CrossMark

### Original Article

# Nomenclature and systems of classification for cardiomyopathy in children\*

Laura Konta,<sup>1</sup> Rodney C. G. Franklin,<sup>2</sup> Juan P. Kaski<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases Unit, Great Ormond Street Hospital; <sup>2</sup>Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Royal Brompton Hospital, London; <sup>3</sup>Institute of Cardiovascular Science, University College London, London, United Kingdom

Abstract There has been a progressive evolution in systems of classification for cardiomyopathy, driven by advances in imaging modalities, disease recognition, and genetics, following initial clinical descriptions in the 1960s. A pathophysiological classification emerged and was endorsed by World Health Organisation Task Forces in 1980 and 1995: dilated, hypertrophic, restrictive, and arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathies; subdivided into idiopathic and disease-specific cardiomyopathies. Genetic advances have increasingly linked "idiopathic" phenotypes to specific mutations, although most linkages exhibit highly variable or little genotype-phenotype correlation, confounded by age-dependent changes and varying penetrance. The following two dominant classification systems are currently in use, with advocates in both continents. First, American Heart Association (2006): "A heterogeneous group of diseases of the myocardium associated with mechanical and/or electrical dysfunction that usually exhibit inappropriate ventricular hypertrophy or dilatation due to a variety of causes that frequently are genetic". These are subdivided to those predominantly involving the heart - primary - due to genetic mutation, including ion channelopathies, acquired disease, or mixed; and those with systemic involvement in other organ systems – secondary. Second, European Society of Cardiology (2008): "A myocardial disorder in which heart muscle is structurally and functionally abnormal... sufficient to cause the observed myocardial abnormality", with subdivision to familial and non-familial, excluding ion channelopathies, and split to specific disease subtypes and idiopathic. Further differences exist in the definitions for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; however, whichever high-level classification is used, the clinical reality remains phenotype driven. Clinical evaluation and diagnostic imaging dominate initial patient contact, revealing diagnostic red flags that determine further specific tests. Genetic testing is undertaken early. A recent attempt to harmonise these competing systems named the MOGE(S) system, based on descriptive logical nosology, currently remains unproven as a fully practical solution.

Keywords: Cardiomyopathy in children; classification systems

Received: 25 May 2015; Accepted: 1 May 2015

**S** ANY CLASSIFICATION IS NECESSARILY INCOMplete and acts as a bridge between complete ignorance and total understanding in any biological system, ... further modification and changes are likely to occur" (John Goodwin, London 1982).

#### Historical context

Classification systems are used to aid understanding and systematic discussion through the use of logical groups and hierarchies. In clinical practice, similar principles are used to standardise disease nomenclatures. The term cardiomyopathy was first coined in 1957, to denote primary or idiopathic diseases of the myocardium, as distinct from ischaemic heart disease.<sup>1</sup> Over the following five decades, there has been a progressive evolution in the nomenclature and classification systems used for heart muscle disorders,

<sup>\*</sup>Presented at Johns Hopkins All Children's Heart Institute, International Pediatric Heart Failure Summit, Saint Petersburg, Florida, United States of America, 4–5 February, 2015.

Correspondence to: Dr R. C. G. Franklin, Department of Paediatric Cardiology, Royal Brompton Hospital, London SW3 6NP, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 1895 828659; Fax +44 1895 826589; E-mail: r.franklin@rbht.nhs.uk

driven primarily by scientific advances in the understanding of disease pathogenesis and aetiology. Initial classification systems based on descriptions of clinical features in the 1960s evolved into a pathophysiological taxonomy in the 1970s and 1980s, in parallel with improvements in clinical imaging modalities, especially echocardiography and MRI. Underpinning these classification systems was an attempt to define cardiomyopathies according to their underlying aetiology, ventricular morphology, and function. This resulted in four distinct subdivisions endorsed by the World Health Organisation and the International Society and Federation of Cardiology Task Forces in 1980 and 1995: dilated, hypertrophic, restrictive, and arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathies.<sup>2,3</sup> As summarised by the 1995 Task Force, cardiomyopathies are "diseases of the myocardium associated with cardiac dysfunction" and should be "classified by the dominant pathophysiology or, if possible, by aetiological or pathogenetic factors".<sup>3</sup> A distinction was made between "primary", or idiopathic, heart muscle disorders - cardiomyopathies - and "specific heart muscle disease", which describe disorders with similar morphological features but distinct underlying aetiology, such as heart disease due to metabolic, inflammatory, or ischaemic processes. The genetic advances that followed in the early 21st century added a new dimension, such that the former "idiopathic" phenotypes became increasingly linked to specific gene mutations, making this term largely redundant. Unfortunately, many of the linkages exhibit highly variable or little genotype-phenotype correlation, confounded by age-dependent changes and varying penetrance, even within families. Given these difficulties, the classification of cardiomyopathies continues to be driven by clinical phenotype, with integration of causation and inheritance pattern of the disease whenever possible. A suggested "red flag" methodology for assessment of the individual patient is, therefore, described at the end of this manuscript.

In the last decade, two dominant classification schemes with distinct definitions for cardiomyopathy have evolved:

- the 2006 American Heart Association definition and classification system; and
- the 2008 European Society of Cardiology classification scheme.

Although there are some similarities between these classification schemes, there are also substantial differences, many of which are addressed in this review. A third classification system, the MOGE(S) classification, has been recently suggested, and is also briefly discussed.

