

## II<sub>1</sub> FACTORS WITH EXOTIC CENTRAL SEQUENCE ALGEBRAS

ADRIAN IOANA  AND PIETER SPAAS

*Department of Mathematics, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA* (aioana@ucsd.edu; pspaas@ucsd.edu)

(Received 17 June 2019; revised 1 November 2019; accepted 8 November 2019;  
first published online 19 December 2019)

*Abstract* We provide a class of separable II<sub>1</sub> factors  $M$  whose central sequence algebra is not the ‘tail’ algebra associated with any decreasing sequence of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$ . This settles a question of McDuff [On residual sequences in a II<sub>1</sub> factor, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) (1971), 273–280].

*Keywords:* II<sub>1</sub> factor; central sequence algebra; residual sequence; Gaussian action; tracial stability; deformation rigidity theory

2010 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 46L10  
Secondary 46L36

### 1. Introduction and statement of main results

A uniformly bounded sequence  $(x_k)$  in a II<sub>1</sub> factor  $M$  is called *central* if  $\lim_k \|x_k y - y x_k\|_2 = 0$ , for every  $y \in M$ . Central sequences have played a fundamental role in the study of II<sub>1</sub> factors since the very beginning of the subject with Murray and von Neumann’s property Gamma [29]. A separable II<sub>1</sub> factor  $M$  has *property Gamma* if it admits a central sequence  $(x_k)$  which is not trivial, in the sense that  $\lim_k \|x_k - \tau(x_k)1\|_2 > 0$ . Murray and von Neumann proved that the unique hyperfinite II<sub>1</sub> factor has property Gamma, while the free group factor  $L(\mathbb{F}_2)$  does not, thus giving the first example of two non-isomorphic separable II<sub>1</sub> factors [29]. Over two decades later, in the late 1960s, the analysis of central sequences of [29] was refined to provide additional examples of non-isomorphic separable II<sub>1</sub> factors in [6, 10, 42, 49], culminating with McDuff’s construction of a continuum of such factors [25, 26].

Shortly after, McDuff [27] defined the *central sequence algebra* of a II<sub>1</sub> factor  $M$  as the relative commutant,  $M' \cap M^\omega$ , of  $M$  into its ultrapower  $M^\omega$  [41, 48], where  $\omega$  is a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ . This has since allowed for a more structural approach to central sequences and led to significant progress in the study of II<sub>1</sub> factors. Indeed, the central sequence algebra was a crucial tool in Connes’ famous classification of amenable II<sub>1</sub> factors [8]. Furthermore, the relative commutant  $M' \cap \mathcal{M}^\omega$ , for some von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M} \supset M$ , was used by Popa to formalize his influential spectral gap rigidity principle in [36, 37].

The authors were supported in part by NSF Career Grant DMS #1253402.

Most recently, central sequence algebras and their subalgebras were used to provide a continuum of  $\text{II}_1$  factors with non-isomorphic ultrapowers in [4] (adding to the four such factors noticed in [12–14]).

However, despite the progress the use of central sequence algebras has allowed, their structure remains fairly poorly understood. For instance, it is open whether any  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  whose central sequence algebra is abelian admits an abelian subalgebra  $A$  such that  $M' \cap M^\omega \subset A^\omega$  (see [24]). In this article, we investigate the existence of a certain ‘canonical form’ for central sequence algebras. To make this precise, we recall the following notions introduced by McDuff in [28] in order to distill the key ideas of [26].

**Definition 1.1** [28, Definition 2]. Let  $M$  be a separable  $\text{II}_1$  factor. A von Neumann subalgebra  $A$  of  $M$  is called *residual* if  $\lim_k \|x_k - E_A(x_k)\|_2 = 0$ , for every central sequence  $(x_k)$  in  $M$ . A sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  is called a *residual sequence* if

- (1)  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$ , for every  $n$ ;
- (2)  $A_n$  is residual in  $M$ , for every  $n$  and
- (3) if  $x_k \in A_k$  and  $\|x_k\| \leq 1$ , for every  $k$ , then the sequence  $(x_k)$  is central in  $M$ .

**Remark 1.2.** A decreasing sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  is residual if and only if  $M' \cap M^\omega = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^\omega$ . Thus, a separable  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  admits a residual sequence if and only if its central sequence algebra is equal to the ‘tail’ algebra,  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^\omega$ , associated with a decreasing sequence of von Neumann subalgebras  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

In [28], McDuff noted that it was unknown whether every  $\text{II}_1$  factor admits a residual sequence. She gave examples of  $\text{II}_1$  factors which do not admit any *strongly* residual sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  (i.e., ones satisfying, in addition to (1)–(3), the existence of a subalgebra  $A^n \subset A_n$  such that  $A_n = A_{n+1} \bar{\otimes} A^n$ ) but left open the case of residual sequences. The main goal of this article is to provide the first examples of  $\text{II}_1$  factors with no residual sequence. Before stating our results in this direction, let us note that several large, well-studied classes of  $\text{II}_1$  factors admit a residual sequence.

**Examples 1.3.** The following  $\text{II}_1$  factors admit a residual sequence:

- (1) Any  $\text{II}_1$  factor without property Gamma.
- (2) The hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $R$ . If we write  $R = \bar{\otimes}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$  and let  $R_n = \bar{\otimes}_{k \geq n} \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a residual sequence in  $R$ .
- (3) Any  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  which is strongly McDuff, i.e., can be written as  $M = N \bar{\otimes} R$ , where  $N$  is a  $\text{II}_1$  factor without property Gamma. If  $A_n = 1 \otimes R_n$ , then Connes’ characterization of property Gamma [8, Theorem 2.1] implies that  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a residual sequence in  $M$ .
- (4) Any infinite tensor product  $M = \bar{\otimes}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M_k$  of  $\text{II}_1$  factors without property Gamma. If  $A_n = \bar{\otimes}_{k \geq n} M_k$ , then [8, Theorem 2.1] implies that  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a residual sequence in  $M$ . Note that  $M$  is McDuff, i.e.,  $M \cong M \bar{\otimes} R$  but not strongly McDuff [39, Theorem 4.1].

- (5) The II<sub>1</sub> factors  $L(T_0(\Gamma))$  and  $L(T_1(\Gamma))$ , where  $\Gamma$  is any countable group and the countable groups  $T_0(\Gamma), T_1(\Gamma)$  are defined as in [10, 26] (see also [4, Section 1.1]). Then  $T_0(\Gamma)$  and  $T_1(\Gamma)$  both contain  $\tilde{\Gamma} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_i$ , where each  $\Gamma_i$  is a copy of  $\Gamma$ . If  $A_n = L(\bigoplus_{i \geq n} \Gamma_i)$ , then [4, Corollary 2.11] shows that  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a residual sequence in both  $L(T_0(\Gamma))$  and  $L(T_1(\Gamma))$ . In particular, the uncountably many II<sub>1</sub> factors which were shown to have non-isomorphic ultrapowers in [4] all admit residual sequences.
- (6) Any tensor product  $M = \bar{\otimes}_{k=1}^N M_k$ , where  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , and for every  $k, M_k$  is a II<sub>1</sub> factor admitting a residual sequence,  $(A_{k,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . If  $B_n = (\bar{\otimes}_{k=1}^{\min\{n,N\}} A_{k,n}) \bar{\otimes} (\bar{\otimes}_{k=\min\{n,N\}+1}^N M_k)$ , then [24, Proposition 5.2] implies that  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a residual sequence in  $M$ .

**Remark 1.4.** In [39, 40], Popa studied the class of II<sub>1</sub> factors  $M$  which arise as an inductive limit of sub-factors  $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with spectral gap and noticed that  $M' \cap M^\omega = \bigcap_n (M'_n \cap M)^\omega$  (see [39, Lemma 2.3]). Thus, every such II<sub>1</sub> factor  $M$  admits a residual sequence,  $(M'_n \cap M)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Conversely, although it is unclear whether any II<sub>1</sub> factor admitting a residual sequence must be an inductive limit of sub-factors with spectral gap, we note that this holds for the factors in Examples 1.3(1)–(5).

We are now ready to state our first main result which gives examples of II<sub>1</sub> factors with no residual sequences, and thereby settles McDuff’s question [28].

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable non-amenable group. For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\pi_k : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_k)$  be an orthogonal representation such that*

- (1)  $\pi_k^{\otimes l}$  is weakly contained in the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ , for some  $l = l(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ ; and
- (2) there is an orthonormal sequence  $(\xi_k^m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}_k$  such that  $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|\pi_k(g)(\xi_k^m) - \xi_k^m\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $g \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (B_k, \tau_k)$  be the Gaussian action associated with  $\pi_k$  and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (B, \tau) := \bar{\otimes}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (B_k, \tau_k)$  be the diagonal product action. Define  $M = B \rtimes \Gamma$ .

Then the II<sub>1</sub> factor  $M$  does not admit a residual sequence of von Neumann subalgebras.

For the definition of Gaussian actions, we refer the reader to § 2.6. Next, we provide a class of examples to which Theorem A applies and discuss a connection with a problem posed in [23].

**Example 1.5.** Let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}_n$  be the free group on  $n \geq 2$  generators. Denote by  $|g|$  the word length of an element  $g \in \Gamma$  with respect to a fixed free set of generators. Let  $t > 0$ . By [15], the function  $\varphi_t : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\varphi_t(g) = e^{-t|g|}$  is positive definite. Let  $\rho_t : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_t)$  be the Gelfand Naimark Segal (GNS) orthogonal representation associated with  $\varphi_t$  and  $\xi_t \in \mathcal{H}_t$  such that  $\langle \rho_t(g)(\xi_t), \xi_t \rangle = \varphi_t(g)$ , for all  $g \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\tilde{\rho}_t = \rho_t \otimes \text{Id}_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_t \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$  be the direct sum of infinitely many copies of  $\rho_t$ .

Let  $(t_k)$  be any sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 and put  $\pi_k := \tilde{\rho}_{t_k} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_{t_k} \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ . Then the representations  $(\pi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem A. First, given  $t > 0$ , note that  $\varphi_t^l \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$ , and hence  $\rho_t^{\otimes l}$  is contained in a multiple of the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ , whenever  $l > \log(2n - 1)/(2t)$ . This implies that  $\pi_k^{\otimes l}$  is contained in a multiple of the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ , for some integer  $l = l(k) \geq 1$ . Second, note that the vectors  $\xi_k^m := \xi_{t_k} \otimes \delta_m \in \mathcal{H}_{t_k} \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  satisfy  $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|\pi_k(g)(\xi_k^m) - \xi_k^m\| = \sqrt{2(1 - \varphi_{t_k}(g))} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , for any  $g \in \Gamma$ .

**Remark 1.6.** Theorem A also sheds new light on a problem of Jones and Schmidt. In [23, Theorem 2.1], they proved that any ergodic but not strongly ergodic countable measure preserving equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  on a probability space  $(X, \mu)$  admits a hyperfinite quotient. More specifically, there exists an ergodic hyperfinite measure preserving equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{hyp}}$  on a probability space  $(Y, \nu)$  together with a factor map  $\pi : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  such that  $(\pi \times \pi)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}_{\text{hyp}}$ , almost everywhere. In [23, Problem 4.3], Jones and Schmidt asked whether there is always such a quotient with the additional property that  $\mathcal{R}_0 := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{R} \mid \pi(x_1) = \pi(x_2)\}$  is strongly ergodic on almost all of its ergodic components. If such a quotient exists, then following [21, Definition 1.3], we say that  $\mathcal{R}$  has the *Jones–Schmidt property*. If  $\mathcal{R}$  has the Jones–Schmidt property and we let  $M = L(\mathcal{R})$ ,  $A = L^\infty(X)$ , then there exists a decreasing sequence of von Neumann subalgebras  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $A$  such that  $M' \cap A^\omega = \bigcap_n B_n^\omega$  and  $B_{n+1} \subset B_n$  has finite index for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [21, Proposition 5.3 and the proof of Lemma 6.1]).

In [21, Theorems E and F], the authors settled in the negative [23, Problem 4.3] by providing examples of equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}$  without the Jones–Schmidt property. This was achieved by showing that for certain  $\mathcal{R}$ , in the above notation,  $M' \cap A^\omega$  is not equal to  $\bigcap_n B_n^\omega$ , for any decreasing sequence of von Neumann subalgebras  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $A$  with  $B_{n+1} \subset B_n$  of finite index for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Theorem A allows us to strengthen the negative solution to [23, Problem 4.3] given in [21]. More precisely, in the context of Theorem A, assume that  $\Gamma$  is not inner amenable and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the equivalence relation associated with the action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright B$ . Since  $M = L(\mathcal{R}) = B \rtimes \Gamma$  has no residual sequence by Theorem A, while  $M' \cap A^\omega = M' \cap M^\omega$  by [7], we deduce that  $M' \cap A^\omega$  cannot be written as  $\bigcap_n B_n^\omega$ , for any decreasing sequence  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of von Neumann subalgebras of  $A$ .

Our second main result shows that the conclusion of Theorem A also holds if we replace Gaussian by free Bogoljubov actions (see §2.6). Moreover, we establish the following stronger statement.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable non-inner amenable group. For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\pi_k : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_k)$  be an orthogonal representation such that*

- (1)  $\pi_k^{\otimes l}$  is weakly contained in the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ , for some  $l = l(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
- (2) there are orthogonal unit vectors  $\xi_k^1, \xi_k^2 \in \mathcal{H}_k$  such that  $\max_{m \in \{1,2\}} \|\pi_k(g)(\xi_k^m) - \xi_k^m\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $g \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (B_k, \tau_k)$  be the free Bogoljubov action associated with  $\pi_k$  and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (B, \tau) := \bar{\otimes}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (B_k, \tau_k)$  be the diagonal product action. Define  $M = B \rtimes \Gamma$ .

