Original Article



Pediatric ambulatory catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs): Incidence, risk factors, and patient outcomes

Michael L. Rinke MD, PhD^{1,2}, Suzette O. Oyeku MD, MPH^{1,2}, Moonseong Heo PhD³, Lisa Saiman MD, MPH⁴, Philip Zachariah MD, MS⁴, Rebecca E. Rosenberg MD, MPH⁵, Patricia DeLaMora MD⁶, Barbara Rabin MHA⁷, Parsa Mirhaji MD, PhD², Elizabeth Klein², William J. H. Ford⁶, Oghale Obaro-Best⁸, Michael Drasher⁹, Alexandre Peshansky MSc², Kelly Ann Balem RN, CPN, CCRC^{1,2} and David G. Bundy MD, MPH¹⁰

¹Children's Hospital at Montefiore, Bronx, New York, ²Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, ³Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina, ⁴Columbia University Irving Medical Center, and New York-Presbyterian Hospital, New York, New York, ⁵New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York, ⁶Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York, ⁷Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, ⁸State University of New York Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, New York, ⁹Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan and ¹⁰Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina

Abstract

Objective: Catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) occur frequently in pediatric inpatients, and they are associated with increased morbidity and cost. Few studies have investigated ambulatory CAUTIs, despite at-risk children utilizing home urinary catheterization. This retrospective cohort and case-control study determined incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of pediatric patients with ambulatory CAUTI.

Design: Broad electronic queries identified potential patients with ambulatory urinary catheters, and direct chart review confirmed catheters and adjudicated whether ambulatory CAUTI occurred. CAUTI definitions included clean intermittent catheterization (CIC). Our matched case-control analysis assessed risk factors.

Setting: Five urban, academic medical centers, part of the New York City Clinical Data Research Network.

Patients: Potential patients were age <22 years who were seen between October 2010 and September 2015.

Results: In total, 3,598 eligible patients were identified; 359 of these used ambulatory catheterization (representing186,616 ambulatory catheter days). Of these, 63 patients (18%) experienced 95 ambulatory CAUTIs. The overall ambulatory CAUTI incidence was 0.51 infections per 1,000 catheter days (1.35 for indwelling catheters and 0.47 for CIC; incidence rate ratio, 2.88). Patients with nonprivate medical insurance (odds ratio, 2.5; 95% confidence interval, 1.1–6.3) were significantly more likely to have ambulatory CAUTIs in bivariate models but not multivariable models. Also, 45% of ambulatory CAUTI resulted in hospitalization (median duration, 3 days); 5% resulted in intensive care admission; 47% underwent imaging; and 88% were treated with antibiotics.

Conclusions: Pediatric ambulatory CAUTIs occur in 18% of patients with catheters; they are associated with morbidity and healthcare utilization. Ambulatory indwelling catheter CAUTI incidence exceeded national inpatient incidence. Future quality improvement research to reduce these harmful infections is warranted.

(Received 26 January 2020; accepted 30 April 2020; electronically published 5 June 2020)

Catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) occur frequently in inpatients, leading to morbidity and mortality.¹⁻⁹ They are a common healthcare-associated infection (HAI); they prolong the length of stay and cost >US\$400 million annually in the United States.¹⁰⁻¹² These HAIs are less well studied in children,⁵ with CAUTI incidence ranging from 1 to 5 per 1,000

Author for correspondence: Michael L. Rinke, E-mail: mrinke@montefiore.org

PREVIOUS PRESENTATION: These data were presented as a platform presentation at the Pediatric Academic Societies meeting on April 27, 2019, in Baltimore, Maryland.

Cite this article: Rinke ML, et al. (2020). Pediatric ambulatory catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs): Incidence, risk factors, and patient outcomes. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 41: 891–899, https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.204

© 2020 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved.

catheter days.^{5,13,14} The CAUTI incidence in a national network of children's hospitals is 1.07 per 1,000 catheter days.¹⁵

Pediatric patients also require indwelling catheters or clean intermittent catheterization (CIC) as outpatients, putting them at risk for ambulatory CAUTIs.^{16–19} Less is known about ambulatory CAUTIs because of coding and reporting discrepancies, difficulty identifying urinary catheter use in the ambulatory setting, and interpretation of ambulatory cultures.^{20–22} Approximately 3% of community dwelling elderly adults and 5%–7% of nursing home residents use indwelling catheters, and bacteriuria is the most common complication.^{18,19,23–26} Adult home-health CAUTI rates range from 2.7 to 4.5 per 1,000 device- days,^{27,28} and ambulatory adults requiring CIC have shown UTI rates of 2.27 infections per



subject year.²⁹ Risk factors associated with ambulatory CAUTI, outcomes following ambulatory CAUTI, and ambulatory CAUTI incidence in children are unclear.

