

The physiological and metabolic impacts on sheep and cattle of feed and water deprivation before and during transport

James P. Hogan¹, J. Carol Petherick² and Clive J. C. Phillips^{1*}

¹Centre for Animal Welfare and Ethics, School of Veterinary Science, University of Queensland, St Lucia 4072, Australia

²Queensland Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Rockhampton, Australia

Sheep and cattle are frequently subjected to feed and water deprivation (FWD) for about 12 h before, and then during, transport to reduce digesta load in the gastrointestinal tract. This FWD is marked by weight loss as urine and faeces mainly in the first 24 h but continuing at a reduced rate subsequently. The weight of rumen contents falls although water loss is to some extent masked by saliva inflow. FWD is associated with some stress, particularly when transportation is added. This is indicated by increased levels of plasma cortisol that may be partly responsible for an observed increase in the output of water and N in urine and faeces. Loss of body water induces dehydration that may induce feelings of thirst by effects on the hypothalamus structures through the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system. There are suggestions that elevated cortisol levels depress angiotensin activity and prevent sensations of thirst in dehydrated animals, but further research in this area is needed. Dehydration coupled with the discharge of Na in urine challenges the maintenance of homeostasis. In FWD, Na excretion in urine is reduced and, with the reduction in digesta load, Na is gradually returned from the digestive tract to the extracellular fluid space. Control of enteropathogenic bacteria by normal rumen microbes is weakened by FWD and resulting infections may threaten animal health and meat safety. Recovery time is required after transport to restore full feed intake and to ensure that adequate glycogen is present in muscle pre-slaughter to maintain meat quality.

Transport: Food deprivation: Water deprivation: Ruminants: Digesta

Introduction

In traditional livestock farming systems, animals were driven across land on foot, receiving food and water en route, but nowadays they are nearly always transported by road or rail. This is usually from a farm to a saleyard or market, or abattoir, or from a farm specialised in breeding stock to one reserved for fattening. Such journeys can cover thousands of km^{1–3} and take several days. Food and water provision is nearly always suspended during transportation and it is also common practice to deny sheep and cattle access to feed and water for several hours before transport. The practice of feed and water deprivation (FWD) before transport was first called a ‘curfew’ by Wythes⁴. This is distinct from undernutrition (‘a prolonged inadequate supply of nutrients to sustain good health and, in the case of immature or underweight animals, growth potential’)⁵ and malnutrition (‘a deficit, imbalance or excess of nutrients with consequential adverse effects on health and growth potential’)⁵.

The imposition of a period of FWD before transport has two main aims. The first is to reduce digesta load in the gastrointestinal tract in an attempt to reduce fouling of other animals, the trucks and roads over which they pass, and carcass contamination. The second, in situations where animals are sold by weight, is to permit a more accurate prediction of carcass weight.

The short-term interruption to nutrient supply associated with FWD will in particular affect functioning of the rumen and the rest of the digestive tract, tissue homeostasis and control of enteropathogenic bacteria by rumen microbes. Effects on metabolism in animal muscle will also influence meat quality. The process of gathering animals on a farm, holding them in yards, often with unfamiliar companions, loading them aboard unfamiliar vehicles and then transporting them, subjects the animals to multiple stressors. These are manifested by substantial increases in the circulating levels of corticosteroids, notably cortisol, and the release of catecholamines such as adrenaline⁶. Hence,

Abbreviations: ECF, extracellular fluid; FWD, feed and water deprivation; ICF, intracellular fluid; OP, osmotic pressure; RD, weight of rumen digesta; RFW, live weight of the animal free of rumen digesta; VFA, volatile fatty acids.

* **Corresponding author:** Professor Clive Phillips, fax +61 7 3365 1255, email c.phillips@uq.edu.au

any effects of FWD may be influenced by the additional stressors associated with the transportation process. Animals need to recover quickly from the effects of FWD in order to maintain efficiency of production and to ensure meat quality and meat safety.

The present review will consider the animal's systems that may be affected by FWD, the mechanisms that the animal initiates to minimise those effects, and the rate of recovery when feed and water become available.

Effects on live weight

The most obvious effect of FWD is a loss in live weight, especially during the first 12 h⁴. A loss in sheep of 5.5 kg over 12 h compared with control animals was recorded by Cockram *et al.*⁷, and losses of this magnitude occur whether or not transportation is involved⁸. After the rapid initial loss, live weight continues to decrease steadily and relatively constantly for the next 36 h but declines more slowly after that⁴. For instance, steers weighing 396 kg and fasted (with water available) for 12, 24, 36 and 48 h lost 23, 30, 46 and 57 kg, respectively⁹. In cattle transported for 31 h, including a 1 h rest period with water and feed available after 14 h, Knowles *et al.*¹⁰ observed an 8 % loss in live weight. Some 70 % of this loss occurred in the first 14 h, 89 % in the first 21 h and 95 % by the 26th hour. These weight changes are greater than observed following major changes in the type of feed provided to cattle; for example, Balch & Line¹¹ recorded a 30 kg live-weight loss over 3 d when cows were changed from a conserved forage and concentrate diet to grazing.

The live weight of herbivores is dynamic. They daily consume a weight of feed comprising approximately 4 % of body weight, plus, for lactating animals at least, an even greater weight of water. However, they are likely to show no net change in body weight over 24 h. This is because the feed is fermented, metabolised and excreted in urine, faeces¹², bodily secretions and expired air. Furthermore, the distribution of weight in the animal varies during the day as digesta that accumulate in the rumen are gradually moved to more distal sections of the tract. Wether sheep grazing lush pastures may excrete 3–6 litres/d¹³, may urinate twenty to forty times daily, with an average volume of approximately 150 ml¹⁴. Female animals appear to urinate less frequently than castrate males but excrete a greater volume per urination (JP Hogan, unpublished results). Carbon losses from sheep through respiration and other mechanisms have been estimated by Graham¹⁵. Typically, sheep receiving energy at approximately the maintenance level had the following carbon exchange (g/d): intake, 248; faeces, 63; urine, 12; methane, 11; carbon dioxide, 160. In this example, the weight loss per d as respiration gases would comprise approximately 18 g as methane and 587 g as carbon dioxide, representing a significant part of the daily weight variation. However, as Blaxter & Graham¹⁶ indicated (Table 1), food deprivation for 2–4 d would reduce the output of carbon dioxide and methane, respectively, from (g/d) 709 and 18 to 267 and 1.

During FWD some live-weight loss is expected due to cessation of feed and water intake. However, major live-weight loss is due to catabolic processes, which occur at a

Table 1. Changes in output of respired gases in sheep before, during and after a 96 h fast (calculated from Blaxter & Graham¹⁶)

	Carbon dioxide (g/24 h)	Methane (g/24 h)
Preceding 6 d	709	18.4
Feed deprivation for 48–96 h	267	0.96
Refeeding days		
5–6	746	6.3
7–8	724	12.8
9–10	712	16.2
11–12	702	16.9

declining rate as the supply of metabolites for respiration is reduced¹⁶. Defaecation also gradually declines. In cattle after 48 h FWD, 70 % of faecal output occurred in the first 24 h¹⁷. Water deprivation for 4 d resulted in a partial voluntary feed intake restriction¹⁸, presumably because the cattle attempted to maintain rumen osmolality. As the daily intake of hay fell from 6.6 to 0.5 kg, there was a decline in output of urine from 7 to 2 litres/d and faeces from 16 to 2 kg/d. The water content of faeces fell from 85 to 72 %, reflecting water reabsorption during a longer retention time of digesta in the large intestine, so that the output of water in faeces declined from 14 to 1 litre/d.

