*Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society* (2019) **62**, 211–220 doi:10.1017/S0013091518000251

# CONDITIONS FOR SYLOW 2-SUBGROUPS OF THE FIXED POINT SUBGROUP IMPLYING SOLUBILITY

ANTONIO BELTRÁN<sup>1</sup> AND CHANGGUO SHAO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Jaume I, 12071 Castellón, Spain (abeltran@mat.uji.es) <sup>2</sup>School of Mathematical Science, University of Jinan, 250022 Shandong, China

(shaoguozi@163.com)

(Received 12 April 2017; first published online 25 September 2018)

Abstract Let A and G be finite groups and suppose that A acts via automorphisms on G with (|A|, |G|) = 1. We study how certain conditions on the Sylow 2-subgroups of the fixed point subgroup of the action  $\mathbf{C}_G(A)$  may imply the non-simplicity or solubility of G.

Keywords: finite groups; Sylow subgroups; coprime action; group action on groups

2010 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 20D20; 20D15

#### 1. Introduction

The Sylow 2-subgroups have a crucial role in the internal structure of finite groups and, especially, non-abelian simple groups. For instance, as a consequence of Burnside's normal p-complement theorem (see [6, 7.2.1]) it follows that when the Sylow 2-subgroups of a finite group G are cyclic, then G necessarily possesses a normal 2-complement, and, by appealing to the Feit–Thompson theorem, it turns out that G is soluble. Likewise, another celebrated non-simplicity criterion concerning Sylow 2-subgroups is the Brauer– Suzuki theorem [2] (or more generally, Glauberman  $Z^*$ -theorem). It claims that if a finite group G has a generalized (or ordinary) quaternion Sylow 2-subgroup and no non-trivial normal subgroups of odd order, then G has a centre of order 2. In particular, G cannot be simple. We shall make use of these facts without further reference. Another important and necessary result is the classification of the finite simple groups having elementary abelian or dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, which were completely determined in [7, 8], respectively.

Now, let G and A be finite groups of relatively prime orders such that the group A acts on G. Under this coprime action hypothesis, we investigate what information on the Sylow 2-subgroups of the fixed point subgroup  $\mathbf{C}_G(A)$  may provide solubility properties in the whole group G. For example, it is known that if these 2-subgroups are trivial, that is, when  $\mathbf{C}_G(A)$  has odd order, then G is soluble [3]. The same happens when the Sylow

2-subgroup of  $\mathbf{C}_G(A)$  is a direct factor of  $\mathbf{C}_G(A)$ , and this is also a consequence of the main theorem of [3]. On the other hand, several solubility conditions of G have been given under the assumption that G has exactly one A-invariant Sylow p-subgroup for p = 2 or 3 [1]. Going one step further, one may wonder whether we can get the solubility of G from the fact that the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $\mathbf{C}_G(A)$  have a specific structure, that is, they are either cyclic, elementary abelian, dihedral or generalized quaternion groups. The answer is negative and it is not hard to find examples of simple groups acted on by groups of coprime order satisfying such conditions. However, we shall show how these conditions strongly limit both the simple cases and the non-soluble structure of the group. Our results are based on the classification theorem of finite simple groups (CFSG) and we shall use the standard notation appearing in [4]. All groups are supposed to be finite.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that a group A acts coprimely on a group G and that  $C_G(A)$  has a cyclic or elementary abelian Sylow 2-subgroup. Then G is soluble if and only if G has no composition factor isomorphic to any of the following groups:

- (1)  $PSL(2, 2^n)$ , with  $n \ge 2$ ;  $PSL(2, q^n)$ , where q is a prime power such that  $q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $n \ge 1$ , with  $q^n \ne 3$ ;
- (2)  $\operatorname{Sz}(2^n)$  with  $n \ge 2$ ;
- (3)  ${}^{2}G_{2}(3^{n})$  with  $n \geq 2$ ;
- (4) the sporadic simple group  $J_1$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume that a group A acts coprimely on a group G and that the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $C_G(A)$  are generalized quaternion. Then G is soluble if and only if G has no composition factor isomorphic to any of the following groups:

- (1)  $PSL(2, 2^n)$ , with  $n \ge 2$ ;  $PSL(2, q^n)$ , where q is a prime power such that  $q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $n \ge 1$ , with  $q^n \ne 3$ ;
- (2)  $\operatorname{Sz}(2^n)$  with  $n \ge 2$ ;
- (3) the alternating group  $A_7$ ;
- (4)  $PSU(3, 2^n)$  with  $n \ge 2$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume that a group A acts coprimely on a group G and that the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $C_G(A)$  are dihedral groups. Then G is soluble if and only if G has no composition factor isomorphic to any of the following groups:

- (1) PSL(2,q), with q a prime power, q > 3;
- (2)  $\operatorname{Sz}(2^n)$  with  $n \ge 2$ ;
- (3) the alternating group  $A_7$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some results that we need for our purposes. Suppose that a finite group G is acted on via automorphisms by another finite group A satisfying (|A|, |G|) = 1. Under this coprime action hypothesis and for every prime p, the group Anaturally acts on the set of Sylow p-subgroups of G, there always exist A-invariant Sylow p-subgroups in G, and any two of them are conjugate by some element in the fixed point subgroup  $C = \mathbf{C}_G(A)$ . Furthermore, for any A-invariant Sylow subgroup P of G, we have that  $P \cap C$  is a Sylow p-subgroup of C. We refer the non-familiar reader to [6, Chapter 8] for a detailed presentation of the basic properties of coprime action. We only state here some results that will be frequently used.

Lemma 2.1 (see [6, 8.2.2]). Let A act coprimely on G. Let N be an A-invariant normal subgroup of G. Suppose that the action of A on N is coprime. Then  $\mathbf{C}_{G/N}(A) = \mathbf{C}_G(A)N/N$ .

**Lemma 2.2 (see [1, Lemma 2.3]).** Suppose that a finite group A acts on a finite group  $G = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n$ , such that  $H_i^a \in \{H_1, \ldots, H_n\}$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Assume further that A acts transitively on  $\{H_1, \ldots, H_n\}$ . Let  $H = H_1$  and  $B = \mathbf{N}_A(H)$ . Then  $\mathbf{C}_G(A) \cong \mathbf{C}_H(B)$ .

In the above lemma, the groups A and G need not have relatively prime orders. However, in our arguments this will be applied under the coprime action hypothesis and in the following situation.

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that a finite group A acts coprimely on a finite group G and that G does not have any non-trivial proper A-invariant normal subgroup. Then  $G = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n$ , where  $H_i$  are isomorphic simple groups and  $\mathbf{C}_G(A) \cong \mathbf{C}_H(B)$ , where  $H = H_1$  and  $B = \mathbf{N}_A(H)$ .

**Proof.** Since G does not possess non-trivial proper A-invariant normal subgroups, then G is a minimal normal subgroup of GA, the semidirect product of G and A, and, accordingly, G is the direct product of isomorphic simple groups, say  $G = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n$ . Moreover, one can easily prove that A must act transitively on the set  $\{H_1, \ldots, H_n\}$ , so we apply Lemma 2.2 and the result follows.

The next result contains certain known lists of non-abelian simple groups whose Sylow 2-subgroups have a concrete structure. We remark that when we say dihedral group, we also include the case  $C_2 \times C_2$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let G be a non-abelian simple group with a Sylow 2-subgroup P.

- (a) If P is elementary abelian, then G is isomorphic to PSL(2, q), with q a prime power,  $q > 3, q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  or  $q = 2^n$ ,  $J_1$  or  ${}^2G_2(3^n)$  with n = 2m + 1.
- (b) If P is a dihedral group, then G is isomorphic to PSL(2,q), with q a prime power, q > 3 odd, or the alternating group  $A_7$ .

In particular, when P is an elementary abelian group of order 4, then G is isomorphic to PSL(2,q), with q a prime power  $q > 3, q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  or q = 4.

**Proof.** Part (a) is [5, Theorem, p. 485] and part (b) is [5, Theorem, p. 462]. Assume now that P is elementary abelian of order 4. By (a), G is isomorphic to PSL(2, q),  $q > 3, q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  or  $q = 2^n$ ,  $J_1$  or  ${}^2G_2(3^n)$ , with n odd. However, any Sylow 2subgroup of  $J_1$  has order 8 (see [4]). The group  ${}^2G_2(3^n)$  satisfies  $|{}^2G_2(3^n)| = 3^{3n}(3^{3n} + 1)$  $(3^n - 1)$  with n odd; notice that  $4 \mid 3^{3n} + 1$  and  $2 \mid 3^n - 1$ , so  $|P| \ge 8$ . We also know that the Sylow 2-subgroups of PSL(2,  $2^n$ ) have order  $2^n$ , so we get n = 2. On the other hand, by order calculations, it is easily seen that every Sylow 2-subgroup of PSL(2, q) with  $q > 3, q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  is elementary abelian of order 4. We conclude that G is isomorphic to PSL(2, q),  $q > 3, q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  or q = 4, so the last part of the lemma is proved.