Given the number of ambulatory children using indwelling catheters or CIC, pediatric ambulatory CAUTIs need to be investigated further.^{16–19} In this study, we sought to determine the incidence of pediatric ambulatory CAUTIs, associated risk factors, and health outcomes in a retrospective, multisite epidemiologic analysis.

Methods

Setting and study design

This retrospective analysis was performed in 5 urban, pediatric, tertiary-care academic medical centers. These institutions were brought together as part of the New York City Clinical Data Research Network (NYC-CDRN).³⁰ The NYC-CDRN organized the creation of the electronic queries described below although all queries were run at the 5 individual sites on their site specific databases. All pediatric patients <22 years old³¹ with ambulatory visits between October 1, 2010, and September 30, 2015, were eligible for inclusion. The final date was the last day the *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Ninth Revision* (ICD-9) codes were in use.

Potential patients were identified through 3 electronic queries of demographic characteristics, billing codes, and laboratory results. The complete query parameters are listed in the Appendix (online). The first query identified children with ICD-9 codes for CAUTIs or complications due to catheters billed in ambulatory settings or within 48 hours of hospital admission. The second electronic query identified patients who had a positive urine culture and either a diagnosis of neuromuscular disease, urinary disease, cerebral palsy, or vesicoureteral reflux or an ICD-9 code or current procedural terminology (CPT) code for catheter insertion, removal, or usage. The third electronic query identified patients who had an ICD-9 code or CPT code for urinary catheter insertion, removal, or usage. The second query excluded patients in the first query, and the third query excluded patients in the first 2 queries.

For all patients identified by the electronic queries, electronic health record (EHR) chart reviews were completed by chart abstractors to screen for urinary catheter usage and CAUTIs in the ambulatory setting at any time during the study window (using the CAUTI definition described below). Risk factors and outcome data were entered into a REDCap database.³² Each patient's first CAUTI event in the study window was matched with a control (up to 1:3). We tried to match all case patients with at least 1 control patient before a second control patient could be matched with any case patient. Control patients had an ambulatory catheter but did not experience a CAUTI and were matched to case patients by (1) their primary urinary catheter-related diagnosis ICD-9 diagnostic code group, (2) study site, and (3) the presence of a urinary catheter coincident in time with the case patient's CAUTI. Chart abstractors identified a patient's primary diagnosis that led the patient to need a urinary catheter, and this primary diagnosis was grouped into 1 of 17 top-level ICD-9 diagnostic code groups.³³ This matching scheme accounted for changes in prevention strategies throughout the study window, but it allowed for broad assessment of potential risk factors. Additionally, by examining each patient's first CAUTI in the study window, we reduced the risk of bias from patients who had >1 CAUTI.

Definitions

For this study, we modified the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) 2015 CAUTI definition³⁴ to include catheters present in the ambulatory setting (positive urine culture collected >48 hours after discharge or less than or equal to 48 hours after admission) and to include patients requiring CIC. Patients who did not catheterize via a native urethra were excluded. The 4 chart abstractors, all at the same institution, were able to access EHRs online and were trained to adjudicate CAUTIs by a single pediatric hospital epidemiologist who was part of the research team (L.S.). They frequently shared adjudication questions with each other, the lead investigator (M.L.R.), and the hospital epidemiologist.

Ambulatory CAUTI incidence is presented as CAUTIs per 1,000 ambulatory catheter days. A catheter day was any day a urinary catheter was present or CIC was performed in the ambulatory setting. If catheter insertion or removal dates were not clear in the chart, abstractors used date(s) of the first or last note or billing code referencing the catheter. If the insertion date was before the start of the study, cases were excluded from the time from insertion to CAUTI analysis but were included otherwise. Potential risk factors were based on literature reviews and expert consensus (Table 3). Outcomes for pediatric ambulatory CAUTIs were similarly chosen (Table 4). Outcomes were reviewed during the 30 days following CAUTI. Complex chronic conditions (CCCs) were defined as "any medical condition that can be reasonably expected to last at least 12 months ... and to involve either several different organ systems or 1 organ system severely enough to require specialty pediatric care and probably some period of hospitalization in a tertiary care center."³⁵ Nonprivate health insurance includes all patients that are not enrolled with private insurance companies, such as those enrolled in Medicaid, CHIP, Medicare, or military insurance or self-insured patients.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to assess CAUTI incidence, risk factors, and outcome data. Descriptive statistics present CAUTI incidence among ICD-9 diagnostic code groups aggregated from the underlying diagnoses that led to urinary catheterization and the most common underlying diagnoses that led to urinary catheterization. To test our hypothesis that indwelling catheters carry a greater risk for ambulatory CAUTI than CIC, we calculated the CAUTI incidence rate ratio (IRR) comparing the 2 methods of catheterization, and we applied a Poisson regression model to test its significance. We performed bivariate exact conditional logistic regression analyses to identify risk factors for CAUTI comparing patients with an initial CAUTI in the study window versus matched control patients. Conditional regression does not require a fixed number of matched controls per case.³⁶ A multivariate, exact conditional, logistic regression model was developed, including in a full model of risk factors that includescatheter type.³⁶ CAUTI outcomes are presented for all CAUTIs in the study window (ie, unique patients with >1 CAUTI are included more than once) and for initial CAUTI in the study window. A Kaplan-Meier curve was used to determine time to ambulatory infection for indwelling catheters with appropriate censoring when catheters were removed or when CIC was stopped for nonambulatory CAUTI reasons. For those categories with <10 patients, exact 95% confidence intervals were calculated; normal approximations were used in other instances. Statistical analyses were completed using SAS version 9.4 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). This study was approved by the Biomedical Research