Rumen content and function

The extent of change in rumen function during FWD depends on the amount and composition of digesta initially present. In ewes fed hay and concentrates¹⁹ and in cows fed hay²⁰ (Table 2), the weight of digesta in the whole tract was equivalent to approximately 19 % body weight. The digesta in the rumen formed about 70 % of the total. Changes in the weight of rumen contents reflect both the daily intake of feed and the rate at which residues are removed from the rumen, which in turn are dependent on the nature of the diet and on the physiological state of the animal. Weston²¹, in reviewing factors regulating feed intake in animals, expressed the weight of rumen digesta (RD) in relation to the live weight of the animal free of rumen digesta (RFW), that is, the RD:RFW ratio. Data from eighteen studies with sheep and fourteen with cattle fed *ad libitum* showed that the RD:RFW (g/kg) varied from 100 with feeds of high digestibility to 300 with very mature forages. It is clear that the weight of digesta in the rumen at the start of FWD can be affected by the age and physiological state of the animal and by plant factors such as anatomy, stage of maturity and nutrient adequacy for animals. With lambs fed chopped straw or lucerne hay, Weston *et al.*²² observed that, although the intake of organic matter was only about half that of adult sheep, RD:RFW was higher. However, when data were expressed relative to metabolic body size, i.e. live weight to the power of 0.75, the values for sheep and lambs became almost identical. Feed intake and digesta load are greater in lactating than non-lactating ewes²³. Sheep and cattle ate significantly more leaf than stem from tropical grasses²⁴ but had similar amounts of digesta in the rumen. Research with a tropical legume²⁵ has shown that, although the intake of

Table 2. Weights of digesta in the different sections of the gastrointestinal tract of sheep¹⁹ and cattle^{20*}

Section of tract	Mass of contents (kg)		DM (g/100 g)		Water (kg)	
	Sheep	Cows	Sheep	Cows	Sheep	Cows
Reticulo-rumen	7.75	73.4	14.2	14.5	6.65	62.8
Omasum	0.17	9.0	21.9	17.8	0.13	7.4
Abomasum	0.91	2.5	12.7	11.3	0.79	2.2
Small intestine	0.93	7.4	10.0	7.8	0.83	6.8
Large intestine	1.32	10.2	16.7	12.1	1.10	9.0

* Ewes of 58 kg ate 400 g hay and 1150 g concentrates daily. Dairy cows (mean weight 554 kg) ate 10 kg DM as hay daily.

leaf by sheep is much greater than that of stem, the RD:RFW ratio was similar. For cattle it was less for leaf than for stem. The provision of an N supplement to cattle fed a mature tropical grass increased both feed intake and RD:RFW²⁶.

The RD:RFW ratio also varies with time of day in animals grazing or fed forages *ad libitum*. For instance, cattle with 65 kg digesta in the rumen at 09.30 hours had increased the load by 21 % by 15.30 hours²⁷. Similarly, a digesta load of 2.9 kg in the rumen of sheep sampled at daylight, before grazing had commenced, increased by 70 % when morning grazing ended 4 h later²⁸. Therefore, there is likely to be less excreta voided, and hence less vehicle and hide contamination, when livestock are transported early in the morning than later in the day.

If feed intake is known, the DM in the rumen: DM intake ratio provides an indication of the average time spent by feed residues in the rumen²⁹. In these studies, the ratio of DM or organic matter in the rumen to the corresponding intake ranged from 0.5 with immature forage oats to 1.4 with straw. Calculations from the data in Table 2 suggest that the sheep of Boyne *et al.*¹⁹, fed hay plus concentrates, had in their rumen a DM equivalent to approximately 0.8 × daily intake. Similarly the hay-fed cattle of Makela²⁰ had approximately 1.06 × intake in their rumen. With cattle fed tropical grasses or legumes^{24,25}, the DM in the rumen of animals fed the leaf fraction was equivalent to 1.1 to 1.5 × intake, whereas with the stem fraction, the corresponding values were 1.8 to 2.1. Sheep were apparently able to move feed more readily through the rumen as the digesta load for the leaf fraction was 0.5–1.1 × intake and the stem fraction 0.8–1.43. Presumably feed retained in the rumen for an extended time in the fed animal is discharged relatively slowly during FWD.

Jersey–Friesian steers removed from pasture for varying times before slaughter experienced a 27 % reduction in the weight of reticulo-rumen contents (initially 55 kg), over 17 h of FWD, and the DM content was reduced by 40 % (initially 8 kg)³⁰. There was little change in the weight of digesta with 31 h of further FWD, but DM mass declined to 3 kg. However, the full extent of DM loss from the rumen may be partly masked by inflow of saliva, as confirmed by Weston *et al.*²⁷ in studies of pregnant and control cows placed under FWD after being on pasture. Losses in mass of rumen contents during 16–17 h of FWD were 31 to 40 %, but the corresponding losses of DM were 49 to 60 %. In a study with 350 kg heifers, Janloo *et al.*³¹ indicated that 36 h after withdrawing a diet containing 84 % rolled maize, the net loss in weight of 19 % from the rumen comprised 2.8 kg

water, but 3.8 kg DM. It is of interest in the context of continuing microbial fermentation in the rumen during FWD that, although only 2.5 kg acid-detergent fibre was normally maintained in the rumen, 1.1 kg of that fraction of feed was still present in the rumen after 36 h of FWD.

Few studies have separately involved FWD, but water intake will be reduced anyway if feed intake is restricted³², and conversely the withdrawal of water depresses feed intake¹⁸. However, Warner & Stacy³³ had the opportunity to compare deprivation of feed plus water with feed alone. In a study using two sheep, one did not drink, whereas the other drank three times during feed deprivation. No appreciable differences were seen between the sheep in plasma osmotic pressure (OP) (mean 295–300 mOsm/kg) or rumen pH, which gradually rose from about 6.6 to 7.1. However, the two sheep differed physiologically. In the sheep that refused to drink, rumen OP rose to 280 mOsm/kg during the first 30 h but subsided to 245 mOsm/kg subsequently. This contrasted with a rise to 300 mOsm/kg in the second sheep before a drink of water lowered it to 240 mOsm/kg. The OP then rose but was lowered each time by water consumption. The increased rumen OP derived mainly from saliva which, though hypotonic to plasma, was hypertonic to rumen contents³⁴. Presumably the sheep that reduced rumen OP without drinking differed from the other in the composition and rate of secretion of saliva.

Homeostasis

FWD challenges the ability of the animal to regulate homeostasis. This is primarily concerned with the maintenance, within defined limits, of physiological features such as pH, osmotic pressure and acid–base balance. These are controlled by the movement of ions, notably Na, K, chloride and bicarbonate through intracellular fluids (ICF) and extracellular fluids (ECF). In single-stomached animals, ICF is defined as that part of the body water plus dissolved solutes within cell membranes. The ICF maintains homeostasis particularly through its high content of K. The ECF describes all body fluids outside the cells including plasma and interstitial fluid and can be regarded as an ultrafiltrate of blood plasma. Ruminants have a special consideration relating to whether the water in the digestive tract and especially in the rumen is considered part of ECF, which will be discussed later.

Homeostasis is challenged by loss of water from the body and hence during FWD, by dehydration leading to thirst. Thirst, defined as a longing or compelling desire to drink, is induced by both extracellular and cellular dehydration³⁵.

Denton *et al.*³⁶ indicated that an increase of 1–2% in plasma osmolality causes animals to drink. A reduction in the volume of ECF has a similar effect, but decreases of 10% in blood volume or pressure are needed. Thirst is induced by signals emanating from the hypothalamus and associated brain structures and mediated by angiotensin II. However, there is evidence³⁷ that glucocorticoids such as cortisol inhibit the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone axis. Some corroborating evidence was provided by Parker *et al.*³⁸. However, the concentrations of cortisol generated in response to the intravenous infusion of adrenocorticotrophic hormone in their work were much higher than reported for sheep or cattle undergoing FWD plus transportation. Nevertheless, it seems possible that, depending on their relative levels of cortisol and angiotensin II, animals under transport might show increased water loss through a cortisol-induced diuresis³⁹ without developing angiotensin-controlled sensations of thirst. Individually confined cattle, which are known to be stressed because of isolation^{40–42}, have increased Na appetite⁴³ due to the natriorexigenic effect of heightened pituitary–adrenal secretion. During stress, Na concentration of saliva declines to restrict losses⁴⁴. Although the glucocorticoids do not themselves induce a Na hunger, they do so in conjunction with the mineralocorticoid aldosterone⁴⁵, which is released in response to stress and is responsible for increasing Na appetite during Na depletion⁴⁶. The effect of stress on Na requirements is not unique to ruminants; the imposition of stress by movement restriction or injection of adrenocorticotrophic hormone or corticotropin-releasing hormone also increases the Na appetite in rabbits⁴⁷ and rats⁴⁸.

Na in ECF must be regarded as the main regulator of homeostasis⁴⁶, and the investigation of possible threats to homeostasis exerted by FWD must involve a study of the distribution of Na in the ruminants' fluid pools. In ruminants the techniques used to measure ECF are complicated by the presence of a substantial pool of water in the rumen. It is currently unclear whether liquid in the digestive tract can be regarded as part of ECF.

The volume of water present in ICF is calculated as the difference between total body water and ECF, the estimation of each of which depends on measuring the dilution of reference substances or 'markers' introduced into the body. After injection intravenously, a marker is diluted exponentially. The concentration is measured in plasma collected at intervals, and extrapolation back to the time of injection indicates the concentration of marker that would have been achieved at zero time if mixing of the marker in that pool had been instantaneous. The amount of marker lost in urine during the study is estimated and the calculation made as follows:

$$\text{Pool size} = (\text{amount injected} - \text{amount in urine}) / \\ \text{concentration (time zero) in interstitial water.}$$

It is accepted that ²H-labelled water and ³H-labelled water are suitable markers for the estimation of total body water because, within 6 h of intravenous injection, even in cattle, the concentration of those markers in plasma has equilibrated with that in other pools, including the rumen⁴⁹.