## The European Society of Cardiology classification system

In the European Society of Cardiology classification scheme, cardiomyopathy is defined as follows: "A myocardial disorder in which the heart muscle is structurally and functionally abnormal, in the absence of coronary artery disease, hypertension, valvular disease and congenital heart disease, sufficient to cause the observed myocardial abnormality".4 The cardiomyopathies are subdivided into four distinct phenotypes - namely, hypertrophic, dilated, restrictive, and arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy - as well as into a fifth group of "unclassified" cardiomyopathies including left ventricular non-compaction and Takotsubo cardiomyopathy. There follows a further subdivision of these five specific morphological and functional phenotypes into familial/genetic and non-familial/non-genetic forms, with a large list of individual entities detailed in each category (Fig 1).<sup>></sup>

#### Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is defined as increased ventricular wall thickness that is not solely explained by abnormal loading or structural heart conditions, such as valve disease, CHD, and hypertension. In adults, an end diastolic wall thickness of over 15 mm is diagnostic, whereas in children a z-score of over 2 is used. It is relatively common, affecting 1:500 people with an annual incidence of  $\sim 0.47/100,000$ children.<sup>6,7</sup> The phenotypic diagnosis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is based on electrocardiographic and echocardiographic features, although family history, physical examination, metabolic screening, and genetic testing are used to investigate the aetiology of the disease. Typical electrocardiographic changes in children include pathological Q waves and negative T waves in more than one consecutive inferolateral lead (lead II, III, aVF, V5-V6), whereas ventricular hypertrophy according to voltage criteria is a non-specific finding.<sup>8–10</sup> Some electrocardiographic features such as short P-R interval and atrioventricular conduction disorders are typical for specific hypertrophic cardiomyopathy sub-types (Table 1). The electrocardiographic abnormalities may precede ventricular hypertrophy, as seen on echocardiography. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is usually associated with diminished left ventricular cavity size, while systolic function is hyperdynamic. In addition, there is often associated systolic anterior motion of the mitral valve, which may cause left ventricular outflow tract obstruction.



(b)

Table 1 European Society of Cardiology classification of cardiomyopathies

_	HCM	DCM	ARVC	RCM	Unclassified
Familial	<ul> <li>Familial, unknown gene</li> <li>Sarcomeric protein mutations</li> <li>GSD (eg, Pompe's, PRKAG2, Forbes', Danon's)</li> <li>Lysosomal storage diseases (eg, Anderson-Fabry, Hurler's)</li> <li>Disorders of fatty acid metabolism</li> <li>Camitine deficiency</li> <li>Phosphorylase B kinase deficiency</li> <li>Mitochondrial cytopathies</li> <li>Syndromic HCM (eg, Noonan's syndrome, LEOPARD syndrome)</li> <li>Familial amyloid</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Familial, unknown gene</li> <li>Sarcomeric protein</li> <li>Z-band</li> <li>Cytoskeletal protein</li> <li>Nuclear membrane protein</li> <li>Intercalated disc proteins (desmosomes)</li> <li>Mitochondrial cytopathy</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Familial, unknown gene</li> <li>Intercalated disc protein (desmosomes)</li> <li>Cardiac ryanodine receptor</li> <li>Transforming growth factor-β3</li> <li>Titin</li> <li>Lamin A/C</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Familial, unknown gene</li> <li>Sarcomeric protein mutations</li> <li>Familial amyloidosis</li> <li>Desm inopathy</li> <li>Haemochromatosis</li> <li>Anderson-Fabry disease</li> <li>GSD</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Left ventricular non-compaction</li> </ul>
Non-familial	<ul> <li>Obesity</li> <li>Infants of diabetic mothers</li> <li>Athletic training</li> <li>Amyloid</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Myocarditis</li> <li>Kawasaki disease</li> <li>Eosinophilic</li> <li>Drugs</li> <li>Pregnancy</li> <li>Endocrine</li> <li>Nutritional</li> <li>Alcohol</li> <li>Tachycardiomyopathy</li> </ul>	► Myocarditis	<ul> <li>Amyloid</li> <li>Scleroderma</li> <li>Endomyocardial fibrosis</li> <li>Hypereosinophilic syndrome</li> <li>Drugs</li> <li>Carcinoid heart disease</li> <li>Metastatic cancers</li> <li>Radiation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tako-tsubo cardiomyopathy</li> </ul>

#### Figure 1.

Classification system of cardiomyopathies from the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases.<sup>4</sup> Panel (a) shows the overarching structure, whereas (b) details the entities that may be associated with each phenotype.<sup>5</sup> ARVC = arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy; DCM = dilated cardiomyopathy; GSD = glycogen storage disease;HCM = hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; RCM = restrictive cardiomyopathy. Reproduced with permission from Oxford University Press: ElliottP, Andersson B, Arbustini E, et al. Classification of the cardiomyopathies: a position statement from ESC. Eur Heart J 2008; 29: 271and 273.

#### Familial/genetic hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is most commonly hereditary and is caused by mutations in the sarcomeric protein genes in 40–60% of patients, mainly betamyosin heavy chain (*MYH7*) and myosin-binding protein C (*MYBPC3*) mutations. The inheritance pattern is usually autosomal dominant and results predominantly in thickening of the interventricular septum causing asymmetrical hypertrophy.

Familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy can also be associated with a huge variety of inborn errors of metabolism, malformation syndromes, and neuromuscular disorders, particularly in children where they may be seen in up to 30% of cases,<sup>11</sup> being most common when the disease presents in infancy. In contrast to sarcomeric mutations, concentric hypertrophy of the left ventricle is the usual pattern observed. Malformation syndromes include Noonan and LEOPARD syndromes, where right ventricular involvement is frequent, whereas Friedreich's ataxia is the classic neuromuscular disorder associated with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Inborn errors of Short P-R/

pre-excitation AV conduction disease

Biventricular

hypertrophy Superior QRS axis

Atrial standstill

arrhythmias Atrial fibrillation/

atrial flutter

Low P wave amplitude

Deep Q waves in I, II,

III, aVL, aVF, V6 Inverted T waves in the inferolateral leads Epsilon waves in the inferolateral leads Ventricular