Fig. 1. Flow Diagram of Patients Entered into the Study 2010-2015.

Alliance of New York (BRANY) and by the individual institutional review boards of the participating sites.

Results

Electronic queries from the 5 participating sites over 5 years yielded 3,598 unique patients, including 600 patients from the first query using ICD-9 codes for infection, 1,386 from the second query using positive urine cultures and either relevant diagnoses or codes for catheter usage, and 1,612 from the third query using codes for catheter usage (Fig. 1).

CAUTI incidence

Manual chart review revealed that 359 of the 3,598 patients (10%) identified by the electronic queries had an ambulatory urinary catheter, comprising 186,616 ambulatory urinary catheter days. Moreover, 177,695 ambulatory urinary catheter days (95%) occurred in children with CIC. In addition, 63 patients (18%) experienced 95 ambulatory CAUTIs, for an ambulatory CAUTI incidence of 0.51 CAUTI per 1,000 catheter days (95% CI, 0.41–0.61). As presented in Table 1, the indwelling catheter CAUTI incidence was 1.35 per 1,000 catheter days (95% CI, 0.58–2.1) and the CIC CAUTI incidence was 0.47 per 1,000 catheter

Table 1. Total, Indwelling, and Clean Intermittent Catheterization Pediatric Ambulatory CAUTI Incidence per 1,000 Catheter Days

Variable	All	Indwelling Urinary Catheterization	Clean Intermittent Catheterization
Unique patients with a catheter	359	142	217
No. of ambulatory CAUTI	95	12	83
Ambulatory catheter days	186,616	8,921	177,695
CAUTI incidence per 1,000 catheter days (95% CI)	0.51 (0.41–0.61)	1.35 (0.58–2.1)	0.47 (0.37–0.57)
Incidence rate ratio	N/A	2.88 (1.57-5.28)	Reference

Note. CAUTI, catheter-associated urinary tract infection; CI, confidence interval; N/A, not available.

Table 2. Pediatric Ambulatory CAUTI Incidence per 1,000 Catheter Days by Diagnosis that Led to Urinary Catheterization

Diagnosis ^a	No. of Ambulatory CAUTIs	Ambulatory Catheter Days	CAUTI Incidence	95% CI	
Diagnosis that Led to Urinary Catheterization Aggregated by ICD-9 Diagnos	stic Code Groups ³³				
Complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium	1	93	10.75	0.27-59.9	
Endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases, and immunity disorders	2	525	3.81	0.46-13.75	
Diseases of musculoskeletal system and connective tissue	2	1,365	1.47	0.18-5.29	
Injury and poisoning	5	3598	1.39	0.45-3.24	
Congenital anomalies	74	146,500	0.51	0.39-0.62	
Diseases of genitourinary system	7	14,977	0.47	0.19-0.96	
Diseases of nervous system and sense organs	4	18,819	0.21	0.06-0.54	
Diseases of digestive system	0	648	0	0.0-5.69	
Neoplasms	0	91	0	0.0-40.55	
10 Most Common Diagnoses that Led to Urinary Catheterization					
Hypospadias	3	1,054	2.85	0.59-8.32	
Prune belly syndrome	2	734	2.72	0.33-9.84	
Tethered spinal cord	3	2,108	1.42	0.29-41.6	
Posterior urethral valves	11	11,698	0.94	0.38-1.50	
Spina bifida	52	115,014	0.45	0.33-0.58	
Vesicoureteral reflux	4	9,167	0.44	0.12-1.12	
Paraplegia/quadriplegia/tetraplegia	5	13,417	0.37	0.12-0.87	
Genitourinary stones	0	14	0	0.0-263.6	
Ureteropelvic junction obstruction	0	39	0	0.0-94.6	
Cerebral palsy	0	3,799	0	0.0-0.97	

Note. CAUTI, catheter-associated urinary tract infection; CI, confidence interval.