However, there is no general agreement on markers to measure ECF. As Walser *et al.*⁵⁰ indicated, a marker for ECF must penetrate the membrane of the capillary wall but not the cell membrane. Those authors quote reports that ionic markers such as bromide, thiosulfate or thiocyanate penetrate cell walls to some extent. Other larger molecules such as mannitol probably do not penetrate cells but may exert undesirable osmotic pressure on the cell. The use of thiocyanate in sheep⁵¹ is further questioned by the observation⁵² that some thiocyanate enters the rumen during the 4 h required for the measurement. By the time the concentration in the rumen reaches equilibrium with that in plasma, after 20 h or more, an unacceptably high proportion of the dose has been excreted in the urine. The use of ⁸²Br injected as sodium bromide⁵³ may have overcome criticism of the use of bromide to measure ECF. Data from Coghlan *et al.*⁵³ on Merino crossbred sheep indicated a mean ECF volume, excluding the rumen, of 245 ml/kg live weight. Applying that value to these data of the 58 kg sheep of Boyne *et al.*¹⁹ (Table 2) which had 6.65 litres water in the rumen and assuming that the concentrations of Na in plasma and rumen fluid were 145 and 110 mEq/l respectively^{54,55}, the 14.2 litres ECF would contain 2060 mEq Na. This is approximately three times the 730 mEq in the rumen.

The significance of the removal of Na from plasma and hence from ECF has been considered by Scott⁵⁶. The rate at which Na is extracted from ECF into saliva is affected by the animal's chewing activities. Saliva production at rest is appreciably lower than during eating, particularly forages, or rumination⁵⁷, and is affected by stress levels⁴⁴. Data from a 40 kg sheep with an oesophageal fistula, examined six times over 7 months, indicated rates of salivation that varied from approximately 7 ml/min at rest to 30 ml/min when vigorously eating chopped lucerne hay (JP Hogan, unpublished results). Hence that sheep was able to return approximately 2 litres saliva/h to the rumen. This volume would have been about one-fifth of the water calculated for ECF in the 58 kg sheep in Table 2. These calculations are consistent with the report of Scott⁵⁶ that ECF and plasma volume can decrease by 10–20% during a meal, a sufficient reduction to induce thirst³⁶.

Urine excretion can be observed through an exteriorised ureter⁵⁸. Consumption of lucerne hay by sheep caused the almost complete cessation of urine formation and the conservation of Na in the kidney⁵⁹. The effect was associated with the release of arginine vasopressin. There was possible involvement also of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system with stimulation of the regions of the hypothalamus controlling thirst^{35,60}. This may explain why sheep under a 24 h FWD did not drink until after they had eaten^{10,61,62}.

Assuming that the Na content of mixed saliva in sheep is similar to that in cattle, 105 mEq/l⁶³, saliva production at the rate of 2 litres/h during eating would extract in 1 h about one-tenth of the Na in ECF. However, it is not known whether in response to aldosterone⁶⁴ the concentration of salivary Na might have been reduced⁴⁴ and that of K increased. This would help to explain the decrease in Na levels in the rumen when sheep were re-fed after an 18 h fast³³. The picture might be further complicated if elevated plasma cortisol levels depress

aldosterone activity, as Parker *et al.*³⁸ suggested. There is clearly a need for a comprehensive investigation of the hormonal control of thirst, kidney function and saliva secretion in FWD animals re-fed after transport.

Little is known about the movement of Na from the digestive tract to the plasma during FWD. In the fed sheep, Na is returned to the plasma only gradually. Transport of Na cations from rumen to blood occurs against not only both osmotic and concentration gradients but also a potential difference that is positive from blood to rumen⁶⁵. In sheep, Na transport may be as little as 14 mEq/h except for the period after feed intake, during which the osmotic pressure in the rumen exceeds that of plasma³³ and the K content is high⁵⁶. However, neither hyperosmolarity nor high K content is likely to occur during FWD. Na transport may be relatively more rapid in cattle than sheep during the period of hyperosmolarity⁶⁶, but the relative rates at which Na is returned from rumen to plasma in sheep and cattle during FWD is not known. Presumably during FWD, Na transactions in the rest of the digestive tract proceed in a manner similar to those in the fed animal but at a reduced rate as digesta flow is reduced. Na is transported across the wall of the omasum⁶⁷ and possibly the abomasum. Net losses of Na from the omasum plus abomasum were 20–25 % of the amounts in digesta leaving the rumen in sheep fed forages *ad libitum* (RH Weston and JP Hogan, unpublished results), but losses during FWD are not known. During FWD, Na presumably continues to cross the wall of the small intestine from blood to lumen in secretions and to be reabsorbed⁶⁸. In the large intestine of the fed animal, the osmotic pressure reflects the concentration of osmotically active molecules such as Na and K, and is reduced as those ions are transported across the intestine wall. Presumably the same mechanism is maintained during FWD. If so, the intestinal contents relative to that of plasma ranges from isotonicity in the caecum and proximal colon to hypotonicity in the rest of the digestive tract⁶⁹. It seems probable that Na transferred from ECF to the digestive tract is returned only gradually. This suggests that the digestive tract, although a major storage site for Na, is not a readily accessible extension of ECF. By contrast, Coghlan *et al.*⁵³ reported that in sheep only about 15–20 % of 250–670 mEq Na removed via fistula of the parotid salivary duct was withdrawn from ECF. The availability of Na in the rumen during FWD may need to be reassessed. It may also explain the maintenance of acid–base balance observed by Parker *et al.*⁷⁰ during 48 h FWD plus transport in steers.

When animals come under the restrictions of FWD, rumination is greatly reduced and practically ceases within 24 h⁷¹. FWD thus removes not only the stimulus to drink induced by eating, but also that to salivate associated with eating and rumination. The passage of particulate material and water from the rumen continues and with reduced amounts of water entering the rumen, the weight of contents falls. However, after approximately 24 h the weight of rumen contents tends to stabilise^{30,31}, indicating that the rate of passage of digesta has become approximately equal to the inflow of saliva.

For a period, perhaps the first 24 h of fasting, Na continues to pass to the plasma and thence to ECF from the digestive tract. With no large-scale withdrawal induced by

eating and ruminating, the loss of Na in faeces and urine presumably elicits no alarm signals to the kidneys from the areas of the hypothalamus sensitive to Na deficiency. Certainly in fasted yearling Hereford heifers⁷², plasma Na levels increased by 4 mEq/l in the first 48 h without change in the concentration of Na in urine, even though the rate of excretion of urine had declined appreciably and the osmotic pressure in urine had increased by 40 %. However, by day 4 of the fast, when plasma Na had risen by a further 8 mEq/l, the concentration of Na in urine increased five-fold. Despite this, the osmotic pressure in urine did not exceed 1289 mOsm/kg, which is appreciably lower than that observed with fasted sheep⁵¹, and it seems probable that sheep can achieve far higher osmotic pressures in urine than cattle. At 48 h after water was provided in the fasted heifer study, water intake was above pre-fasting levels and the osmotic pressure in urine had returned to normal. However, the volume of urine was less than half and the Na content more than three times greater than before fasting began. In consequence, Na excretion was about 40 % above the pre-fasting rate.

There is some uncertainty as to the source of water loss during fasting. In depriving heifers of water for 4 d, Weeth *et al.*¹⁸ reported a weight loss of 39 kg, including 18 kg or 47 % of the total from the thiocyanate space, which had been equivalent to about 34 % of body weight pre-fasting. Similarly, in 50 kg wether sheep, which had a mean thiocyanate space of 13.2 litres, equivalent to almost 26 % of body weight, loss of weight from this pool on fasting was 3.8 kg or 34 % of total weight loss⁵¹. This would imply that ECF is a major source of water lost during fasting. By contrast, Cole⁷³, who fasted wethers for 3 d, observed that of 7.7 kg weight loss, 80 % or 6.2 kg was water, with 29 % derived from the digestive tract and 57 % from the ICF pool. This would imply that ECF contributed no more than 14 % to the total water loss. The extensive loss of ICF would be consistent with the observed excretion of K in urine, which was much greater than could be accounted for by losses from the digestive tract. The confusion may reflect the inadequacy of techniques to measure ECF. There would seem to be a need to resolve the question of whether ICF or ECF is the main source of water lost during fasting and, hence, what is the relative significance of Na or K in maintaining homeostasis.

Hunger

Ruminants consume feed in many meals per d. The total amount of feed eaten generally reflects the frequency and duration of periods of eating and, hence, depends on signals emanating from the lateral hypothalamus to start and stop eating⁷⁴. Such signals, as reviewed by Matteri⁷⁵, are generated by appetite-stimulating and appetite-suppressing hormones and neurotransmitters produced in the central nervous system and periphery. As examples, leptin, originating in adipose tissue, inhibits the action of many neuropeptides that stimulate eating⁷⁶. By contrast, an appetite stimulant is ghrelin, a twenty-eight-amino acid peptide produced in the oxyntic cells of the stomach of rats⁷⁷ and presumably in corresponding cells in the ruminant abomasum⁷⁸. This peptide in acylated form functions as an

endogenous ligand for the growth hormone secretagogue receptor⁷⁹. When injected into Holstein heifers⁸⁰, ghrelin immediately stimulated a dose-dependent release of growth hormone.

