Bulletin of Entomological Research

cambridge.org/ber

Research Paper

*These authors made equal contributions to the publication.

Cite this article: Enriquez T, Sassù F, Cáceres C, Colinet H (2021). Hypoxia combined with chilling maintains the quality of irradiated *Drosophila* flies: a simulated shipment experiment. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **111**, 645–657. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485321000146

Received: 29 October 2020 Revised: 7 January 2021 Accepted: 5 February 2021 First published online: 25 August 2021

Keywords:

Drosophila suzukii; insect quality; low temperature; sterile insect technique; transport

Author for correspondence: Hervé Colinet, Email: herve.colinet@univ-rennes1.fr

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press



Hypoxia combined with chilling maintains the quality of irradiated *Drosophila* flies: a simulated shipment experiment

CrossMark

Thomas Enriquez^{1,*} ⁽, Fabiana Sassù^{2,3,*} (⁾, Carlos Cáceres² (⁾ and Hervé Colinet¹ (⁾

¹University of Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO [(Ecosystèmes, biodiversité, évolution)], UMR 6553, F-35000 Rennes, France; ²Insect Pest Control Section, Joint FAO/IAEA Division of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture, Wagramerstrasse 5, PO Box 100, 1400 Vienna, Austria and ³Department of Forest and Soil Sciences, Boku, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria

Abstract

Drosophila suzukii is an invasive fruit pest in Europe and America. Females lay eggs into mature fruit that larvae consume causing important losses. Sterile insect technique (SIT) is under development to control this pest. The efficiency of this technique relies on insect quality. However, during the process from mass production to field release, several steps may compromise insect quality and therefore SIT success. Shipment of sterile insects after irradiation is a key step of SIT programmes. Generally, insects are shipped as pupae and conditions during transport need to be adapted to prevent emergence before field release, while guaranteeing insect quality. To do so, transport is usually performed under low temperature, hypoxia or a combination of both. However, the impact of multiple stressors such as irradiation followed by chilling combined with hypoxia is poorly described and has not been studied in D. suzukii. Therefore, the aim of this study was to simulate a shipment of D. suzukii pupae (irradiated or not) under different conditions (chilling combined or not with hypoxia) for various durations, and to assess consequences on emerged adults. Irradiation followed by hypoxia and/or chilling only weakly altered emergence. However, 48 h of hypoxia without chilling altered the flight ability of flies whether or not they were irradiated. Conversely, when hypoxia was combined with chilling, flight ability remained similar to that of untreated flies. The use of chilling in combination with hypoxia for 48 h could be implemented as a transportation method for SIT programme on D. suzukii.

Introduction

The spotted wing Drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura, 1931) (Diptera: Drosophilidae), is a worldwide pest of soft-skinned fruits (Anfora *et al.*, 2012; Bellamy *et al.*, 2013). The serrated ovipositor of females can penetrate the epicarp of intact or ripening fruits to lay eggs (Mitsui *et al.*, 2006). Once hatched, larvae feed and develop on the fruit causing marked degradation (Rombaut *et al.*, 2015; Ioriatti *et al.*, 2018). *Drosophila suzukii* has been reported to infest a vast range of fruit species (Walsh *et al.*, 2011; Lee *et al.*, 2015), causing remarkable yield losses in many economically important fruit crops (Farnsworth *et al.*, 2017; Mazzi *et al.*, 2017).

Drosophila suzukii infestations are mainly controlled by insecticides (Jaffe and Guédot, 2019), raising concerns of risk to human and environmental health. Consequently, biological alternatives have been proposed, such as the use of predators, parasitoids or pathogens (Stacconi et al. 2015, 2019; Lee et al., 2019). Recently, great potential has been attributed to the application of the sterile insect technique (SIT) to control D. suzukii infestations (Lanouette et al., 2017; Krüger et al., 2018b; Nikolouli et al., 2018, 2020). SIT is an ecologically friendly and safe management tactic that has largely been used to control, suppress and/or eradicate populations of insects (Klassen and Curtis, 2005; Lees et al., 2015; Enkerlin et al., 2017). SIT is based on the repeated release of sterile insects in a targeted area. The released sterile males compete with fertile wild males to mate with wild females resulting in the production of non-viable eggs, and thus, the reduction of offspring in the wild population (Knipling, 1955; Dyck et al., 2005). SIT programmes require the establishment of speciesspecific protocols allowing high efficiency and production of sterile insects without detrimental effects on their biological quality (Cáceres et al., 2014; FAO/IAEA/USDA, 2014; Culbert et al., 2018). Although previous studies showed that D. suzukii can be sterilized with γ radiation without significant negative effects on its biological quality (Lanouette et al., 2017; Krüger et al., 2018a, 2018b), nothing is currently known about other crucial steps for the development of SIT of this pest.

One of the major steps for the implementation of SIT is the shipment of sterile insects to the release site. Long-transport of sterile insects is common in many ongoing SIT programmes (Kakinohana et al., 1997; Enkerlin and Quinlan, 2002). In fruit fly species, the shipment time is usually held under 48 h because the quality of flies drops rapidly beyond 24 h (FAO/IAEA/USDA, 2014; Chung et al., 2018). Conditions during transport may compromise the quality of insects (Pagabeleguem et al., 2015; Seck et al., 2015; Melicher et al., 2019), such as a decrease in emergence or an increase in wing deformities (Cuisance and Itard, 1973; Pagabeleguem et al., 2015). Chilling and hypoxia are the two main treatments used to prevent emergence during the transportation in SIT programmes (Andress et al., 2013; Diallo et al., 2019). The consequences of these stressors during shipment are poorly known and were examined in few species (Calkins and Parker, 2005; Diallo et al., 2019). Shipment protocols need to be adjusted to guarantee the quality of shipped D. suzukii.

The objective of this study was to determine the consequences of various pupal shipment conditions on the quality of emerged adults (proportion of emergence, flight ability and survival under starvation stress). Specifically, we tested the effects of hypoxia or normoxia of irradiated or non-irradiated pupae that were subsequently treated or not with chilling. Drosophila suzukii is chill-susceptible, but can easily sustain moderately low temperatures for short periods (e.g. 2 days) (Dalton et al., 2011; Enriquez and Colinet, 2017; Enriquez et al., 2018b). Hence, we expected its survival and quality after short simulated transport (maximum 48 h) at moderately low temperature to be unaffected. Exposure of insects to hypoxia (hypoxia correspond to oxygen level below 20.94%, for the majority of terrestrial organisms) or anoxia (absence of oxygen) reduces the stress from the accumulation of reactive oxygen species caused by irradiation (López-Martínez and Hahn, 2012). For this reason, hypoxia or anoxia was induced prior to and during irradiation for insects sterilization (Calkins and Parker, 2005). But Drosophila flies are known to be rather tolerant to hypoxia (Haddad et al., 1997), exposure to hypoxic conditions for periods longer than a few hours can be highly detrimental and even lethal (Zhao and Haddad, 2011). Under hypoxia, consumption of protons by oxidative pathways cannot keep up with protons produced by ATP hydrolysis and an unavoidable state of acidosis results (Robergs et al., 2004; Feala et al., 2007). We expected that contrary to cold, prolonged hypoxia (>24 h) during transport may be highly detrimental and alter the performance of flies, while allowing a developmental delay. Also we predicted that combining hypoxia with chilling may alleviate the detrimental effect of hypoxia (i.e. oxidative stress) by reducing metabolic activity and therefore respiration dependency (Berrigan and Partridge, 1997; Colinet and Renault, 2018).