Then the  $II_1$  factor  $M$  does not admit a residual sequence of von Neumann subalgebras.

Moreover, there exists a separable von Neumann subalgebra  $P \subset M' \cap M^\omega$  such that there is no sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  satisfying  $P \subset \prod_\omega A_n \subset M' \cap M^\omega$ .

Since  $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}_n$  is not inner amenable for any  $n \geq 2$  and the representations  $(\pi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  from Example 1.5 satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem B, its conclusion holds for those examples. Moreover, in the notation from Example 1.5,  $\pi_k = \rho_{t_k} \oplus \rho_{t_k}$  also satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem B.

In order to put Theorem B into a better perspective and to contrast it with Theorem A, we note the following result.

**Proposition C.** *Let  $(M_n, \tau_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of tracial von Neumann algebras. Let  $P, Q$  be commuting separable von Neumann subalgebras of  $\prod_\omega M_n$ . Assume that  $P$  is amenable.*

*Then there exist commuting von Neumann subalgebras  $P_n, Q_n$  of  $M_n$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $P \subset \prod_\omega P_n$  and  $Q \subset \prod_\omega Q_n$ .*

Proposition C implies that for any tracial von Neumann algebra  $(M, \tau)$  and any separable amenable von Neumann subalgebra  $P \subset M' \cap M^\omega$ , there is a sequence  $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  such that  $P \subset \prod_\omega P_n$  and  $M \subset \prod_\omega (P_n' \cap M)$ , and, therefore,  $P \subset \prod_n P_n \subset M' \cap M^\omega$ . Consequently, the moreover part of Theorem B cannot hold if  $P$  is amenable. In particular, if  $M = B \rtimes \Gamma$  is as in Theorem A and  $\Gamma$  is not inner amenable, then  $M$  will not satisfy the moreover assertion of Theorem B. Indeed, in this case,  $M' \cap M^\omega$  is abelian, being a subalgebra of  $B^\omega$  by [7].

In recent years, there has been growing interest in the study of the notion of stability for groups (see the survey [44]). As a byproduct of the methods developed in this article, we obtain two applications to the notion of tracial stability for countable groups, formalized recently in [17] (see also [16]).

**Definition 1.7** [17, Definition 3]. A countable group  $\Gamma$  is  $W^*$ -tracially stable if for any sequence  $(M_n, \tau_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , of tracial von Neumann algebras and any homomorphism  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\prod_\omega M_n)$ , there exist homomorphisms  $\varphi_n : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(M_n)$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\varphi = (\varphi_n)_n$ .

The class of  $W^*$ -tracially stable groups contains all abelian and free groups as well as other classes of both amenable and non-amenable groups; see [17]. As an immediate consequence of Proposition C, we deduce that the class of  $W^*$ -tracially stable groups is closed under taking the direct product with a  $W^*$ -tracially stable amenable group. For the case of the direct product with an abelian group, this result is part of [17, Theorem 1].

**Corollary D.** *Let  $\Gamma$  and  $\Sigma$  be  $W^*$ -tracially stable groups. Assume that  $\Sigma$  is amenable. Then  $\Gamma \times \Sigma$  is  $W^*$ -tracially stable.*

In contrast to Corollary D, we show that the class of  $W^*$ -tracially stable groups is not closed under taking the direct product. More precisely, we prove that any direct product of non-abelian free groups is not  $W^*$ -tracially stable, thereby answering a question of Atkinson in the negative (see [1, Question 4.16]).

**Theorem E.**  $\mathbb{F}_l \times \mathbb{F}_m$  is not  $W^*$ -tracially stable, for any  $2 \leq l, m \leq +\infty$ .

Moreover, there exist a  $II_1$  factor  $M$  and a trace preserving  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : L(\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow M^\omega$  such that there is no sequence of homomorphisms  $\varphi_n : \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(M)$  satisfying  $\varphi|_{\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2} = (\varphi_n)_n$ .

### Structure of the paper

Besides the introduction, there are four other sections in this paper. In §2, we recall some preliminaries and prove a few useful lemmas needed in the remainder of the paper. In §3, inspired by Boutonnet’s work [2, 3], we prove a structural result concerning  $II_1$  factors associated with Gaussian and free Bogoljubov actions. In §4, this is used to prove Theorems A and B. Finally in §5, we prove Proposition C and use the established machinery from the previous sections to deduce Theorem E.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Tracial von Neumann algebras

We begin this section by recalling several notions and constructions involving tracial von Neumann algebras.

A *tracial von Neumann algebra*  $(M, \tau)$  is a von Neumann algebra  $M$  equipped with a faithful normal tracial state  $\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We denote by  $L^2(M)$  the completion of  $M$  with respect to the 2-norm  $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\tau(x^*x)}$  and consider the standard representation  $M \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(M))$ . We also denote by  $\mathcal{U}(M)$  the group of unitary elements of  $M$ , by  $(M)_1 = \{x \in M \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$  the unit ball of  $M$  and by  $\mathcal{Z}(M) = M \cap M'$  the center of  $M$ . It follows from von Neumann’s bicommutant theorem that a self-adjoint set  $S \subset M$  generates  $M$  as a von Neumann algebra if and only if  $S'' = M$ .

Let  $P \subset M$  be a unital von Neumann subalgebra. *Jones’ basic construction* of the inclusion  $P \subset M$  is defined as the von Neumann subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}(L^2(M))$  generated by  $M$  and the orthogonal projection  $e_P : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(P)$  and is denoted by  $\langle M, e_P \rangle$ . The basic construction  $\langle M, e_P \rangle$  carries a canonical semi-finite trace  $\hat{\tau}$  defined by  $\hat{\tau}(xe_Py) = \tau(xy)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$ . We further denote by  $E_P : M \rightarrow P$  the conditional expectation onto  $P$ , by  $P' \cap M = \{x \in M \mid xy = yx, \text{ for all } y \in P\}$  the *relative commutant of  $P$  in  $M$*  and by  $\mathcal{N}_M(P) = \{u \in \mathcal{U}(M) \mid uPu^* = P\}$  the *normalizer of  $P$  in  $M$* . We say that  $P$  is *regular* in  $M$  if  $\mathcal{N}_M(P)$  generates  $M$  as a von Neumann algebra.

Any trace preserving action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright^\sigma (M, \tau)$  extends to a unitary representation  $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(M))$  called the *Koopman representation of  $\sigma$* .

Let  $\omega$  be a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Consider the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M) = \{(x_n) \in M^\mathbb{N} \mid \sup \|x_n\| < \infty\}$  together with its closed ideal  $\mathcal{I} = \{(x_n) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n\|_2 = 0\}$ . Then  $M^\omega := \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)/\mathcal{I}$  is a tracial von Neumann algebra, called the *ultrapower* of

$M$ , whose canonical trace is given by  $\tau_\omega(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \tau(x_n)$ , for all  $x = (x_n) \in M^\omega$ . If  $(M_n)_n$  is a sequence of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$ , then their *ultraproduct*, denoted by  $\prod_\omega M_n$ , can be realized as the von Neumann subalgebra of  $M^\omega$  consisting of  $x = (x_n)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n - E_{M_n}(x_n)\|_2 = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(M, \tau)$  be a tracial von Neumann algebra and  $(A_n)_n$  be a sequence of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  such that  $\prod_\omega A_n \subset M' \cap M^\omega$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x - E_{A_n \cap M}(x)\|_2 = 0$ , for every  $x \in M$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in M$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can find  $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(A_n)$  such that  $\|x - u_n x u_n^*\|_2 \geq \|x - E_{A_n \cap M}(x)\|_2$  (see, e.g., the proof of [21, Theorem 2.5]). Since  $(u_n) \in \prod_\omega A_n$  and  $\prod_\omega A_n \subset M' \cap M^\omega$ , we get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x - u_n x u_n^*\|_2 = 0$  and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x - E_{A_n \cap M}(x)\|_2 = 0$ .  $\square$

### 2.2. Hilbert bimodules

Let  $(M_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(M_2, \tau_2)$  be two tracial von Neumann algebras. An  $M_1$ - $M_2$ -bimodule is a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  endowed with two normal, commuting  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\pi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\pi_2 : M_2^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . We define a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi_{\mathcal{H}} : M_1 \otimes M_2^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  by  $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(x \otimes y^{\text{op}}) = \pi_1(x)\pi_2(y^{\text{op}})$  and write  $x\xi y = \pi_1(x)\pi_2(y^{\text{op}})\xi$ , for all  $x \in M_1, y \in M_2$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ . We also write  ${}_M \mathcal{H}_N$  to indicate that  $\mathcal{H}$  is an  $M_1$ - $M_2$ -bimodule. Examples of bimodules include the *trivial*  $M_1$ -bimodule  ${}_M L^2(M_1)_M$  and the *coarse*  $M_1$ - $M_2$ -bimodule  ${}_M L^2(M_1) \otimes L^2(M_2)_M$ .

Next, we recall a few notions and constructions involving bimodules (see [9, Appendix B] and [33]). If  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  are  $M_1$ - $M_2$ -bimodules, we say that  $\mathcal{H}$  is *weakly contained* in  $\mathcal{K}$  and write  $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}$  if  $\|\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(T)\| \leq \|\pi_{\mathcal{K}}(T)\|$ , for all  $T \in M_1 \otimes M_2^{\text{op}}$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is an  $M_1$ - $M_2$ -bimodule and  $\mathcal{K}$  is an  $M_2$ - $M_3$ -bimodule, then the *Connes fusion tensor product* of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is an  $M_1$ - $M_3$ -bimodule denoted by  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{M_2} \mathcal{K}$ . If  $\Phi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  is a unital normal completely positive map, then there is a unique  $M_1$ - $M_2$ -bimodule, denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_\Phi$ , with a unit vector  $\xi_\Phi \in \mathcal{H}_\Phi$  such that  $M_1 \xi_\Phi M_2$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_\Phi$  and  $\langle x \xi_\Phi y, \xi_\Phi \rangle = \tau_2(\Phi(x)y)$ , for all  $x \in M_1$  and  $y \in M_2$ . The next result analyzes the Connes fusion tensor product of bimodules associated with completely positive maps.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\Phi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  and  $\Psi : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$  be unital normal completely positive maps, where  $(M_1, \tau_1), (M_2, \tau_2), (M_3, \tau_3)$  are tracial von Neumann algebras. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *The  $M_1$ - $M_3$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H}_{\Psi \circ \text{Ad}(u) \circ \Phi}$  is isomorphic to a sub-bimodule of  $\mathcal{H}_\Phi \otimes_{M_2} \mathcal{H}_\Psi$ , for every  $u \in \mathcal{U}(M_2)$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a set of unitaries in  $M_2$  whose span is  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -dense in  $M_2$ , then the  $M_1$ - $M_3$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H}_\Phi \otimes_{M_2} \mathcal{H}_\Psi$  is isomorphic to a sub-bimodule of  $\bigoplus_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{H}_{\Psi \circ \text{Ad}(u) \circ \Phi}$ .*

**Proof.** For  $u \in \mathcal{U}(M_2)$ , we denote  $\eta_u := \xi_\Phi u^* \otimes_{M_2} \xi_\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\Phi \otimes_{M_2} \mathcal{H}_\Psi$ . Following [33, § 1.3.1], for every  $x \in M_1, y \in M_3$ , we have that

$$\langle x \eta_u y, \eta_u \rangle = \langle x \xi_\Phi u^* \otimes_{M_2} \xi_\Psi y, \xi_\Phi u^* \otimes_{M_2} \xi_\Psi \rangle = \langle x \xi_\Phi u^* p, \xi_\Phi u^* \rangle = \tau_2(\Phi(x)u^* p u),$$



where  $p \in M_2$  is such that  $\tau_2(zp) = \langle z\xi_\Psi y, \xi_\Psi \rangle = \tau_3(\Psi(z)y)$ , for all  $z \in M_2$ . Thus, for all  $x \in M_1, y \in M_3$ , we have that  $\langle x\eta_u y, \eta_u \rangle = \tau_2(u\Phi(x)u^*p) = \tau_3(\Psi(u\Phi(x)u^*)y)$ . This shows that the  $M_1$ - $M_3$ -bimodule  $\overline{M_1\eta_u M_3}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}_{\Psi \circ \text{Ad}(u) \circ \Phi}$  and proves the first assertion of the lemma.

Finally, note that if the span of  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}(M_2)$  is  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -dense in  $M_2$ , then the span of  $\{\overline{M_1\eta_u M_3} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_\Phi \otimes_{M_2} \mathcal{H}_\Psi$ . This implies the second assertion.  $\square$

### 2.3. Intertwining by bimodules

We next recall from [35, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3] the powerful *intertwining-by-bimodules* technique of Popa.