We need a description of the normal structure and the quotient structure of the generalized quaternion groups and of the dihedral groups of order  $2^n$ , with  $n \ge 3$ . We compile all the specific information that we shall use later and provide a proof of it because, in spite of the fact that the properties are known, we have not been able to find in the literature a result which collects all those that we exactly need.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $G = Q_{2^n} = \langle a, b | a^{2^{n-1}} = 1, b^4 = 1, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle$  be the generalized quaternion group of order  $2^n$  with  $n \ge 3$  and let N be a non-trivial proper normal subgroup of G. Then:

- (a) if  $N \leq \langle a \rangle$ , then either
  - (a.1)  $N = \langle a^{2^{n-2}} \rangle = \mathbf{Z}(G)$  and  $G/N \cong D_{2^{n-1}}$ , the dihedral group of order  $2^{n-1}$ , or
  - (a.2)  $\mathbf{Z}(G) < N < \langle a^2 \rangle$  and  $G/N \cong Q_{2^i}$  with  $3 \le i \le n-2$ , or  $N = \langle a^2 \rangle$  and  $G/N \cong C_2 \times C_2$ , or
  - (a.3)  $N = \langle a \rangle$  and  $G/N \cong C_2$ ;
- (b) if  $N \not\leq \langle a \rangle$ , then N is a generalized quaternion group and  $G/N \cong C_2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $H = \langle a \rangle$ . We divide the proof into two cases depending on whether  $N \leq H$  or not. Suppose first that  $N \not\leq H$ . Then G = HN and  $G/H \cong N/(H \cap N) \cong C_2$ . This shows that  $a^2 \in N$ . Since N must contain some  $a^i b$  for some integer  $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$ , we obtain  $N = \langle a^2, a^i b \rangle$ . If  $2 \nmid i$ , then  $ab \in N$  and thus  $N = \langle a^2, ab \rangle$ . If  $2 \mid i$ , then  $b \in N$  and  $N = \langle a^2, b \rangle$ . In both cases N is a generalized quaternion group and |G/N| = 2.

Suppose now that  $N \leq H$ . We trivially have one of three possibilities:  $N = \mathbf{Z}(G)$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}(G) < N < H$  or N = H. In each case, we have  $G/N \cong D_{2^{n-1}}$ ,  $G/N \cong Q_{2^i}$  with  $3 \leq i \leq n-2$  or  $C_2 \times C_2$ , respectively.

The next lemma is elementary and we omit its proof.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $G = D_{2^n}$  be the dihedral group of order  $2^n$ . Then every normal subgroup N of G is cyclic or dihedral. Furthermore, for every  $n \ge 3$ , if  $|N| = 2^{n-1}$  then  $G/N \cong C_2$  and if  $|N| = 2^i$  with  $i \le n-2$ , then  $G/N \cong D_{2^{n-i}}$ .

**Remark 2.7.** The following fact is well known (see [4]). The list of groups of Lie type which are non-simple is the following:  $A_1(2) \cong PSL(2,2) \cong S_3$ ;  $A_1(3) \cong PSL(2,3) \cong A_4$ ;  ${}^2A_2(2) \cong PSU(3,2)$ , which is a Frobenius group with complement  $Q_8$ ;  ${}^2B_2(2) \cong Sz(2)$ , the Frobenius group of order 20;  $B_2(2) \cong S_6$ ;  $G_2(2)$ , which has order 12096 and whose derived subgroup is isomorphic to PSU(3,3);  ${}^2G_2(3)$  whose derived subgroup is isomorphic to  $PSL(2,2^3)$ ; and  ${}^2F_4(2)$  whose derived subgroup is the Tits (simple) group. In particular, we observe that in this list only the groups PSL(2,2) and Sz(2) have cyclic Sylow 2subgroups (of orders 2 and 4, respectively), only the groups PSL(2,2), PSL(2,3) and  ${}^2G_2(3)$  have elementary abelian Sylow 2-subgroups (of orders 2, 4 and 8, respectively), and PSU(3,2) is the only group with (generalized) quaternion Sylow 2-subgroups. Moreover, none of the groups in the list has a dihedral Sylow 2-subgroup of order greater than 4.