Materials and methods

Flies husbandry

All flies used in this study were obtained from a colony established in 2014 at the Insect Pest Control Laboratory (IPCL), Joint FAO/IAEA Division of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture, Seibersdorf, Austria. Flies were kept in population cages and were provided with water and adult diet containing a mixture of sugar and hydrolysate enzymatic yeast (MP Biomedicals) in a 3:1 ratio (Deutscher *et al.*, 2017). Controlled environment conditions of $22 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C, $65 \pm 5\%$ RH and a 14:10 (L: D) photoperiod were maintained. Petri dishes with artificial wheat bran-based diet (Sassù *et al.*, 2019a) served as egg-laying substrates and larval diet. The Petri dishes were removed daily and kept in the laboratory to complete larval development and pupation. After 11 days, pupae were separated from diet by flotation in water and kept under the same controlled conditions as the colony.

Atmospheric conditioning and irradiation procedure

For this step, we selected pupae that were dark brown with visible red eyes and wings. At this stage, pupae were about 24 h before emergence under normal rearing conditions. We separated groups of approximately 150 pupae, treated under either standard atmospheric conditions (normoxia) or low oxygen conditions (hypoxia). The pupae of the normoxia group were maintained under normal laboratory conditions before irradiation. The pupae of the hypoxia group were placed in a hermetic polyethylene bag sealed for 5 h at 18°C; in such conditions, the O₂ was progressively consumed, achieving severe hypoxic, near anoxic conditions (approximately 0.3% O₂; Sassù *et al.*, 2019b). We conducted atmospheric conditioning and irradiation exposure at a dose of 220 Gy based on a previous study (Sassù *et al.*, 2019b). Pupae of the treatment groups were from the same batch and they were treated at the same time.

Shipment simulation

After irradiation, pupae were either held under laboratory conditions (control for temperature and irradiation effects) or placed under various shipment conditions. To simulate transport, pupae from the various treatments (irradiated vs. non-irradiated crossed with hypoxia vs. normoxia) were exposed to moderate cold (chilling) or not. During the whole shipment simulation, pupae were maintained under the same atmospheric conditions than during the irradiation procedure (i.e. normoxia or hypoxia). To induce cold environment, pupae were placed in sealed polystyrene boxes $(26 \times 21 \times 18 \text{ cm})$ filled with ice packs. Flies left at room temperature were placed within the same boxes but without ice packs. Temperature inside experimental boxes was monitored using Ibutton's Thermochron (Maxim Integrated, San Jose, CA, USA). Preliminary experiments using ice packs directly from -20°C freezer killed all pupae due to freezing stress. Hence, in subsequent experiments, we introduced pupae in the boxes after 1 h when the temperature was approximately at 0°C (mild chilling). Under such conditions, the temperature inside the boxes slowly increased with time and remained under 10°C for approximately 20 h (fig. 1). The experiments lasted for either 24 or 48 h. Normoxia and hypoxia conditions were maintained during the whole experimental period. Shipment under normoxia at room temperature could not be assessed after 24 and 48 h because, under these conditions, the majority of flies would have already emerged. The combination of all treatments resulted in an experimental design allowing to test the following effects: (1) hypoxia vs. normoxia, (2) irradiation vs. non-irradiation, (3) cold vs. room temperature and (4) 24 or 48 h duration of shipment. The treatments combinations resulted in 16 different experimental groups that are illustrated in fig. 2.

Emergence time and starvation resistance

At the end of shipment simulation, pupae were taken from each treatment group and isolated in wells of 72-well plastic



Figure 1. Temperature recordings inside box used for simulated shipment under low temperature. Low temperature was induced using ice packs placed in the box. Temperature was recorded directly in the box using Thermochron data logger.

microplates that were sealed with plastic film. The film on the top of each well was delicately perforated in order to allow air flux, but preventing flies from escaping the wells. Plates were maintained under laboratory conditions. Wells were partially filled with agarwater (1 litre distilled water, 15 g agar and 0.12 g methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate dissolved in 12 ml ethanol) to provide hydration to the flies. Were checked plates 6-7 times (approximately every 4 h) a day to record the emergence of flies. Each time a fly emerged, time to emergence and sex were recorded. With this information, we could monitor the remaining emergence time after the shipment simulation period. After emergence, flies were individually checked 6-7 times a day until death (approximately every 4 h). Since flies had only agar-water in the wells, the time to death corresponded here to survival time under starvation. For each fly, we recorded time to death, and constructed survival curves based on these data.

Flight ability

At the end of the shipment simulations, pupae were tested by groups of 25 individuals for flight capabilities, following the protocol from the IAEA quality control procedures (FAO/ IAEA/USDA, 2014). Briefly, we placed pupae into plexiglass cylinders open at the top. The outside diameter of the cylinders was 8.9 cm with 3 mm thick wall, and 10 cm high. Cylinders were painted black so that light entered only from the top. The walls of cylinders were covered with unscented talcum powder in order to prevent flies from climbing the walls. Therefore, emerged flies were forced to fly to exit the cylinders. Then cylinders were placed into a chamber in which temperature and humidity were at laboratory conditions. We used three cylinders containing 25 pupae per treatment conditions (N = 75). Flies were left free to emerge for 48 h after treatment. Flies that flew out of the cylinders were regularly removed from the chamber in order to avoid re-entry into cylinders. At the end of the 48 h, the number of empty pupae, number of pupae that contained dead individuals and number of emerged flies still present in the cylinders were recorded. With these data, we calculated the emergence proportion as follows: number of empty pupae/total number of pupae. Flight proportion was calculated as follows: (number of emerged flies-flies still present into cylinders)/number of emerged flies. We performed a second set of flight tests with another cohort of insects to confirm patterns, but only with flies treated for 48 h.