^aDiagnoses are ordered by decreasing CAUTI incidence.

days (95% CI, 0.37–0.57), for an IRR of 2.88 (95% CI, 1.57–5.28; P = .0006). Furthermore, 5% of patients experienced ≥ 2 CAUTIs during the study period: 11 patients experienced 2 CAUTIs, 5 patients had 3 CAUTIs, 1 patient had 5 CAUTIs, and 1 patient had 8 CAUTIs.

Table 2 presents CAUTI incidence by ICD-9 diagnostic code groups aggregated from the underlying diagnoses that led to urinary catheterization and the most common underlying diagnoses that led to urinary catheterization. The highest incidence was seen in ICD-9 diagnostic code groups of complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium (10.75 CAUTIs per 1,000 catheter days) and endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases, and immunity disorders (3.81 CAUTIs per 1,000 catheter days), although both categories had relatively fewer catheter days. The highest incidence by underlying diagnosis occurred in patients with hypospadias (2.85 CAUTIs per 1,000 catheter days) and prune-belly syndrome (2.72 CAUTIs per 1,000 catheter days). The diagnosis of spina bifida was associated with the most catheter days, and these patients experienced a CAUTI incidence of 0.45 CAUTIs per 1,000 catheter days.

Risk factors

Of the 63 unique patients with a CAUTI, 3 control patients were matched to 22 case patients (35%); 2 control patients were matched to 12 case patients (19%); 1 control patient was matched for 19 case

patients (30%); and zero control patients were matched for 10 case patients (16%). Of the 10 unmatched cases, 4 had indwelling catheters and 6 had a CIC. In the bivariate logistic regression analyses (Table 3), patients with nonprivate medical insurance (odds ratio [OR], 2.5; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.1-6.3) had significantly increased odds for an ambulatory CAUTI. In addition, 3 other variables, body mass index (BMI), non-English preferred language, and premature birth had P < .075 in the bivariate analyses; BMI was not included in the multivariate analysis because 59% of patients had missing values for this risk factor. No risk factor had odds that were significantly associated with ambulatory CAUTIs in the multivariable model including non-private insurance status (OR, 2.0; 95% CI, 0.78-5.4), non-English preferred language (OR, 1.7; 95% CI, 0.55-5.5), or premature birth (OR, 2.2; 95% CI, 0.73-6.8). These results did not appreciably change when catheter type (CIC vs indwelling), which was not a matching variable, was included in the model.

Outcomes for all CAUTIs in the study window

When considering all ambulatory CAUTI in the study window (n = 95), 45% of patients were hospitalized in the 30 days following their CAUTI and had a median length of stay of 3.0 days (IQR, 2-4). Moreover, 5% of patients required intensive care and 3% of patients were diagnosed with Clostridioides difficile infection in the 30 days following their CAUTI. Furthermore, 88% of patients received antibiotics associated with their CAUTI; 31% of whom received intravenous antibiotics. Also, 47% of patients underwent imaging associated with their CAUTI. No case patients had evidence of bacteremia, perinephric abscesses, or acute kidney injury according to the risk, injury, failure, loss of kidney function, and end-stage kidney disease (RIFLE) criteria.³⁷ Finally, 10 case patients (16%) had a second CAUTI, separated in time, that was caused by the same microorganism cultured during their first CAUTI. The most common organisms isolated in urine cultures were Escherichia coli (63%) and Klebsiella pneumoniae (13%). Table 4 presents additional outcome data for all patients and for the 63 unique patients' first CAUTI in the study window.

Time to infection for indwelling catheters

The Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of time to ambulatory CAUTI for patients with indwelling catheters presents frequent occurrences of infection within the first 40 days, after which only 1 infection occurred at day 705, with >70% survival probability with no infection (Fig. 2).

Discussion

In one of the first multisite studies to assess the burden of pediatric ambulatory CAUTIs, including the burden associated with CICrelated CAUTI, we identified a CAUTI incidence of 0.51 CAUTI per 1,000 catheter days. Patients using indwelling catheters had 2.9 times higher incidence of CAUTI than those using CIC, and they experienced an ambulatory CAUTI on average every 743 days at risk. No variables were identified as risk factors for CAUTIs in a multivariable model. Morbidity and healthcare utilization associated with CAUTIs were notable; 45% of all ambulatory CAUTI patients were hospitalized within 30 days of their CAUTI, including 5% admitted to an ICU, and 3% of patients developed *C. difficile*. These results suggest that pediatric ambulatory CAUTIs frequently occur and have associated morbidity, meriting further focus on defining, tracking, and reducing these infections.