### 3. Proofs

Before proving our main results we need to determine the non-abelian simple groups satisfying certain coprime action conditions. We prove first a property about coprime action on simple groups, appealing to the CFSG.

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that a group A acts non-trivially and coprimely on a non-abelian simple group G. Then G is a simple group of Lie type, say  $G = G(q^r)$ , defined over the field with  $q^r$  elements, where q is a prime power and  $r = |A/\mathbf{C}_A(G)|$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{C}_G(A) \cong G(q)$ , the simple group of Lie type of the same type as G, but defined on the field of q elements.

**Proof.** It is known that the alternating groups and the 26 sporadic simple groups do not admit a non-trivial coprime automorphism. In fact, it can be easily checked, for instance in [4], that if G is any of such groups, then every prime divisor of the order of its outer automorphism group, Out(G), also divides |G|. Hence, by using the CFSG, it follows that G is a simple group of Lie type defined over some finite field F. Now, we consider the action of  $\overline{A} := A/\mathbb{C}_A(G)$  on G, where  $\mathbb{C}_A(G)$  denotes the kernel of the action of A on G. It is clear that  $\overline{A}$  also acts non-trivially (and coprimely) on G. Furthermore, since this action is faithful, by replacing  $\overline{A}$  by some conjugate in Aut(G), we may assume that  $\overline{A}$  is a subgroup of Aut(G) induced by some automorphism group of F. Now, if  $|\overline{A}| = r$ , it follows that  $|F| = q^r$  for some prime power q. If we write  $G = G(q^r)$ , the simple group of Lie type, then  $\mathbb{C}_G(A) = \mathbb{C}_G(\overline{A}) \cong G(q)$ , the (not necessarily simple) Lie group of the same type as G, but defined over the field of q elements.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that a group A acts non-trivially and coprimely on a nonabelian simple group G, and let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C_G(A)$ .

- (a) If P is cyclic, then  $G \cong PSL(2, 2^n)$ , with  $n \ge 2$  or  $G \cong Sz(2^n)$  with  $n \ge 2$ .
- (b) If P is elementary abelian, then G is isomorphic to one of these groups:  $PSL(2, 2^n)$ , with  $n \ge 2$ ;  $PSL(2, q^n)$ , where q is a prime power such that  $q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $n \ge 1$ , with  $q^n \ne 3$ ; or  ${}^2G_2(3^n)$  with  $n \ge 2$ .

**Proof.** We prove (a) and (b) at the same time. We reason by induction on |GA|, where GA denotes the semidirect product of G by A. Let  $C := \mathbf{C}_G(A)$ . By applying

Lemma 3.1, we can assume that G is a simple group of Lie type, say  $G = G(q^r)$ , where q is a prime power and  $r = |A/\mathbf{C}_A(G)|$ . Also,  $C \cong G(q)$ , the simple group of the same Lie type, defined on the field of q elements. We distinguish whether C is simple or not. If C is a non-abelian simple group, then we know that C cannot have cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups, and if C has an elementary abelian Sylow 2-subgroup, by Lemma 2.4(a) we have  $C \cong \text{PSL}(2, q)$ , where  $q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  or  $q = 2^m$  or  $C \cong {}^2\text{G}_2(3^m)$ , m = 2k + 1. Hence  $G \cong \text{PSL}(2, q^r)$ , where  $q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  or  $q = 2^m$ , or  $G \cong {}^2\text{G}_2(3^{mr})$ . Furthermore, since  $2 \nmid r$ , notice that  $q^r \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ .

Assume now that C is non-simple and let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of C. According to Remark 2.7, when P is cyclic then  $C \cong PSL(2,2)$  or Sz(2), and when P is elementary abelian then C is isomorphic to PSL(2,2), PSL(2,3) or  ${}^{2}G_{2}(3)$ . In the first case, we have  $G \cong PSL(2,2^{r})$  or  $Sz(2^{r})$  with  $r \ge 2$ , so (a) is proved. In the second case,  $G \cong PSL(2,2^{r})$ ,  $PSL(2,3^{r})$  or  ${}^{2}G_{2}(3^{r})$ . Moreover, in the case  $G \cong PSL(2,3^{r})$ , as r is odd, then  $3^{r} \equiv 3$ (mod 8). By taking into account the above paragraph, we conclude that G is isomorphic to one of the groups listed in (b).