Statistical analyses

Remaining emergence time, emergence and flight proportions for each treatment group were analysed using one-way ANOVAs followed by Tukey HSD post-hoc comparisons using R version 3.4.3 (R Core Team, 2016). We used time to death under starvation to compute survival curves (the survival curves are available online as Supplementary material, fig. S1) that were compared among treatment groups with Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon tests in GraphPad Prism5. The α level of significance was adjusted following a Bonferroni correction ($\alpha = 0.0004$).

Results

Remaining emergence time

Figure 3 represents the emergence time after the shipment simulations. Remaining emergence time was affected by treatments ($F_{(15,1074)} = 25.81$, *P*-value <0.001). Results from post-hoc comparisons are indicated in fig. 3. The longest emergence times were observed under hypoxia conditions (combined or not with cold), and the shortest under normoxia, except for the irradiated group maintained under hypoxia at room temperature for 24 h (I-Hx-24 h-RT) which showed a remaining emergence time of approximately 16 h. Globally, remaining emergence time decreased with the duration of shipment simulation, but this trend was more visible under normoxia than under hypoxia.

Starvation resistance

Survival time under starvation for males and females is displayed in fig. 4. Outcomes from the Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon tests are available on tables 1 and 2. There were some differences observed but no clear pattern resulted from these assays, and differences were not consistent between males and females. However, in both males and females, irradiated flies maintained under hypoxia combined with cold for 24 h (i.e. I-Hx-24 h-Cold) survived for longer, and non-irradiated flies maintained under normoxia plus cold for 24 h (i.e. NoI-Nx-24 h-Cold) survived for shorter than all other treatments (fig. 4, tables 1 and 2).

Emergence and flight ability

Figure 5 shows the emergence and flight proportions for the first and second trials. In the second, only treatments lasting for 48 h were tested. Proportions of emergence were generally high in all cases (>80%), yet there was an effect of treatments (first trial: $F_{(15,29)} = 2.76$, *P*-value <0.01; second trial: $F_{(9,20)} = 2.58$, *P*-value <0.05). In the first trial, post-hoc comparisons revealed only a small difference between the condition I-Nx-48 h-Cold and three other conditions (see letters a vs. b in fig. 5). In the second trial, post-hoc comparisons showed no difference in emergence (all >80%).

Proportions of flight differed markedly among conditions and ranged from 20 to 90% (fig. 5). Proportions of flight were significantly impacted by treatments in the first trial ($F_{(15,29)} = 8.41$, *P*-value <0.001). Post-hoc comparisons indicated that flies (irradiated or not) maintained under hypoxia at room temperature for 48 h (i.e. I-Hx-48 h-RT or NoI-Hx-48 h-RT) showed lower flight proportions than in the other treatments, with values around 20–30%. However, when hypoxia was combined with cold (i.e. I-Hx-48 h-cold or NoI-Hx-48 h-cold), flight proportions were higher than 90%. These significant patterns were found



Figure 2. Experimental plan. First, pupae were subjected to hypoxia or normoxia for 5 h. After this, they were either irradiated at 220 Gy or non-irradiated. At the end of the irradiation procedure, pupae were maintained under laboratory conditions, or placed under simulated shipment conditions either at cold or room temperature for 24 or 48 h. This experimental design therefore resulted in 16 experimental treatments. I, irradiated; NoI, non-irradiated; Hx, hypoxia; Nx, normoxia; 0 h, no shipment (control pupae maintained under laboratory conditions); 24 or 48 h, shipment simulation for 24 or 48 h; RT, room temperature; Cold, low temperature (see fig. 1).







Figure 4. Median survival times under starvation conditions for both males (top) and females (bottom) after shipment simulation. At the end of the different shipment simulations, pupae were isolated and placed on an agar-water medium in order to monitor time to death under starvation. Survival data were analysed using survival analyses (Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon tests, see table 1 for statistics). Data from males and females were analysed separately. *N* = approximately 72 flies per treatment groups. I, irradiated; NoI, non-irradiated; Hx, hypoxia; Nx, normoxia; 0 h, no shipment (pupae maintained under laboratory conditions); 24 or 48 h, shipment simulation for 24 or 48 h; RT, room temperature; Cold, low temperature. Error bars represent 10 and 90 percentiles.

again in the second trial ($F_{(9,20)} = 26.34$, *P*-value <0.001), supporting that these differences were not random but indeed due to treatments.

Discussion

Irradiation can potentially cause somatic damages to organisms due to oxidative stress (Calkins and Parker, 2005; López-Martínez and Hahn, 2012; FAO/IAEA/USDA, 2014). Despite this, we observed that radiation dose applied here did not deeply affect *D. suzukii* quality (emergence time, emergence and flight proportions or starvation resistance). Similarly, Diallo *et al.* (2019) observed that irradiated *Glossina p. gambiensis* showed no decrease in emergence or in flight ability. Also, Collins *et al.* (2008) observed similar results on the fruit fly *Bactrocera tryoni.*

When insects are transported as pupae, conditions during shipment must prevent emergence. To do so, shipment of irradiated insects is often done at low temperatures, under hypoxia or a combination of both (Benelli et al., 2019; Diallo et al., 2019). Here, we measured the remaining emergence time after the shipment treatments. Under laboratory conditions (e.g. no shipment), pupae emerged approximately 24 h after irradiation. Under hypoxic conditions, almost all treatments resulted in an emergence time of ~ 24 h after shipment, except for pupae of I-Hx-24 h-RT treatment that emerged in <20 h. However, for the same conditions maintained for 48 h, emergence time was equal to approximately 24 h. We suspect that these differences resulted from random variations, and probably due to slight differences in the age of pupae at the start of the shipment simulation, or to the data record pattern, as flies were checked for emergence and death approximately every 4 h. Hence, we confirm here that hypoxia conditions efficiently reduce the rate of development and thus delay emergence during shipment, even if the transport lasted up to 48 h. Hypoxia is known to prevent insect development (Frazier et al., 2001;

