

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Infection Control Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices among Healthcare Workers at Mulago Hospital, Kampala, Uganda

Ajay K. Sethi, PhD, MHS;<sup>1,a</sup> Charles W. Acher, MPH;<sup>2,a</sup> Bruce Kirenga, MBChB;<sup>3</sup> Scott Mead, MD;<sup>4</sup>  
Curtis J. Donskey, MD;<sup>5</sup> Achilles Katamba, MBChB, PhD<sup>3</sup>

**OBJECTIVE.** Effective implementation of infection control programs and adherence to standard precautions are challenging in resource-limited settings. The objective of this study was to describe infection control knowledge, attitudes, and practices among healthcare workers (HCWs) in Uganda.

**DESIGN.** We conducted a survey of hospital employees who had direct contact with patients or their immediate environment. We also performed an environmental assessment of resource availability and utilization within hospital wards.

**SETTING.** Surgical, medicine, and obstetrics wards at a national referral hospital in Kampala, Uganda.

**PARTICIPANTS.** One hundred eighty-three randomly selected HCWs.

**RESULTS.** Almost all HCWs knew to wash their hands, although nursing and support staff were less likely to perceive that HCWs' hands can be a vector of disease transmission. Hand washing was valued more as a means of self-protection than as a means to prevent patient-to-patient transmission, consistent with the prevailing belief that infection control was important for occupational safety. Sinks were not readily accessible, and soap at sinks was uncommon throughout the medicine and obstetrics wards but more commonly available in the surgery wards. Alcohol gel was rarely available.

**CONCLUSIONS.** Changing infection control practices in developing countries will require a multifaceted approach that addresses resource availability, occupational safety, and local understanding and attitudes about infection control.

*Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012;33(9):917-923

Infection control is an important area of potential improvement and impact in developing countries because of the simplicity of the interventions and the powerful effect of decreasing disease transmission.<sup>1</sup> Studies in sub-Saharan Africa and in many developing countries, in general, have shown that adherence to infection control guidelines is inadequate.<sup>2,3</sup>

In a systematic review of the few studies conducted in Africa, the prevalence of healthcare-associated infection (HAI) was found to be 2.5%–14.8%.<sup>4</sup> In sub-Saharan Africa, a high proportion of hospitalized patients are at increased risk of infection due to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and malnutrition, as well as a lack of resources needed to implement standard precautions.<sup>2,3,5</sup> This has been found to be true in Uganda, where a large proportion of hospitalized patients are immunocompromised.<sup>6</sup> This confluence of factors makes the implementation of infection control measures critical in sub-Saharan Africa.

Local culture and beliefs concerning infection control may

strongly influence implementation, and guidelines must acknowledge transcultural issues.<sup>1</sup> Healthcare workers (HCWs) adapt practices to the context in which they work, and this must be understood when implementing an infection control program. The objective of this study was to survey knowledge of and attitudes toward infection control and its practice among employees who have daily contact with patients and their immediate environment at the national referral hospital in Uganda.

## METHODS

From June to August 2009, we conducted a survey of HCWs who had contact with patients at Mulago Hospital, a 1,500-bed national referral hospital in Kampala, Uganda, founded in 1917, with current infrastructure constructed in 1962. Participants were classified as support staff (nursing assistants and ward custodial staff, some of whom perform both duties), nurses, intern officers, house officers, and consultant (ie, sen-

Affiliations: 1. Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin; 2. University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin; 3. Department of Medicine, Makerere University School of Medicine, Kampala, Uganda; 4. Department of Medicine, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin; 5. Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio; a. These authors contributed equally to this article.

Received October 6, 2011; accepted April 5, 2012; electronically published July 24, 2012.

© 2012 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2012/3309-0009\$15.00. DOI: 10.1086/667389

ior) physicians. A wide range of staff was chosen to be inclusive of all individuals who had direct contact with patients and their immediate environment on a daily basis. At the time of the study, the 2004–2005 Uganda National Infection Prevention and Control Guidelines were in place, and staff was aware of the infection control guidelines that were in place. The guidelines contained specific sections on patient isolation and cohorting; however, overcrowding and shortage of space at the hospital limit their implementation. While masks, gowns, and gloves are typically available, goggles are often not. At the time of employment, preservice training on infection control is given to all HCWs, and periodic in-service training is provided by the Ministry of Health. Although there is a clinical microbiology laboratory at Mulago Hospital to support patient care, limited resources prevent infection control staff from carrying out regular surveillance activities.