**Theorem 2.3** [35]. *Let  $(M, \tau)$  be a tracial von Neumann algebra and  $P \subset pMp, Q \subset qMq$  be unital von Neumann subalgebras, for some projections  $p, q \in M$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- *There exist projections  $p_0 \in P, q_0 \in Q$ , a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\theta : p_0 P p_0 \rightarrow q_0 Q q_0$  and a non-zero partial isometry  $v \in q_0 M p_0$  such that  $\theta(x)v = vx$ , for all  $x \in p_0 P p_0$ .*
- *There is no net  $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(P)$  satisfying  $\|E_Q(x^* u_n y)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $x, y \in pMq$ .*
- *There exists a non-zero projection  $f \in P' \cap \langle M, e_Q \rangle$  with  $\hat{\tau}(f) < \infty$ .*

*If one of these conditions holds true, then we write  $P \prec_M Q$  and say that a corner of  $P$  embeds into  $Q$  inside  $M$ . If  $Pp' \prec_M Q$  for any non-zero projection  $p' \in P' \cap pMp$ , then we write  $P \prec_M^s Q$ .*

### 2.4. Amenability

A tracial von Neumann algebra  $(M, \tau)$  is called *amenable* if there exists a positive linear functional  $\varphi : \mathbb{B}(L^2(M)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\varphi|_M = \tau$  and  $\varphi$  is *M-central*, in the following sense:  $\varphi(xT) = \varphi(Tx)$ , for all  $x \in M$  and  $T \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(M))$ . Equivalently,  $(M, \tau)$  is amenable if  ${}_M L^2(M)_M$  is weakly contained in  ${}_M L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)_M$ . By Connes' celebrated classification of amenable factors [8],  $M$  is amenable if and only if it is approximately finite dimensional.

Next, we recall the notion of relative amenability introduced by Ozawa and Popa. Let  $p \in M$  be a projection and  $P \subset pMp, Q \subset M$  be von Neumann subalgebras. Following [31, §2.2], we say that  $P$  is *amenable relative to  $Q$  inside  $M$*  if there exists a positive linear functional  $\varphi : p(M, e_Q)p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\varphi|_{pMp} = \tau$  and  $\varphi$  is *P-central*.

As shown in [11, Lemma 2.7], relative amenability is closed under inductive limits. Here we establish the following generalization of this result, which we will need later on. Given a set  $I$ , we denote by  $\lim_n$  a state on  $\ell^\infty(I)$  which extends the usual limit.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(M, \tau)$  be a tracial von Neumann algebra and  $P, Q \subset M$  be von Neumann subalgebras. Assume that  $P_n \subset M, n \in I$ , is a net of von Neumann subalgebras such that  $\|E_{P_n}(x) - x\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $x \in P$ , and  $p_n \in P'_n \cap M$  are projections such that  $P_n p_n$  is amenable relative to  $Q$  inside  $M$ , for every  $n \in I$ . Then there exists a projection  $p \in P' \cap M$  such that  $Pp$  is amenable relative to  $Q$  inside  $M$  and  $\tau(p) \geq \lim_n \tau(p_n)$ .*



**Proof.** We may clearly assume that  $c := \lim_n \tau(p_n) > 0$  and  $\tau(p_n) > 0$ , for every  $n$ . For every  $n$ , let  $\varphi_n : p_n \langle M, e_Q \rangle p_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a  $P_n p_n$ -central positive linear functional such that  $\varphi_n|_{p_n M p_n} = \tau$ . The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies that  $|\varphi_n(p_n T x p_n)| \leq \sqrt{\varphi_n(p_n T T^* p_n) \varphi_n(p_n x^* x p_n)} \leq \|T\| \|x\|_2$  and, similarly, that  $|\varphi_n(p_n x T p_n)| \leq \|T\| \|x\|_2$ , for all  $x \in M, T \in \langle M, e_Q \rangle$ .

We define a state  $\varphi : \langle M, e_Q \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by letting

$$\varphi(T) = \lim_n \frac{\varphi_n(p_n T p_n)}{\tau(p_n)}, \quad \text{for every } T \in \langle M, e_Q \rangle.$$

We claim that  $\varphi$  is  $P$ -central. To this end, let  $x \in P, T \in \langle M, e_Q \rangle$  and  $n \in I$ . Since  $\varphi_n$  is  $P_n p_n$ -central,  $\varphi_n(p_n T E_{P_n}(x) p_n) = \varphi_n(p_n E_{P_n}(x) T p_n)$ , and, thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_n(p_n T x p_n) - \varphi_n(p_n x T p_n)| &\leq |\varphi_n(p_n T (x - E_{P_n}(x)) p_n)| + |\varphi_n(p_n (x - E_{P_n}(x)) T p_n)| \\ &\leq 2\|T\| \|x - E_{P_n}(x)\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|x - E_{P_n}(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  and  $\lim_n \tau(p_n) > 0$ , we get that  $\varphi(Tx) = \varphi(xT)$ , and the claim is proven.

Finally, note that  $\varphi|_M \leq \frac{1}{c} \tau$ . Thus, we can find  $y \in P' \cap M$  such that  $0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{c}$  and  $\varphi(x) = \tau(xy)$ , for all  $x \in M$ . Let  $p \in P' \cap M$  be the support projection of  $y$ . Then  $y \leq \frac{1}{c} p$ ; hence,  $\tau(p) \geq c\tau(y) = c$ . Since the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $p(P' \cap M)p$  is faithful, [31, Theorem 2.1] implies that  $Pp$  is amenable relative to  $Q$  inside  $M$ , which finishes the proof. □

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $(M, \tau)$  and  $(N, \tau')$  be tracial von Neumann algebras. Assume that there exists a net of von Neumann subalgebras  $P_n \subset M, n \in I$ , and trace preserving  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\pi_n : N \rightarrow M$  such that  $\|\pi_n(x) - E_{P_n}(\pi_n(x))\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $x \in N$ . For  $n \in I$ , let  $p_n \in P'_n \cap M$  be a projection such that  $P_n p_n$  is amenable. Then there is a projection  $z \in \mathcal{Z}(N)$  such that  $Nz$  is amenable and  $\tau(z) \geq \lim_n \tau(p_n)$ . In particular, if  $P_n$  is amenable for every  $n$ , then  $N$  is amenable.*

**Proof.** For every  $n$ , let  $M_n = M$  and view  $P_n$  and  $N$  as subalgebras of  $M_n$  via the identity map and  $\pi_n$ , respectively. If we put  $\tilde{M} = *_{N, n \in I} M_n$ , then we have  $\|E_{P_n}(x) - x\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $x \in N$ . Since  $P_n p_n$  is amenable for every  $n$ , Lemma 2.4 implies the existence of a projection  $p \in N' \cap \tilde{M}$  such that  $Np$  is amenable and  $\tau(p) \geq \lim_n \tau(p_n)$ . Thus, if  $z$  is the support projection of  $E_{\mathcal{Z}(N)}(p)$ , then  $Nz$  is amenable. Since  $z \geq p$ , we have that  $\tau(z) \geq \tau(p)$ , which finishes the proof. □

The next lemma, which appears to be of independent interest, provides general conditions which guarantee that if  $P$  is amenable relative to a decreasing net of subalgebras  $Q_n$ , then  $P$  is amenable relative to their intersection,  $\bigcap_n Q_n$ . More generally, we have the following.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $(M, \tau)$  be a tracial von Neumann algebra and  $Q \subset M$  a von Neumann subalgebra. Assume that there exist nets of von Neumann subalgebras  $Q_n, M_n \subset M$  such that*

- (1)  $Q \subset M_n \cap Q_n$  and  $Q_n L^2(M)_{M_n} \subset_{weak} Q_n L^2(Q_n) \otimes_Q L^2(M_n)_{M_n}$ , for every  $n$ ;
- (2)  $\|x - E_{M_n}(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $x \in M$ .

If  $P \subset M$  is a von Neumann subalgebra which is amenable relative to  $Q_n$  inside  $M$ , for every  $n$ , then  $P$  is amenable relative to  $Q$  inside  $M$ .

Lemma 2.6 applies, in particular, if there exists  $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(M)$  such that  $u_n P u_n^* \subset Q_n$  or, more generally, if  $P \prec_M^s Q_n$ , for every  $n$ . Indeed, by [11, Lemma 2.6(3)], the latter condition implies that  $P$  is amenable relative to  $Q_n$  inside  $M$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $P$  is amenable relative to  $Q_n$ , for every  $n$ . Then [31, Theorem 2.1] gives that  ${}_P L^2(M)_M \subset_{\text{weak}} {}_P L^2(M) \otimes_{Q_n} L^2(M)_M$ , and, thus,  ${}_P L^2(M)_{M_n} \subset_{\text{weak}} {}_P L^2(M) \otimes_{Q_n} L^2(M)_{M_n}$ , for every  $n$ . Since  $Q_n L^2(M)_{M_n} \subset_{\text{weak}} Q_n L^2(Q_n) \otimes_Q L^2(M_n)_{M_n}$ , we further get that  ${}_P L^2(M)_{M_n} \subset_{\text{weak}} {}_P L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M_n)_{M_n}$ , and, thus,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_P L^2(M) \otimes_{M_n} L^2(M)_M &\subset_{\text{weak}} {}_P L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M_n) \otimes_{M_n} L^2(M)_M \\ &= {}_P L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M)_M, \quad \text{for every } n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\|x - E_{M_n}(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $x \in M$ , we have

$${}_P L^2(M)_M \subset_{\text{weak}} \bigoplus_n {}_P L^2(M) \otimes_{M_n} L^2(M)_M.$$

By combining the last two displayed inclusions, we get that  ${}_P L^2(M)_M \subset_{\text{weak}} {}_P L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M)_M$ , and, therefore,  $P$  is amenable relative to  $Q$  inside  $M$ . □

**Remark 2.7.** Several weaker versions of particular cases of Lemma 2.6 have been observed before. Indeed, conditions (1) and (2) from Lemma 2.6 are satisfied in the following two cases:

- (a)  $M = *_{Q, k \in \mathbb{N}} M_k$  is an amalgamated free product of tracial von Neumann algebras  $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  over a common subalgebra  $Q$ ,  $Q_n = *_{Q, k \geq n} M_k$  and  $M_n = *_{Q, k < n} M_k$ .
- (b)  $M = (\bar{\otimes}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M_k) \bar{\otimes} Q$  is an infinite tensor product of tracial von Neumann algebras  $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $Q$ ,  $Q_n = (\bar{\otimes}_{k \geq n} M_k) \bar{\otimes} Q$  and  $M_n = (\bar{\otimes}_{k < n} M_k) \bar{\otimes} Q$ .

Lemma 2.6 was first noticed by the first author in case (a) under the assumption that  $P$  can be unitarily conjugated into  $Q_n$  and extended in [19, Proposition 4.2] to cover the more general assumption that  $P \prec_M^s Q_n$ . When  $Q = \mathbb{C}1$ , the latter result was also noticed by Boutonnet and Vaes (personal communication), whose proof inspired our Lemma 2.6. In case (b), weaker versions of Lemma 2.6 were obtained in [22, Lemma 4.4] and [5, Proposition 2.7].

### 2.5. Malleable deformations

In [34, 35], Popa introduced the notion of an *s-malleable deformation* of a von Neumann algebra. In combination with his powerful *deformation/rigidity* techniques, this notion has led to remarkable progress in the theory of von Neumann algebras (see, e.g., [20, 38, 45]). *S*-malleable deformations will also play an important role in this paper.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $(M, \tau)$  be a tracial von Neumann algebra. We say that a triple  $(\tilde{M}, (\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \beta)$  is an *s-malleable deformation* of  $M$  if the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\tau})$  is a tracial von Neumann algebra such that  $\tilde{M} \supset M$  and  $\tilde{\tau}|_M = \tau$ ;
- (2)  $(\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \text{Aut}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\tau})$  is a one-parameter group with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2 = 0$ , for all  $x \in \tilde{M}$ .
- (3)  $\beta \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\tau})$  satisfies  $\beta^2 = \text{Id}_{\tilde{M}}$ ,  $\beta\alpha_t\beta^{-1} = \alpha_{-t}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\beta(x) = x$ , for all  $x \in M$ .

As established in [36],  $s$ -malleable deformations have the following ‘transversality’ property.

**Lemma 2.9** [36, Lemma 2.1]. *For any  $x \in M$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have*

$$\|x - \alpha_{2t}(x)\|_2 \leq 2 \|\alpha_t(x) - E_M(\alpha_t(x))\|_2.$$

### 2.6. Gaussian and free Bogoljubov actions

We next discuss two kinds of actions that will play a crucial role in this paper, Gaussian and free Bogoljubov actions. Below we describe one possible construction of these actions, following [32] and [47]. For further properties of Gaussian and free Bogoljubov actions, we refer the reader to [3] and [18], respectively.

For the remainder of the preliminaries, we fix an orthogonal representation  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$  of a countable group  $\Gamma$  on a real Hilbert space  $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $H = H_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  be the complexified Hilbert space,  $H^{\otimes n}$  its  $n$ th tensor power and  $H^{\odot n}$  its symmetric  $n$ th tensor power. The latter is the closed subspace of  $H^{\otimes n}$  spanned by vectors of the form

$$\xi_1 \odot \cdots \odot \xi_n := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \xi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_{\sigma(n)},$$

with the inner product normalized such that  $\|\xi\|_{H^{\odot n}}^2 = n! \|\xi\|_{H^{\otimes n}}^2$ . We then consider the symmetric Fock space

$$\mathcal{S}(H) := \mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} H^{\odot n},$$

where the unit vector  $\Omega$  is the so-called *vacuum vector*. Any vector  $\xi \in H$  gives rise to an unbounded operator  $\ell_{\xi}$  on  $\mathcal{S}(H)$ , the so-called *left creation operator*, defined by

$$\ell_{\xi}(\Omega) = \xi, \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{\xi}(\xi_1 \odot \cdots \odot \xi_n) = \xi \odot \xi_1 \odot \cdots \odot \xi_n.$$

Denoting  $s(\xi) = \ell_{\xi} + \ell_{\xi}^*$ , one checks that the operators  $\{s(\xi)\}_{\xi \in H}$  commute. Moreover, one can show [32] that with respect to the vacuum state  $\langle \cdot, \Omega \rangle$ , they can be regarded as independent random variables with Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, \|\xi\|^2)$ .