In the context of the present review, it is of interest that leptin concentration in plasma falls during periods of feed restriction and fasting^{76,81,82}. Conversely, fasting in steers, even for 18 h, increased plasma levels of ghrelin and of NEFA⁷⁸. Bassett⁸³ similarly observed elevated levels of plasma NEFA with fasted sheep, with associated marked rises in the concentration of growth hormone. The abomasum may thus be one source of hunger signals in the fasted ruminant. This could coincide with a reduction in the volume and acidity of gastric juice⁸⁴, reflecting a reduced flow of volatile fatty acids (VFA) in digesta passing through the omasum from the rumen⁸⁵. If increased ghrelin secretion, with subsequent growth hormone release, stimulates sensations of hunger in fasted animals, the effect is probably transitory, as ghrelin levels in the plasma of Holstein heifers declined 49 to 60 min after feeding⁷⁷. The subsequent regulation of feed intake then probably involves the interplay between energy transactions and rumen function^{86,87}. There appears to be no information on possible modifying effects of elevated levels of plasma cortisol on the activities of hormones such as leptin and ghrelin. However, any effects are probably slight, as sheep and cattle subjected to the stressors associated with transportation appear willing to commence feeding at the end of the journey^{10,61,62}.

Nitrogen metabolism

Cole⁷³ found that sheep fasted for 3 d had 57 % less N in the digestive tract than fed controls, with two-thirds of the deficit due to changes in the rumen contents. Some of the loss would be unfermented dietary N passed in feed particles through the omasum. Protein in microbial cells would have been removed by the same process. Part of the loss would be ammonia derived from the fermentation of feed protein, the ingestion of bacteria by protozoa⁸⁸ and the autolysis of bacteria⁸⁹ due to lack of substrate. This ammonia would be transported through the rumen wall to contribute to the N pool. Little is known of the effects of a short-term fast on the removal of protein from the tissues, but it seems probable that some tissue catabolism occurs. The pattern of excretion of N was similar in faeces and urine, with about 32 % of the total in a 46 h fast excreted in the first 11 h and 62 % in the first 22 h¹⁷. Those authors also showed that fasted steers excreted 30 % more faeces and 54 % more urine when transported for 46 h compared with non-transported controls. The output of N in faeces was 30 % higher and in urine 26 % higher in transported animals. The observation suggests that stress, evidenced in transported animals by elevated levels of cortisol, might cause not only a diuresis but also increased tissue catabolism. Such an increase would be consistent with observations of elevated levels of urea in plasma⁸. In normally fed ruminants, a significant amount of urea circulating in plasma is recycled to the rumen where, after deamination, it can be re-incorporated into microbial protein. However, microbial protein synthesis requires a source of energy released as ATP during the fermentation

processes that leads to the formation of VFA^{90,91}. Hence, in the fasted animal only a very limited incorporation of ammonia from recycled urea would occur. In that situation urea returned to the whole digestive tract would presumably be deaminated then returned to the liver as ammonia for re-incorporation into urea.

Undernutrition, with a consequent reduced supply of nutrients to the tissues, is associated with the loss of N from the liver indicated by a reduction in concentration of liver enzymes, particularly those associated with N metabolism⁹². There seems to be a lack of information on the extent to which a similar reduction might occur during short-term fasting, but any need to restore the full complement of liver enzymes could contribute to the delay that animals experience when the fasting period ends before the return to full feeding.

Rumen microbial ecology

The sudden cessation of feed supply to the rumen affects the size and composition of the rumen bacterial population⁹³. This will have its most immediate effect on those bacteria that derive nutrients from starch or low-molecular-weight carbohydrates, because those substrates are the most rapidly fermented. Their removal no doubt results in the death of many bacteria of this type, but some non-cellulolytic bacteria survive, deriving substrate from the cellulolytic activity of commensal bacteria. For instance, *Selenomonas ruminantium* cannot survive alone on a cellulose substrate, but can do so if *Bacteroides (Fibrobacter) succinogenes* is present to provide the initial release of cellobiose from cellulose^{94,95}. Cellulolytic bacteria probably remain in greater numbers in the rumen, adhering as they do to feed particles⁹⁶. Even though much of the particulate matter passes relatively quickly out of the rumen, some remains for extended periods. Bass & Duganzich³⁰ indicated that 2.7 kg DM compared with an original 7.6 kg remained in the stomach after a 48 h fast. Even allowing for digesta in the omasum and abomasum, and minerals plus microbial mass in the rumen, most of this would undoubtedly have been plant material in the rumen. After a 48 h fast, methane production is reduced to about 10 % of pre-fasting levels but does not completely cease^{16,97}. Warner & Stacy⁵³ observed significant VFA presence (concentrations of 10–20 mM) in two sheep fasted for 68 h. Even though, under the slightly alkaline conditions pertaining in the rumen, the rate of absorption of VFA would be slower than under more acid conditions⁹⁸, the maintenance of even low levels of VFA in the rumen indicates continuing fermentation.

When feed is again offered after a fast, several days generally elapse before feed intake returns to pre-fast levels or, where a change of diet has occurred, reaches its maximum level. The extent to which rumen microbes are involved in low initial feed intakes is not clear. Meiske *et al.*⁹³ observed a decline in fermentation rate *in vitro* to about one-third of pre-fast levels as a consequence of fasting. By contrast, Fluharty *et al.*⁹⁹ showed that, although feed intake on arrival in a feedlot was only 61 % of that 7 d later, *in situ* disappearance of feed from fibre bags in the rumen was 59 % compared with 58 % pre-fasting. The discrepancy may perhaps be explained by the limitations of

the two techniques in monitoring rumen microbial activity. The *in vitro* technique exposes a relatively large amount of feed to a relatively small amount of rumen liquor, whereas the *in situ* technique exposes a relatively small amount of feed to theoretically all the microbes in the rumen. It is possible that rumen microbial activity is not the first factor limiting feed intake because Cole¹⁰⁰ obtained no improvement in feed intake following replacement of rumen contents from fasted sheep with those from unfasted sheep.

Even though feed intake returns to pre-fasting levels, the composition of the microbial population may have undergone changes. Blaxter & Graham¹⁶ (Table 1) observed that, although carbon dioxide output returned to pre-fast levels within 9 d of re-feeding, methane production was resumed only slowly and, in fact, even after 12 d had reached only 92% of pre-fast levels.

As will be discussed later, it is desirable for the animal transported to lairage before slaughter to resume feeding as an aid to controlling enteropathogenic bacteria in the digestive tract and to replenish the glycogen reserves in muscle. Research is needed to determine whether experience pre-FWD with the type of diet to be offered in lairage would improve the rate at which the transported animals achieve a desired level of feed intake. In sheep in particular, acclimatisation is preferably at the plant species level, since experience of a grass species accelerates the acclimatisation of ewes and their lambs to that species¹⁰¹. There may be a need to specifically formulate lairage feeds to match those previously fed on the farms, or even to transport a small amount of feed with the stock.

Enteropathogenic bacteria

Ruminants are exposed to such pathogenic bacteria as *Clostridium* spp., *Salmonella* spp. and various strains of *Escherichia coli*. The normal population of rumen microbes seems to be able to exert some control over numbers of pathogenic bacteria that enter the rumen. Bullen *et al.*¹⁰² observed the more rapid disappearance of *Clostridium welchii* than of a marker designed to be approximately identical to *C. welchii* cells in size, specific gravity and electrical charge. *Salmonella* spp., when introduced into the rumen of cattle fed at above maintenance level on lucerne hay, were rapidly eliminated from the rumen and few viable cells appeared in the faeces. However, when feed supply was reduced or interrupted for 1 or more days, the capacity of the animal to control the pathogens was greatly reduced¹⁰³. Fasting permitted the establishment of *Salmonella* in the intestine.