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$																			
Males P value χ^2 P value P value P value P va		I-H-24 h-RT		I-H-24 h-Cold		I-H-48 h-RT		I-H-48 h	n-Cold	I-N-0 h-RT		I-N-24 h-Cold		I-N-48 h-Cold					
I+I+0 h-RT 0.0002* 13.42 0.0001* 46.45 0.58 0.30 0.01 6.41 0.40 0.71 0.03 4.55 0.72 0.13 I+I+24 h-RT / / 0.0001* 14.78 0.0001* 22.41 0.31 1.04 0.001 10.60 0.05 3.96 0.00 8.62 I+I+24 h-Cold / / / / 0.0001* 51.75 0.001* 21.02 0.001* 35.36 0.001* 33.30 0.001* 33.40 I+H48 h-Cold / / / / / / 0.001* 17.1 0.08 3.11 0.00 8.62 0.37 0.82 I+H48 h-Cold / / / / / / / 0.001* 17.1 0.08 3.11 0.00 8.62 0.37 0.82 I+H48 h-Cold / / / / / / / / 0.01 1.6 0.01	Males	P value	χ^2	P value	χ²	P value	χ²	P value	χ^2	P value	χ^2	P value	χ^2	P value	χ^2				
IH-24 h-RT / / 0.001* 14.78 0.001* 22.41 0.31 1.04 0.001 10.60 0.05 3.96 0.00 8.62 IH-24 h-Cold / / / / / / / 0.001* 51.75 0.001* 21.02 0.001* 35.36 0.001* 33.30 0.001* 33.40 IH-48 h-RT / / / / / / / 0.001 9.71 0.08 3.11 0.00 8.62 0.37 0.82 IH-48 h-Cold / / / / / / / / 0.001 9.71 0.08 3.11 0.00 8.62 0.37 0.82 IH-48 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / / / 0.06 0.07 3.34 IN-49 h-RT / / / / / / / / / 0.61 0.202 IN-49 h-RT / / / / /	I-H-0 h-RT	0.0002*	13.42	0.0001*	46.45	0.58	0.30	0.01	6.41	0.40	0.71	0.03	4.55	0.72	0.13				
I-H-24 h-Cold / / / 0.0001* 51.75 0.0001* 21.02 0.0001* 35.36 0.0001* 33.30 0.0001* 33.40 I-H-48 h-RT / / / / / / / / 0.001 9.71 0.08 3.11 0.00 8.62 0.37 0.82 1.44 I-H-48 h-Cold / / / / / / / 0.001 9.71 0.08 3.11 0.00 8.62 0.37 0.82 I-H-48 h-Cold / / / / / / / / 0.07 3.30 0.42 0.66 0.07 3.24 I-N-0 h-RT / / / / / / / / / / 0.16 2.02 1.61 0.25 I-N-24 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / 0.16 2.02 I-N-48 h-Cold / / / / / / / /	I-H-24 h-RT	/	/	0.0001*	14.78	0.0001*	22.41	0.31	1.04	0.001	10.60	0.05	3.96	0.00	8.62				
I-H-48 h-RT / / / / / / 0.001 9.71 0.08 3.11 0.00 8.62 0.37 0.82 I-H-48 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / 0.07 3.30 0.42 0.66 0.07 3.24 I-N-0 h-RT / / / / / / / / / / 0.07 3.30 0.42 0.66 0.07 3.24 I-N-0 h-RT / / / / / / / / / 0.61 0.25 I-N-24 h-Cold / / / / / / / 0.61 0.25 I-N-48 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / / 0.61 0.25 I-N-48 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	I-H-24 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	0.0001*	51.75	0.0001*	21.02	0.0001*	35.36	0.0001*	33.30	0.0001*	33.40				
I-H-48 h-Cold / / / / / 0.07 3.30 0.42 0.66 0.07 3.24 I-N-0 h-RT / / / / / / / / / / / 0.07 3.30 0.42 0.66 0.07 3.24 I-N-0 h-RT / / / / / / / / / / / 0.16 2.01 0.61 0.25 I-N-24 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / / / / / 0.61 0.25 I-N-24 h-Cold /	I-H-48 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.001	9.71	0.08	3.11	0.00	8.62	0.37	0.82				
I-N-0.h-RT / / / / / / / / 0.16 2.01 0.61 0.25 I-N-24 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / 0.16 0.21 0.61 0.25 I-N-24 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / / 0.16 0.25 I-N-24 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / / 0.16 0.25 I-N-48 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / / / / 0.16 0.25 No-H-0 h-RT /	I-H-48 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.07	3.30	0.42	0.66	0.07	3.24				
I-N-24 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / / / 0.16 2.02 I-N-48 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / / / / 0.16 2.02 I-N-48 h-Cold /	I-N-0 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.16	2.01	0.61	0.25				
I-N-48 h-Cold / <	I-N-24 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.16	2.02				
No-H-0 h-RT / <th< th=""><td>I-N-48 h-Cold</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td></th<>	I-N-48 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/				
No-H-24 h-RT / <t< th=""><td>No-H-0 h-RT</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td></t<>	No-H-0 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/				
No-H-24 h-Cold /	No-H-24 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/				
No-H-48 h-RT / <t< th=""><td>No-H-24 h-Cold</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td></t<>	No-H-24 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/				
No-H-48 h-Cold /	No-H-48 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/				
No-N-0 h-RT / <th <="" th=""> / <th <="" th=""> <th <="" th=""> <th <="" th=""><td>No-H-48 h-Cold</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td></th></th></th></th>	/ / <th <="" th=""> <th <="" th=""> <th <="" th=""><td>No-H-48 h-Cold</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td></th></th></th>	<th <="" th=""> <th <="" th=""><td>No-H-48 h-Cold</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td></th></th>	<th <="" th=""><td>No-H-48 h-Cold</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td><td>/</td></th>	<td>No-H-48 h-Cold</td> <td>/</td>	No-H-48 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	No-N-0 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/				
No-N-24 h-Cold / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	No-N-24 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/				

	No-H-0 h-RT		No-H-0 h-RT No-H-24 h		-RT No-H-24 h-Cold		No-H-48 h-RT		No-H-48 h-Cold		No-N-0 h-RT		r No-N-24 h-Cold		No-N-48 h-Cold	
Males	P value	χ^2	P value	χ^2	P value	χ^2	P value	χ²	P value	χ^2	P value	χ^2	P value	χ^2	P value	χ^2
I-H-0 h-RT	0.52	0.41	0.29	1.12	0.02	5.03	0.53	0.40	0.25	1.32	0.79	0.07	0.001	9.67	0.78	0.08
I-H-24 h-RT	0.0003*	13.13	0.001	8.98	0.07	3.29	0.0003*	13.27	0.0001*	30.11	0.0003*	13.09	0.0001*	36.86	0.0004*	12.73
I-H-24 h-Cold	0.0001*	43.02	0.0001*	33.21	0.0001*	22.53	0.0001*	43.07	0.0001*	55.70	0.0001*	40.11	0.0001*	58.01	0.0001*	36.00
I-H-48 h-RT	0.22	1.49	0.10	2.70	0.00	9.69	0.18	1.80	0.47	0.53	0.49	0.47	0.002	9.72	0.27	1.21
I-H-48 h-Cold	0.03	4.64	0.11	2.59	0.73	0.11	0.03	4.55	0.0002*	13.79	0.02	5.14	0.0001*	23.76	0.03	4.51
I-N-0 h-RT	0.61	0.26	0.97	0.00	0.20	1.66	0.68	0.17	0.01	6.59	0.43	0.64	0.0001*	17.45	0.47	0.53
I-N-24 h-Cold	0.07	3.32	0.22	1.51	0.96	0.00	0.09	2.93	0.0002*	14.28	0.03	4.48	0.0001*	25.96	0.04	4.30
I-N-48 h-Cold	1.00	0.0001*	0.49	0.48	0.09	2.91	0.77	0.09	0.23	1.46	0.81	0.06	0.003	9.02	0.87	0.03
No-H-0 h-RT	/	/	0.60	0.27	0.05	3.69	0.99	0.00004	0.05	3.94	0.90	0.01	0.0002*	14.11	0.96	0.00
No-H-24 h-RT	/	/	/	/	0.19	1.74	0.70	0.15	0.02	5.84	0.41	0.68	0.0001*	20.47	0.46	0.54
No-H-24 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.06	3.42	0.0001*	14.72	0.04	4.19	0.0001*	26.79	0.06	3.63
No-H-48 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.02	5.10	0.64	0.22	0.0001*	18.92	0.66	0.19
No-H-48 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.17	1.93	0.003	9.02	0.12	2.37
No-N-0 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.003	8.66	0.73	0.12
No-N-24 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.0002*	13.67