We are ready to prove the main results.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We only need to prove the 'if' part of the theorem. Let  $C := \mathbf{C}_G(A)$  and let  $\Omega = \{ \text{PSL}(2,q), \text{ where } q \equiv 3,5 \pmod{8} \text{ or } q = 2^n, \text{ Sz}(2^n), \text{ J}_1, {}^2\text{G}_2(3^n), \text{ with } n \geq 2 \}$ . Assume that *G* has no composition factor isomorphic to any of the groups in  $\Omega$ . We argue by minimal counterexample, so let us take *G* and *A* satisfying the hypotheses with *G* non-soluble and |GA| as small as possible, where as usual *GA* denotes the semidirect product.

Let N be a proper A-invariant normal subgroup of G. Then  $\mathbf{C}_N(A) = C \cap N \leq C$ , and it is clear then that every Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathbf{C}_N(A)$  is normal in some Sylow 2subgroup of C, so, in particular, it is cyclic or elementary abelian too. As N also has no composition factor which belongs to  $\Omega$ , minimality implies that N is soluble. Thus every proper A-invariant normal subgroup of G is soluble.

Let N be a maximal A-invariant normal subgroup of G and suppose that  $N \neq 1$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\mathbf{C}_{G/N}(A) = CN/N \cong C/(C \cap N)$ , so  $\mathbf{C}_{G/N}(A)$  has cyclic or elementary abelian Sylow 2-subgroups. Since G/N cannot have any composition factor isomorphic to any group in  $\Omega$ , minimality guarantees that G/N is soluble, and then the solubility of N forces G to be soluble, a contradiction. As a result, N = 1, that is, G can be assumed to have no proper non-trivial A-invariant normal subgroup. We shall prove that G is non-abelian simple.

According to Lemma 2.3, we write  $G = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n$  with  $C \cong \mathbf{C}_H(B)$ , where  $H_i$  are isomorphic simple groups,  $H = H_1$  and  $B = \mathbf{N}_A(H)$ . Also, we claim that the action of Bon H cannot be trivial. Otherwise,  $C \cong H$  and thus the Sylow 2-subgroups of H would be cyclic or elementary abelian. Then Lemma 2.4(a) would imply that H is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q), q > 3, q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$  or  $q = 2^n$ ,  $J_1$  or  ${}^2\mathrm{G}_2(3^n)$  with n odd, which contradicts the hypotheses of the theorem. Now that we have proved that the action of B on H is nontrivial (and coprime), we can apply Lemma 3.1 to obtain  $H = H(q^t)$  and  $\mathbf{C}_H(B) = H(q)$ , both simple groups of the same Lie type, where  $q^t$  and q are the corresponding orders of the underlying fields, and  $t = |B/\mathbf{C}_B(H)|$ . Now, the fact that  $C \cong \mathbf{C}_H(B)$  forces  $\mathbf{C}_H(B)$ to have cyclic or elementary abelian Sylow 2-subgroups. Since H has no composition factor in  $\Omega$ , if |HB| < |GA|, we get that H is soluble by minimality. This contradiction shows that G is non-abelian simple.

Finally, we distinguish whether the action of A on G is trivial or not. When it is trivial, we certainly have a contradiction by Lemma 2.4(a). On the contrary, if the action is non-trivial, we apply Theorem 3.2 to obtain that G is isomorphic to  $PSL(2, 2^r)$ ,  $PSL(2, q^r)$ , with  $q \equiv 3,5 \pmod{8}$ ,  $Sz(2^r)$  or  ${}^2G_2(3^r)$ , contradicting the hypotheses too.

**Remark 3.3.** We show that none of the simple groups appearing in the statement of Theorem 1.1 can be eliminated, and for this reason this theorem cannot be improved. Let H be any of the simple groups listed in Theorem 1.1, and choose p and q to be two distinct primes with p odd and (q, |H|) = 1. Let  $A = C_q$  act trivially on H and cyclically on the direct product of q copies of  $C_p$ , say  $K = C_p \times \cdots \times C_p$ . Let us consider the corresponding coprime action of A on  $G = H \times K$ . We clearly have  $\mathbf{C}_G(A) \cong H \times C_p$  and thus the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $\mathbf{C}_G(A)$  are the same as those of H. Therefore, G is a non-soluble group with a composition factor isomorphic to H, and the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $\mathbf{C}_G(A)$  are cyclic or elementary abelian.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We argue by counterexample of minimal order. Let us take G and A satisfying the hypotheses with G non-soluble and |GA| as small as possible. We divide the proof into several steps.