In recent times, emphasis has shifted from *Salmonella* to *E. coli* and especially to its Shiga toxin-producing serotype O157:H7. As Brownlie & Grau¹⁰³ found with *Salmonella*, the withdrawal of feed for 6 to 48 h leads to an increase in *E. coli* numbers^{104,105}. The mechanisms by which normal rumen bacteria control pathogens may be related to toxicity of VFA, especially at acid pH levels¹⁰⁶. Diet influences the numbers of *E. coli* in the digestive tract, with lower pathogen numbers in animals fed roughages than in those fed concentrates¹⁰⁷. The estimation of Shiga toxin-producing serotypes has been made possible by the identification of the Shiga toxin genes *stx1* and *stx2* and

the accessory virulence factors *eaeA* and *ehxA*. Gilbert *et al.*¹⁰⁸ have shown clearly that diets containing large proportions of starch support greater populations of serotype O157:H7. Presumably the availability of starch provides some nutritional advantages to the pathogens. However, such diets would probably sustain higher levels of VFA or even lactic acid and bring about lower pH values than roughage-fed animals¹⁰⁹, so enhanced *E. coli* numbers are a little surprising. A theory that does not seem to have been investigated involves competition for substrate between normal rumen bacteria and pathogenic bacteria. Concentrate diets containing starch or molasses would provide readily available energy sources for the pathogens, whereas with roughage diets when the small amount of readily fermentable carbohydrate was exhausted, the pathogens would have to compete with the non-cellulolytic rumen microbes for limited energy sources, such as cellobiose. Survival in that situation would depend on the relative abilities of pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria to acquire nutrients present in low concentration. The situation would be different with diets containing much higher levels of starch. Such substrates would support the generation of a large population of pathogenic bacteria while creating conditions of low pH and high acidity inimical to their survival. Even though mortality rates might be high, the numbers of pathogens surviving could represent a relatively fixed proportion of a bigger population.

In the work of Gregory *et al.*¹¹⁰, the numbers of *E. coli* (log 10/g) in rectal contents of cattle moved from pasture were: 3.7 when kept for 48 h on hay; 5.0 when sampled directly from pasture; 6.6 when fasted for 24 h. Rumen pH was not greatly affected by treatment. The stocking density of cattle on pasture was very high, 40/ha, and it seems likely that hay feeding increased total DM intake, which probably increased the concentration of micro-organisms in the rumen. Although Gregory *et al.*¹¹⁰ recommend that animals at pasture should be fed on hay for a few days before transportation to reduce *E. coli* numbers, it seems most likely that the effect was due to increased intake. Adequate feeding of digestible fibre pre-transportation is probably more important than feeding hay *per se*. Hay feeding was also recommended by Callaway *et al.*¹⁰⁴ and Vanselow *et al.*¹⁰⁵ regarding cattle held in feedlots, but Jacobson *et al.*¹¹¹ acknowledge that, to be effective, the hay or silage offered had to be of high nutritional value. In a review of forage supplementation of grazing cattle, Phillips¹¹² concluded that if there is adequate high-quality pasture available, little or no hay or other conserved forage will be consumed. Also, if the cattle are unused to consuming hay, it may take as many as 3 d for pasture-fed cattle to commence eating it¹¹³. Thus, there would seem to be a need to familiarise grazing animals to hays or silages some time before final removal from pasture. A nutrition-based health approach is attracting increasing attention following the widespread slaughter of animals that followed the outbreaks of BSE and foot and mouth disease in England. In particular, achieving a rapid digestion and absorption of nutrients is important, as this reduces the amount of substrate remaining in the gastrointestinal tract which could be used by pathogenic micro-organisms¹¹⁴.

Meat quality

As Harper¹¹⁵ indicated, meat quality is largely judged by the perception of tenderness developed by the consumer in the brief period that meat spends between the jaws. Tenderness is to some extent genetically controlled but can also be affected by age, sex, conditions of growth and in particular by pre- and post-slaughter treatment. Critical to the maintenance of tenderness of muscle is the attainment, post-mortem, of pH levels below 5.7 by the anaerobic conversion of glycogen to lactic acid¹¹⁶. Any pre-slaughter treatment of animals that reduces muscle glycogen mitigates the attainment of a desired level of acidity in muscle post-mortem and hence threatens tenderness. The treatments that produce the stressors previously discussed include removal from familiar to novel surroundings, curfew, mixing with unfamiliar companions with associated agonistic behaviour, loading and unloading into and from vehicles and transportation. There is subsequently a repetition of many of these stressors associated with transfer to lairage. Of these, the stressors eliciting the greatest cortisol responses probably arise during handling and unloading¹¹⁷. In reviewing responses to such stressors, Ferguson *et al.*⁶ have indicated the involvement of the two neuroendocrine systems, the sympatho-adrenalmedullary and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axes. The first of these operates in situations of acute stress such as in fighting and is marked by the release of catecholamines, such as adrenaline. The second, more involved with continuing stress, affects the release of glucocorticoids, especially cortisol from the adrenal cortex. Adrenaline increases the rate of breakdown of glycogen and also accelerates the rate of utilisation of glucose, thereby affecting the supply of precursor for the subsequent recovery of muscle glycogen. The effect of adrenaline release pre-slaughter with the conversion of glucose to lactic acid is to produce meat that is bright red in colour, of low pH and generally tough¹¹⁸. Cortisol increases glycogenolysis and induces protein catabolism to release amino acids, some of which serve as precursors for gluconeogenesis. Increased protein catabolism would be consistent with elevated levels of urea in plasma⁸ and presumably with the enhanced excretion of N in urine and faeces in response to transport mentioned previously¹⁷. As Ferguson *et al.*⁶ indicated, meat produced following glycogen depletion through elevated levels of cortisol tends to be dark red in colour, dry and undesirably tough, especially if showing an ultimate pH above 5.9. Such meat is referred to as dark, firm and dry.

Animals finish a period of transport and curfew showing the effects, not only of depleted glycogen reserves, but also of dehydration. Loss of 68 kg or 10% of body weight in transported bullocks was reduced to 6% of body weight following 3.5 h access to water and stabilised at 6.8–7.3% with 28 and 32 h access⁹. Rehydration increased the water content of muscle from 76 to 78% and increased hot carcass weight from 369 to 383 kg. Recovery of depleted glycogen reserves may be a slower process. Data collated from various sources by Ferguson *et al.*⁶ suggest that dark, firm and dry meat is produced when muscle glycogen falls from a normal level of 60–120 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ to less than 40–57 $\mu\text{mol/g}$. The repletion rate will probably range between 0.1 and

1.0 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ per h, even with access to feed. Hence, depending on the extent of glycogen depletion, a substantial period of rest with access to water and feed of reasonable nutritional value may be needed before slaughter if dark, firm and dry meat is to be avoided. Cattle offered poor-quality hay with molasses poured over it showed little desire to eat and no lower ultimate muscle pH after 96 h rest than after 48 h¹¹⁹. Further information is needed on the genetic or nutritional factors controlling the levels of muscle glycogen pre-FWD, the rates of depletion of glycogen during FWD and transportation, and the repletion of glycogen during rest periods in lairage.

Recovery from feed and water deprivation

Recovery from FWD is often judged by the rate of return of live weight to pre-FWD levels. However, reliance on this criterion is complicated by the fact that the relocation of an animal from one environment to another, for example, from farm to feedlot, or from mature pasture to immature pasture may lead to substantial reductions in the weight of rumen contents. In such situations, live-weight change is of limited value as a predictor of recovery from FWD. A better measure of recovery is the comparison of daily feed intake from the start of re-feeding with that 7 d later, as adopted by Fluharty *et al.*⁹⁹.

As indicated earlier in the present review, the animal needs to recover from the fatigue of transportation and to adjust to its new surroundings, companions, feed and, in some situations, drinking water¹²⁰. Internally it needs to rehydrate tissues, to rebuild its microbial populations in the rumen and large intestine, to restore any losses of electrolytes and of enzymes in the liver and muscle and to ensure the restoration of kidney function. Research into the possible role of electrolyte therapy in the process of recovery has been reviewed by Schaefer *et al.*¹²¹, but they did not claim any practical application for the practice. The rate of recovery of the animal will largely depend on the quality and quantity of feed offered. It is a common observation that, when sheep or cattle are presented with a change of diet, there will be a delay of some days before adjustment to the new diet is complete. Information currently available is insufficient to establish the extent to which this delay will differ between animals changed directly to another diet compared with those changed after a fast or changed after undergoing fasting plus the stressors inherent in transportation. This information would provide a useful basis for developing better rations for sheep and cattle to overcome problems with enterotoxic bacteria, to improve meat quality by restoring muscle glycogen levels and to enhance the efficiency of conversion of feed into live-weight gain.

Conclusions and recommendations for future research

There is a lack of robust science relating to the impacts of FWD on different species and classes of livestock. However, it is clear that deprivation of food for about 1 d appears to provide an environment in the gastrointestinal tract that promotes the survival, and even enhances the proliferation,

of pathogens such as *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*. A combination of these pathogens and other stress responses may negatively impact on immunocompetence, and trigger disease, such as shipping fever.

There is limited evidence that some individuals, stock species and classes will tolerate FWD better than others. In particular, it appears that sheep are more tolerant of water deprivation than are cattle. It may be possible to identify individuals that are better able to cope. Stock with low digesta loads are likely to be particularly susceptible to FWD. This includes young animals, deer in winter, stock that are first subjected to FWD early in the morning and undernourished stock, and possibly animals that have been selected for rapid growth rates and high feed efficiency. It is emphasised, however, that few comparative studies have been conducted.