A national network of children's hospitals identified 1.07 CAUTIs per 1,000 indwelling catheter days in pediatric inpatients in 2018.¹⁵ In contrast, the pediatric ambulatory CAUTI incidence associated with indwelling catheters in this study (2010–2015) is 1.35 per 1,000 catheter days. Given the focus on inpatient pediatric CAUTI reduction, this study's comparable pediatric ambulatory CAUTI incidence suggests that the risk of infection associated with urinary catheters used in ambulatory setting also merits reduction efforts. However, unlike the NHSN inpatient CAUTI definition,³⁴ our catheter definitions included patients requiring CIC, who constituted 95% of pediatric ambulatory CAUTI at-risk catheter days in this study (177,695 of 186,616) occurred in patients using CIC. Based on the large number of at-risk CIC days, researchers and policy makers could consider including patients using CIC in CAUTI definitions, surveillance, and prevention efforts.

Notably, only 10% of patients in this study with relevant ambulatory ICD-9 and CPT codes had ambulatory indwelling or CIC catheters upon chart review. Thus, any work aimed at reducing ambulatory CAUTI would need to be supported by improved billing and coding efforts as well as increased ambulatory catheter surveillance. Although this resource investment may be daunting, we hypothesize that it is comparable to the resources required at the initiation of inpatient CAUTI surveillance. We further hypothesize that the sensitivity and specificity of ICD-10 codes for HAIs are similarly poor, but our data do not speak to this specifically.

When designating limited resources to ambulatory CAUTI reduction, it is important to target key ambulatory CAUTI risk factors. Risk factors for adult inpatient CAUTI include female sex, older age, neutropenia, and paraplegia.^{38,39} Risk factors for pediatric inpatient CAUTIs after congenital heart surgery have been identified as congenital abnormalities of the kidney and urinary tract.⁴⁰ Hospitalized children who were diagnosed with CAUTIs were more likely to be female (75%) and to have complex chronic conditions (98%).⁵ We did not identify any risk factors for pediatric ambulatory CAUTIs in a multivariable model. The lack of significant associations may be due to insufficient power; even with 5 academic medical centers over 5 years, only 63 unique patients with ambulatory CAUTIs were identified and only 53 were able to be matched to controls. Furthermore, research in disease-specific groups may be required to identify risk factors because the risk factors for ambulatory CAUTI may differ in children with different pathophysiologies of disease. Although patients with nonprivate insurance are reasonable first targets for reduction efforts, national research can help identify more definitive pediatric risk factors for ambulatory CAUTI reduction interventions.

This study has several limitations. Most importantly, misclassification bias is possible across various domains of the study. Charting and coding was not consistent across the EHRs of these 5 institutions, and each site ran the queries on their site-specific databases, creating additional opportunities for variation. Efforts were made to train, standardize and monitor chart reviews from the data abstractors, but variation is always possible among 4 abstractors. Data abstractors were not certified infection preventionists, but a hospital epidemiologist did provide training and was available for CAUTI adjudication questions. Additionally, patients may have been colonized with bacterial organisms instead of experiencing true infection and CAUTI, and pregnant women with short-term catheterizations may have been included. Outcomes of patients may be unrelated to CAUTIs and just occurring frequently due to catheter-associated diagnoses in these

Table 3. Risk Factors for Pediatric Ambulatory CAUTI in Bivariate Case-Control Analysis^a