**Step 1.** Every proper A-invariant normal subgroup of G is soluble.

Let N be an A-invariant normal subgroup of G. Then  $\mathbf{C}_N(A) = C \cap N \leq C$ , so every Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathbf{C}_N(A)$  is normal in some Sylow 2-subgroup of C. By applying Lemma 2.5, we deduce that a Sylow 2-subgroup P of  $\mathbf{C}_N(A)$  is cyclic (possibly trivial) or generalized quaternion. Assume first that P is cyclic. Suppose that N is non-soluble and let W be the soluble radical of N. Take M/W > 1, a chief factor of GA with  $M \leq N$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{C}_{M/W}(A) \leq \mathbf{C}_{N/W}(A)$ , and then the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $\mathbf{C}_{M/W}(A)$  are cyclic. By Lemma 2.3, we can write  $M/W = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_r$ , where  $D_i$  are non-soluble isomorphic simple groups, and  $\mathbf{C}_{M/W}(A) \cong \mathbf{C}_D(B)$  where  $D = D_1$  and  $B := \mathbf{N}_A(D)$ . All these facts imply that the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $\mathbf{C}_D(B)$  are cyclic too. We note that the action of B on D cannot be trivial, otherwise the simple group D would have a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup. Thus we can apply Theorem 3.2(a) to obtain  $D \cong \text{PSL}(2, 2^n)$ or  $\text{Sz}(2^n)$ . As a result, G has a composition factor isomorphic to one of those groups, a contradiction. Therefore, N is soluble, as we wanted to prove. When P is generalized quaternion, the minimality of GA implies that N is soluble too.

Step 2. G has no proper non-trivial A-invariant normal subgroup.

Let N be a maximal A-invariant normal subgroup of G and assume that  $N \neq 1$ . By Step 1, notice that G/N cannot be soluble. As G/N does not have non-trivial proper A-invariant normal subgroups, we may apply Lemma 2.3 to write  $G/N = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_t$ , where  $S_i$  are isomorphic (non-abelian) simple groups, and  $\mathbf{C}_{G/N}(A) \cong \mathbf{C}_S(B)$ , where  $S = S_1$  and  $B = \mathbf{N}_A(S)$ . We claim that the action of B on S is non-trivial. Suppose that this action is trivial. Since  $\mathbf{C}_{G/N}(A) = CN/N$  and  $\mathbf{C}_S(B) = S$ , we deduce that  $C/(C \cap N) \cong S$ . By applying Lemma 2.5, it follows that any Sylow 2-subgroup P of S is cyclic, dihedral or generalized quaternion. However, as S is a non-abelian simple group, P cannot be cyclic or generalized quaternion. Then P must be dihedral, so S is isomorphic to PSL(2,q), with q > 3 odd, or  $A_7$ , by Lemma 2.4(b). This provides a composition factor of G isomorphic to PSL(2,q), or  $A_7$ , a contradiction. We conclude that B acts non-trivially on S as claimed.

Therefore, we can apply Lemma 3.1 and we have  $S = S(q^r)$ , the simple group of Lie type defined over a field of  $q^r$  elements, and  $\mathbf{C}_S(B) = S(q)$ , of the same type. Since  $\mathbf{C}_{G/N}(A) \cong C/(C \cap N)$ , again by Lemma 2.5, the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $\mathbf{C}_{G/N}(A)$  are cyclic of order 2, dihedral or generalized quaternion. Then a Sylow 2-subgroup T of  $\mathbf{C}_S(B)$  is also cyclic of order 2, dihedral or generalized quaternion, and we consider each one of the possibilities separately.

Suppose first that T is cyclic of order 2. Of course,  $\mathbf{C}_S(B)$  cannot be simple, in fact, Remark 2.7 yields  $\mathbf{C}_S(B) \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2,2)$ . Consequently,  $S \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2,2^r)$ , contradicting the hypotheses. Suppose now that  $T \cong Q_{2^n}$  with  $n \ge 3$ . Then minimality implies that S is soluble, a contradiction too. Finally, we suppose that T is a dihedral group and distinguish two cases depending on whether  $\mathbf{C}_S(B)$  is simple or not. When  $\mathbf{C}_S(B)$  is simple, then by Lemma 2.4(b), we have  $\mathbf{C}_S(B) \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$  where q > 3 is odd. We deduce that  $S \cong$  $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q^r)$  and this shows that G has a composition factor isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q^r)$ , a contradiction. Suppose that  $\mathbf{C}_S(B)$  is not a simple group (of Lie type). Then no group appearing in Remark 2.7 has any Sylow 2-subgroup isomorphic to a dihedral group except  $\mathrm{PSL}(2,3)$  (whose Sylow 2-subgroup is  $C_2 \times C_2$ ); this leads to  $G \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2,3^r)$  with r > 1, which also provides a contradiction. Therefore, G has no proper non-trivial A-invariant normal subgroup.