From an economic perspective, FWD contributes to dehydration which results in lower carcass weights. FWD of more than 24 h also appears to severely negatively impact on the length of time that it takes cattle entering feedlots to regain live weight. The recommendation of any maximum curfew time will depend on the subsequent time off food and water during transport and subsequent lairage or yarding. Hence a maximum time off food and water is preferable to any specific recommendations on curfew time. Provision of food and water at points of unloading or at their ultimate destination will therefore affect curfew times. Based on the potential for enteropathogen growth and the potential for an increase in stress to the animal, it appears prudent to ensure that total time off food and/or water does not exceed 24 h.

There is a need to establish ways in which the timing of supply of water and feed can contribute to pathogen control. Feeding low-quality forage before transportation will increase digesta load and reduce enteropathogens, and is beneficial for the production of drier faeces, thereby reducing hide and fleece contamination, and nutrient supply to tissues, which may have implications for meat quality.

Given the limited scientific literature in relation to the impact of FWD and the potential for major human and animal health and welfare impacts, further research should be undertaken with a high priority. Research should be multidisciplinary to take into account the many interacting factors. In particular, quantification of the risk of carcass contamination with different levels of gastrointestinal contents should be a high priority, including an assessment of enteropathogen growth. It is particularly important to examine whether livestock with low-digestibility diets can tolerate FWD more than livestock with high-digestibility diets. It is also necessary to determine the effects of feed type before FWD on digesta load and release of nutrients into the rumen and caecum with different classes and species of stock. The impact on survival of pathogenic bacteria will be particularly important. Both adult and juvenile animals should be investigated, as the former may be more resistant to novel foods introduced shortly before curfews. Further research is required to determine how FWD affects normal rumen transfer of water and electrolytes, whether it is affected by drinking, whether changes in plasma osmotic pressure during FWD are physiologically significant and what can be done to inhibit or prevent losses in carcass weight through dehydration and

respiration. Increased N excretion in faeces during curfews is of unknown origin. It could derive from microbial mortality or elimination of liver and muscle enzymes as substrate diminishes. Either could limit feed intake on resumption of access to normal food supplies, which is of economic significance. Finally, the possibility of developing a vaccine to the relevant *E. coli* and *Salmonella* strains could be explored, although the practical difficulties with application may outweigh the benefits.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Meat and Livestock, Australia, for commissioning the present review.

References

1. Self HL & Gay N (1972) Shrink during shipment of feeder cattle. *J Anim Sci* **35**, 489–494.
2. Wythes JR, Arthur RJ, Thompson PJM, Williams GE & Bond JH (1981) Effect of transporting cows various distances on liveweight, carcass traits and muscle pH. *Aust J Exp Agric* **21**, 557–561.
3. Knowles TG, Warriss PD, Brown SN, Kestin SC, Edwards JE, Perry AM, Watkins PE & Phillips AJ (1996) Effects of feeding, watering and resting intervals on lambs transported by road and lorry to France. *Vet Rec* **139**, 335–339.
4. Wythes JR (1982) The saleyard curfew issue. *Qld Agric J* **108**, 274–278.
5. Agenas S, Heath MF, Nixon RM, Wilkinson JM & Phillips CJC (2006) Indicators of long term undernutrition in cattle. *Anim Welf* **15**, 149–160.
6. Ferguson DM, Bruce HL, Thompson JM, Egan AF, Perry D & Shorthose WR (2001) Factors affecting beef quality – farm gate to chilled carcass. *Aust J Exp Agric* **41**, 879–891.
7. Cockram MS, Kent JE, Waran NK, McGilp IM, Jackson RE, Amory JR, Southall EL, O’Riordan T, McConnell TI & Wilkins BS (1999) Effects of a 15 hour journey followed by either 12 h starvation or *ad libitum* hay on the behaviour and blood chemistry of sheep. *Anim Welf* **8**, 135–148.
8. Knowles TG, Brown SN, Warriss PD, Phillips AJ, Dolan SK, Hunt P, Ford E, Edwards JE & Watkins PE (1995) Effects on sheep of transport by road for up to 24 hours. *Vet Rec* **136**, 431–438.
9. Wythes JR, McLennan SR & Toleman MA (1980) Liveweight loss and recovery in steers fasted for periods of 12 to 72 hours. *Aust J Exp Agric* **20**, 517–521.
10. Knowles TG, Warriss PD, Brown SN & Edwards JE (1999) Effects on cattle of transportation by road for up to 31 hours. *Vet Rec* **145**, 575–582.
11. Balch CC & Line C (1957) Weight changes in dairy cows. *J Dairy Res* **24**, 11–20.
12. Phillips CJC (1991) Restricción de la ingestión de pasto en la vaca lechera. Revisión y análisis de resultados publicados (Restriction of herbage intake in dairy cows, review and analysis of published results). *Arch Med Vet* **23**, 5–20.
13. Beeston JWU & Hogan JP (1963) The estimation of pasture intake by the grazing sheep. *Proc Aust Soc Anim Prod* **3**, 79–82.
14. Sears PD (1951) The technique of pasture measurement. *N Z J Sci Technol* **33A**, 1–29.
15. Graham NMCC (1969) The influence of body weight (fatness) on the energetic efficiency of adult sheep. *Aust J Agric Res* **20**, 375–385.