Limb-girdle muscular dystrophy	Biventricula involvement
x	
x	
	х
	x
х	

ACM

7T 1 1 1	7T · 1	FCC	C .	1	1 .1 .		1.00	12 .1	1 .	11,50
Table 1.	I vpical	ECG	features and	i common	arrhythmias	1N	different	cardiomyopathy	sub-types.	
								21 2	/1	

PRKAG2 Mitochondrial\*\*

х

х

х

х

Glycogen

storage

disease\*

х

х

HCM

х

х

х

ACM = Arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy; AV = atrioventricular; aVF = augmented vector foot; aVL = augmented vector left; DCM = dilated cardiomyopathy; ECG = electrocardiogram; HCM = hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; MERFF = myoclonic epilepsy with ragged red fibres

х

х

Lamin A/C

х

mutation

Emery-

Dreifuss 1

х

х

х

DCM

Myocarditis

х

х

Emery-

Dreifuss 2

х

х

Duchenne/

Becker

muscular

dystrophy

х

х

х

х

х

\*Glycogen storage disease: Danon disease, Pompe disease, and PRKAG2 – mutations in the  $\gamma$ 2 subunit of the adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase

Malformation

syndromes\*\*\*

х

х

\*\*MELAS and Kearns–Sayre syndrome

\*\*\*Mutations in RAS-MAPK pathway: Noonan, LEOPARD, Costello, and cardiofaciocutaneous syndromes

metabolism account for 5% of all cardiomyopathies. and its early diagnosis is important, because diseasespecific treatment may result in a better prognosis. The metabolic diseases, including glycogen storage diseases such as Pompe disease (lysosomal acid  $\alpha$ -glucosidase deficiency) with infant-onset severe ventricular hypertrophy, skeletal myopathy, and hypotonia, as well as mucopolysaccharidoses such as Hurler syndrome, are mainly inherited in an autosomal-recessive manner. There are a few conditions including Barth syndrome (also associated with a dilated cardiomyopathy) Hunter syndrome, and Anderson-Fabry disease that have X-linked recessive inheritance pattern, whereas Danon disease has X-linked dominant inheritance. Both Anderson-Fabry and Danon disease can also affect females, usually with a later onset and a less severe phenotype.<sup>12</sup>

#### Non-genetic/non-familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy

These include infants of a diabetic mother,<sup>13</sup> heart muscle disease related to obesity,<sup>14</sup> and, rarely, hypertrophy outside of the expected range related to athletic training.<sup>15</sup>

#### Dilated cardiomyopathy

Dilated cardiomyopathy is defined as ventricular dilation with systolic dysfunction in the absence of abnormal loading conditions, such as valve disease, CHD, and hypertension, or coronary arterial disease. Right ventricular dilation or dysfunction may also be present. Although the prevalence of dilated cardiomyopathy is unknown, its annual incidence is estimated to be 0.58 cases/100,000 children. The aetiology of dilated cardiomyopathy is known in only approximately one-third of patients at the time of diagnosis.<sup>16</sup> The majority of patients present with congestive heart failure due to severely impaired left ventricular systolic function, with the pathophysiological diagnosis confirmed by echocardiography. Electrocardiographic changes can include atrioventricular conduction disorders and signs of inferolateral re-polarisation abnormalities.

#### Familial/genetic dilated cardiomyopathy

Familial dilated cardiomyopathy accounts for 20-25% of "idiopathic" disease, often with autosomal-dominant inheritance pattern.<sup>17–19</sup> Approximately 35–40% of cases have sarcomeric gene mutations, with the majority attributed to protein-truncating mutations in the titin gene (*TTN*), which encodes a giant stress sensor protein. Mutations in beta-myosin heavy chain (*MYH7*) and myosin-binding protein C (*MYBPC3*) can also be associated with familial dilated cardiomyopathy,

although more commonly found in familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Additional genetic causes include mutations in nuclear membrane-related genes such as the lamin A/C genes, which encode intermediate filament proteins in the nuclear membrane and are associated with dilated cardiomyopathy and atrioventricular conduction disease, which can be evident before evidence of ventricular dilation, as well as Emery–Dreifuss (emerin protein mutation). Finally, mutations in the cytoskeleton can occur, such as desminopathy with associated skeletal myopathy, dilated cardiomyopathy and conduction disease.

Neuromuscular disorders associated with dilated cardiomyopathy are exemplified by dystrophin mutations. Dystrophin is a plasma membrane-associated protein, which forms a complex with proteoglycans, found both in heart and in skeletal muscles, and whose role is to protect against contraction-induced injury. Mutations in the dystrophin gene are linked to Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophies.

Inborn errors of metabolism linked to dilated cardiomyopathy include lysosomal storage diseases such as Hurler Syndrome variants (mucopoly-saccharidoses type I), carnitine deficiency, and mito-chondrial myopathies.<sup>20</sup>

#### Non-familial/non-genetic dilated cardiomyopathy

In contrast to hypertrophic disease, acquired dilated cardiomyopathy is more common than familial forms, as exemplified by myocarditis, also called inflammatory cardiomyopathy. Myocarditis is defined as an acquired acute or chronic inflammatory process affecting the myocardium produced by a wide variety of toxins, drugs, or infectious agents. It is most often attributed to viral infections, particularly Cocksackie B enterovirus, parvovirus B19, human herpesvirus 6, and adenovirus infections, but may also be caused by nonviral agents such as Borrelia burgdorferi (Lyme disease), Corynebacterium diphtheriae, and Trypanosoma cruzi (Chagas disease).<sup>21,22</sup> Cardiotoxic drugs, particularly chemotherapeutic agents such as doxorubicin, are strongly associated with dose-related left ventricular dilation and impaired function.23,24 Immunemediated inflammatory cardiomyopathies are characterised by the presence of autoantigens in diseases such as giant cell myocarditis and systemic lupus, as well as hypersensitivity to certain drugs such as sulphonamides. Finally, and importantly in children, fulminant Kawasaki disease can also be associated with myocarditis, seemingly independent of coronary arterial disease. Other important and reversible causes of acquired dilated cardiomyopathy include endocrine and nutritional deficiencies. Vitamin D deficiency is a more recently recognised entity, particularly in non-Caucasian children, whereas selenium and zinc

deficiency have also been reported.<sup>25–28</sup> Endocrine dysfunction such as hypocalcaemia secondary to hypoparathyroidism can result in dilated cardiomyopathy and is responsive to correction of the calcium deficiency.<sup>29,30</sup>

Tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathies belong to the non-familial form of dilated cardiomyopathy in this classification system.