Step 3. Final contradiction.

By Step 2 and Lemma 2.3, we can write  $G = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n$ , where the  $H_i$  are isomorphic (non-abelian) simple groups, and  $C \cong \mathbf{C}_H(B)$  with  $H = H_1$  and  $B = \mathbf{N}_A(H)$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{C}_H(B)$  possesses a generalized quaternion Sylow 2-subgroup. Now, if H < G, by minimality we get that H is soluble, a contradiction, so G is a non-abelian simple group. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.1, G is a simple group of Lie type, say  $G = G(q^r)$ , defined over the finite field of  $q^r$  elements with  $r = |A/\mathbf{C}_A(G)|$ , and C = G(q). Since the Sylow 2-subgroups of C are generalized quaternion, C cannot be a non-abelian simple group. Hence, according to Remark 2.7, we conclude that C must be isomorphic to exactly PSU(3, 2), and so  $G \cong PSU(3, 2^r)$ , which is the final contradiction.

**Remark 3.4.** It may seem unusual that the group  $A_7$ , which does not admit a nontrivial coprime action, may be a composition factor of a group G satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.2. However, it is enough to consider  $H = 2.A_7$ , the central extension of  $A_7$ by a cyclic group of order 2, which has generalized quaternion Sylow 2-subgroups of order 16. Now, if we take  $A \cong C_q$  and  $K \cong C_p \times \cdots \times C_p$  as in Remark 3.3, and let A act (coprimely and non-trivially) on  $G = H \times K$ , we clearly have  $\mathbf{C}_G(A) \cong H \times C_p$ , whose Sylow 2-subgroups are generalized quaternion groups.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We only need to prove the 'if' part and argue by minimal counterexample. Suppose that G and A satisfy the hypotheses with G non-soluble and |GA| as small as possible.

Step 1. Every proper A-invariant normal subgroup of G is soluble.

Let N be an A-invariant normal subgroup of G. As  $\mathbf{C}_N(A) = C \cap N \leq C$ , then every Sylow 2-subgroup P of  $\mathbf{C}_N(A)$  is normal in some Sylow 2-subgroup of C. By Lemma 2.6, we have that P must be cyclic (possibly trivial) or dihedral. Assume first that P is cyclic and suppose that N is non-soluble. Let W be the soluble radical of N and let M/W be a chief factor of GA with  $M \leq N$ . As  $\mathbf{C}_{M/W}(A) \leq \mathbf{C}_{N/W}(A)$ , every Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathbf{C}_{M/W}(A)$  is cyclic. We can apply Lemma 2.3 and write  $M/W = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_r$ , where  $D_i$ are isomorphic simple groups, and  $\mathbf{C}_{M/W}(A) \cong \mathbf{C}_D(B)$ , where  $D = D_1$  and  $B = \mathbf{N}_A(D)$ . We deduce that a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathbf{C}_D(B)$  is cyclic too. Observe that the action of Bon D cannot be trivial; hence, Theorem 3.2 gives  $D \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2, 2^n)$  or  $\mathrm{Sz}(2^n)$ . This forces G to have a composition factor isomorphic to one of those groups, a contradiction. In this case, N is soluble. On the other hand, if we assume that P is dihedral, then N is soluble too by minimality.

Step 2. G has no proper non-trivial A-invariant normal subgroup.