Consider the abelian von Neumann algebra  $A_{\pi} \subset B(\mathcal{S}(H))$  generated by all operators of the form

$$\omega(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) := \exp(i\pi s(\xi_1) \dots s(\xi_n)),$$

together with the trace  $\tau = \langle \cdot, \Omega \rangle$ . Any orthogonal operator  $T \in \mathcal{O}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$  can also be viewed as a unitary operator on its complexification  $H$  and gives rise to a unitary operator on  $\mathcal{S}(H)$ , which we will still denote by  $T$ , defined by

$$T(\Omega) = \Omega, \quad \text{and} \quad T(\xi_1 \odot \cdots \odot \xi_n) = (T\xi_1) \odot \cdots \odot (T\xi_n).$$

One then checks that  $T\omega(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)T^* = \omega(T\xi_1, \dots, T\xi_n)$ ; hence,  $T$  normalizes  $A_\pi$ . Since  $T(\Omega) = \Omega$ ,  $\text{Ad}(T)$  is a trace preserving automorphism of  $A_\pi$ .

**Definition 2.10.** The *Gaussian action* associated with  $\pi$  is the action  $\sigma = \sigma_\pi : \Gamma \curvearrowright (A_\pi, \tau)$  defined by  $\sigma_g = \text{Ad}(\pi(g))$ , for every  $g \in \Gamma$ .

One can easily check that the unitaries  $\omega(\xi)$  satisfy the properties  $\omega(0) = 1$ ,  $\omega(\xi + \eta) = \omega(\xi)\omega(\eta)$ ,  $\tau(\omega(\xi)) = \exp(-\|\xi\|^2)$  and  $\sigma_g(\omega(\xi)) = \omega(\pi(g)\xi)$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in H$ ,  $g \in \Gamma$ . This, in fact, gives an equivalent description of the Gaussian action (see [46]).

The free Bogoljubov action arises in a similar way using the *full Fock space*

$$\mathcal{F}(H) := \mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} H^{\otimes n}.$$

We consider the *left creation operator*  $L_\xi$  associated with  $\xi \in H$  defined by

$$L_\xi(\Omega) = \xi, \quad \text{and} \quad L_\xi(\xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_n) = \xi \otimes \xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_n.$$

Putting  $W(\xi) = L_\xi + L_\xi^*$ , one can show [47] that the distribution of the self-adjoint operator  $W(\xi)$  with respect to the vacuum state  $\langle \cdot, \Omega \rangle$  is the semicircular law supported on  $[-2\|\xi\|, 2\|\xi\|]$  and that for any orthogonal set of vectors from  $H_\mathbb{R}$ , the associated family of operators is freely independent with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \Omega \rangle$ .

Denote by  $\Gamma(H_\mathbb{R})''$  the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\{W(\xi) \mid \xi \in H_\mathbb{R}\}$ . Then  $\Gamma(H_\mathbb{R})''$  is isomorphic to the free group factor  $L(\mathbb{F}_{\dim(H_\mathbb{R})})$ . Moreover,  $\tau = \langle \cdot, \Omega \rangle$  is a normal faithful trace on  $\Gamma(H_\mathbb{R})''$ . As for the symmetric Fock space, any operator  $T \in \mathcal{O}(H_\mathbb{R})$  induces an operator  $T \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}(H))$ , satisfying  $\text{Ad}(T)(W(\xi)) = W(T\xi)$ .

**Definition 2.11.** The *free Bogoljubov action* associated with  $\pi$  is the action  $\rho = \rho_\pi : \Gamma \curvearrowright (\Gamma(H_\mathbb{R})'', \tau)$  defined by  $\rho_g = \text{Ad}(\pi(g))$ , for every  $g \in \Gamma$ .

Since  $\overline{\Gamma(H_\mathbb{R})''\Omega} = \mathcal{F}(H)$ , the Koopman representation associated with  $\rho$  of  $\Gamma$  on  $L^2(\Gamma(H_\mathbb{R})'')$  is isomorphic to the representation of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ . This implies the following fact which will be needed later on.

**Lemma 2.12.** Denote by  $\rho_0$  the restriction of the Koopman representation of  $\rho$  to  $L^2(\Gamma(H_\mathbb{R})'') \ominus \mathbb{C}1$ . If  $\pi^{\otimes k}$  is weakly contained in the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\rho_0^{\otimes k}$  is weakly contained in the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ .

**2.7. Deformations associated with Gaussian and free Bogoljubov actions**

We will now recall the construction of  $s$ -malleable deformations of the crossed product von Neumann algebras associated with the above actions. On  $H_\mathbb{R} \oplus H_\mathbb{R}$ , consider the orthogonal operators

$$A_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}t) & -\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t) \\ \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t) & \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that, canonically,  $A_{\pi \oplus \pi} \cong A_\pi \bar{\otimes} A_\pi$  and  $\Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus H_{\mathbb{R}})'' \cong \Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}})'' * \Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}})''$ . Under these identifications, we have that  $\sigma_{\pi \oplus \pi} \cong \sigma_\pi \otimes \sigma_\pi$  and  $\rho_{\pi \oplus \pi} \cong \rho_\pi * \rho_\pi$ , respectively. Associated with the operators  $A_t$  and  $B$ , we get automorphisms

$$\alpha_t := \text{Ad}(A_t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \text{Ad}(B)$$

of  $A_\pi \bar{\otimes} A_\pi$  and  $\Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}})'' * \Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}})''$ , respectively. Since  $A_t$  and  $B$  commute with  $\pi \oplus \pi$ , it follows that  $\alpha_t$  and  $\beta$  commute with  $\sigma_\pi \otimes \sigma_\pi$  and  $\rho_\pi * \rho_\pi$ , respectively.

- For the Gaussian action, let  $M = A_\pi \rtimes \Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{M} = (A_\pi \bar{\otimes} A_\pi) \rtimes \Gamma$ , and view  $M$  as a subalgebra of  $\tilde{M}$  via  $M \cong (A_\pi \bar{\otimes} 1) \rtimes \Gamma$ . By the discussion above, the automorphisms  $\alpha_t$  and  $\beta$  of  $A_\pi \bar{\otimes} A_\pi$  extend to automorphisms of  $\tilde{M}$  by letting  $\alpha_t(u_g) = \beta(u_g) = u_g$ , for all  $g \in \Gamma$ .
- For the free Bogoljubov action, let  $M = \Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}})'' \rtimes \Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{M} = (\Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}})'' * \Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}})'' ) \rtimes \Gamma$ , and view  $M$  as a subalgebra of  $\tilde{M}$  via  $M \cong (\Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}})'' * 1) \rtimes \Gamma$ . By the discussion above, the automorphisms  $\alpha_t$  and  $\beta$  of  $\Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}})'' * \Gamma(H_{\mathbb{R}})''$  extend to automorphisms of  $\tilde{M}$  by letting  $\alpha_t(u_g) = \beta(u_g) = u_g$ , for all  $g \in \Gamma$ .

In both cases, it is easy to check that  $(\tilde{M}, (\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \beta)$  is an  $s$ -malleable deformation of  $M$ .

### 3. Spectral gap rigidity

This section is devoted to the following rigidity result and its Corollary 3.2.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(M, \tau)$  be a tracial von Neumann algebra and  $N, P \subset M$  be von Neumann subalgebras. Assume that there exists an  $s$ -malleable deformation  $(\tilde{M}, (\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \beta)$  such that*

- (1) *The  $M$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$  has the property that  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}$  is weakly contained in the bimodule  $L^2(M) \otimes_N L^2(M)$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*
- (2) *The  $M$ -bimodule  $L^2(\tilde{M})$  with the bimodular structure given by  $x \cdot \xi \cdot y = x\xi\alpha_1(y)$ , for every  $x, y \in M, \xi \in L^2(\tilde{M})$ , is contained in a multiple of the bimodule  $L^2(M) \otimes_P L^2(M)$ .*

*Let  $Q \subset M$  be a von Neumann subalgebra such that  $Qp$  is not amenable relative to  $N$  inside  $M$ , for any non-zero projection  $p \in Q' \cap M$ . Then  $Q' \cap M \prec_M^s P$ .*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 relies on Popa’s deformation/rigidity theory and notably uses his spectral gap rigidity principle introduced in [36, 37]. Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 were inspired by Boutonnet’s work (see [2] and [3, Chapter II]), whose exposition we follow closely. Finally, we note that condition (1) in Theorem 3.1 was first considered by Sinclair in [43].

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable group and  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$  be an orthogonal representation. Assume that  $\pi^{\otimes k}$  is weakly contained in the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (C, \tau)$  be either the Gaussian action or the free Bogoljubov action associated with  $\pi$ . Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (D, \rho)$  be a trace preserving action on a tracial von Neumann algebra  $D$ , consider the diagonal product action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (C \bar{\otimes} D, \tau \otimes \rho)$ , and denote  $M = (C \bar{\otimes} D) \rtimes \Gamma$ .*

Let  $Q \subset M$  be a von Neumann subalgebra such that  $Qp$  is not amenable relative to  $D$  inside  $M$ , for any non-zero projection  $p \in Q' \cap M$ . Then  $Q' \cap M \prec_M^s D \rtimes \Gamma$ .

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

**Lemma 3.3** [2]. Let  $(\tilde{M}, \tau)$  be a tracial von Neumann algebra and  $N \subset M \subset \tilde{M}$  be von Neumann subalgebras. Assume that the  $M$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$  has the property that  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}$  is weakly contained in the bimodule  $L^2(M) \otimes_N L^2(M)$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $Q \subset M$  be a von Neumann subalgebra such that  $Qp$  is not amenable relative to  $N$  inside  $M$ , for any non-zero projection  $p \in Q' \cap M$ . Then  $Q' \cap \tilde{M}^\omega \subset M^\omega$ . In particular,  $Q' \cap \tilde{M} \subset M$ .

**Proof.** The proof of [2, Lemma 2.3], which applies verbatim for  $N = \mathbb{C}1$ , works in general. □

The following lemma is a standard application of Popa’s spectral gap rigidity principle.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $(M, \tau)$  be a tracial von Neumann algebra and  $N \subset M$  be a von Neumann subalgebra. Assume that there exists an  $s$ -malleable deformation  $(\tilde{M}, (\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \beta)$  such that the  $M$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$  has the property that  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}$  is weakly contained in the bimodule  $L^2(M) \otimes_N L^2(M)$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $Q \subset M$  be a von Neumann subalgebra such that  $Qp$  is not amenable relative to  $N$  inside  $M$ , for any non-zero projection  $p \in Q' \cap M$ . Then  $\alpha_t$  converges uniformly on  $(Q' \cap M)_1$ .

**Proof.** Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $Q' \cap \tilde{M}^\omega \subset M^\omega$  by Lemma 3.3, there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in Q$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $y \in (\tilde{M})_1$ :

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \|[y, x_i]\|_2 \leq \delta \implies \|y - E_M(y)\|_2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Taking  $t > 0$  such that  $\|\alpha_s(x_i) - x_i\|_2 \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and all  $s \in [0, t]$ , we get for any  $x \in (Q' \cap M)_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha_s(x)x_i - x_i\alpha_s(x)\|_2 &= \|x\alpha_{-s}(x_i) - \alpha_{-s}(x_i)x\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|x\| \|\alpha_{-s}(x_i) - x_i\|_2 + \|xx_i - x_ix\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\|\alpha_s(x_i) - x_i\|_2 \\ &\leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all  $s \in [0, t]$  and  $x \in (Q' \cap M)_1$ , we have  $\|\alpha_s(x) - E_M(\alpha_s(x))\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ , and, thus, by Lemma 2.9,  $\|\alpha_{2s}(x) - x\|_2 \leq 2\varepsilon$ . It follows that  $\alpha_t$  converges uniformly on  $(Q' \cap M)_1$ . □

**Lemma 3.5.** Assume the setting of Lemma 3.4 and let  $p \in (Q' \cap M)' \cap M$  be a non-zero projection. Then there is a non-zero element  $a_1 \in p\tilde{M}\alpha_1(p)$  such that  $xa_1 = a_1\alpha_1(x)$  for all  $x \in (Q' \cap M)p$ .

**Proof.** We follow closely the proof of [35, Theorem 4.1]. Put  $D = Q' \cap M$  and fix a projection  $p \in D' \cap M$ .

**Claim 1.** For any  $t > 0$  small enough, there exists a non-zero element  $a_t \in p\tilde{M}\alpha_t(p)$  such that  $a_t = ua_t\alpha_t(u^*)$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}(Dp)$ .

**Proof of Claim 1.** By Lemma 3.4,  $\alpha_t \rightarrow \text{id}$  uniformly on  $(Dp)_1$ , as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, for any  $t > 0$  small enough, we have that  $\|u - \alpha_t(u)\|_2^2 \leq \tau(p)$ , and, hence,

$$\Re\tau(u\alpha_t(u^*)) \geq \frac{\tau(p)}{2}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{U}(Dp). \tag{3.1}$$

Consider the unique element  $a_t$  of minimal  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -norm in the  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -closure of the convex hull of the set  $\{u\alpha_t(u^*) \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(Dp)\}$ . By uniqueness, we have  $a_t = ua_t\alpha_t(u^*)$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}(Dp)$ . Moreover, by (3.1), we get  $\Re\tau(a_t) \geq \frac{\tau(p)}{2} > 0$ ; hence,  $a_t \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.** Let  $t > 0$  and  $a_t \in p\tilde{M}\alpha_t(p)$  be a non-zero element such that  $a_t = ua_t\alpha_t(u^*)$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}(Dp)$ . Then there exists  $b \in Q$  such that  $a_{2t} := \alpha_t(\beta(a_t^*)ba_t) \neq 0$ . Moreover,  $a_{2t} \in p\tilde{M}\alpha_{2t}(p)$  satisfies  $a_{2t} = ua_{2t}\alpha_{2t}(u^*)$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}(Dp)$ .