The survey contained 66 items; domains included hand hygiene, barrier protection, isolation and contact precautions, and prevention of mosquito-borne transmission of disease. Four-point Likert scales were used to assess knowledge and attitudes (strongly agree, agree, disagree, strongly disagree) and practices (all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, never). A sampling frame was created using May 2009 ward-specific employee work schedules. Within each job title, 20% of employees were randomly selected to complete the survey. If an individual refused, another randomly selected individual from the sampling frame was approached. The survey was written in English and designed to be self-administered; participants were given the option to complete an interviewer-administered version in Luganda, the local language in Kampala.

In addition to the survey, an environmental assessment was performed at all medicine ( $N = 7$ ), obstetrics and gynecology (OB/GYN;  $N = 4$ ), intensive care unit (ICU;  $N = 2$ ), and surgery ( $N = 5$ ) wards, during which hand hygiene and standard precaution practices were observed and recorded. Observation was easy because of open-air wards with centrally located sinks. At times HCWs were questioned as to reasons behind behavior to further understand motivations. Observations were carried out at random times, covering all hours of the day and night during the study period, and did not interfere with survey instrument dissemination and collection. The Institutional Review Boards at Makerere University School of Medicine and the University of Wisconsin–Madison approved this study.

Data from the 4-point Likert scales were collapsed as dichotomous (agree or disagree) since inferences did not change and statistical power was improved by doing so. Participant characteristics and the proportion in agreement with individual statements regarding infection control knowledge, attitudes, and practices were compared by HCW type using the Pearson  $\chi^2$  test or the Fisher exact test when the expected value for a given cell was less than 5. All analyses were performed using STATA 11 (Statacorp) and SAS, version 8 (SAS Institute).

## RESULTS

### Environmental Assessment

All wards were open-air; at any given day of observation, 2 to more than 50 inpatients were present. Among the 13 non-surgical wards, 9 wards did not have a functioning sink for hand washing. Functioning sinks were present inside both ICUs, 1 of the 4 obstetrics wards, and 1 of the 7 medicine wards. Sinks were located along one of the walls in the center of the room. Soap was generally not available, and the sinks were underutilized. In all 5 surgical wards, functioning sinks equipped with soap were present at all times observed and were utilized by HCWs. Functioning sinks stocked with soap were abundant in operating rooms. Sinks that were located outside of inpatient wards, typically inside or near restrooms, were not included in the environmental assessment.

Hand hygiene was often equated to glove use instead of hand washing and thus cited as an expensive undertaking. Some physicians carried alcohol gel, but gel was costly and in short supply. Nurses desired to wear gloves, but supplies were short, and the correct size was not always available. Glove change between patients was rare unless gross blood was visible. Physicians would at times opt to avoid physical contact with patients when gloves were not worn or if a sink and soap were not nearby. In the surgery ward, proper hand hygiene was observed more often.

Respiratory cohorting was attempted in the respiratory medicine and tuberculosis wards but was often ineffective due to overcrowding, which led to patient mixing between wards. The open-air floor plans of the units also prevented adequate isolation of patients with respiratory illness. Bed nets, although available in the hospital above some beds, were generally not used at night by patients despite encouragement or actual placement by nurses. In surgery wards, patient bathing was done by support staff; in other inpatient wards, this was done by family members.

### HCW Survey

Of the 201 HCWs approached, 183 (91.0%) completed the survey, including 103 (56.3%) nurses and midwives, 39 (21.3%) physicians, and 41 (20.7%) clinical support staff (1 clinical assistant, 2 physician assistants, 1 counselor, 1 emergency medical technician, 14 nurses aides, 3 pharmacy technicians, 1 public health officer, 13 student nurses, 1 medical record worker, and 4 whose designation was unknown; Table 1). The primary reason cited for refusal was lack of time to complete the questionnaire. Response rates did not vary by HCW or unit type. Respondents were from medicine (45.3%), OB/GYN (27.9%), surgery (13.4%), ICU (7.8%), and tuberculosis (8.4%) departments.