	First CAUTI In Window	Controls		
Risk Factor	(N = 53), No. (%) ^b	(N = 109), No. (%)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value
Demographics characteristics				
Age at visit, mean y (SD)	9.1 (6.2)	10.1 (5.9)	0.96 (0.91–1.0)	.19
Sex. female	23 (46)	41 (41)	1.1 (0.50–2.4)	.95
Race		. ,	. , ,	
Nonwhite	19 (38)	28 (28)	Ref	Ref
White	17 (34)	33 (33)	0.77 (0.27–2.2)	.74
Declined/Missing	14 (28)	38 (38)	1.1 (0.43-3.0)	.96
Ethnicity				
Declined/Missing	8 (16)	26 (26)	Ref	Ref
Hispanic	20 (40)	28 (28)	2.5 (0.75-9.3)	.16
Non-Hispanic	22 (44)	45 (45)	1.7 (0.56–5.6)	.46
Language: non-English preferred	17 (34)	17 (17)	2.6 (1.0-7.2)	.05
Nonprivate insurance	40 (78)	61 (58)	2.5 (1.1-6.3)	.03
Body mass index, mean (SD)	19.2 (4.9)	18.4 (4.6)	1.1 (0.99-1.3)	.06 ^c
Premature birth	13 (27)	14 (13)	2.5 (0.94–6.9)	.07
Diagnoses ^d				
Comorbidities, mean (SD)	2.9 (1.5)	2.7 (1.7)	1.0 (0.79-1.3)	.88
Complex chronic condition ³⁵	42 (81)	101 (93)	0.42 (0.11-1.5)	.21
Constipation	14 (28)	39 (36)	0.61 (0.26-1.4)	.28
Developmental delay	16 (31)	26 (24)	1.4 (0.62–3.3)	.45
Impaired patient cognition	44 (85)	98 (91)	0.69 (0.20-2.5)	.70
Incontinence history	9 (17)	24 (22)	0.78 (0.27–2.2)	.79
Lower extremity paralysis	8 (16)	19 (17)	0.71 (0.20-2.3)	.73
Myelomeningocele	22 (42)	52 (48)	0.87 (0.34–2.2)	.91
Positive blood culture(s) in the prior 2 y	13 (25)	28 (26)	1.2 (0.44–3.3)	.84
Presence of concurrent infection	4 (8)	3 (3)	3.6 (0.60–25)	.19
Medical Utilization:				
No feeding tube	49 (92)	97 (89)	1.3 (0.32–7.9)	.95
ICU admission in the prior 30 d	1 (2)		2.0 (0.11 to ∞)	.33
Hospitalization in the prior 30 d	6 (12)	7 (6)	2.2 (0.52–9.5)	.35
Clinic visit in the prior 30 d	33 (63)	48 (44)	2.0 (0.94-4.4)	.08
ED visit in the prior 30 d	5 (10)	10 (9)	1.1 (0.26-3.7)	1
Central line placed in the prior g 30 d	5 (10)	10 (9)	1.1 (0.26-3.9)	1
Bone marrow transplant in the prior 100 d	1 (2)		3 (0.16 to ∞)	.25
Technology dependence in the prior 30 d	3 (6)	3 (3)	2.2 (0.28–17)	.58
Contact precautions at prior admission(s)	5 (10)	10 (9)	1.3 (0.27–5.2)	.92
Orthopedic surgery in the prior 30 d	1 (2)	1 (1)	2.5 (0.03–196)	1
Urethral stents	3 (6)		5.0 (0.75 to ∞)	.08
Treatments				
Prophylactic antibiotics in the prior 30 d	16 (31)	19 (18)	2.1 (0.9–5.2)	.10
Antibiotics in the prior 7 d to treat a non-CAUTI infection	6 (6)	5 (10)	1.9 (0.41-8.5)	.52

Note. CAUTI, catheter-associated urinary tract infection; CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation; Ref, reference; ICU, intensive care unit; ED, emergency department; ANC, absolute neutrophil count.

^aMissing data varied by risk factor, so total no. varies. No variables were significantly associated with ambulatory CAUTI in multivariable analysis; the results are presented in the text. ^bOnly 53 of the 63 unique patients with ambulatory CAUTI were able to be matched to control patients.

⁶Variables without any positive cases or controls: neutropenic (ANC<500) within 30 d. Variables occurring in <3 cases or controls and not associated with ambulatory CAUTI (abnormal albumin within 30 d) (P = degenerate).

Table 4. Outcomes for All CAUTIs in Window and Unique Patients' First CAUTI in Window^a

Outcome	All CAUTIs in Window (N = 95), No. (%)	First CAUTI in Window (N = 63). No. (%)
Hospital admission in 30 d following CAUTI ^b	42 (45)	31 (50)
Length of stay, median d (IQR)	3.0 (2–4)	4 (3–4)
ICU admission in 30 d following CAUTI	2 (5)	2 (6)
Hypotension associated with CAUTI ^c	3 (3)	3 (5)
ED visit in 30 d following CAUTI ED visit because of CAUTI	37 (40) 24 (26)	24 (40) 15 (25)
Antibiotics associated with CAUTI	83 (88)	54 (87)
Antibiotics route		
Intravenous	26 (31)	18 (33)
Oral/nasogastric/gastric/jejunal tube	73 (83)	47 (87)
Patient developed C. difficile related to CAUTI or CAUTI treatment	3 (3)	3 (5)
Imaging relating to CAUTI ^d Radiographs Computed tomography Magnetic resonance imaging Ultrasound	44 (47) 22 (36) 1 (1) 1 (1) 36 (38)	28 (45) 13 (21) 24 (38)
Worsening creatinine (≥0.2 increase from baseline)	8 (9)	7 (11)

Note. CAUTI, catheter-associated urinary tract infection; IQR, interquartile range; ICU, intensive care unit; ED, emergency department. ^aMissing data varied by outcome, so total no. varies.

^bAll CAUTI patients were discharged home and there were no mortalities in the 30 days following CAUTI.