**Proof of Claim 2.** To prove the first part of the claim, assume that  $\alpha_t(\beta(a_t^*)ba_t) = 0$ , and, thus,  $\beta(a_t^*)ba_t = 0$ , for all  $b \in Q$ . Thus, if we let  $r = a_t a_t^* \in \tilde{M}$ , then since  $\beta(u_1^*) = u_1^*$ , we get that

$$\beta(u_1 r u_1^*) u_2 r u_2^* = \beta(u_1 a_t) (\beta(a_t^*) u_1^* u_2 a_t) (a_t^* u_2^*) = 0, \quad \text{for all } u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}(Q). \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $s$  be the element of minimal  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -norm in the  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -closure of the convex hull of the set  $\{u r u^* \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(Q)\}$ . Since  $\tau(s) = \tau(r) > 0$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we get that  $s \neq 0$  and further that  $s^2 \neq 0$ . By uniqueness, we have that  $s \in Q' \cap \tilde{M}$  and since  $Q' \cap \tilde{M} \subset M$  by Lemma 3.3, we conclude that  $s \in M$ . By combining the last two facts, we get that  $\beta(s)s = s^2 \neq 0$ . This, however, contradicts (3.2) which implies that  $\beta(s)s = 0$ . The moreover assertion is now a straightforward calculation.  $\square$

By Claim 1, its conclusion holds for  $t = 2^{-k}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using Claim 2 and induction, we then find  $0 \neq a_1 \in p\tilde{M}\alpha_1(p)$  such that  $a_1 = ua_1\alpha_1(u^*)$ , for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}(Dp)$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let  $p \in (Q' \cap M)' \cap M$  be a non-zero projection. We need to show that  $(Q' \cap M)p \prec_M P$ . By Lemma 3.5, we can find  $0 \neq a_1 \in p\tilde{M}\alpha_1(p)$  such that  $xa_1 = a_1\alpha_1(x)$  for all  $x \in (Q' \cap M)p$ . Thus, the  $pMp$ -bimodule  ${}_pMpL^2(\tilde{M})_{\alpha_1(pMp)}$  contains a non-zero  $(Q' \cap M)p$ -central vector. Since this bimodule is contained in a multiple of  $pL^2(M) \otimes_P L^2(M)p$  by assumption (2), we get that  $pL^2(M) \otimes_P L^2(M)p$  contains a non-zero  $(Q' \cap M)p$ -central vector. In other words, the  $pMp$ -bimodule  $pL^2(\langle M, e_P \rangle)p$  contains a non-zero  $(Q' \cap M)p$ -central vector  $\xi$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $f = 1_{[\varepsilon, \infty)}(\xi^* \xi) \neq 0$ . Then we have that  $f \in ((Q' \cap M)p)' \cap p\langle M, e_P \rangle p$ . Since  $\hat{\tau}(f) \leq \|\xi\|^2 / \varepsilon < \infty$ , Theorem 2.3 implies that  $(Q' \cap M)p \prec_M P$ , thus finishing the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 3.2.** In §2.7, we defined an  $s$ -malleable deformation  $(\tilde{C} \rtimes \Gamma, (\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \beta)$  of  $C \rtimes \Gamma$ , where  $\tilde{C} = C \bar{\otimes} C$  or  $\tilde{C} = C * C$ , depending on whether  $\Gamma \curvearrowright C$  is the Gaussian action or the free Bogoljubov action associated with  $\pi$ , respectively. By construction,  $\alpha_t(\tilde{C}) = \tilde{C}$ ,  $\beta(\tilde{C}) = \tilde{C}$  and  $\alpha_t(u_g) = u_g$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ . Recall



that  $M = (C \bar{\otimes} D) \rtimes \Gamma$  and put  $\tilde{M} = (\tilde{C} \bar{\otimes} D) \rtimes \Gamma$ . We extend  $\alpha_t$  and  $\beta$  to automorphisms of  $\tilde{M}$  by letting  $\alpha_t(x) = \beta(x) = x$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in D$ . Then  $(\tilde{M}, (\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \beta)$  is an  $s$ -malleable deformation of  $M$ . In order to derive the conclusion, it remains to verify that conditions (1) and (2) from Theorem 3.1 are satisfied with  $N = D$  and  $P = D \rtimes \Gamma$ .

As in the proof of [46, Lemma 3.5], given a unitary representation  $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$ , we define  $\mathcal{K}_\eta = \mathcal{K} \otimes L^2(M)$  and endow it with the following  $M$ -bimodule structure:

$$(au_g) \cdot (\xi \otimes x) \cdot (bu_h) = \eta_g(\xi) \otimes au_g x bu_h, \quad \text{for all } a, b \in C \bar{\otimes} D, g, h \in \Gamma, x \in M, \text{ and } \xi \in \mathcal{K}.$$

If  $\eta' : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K}')$  is another unitary representation of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\mathcal{K}_{\eta \otimes \eta'} \cong \mathcal{K}_\eta \otimes_M \mathcal{K}_{\eta'}$ , and if  $\eta$  is weakly contained in  $\eta'$ , then  $\mathcal{K}_\eta \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}_{\eta'}$ . If  $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$  is the left regular representation, then it is straightforward to check that  $\mathcal{K}_\lambda \cong L^2(M) \bar{\otimes}_{C \bar{\otimes} D} L^2(M)$  as  $M$ -bimodules via the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}_\lambda = \ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M) \bar{\otimes}_{C \bar{\otimes} D} L^2(M) : \delta_g \otimes x \mapsto u_g \otimes_{C \bar{\otimes} D} u_g^* x.$$

Case 1.  $\Gamma \curvearrowright^\sigma (C, \tau)$  is the Gaussian action associated with  $\pi$ .

Let  $\sigma_0 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(C) \ominus \mathbb{C}1)$  be the restriction of the Koopman representation of  $\sigma$  to  $L^2(C) \ominus \mathbb{C}1$ . Since  $\pi^{\otimes k}$  is weakly contained in the left regular representation  $\lambda$  of  $\Gamma$ , the same holds for  $\sigma_0^{\otimes k}$  by [32, Proposition 2.7] and [3, Proposition II.1.15]. Moreover, we note that as  $M$ -bimodules,  $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma_0}$  is isomorphic to  $L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$  via the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}_{\sigma_0} = (L^2(C) \ominus \mathbb{C}1) \otimes L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M) : c_1 \otimes ((c_2 \otimes d)u_g) \mapsto (c_1 \otimes c_2 \otimes d)u_g.$$

We conclude that

$$(L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M))^{\otimes Mk} \cong \mathcal{K}_{\sigma_0}^{\otimes Mk} \cong \mathcal{K}_{\sigma_0^{\otimes k}} \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}_\lambda.$$

Since  $C$  is abelian, hence amenable,  $\mathcal{K}_\lambda \cong L^2(M) \bar{\otimes}_{C \bar{\otimes} D} L^2(M)$  is weakly contained in  $L^2(M) \bar{\otimes}_D L^2(M)$ , proving condition (1). Since  $L^2(\tilde{M}) = \overline{M\alpha_1(M)}^{\|\cdot\|_2}$  and  $\tau(x\alpha_1(y)) = \tau(xE_{D \rtimes \Gamma}(y))$ , for all  $x, y \in M$ , the  $M$ -bimodule  ${}_M L^2(\tilde{M})_{\alpha_1(M)}$  is isomorphic to  $L^2(M) \otimes_{D \rtimes \Gamma} L^2(M)$ . Thus, condition (2) also holds.

Case 2.  $\Gamma \curvearrowright^\rho (C, \tau)$  is the free Bogoljubov action associated with  $\pi$ .

We will denote still by  $\rho$  the diagonal product action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\tilde{C} \bar{\otimes} D$ .

**Claim.** Let  $\xi = \xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_n \in \tilde{C} = C * C$ , where  $\xi_1 \in 1 * (C \ominus \mathbb{C}1)$ ,  $\xi_2 \in (C \ominus \mathbb{C}1) * 1, \dots, \xi_n \in 1 * (C \ominus \mathbb{C}1)$ . Then the  $M$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{L}_\xi := \overline{M\xi M}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{L}_\xi^{\otimes Mk} \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M) \otimes_D L^2(M)$ .

**Proof of the claim.** Define  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and the completely positive map  $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$  by letting  $\varphi(g) = \langle \rho_g(\xi), \xi \rangle$  and  $\Phi((c \otimes d)u_g) = \tau(c)\varphi(g)(1 \otimes d)u_g$ , for all  $c \in C, d \in D$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ .

If  $c, c' \in C * 1, d, d' \in D$  and  $g, g' \in \Gamma$ , then  $\langle c\rho_g(\xi)c', \xi \rangle = \tau(\xi^* c\rho_g(\xi)c') = \tau(c)\tau(c')\varphi(g)$ , and, thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (c \otimes d)u_g \xi u_{g'}(c' \otimes d'), \xi \rangle &= \delta_{gg',e} \langle c \rho_g(\xi) c', \xi \rangle \langle dd', 1 \rangle \\ &= \delta_{gg',e} \varphi(g) \tau(c) \tau(c') \tau(dd') \\ &= \tau(\Phi((c \otimes d)u_g)u_{g'}(c' \otimes d')). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, using the notation from § 2.2, this means that  $\mathcal{L}_\xi \cong \mathcal{H}_\Phi$ , as  $M$ -bimodules. Note that if  $v \in \mathcal{U}(C)$ ,  $w \in \mathcal{U}(D)$ ,  $h \in \Gamma$ , then for all  $d \in D$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ , we have that

$$[\Phi \circ \text{Ad}((v \otimes w)u_h)]((1 \otimes d)u_g) = \tau(v \rho_{hgh^{-1}}(v)^*) \varphi(hgh^{-1}) \text{Ad}((1 \otimes w)u_h)((1 \otimes d)u_g). \tag{3.3}$$

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of unitaries  $u \in M$  of the form  $u = (v \otimes w)u_h$ , with  $v \in \mathcal{U}(C)$ ,  $w \in \mathcal{U}(D)$ ,  $h \in \Gamma$ . Since the span of  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -dense in  $M$ , Lemma 2.2(2) implies that the  $M$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{L}_\xi^{\otimes M^k} \cong \mathcal{H}_\Phi^{\otimes M^k}$  is isomorphic to a sub-bimodule of

$$\bigoplus_{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{H}_{\Phi \circ \text{Ad}(u_{k-1}) \circ \Phi \circ \dots \circ \text{Ad}(u_1) \circ \Phi}.$$

We fix  $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \in \mathcal{U}$  and denote  $\Psi := \Phi \circ \text{Ad}(u_{k-1}) \circ \Phi \circ \dots \circ \text{Ad}(u_1) \circ \Phi : M \rightarrow M$ . Thus, in order to prove the claim, it suffices to argue that  $\mathcal{H}_\Psi \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M) \otimes_D L^2(M)$ . To this end, for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ , write  $u_i = (v_i \otimes w_i)u_{h_i}$ , where  $v_i \in \mathcal{U}(C)$ ,  $w_i \in \mathcal{U}(D)$  and  $h_i \in \Gamma$ . We define  $U = (1 \otimes w_{k-1})u_{h_{k-1}} \dots (1 \otimes w_1)u_{h_1} \in \mathcal{U}(D \rtimes \Gamma)$  and a positive definite function  $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by letting

$$\psi(g) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \tau(v_i \rho_{h_i \dots h_1 g h_1^{-1} \dots h_i^{-1}}(v_i)^*), \quad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma.$$

By using (3.3) and induction, it follows that for all  $c \in C$ ,  $d \in D$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ , we have that

$$\Psi((c \otimes d)u_g) = \tau(c) \psi(g) \varphi(g) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \varphi(h_i \dots h_1 g h_1^{-1} \dots h_i^{-1}) \text{Ad}(U)((1 \otimes d)u_g). \tag{3.4}$$

Let  $\Theta : M \rightarrow M$  and  $\Omega : M \rightarrow M$  be the completely positive maps given by  $\Theta(xu_g) = \psi(g)xu_g$  and  $\Omega(xu_g) = \varphi(g) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \varphi(h_i \dots h_1 g h_1^{-1} \dots h_i^{-1})xu_g$ , for all  $x \in C \otimes D$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ . Then (3.4) rewrites as  $\Psi = \text{Ad}(U) \circ \Theta \circ \Omega \circ E_{D \rtimes \Gamma}$ . By Lemma 2.2(1), we get that

$$\text{the } M\text{-bimodule } \mathcal{H}_\Psi \text{ is isomorphic to a sub-bimodule of } \mathcal{H}_{E_{D \rtimes \Gamma}} \otimes_M \mathcal{H}_\Omega \otimes_M \mathcal{H}_\Theta. \tag{3.5}$$