Survival curves are available in fig. 4. *P*-values have been adjusted using Bonferroni correction: α = 0.0004. *Significant differences; I, irradiated; No, non-irradiated; H, hypoxia; N, normoxia; O, h, no shipment (pupae maintained under laboratory conditions); 24 or 48 h, shipment simulation for 24 or 48 h; RT, room temperature; Cold, cold temperatures.

	I-H-24 h-RT		I-H-24 h-RT I-H-24 h-Cold		I-H-48	h-RT	I-H-48 h-Cold		I-N-0 h-RT		I-N-24 h-Cold		I-N-48 h-Cold	
Females	P value	χ^2	P value	χ²	P value	χ²	P value	χ²	P value	χ²	P value	χ^2	P value	χ^2
I-H-0 h-RT	0.001	9.23	0.0001*	24.14	0.07	3.32	0.15	2.05	0.02	5.59	0.98	0.0007	0.31	1.04
I-H-24 h-RT	/	/	0.05	4.02	0.0001*	16.06	0.16	1.97	0.59	0.29	0.00	8.59	0.08	3.00
I-H-24 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	0.0001*	26.47	0.00	10.34	0.01	7.55	0.0001*	23.94	0.0002*	13.56
I-H-48 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.00	8.27	0.001	10.03	0.09	2.96	0.02	5.56
I-H-48 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.49	0.48	0.21	1.58	0.74	0.11
I-N-0 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.01	6.05	0.16	1.94
I-N-24 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.25	1.32
I-N-48 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
No-H-0 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
No-H-24 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
No-H-24 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
No-H-48 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
No-H-48 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
No-N-0 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
No-N-24 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/

Table 2. Compar	risons of survival cur	es for starvation res	sistance between the	different treatment	groups for fe	emales
-----------------	------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------	---------------	--------

	No-H-0 h-RT		No-H-24 h-RT		No-H-24 h-Cold		No-H-48 h-RT		No-H-48 h-Cold		No-N-0 h-RT		No-N-24 h-Cold		No-N-48 h-Cold	
Females	P value	χ^2	P value	χ²	P value	χ²	P value	χ^2	P value	χ²	P value	χ^2	P value	χ^2	P value	χ^2
I-H-0 h-RT	0.43	0.61	0.76	0.09	0.01	7.17	0.48	0.49	0.02	5.27	0.97	0.00	0.0001*	43.10	0.26	1.25
I-H-24 h-RT	0.01	5.96	0.02	5.81	0.88	0.02	0.0002*	13.72	0.0001*	24.18	0.001	8.82	0.0001*	58.23	0.08	3.04
I-H-24 h-Cold	0.0001*	16.90	0.0001*	16.13	0.08	3.11	0.0001*	29.71	0.0001*	35.23	0.0001*	17.23	0.0001*	54.02	0.0001*	14.68
I-H-48 h-RT	0.03	4.78	0.11	2.49	0.00	12.10	0.24	1.37	0.95	0.00	0.12	2.46	0.00	11.69	0.01	6.75
I-H-48 h-Cold	0.58	0.31	0.29	1.14	0.21	1.55	0.03	4.99	0.00	8.94	0.20	1.65	0.0001*	39.51	0.85	0.04
I-N-0 h-RT	0.14	2.23	0.11	2.52	0.44	0.60	0.00	9.74	0.0001*	15.31	0.05	3.95	0.0001*	38.26	0.19	1.75
I-N-24 h-Cold	0.49	0.48	0.81	0.06	0.01	7.03	0.37	0.81	0.02	5.64	0.92	0.01	0.0001*	34.86	0.23	1.43
I-N-48 h-Cold	0.80	0.07	0.52	0.41	0.07	3.34	0.04	4.35	0.01	7.60	0.35	0.89	0.0001*	26.72	0.89	0.02
No-H-0 h-RT	/	/	0.64	0.22	0.03	4.59	0.14	2.20	0.00	8.07	0.62	0.25	0.0001*	42.17	0.73	0.12
No-H-24 h-RT	/	/	/	/	0.03	4.77	0.39	0.74	0.09	2.86	0.87	0.03	0.0001*	22.77	0.44	0.58
No-H-24 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.0001	10.20	0.0001*	16.38	0.01	6.23	0.0001*	41.82	0.07	3.29
No-H-48 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.21	1.57	0.59	0.28	0.0001*	27.43	0.03	4.80
No-H-48 h-Cold	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.05	3.91	0.0001*	16.37	0.0001	9.71
No-N-0 h-RT	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	0.0001*	37.36	0.27	1.21
No-N-24 h-Cold	1	/	/	/	/	/	1	/	1	/	1	/	1	/	0.0001*	36.42

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485321000146 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Survival curves are available in fig. 4. *P*-values have been adjusted using Bonferroni correction: α = 0.0004. *Significant differences; I, irradiated; No, non-irradiated; H, hypoxia; N, normoxia; 0 h, no shipment (pupae maintained under laboratory conditions); 24 or 48 h, shipment simulation for 24 or 48 h; RT, room temperature; Cold, cold temperatures.



Figure 5. Emergence (left) and flight (right) proportions after shipment simulation for the first and second trials (the second only assessed durations of 48 h). At the end of the different treatments, pupae were placed into unplugged cylinders and left free to emerge and fly off the cylinders (see Materials and methods). Emergence proportion: number of empty pupae/total number of pupae; Flight proportion: (number of emerged flies-flies still present into cylinders)/number of emerged flies. Treatment groups sharing the same letter are not significantly different (*P*-value <0.05; Tukey HSD test); *N* = approximately 75 flies per group. I, irradiated; NoI, non-irradiated; Hx, hypoxia; Nx, normoxia; 0 h, no shipment (pupae maintained under laboratory conditions); 24 or 48 h, shipment simulation for 24 or 48 h; RT, room temperature; Cold, low temperature. Error bars represent standard errors.