#### Restrictive cardiomyopathy

Restrictive cardiomyopathy is defined by the restrictive physiology of the ventricle with normal or reduced systolic and diastolic volumes and normal ventricular wall thickness. It is the least common phenotypic form, accounting for 4.5% of all cardiomyopathies. The European Society classification includes only pure forms of the disease, excluding the restrictive-hypertrophic phenotype in this category, which is regarded as a hypertrophic cardiomyopathy phenotypic variant. The clinical presentation of restrictive cardiomyopathy can be highly variable, ranging from asymptomatic to overt signs of heart failure with pulmonary hypertension.<sup>31</sup> There is a significant risk of life threatening or fatal ventricular tachyarrhythmia, such that prophylactic defibrillator implantation may be necessary, especially in patients with ischaemic symptoms, significantly prolonged P-R interval, or widened QRS complex. Early listing for transplantation is recommended given the high risk for fatal events.

#### Familial/genetic restrictive cardiomyopathy

These are usually inherited in an autosomaldominant manner, and mutations in the troponin I, troponin T,  $\alpha$ -cardiac actin, and desmin genes have all been linked to this condition.<sup>32–34</sup>

Non-familial/non-genetic forms of restrictive cardiomyopathy are attributed to autoimmune diseases such as scleroderma, hypereosinophilic syndrome, amyloidosis, radiation therapy, and anthracycline toxicity.

### Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy

Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy is defined as the fibrofatty replacement of the right ventricular myocardium, a histopathological diagnosis in contrast to the other forms of cardiomyopathies. The estimated prevalence is 1:5000, and it may present in a similar way to dilated cardiomyopathy. Diagnostic criteria were described by the 1994 Task Force to include structural, histological, electrocardiographic, arrhythmic, and familial features of the disease. More recently, this has been expanded to include MRI findings and genetic mutations.<sup>35</sup> The left ventricle is often affected as well, or even in advance of right ventricular disease,<sup>36</sup> and there is a recommendation in some quarters to rename the disease as simply arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. The clinical manifestation of arrhythmogenic ventricular cardiomyopathy during childhood is rare, usually being diagnosed between 20 and 40 years of age, but features of the disease can develop during adolescence.<sup>37</sup> Treatment options include heart failure management, antiarrhythmic medications, and defibrillator implantation as primary or secondary prevention in symptomatic patients. The management of asymptomatic patients with arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy remains challenging.<sup>38</sup>

2015

#### Familial/genetic arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy

The majority of these cases are due to a mutation in the desmosome gene with an autosomal-dominant inheritance pattern. It has been shown that almost 50% of children who carry the desmosomal gene mutation develop the disease, which fulfils the modified Task Force criteria during childhood, two-thirds of whom will have a moderate-to-severe form.<sup>36</sup>

#### Unclassified cardiomyopathies

This is the remaining group in the European Society classification. The most important entity in children is left ventricular non-compaction. This is increasingly recognised in the paediatric population and is defined as prominent trabeculation with deep intertrabecular recesses of the ventricular myocardium, usually at the left ventricular apex. It is quite frequently associated with congenital heart malformations with an associated worse overall prognosis.

Takotsubo or stress-provoked cardiomyopathy is a transient cardiac syndrome related to left ventricular apical akinaesia or apical "ballooning". It mainly affects post-menopausal women, but a few paediatric cases have been reported.<sup>39,40</sup> The symptoms include abrupt angina-like chest pain with associated wide-spread T wave inversion and ST segment elevation, mildly elevated cardiac enzymes, and left ventricular regional wall motion abnormality, all in the absence of coronary arterial disease.

### American Heart Association cardiomyopathy classification system (2006)<sup>41</sup>

The definition of cardiomyopathy is somewhat different here to that of the European Society of Cardiology system: "Cardiomyopathies are a heterogeneous group of diseases of the myocardium associated with mechanical and/or electrical dysfunction that usually (but not invariably) exhibit inappropriate ventricular hypertrophy or dilatation and are due to a variety of causes that frequently are genetic. Cardiomyopathies



#### Figure 2.

Classification system of cardiomyopathies as detailed in the American Heart Association Scientific Statement.<sup>41</sup> ARVC/ D = arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia;CVPT = catecholaminergic ventricular polymorphic tachycardia; DCM = dilatedcardiomyopathy; HCM = by pertrophiccardiomyopathy; LQTS = long QT syndrome; LVNC = left ventricular non-compaction; SQTS = short QT syndrome; SUNDS = sudden unexpected nocturnal death syndrome. Reproduced with permission: Maron BJ, Towbin JA, Thiene G, et Contemporary definitions and classification al. of the cardiomyopathies. Circulation 2006; 113: 1810.

either are confined to the heart or are part of generalized systemic disorders, often leading to cardiovascular death or progressive heart failure–related disability".<sup>41</sup> Both classification systems exclude ventricular dysfunction secondary to congenital heart malformations, hypertension, and coronary arterial or valvar disease, given the different management approaches for these when compared with other cardiomyopathies.

This classification system divides the cardiomyopathies into two major groups based on predominant organ involvement. Primary cardiomyopathies are those in which the heart is involved primarily, and are further subdivided into genetic, mixed (genetic and non-genetic) and acquired forms (Fig 2). Secondary cardiomyopathies are those in which cardiac involvement is part of a multi-system disorder.