Only 56.2% knew to whom to direct questions about infection control, and 18.6% believed the hospital had adequate resources to prevent the spread of infections. Gloves were the most commonly used barrier protection (68.9%), followed

TABLE 1. Characteristics of Healthcare Workers Completing Survey, Mulago Hospital, July–October 2009

Characteristic	All healthcare workers	Physicians	Nurses and support staff	P <sup>a</sup>
Total subjects	183 (100.0)	39 (21.3)	144 (78.7)	
Median age (IQR), years	37 (30.7, 44.0)	30 (26, 39.5)	37.5 (33–45)	.001
Sex				
Male	36 (19.7)	24 (61.5)	12 (8.3)	<.001
Female	143 (78.1)	14 (39.0)	127 (88.2)	
Missing	4 (2.2)	1 (2.6)	3 (2.1)	
Position				
Professor/consultant	5 (2.7)	5 (13.9)	...	...
Medical officers	18 (12.5)	18 (46.2)	...	
Intern officers	16 (11.1)	16 (41.0)	...	
Nurses/midwives	103 (71.5)	...	103 (71.5)	
Clinical support staff	41 (28.4)	...	37 (25.7)	
Department				
Medicine	65 (35.5)	13 (39.3)	52 (36.1)	.574
OB/GYN	51 (35.4)	14 (35.9)	37 (25.7)	
Surgery	32 (22.2)	8 (20.5)	24 (16.7)	
ICU	16 (11.1)	2 (5.1)	14 (9.7)	
TB	16 (11.1)	2 (5.1)	14 (9.7)	
Missing	3 (2.1)	0 (2.6)	3 (2.1)	
Median years worked (IQR)	9 (3–15.5)	2 (1–10)	10 (6.75–17.0)	
Believed that he or she facilitated transmission of healthcare-associated infection				
No	81 (44.3)	14 (35.9)	67 (46.5)	.277
Yes	96 (64.6)	23 (59.0)	73 (50.7)	
Missing	6 (4.2)	2 (5.1)	4 (2.8)	
Believed that he or she became infected as a result of contact with patients				
No	56 (30.6)	10 (25.6)	46 (31.9)	.514
Yes	122 (84.7)	27 (69.2)	95 (68.3)	
Missing	5 (3.4)	2 (5.1)	3 (2.1)	

NOTE. Data are no. (%) unless otherwise indicated. ICU, intensive care unit; IQR, interquartile range; OB/GYN, obstetrics and gynecology; TB, tuberculosis.

TABLE 2. Proportion of Healthcare Workers in Agreement with Statements regarding Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices of Infection Control Principles