^c1 patient required vasopressors for hypotension in each group.

^dPatients may have received >1 imaging modality; no patients received interventional radiology imaging or a voiding cystourethrogram.



Product-Limit Survival Estimate

Fig. 2. Kaplan Meier Survival to Ambulatory CAUTI for Patients with Indwelling Catheters.

patients. The NHSN definition for CAUTI was not developed for ambulatory catheters or for patients using CIC. Some patients with ambulatory urinary catheters may not have been identified by our electronic searches, although we believe this is less likely given the broad search strategies we used. Similarly, it is possible that retrospective chart review over- or under-estimates the number of catheter days, which would skew the incidence estimates presented in this manuscript. Our case-control matching schema did not identify any control patients for 16% of cases and identified only 1 control patient for 30% of patients. Patients with rare diagnoses, therefore, may have been underrepresented in our risk-factor analyses. Finally, all sites were tertiary-care, academic, pediatric medical centers, and it is unlikely these results are generalizable to non-tertiary-care centers. We believe that most patients with long-term ambulatory catheterization are followed in tertiarycare centers.

In conclusion, pediatric ambulatory CAUTI incidence is comparable to pediatric inpatient CAUTI incidence. This incidence varies by diagnosis and type of urinary catheterization used. These infections lead to patient morbidity, and more research is needed to understand and validate risk factors for pediatric ambulatory CAUTIs. Efforts should be focused on developing standardized ambulatory CAUTI case definitions and promoting strategies to reduce these infections.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.204

Acknowledgments. None.

Financial support. The authors were supported by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (grant no. R01HS24432).

Conflicts of interest. No authors report a potential conflict of interest.

References

- Mak RH, Kuo HJ. Pathogenesis of urinary tract infection: an update. *Curr* Opin Pediatr 2006;18:148–152.
- Weber DJ, Sickbert-Bennett EE, Gould CV, Brown VM, Huslage K, Rutala WA. Incidence of catheter-associated and non-catheter-associated urinary tract infections in a healthcare system. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011; 32:822–823.
- Chant C, Smith OM, Marshall JC, Friedrich JO. Relationship of catheterassociated urinary tract infection to mortality and length of stay in critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Crit Care Med* 2011;39:1167–1173.
- Dudeck MA, Weiner LM, Allen-Bridson K, et al. National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) report, data summary for 2012, device-associated module. Am J Infect Control 2013;41:1148–1166.
- Davis KF, Colebaugh AM, Eithun BL, et al. Reducing catheter-associated urinary tract infections: a quality-improvement initiative. *Pediatrics* 2014; 134:e857–e864.
- Kaplan JA, Carter JT. Near-perfect compliance with SCIP Inf-9 had no effect on catheter utilization or urinary tract infections at an academic medical center. *Am J Surg* 2018;215:23–27.
- Scott RD. The direct medical costs of healthcare-associated infections in US hospitals and the benefits of prevention. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website. https://www.cdc.gov/HAI/pdfs/hai/Scott_CostPaper. pdf. Published 2009. Accessed June 17, 2019.
- Zingg W, Fu Q, Neves D. Review on the burden and prevention of healthcare-associated infections in outpatient care. Strategie NOSO Ambulant Systematic website. https://www.bag.admin.ch/dam/bag/de/dokumente/ mt/i-und-i/noso/nosoambulant/schlussbericht-systematic-review-nosoambulant.pdf.download.pdf/171212_Schlussbericht%20Systematic%20 Review_NOSOambulant-en.pdf. Published 2017. Accessed June 17, 2019.
- 9. Umscheid CA, Mitchell MD, Doshi JA, Agarwal R, Williams K, Brennan PJ. Estimating the proportion of healthcare-associated infections that are reasonably preventable and the related mortality and costs. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011;32:101–114.
- Klevens RM, Edwards JR, Richards CL Jr, *et al.* Estimating health care-associated infections and deaths in US hospitals, 2002. *Public Health Rep* 2007; 122:160–166.
- 11. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. *Am J Med* 2002;113 suppl 1A:5S–13S.
- 12. Saint S. Clinical and economic consequences of nosocomial catheter-related bacteriuria. *Am J Infect Control* 2000;28:68–75.