Hoback and Stanley, 2001). By contrast, when pupae were maintained under chilling only, the emergence time was <20 h after 24 h of shipment and <15 h after 48 h of shipment (in irradiated or non-irradiated flies). From these results, we reason that when applied alone, chilling is not able to delay the emergence of *D. suzukii* during shipment. The lower developmental threshold of *D. suzukii* is estimated to be around 7.2°C (Tochen *et al.*, 2014), and during the experiment, this threshold was quickly reached allowing pupal development to proceed. Similarly, Enriquez *et al.* (2018a) observed that pupae develop slowly when stored at 10°C.

Shipment conditions must guarantee insect quality in order to maximize the ability to compete with wild individuals once released. However, *D. suzukii* is a chill-susceptible insect (Dalton *et al.*, 2011), and pupae suffer more from chilling than adults (Enriquez and Colinet, 2017). In the present study, neither chilling nor hypoxia greatly altered flies emergence. Several other studies showed no adverse effects of chilling during transport for insects (Birkenmeyer and Dame, 1975; Mutika *et al.*, 2002, 2014; Blomefield *et al.*, 2011), but other studies reported detrimental effects. The level of chilling injuries depends on the basal level of cold tolerance of every tested species and on the intensity and duration of cold exposures (Rezende *et al.*, 2014). Enriquez and Colinet (2017) showed that *D. suzukii* can tolerate temperatures superior to 7.5°C for extended periods (1 month) without any detrimental effect on survival. Therefore, it is not surprising that we found that cold exposure length and intensity (max 48 h at temperatures mostly above 10°C) did not alter *D. suzukii* pupae quality.

We hypothesized that, as chilling and hypoxia are known stressors, they would affect *D. suzukii* quality. Although hypoxia

did not alter the proportion of emergence, it decreased the flight ability of D. suzukii when applied for 48 h. However, this deleterious effect was counteracted when hypoxia was combined with chilling. Hypoxia induces oxidative stress (Hermes-Lima and Zenteno-Savín, 2002; Guzy et al., 2005), and the resulting damage may have altered the flight ability of D. suzukii. In fact, flight is one of the most energetically demanding forms of locomotion and oxidative stress is known to reduce muscle force production (Powers and Jackson, 2008). In bees, the flight capacity decreases with age; an effect due to oxidative damage in flight muscle (Vance et al., 2009). Hence, hypoxia-induced oxidative stress may partly explain the reduced flight ability of D. suzukii. We suggest that low temperature reduces the metabolic rate of insects (Terblanche et al., 2005; Boardman et al., 2016). Low temperature could result in a lower rate of accumulation of oxidative damage during hypoxia, and thus help to maintain flight ability. Frazier et al. (2001) explored the interactive effects of temperature and oxygen on D. melanogaster development and life history traits. They reported that in general, the effects of hypoxia are dramatic at high temperature (altering survival, mass or growth rate), and by contrast, the low temperature prevented these detrimental effects.

We found that hypoxia prevented emergence during a simulated shipment of 24 or 48 h when applied in irradiated and nonirradiated pupae of D. suzukii. However, prolonged hypoxia decreased the quality of the emerged adults and decrease their flight ability. Yet, when hypoxia was combined with chilling, the quality of the flies was maintained. Therefore, we can conclude that hypoxia when combined with cold temperature is a suitable condition for the shipment of irradiated D. suzukii pupae. We suggest avoiding transportation longer than 24 h to prevent the detrimental impact on the quality of D. suzukii flies caused by prolonged hypoxia. Our results represent a step towards the implementation of an SIT programme to control populations of D. suzukii. We focused on atmospheric conditions and temperature. However, additional potential stressors may occur during shipment in real conditions, such as compaction, altitude, atmospheric pressure and vibrations that may impact the quality of the shipped insects. Future studies should assess these factors and real shipment experiments should also be carried out.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485321000146

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Silvana Caravantes for assistance on colony maintenance and laboratory assays. We are also grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments and suggestions that helped improve the scientific quality of the submitted manuscript.

Financial support. This study was supported by SUZUKILL project (The French National Research Agency): ANR-20-CE02-0011-01 and Austrian Science Fund (FWF): I 2604-B25.

Conflict of interest. None.

Ethical standards. This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

- Andress E, Jones E, War M and Shelly T (2013) Effects of pre-release chilling on the flight ability of sterile males of the Mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Florida Entomologist* 95, 587–592.
- Anfora G, Cini A and Ioriatti C (2012) A review of the invasion of *Drosophila suzukii* in Europe and a draft research agenda for integrated pest management. *Bulletin of Insectology* 65, 149–160.