Statement	Physicians (N = 39)	Nurses and support staff (N = 144)	P
<b>Knowledge</b>			
A common way infections are spread in hospitals is from unclean hands of healthcare workers such as doctors and nurses	35 (89.7)	107 (74.3)	.040
Crowded conditions in hospitals increase the chance of spreading infections from one person to another	38 (97.4)	141 (97.9)	.860
During my educational training, I received instruction on infection control and the prevention of infections in hospitals	39 (100.0)	144 (100.0)	.459
When I have an infection control question I cannot answer, I know whom to ask at this hospital for help	18 (46.2)	85 (59.0)	.151
Hand washing before and after every patient contact will reduce the spread of infectious diseases among hospitalized patients	37 (94.9)	143 (99.3)	.053
Waterless hand gel is an acceptable substitute for hand washing with soap and water, as long as hands are not visibly soiled	28 (71.8)	126 (87.5)	.017
Healthcare workers should always wear gloves when conducting patient care activities	31 (79.5)	123 (85.4)	.368
Gloves may be reused between patients without increasing the risk of disease transmission as long as they are not visibly soiled	1 (2.6)	13 (9.0)	.178
Patients who have respiratory infections should be physically separated from others by at least 1 meter to prevent the spread of infections	33 (84.6)	114 (79.2)	.448
Patients and healthcare workers can reduce spread of infections by covering their mouths and noses when coughing or sneezing	39 (100.0)	136 (94.4)	.132
Mosquitoes are directly responsible for infecting patients with malaria	37 (94.9)	129 (89.6)	.313
Bed nets prevent mosquito bites in patients	36 (92.3)	140 (97.2)	.156
Healthcare workers can prevent malaria acquired in the hospital by using bed nets over patients at night	39 (100.0)	136 (94.4)	.132
Gloves prevent healthcare workers' skin coming into contact with bodily fluids, mucous membranes, and nonintact skin	39 (100.0)	134 (93.1)	.091
Gowns prevent clothing from becoming contaminated with infectious material	38 (97.4)	125 (86.8)	.059
Eye protection protects against bodily fluid exposure when splashing occurs	39 (100.0)	134 (93.1)	.091
Masks protect against bodily fluid exposure when splashing occurs	36 (92.3)	116 (80.6)	.083
Masks prevent transmission of infectious respiratory secretions (eg, tuberculosis)	36 (92.3)	132 (91.7)	.897
<b>Attitudes</b>			
I feel a personal responsibility to prevent infections among the patients I care for	38 (97.4)	138 (95.8)	.644
Preventing the spread of infections in this hospital is important to our hospital administrators	30 (76.9)	120 (83.3)	.356
My hospital has adequate resources to prevent the spread of infections among patients	7 (17.9)	27 (18.8)	.901
One main reason I wash my hands is protection from infections	39 (100.0)	140 (97.2)	.293
Wards are kept clean to reduce patient infection from the environment	36 (92.3)	137 (95.1)	.490
A main reason I wash my hands is patient protection from infection	34 (87.2)	119 (82.6)	.462
Washing my hands is something my patients expect me to do	21 (53.8)	89 (61.8)	.368
Washing my hands before and after touching patients will make my hands become dry and uncomfortable	8 (20.5)	16 (11.1)	.123
There is not enough time to wash my hands between every patient	20 (51.3)	52 (36.1)	.085
There are not enough supplies such as soap and clean water to wash my hands between every patient	30 (76.9)	68 (47.2)	.001
Washing my hands before and after direct patient contact is a necessary part of my job	37 (94.9)	137 (95.1)	.945
Washing my hands before and after direct patient contact is a beneficial part of my job	38 (97.4)	124 (86.1)	.049
Washing my hands before and after direct patient contact is a practical part of my job	34 (87.2)	137 (95.1)	.075
My supervisors at this hospital expect me to wash my hands before and after direct patient contact	33 (84.6)	126 (87.5)	.636
My coworkers at this hospital wash their hands before and after patient contact	17 (43.6)	96 (66.7)	.009

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Statement	Physicians (N = 39)	Nurses and support staff (N = 144)	P
I intend to wash my hands before and after patient contact when the patient I'm caring for has an infection	35 (89.7)	69 (47.9)	.001
I intend to wash my hands before and after patient contact regardless of my clinical assignment	36 (92.3)	113 (78.5)	.001
Separating patients with respiratory infections from patients without respiratory infections would be beneficial in this hospital	39 (100.0)	137 (95.1)	.160
It is important to cover my mouth and nose when I cough or sneeze to protect my patients from infections	38 (97.4)	142 (98.6)	.608
It is important to cover my mouth and nose when I cough or sneeze to protect my coworkers from infections	39 (100.0)	139 (96.5)	.238
Separating patients with respiratory infections from patients without respiratory infections by a distance of 1 meter would be practical in this hospital	11 (28.2)	100 (69.4)	.001
Bed nets are important for the prevention of malaria among patients in the hospital	39 (100.0)	140 (97.2)	.293
It is my responsibility to place bed nets over patients at night	8 (20.5)	106 (73.6)	.001
Placing bed nets over my patients at night would be practical in this hospital	21 (53.8)	115 (79.9)	.001
It is important to wear gloves when contact with bodily fluids, mucous membranes, or nonintact skin is anticipated	39 (100.0)	137 (95.1)	.160
It is important to wear a gown when clothing exposure to bodily fluids is anticipated	37 (94.9)	135 (93.8)	.794
It is important to wear eye protection when splashing of bodily fluids is anticipated	37 (94.9)	136 (94.4)	.917
It is important to wear a mask to protect against infectious respiratory secretions when necessary	37 (94.9)	137 (95.1)	.945
Wearing protective equipment (gowns, masks, gloves, eye protection) makes me uncomfortable	9 (23.1)	35 (24.3)	.874
Ease of protective equipment (gowns, masks, gloves, eye protection) access in this hospital makes using it practical	16 (41.0)	94 (65.3)	.006
<b>Practices</b>			
I teach my patients ways they can prevent the spread of infections	5 (12.8)	59 (41.0)	.001
When I am ill with a respiratory infection, I stay home from work	3 (7.7)	3 (2.1)	.081
Medical instruments I use are disinfected or sterilized	29 (74.4)	109 (75.7)	.864
When I have patients with transmissible infections, I isolate them	12 (30.8)	68 (47.2)	.067
I wash my hands after removing gloves	12 (30.8)	86 (59.7)	.001
I wash my hands before touching every patient	2 (5.1)	29 (20.1)	.027
Gloves are available when I need them	4 (10.3)	35 (24.3)	.057
I have easy access to a water source for washing my hands in between patients	5 (12.8)	55 (38.2)	.003
I wash my hands after touching every patient	2 (5.1)	40 (27.8)	.003
I wash my hands after I cough or sneeze	0 (0.0)	14 (9.7)	.043
After my patients cough or sneeze, I ask them to wash their hands	0 (0.0)	5 (3.5)	.238
The ward on which I currently work separates patients with respiratory infections from patients without respiratory infections by a distance of at least 1 meter	6 (15.4)	30 (20.8)	.448
I use gloves when contact with bodily fluids, mucous membranes, or nonintact skin is anticipated	29 (74.4)	97 (67.4)	.403
I place bed nets over patients at night	1 (2.6)	25 (17.4)	.019
I use gowns when clothing contact with bodily fluids is anticipated	15 (38.5)	38 (26.4)	.140
I use eye protection when splashing of bodily fluids is anticipated	8 (20.5)	30 (20.8)	.965
I use masks when splashing of bodily fluids is anticipated	9 (23.1)	30 (20.8)	.762
I use masks around patients with actively infectious respiratory infections (eg, tuberculosis)	8 (20.5)	57 (39.6)	.027