Let  $N \neq 1$  be a maximal A-invariant normal subgroup of G. As N is soluble by Step 1, we have that G/N must be non-soluble. By Lemma 2.3, we write  $G/N = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_t$ , where  $S_i$  are isomorphic non-abelian simple groups, and  $\mathbf{C}_{G/N}(A) \cong \mathbf{C}_S(B)$ , where  $S = S_1$  and  $B = \mathbf{N}_A(S)$ . First, we prove that the action of B on S is non-trivial. Suppose on the contrary that the action is trivial. Since  $C/(C \cap N) \cong \mathbf{C}_{G/N}(A) \cong \mathbf{C}_S(B) = S$ , it follows from Lemma 2.6 that every Sylow 2-subgroup P of S is cyclic or dihedral. As Sis non-abelian simple, the first case cannot happen. Then P must be dihedral and, by Lemma 2.4(b), S must be isomorphic to PSL(2,q), with q > 3 odd, or A<sub>7</sub>. As a consequence, G has a composition factor isomorphic to one of these groups. This contradiction proves that B acts non-trivially on S. Thus we apply Lemma 3.1 and obtain that S is simple of Lie type,  $S = S(q^t)$ , and is defined over the field with  $q^t$  elements where  $t = |B/\mathbf{C}_B(S)|$ . Also,  $\mathbf{C}_S(B) = S(q)$  has the same Lie type. By applying Lemma 2.1, we have  $\mathbf{C}_{G/N}(A) \cong C/(C \cap N) \cong \mathbf{C}_S(B)$ , so we deduce that a Sylow 2-subgroup T of  $\mathbf{C}_{S}(B)$  is cyclic or dihedral. Suppose first that T is cyclic. In this case  $\mathbf{C}_{S}(B)$  cannot be non-abelian simple, and by Remark 2.7 we get  $C_S(B) \cong PSL(2,2)$  or  $S_Z(2)$ . Hence  $S \cong PSL(2, 2^t)$  or  $Sz(2^t)$ , contradicting the hypotheses. If T is dihedral, then the minimality implies that S is soluble. This contradiction completes the proof of this step.

Step 3. Final contradiction.

By Lemma 2.3, we can write  $G = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n$ , where the subgroups  $H_i$  are isomorphic simple groups, and  $C \cong \mathbf{C}_H(B)$ , where  $H = H_1$  and  $B = \mathbf{N}_A(H)$ . Also, H must be non-abelian. The hypotheses imply that  $\mathbf{C}_H(B)$  has a dihedral Sylow 2-subgroup. If H < G, minimality implies that H is soluble, a contradiction. For this reason, G must be non-abelian simple. Then Lemma 3.1 shows that G is of Lie type, say  $G = G(q^r)$ , defined over the finite field with  $q^r$  elements, q being a prime power and  $r = |A/\mathbf{C}_A(G)|$ . Furthermore, C = G(q). Now, the Sylow 2-subgroups of C are dihedral. Among the groups listed in Remark 2.7, none has dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, except the group PSL(2,3) (whose Sylow 2-subgroup is  $C_2 \times C_2$ ). This case gives  $G \cong PSL(2, 3^r)$ , which leads to a contradiction. Finally, if C is non-abelian simple, then Lemma 2.4(b) yields  $G \cong PSL(2, q^r)$ , with  $q^r > 3$  odd, or  $G \cong A_7$ , which contradicts the hypotheses too.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her dedicated reading, helpful comments and suggestions. This work was done while the second author was visiting Universitat Jaume I in Castellón, Spain. He would like to express his deep gratitude for the warm hospitality that he received in the Department of Mathematics. The first author is partially supported by the Valencian Government, Proyecto PROMETEOII/2015/011 and also by Universitat Jaume I, grant P11B2015-77. The second author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11301218) and the Nature Science Fund of Shandong Province (no. ZR2014AM020).

## References

- 1. A. BELTRÁN, Invariant Sylow subgroups and solvability of finite groups, *Arch. Math.* **102**(2) (2016), 101–106.
- R. BRAUER AND M. SUZUKI, On finite groups of even order whose 2-Sylow group is a quaternion group, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 45 (1959), 1757–1759.
- Z. M. CHEN AND Y. M. WANG, Minimal non-solvable groups with an acting group (in Chinese), *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 34(22) (1989), 1691–1693.
- 4. J. H. CONWAY, R. T. CURTIS, S. P. NORTON, R. A. PARKER AND R. A. WILSON *Atlas of finite groups* (Oxford University Press, London, 1985).
- 5. D. GORENSTEIN, *Finite groups* (Chelsea Pub. Co., New York, 1980).
- 6. H. KURZWEIL AND B. STELLMACHER, *The theory of finite groups. An introduction* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2004).
- 7. J. H. WALTER, The characterization of finite groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups, Ann. Math. 89 (1969), 405–514.
- 8. G. WALTER AND J. H. GORENSTEIN, On finite groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, *Illinois J. Math.* **6** (1962), 553–593.