- Bellamy DE, Sisterson MS and Walse SS (2013) Quantifying host potentials: indexing postharvest fresh fruits for spotted wing Drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii*. *PLoS ONE* **8**, 61227.
- Benelli M, Ponton F and Taylor PW (2019) Cool storage of Queensland fruit fly eggs for increased flexibility in rearing programs. *Pest Management Science* 75, 1056–1064.
- Berrigan D and Partridge L (1997) Influence of temperature and activity on the metabolic rate of adult *Drosophila melanogaster*. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part A, Physiology 118, 1301–1307.
- Birkenmeyer DR and Dame DA (1975) Storage and sexual separation of Glossina morsitans morsitans Westwood puparia. Annals of Tropical Medicine & Parasitology 69, 399-405.
- Blomefield T, Carpenter JE and Vreysen MJB (2011) Quality of mass-reared Codling moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) after long-distance transportation:
 Logistics of shipping procedures and quality parameters as measured in the laboratory. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 104, 814–822.
- Boardman L, Sørensen JG, Koštál V, Šimek P and Terblanche JS (2016) Chilling slows anaerobic metabolism to improve anoxia tolerance of insects. *Metabolomics* **12**, 176.
- Cáceres C, Hendrichs J and Vreysen MJB (2014) Development and improvement of rearing techniques for fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) of economic importance. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* 34, S1–S12.
- Calkins CO and Parker AG (2005) Sterile insect quality. In Sterile Insect Technique. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 269–296.
- Chung H-N, Rodriguez SD, Gonzales KK, Vulcan J, Cordova JJ, Mitra S, Adams CG, Moses-Gonzales N, Tam N, Cluck JW, Attardo GM and Hansen IA (2018) Toward implementation of mosquito sterile insect technique: the effect of storage conditions on survival of male Aedes aegypti mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) during transport. Journal of Insect Science 18, 2.
- Colinet H and Renault D (2018) Similar post-stress metabolic trajectories in young and old flies. Experimental Gerontology 102, 43–50.
- Collins SR, Weldon CW, Banos C and Taylor PW (2008) Effects of irradiation dose rate on quality and sterility of Queensland fruit flies, *Bactrocera tryoni* (Froggatt). *Journal of Applied Entomology* 132, 398-405.
- Cuisance D and Itard J (1973) Comportement de mâles stériles de Glossina tachinoides West. lâchés dans les conditions naturelles – environs de Fort-Lamy (Tchad). I. Transport, lâchers, rythme d'activité, action sur la population sauvage. Revue d'Elevage et de Médecine Vétérinaire des Pays Tropicaux 26, 55–76.
- Culbert NJ, Balestrino F, Dor A, Herranz GS, Yamada H, Wallner T and Bouyer J (2018) A rapid quality control test to foster the development of genetic control in mosquitoes. *Scientific Reports* 8, 1–9.
- Dalton DT, Walton VM, Shearer PW, Walsh DB, Caprile J and Isaacs R (2011) Laboratory survival of *Drosophila suzukii* under simulated winter conditions of the Pacific Northwest and seasonal field trapping in five primary regions of small and stone fruit production in the United States. *Pest Management Science* 67, 1368–1374.
- Deutscher AT, Reynolds OL and Chapman TA (2017) Yeast: an overlooked component of *Bactrocera tryoni* (Diptera: Tephritidae) larval gut microbiota. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **110**, 298–300.
- Diallo S, Seck MT, Rayaissé JB, Fall AG, Bassene MD, Sall B, Sanon A, Vreysen MJB, Takac P, Parker AG, Gimonneau G and Bouyer J (2019) Chilling, irradiation and transport of male *Glossina palpalis gambiensis* pupae: effect on the emergence, flight ability and survival. *PLoS ONE* 14, e0216802.
- **Dyck VA, Hendrichs J and Robinson AS** (2005) Sterile Insect Technique: Principles and Practice in Area-Wide Integrated Pest Management. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.
- Enkerlin WR and Quinlan MM (2002) Development of an international standard to facilitate the transboundary shipment of sterile insects. In Proceedings, Symposium: 6th International Symposium on Fruit Flies of Economic Importance, pp. 6–10.
- Enkerlin W, Gutiérrez Ruelas J, Pantaleon R, Soto Litera C, Villaseñor Cortés A, Zavala López J, Orozco Dávila D, Montoya Gerardo P, Silva Villarreal L, Cotoc Roldán E, Hernández López F, Arenas Castillo A, Castellanos Dominguez D, Valle Mora A, Rendón Arana P, Cáceres Barrios C, Midgarden D, Villatoro C, Lira Prera E, Zelaya Estradé O, Castañeda Aldana R, López Culajay J, Ramírez y Ramírez F, Liedo Fernández P, Ortíz Moreno G, Reyes Flores J and Hendrichs J (2017) The Moscamed Regional Programme: review of a success story of area-wide

sterile insect technique application. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **164**, 188–203.

- Enriquez T and Colinet H (2017) Basal tolerance to heat and cold exposure of the spotted wing Drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii. PeerJ* 5, e3112.
- Enriquez T, Renault D, Charrier M and Colinet H (2018a) Cold acclimation favors metabolic stability in Drosophila suzukii. Frontiers in Physiology 9, 1506.
- Enriquez T, Ruel D, Charrier M and Colinet H (2018b) Effects of fluctuating thermal regimes on cold survival and life history traits of the spotted wing Drosophila (Drosophila suzukii). Insect Science 27, 317–335.
- **FAO/IAEA/USDA** (2014) Product quality control for sterile mass-reared and released Tephritid fruit flies, version 6.0.
- Farnsworth D, Hamby KA, Bolda M, Goodhue RE, Williams JC and Zalom FG (2017) Economic analysis of revenue losses and control costs associated with the spotted wing Drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura), in the California raspberry industry. *Pest Management Science* **73**, 1083–1090.
- Feala JD, Coquin L, McCulloch AD and Paternostro G (2007) Flexibility in energy metabolism supports hypoxia tolerance in *Drosophila* flight muscle: metabolomic and computational systems analysis. *Molecular Systems Biology* **3**, 99.
- Frazier MR, Woods HA and Harrison JF (2001) Interactive effects of rearing temperature and oxygen on the development of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 74, 641–650.
- Guzy RD, Hoyos B, Robin E, Chen H, Liu L, Mansfield KD, Simon MC, Hammerling U and Schumacker PT (2005) Mitochondrial complex III is required for hypoxia-induced ROS production and cellular oxygen sensing. Cell Metabolism 1, 401–408.
- Haddad GG, Sun Y-A, Wyman RJ and Xu T (1997) Genetic basis of tolerance to O2 deprivation in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 94, 10809–10812.
- Hermes-Lima M and Zenteno-Savín T (2002) Animal response to drastic changes in oxygen availability and physiological oxidative stress. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology 133, 537–556.
- Hoback WW and Stanley DW (2001) Insects in hypoxia. Journal of Insect Physiology 47, 533-542.
- Ioriatti C, Guzzon R, Anfora G, Ghidoni F, Mazzoni V, Villegas TR, Dalton DT and Walton VM (2018) Drosophila suzukii (Diptera: Drosophilidae) contributes to the development of sour rot in grape. Journal of Economic Entomology 111, 283–292.
- Jaffe BD and Guédot C (2019) Vertical and temporal distribution of spotted-wing Drosophila (*Drosophila suzukii*) and pollinators within cultivated raspberries. *Pest Management Science* **75**, 2188–2194.
- Kakinohana H, Kuba H, Kohama T, Kinjo K, Taniguchi M, Nakamori H, Tanahara A and Sokei Y (1997) Eradication of the melon fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* Coquillett, by mass release of sterile flies in Okinawa prefecture, Japan. Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly 31, 91–100.
- Klassen W and Curtis CF (2005) History of the sterile insect technique. In Dyck VA, Hendrichs J and Robinson AS (eds), *Sterile Insect Technique: Principles and Practice in Area-Wide Integrated Pest Management.* Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 3–36.
- Knipling EF (1955) Possibilities of insect control or eradication through the use of sexually sterile males. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 48, 459–462.
- Krüger AP, Schlesener DCH, Martins LN, Wollmann J, Deprá M and Garcia FRM (2018a) Effects of irradiation dose on sterility induction and quality parameters of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 111, 741–746.
- Krüger AP, Schlesener DCH, Martins LN, Wollmann J, Deprá M and Garcia FRM (2018b) Radiation effects on *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) reproductive behaviour. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 143, 88–94.
- Lanouette G, Brodeur J, Fournier F, Martel V, Vreysen M, Cáceres C and Firlej A (2017) The sterile insect technique for the management of the spotted wing Drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii*: establishing the optimum irradiation dose. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0180821.
- Lee JC, Dreves AJ, Cave AM, Kawai S, Isaacs R, Miller J, Van Timmeren S and Bruck DJ (2015) Infestation of wild and ornamental noncrop fruits by Drosophila suzukii (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 108, 117–129.
- Lee JC, Wang X, Daane KM, Hoelmer KA, Isaacs R, Sial A and Walton VM (2019) Biological control of spotted-wing Drosophila (Diptera:

Drosophilidae) – current and pending tactics. *Journal of Integrated Pest Management* **10**, 13.