NOTE. Data are no. (%).

than physicians to wear masks around patients with active respiratory infections (39.6% vs 20.5%;  $P = .027$ ).

## DISCUSSION

In our study at a national referral hospital of Uganda, HCWs had a general understanding of infection control principles and disease transmission but had less specific knowledge, especially pertaining to hand hygiene. Hand washing, particularly among nurses and support staff, was seen more as a means for self-protection than it was a method of patient protection. There lacked translation of hand hygiene knowledge to practice, which is common in both resourced and resource-limited settings.<sup>7,8</sup> Similarly, there was greater knowledge of the benefits of barrier protection than actual use. In our study, standard universal precautions were not always followed, and isolation or cohorting of infectious patients was often an afterthought rather than an initial step. We presume that an increased adherence to infection control practice and availability of resources in the surgery wards reflects a greater awareness by HCWs and hospital administration of the importance of preventing surgical site infections. Most HCWs cited a lack of time and resources being in too short supply and inconsistently available as reasons for suboptimal infection control; the environmental assessment confirmed this perception.

A limitation of the study was possible optimistic reporting of infection control practices among lower-level nursing staff out of fear of reprisal from administration. This could be seen in the differences between intent and actual implementation of hand hygiene. Although we report information learned from informal conversations with HCWs during the study period, our study did not have a formal qualitative component. Given the few number of HCWs relative to patients served, it was not feasible to gather multiple employees at one time for focus group discussions or individual workers for a long period of time for an in-depth interview. Future studies should include mixed methodology to help further elucidate how differences in knowledge of and attitudes toward infection control impact its practice among HCW types. Also, while a quantitative environmental assessment was not conducted, we believe that our qualitative assessment, which was performed over an extended duration of time, accurately depicted the current state of practice and infrastructure at the hospital.

Resource-limited settings generally have a higher prevalence of HAIs than do resourced settings.<sup>9,10</sup> Major structural factors might include a lack of laws (or their enforcement) mandating infection control programs, limited allocation of funds to support infection control relative to other priorities, outdated equipment and technology, and shortages in the number of HCWs relative to patients requiring health care.<sup>11</sup> Conceivably, a high density of immunocompromised individuals may also play a role. In countries with high HIV prevalence, a large proportion of patients seeking and re-

ceiving health care are HIV infected, as observed in a study previously conducted at Mulago Hospital in Kampala, Uganda, where 50% of individuals seen in the emergency department and 40%–60% of hospitalized patients were HIV infected.<sup>6</sup>