Let  $\rho_0 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(C) \oplus \mathbb{C}1)$  be the restriction of the Koopman representation of  $\rho$  to  $L^2(C) \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ . Since  $\varphi(g) = \langle \rho_g(\xi), \xi \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^n \langle \rho_g(\xi_i), \xi_i \rangle$  and  $\xi_i \in C \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ , for all  $g \in \Gamma$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , it follows that the  $M$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$  is isomorphic to a sub-bimodule of  $\mathcal{K}_{\rho_0^{\otimes kn}}$ . Since  $\pi^{\otimes k}$  is weakly contained in the left regular representation  $\lambda$ , so is  $\rho_0^{\otimes k}$  by Lemma 2.12. Thus,  $\rho_0^{\otimes kn}$  is weakly contained in  $\lambda$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{K}_{\rho_0^{\otimes kn}} \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}_\lambda \cong \mathcal{H}_{E_{C \otimes D}}$ . Altogether, we conclude that  $\mathcal{H}_\Omega \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{H}_{E_{C \otimes D}}$ . In combination with (3.5), we derive that

$$\mathcal{H}_\Psi \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{H}_{E_{D \rtimes \Gamma}} \otimes_M \mathcal{H}_{E_{C \otimes D}} \otimes_M \mathcal{H}_\Theta. \tag{3.6}$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}_{E_N} \cong L^2(M) \otimes_N L^2(M)$ , for any von Neumann subalgebra  $N \subset M$ , and the  $(D \rtimes \Gamma)$ - $(C \otimes D)$ -bimodule  $L^2(M)$  is isomorphic to  $L^2(D \rtimes \Gamma) \otimes_D L^2(C \otimes D)$ , it follows that

$\mathcal{H}_\Psi \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M) \otimes_D \mathcal{H}_\Theta$ . Using that  $D$  is regular in  $M$  and  $\Theta|_D = \text{id}_D$ , it is easy to see that  $L^2(M) \otimes_D \mathcal{H}_\Theta$  is isomorphic to a sub-bimodule of a multiple of  $L^2(M) \otimes_D L^2(M)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{H}_\Psi \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M) \otimes_D L^2(M)$ , which finishes the proof of the claim.  $\square$

Since  $L^2(\tilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$  decomposes as a direct sum of  $M$ -bimodules of the form  $\mathcal{L}_\xi$  as in the claim, condition (1) follows. To verify condition (2), let  $\xi \in \tilde{C}$  be a non-zero element of the form  $\xi = \xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_n$ , where  $\xi_1 \in 1 * (C \ominus \mathbb{C}1)$ ,  $\xi_2 \in (C \ominus \mathbb{C}1) * 1, \dots, \xi_n \in (C \ominus \mathbb{C}1) * 1$ . Using a calculation similar to the one in the claim, it follows that the  $M$ -bimodule  $\overline{M \tilde{\xi} \alpha_1(M)}_{\alpha_1(M)}$  is isomorphic to a submodule of a multiple of  $L^2(M) \otimes_{D \rtimes \Gamma} L^2(M)$ . This implies that condition (2) holds in case (2) and finishes the proof of Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

#### 4. Proofs of Theorems A and B

The proofs of Theorems A and B rely on the following consequence of Corollary 3.2.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a non-amenable group. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\pi_k : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_k)$  be an orthogonal representation such that  $\pi_k^{\otimes l(k)}$  is weakly contained in the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ , for some  $l(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (B_k, \tau_k)$  be either the Gaussian or the free Bogoljubov action associated with  $\pi_k$ . Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (B, \tau) := \tilde{\otimes}_k (B_k, \tau_k)$  be the diagonal product action and denote  $M = B \rtimes \Gamma$ . Let  $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  such that  $\|x - E_{M_n}(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $x \in M$ .*

*Then there exist projections  $p_n \in \mathcal{Z}(M'_n \cap M)$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(p_n) = 1$  and  $(M'_n \cap M)p_n \prec_M^s (\tilde{\otimes}_{k > N} B_k) \rtimes \Gamma$ , for every  $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Moreover, if  $\Gamma$  is not inner amenable, then there exist projections  $r_n \in \mathcal{Z}(M'_n \cap M)$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(r_n) = 1$  and  $(M'_n \cap M)r_n$  is amenable, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $q_n \in \mathcal{Z}(M'_n \cap M)$  be the largest projection such that  $M_n q_n$  is amenable relative to  $B$ . We claim that  $\tau(q_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Otherwise, after replacing  $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with a subsequence, we may assume that  $\tau(q_n) \rightarrow c > 0$ . By Lemma 2.4, this implies that there is a non-zero projection  $q \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$  such that  $Mq$  is amenable relative to  $B$ . Since  $M$  is a factor, this would give that  $M$  is amenable relative to  $B$  and hence that  $\Gamma$  is amenable by [31, Proposition 2.4], which is a contradiction.

Next, fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and put  $p_n = 1 - q_n$ . Then  $M_n p'_n$  is not amenable relative to  $B$ , for any non-zero projection  $p'_n \in (M'_n \cap M)p_n$ . Otherwise, [11, Lemma 2.6(2)] would provide a non-zero projection  $z \in \mathcal{Z}(M'_n \cap M)p_n$  such that  $M_n z$  is amenable relative to  $B$ , contradicting the maximality of  $q_n$ . Let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and denote  $C_i = \tilde{\otimes}_{k \neq i} B_k$ . Since  $C_i \subset B$ ,  $M_n p'_n$  is not amenable relative to  $C_i$ , for any non-zero projection  $p'_n \in (M'_n \cap M)p_n$ . Since  $\Gamma \curvearrowright B_i$  is either the Gaussian or the free Bogoljubov action associated with  $\pi_i$  and a multiple of  $\pi_i$  is weakly contained in the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ , we can apply Corollary 3.2 to the inclusion  $M_n p_n \subset M = (B_i \tilde{\otimes} C_i) \rtimes \Gamma$  to deduce that

$$(M'_n \cap M)p_n \prec_M^s C_i \rtimes \Gamma, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.1}$$

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the subalgebras  $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^N$  of  $M$  are regular and any two form a commuting square, (4.1) and [11, Lemma 2.8(2)] imply that  $(M'_n \cap M)p_n \prec_M^s \bigcap_{i=1}^N (C_i \rtimes \Gamma) = (\tilde{\otimes}_{k > N} B_k) \rtimes \Gamma$ . Since  $\tau(p_n) \rightarrow 1$ , this proves the main assertion.

For the moreover assertion, assume that  $\Gamma$  is not inner amenable. Then by [7], we get that  $M' \cap M^\omega \subset B^\omega$  and, hence,  $\prod_\omega (M'_n \cap M) \subset M' \cap M^\omega \subset B^\omega$ . By combining this with [21, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3], we can find projections  $f_n \in \mathcal{Z}(M'_n \cap M)$  such that  $\tau(f_n) \rightarrow 1$  and

$$(M'_n \cap M)f_n \prec_M^s B, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.2}$$

Put  $r_n = p_n \wedge f_n \in \mathcal{Z}(M'_n \cap M)$ . Then  $(M'_n \cap M)r_n \prec_M^s B$  and  $(M'_n \cap M)r_n \prec_M^s (\bar{\otimes}_{k>N} B_k) \rtimes \Gamma$ , for every  $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $B$  is regular in  $M$ ,  $B$  and  $(\bar{\otimes}_{k>N} B_k) \rtimes \Gamma$  form a commuting square and  $B \cap ((\bar{\otimes}_{k>N} B_k) \rtimes \Gamma) = \bar{\otimes}_{k>N} B_k$ , [11, Lemma 2.8(2)] implies that

$$(M'_n \cap M)r_n \prec_M^s \bar{\otimes}_{k>N} B_k, \quad \text{for every } n, N \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.3}$$

For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $Q_N = \bar{\otimes}_{k>N} B_k$  and  $R_N = (\bar{\otimes}_{k \leq N} B_k) \rtimes \Gamma$ . Then  $\|x - E_{R_N}(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for any  $x \in M$ , and  $Q_N L^2(M)_{R_N} \cong Q_N L^2(Q_N) \otimes L^2(R_N)_{R_N}$ , for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . These facts and (4.3) imply that we can apply Lemma 2.6 to deduce that  $(M'_n \cap M)r_n$  is amenable, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

#### 4.1. Proof of Theorem A

Assume, by contradiction, that  $M$  admits a residual sequence  $(A_n)_n$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M_n = A'_n \cap M$ . Since  $\prod_\omega A_n \subset \bigcap_n A_n^\omega \subset M' \cap M^\omega$ , Lemma 2.1 implies that  $\|x - E_{M_n}(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $x \in M$ . By Lemma 4.1, we can find projections  $p_n \in \mathcal{Z}(M'_n \cap M)$  such that  $\tau(p_n) \rightarrow 1$  and  $(M'_n \cap M)p_n \prec_M^s (\bar{\otimes}_{l>N} B_l) \rtimes \Gamma$ , for every  $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $A_n \subset M'_n \cap M$ , we thus get that

$$A_n p_n \prec_M^s \left( \bar{\otimes}_{l>N} B_l \right) \rtimes \Gamma, \quad \text{for every } n, N \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.4}$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed such that  $\tau(p_n) > 15/16$ . Recall that  $\Gamma \curvearrowright B_k$  is the Gaussian action associated with  $\pi_k$  and denote  $U_k^m = \omega(\xi_k^m) \in \mathcal{U}(B_k)$ , for every  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Claim.** *There exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|U_k^m - E_{A_n}(U_k^m)\|_2 \leq 1/16$ , for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof of the claim.** Assuming the claim is false, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can find  $m(k) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U_k := U_k^{m(k)} \in \mathcal{U}(B_k)$  satisfies  $\|U_k - E_{A_n}(U_k)\|_2 > 1/16$ . Since  $1 - e^{-t} \leq t$ , for any  $t \geq 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_g U_k u_g^* - U_k\|_2 &= \|\omega(\pi_k(g)(\xi_k^{m(k)})) - \omega(\xi_k^{m(k)})\|_2 \\ &= \sqrt{2(1 - \exp(-\|\pi_k(g)(\xi_k^{m(k)}) - \xi_k^{m(k)}\|^2))} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \|\pi_k(g)(\xi_k^{m(k)}) - \xi_k^{m(k)}\|, \quad \text{for every } g \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|\pi_k(g)(\xi_k^m) - \xi_k^m\| \rightarrow 0$ , we deduce that  $\|u_g U_k u_g^* - U_k\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $g \in \Gamma$ . Since  $U_k \in \mathcal{U}(B_k)$ , we also have that  $U_k x = x U_k$ , for every  $x \in B$ . By combining the last two facts, we get that  $U := (U_k) \in M' \cap M^\omega$ . However, since  $\|U - E_{A_n}(U)\|_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|U_k - E_{A_n}(U_k)\|_2 \geq 1/16$ , this contradicts that  $M' \cap M^\omega \subset A_n^\omega$ . Altogether, this proves the claim. □

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be as in the claim and put  $V_m = U_k^m - \tau(U_k^m)$ . Then we have  $V_m \in B_k$ ,  $\|V_m\| \leq 2$ ,  $\|V_m\|_2 = \sqrt{1 - \exp(-1)}$  and  $\|V_m - E_{A_n}(V_m)\|_2 \leq 1/16$ , for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\tau(V_{m'}^* V_m) = 0$ , for all  $m \neq m'$ , we also have that  $V_m \rightarrow 0$  weakly.

By specializing (4.4) to  $N = k$ , we get that  $A_n p_n \prec_M^s (\bar{\otimes}_{l>k} B_l) \rtimes \Gamma$ . This implies that we can find a finite dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{K} \subset \bar{\otimes}_{l \leq k} B_l$  such that if  $e$  denotes the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(M)$  onto the  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -closed linear span of  $\{(y \otimes z)u_g \mid y \in \mathcal{K}, z \in \bar{\otimes}_{l>k} B_l, g \in \Gamma\}$ , then

$$\|x - e(x)\|_2 \leq 1/16, \quad \text{for all } x \in (A_n p_n)_1. \tag{4.5}$$

Next, if  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\|V_m - E_{A_n}(V_m)\| \leq 1/16$  and hence  $\|V_m p_n - E_{A_n}(V_m) p_n\|_2 \leq 1/16$ . Since  $E_{A_n}(V_m) p_n \in A_n p_n$  and  $\|E_{A_n}(V_m) p_n\| \leq 2$ , (4.5) gives  $\|E_{A_n}(V_m) p_n - e(E_{A_n}(V_m) p_n)\|_2 \leq 1/8$ . Combining the last two inequalities further implies that

$$\|V_m p_n - e(V_m p_n)\|_2 \leq 1/4, \quad \text{for every } m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.6}$$

Now, we claim that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|E_{(\bar{\otimes}_{l>k} B_l) \rtimes \Gamma}(x V_m y)\|_2 = 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M. \tag{4.7}$$

Indeed, it is enough to check this when  $x = u_g(a \otimes b)$  and  $y = (c \otimes d)u_h$ , for  $a, c \in \bar{\otimes}_{l \leq k} B_l$ ,  $b, d \in \bar{\otimes}_{l>k} B_l$  and  $g, h \in \Gamma$ . Then, since  $V_m \in B_k$ , we have  $E_{(\bar{\otimes}_{l>k} B_l) \rtimes \Gamma}(x V_m y) = \tau(a V_m b) u_g b d u_h$  and the conclusion follows since  $V_m \rightarrow 0$  weakly. This proves (4.7).