16. Blaxter KL & Graham NMCC (1955) Plane of nutrition and starch equivalents. *J Agric Sci* **46**, 292–306.
17. Cole NA, Phillips WA & Hutcheson DP (1986) The effect of prefast diet and transport on nitrogen metabolism of calves. *J Anim Sci* **62**, 1719–1731.
18. Weeth HJ, Sawhney DS & Lesperance AL (1967) Changes in body fluids, excreta and kidney function of cattle deprived of water. *J Anim Sci* **26**, 418–423.
19. Boyne AW, Campbell RW, Davidson J & Cuthbertson DP (1956) Changes in composition of the digesta along the alimentary tract of sheep. *Br J Nutr* **10**, 325–333.
20. Makela A (1956) Studies on the question of bulk in the nutrition of farm animals with special reference to cattle. *Suom Maataloustiet Seuran Julk* **85**, 1–139.
21. Weston RH (1985) The regulation of feed intake in herbage-fed ruminants. *Proc Nutr Soc Aust* **10**, 55–62.
22. Weston RH, Lindsay JR, Peter DW & Buscall DJ (1989) Factors limiting the intake of feed by sheep. 14. Comparisons of voluntary feed consumption and various transactions in the alimentary tract between lambs and sheep fed roughage diets. *Aust J Agric Res* **40**, 625–642.
23. Weston RH (1989) Factors limiting the intake of feed by sheep. 12. Digesta load and chewing activities in relation to lactation and its attendant voluntary roughage consumption. *Aust J Agric Res* **39**, 671–677.
24. Poppi DP, Minson DJ & Ternouth JH (1981) Studies of cattle and sheep eating leaf and stem fractions of grasses. 1. The voluntary intake, digestibility and retention time in the reticulorumen. *Aust J Agric Res* **32**, 99–108.
25. Hendricksen RE, Poppi DP & Minson DJ (1981) The voluntary intake, digestibility and retention time by cattle and sheep of stem and leaf fractions of a tropical legume (*Lablab purpureus*). *Aust J Agric Res* **32**, 389–398.
26. Kennedy PM, Boniface AM, Liang ZJ, Muller D & Murray RM (1992) Intake and digestion in swamp buffaloes and cattle. 2. The comparative response to urea supplements in animals fed tropical grasses. *J Agric Sci (Camb)* **119**, 234–254.
27. Weston RH, Ellis KJ & Cantle JA (1983) Various aspects of digestion during late pregnancy in herbage fed cattle with use of continuous marker-release devices. *Proc Nutr Soc Aust* **8**, 123–126.
28. Hogan JP (1964) The digestion of food by the grazing sheep. 2. The production of ammonia in the rumen. *Aust J Agric Res* **15**, 397–407.
29. Thornton RF & Minson DJ (1973) The relationship between apparent retention time in the rumen, voluntary intake and apparent digestibility of legume and grass diets by sheep. *Aust J Agric Res* **24**, 889–898.
30. Bass JJ & Duganzich DM (1980) A note on the effects of starvation on the bovine alimentary tract and its contents. *Anim Prod* **31**, 111–113.
31. Janloo SM, Dolezal HG, Strasia C, Clarkson W, Owens F & Manna A (1998) Impact of withholding feed on weight and composition of ruminal contents. Oklahoma State University Department of Animal Science Research Report, pp. 109–113. <http://www.ansi.okstate.edu/research/1998rr/22.html> (accessed 9 March 2007).
32. Hecker JF, Budtz-Olsen OE & Ostwald M (1964) The rumen as a water store in sheep. *Aust J Agric Res* **15**, 961–968.
33. Warner ACI & Stacy BD (1965) Solutes in the rumen of the sheep. *Q J Exp Physiol* **50**, 169–184.
34. Warner ACI & Stacy BD (1972) Water sodium and potassium movements across the rumen wall of sheep. *Q J Exp Physiol* **57**, 103–119.
35. Blair-West JR, Burns P, Denton DA, McBurnie MI, Tarjan E & Weisinger RS (1994) Thirst induced by increasing brain sodium concentration is mediated by brain angiotensin. *Brain Res* **637**, 335–338.
36. Denton D, Shade R, Zamariippa F, Egan G, Blair-West J, McKinley M & Fox P (1999) Correlation of regional cerebral blood flow and change of plasma sodium concentration during genesis and satiation of thirst. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 2532–2537.
37. Coghlan JP, Blair-West JR, Denton DA *et al.* (1979) Control of aldosterone secretion. *J Endocrinol* **81**, 55P–67P.
38. Parker AJ, Hamlin GP, Coleman CJ & Fitzpatrick LA (2004) Excess cortisol interferes with a principal mechanism of resistance to dehydration in *Bos indicus* steers. *J Anim Sci* **82**, 1037–1045.
39. Parker AJ, Hamlin GP, Coleman CJ & Fitzpatrick LA (2003) Dehydration in stressed ruminants may be the result of a cortisol-induced diuresis. *J Anim Sci* **81**, 512–519.
40. Friend TH, Dellmeier GR & Gbur EE (1985) Comparison of four methods of calf confinement. 1. Physiology. *J Dairy Sci* **60**, 1095–1101.
41. Pruett JH, Guillot FS & Fisher WF (1986) Humoral and cellular immunoresponsiveness of stanchioned cattle infested with *Psoroptes ovis*. *Vet Parasitol* **22**, 121–133.
42. Ladewig J & Smidt D (1989) Behaviour, episodic secretion of cortisol and adrenocortical reactivity in bulls subjected to tethering. *Horm Behav* **23**, 354–360.
43. Phillips CJC, Youssef MYI, Chiy PC & Arney DR (1999) Sodium chloride supplements increase the salt appetite and reduce stereotypies in confined cattle. *Anim Sci* **68**, 741–748.
44. Richter P, Hinton JW & Reinhold S (1998) Effectiveness in learning complex problem solving and salivary ion indices of psychological stress and activation. *Int J Psychophysiol* **30**, 329–337.
45. Schulkin J (1991) *Sodium Hunger, the Search for a Salty Taste*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
46. Michell AR (1995) Physiological roles for sodium in mammals. In *Sodium in Agriculture*, pp. 91–106 [CJC Phillips and PC Chiy, editors]. Canterbury, UK: Chalcombe Publications.
47. Tarjan E & Denton DA (1991) Sodium/water intake of rabbits following administration of hormones of stress. *Brain Res Bull* **26**, 133–136.
48. Weisinger RS, Denton DA, McKinley MJ & Nelson JF (1978) ACTH induced sodium appetite in the rat. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **8**, 339–342.
49. Panaretto BA & Reid JT (1964) Body composition *in vivo*. V. The use of anti-pyrene and n-acetyl-4-amino antipyrine for the estimation of gut water in living ruminants. *Aust J Agric Res* **15**, 195–199.
50. Walser M, Seldin DW & Grollman A (1953) An evaluation of radiolabeled sulfate for the determination of the volume of extracellular fluid in man and dogs. *J Clin Invest* **32**, 299–311.
51. Macfarlane WV, Morris RJH, Howard B, McDonald J & Budtz-Olsen OE (1961) Water and electrolyte changes in tropical Merino sheep exposed to dehydration during summer. *Aust J Agric Res* **12**, 889–912.
52. Panaretto BA (1965) Body composition *in vivo*. VIII. Some physiological implications with respect to extracellular fluid volume arising from the distribution of thiocyanate in sheep. *Aust J Agric Res* **16**, 667–673.
53. Coghlan JP, Fan JSK, Scoggins BA & Shulkes AA (1977) Measurement of extracellular fluid volume and blood volume in sheep. *Aust J Biol Sci* **30**, 71–84.
54. Rogers PAM & Van't Klooster ATH (1969) Observations on the digestion and absorption of food along the gastrointes-

- tinal tract of fistulated cows. 3. The fate of Na, K, Ca, Mg and P in the digesta. *Meded Landbouwhogeschool Wageningen* **69–11**, 26–39.
55. Payne JM, Dew SM, Manston R & Vagg MA (1970) Metabolic disorders of the ruminant, hypocalcaemia and hypomagnesaemia. In *Physiology of Digestion and Metabolism in the Ruminant*, pp. 584–598 [AT Phillipson, editor]. Newcastle upon Tyne: Oriel Press.
 56. Scott D (1975) Changes in mineral, water and acid-base balance associated with feeding and diet. In *Digestion and Metabolism in the Ruminant*, pp. 205–215 [IW McDonald and ACI Warner, editors]. Armidale, NSW, Australia: University of New England Publishing Unit.
 57. Bailey CB (1961) Saliva secretion and its relation to feeding in cattle. 3. The rate of secretion of mixed saliva in the cow during eating, with an estimate of total daily secretion of mixed saliva. *Br J Nutr* **15**, 443–451.
 58. Brook AH, Waites GMH & Stacy BD (1964) Cutaneous ureterostomy in the sheep. *Q J Exp Physiol* **49**, 297–300.
 59. Stacey BD & Brook AH (1964) The renal response of sheep to feeding. *Aust J Agric Res* **15**, 289–298.
 60. Fitzsimmons JT (1998) Angiotensin, thirst and sodium appetite. *Physiol Rev* **78**, 583–686.
 61. Knowles TG (1998) A review of road transport of sheep. *Vet Rec* **143**, 212–219.
 62. Knowles TG (1999) A review of the road transport of cattle. *Vet Rec* **144**, 197–201.
 63. Bailey CB & Balch CC (1961) Saliva secretion and its relation to feeding in cattle. 2. the composition and rate of secretion of mixed saliva in the cow during rest. *Br J Nutr* **15**, 383–402.
 64. McSweeney CS & Cross RB (1992) Effect of Na intake on Na conservation, digestion and mineral metabolism in growing ruminants fed *Stylosanthes hamata* cv. Verano. *Small Rumin Res* **7**, 299–313.
 65. Parthasarathy D & Phillipson AT (1953) The movement of potassium, sodium, chloride and water across the rumen epithelium of sheep. *J Physiol* **121**, 452–469.
 66. Dobson A, Sellers AF & Shaw GT (1970) Absorption of water from isolated ventral sac of rumen of the cow. *J Appl Physiol* **28**, 100–104.
 67. Engelhardt W & Hauffe R (1975) Role of the omasum in absorption and secretion of water and electrolytes in sheep and goats. In *Digestion and Metabolism in the Ruminant*, pp. 216–230 [IW McDonald and ACI Warner, editors]. Armidale, NSW, Australia: University of New England Publishing Unit.
 68. Kay RNB & Pfeffer E (1970) Movement of water and electrolytes into and from the intestine of sheep. In *Physiology of Digestion and Metabolism in the Ruminant*, pp. 390–402 [AT Phillipson, editor]. Newcastle upon Tyne: Oriel Press.
 69. Brouwer E & Van Weerden EJ (1956) Osmotic pressure in the intestine of the cow. *Nature (Lond)* **178**, 211.
 70. Parker AJ, Hamlin GP, Coleman CJ & Fitzpatrick LA (2003) Quantitative analysis of acid-base balance in *Bos indicus* cattle subjected to transportation of long duration. *J Anim Sci* **81**, 1434–1439.
 71. Welch JG & Smith AM (1968) The influence of fasting on rumination activity in sheep. *J Anim Sci* **27**, 1734–1737.
 72. Weeth HJ & Lesperance AL (1965) Renal function of cattle under various water and salt loads. *J Anim Sci* **24**, 441–447.
 73. Cole NA (1995) Influence of a three day feed and water deprivation period on gut fill, tissue weights and tissue composition in mature wethers. *J Anim Sci* **73**, 2548–2557.
 74. Baile CA & McLaughlin CL (1987) Mechanisms controlling food intake in ruminants. A review. *J Anim Sci* **64**, 915–922.
 75. Mattery RL (2001) Overview of central targets for appetite regulation. *J Anim Sci* **79**, Suppl. E, E148–E158.
 76. Rhind SM, Archer ZA & Adam CL (2002) Seasonality of food intake in ruminants, recent developments in understanding. *Nutr Res Rev* **15**, 43–65.
 77. Miura H, Tsuchiya N, Sasaki I, Kikuchi M, Kojima M, Kangawa K, Hasegawa Y & Ohnami Y (2004) Changes in plasma ghrelin and growth hormone concentration in mature Holstein cows and three month old calves. *J Anim Sci* **82**, 1329–1333.
 78. Wertz A, Knight T, Kreuder A, Bohan M, Beitz D, & Trenkle A (2004) Effect of feed intake on plasma ghrelin concentration in beef cattle. Iowa State University Animal Industry Report 2004 (A. S. Leaflet R1881). <http://www.iowabeefcenter.org/pdfs/BRR/R1881.pdf> (accessed February 2007).
 79. Wren AM, Small CJ, Ward HL *et al.* (2000) The novel hypothalamic peptide ghrelin stimulates food intake and growth hormone secretion. *Endocrinol* **141**, 4325–4328.
 80. Thidaymyint H, Yoshida H, Ito T & Kuwayama H (2006) Dose-dependent response of plasma ghrelin and growth hormone concentrations to bovine ghrelin in Holstein heifers. *J Endocrinol* **189**, 655–664.
 81. Marie M, Findlay PA, Thomas L & Adam CL (2001) Daily patterns of plasma leptins in sheep, effects of photoperiod and food intake. *J Endocrinol* **170**, 277–286.
 82. Adam CL, Findlay CA, Young P & Mercer JG (2002) Hypothalamic gene expression in sheep for cocaine and amphetamine related transcript, pro-opiomelanocortin, neuropeptide Y, agouti-related peptide and leptin receptor, and responses to negative energy balance. *Neuroendocrinology* **75**, 250–256.
 83. Bassett JM (1974) Diurnal patterns of plasma insulin, growth hormone, corticosteroid and metabolite concentrations in fed and fasted sheep. *Aust J Biol Sci* **27**, 167–181.
 84. Hill KJ (1955) Continuous gastric secretion in the ruminant. *Q J Exp Physiol* **40**, 32–39.
 85. Margan DL (1988) Stimulation of abomasal flow in sheep with buffer infusions per abomasum and effects of same on certain aspects of intestinal function. *Aust J Agric Res* **39**, 1121–1134.
 86. Weston RH (1996) Some aspects of constraint to forage consumption by ruminants. *Aust J Agric Res* **47**, 175–197.
 87. Forbes JM (2003) The multifactorial nature of food intake control. *J Anim Sci* **81**, Suppl. 2, E139–E144.
 88. Coleman GS (1975) The interrelationship between rumen ciliate protozoa and bacteria. In *Digestion and Metabolism in the Ruminant*, pp. 149–164 [IW McDonald and ACI Warner, editors]. Armidale, NSW, Australia: University of New England Publishing Unit.
 89. Nolan JV (1975) Quantitative models of nitrogen metabolism in sheep. In *Digestion and Metabolism in the Ruminant*, pp. 416–431 [IW McDonald and ACI Warner, editors]. Armidale, NSW, Australia: University of New England Publishing Unit.
 90. Bauchop T & Elsdon SR (1960) The growth of microorganisms in relation to their energy supply. *J Gen Microbiol* **23**, 457–469.
 91. Hungate RE (1965) *The Rumen and its Microbes*. New York: Academic Press.
 92. Payne E & Laws L (1978) Tissue enzyme levels as indicators of protein status in sheep. *Br J Nutr* **39**, 441–449.

