whilst living in their "ward", "hospital ward", "hospital hostel" or "staffed hostel", and that it is a Health Authority duty to provide the resources. If this recommendation was accepted one could then be confident that the best setting for any individual patient would be determined, as it should be, by clinical factors alone.

G. L. PULLEN

*Littlemore Hospital,
Littlemore, Oxford*

Video of treatments in psychiatry

DEAR SIRs

I am endeavouring to produce a video for the use of paramedical staff in hospitals and the community to show commonly used treatments in psychiatry. This will include physical treatments, e.g. ECT, and psychological treatments, e.g. biofeedback, reality orientation. Subsequent editions may be planned for medical students and junior doctors new to psychiatry.

May I through the *Bulletin* enquire whether similar audio visual presentations have been made. Any help and information will be greatly appreciated.

N. A. SULEMAN

*The Oaks,
31 Slades Farm Road,
Bournemouth BH10 4EV*

Alternatives to the mental hospital patient

DEAR SIRs

The May issue of the *Bulletin* reflects the growing concern and anxiety of many psychiatrists with their own (and in some instances with their patients') future.

Alternatives to the mental hospital are urgently canvassed and just as anxiously called into question.

I have a suggestion. Instead of thinking about alternatives to the mental hospital perhaps we should be thinking in terms of alternatives to the mental hospital patient.

It is a matter of horses for courses. Some psychiatrists are more adept at looking after certain sorts of patients than other patients with dissimilar troubles. For example, some would prefer young, educated, and articulate customers: and in this context it has often struck me that one suitably favoured patient could last a similarly endowed psychiatrist both their respective lives – this being more likely in the non-organic fields of psychiatry.

But how, I hear you ask, Mr Editors, can all this be brought about? Well, having regard to the prevailing political ideology of market forces it might be perfectly feasible (and I propose to patent this idea, so don't let anyone try and jump in on the act) to set up a Central Agency which would endeavour to match particular patients to particular psychiatrists (the idea has, of course, worked quite well in other areas of human endeavour).

Interested parties could then apply to the Central Agency, stating their preferences. One anticipates that there would be a greater demand from some psychiatrists for upwardly mobile psychotherapeutically inclined executives with the burn-out syndrome than for, say, more chronic forms of dementia.