Let  $\{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^r$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{K}$ . Since  $E_{(\bar{\otimes}_{l>k} B_l) \rtimes \Gamma}(\xi_i^* \xi_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ , for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , we get that  $e(x) = \sum_{j=1}^r \xi_j E_{(\bar{\otimes}_{l>k} B_l) \rtimes \Gamma}(\xi_j^* x)$ , for every  $x \in M$ . In combination with (4.7), it follows that  $\|e(V_m p_n)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, since  $\|V_m\| \leq 2$  and  $\tau(p_n) > 15/16$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_m p_n\|_2 &\geq \|V_m\|_2 - \|V_m(1 - p_n)\|_2 \geq \|V_m\|_2 - 2\|1 - p_n\|_2 \\ &= \sqrt{1 - \exp(-1)} - 2\sqrt{1 - \tau(p_n)} > 1/4, \quad \text{for every } m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether, we get that  $\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|V_m p_n - e(V_m p_n)\|_2 > 1/4$ , which contradicts (4.6). So  $M$  cannot have a residual sequence. □

**Remark 4.2.** The proof of Theorem A shows that there is no sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  such that  $\prod_{\omega} A_n \subset M' \cap M^{\omega} \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n^{\omega}$ . In particular, there is no sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  which satisfies conditions (2) and (3) of Definition 1.1.

**4.2. Proof of Theorem B**

Recall that  $\Gamma \curvearrowright B_k$  is the free Bogoljubov action associated with  $\pi_k$  and denote  $W_{k,m} = W(\xi_k^m) \in B_k$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{W_{k,1}, W_{k,2}\}$  are freely independent semicircular operators with  $\|W_{k,1}\| = \|W_{k,2}\| = 2$ . Moreover, if  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ , then for any  $g \in \Gamma$ , we have that  $\|u_g W_{k,m} u_g^* - W_{k,m}\|_2 = \|W(\pi_k(g)(\xi_k^m)) - W(\xi_k^m)\|_2 = \|\pi_k(g)(\xi_k^m) - \xi_k^m\| \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $W_{k,m} \in B_k$ , we also have that  $\|W_{k,m} x - x W_{k,m}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $x \in B$ . By combining the last two facts, we get that  $W_m = (W_{k,m})_k \in M' \cap M^{\omega}$ .

Let us first prove the moreover assertion. To this end, let  $P \subset M' \cap M^\omega$  be the von Neumann subalgebra generated by  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ . Assume, by contradiction, that there is a sequence  $(A_n)_n$  of von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  such that

$$P \subset \prod_{\omega} A_n \subset M' \cap M^\omega.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M_n = A'_n \cap M$ . Lemma 2.1 implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x - E_{M_n}(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $x \in M$ . The moreover assertion of Lemma 4.1 implies the existence of projections  $r_n \in \mathcal{Z}(M'_n \cap M)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \tau(r_n) \rightarrow 1$  and  $(M'_n \cap M)r_n$  is amenable, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $A_n r_n$  is amenable, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then since  $W_m = (W_{k,m})_k \in P \subset \prod_{\omega} A_k$ , there is  $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\tau(r_{k_n}) \geq 1 - 1/n^2$  and  $\|W_{k_n,m} - E_{A_{k_n}}(W_{k_n,m})\|_2 \leq 1/n$ , for every  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ . Thus, if  $B_n = A_{k_n} r_{k_n} \oplus \mathbb{C}(1 - r_{k_n})$ , then

$$\|W_{k_n,m} - E_{B_n}(W_{k_n,m})\|_2 \leq 1/n + \|1 - r_{k_n}\|_2 \leq 2/n, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } m \in \{1, 2\}. \tag{4.8}$$

Let  $N$  be the  $II_1$  factor generated by two freely independent semicircular operators  $S_1, S_2$  with  $\|S_1\| = \|S_2\| = 2$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\pi_n : N \rightarrow M$  be the unique trace preserving  $*$ -homomorphism such that  $\pi_n(S_m) = W_{k_n,m}$ , for all  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then (4.8) gives that  $\|\pi_n(x) - E_{B_n}(\pi_n(x))\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $x \in N$ . Since  $B_n$  is amenable, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , Corollary 2.5 implies that  $N$  is amenable. Since  $N \cong L(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is not amenable, this gives a contradiction and thus proves the moreover assertion.

To prove the main assertion, assume, by contradiction, that  $M$  admits a residual sequence  $(A_n)_n$ . Then  $P \subset M' \cap M^\omega = \bigcap_n A_n^\omega$  and since  $P$  is separable, we can find an increasing sequence of positive integers  $(k_n)$  such that  $P \subset \prod_{\omega} A_{k_n}$ . Since  $\prod_{\omega} A_{k_n} \subset \bigcap_n A_n^\omega = M' \cap M^\omega$ , this contradicts the moreover assertion.  $\square$

### 5. Stability

#### 5.1. Proof of Proposition C

Since  $P$  is amenable, it is approximately finite dimensional by Connes' theorem [8]. Thus, we can find an increasing sequence  $(B_k)_k$  of finite dimensional von Neumann subalgebras such that  $P = (\bigcup_k B_k)''$ . If  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then since  $B_k$  is finite dimensional, there exists  $S_k \in \omega$  such that for every  $n \in S_k$ , we have an embedding  $B_k \subset M_n$  in such a way that the embedding  $B_k \subset \prod_{\omega} M_n$  is the diagonal embedding. Put  $S_0 = \mathbb{N}$ .

**Claim.** *There exists a sequence  $(k_n) \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \in S_{k_n}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} k_n = +\infty$ , and*

$$Q \subset \prod_{\omega} (B'_{k_n} \cap M_n).$$

**Proof of the claim.** Since  $B_k$  is finite dimensional,  $Q \subset P' \cap \prod_{\omega} M_n \subset B'_k \cap \prod_{\omega} M_n = \prod_{\omega} (B'_k \cap M_n)$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $Q \subset \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{\omega} (B'_k \cap M_n)$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \left\| q_n - E_{B'_k \cap M_n}(q_n) \right\|_2 = 0, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } q = (q_n) \in Q. \tag{5.1}$$

Now, let  $\{q^{(m)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -dense sequence in  $(Q)_1$ . Let  $X_0 = \mathbb{N}$  and

$$X_k = \left\{ n \in S_k \mid \left\| q_n^{(i)} - E_{B'_k \cap M_n}(q_n^{(i)}) \right\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{k}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $k_n$  to be the largest  $k \leq n$  such that  $n \in X_k$ . We claim that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} k_n = +\infty$ . Otherwise, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid k_n = k\} \in \omega$ . Then  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \notin X_{k+1}\} \in \omega$ . Since  $S_{k+1} \in \omega$ , this would imply the existence of  $i \in \{1, \dots, k + 1\}$  such that we have

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \left\| q_n^{(i)} - E_{B'_{k+1} \cap M_n}(q_n^{(i)}) \right\|_2 > \frac{1}{k + 1} \right\} \in \omega,$$

and, thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \left\| q_n^{(i)} - E_{B'_{k+1} \cap M_n}(q_n^{(i)}) \right\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{k + 1},$$

contradicting (5.1). By construction,  $Q \subset \prod_{\omega} (B'_{k_n} \cap M_n)$ , which finishes the proof of the claim. □

Taking  $(k_n)$  as in the claim, we also have that  $P \subset \prod_{\omega} B_{k_n}$ . Thus,  $P_n = B_{k_n}$  and  $Q_n = B'_{k_n} \cap M_n$  verify the conclusion of Proposition C. □

### 5.2. Proof of Theorem E

In the proof of Theorem E, we will need the following consequence of Corollary 3.2. Recall that a tracial von Neumann algebra  $(M, \tau)$  is called *solid* [30] if the relative commutant  $P' \cap M$  is amenable, for any diffuse von Neumann subalgebra  $P \subset M$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable group and  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$  be a mixing orthogonal representation. Assume that  $\pi^{\otimes k}$  is weakly contained in the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright (C, \tau)$  be the free Bogoljubov action associated with  $\pi$ . If  $L(\Gamma)$  is solid, then  $C \rtimes \Gamma$  is solid.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $L(\Gamma)$  is solid. In order to prove that  $M = C \rtimes \Gamma$  is solid, it suffices to show that if  $P \subset M$  is a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra, then  $P' \cap M$  has an amenable direct summand. Suppose, by contradiction, that  $P' \cap M$  has no amenable direct summand. By applying Corollary 3.2, we get that  $P \prec_M L(\Gamma)$ . Hence, there exist projections  $p \in P, q \in L(\Gamma)$ , a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\theta : pPp \rightarrow qL(\Gamma)q$  and a non-zero partial isometry  $v \in qMp$  such that  $\theta(x)v = vx$  for all  $x \in pPp$ . Since  $\pi$  is mixing, the action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright C$  is mixing by [18, Proposition 2.6]. Since  $\theta(pPp) \subset qL(\Gamma)q$  is a diffuse subalgebra and  $vv^* \in \theta(pPp)' \cap qMq$ , [35, Theorem 3.1] implies that  $q_0 := vv^* \in L(\Gamma)$ . Thus,  $P_0 := vPv^*$  is a diffuse subalgebra of  $q_0L(\Gamma)q_0$ . Since  $v(P' \cap M)v^* \subset q_0Mq_0$  is a subalgebra which commutes with  $P_0$ , [35, Theorem 3.1] gives that  $v(P' \cap M)v^* \subset P'_0 \cap q_0L(\Gamma)q_0$ . Since  $L(\Gamma)$  is solid, we get that  $v(P' \cap M)v^*$  is amenable and thus  $P' \cap M$  has an amenable direct summand. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

**Proof of Theorem E.** First, note that if  $W$  is a self-adjoint operator in a tracial von Neumann algebra whose distribution with respect to the trace is the semicircular law supported on  $[-2, 2]$ , then  $\{W\}''$  is a diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra. Hence, we can find a Borel function  $f : [-2, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  such that  $U = f(W) \in \{W\}''$  is a Haar unitary,



i.e.,  $\tau(U^n) = 0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . From now on, fix two freely independent self-adjoint operators  $W_1, W_2$  in a tracial von Neumann algebra whose distribution is the semicircular law supported on  $[-2, 2]$ . Define  $U_1 = f(W_1)$  and  $U_2 = f(W_2)$ . Then  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are freely independent Haar unitaries, and, thus,  $N = \{U_1, U_2\}''$  satisfies  $N = \{U_1\}'' * \{U_2\}'' \cong L(\mathbb{F}_2)$ .

Let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma$  be free generators. Let  $\pi_k : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_k), k \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of mixing representations such that a tensor multiple of  $\pi_k$  is weakly contained in the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ , and there exist unit vectors  $\xi_{k,m} \in \mathcal{H}_k$  such that  $\|\pi_k(g)(\xi_k^m) - \xi_k^m\| \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $m \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ . For instance, let  $(\pi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be as in Example 1.5 and note that, by construction,  $\pi_k$  is indeed mixing, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright B_k$  be the free Bogoljubov action associated with  $\pi_k$  and denote  $M_k = B_k \rtimes \Gamma$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $W_{k,m} = W(\xi_k^m) \in B_k$  is a self-adjoint operator whose distribution is the semicircular law supported on  $[-2, 2]$ . Moreover,  $\|u_g W_{k,m} - W_{k,m} u_g\|_2 = \|\pi_k(g)(\xi_k^m) - \xi_k^m\| \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $m \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ . Thus, if we put  $U_{k,m} = f(W_{k,m}) \in \mathcal{U}(B_k)$ , then

$$\|u_g U_{k,m} - U_{k,m} u_g\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for every } m \in \{1, 2\} \text{ and } g \in \Gamma. \tag{5.2}$$

Let  $\rho_k : N \rightarrow M_k$  be the unique trace preserving  $*$ -homomorphism given by  $\rho_k(U_1) = U_{k,1}$  and  $\rho_k(U_2) = U_{k,2}$ . Then (5.2) rewrites as

$$\|u_g \rho_k(U_m) - \rho_k(U_m) u_g\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for every } m \in \{1, 2\} \text{ and } g \in \Gamma. \tag{5.3}$$

In the rest of the proof, we treat the two assertions of Theorem E separately.

*Part 1.* We first prove that  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$  is not  $W^*$ -tracially stable. This readily implies that  $\mathbb{F}_l \times \mathbb{F}_m$  is not  $W^*$ -tracially stable, for every  $2 \leq l, m \leq +\infty$ . Assume, by contradiction, that  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$  is  $W^*$ -tracially stable. Using (5.3), we can define a homomorphism  $\varphi : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\prod_{\omega} M_k)$  by letting

$$\varphi(a_m, e) = (\rho_k(U_m))_k \text{ and } \varphi(e, g) = u_g, \quad \text{for all } m \in \{1, 2\} \text{ and } g \in \Gamma. \tag{5.4}$$

Since  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$  is assumed  $W^*$ -tracially stable, there must be homomorphisms  $\varphi_k : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(M_k)$  such that  $\varphi = (\varphi_k)_k$ . Let  $C_k = \varphi_k(\Gamma \times \{e\})''$  and  $D_k = \varphi_k(\{e\} \times \Gamma)''$ . Then  $C_k$  and  $D_k$  are commuting von Neumann subalgebras of  $M_k$  and we have that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|\rho_k(U_m) - E_{C_k}(\rho_k(U_m))\|_2 = 0, \quad \text{for every } m \in \{1, 2\}, \text{ and} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|u_g - E_{D_k}(u_g)\|_2 = 0, \quad \text{for every } g \in \Gamma. \tag{5.6}$$

Then (5.5) implies that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \|\rho_k(x) - E_{C_k}(\rho_k(x))\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ , for every  $x \in N$ . Since  $N$  is a non-amenable  $II_1$  factor, Corollary 2.5 implies that if  $p_k \in \mathcal{Z}(C_k)$  is the largest projection such that  $C_k p_k$  is amenable, then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau(p_k) = 0$ . Since  $L(\Gamma)$  is also a non-amenable  $II_1$  factor, by repeating this argument using (5.6), it follows that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau(q_k) = 0$ , where  $q_k \in \mathcal{Z}(D_k)$  denotes the largest projection such that  $D_k q_k$  is amenable. Thus, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r_k := (1 - p_k)(1 - q_k) \in \{C_k, D_k\}''$  is a projection such that  $C_k r_k$  and  $D_k r_k$  have no amenable direct summands, and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \omega} \tau(r_k) = 1$ . In particular, we can find  $k$  such that  $r_k \neq 0$ . This implies that  $r_k M r_k$ , and thus  $M$ , is not solid, which is a contradiction by Lemma 5.1. This finishes the proof of the first assertion of Theorem E.