#### Genetic forms of primary cardiomyopathy

These are divided into different sub-groups based on the cardiomyopathy phenotype or aetiology. The category includes hypertrophic and arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathies, left ventricular non-compaction, mitochondrial myopathies, and ion channelopathies. In addition, glycogen storage diseases, which predominantly involve cardiac muscles, specifically PRKAG2 mutation and Danon disease, are included in this sub-group, whereas other glycogen storage diseases are categorised as secondary cardiomyopathies. The PRKAG2 gene encodes a subunit of adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK), whose autosomal-dominant mutation is linked to hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with ventricular preexcitation. Familial Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome has also been associated with PRKAG2 mutation.<sup>42</sup> Danon disease is caused by a mutation in the lysosome-associated membrane protein-2 (LAMP2) with X-linked dominant inheritance, with the male having the more severe phenotype and early onset. The sub-group of conduction system diseases, specifically inherited Lenègre disease, and inherited ion channelopathies such as long QT and Brugada syndromes are part of the American Heart Association classification system based on the argument that ion channel mutations alter the ion channel interfaces and architecture of cardiac muscles, although at a molecular level, and therefore should qualify as heart muscle disease. The European Society classification excludes these as the diagnosis and management regimes are also fundamentally different from other cardiomyopathies. The diagnosis of an ion channelopathy is based on typical electrocardiographic findings at rest, during exercise, or during specific pharmacological provocation tests such as Ajmaline test for Brugada syndrome and adrenaline challenge for Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia. As with other cardiomyopathies, genetic testing provides additional information and is used for family screening once the disease-causing mutation is found in the proband. Lenègre disease is a primary progressive cardiac conduction defect in the His-Purkinje system linked to a SCN5A mutation.<sup>43,44</sup> Most ion channelopathies are inherited in an autosomal-dominant manner, with some rarer variants having autosomal-recessive inheritance, such as long OT syndrome with congenital sensorineural deafness (Jervell and Lange–Nielsen syndrome).<sup>40</sup>

#### Mixed and acquired primary cardiomyopathies

Interestingly, dilated and restrictive cardiomyopathies belong to the mixed primary sub-category, as the majority of these diseases are non-genetic. Myocarditis and tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathies belong to the acquired primary cardiomyopathies sub-group, as does Takotsubo or stress-provoked cardiomyopathy, which is a "non-classified" cardiomyopathy in the European Society system. Of note is that myocarditis is in a different sub-category than dilated cardiomyopathy, despite the overlap between these conditions, with myocarditis being the commonest cause of dilated cardiomyopathy in children.

#### Secondary cardiomyopathies

These include multi-systemic disorders, which are either genetic or acquired. They are not divided into further sub-categories in the American Heart Association scheme. The majority of inborn errors of metabolism, malformation syndromes, and neuromuscular disorders, as detailed in the European Society scheme, are listed here. Myotonic dystrophy, for instance, causes a progressive conduction disorder and dilated cardiomyopathy with a variable age at onset. Other aetiologies of these secondary cardiomyopathies include autoimmune diseases, endocrine problems, drug toxicity, nutritional deficiencies, and so on.

# MOGE(S) classification: a phenotype–genotype nomenclature system for cardiomyopathy (2013)<sup>46</sup>

This most recent classification system for cardiomyopathies attempts to incorporate all the available information on an individual, including any genetic mutation identified and inheritance pattern, using a complex acronym-based approach. The proposed nosology is similar to the universally accepted TNM staging of tumours. The scheme uses five attributes to classify a cardiomyopathy disorder:

- M = morphofunctional notation, which describes the phenotype: hypertrophic, dilated, restrictive, non-compaction, and so on. It includes the option of documenting early disease, disease-specific clinical markers (atrioventricular block, pre-excitation) and overlapping phenotype variations such as hypertrophic with restrictive phenotype.
- O = organ involvement: cardiac, other organ systems (eye, liver, or lung) or both.
- G = genetic or familial inheritance: autosomal dominant or recessive, X-linked.
- E = aetiological annotations such as genetic condition, autoimmune disease, toxicity, myocarditis, viral agent, etc.
- S = heart failure stage (optional): NYHA class or American College of Cardiology-American Heart Association stage.

An example is  $M_HO_HG_{AD}E_{G-MYH7\{p,R663H\}}$ , which describes that the proband has hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with only cardiac involvement, an autosomal-dominant inheritance pattern, and that an *MYH7* gene mutation was identified. All members of the family can be so described, many of course being negative for all areas –  $M_0O_0G_NE_{NC}S_I$  signifying unaffected heart and organ systems with no family history, non-carrier status, and in NYHA class 1.

It is a very complex scheme on first assessment and it is currently not widely used; however, it could become a useful tool especially when documenting individual families with multiple probands. To help adoption, the authors of the MOGE(S) nomenclature have developed an internet-based application (http://moges.biomeris.com) for use in daily clinical practice.<sup>48</sup> At present, the MOGE(S) system does not include ion channelopathies, conduction disease, or tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathies.

### Critique of systems of classification for cardiomyopathy

The American Heart Association's classification has been criticised for its unconventional usage of "primary" and "secondary", which traditionally have been used in the setting of causation, secondary being equivalent to "due to", as opposed to organ specificity as here. Furthermore, several entities do not fit well in either category with some "primary" diseases having many extra-cardiac features, such as the mitochondrial myopathies and Danon disease, whereas some "secondary" diseases are virtually entirely confined to the heart, such as endomyocardial fibrosis. On the other hand, the European Society's category "unclassified" is not very satisfactory, leaving left ventricular non-compaction "looking for a room", as are ion channelopathies in the American Heart Association's view.