- Lees RS, Gilles JR, Hendrichs J, Vreysen MJ and Bourtzis K (2015) Back to the future: the sterile insect technique against mosquito disease vectors. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 10, 156–162.
- López-Martínez G and Hahn DA (2012) Short-term anoxic conditioning hormesis boosts antioxidant defenses, lowers oxidative damage following irradiation and enhances male sexual performance in the Caribbean fruit fly, Anastrepha suspensa. Journal of Experimental Biology 215, 2150–2161.
- Mazzi D, Bravin E, Meraner M, Finger R and Kuske S (2017) Economic impact of the introduction and establishment of *Drosophila suzukii* on sweet cherry production in Switzerland. *Insects* **8**, 18.
- Melicher D, Wilson ES, Bowsher JH, Peterson SS, Yocum GD and Rinehart JP (2019) Long-distance transportation causes temperature stress in the Honeybee, *Apis mellifera* (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Environmental Entomology* 48, 691–701.
- Mitsui H, Takahashi KH and Kimura MT (2006) Spatial distributions and clutch sizes of *Drosophila* species ovipositing on cherry fruits of different stages. *Population Ecology* **48**, 233–237.
- Mutika GN, Opiyo E and Robinson AS (2002) Effect of low temperature treatment on the quality male adult *Glossina pallidipes* (Diptera: Glossinidae) relation to the sterile insect technique. *Entomological Science* 5, 209–214.
- Mutika GN, Kabore I, Parker AG and Vreysen MJ (2014) Storage of male *Glossina palpalis gambiensis* pupae at low temperature: effect on emergence, mating and survival. *Parasites & Vectors* 7, 465.
- Nikolouli K, Colinet H, Renault D, Enriquez T, Mouton L, Gibert P, Sassu F, Cáceres C, Stauffer C, Pereira R and Bourtzis K (2018) Sterile insect technique and Wolbachia symbiosis as potential tools for the control of the invasive species Drosophila suzukii. Journal of Pest Science 91, 489–503.
- Nikolouli K, Sassù F, Mouton L, Stauffer C and Bourtzis K (2020) Combining sterile and incompatible insect techniques for the population suppression of *Drosophila suzukii. Journal of Pest Science* **93**, 647–661.
- Pagabeleguem S, Seck MT, Sall B, Vreysen MJ, Gimonneau G, Fall AG, Bassene M, Sidibé I, Rayaissé JB, Belem AM and Bouyer J (2015) Long distance transport of irradiated male *Glossina palpalis gambiensis* pupae and its impact on sterile male yield. *Parasites & Vectors* 8, 259.
- Powers SK and Jackson MJ (2008) Exercise-induced oxidative stress: cellular mechanisms and impact on muscle force production. *Physiological Reviews* 88, 1243–1276.
- **R Core Team** (2016) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.* Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Rezende EL, Castañeda LE and Santos M (2014) Tolerance landscapes in thermal ecology. *Functional Ecology* 28(4), 799–809.
- Robergs RA, Ghiasvand F and Parker D (2004) Biochemistry of exercise-induced metabolic acidosis. *American Journal of Physiology – Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 287, R502–R516.
- Rombaut A, Guilhot R, Xuéreb A, Benoit L, Chapuis MP, Gibert P and Fellous S (2015) Long distance transport of irradiated male Glossina palpalis gambiensis pupae and its impact on sterile male yield. *Parasites & Vectors* 8, 259.
- Stacconi M, Buffington M, Daane K, Dalton D, Grassi A, Kaçar G, Miller B, Miller J, Baser N, Ioriatti C, Walton V, Wiman N, Wang X and Anfora G (2015) Host stage preference, efficacy and fecundity of parasitoids attacking *Drosophila suzukii* in newly invaded areas. *Biological Control* 84, 28–35.
- Rossi Stacconi MV, Grassi A, Ioriatti C and Anfora G (2019) Augmentative releases of *Trichopria drosophilae* for the suppression of early season *Drosophila suzukii* populations. *BioControl* 64, 9–19.
- Sassù F, Nikolouli K, Caravantes S, Taret G, Pereira R, Vreysen MJB, Stauffer C and Cáceres C (2019a) Mass-rearing of *Drosophila suzukii* for sterile insect technique application: evaluation of two oviposition systems. *Insects* 10, 448.
- Sassù F, Nikolouli K, Pereira R, Vreysen MJB, Stauffer C and Cáceres C (2019b) Irradiation dose response under hypoxia for the application of the sterile insect technique in *Drosophila suzukii*. *PLoS ONE* 14, e0226582.
- Seck MT, Pagabeleguem S, Bassene MD, Fall AG, Diouf TA, Sall B, Vreysen MJ, Rayaissé JB, Takac P, Sidibé I, Parker AG, Mutika GN, Bouyer J and Gimonneau G (2015) Quality of sterile male tsetse after long distance

transport as chilled, irradiated pupae. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 9, e0004229.

- Terblanche JS, Klok CJ and Chown SL (2005) Temperature-dependence of metabolic rate in *Glossina morsitans morsitans* (Diptera, Glossinidae) does not vary with gender, age, feeding, pregnancy or acclimation. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 51, 861–870.
- Tochen S, Dalton DT, Wiman N, Hamm C, Shearer PW and Walton VM (2014) Temperature-related development and population parameters for *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) on cherry and blueberry. *Environmental Entomology* **43**, 501–510.
- Vance JT, Williams JB, Elekonich MM and Roberts SR (2009) The effects of age and behavioral development on Honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) flight performance. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **212**, 2604–2611.
- Walsh D, Bolda M, Goodhue R, Dreves A, Lee JC, Bruck DJ, Walton VM, O'Neal SD and Zalom FG (2011) Drosophila suzukii (Diptera: Drosophilidae): invasive pest of ripening soft fruit expanding its geographic range and damage potential. Journal of Integrated Pest Management 2, G1-G7.
- Zhao HW and Haddad GG (2011) Review: hypoxic and oxidative stress resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Placenta* 32, S104–S108.