Part 2. For the moreover assertion, put  $B = \bar{\otimes}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} B_k$  and  $M = B \rtimes \Gamma$ . Using the natural embeddings  $M_k \subset M$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can view  $\prod_{\omega} M_k$  as a subalgebra of  $M^{\omega}$ . Thus, we may view  $\varphi$  as a homomorphism  $\varphi : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(M^{\omega})$ . Since by the definition (5.4) of  $\varphi$  we have  $\varphi(a, e) \in B^{\omega}$ ,  $\tau(\varphi(a, e)) = \delta_{a,e}$  and  $\varphi(e, g) = u_g$ , it follows that  $\tau(\varphi(a, g)) = \tau(\varphi(a, e)u_g) = \delta_{(a,g),(e,e)}$ , for all  $a, g \in \Gamma$ . Thus,  $\varphi$  extends to a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : L(\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow M^{\omega}$ .

We claim that there are no homomorphisms  $\varphi_k : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(M)$  such that  $\varphi = (\varphi_k)_k$ . Assume, by contradiction, that such homomorphisms  $(\varphi_k)$  exist. Then  $C_k = \varphi_k(\Gamma \times \{e\})''$  and  $D_k = \varphi_k(\{e\} \times \Gamma)''$  are commuting von Neumann subalgebras of  $M$  such that (5.5) and (5.6) hold.

Since  $\Gamma$  is non-amenable, [31, Proposition 2.4] implies that  $L(\Gamma)$  is not amenable relative to  $B$  inside  $M$ . Thus, since  $L(\Gamma)' \cap M = \mathbb{C}1$ , there is no non-zero projection  $q \in L(\Gamma)' \cap M$  such that  $L(\Gamma)q$  is amenable relative to  $B$  inside  $M$ . Let  $q_k \in D'_k \cap M$  be the largest projection such that  $D_k q_k$  is amenable relative to  $B$  inside  $M$ . Then by [11, Lemma 2.6], we have that  $q_k \in \mathcal{Z}(D'_k \cap M)$ . Since by (5.6) we have that  $\lim_{\omega} \|x - E_{D_k}(x)\|_2 = 0$ , for every  $x \in L(\Gamma)$ , we can apply Lemma 2.4 to conclude that  $\lim_{\omega} \tau(q_k) = 0$ .

Next, fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $D_k p'$  is not amenable relative to  $B$  inside  $M$ , for any non-zero projection  $p' \in (D'_k \cap M)(1 - q_k)$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $R_i = \bar{\otimes}_{l \neq i} B_l$ . Then by applying Corollary 3.2 to the decomposition  $M = (B_i \bar{\otimes} R_i) \rtimes \Gamma$ , it follows that  $C_k(1 - q_k) \prec_M^s R_i \rtimes \Gamma$ , for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the subalgebras  $\{R_i \rtimes \Gamma\}_{i=1}^{n_0}$  of  $M$  are regular and any two form a commuting square. Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n_0} (R_i \rtimes \Gamma) = (\bar{\otimes}_{l > n_0} B_l) \rtimes \Gamma$ , [11, Lemma 2.8(2)] implies that

$$C_k(1 - q_k) \prec_M^s \left( \bar{\otimes}_{l > n_0} B_l \right) \rtimes \Gamma, \quad \text{for every } k, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5.7}$$

Since  $\Gamma = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$  is not inner amenable, we can find a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\|x - E_B(x)\|_2 \leq c(\|[x, u_{a_1}]\|_2 + \|[x, u_{a_2}]\|_2), \quad \text{for every } x \in M. \tag{5.8}$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote  $\varepsilon_k = \|u_{a_1} - E_{D_k}(u_{a_1})\|_2 + \|u_{a_2} - E_{D_k}(u_{a_2})\|_2$ . Then (5.6) implies that  $\lim_{\omega} \varepsilon_k = 0$ . Since  $C_k$  and  $D_k$  commute, we have that  $\|[x, u_{a_1}]\|_2 + \|[x, u_{a_2}]\|_2 \leq 2\varepsilon_k$ , for all  $x \in (C_k)_1$ . In combination with (5.8), we get that  $\|x - E_B(x)\|_2 \leq 2c\varepsilon_k$ , for all  $x \in (C_k)_1$ . By applying [21, Lemma 2.2], we derive the existence of a projection  $r_k \in \mathcal{Z}(C'_k \cap M)$  such that  $\tau(r_k) \geq 1 - 2c\varepsilon_k$  and

$$C_k r_k \prec_M^s B, \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5.9}$$

Since  $B$  and  $(\bar{\otimes}_{l > n_0} B_l) \rtimes \Gamma$  are regular subalgebras of  $M$  which form a commuting square, if  $p_k = (1 - q_k)r_k \in C'_k \cap M$ , by combining (5.7), (5.9) and [11, Lemma 2.8(2)], we get that

$$C_k p_k \prec_M \bar{\otimes}_{l > n_0} B_l, \quad \text{for every } k, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5.10}$$

Using (5.10) and reasoning as at the end of the proof of Lemma 4.1, it follows that  $C_k p_k$  is amenable, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\lim_{\omega} \tau(q_k) = 0$  and  $\lim_{\omega} \tau(r_k) = 1$ , we get that  $\lim_{\omega} \tau(p_k) = 1$ . On the other hand, (5.5) implies that  $\lim_{\omega} \|\rho_k(x) - E_{C_k}(\rho_k(x))\|_2 = 0$ , for every  $x \in N$ . By applying Corollary 2.5, we derive that  $N$  is amenable, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** We are very grateful to Scott Atkinson for bringing [1, Question 4.16] to our attention and for stimulating discussions on tracial stability. We would also like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions that helped improve the exposition.

**References**

1. S. ATKINSON, Some results on tracial stability and graph products, Preprint, 2018, [arXiv:1808.04664](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.04664).
2. R. BOUTONNET, On solid ergodicity for Gaussian actions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **263**(4) (2012), 1040–1063.
3. R. BOUTONNET, Plusieurs aspects de rigidité des algèbres de von Neumann, PhD Thesis (2014).
4. R. BOUTONNET, I. CHIFAN AND A. IOANA,  $II_1$  factors with non-isomorphic ultrapowers, *Duke Math. J.* **166**(11) (2017), 2023–2051.
5. I. CHIFAN AND B. UDREA, Some rigidity results for  $II_1$  factors arising from wreath products of property (T) groups, Preprint, 2018, [arXiv:1804.04558](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.04558).
6. W.-M. CHING, Non-isomorphic non-hyperfinite factors, *Canad. J. Math.* **21** (1969), 1293–1308.
7. M. CHODA, Inner amenability and fullness, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **86** (1982), 663–666.
8. A. CONNES, Classification of injective factors, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **104**(1) (1976), 73–115.
9. A. CONNES, *Noncommutative Geometry* (Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994). xiv+661 pp.
10. J. DIXMIER AND E. C. LANCE, Deux nouveaux facteurs de type  $II_1$ , *Invent. Math.* **7** (1969), 226–234.
11. D. DRIMBE, D. HOFF AND A. IOANA, Prime  $II_1$  factors arising from irreducible lattices in products of rank one simple Lie groups, *J. Reine. Angew. Math.* **757** (2019), 197–246.
12. J. FANG, L. GE AND W. LI, Central sequence algebras of von Neumann algebras, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **10** (2006), 187–200.
13. I. FARAH, B. HART AND D. SHERMAN, Model theory of operator algebras III: elementary equivalence and  $II_1$  factors, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **46** (2014), 609–628.
14. I. GOLDBRING AND B. HART, On the theories of McDuff’s  $II_1$  factors, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **27**(18) (2017), 5609–5628.
15. U. HAAGERUP, An example of a non-nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra, which has the metric approximation property, *Invent. Math.* **50** (1978/79), 279–293.
16. D. HADWIN AND T. SHULMAN, Tracial stability for  $C^*$ -algebras, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **90**(1) (2018).
17. D. HADWIN AND T. SHULMAN, Stability of group relations under small Hilbert–Schmidt perturbations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **275**(4) (2018), 761–792.
18. C. HOUDAYER, Structure of  $II_1$  factors arising from free Bogoljubov actions of arbitrary groups, *Adv. Math.* **260** (2014), 414–457.
19. C. HOUDAYER AND Y. UEDA, Rigidity of free product von Neumann algebras, *Compos. Math.* **152** (2016), 2461–2492.
20. A. IOANA, Rigidity for von Neumann algebras, in *Proc. Int. Cong. of Math. 2018 Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 2*, pp. 1635–1668. (2018).
21. A. IOANA AND P. SPAAS, A class of  $II_1$  factors with a unique McDuff decomposition, Preprint, 2018, [arXiv:1808.02965](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.02965).
22. Y. ISONO, On fundamental groups of tensor product  $II_1$  factors, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*. 1–19. doi:[10.1017/S1474748018000336](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748018000336).
23. V.F.R. JONES AND K. SCHMIDT, Asymptotically invariant sequences and approximate finiteness, *Amer. J. Math.* **109**(1) (1987), 91–114.

24. A. MARRAKCHI, Stability of products of equivalence relations, *Compos. Math.* **154**(9) (2018), 2005–2019.
25. D. MCDUFF, A countable infinity of  $\text{II}_1$  factors, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **90** (1969), 361–371.
26. D. MCDUFF, Uncountably many  $\text{II}_1$  factors, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **90** (1969), 372–377.
27. D. MCDUFF, Central sequences and the hyperfinite factor, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **21** (1970), 443–461.
28. D. MCDUFF, On residual sequences in a  $\text{II}_1$  factor, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **3** (1971), 273–280.
29. F. MURRAY AND J. VON NEUMANN, Rings of operators, IV, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **44** (1943), 716–808.
30. N. OZAWA, Solid von Neumann algebras, *Acta Math.* **192** (2004), 111–117.
31. N. OZAWA AND S. POPA, On a class of  $\text{II}_1$  factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **172**(1) (2010), 713–749.
32. J. PETERSON AND T. SINCLAIR, On cocycle superrigidity for Gaussian actions, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **32** (2012), 249–272.
33. S. POPA, Correspondences, INCREST preprint **56** (1986), available at [www.math.ucla.edu/~popa/preprints.html](http://www.math.ucla.edu/~popa/preprints.html).
34. S. POPA, Some rigidity results for non-commutative Bernoulli shifts, *J. Funct. Anal.* **230** (2006), 273–328.
35. S. POPA, Strong rigidity of  $\text{II}_1$  factors arising from malleable actions of  $w$ -rigid groups. I, *Invent. Math.* **165**(2) (2006), 369–408.
36. S. POPA, On the superrigidity of malleable actions with spectral gap, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **21** (2008), 981–1000.
37. S. POPA, On Ozawa’s property for free group factors, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2007**(11) (2007), Art. ID rnm036, 10 pp.
38. S. POPA, Deformation and rigidity for group actions and von Neumann algebras, in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Madrid, 2006)*, Volume I, pp. 445–477 (European Mathematical Society Publishing House, Zurich, 2007).
39. S. POPA, On spectral gap rigidity and Connes invariant  $\chi(M)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138**(10) (2010), 3531–3539.
40. S. POPA, On the classification of inductive limits of  $\text{II}_1$  factors with spectral gap, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364** (2012), 2987–3000.
41. S. SAKAI, *The Theory of  $W^*$ -Algebras*, Lecture Notes, (Yale University, 1962).
42. S. SAKAI, Asymptotically abelian  $\text{II}_1$ -factors, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Ser. A* **4** (1968/1969), 299–307.
43. T. SINCLAIR, Strong solidity of group factors from lattices in  $\text{SO}(n,1)$  and  $\text{SU}(n,1)$ , *J. Funct. Anal.* **260** (2011), 3209–3221.
44. A. THOM, Finitary approximations of groups and their applications, in *Proc. Int. Cong. of Math. 2018 Rio de Janeiro, Vol. 2*, pp. 1775–1796. (2018).
45. S. VAES, Rigidity for von Neumann algebras and their invariants, in *Proceedings of the ICM (Hyderabad, India, 2010)*, Volume III, pp. 1624–1650 (Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010).
46. S. VAES, One-cohomology and the uniqueness of the group measure space of a  $\text{II}_1$  factor, *Math. Ann.* **355** (2013), 661–696.
47. D.-V. VOICULESCU, K. J. DYKEMA AND A. NICA, *Free Random Variables*, CRM Monograph Series, Volume 1, (AMS, Providence, RI, 1992).
48. F. B. WRIGHT, A reduction for algebras of finite type, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **60** (1944), 560–570.
49. G. ZELLER-MEIER, Deux autres facteurs de type  $\text{II}_1$ , *Invent. Math.* **7** (1969), 235–242. (French).