It can be strongly argued that we are not yet "beyond the phenotype" in clinical practice, particularly with respect to treatment regimens, which are often driven by the phenotype rather than the cause. There is great heterogeneity of genotype expression and age-related penetrance, with little genotype-phenotype correlation. Thus, mutations in the same gene can be associated with multiple phenotypes, such as sarcomeric myosin-binding protein (MYBPC3) gene mutations being associated with hypertrophic, dilated, and restrictive cardiomyopathic processes. Looking at this in reverse, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy has so far been linked to at least 15 genes and over 1400 individual mutations, whereas dilated cardiomyopathy has been linked to over 20 genes and over 40 mutations! Not surprisingly, the presence of more than one mutation is associated with an earlier age at presentation and poorer prognosis. The same phenotype can, therefore, have multiple aetiologies; hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathies are associated with a multitude of causes, from sarcomeric protein mutations to storage and neuromuscular diseases, as well as acquired disease such as the inflammatory cardiomyopathies.

To add a further layer of complexity, there can be migration from one phenotype to another and neither classification system covers this eventuality well.

Proponents of both the European Society and American Heart Association systems have debated at length the benefits of their own system while criticising the other. This discourse, which at times has been acrimonious, is not geographically driven, as each system has advocates in both Europe and North America.

A case in point is the differing views on the highlevel classification of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. In the system of the European Society of Cardiology, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is defined as the presence of increased left ventricular wall thickness, irrespective of cause, which is not solely explained by abnormal loading conditions.<sup>47</sup> In the structure of the American Heart Association, however, the definition is confined to those patients with isolated cardiac pathology: "unexplained left ventricular hypertrophy associated with a non-dilated ventricular chamber, in the absence of another cardiac or systemic disease, which itself would be capable of producing the magnitude of hypertrophy evident in a given patient".<sup>48</sup> The latter team argue that hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is a disease subset of left ventricular hypertrophy, independent of other disease processes, whereas the former proponents argue that all the disease entities should be classified as having cardiomyopathy, focussing on elucidating the cause of the individual patient.<sup>49</sup>

### Red flag diagnostic approach for cardiomyopathies

"Red flags" are important features used to guide clinicians towards diagnosis or appropriate work-up for individual patients. This approach for cardiomyopathies has recently been detailed as a clinical tool in a position statement by the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases.<sup>50</sup> The diagnostic pathway in patients with suspected cardiomyopathy includes the patient and associated family history, physical examination, electrocardiography, cardiac imaging, and laboratory tests. The family history is a crucial



#### Figure 3.

Echocardiographic findings suggestive of the cardiomyopathy sub-types. Reproduced with modification from the position statement entitled diagnostic work-up in cardiomyopathies from the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases.<sup>50</sup> DCM = dilated cardiomyopathy; HCM = bypertrophic cardiomyopathy; LV = left ventricular; LVH = left ventricular bypertrophy; MPS = mucopolysaccharidosis.

component in inherited cardiac conditions and a three- to four-generation family pedigree is recommended focussing on any known members with cardiomyopathy, a history of heart failure, cardiac transplantation, cardiac rhythm disturbance, need for a pacemaker/defibrillator, sudden cardiac death, stroke at a young age, or musculoskeletal disease.<sup>51</sup> Autosomal-dominant inheritance pattern is the most frequent, whereas autosomal-recessive inheritance is least common, being suspected if the parents are not affected with the condition and/or are consanguineous. X-linked inheritance is more common in cardiomyopathies with musculoskeletal involvement. Women can be sometimes affected even with X-linked recessive disorders if there is an inactivation of the X-chromosome but usually with a later onset and milder form of the disease. The mitochondrial diseases caused by a mutation in mitochondrial DNA can be inherited only from the mother and characteristic symptoms include lactic acidosis, skeletal myopathy, hypoacusis, palpebral ptosis, encephalopathy, and retinitis pigmentosa.<sup>52</sup>

The age at presentation is helpful when evaluating the aetiology of the disease. Cardiomyopathy in neonates and infants are usually caused by inborn errors of metabolism – for example, Pompe disease and Danon disease – or by congenital dysmorphic syndromes such as Noonan syndrome. In contrast, sarcomeric protein disease usually manifests in adolescence or early adulthood. Cardiomyopathy related to neuromuscular disorders such as Friedrich's ataxia and Duchenne muscular dystrophy develops mainly in adolescence. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy can develop before the neuromuscular symptoms in Friedrich's ataxia, and dilated cardiomyopathy might be the presenting feature in Becker's muscular dystrophy.

Dysmorphic features are seen in malformation syndromes or mitochondrial disorders and need to be carefully assessed, particularly craniofacial abnormalities (such as hypertelorism), neck webbing in Noonan syndrome, and dermatoses – for example, café au lait spots in Noonan syndrome; angiokeratomas in Anderson–Fabry disease; and woolly hair and palmoplantar keratoderma in the Naxos variant of arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. Learning difficulties are also common, such as in Noonan and Costello syndromes and mitochondrial diseases, whereas visual impairment and sensorineural deafness may be a feature, such as in mitochondrial diseases and Anderson–Fabry disease.

A 12 lead electrocardiogram and usually a 24-hour recording are carried out as a part of cardiomyopathy evaluation, often giving important diagnostic markers to guide further investigations, as summarised in Table 1. Such features can precede the development of a cardiomyopathy and may be the only presenting feature of a genetic mutation, such as in lamin A/C mutations.<sup>53</sup> Ventricular preexcitation can also be associated with left ventricular non-compaction.<sup>54</sup>

The presence of concentric or asymmetrical left ventricular hypertrophy observed using echocardiography suggests different aetiologies (Fig 3). Concentric hypertrophy is usually associated with malformation syndromes, inborn errors of metabolism, and neuromuscular disorders. Thickening of valve leaflets can be a characteristic sign of mucopolysaccharidosis. Asymmetric septal hypertrophy is commonly associated with sarcomeric protein gene mutations, whereas biventricular involvement is characteristic of malformation syndromes. Extremely severe, progressive concentric left ventricular hypertrophy in early childhood is suggestive of Pompe disease, Sengers syndrome, or Danon disease (in males).