93. Meiske JC, Salsbury RL, Hoefler JA & Luecke RW (1958) The effect of starvation and subsequent refeeding on some activities of rumen microorganisms *in vitro*. *J Anim Sci* **17**, 774–781.
94. Schelfinger CC & Wolin MJ (1973) Propionate formation from cellulose and soluble sugars by combined cultures of *Bacteroides succinogenes* and *Selenomonas ruminantium*. *Appl Microbiol* **26**, 789–795.
95. Russell JB (1985) Fermentation of cellodextrins by cellulolytic and non-cellulolytic rumen bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **49**, 572–576.
96. Miron J, Ben Ghadalia D & Morrison M (2001) Invited review. Adhesion mechanisms of rumen cellulolytic bacteria. *J Dairy Sci* **84**, 1294–1309.
97. Cole NA & Hutcheson DP (1981) Influence on beef steers of two sequential short periods of feed and water deprivation. *J Anim Sci* **53**, 907–915.
98. Barcroft J, McAnally RA & Phillipson AT (1944) Absorption of volatile fatty acids from the rumen of sheep and other species. *J Exp Biol* **20**, 120–129.
99. Fluharty FL, Loerch SL & Dehority BA (1994) Ruminal characteristics, microbial populations, and digestive capabilities of newly weaned, stressed calves. *J Anim Sci* **72**, 2969–2979.
100. Cole NA (1991) Effects of animal-to-animal exchange of ruminal contents on the feed intakes and ruminal characteristics of fed and fasted lambs. *J Anim Sci* **69**, 1795–1803.
101. Phillips CJC & Youssef MYI (2003) The effects of previous experience of four pasture species on the grazing behaviour of ewes and their lambs. *Anim Sci* **77**, 329–334.
102. Bullen JJ, Scarisbrick R & Maddock A (1953) Enterotoxaemia of sheep. The fate of washed suspensions of *Clostridium welchii* type D introduced into the rumen of normal sheep. *J Pathol Bacteriol* **65**, 209–219.
103. Brownlie LE & Grau FH (1967) Effect of feed intake on growth and survival of salmonellas and *Escherichia coli* in the bovine rumen. *J Gen Microbiol* **46**, 125–184.
104. Callaway TR, Elder RO, Keen JE, Anderson RE & Nisbet DJ (2003) Forage feeding to reduce pre-harvest *Escherichia coli* population in cattle. *J Dairy Sci* **86**, 852–860.
105. Vanselow BA, Krause DO & McSweeney CS (2005) The Shiga-toxin producing *Escherichia coli*, their ruminant hosts and potential on-farm interventions, a review. *Aust J Agric Res* **56**, 219–244.
106. Wallace RJ, Falconer ML & Bhargava PK (1989) Toxicity of volatile fatty acids prevents enrichment of *Escherichia coli* by sorbitol in rumen contents. *Curr Microbiol* **19**, 277–281.
107. Diez-Gonzales F, Callaway TR, Kizoulis ME & Russell JB (1998) Grain feeding and the dissemination of acid-resistant *Escherichia coli* from cattle. *Science* **281**, 1666–1668.
108. Gilbert RA, Tomkins N, Padmanabha J, Gough JM, Krause DO & McSweeney CS (2005) Effect of finishing diets on *Escherichia coli* populations and prevalence of EHEC virulence genes in cattle faeces. *J Appl Microbiol* **99**, 885–894.
109. Briggs PK, Hogan JP & Reid RL (1957) The effects of volatile fatty acids, lactic acid and ammonia on rumen pH in sheep. *Aust J Agric Res* **8**, 674–690.
110. Gregory NG, Jacobson LH, Nagle TA, Muirhead RW & Leroux GT (2000) Effect of pre-slaughter feeding system on weight loss, gut bacteria and the physicochemical properties of digesta in cattle. *N Z J Agric Res* **43**, 351–361.
111. Jacobson LH, Nagle TA, Gregory NG, Bell RG, Le Roux G & Haines JM (2002) Effect of feeding pasture-finished cattle different conserved forages on *Escherichia coli* in the rumen and faeces. *Meat Sci* **62**, 93–106.
112. Phillips CJC (1988) Review article: The use of conserved forage as a buffer feed for grazing dairy cows. *Grass Forage Sci* **43**, 215–230.
113. Chapple RS & Wodzicka-Tomaszewska M (1987) The learning behaviour of sheep when introduced to wheat. 1. Wheat acceptance by sheep and the effect of trough familiarity. *Appl Anim Behav Sci* **18**, 157–162.
114. Adams C (2006) Nutrition-based health in animal production. *Nutr Res Rev* **19**, 79–89.
115. Harper GS (1999) Trends in skeletal muscle biology and the understanding of toughness in beef. *Aust J Agric Res* **50**, 1105–1129.
116. Shorthose WR (1977) The effects of resting sheep after a long journey on concentrations of plasma constituents, post mortem changes in muscles and meat properties. *Aust J Agric Res* **28**, 509–520.
117. Eicher SD (2001) Transportation of cattle in the dairy industry: current research and future directions. *J Dairy Sci* **84**, E19–E24.
118. Grandin T (1997) Assessment of stress during handling and transport. *J Anim Sci* **75**, 249–257.
119. Shorthose WR, Harris PV & Bouton PE (1972) The effect on some properties of beef of resting and feeding cattle after a long journey to slaughter. *Anim Prod Aust* **9**, 387–391.
120. Petherick C, Holroyd RG & Swain AJ (2003) Performance of lot-fed *Bos indicus* steers exposed to aspects of a feed-lot environment before lot feeding. *Aust J Exp Agric* **43**, 1181–1191.
121. Schaefer AL, Jones SDM & Stanley RW (1997) The use of electrolyte solutions for reducing transport stress. *J Anim Sci* **75**, 258–265.