Hand hygiene compliance is a worldwide problem.<sup>8</sup> When asked about hand hygiene, most HCWs referred to a shortage of gloves rather than soap or alcohol gel. Even in resourced nations, hand-washing compliance has improved only gradually with intensive education and making alcohol gel easily accessible in all patient care areas.<sup>12</sup> In addition, if reduction of HAIs is the goal, resources must be devoted to making soap and/or alcohol gel easily accessible in all patient wards. Alcohol gel, while seemingly more expensive and scarce, would be more practical in wards with centrally located sinks. Innovative solutions, such as locally produced, low-cost aseptic gel (\$0.37/100 mL), as demonstrated in Kenya, are promising.<sup>5,13</sup>

In developed countries, effective programs to ensure occupational safety for HCWs preceded patient safety initiatives. In resource-limited settings, contagious disease in healthcare environments is common, and HCWs are given few protections. Thus, as observed in our study, it is not surprising that HCWs saw the practice of infection control as a means to reduce personal risk for infection. Therefore, an effective infection control program includes adoption of standard universal precautions; education and training of workers; protection of HCWs, including immunization, identification of risks, practicing infection control techniques, environmental management, and incident monitoring; outbreak investigation; surveillance; and evaluation.<sup>14</sup> In developing countries, simply increasing resources for infection control is not enough. Comprehensive, innovative, and sustainable approaches that empower HCWs at all levels and take into account and are adapted to the local culture for infection control are needed.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

*Financial support.* This study was supported by the Shapiro Summer Research Award (C.W.A.) from the University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health.

*Potential conflicts of interest.* All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article. All authors submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest, and the conflicts that the editors consider relevant to this article are disclosed here.

Address correspondence to Ajay K. Sethi, PhD, MHS, Associate Professor, Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, 610 Walnut Street, 601 WARE, Madison, WI 53726 (aksethi@wisc.edu), or Achilles Katamba, MBChB, PhD, Senior Lecturer, Makerere University School of Medicine, P.O. Box 21696, Kampala, Uganda (akatamba@yahoo.com).

## REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO guidelines on hand

- hygiene in health care: first global patient safety challenge—clean care is safer care. In: *Clean Care Is Safer Care*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2009:1–270.
2. Huskins WC, O'Rourke EJ, Rhinehart E, Goldman DA. Infection control in countries with limited resources. In: Mayhall CG, ed. *Hospital Epidemiology and Infection Control*. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams, & Wilkins, 2004:1889–1917.
  3. Hambraeus A. Lowbury lecture 2005: infection control from a global perspective. *J Hosp Infect* 2006;64:217–223.
  4. Bagheri Nejad S, Allegranzi B, Syed SB, Ellis B, Pittet D. Healthcare-associated infection in Africa: a systematic review. *Bull World Health Organ* 2011;89:757–765.
  5. Allegranzi B, Pittet D. Healthcare-associated infection in developing countries: simple solutions to meet complex challenges. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2007;28(12):1323–1327.
  6. Nakanjako D, Kyabayinze DJ, Mayanja-Kizza H, Katabira E, Kanya MR. Eligibility for HIV/AIDS treatment among adults in a medical emergency setting at an urban hospital in Uganda. *Afr Health Sci* 2007;7(3):124–128.
  7. Jamtvedt G, Young JM, Kristoffersen DT, O'Brien MA, Oxman AD. Audit and feedback: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006; (2): CD000259.
  8. Gould DJ, Moralejo D, Drey N, Chudleigh JH. Interventions to improve hand hygiene compliance in patient care. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010; (9):CD005186.
  9. Raka L. Lowbury lecture 2008: infection control and limited resources—searching for the best solutions. *J Hosp Infect* 2009; 72:292–298.
  10. Rosenthal VD, Bijie H, Maki DG, et al; INICC members. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary of 36 countries, for 2004–2009. *Am J Infect Control* 2012;40(5):396–407.
  11. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Graves N. The International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC): goals and objectives, description of surveillance methods, and operational activities. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:e1–e12.
  12. Hugonnet S, Perneger TV, Pittet D. Alcohol-based handrub improves compliance with hand hygiene in intensive care units. *Arch Intern Med* 2002;162(9):1037–1043.
  13. WHO. Guide to local production: WHO-recommended hand-rub formulations. In: *Clean Care Is Safer Care*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2009.
  14. South-East Asia Regional Office (SEARO). Practical guidelines for infection control in health care facilities. In: *SEARO Regional Publication*. New Delhi: WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia and Regional Office for Western Pacific, 2004:1–110.