Armed with these findings, a direction of travel for genetic investigations and management can then be devised including treatment of the proband and family screening strategies as required.

#### Summary

The paediatric cardiomyopathies encompass a very heterogeneous group of disease entities. The European Society of Cardiology and American Heart Association are the two major cardiomyopathy classification systems currently being used with fundamental differences in the way they conceive the higher levels of their respective taxonomies. Both classification systems face the challenge of mixed cardiomyopathy phenotypes, with genetic heterogeneity, making logical classification challenging; however, these are the known limitations of both guidelines. Whichever high-level classification is used, the clinical reality at present remains phenotype driven. Clinical evaluation and standard diagnostic imaging dominate initial patient contact, and usually reveal diagnostic red flags, which in turn determine the direction of further specific tests and subsequent management stratagems, including family screening. Genetic testing is now fundamental and is performed at an increasingly early stage. A novel recent attempt to harmonise these competing systems of nomenclature is the MOGE(S) system; however its place in clinical practice has yet to be determined and is unproven as a fully practical solution.

Future directions for research related to nomenclature and systems of classification for cardiomyopathy include the following:

• Establishing the genetic basis for the various cardiomyopathies, in other words the links

between genotype and phenotype, likely at a mutational level. This may provide the basis for a universally agreed system of classification for cardiomyopathies. Such a comprehensive system will ideally go beyond the phenotype, especially for diseases seemingly restricted to the heart.

• Working with the MOGE(S) system to produce a simpler streamlined version, which may indeed bring about a degree of harmony using a broad descriptive methodology, while allowing different levels of detail depending on the needs of the individual user (so called linearisation).

#### References

- 1. Brigden W. Uncommon myocardial diseases. The noncoronary cardiomyopathies. Lancet 1957; ii: 1179-1184.
- Oakley CM, Olsen EGJ, Goodwin JF et al. Report of the WHO/ ISFC Task Force on the definition and classification of cardiomyopathies. Br Heart J 1980; 44: 672–673.
- Richardson P, McKenna W, Bristow M, et al. Report of the 1995 World Health Organization/International Society and Federation of Cardiology Task Force on the definition and classification of cardiomyopathies. Circulation 1996;93:841–842.
- Elliott P, Andersson B, Arbustini E, et al. Classification of the cardiomyopathies: a position statement from ESC. Eur Heart J 2008; 29: 270–276.
- Lopes LR, Elliott PM. New approaches to the clinical diagnosis of inherited heart muscle disease. Heart 2013; 99: 1451–1461.
- Maron BJ, Gardin JM, Flack JM, Gidding SS, Kurosaki TT, Bild DE. Prevalence of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in a general population of young adults. Echocardiographic analysis of 4111 subjects in the CARDIA Study. Coronary Artery Risk Development in (Young) Adults. Circulation 1995; 92: 785–789.
- Wilkinson JD, Landy DC, Colan SD, et al. The pediatric cardiomyopathy registry and heart failure: key results from the first 15 years. Heart Fail Clin 2010; 6: 401–413.
- Savage DD, Seides SF, Clark CE, et al. Electrocardiographic findings in patients with obstructive and nonobstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circulation 1978; 58: 402–408.
- Maron BJ, Wolfson JK, Ciró E, Spirito P. Relation of electrocardiographic abnormalities and patterns of left ventricular hypertrophy identified by 2-dimensional echocardiography in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol 1983; 51: 189–194.
- Gregor P, Widimský P, Cervenka V, Vísek V, Hrobonová V. Electrocardiographic changes can precede the development of myocardial hypertrophy in the setting of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Int J Cardiol 1989; 23: 335–341.
- Moak JP, Kaski JP. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in children. Heart 2012; 98: 1044–1054.
- Price DI, Stanford LC, Braden DS, Ebeid MR, Smith JC. Hypocalcemic rickets: an unusual cause of dilated cardiomyopathy. Pediatr Cardiol 2003; 24: 510–512.
- Abu-Sulaiman RM, Subaih B. Congenital heart disease in infants of diabetic mothers: echocardiographic study. Pediatr Cardiol 2004; 25: 137–140.
- Alpert MA. Obesity cardiomyopathy: pathophysiology and evolution of the clinical syndrome. Am J Med Sci 2001; 321: 225–236.
- Maron BJ, Pelliccia A. The heart of trained athletes: cardiac remodeling and the risks of sports, including sudden death. Circulation 2006; 114: 1633–1644.
- Wilkinson JD, Sleeper LA, Alvarez JA, Bublik N, Lipshultz SE. The Pediatric Cardiomyopathy Registry: 1995-2007. Prog Pediatr Cardiol 2008; 25: 31–36.