- 13. Alten JA, Rahman A, Zaccagni HJ, *et al.* The epidemiology of healthcareassociated infections in pediatric cardiac intensive care units. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2018;37:768–772.
- 14. Brilli RJ, McClead RE Jr, Crandall WV, *et al.* A comprehensive patient safety program can significantly reduce preventable harm, associated costs, and hospital mortality. *J Pediatr* 2013;163:1638–1645.
- Catheter-associated urinary tract infection rate. 2018. Solutions for Patient Safety website. https://www.solutionsforpatientsafety.org/our-results/. Published 2018. Accessed June 17, 2019.
- Larijani FJ, Moghtaderi M, Hajizadeh N, Assadi F. Preventing kidney injury in children with neurogenic bladder dysfunction. *Int J Prevent Med* 2013; 4:1359–1364.
- 17. Kasabian NG, Bauer SB, Dyro FM, Colodny AH, Mandell J, Retik AB. The prophylactic value of clean intermittent catheterization and anticholinergic medication in newborns and infants with myelodysplasia at risk of developing urinary tract deterioration. *Am J Dis Child* 1992;146:840–843.
- Madigan E, Neff DF. Care of patients with long-term indwelling urinary catheters. Online J Issues Nurs 2003;8:7.
- Jewes LA, Gillespie WA, Leadbetter A, *et al.* Bacteriuria and bacteraemia in patients with long-term indwelling catheters—a domiciliary study. *J Med Microbiol* 1988;26:61–65.
- 20. Meddings J, Saint S, McMahon LF Jr. Hospital-acquired catheter-associated urinary tract infection: documentation and coding issues may reduce financial impact of Medicare's new payment policy. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;31:627–633.
- 21. Duane TM, Ramanathan R, Leavell P, Mays C, Ober J. CAUTIs and CLABSIs: do physicians REALLY know what they are? *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 2016;17:13–16.
- Tieder JS, Hall M, Auger KA, *et al.* Accuracy of administrative billing codes to detect urinary tract infection hospitalizations. *Pediatrics* 2011; 128:323–330.
- Bardsley A. Safe and effective catheterisation for patients in the community. Br J Community Nurs 2015;20:166–172.
- Tsan L, Davis C, Langberg R, *et al.* Prevalence of nursing home-associated infections in the Department of Veterans' Affairs nursing home care units. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;36:173–179.
- Mody L, Krein SL, Saint SK, *et al.* A targeted infection prevention intervention in nursing home residents with indwelling devices: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015;175:714–723.
- Tsan L, Langberg R, Davis C, et al. Nursing home-associated infections in Department of Veterans' Affairs community living centers. Am J Infect Control 2010;38:461–466.
- Weber DJ, Brown V, Huslage K, Sickbert-Bennett E, Rutala WA. Devicerelated infections in home health care and hospice: infection rates, 1998–2008. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2009;30:1022–1024.
- Rosenheimer L, Embry FC, Sanford J, Silver SR. Infection surveillance in home care: device-related incidence rates. *Am J Infect Control* 1998; 26:359–363.
- 29. Prasad A, Cevallos ME, Riosa S, Darouiche RO, Trautner BW. A bacterial interference strategy for prevention of UTI in persons practicing intermittent catheterization. *Spinal Cord* 2009;47:565–569.
- Kaushal R, Hripcsak G, Ascheim DD, et al. Changing the research landscape: the New York City Clinical Data Research Network. J Am Med Informat Assoc 2014;21:587–590.
- 31. Hardin AP, Hackell JM, Committee On P, Ambulatory M. Age limit of pediatrics. *Pediatrics* 2017;140.
- 32. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009;42:377–381.
- International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website. https:// www.cdc.gov/nchs/icd/icd9cm.htm. Published 2015. Accessed March 12, 2020.
- 34. National Healthcare Safety Network. Urinary tract infection (catheterassociated urinary tract infection [CAUTI]). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website. https://www.cdc.gov/hai/ca_uti/uti.html. Published October 16, 2015. Accessed May 13, 2020.

- Feudtner C, Christakis DA, Connell FA. Pediatric deaths attributable to complex chronic conditions: a population-based study of Washington State, 1980–1997. *Pediatrics* 2000;106:205–209.
- 36. Kleinbaum DG, Klein M. Logistic Regression: Self-Learning Text, third edition. New York: Springer; 2010.
- 37. Bellomo R, Ronco C, Kellum JA, et al. Acute renal failure—definition, outcome measures, animal models, fluid therapy and information technology needs: the Second International Consensus Conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) Group. Crit Care 2004;8:R204–R212.
- Lo E, Nicolle LE, Coffin SE, et al. Strategies to prevent catheter-associated urinary tract infections in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2014;35 Suppl 2:S32–S47.
- Letica-Kriegel AS, Salmasian H, Vawdrey DK, et al. Identifying the risk factors for catheter-associated urinary tract infections: a large cross-sectional study of six hospitals. BMJ Open 2019;9:e022137.
- 40. Kabbani MS, Ismail SR, Fatima A, et al. Urinary tract infection in children after cardiac surgery: incidence, causes, risk factors and outcomes in a single-center study. J Infect Public Health 2016;9:600–610.