- McKenna CJ, Codd MB, McCann HA, Sugrue DD. Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy: familial prevalence and HLA distribution. Heart 1997; 77: 549–552.
- Burkett EL, Hershberger RE. Clinical and genetic issues in familial dilated cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005; 45: 969–981.
- Hershberger RE, Siegfried JD. Update 2011: clinical and genetic issues in familial dilated cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011; 57: 1641–1649.
- McNally EM, Golbus JR, Puckelwartz MJ. Genetic mutations and mechanisms in dilated cardiomyopathy. J Clin Invest 2013; 123: 19–26.
- Kindermann I, Barth C, Mahfoud F, et al. Update on myocarditis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012; 59: 779–792.
- Drucker NA, Colan SD, Lewis AB, et al. Gamma-globulin treatment of acute myocarditis in the pediatric population. Circulation 1994; 89: 252–257.
- Takemura G, Fujiwara H. Doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy. From the cardiotoxic mechanisms to management. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2007; 49: 330–352.
- Yeh ETH, Bickford CL. Cardiovascular complications of cancer therapy: incidence, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009; 53: 2231–2247.
- 25. Abdullah M, Bigras JL, McCrindle BW. Dilated cardiomyopathy as a first sign of nutritional vitamin D deficiency rickets in infancy. Can J Cardiol 1999: 699–701.
- Price DI, Stanford LC, Braden DS, Ebeid MR, Smith JC. Hypocalcemic rickets: an unusual cause of dilated cardiomyopathy. Pediatr Cardiol 2003; 24: 510–512.
- 27. Amirlak I, Al Dhaheri W, Narchi H. Dilated cardiomyopathy secondary to nutritional rickets. Ann Trop Paediatr 2008: 227–230.
- Frustaci A, Sabbioni E, Fortaner S, et al. Selenium-and zinc-deficient cardiomyopathy in human intestinal malabsorption: preliminary results of selenium/zinc infusion. Eur J Heart Fail 2012; 14: 202–210.
- Giles TD, Iteld BJ, Rives KL. The cardiomyopathy of hypoparathyroidism. Another reversible form of heart muscle disease. Chest 1981; 79: 225–229.
- Tsironi M, Korovesis K, Farmakis D, Deftereos S, Aessopos A. Hypoparathyroidism and heart failure in thalassemic patients: a case report. Pediatr Endocrinol Rev 2004; 2 (Suppl 2): 310–312.
- Zangwill S, Hamilton R. Restrictive cardiomyopathy. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2009; 32 (Suppl 2): S41–S43.
- 32. Pruszczyk P, Kostera-Pruszczyk A, Shatunov A, et al. Restrictive cardiomyopathy with atrioventricular conduction block resulting from a desmin mutation. Int J Cardiol 2007; 117: 244–253.
- Kaski JP, Syrris P, Burch M, et al. Idiopathic restrictive cardiomyopathy in children is caused by mutations in cardiac sarcomere protein genes. Heart 2008; 94: 1478–1484.
- Parvatiyar MS, Pinto JR, Dweck D, Potter JD. Cardiac troponin mutations and restrictive cardiomyopathy. J Biomed Biotechnol 2010: doi:10.1155/2010/350706.
- Marcus FI, McKenna WJ, Sherrill D, et al. Diagnosis of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia: proposed modification of the Task Force criteria. Circulation 2010; 121: 1533–1541.
- 36. Sen-Chowdhry S, Syrris P, Ward D, Asimaki A, Sevdalis E, McKenna WJ. Clinical and genetic characterization of families with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy provides novel insights into patterns of disease expression. Circulation 2007; 115: 1710–1720.
- Turrini P, Basso C, Daliento L, Nava A, Thiene G. Is arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy a paediatric problem too? Images Paediatr Cardiol 2001; 3: 18–37.
- 38. Bauce B, Rampazzo A, Basso C, et al. Clinical phenotype and diagnosis of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy in

paediatric patients carrying desmosomal gene mutations. Heart Rhythm 2011; 8: 1686–1695.

- Brenner ZR, Powers J. Takotsubo cardiomyopathy. Heart Lung 2008; 37: 1–7.
- Szardien S, Möllmann H, Willmer M, Akashi YJ, Hamm CW, Nef HM. Mechanisms of stress (Takotsubo) cardiomyopathy. Heart Fail Clin 2013; 9: 197–205.
- Maron BJ, Towbin JA, Thiene G, et al. Contemporary definitions and classification of the cardiomyopathies. Circulation 2006; 113: 1807–1816.
- Sidhu J, Roberts R. Genetic basis and pathogenesis of Familial WPW Syndrome. Indian Pacing Electrophysiol J 2003; 3: 197–201.
- Kyndt F, Probst V, Potet F, et al. Novel SCN5A mutation leading either to isolated cardiac conduction defect or Brugada syndrome in a large French family. Circulation 2001; 104: 3081–3086.
- Probst V, Allouis M, Sacher F, et al. Progressive cardiac conduction defect is the prevailing phenotype in carriers of a Brugada syndrome SCN5A mutation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2006; 17: 270–275.
- Schwartz PJ, Spazzolini C, Crotti L, et al. The Jervell and Lange-Nielsen syndrome: natural history, molecular basis, and clinical outcome. Circulation 2006; 113: 783–790.
- Arbustini E, Narula N, Tavazzi L, et al. The MOGE(S) classification of cardiomyopathy for clinicians. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 64: 304–318.
- Elliott PM, Anastasakis A, Borger MA, et al. ESC Guidelines on diagnosis and management of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Eur Heart J 2014; 35: 2733–2779.

- Maon BJ, Seidman CE, Ackerman MJ, et al. How should hypertrophic cardiomyopathy be classified? Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2009; 2: 81–86.
- Elliott PM, Mckenna WJ. How should hypertrophic cardiomyopathy be classified? Molecular diagnosis for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: not ready for prime time. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2009; 2: 87–89.
- Rapezzi C, Arbustini E, Caforio ALP, et al. Diagnostic work-up in cardiomyopathies: bridging the gap between clinical phenotypes and final diagnosis. A position statement from the ESC Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. Eur Heart J 2013; 34: 1448–1458.
- 51. Christiaans I, Birnie E, Bonsel GJ, et al. Manifest disease, risk factors for sudden cardiac death, and cardiac events in a large nationwide cohort of predictively tested hypertrophic cardiomyopathy mutation carriers: determining the best cardiological screening strategy. Eur Heart J 2011; 32: 1161–1170.
- 52. Jacoby D, McKenna WJ. Genetics of inherited cardiomyopathy. Eur Heart J 2012; 33: 296–304.
- Van Rijsingen IA, Arbustini E, Elliott PM, et al. Risk factors for malignant ventricular arrhythmias in lamin A/C mutation carriers: a European cohort study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012; 59: 493–500.
- Pignatelli RH, McMahon CJ, Dreyer WJ, et al. Clinical characterization of left ventricular noncompaction in children: a relatively common form of cardiomyopathy. Circulation 2003; 108: 2672–2678.