# A multidisciplinary study of a Late Pleistocene arctic ground squirrel (*Urocitellus parryii*) midden from Yukon, Canada

Bram W. Langeveld<sup>a</sup>\*, Dick Mol<sup>a</sup>, Grant D. Zazula<sup>b</sup>, Barbara Gravendeel<sup>c</sup>, Marcel Eurlings<sup>d</sup>, Crystal N.H. McMichael<sup>e</sup>, Dick Groenenberg<sup>c</sup>, Guido B.A. van Reenen<sup>e</sup>, Mona Palmeira<sup>e</sup>, Johnny Vogel<sup>e</sup>, Bas van Geel<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Natural History Museum Rotterdam, Westzeedijk 345, 3015 AA Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>b</sup>Yukon Palaeontology Program, Yukon Government, P.O. Box 2703, Whitehorse, Yukon, Y1A 0M5, Canada

- <sup>d</sup>Laboratories of Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE Leiden, The Netherlands
- eInstitute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands

(RECEIVED June 29, 2017; ACCEPTED September 27, 2017)

#### Abstract

Middens (nests and caches) of Late Pleistocene arctic ground squirrels (*Urocitellus parryii*) that are preserved in the permafrost of Beringia archive valuable paleoecological data. Arctic ground squirrels selectively include the plant material placed in middens. To account for this selectivity bias, we used a multi-proxy approach that includes ancient DNA (aDNA) and macro- and microfossil analyses. Here, we provide insight into Pleistocene vegetation conditions using macrofossils, pollen, phytoliths and non-pollen palynomorphs, and aDNA collected from one such midden from the Yukon Territory (Canada), which was formed between 30,740 and 30,380 cal yr BP. aDNA confirmed the midden was constructed by *U. parryii*. We recovered 39 vascular plant and bryophyte genera and 68 fungal genera from the midden samples. Grass and other herbaceous families dominated vegetation assemblages according to all proxies. aDNA data yielded several records of vascular plants that are outside their current biogeographic range, while some of the recovered fungi yielded additional evidence for local occurrence of *Picea* trees during glacial conditions. We propose that future work on fossil middens should combine the study of macro- and microfossils with aDNA analysis to get the most out of these environmental archives.

Keywords: Ancient DNA; Ground squirrel; Paleoecology; Wisconsinan; Yukon

# **INTRODUCTION**

Arctic ground squirrels (*Urocitellus parryii*; synonym: *Spermophilus parryii*) were common small mammals of the late Pleistocene fauna in Beringia (Harington, 2011), the vast unglaciated region between the Kolyma River (alternatively the Lena River) in eastern Siberia and the Mackenzie River in the Northwest Territories (Canada), including the intervening continental shelf shallower than ca. 200 m below current sea level (Matthews, 1982; Elias and Brigham-Grette, 2007). Arctic ground squirrels, along with most small to medium sized mammals, survived the major extinction event at the end of the Pleistocene and still live across the Holarctic region (although not in the study area). Male arctic ground squirrels tend to inhabit dry, well-drained sites and construct underground rooms or hibernacula roughly 1 m below ground in the

permafrost active layer, and line their nests with grasses. They cache large quantities of fruits and seeds in the hibernacula alongside their nests, and feed on the cached plants in early spring. This allows the ground squirrel to regain body weight that was lost during hibernation, and to be more successful at competing and mating directly upon emergence from their burrows (Buck and Barnes, 1999; Zazula et al., 2006a).

Arctic ground squirrel nests and caches, together called middens, found preserved in Pleistocene aged permafrost deposits across Beringia contain highly detailed records of former local vegetation, as was shown through recent macrofossil studies on Beringian specimens (Zazula et al., 2005, 2007, 2011; Gaglioti et al., 2011). As arctic ground squirrels are selective in the species they collect (Zazula et al., 2006a), using only macrofossil analyses may generate an incomplete or biased view of Pleistocene vegetation (Zazula et al., 2005). Microfossil analyses, including pollen, nonpollen palynomorphs (NPPs), and phytoliths, can begin to fill gaps in vegetation reconstructions resulting from the ground squirrel's selectivity bias of plant material. Charcoal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Endless Forms group, Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Natural History Museum Rotterdam, Westzeedijk 345, 3015 AA Rotterdam, The Netherlands. E-mail address: langeveld@hetnatuurhistorisch.nl (B.W. Langeveld).

recovered from ground squirrel nests also provides information as to whether fire was present in the landscape at the time of nest construction. Ancient DNA (aDNA) has also proven to be an important source of information about ancient plants, fungi and animals (Willerslev et al., 2014).

A combined approach of macrofossil, microfossil, and aDNA analyses has yet to be performed on these middens, or on most paleoecological archives from the area. Here, we provide a multi-proxy approach using macrofossils, microfossils, and aDNA to reconstruct a time-slice of glacial history in the Yukon Territory. We discuss the implications of using a multi-proxy approach to improve local and regional vegetation reconstructions in depositional environments such as middens, by removing the selectivity bias created by ground squirrels to a significant extent.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Materials

The midden was located at a small placer goldmine on Little Blanche Creek, Klondike area near Dawson City, Yukon Territory, Canada (63°50'35"N 139°05'41"W) in July 2015. Dawson tephra, a well-known stratigraphic marker that dates to ca. 25,300 <sup>14</sup>C yr BP (Westgate et al., 2000; Froese et al., 2006) that is commonly exposed in the permafrost deposits at many of the Klondike placer gold mines, was observed in the profile some 1.5 m above the midden. Skeletal elements of Wisconsinan (Rancholabrean Land Mammal Age, Weichselian in Europe, last glacial period) vertebrates, like steppe bison (Bison priscus), horse (Equus spp.), reindeer (Rangifer tarandus), and woolly mammoth (Mammuthus primigenius) were commonly recovered at the site. Some arctic ground squirrel middens (identified based on their large size) were noticed in the thawing exposure of the mine, though most were inaccessible for study. One almost complete midden that was accessible from the valley bottom was collected (Fig. 1), kept frozen in a standard cooler box with ice packs



Figure 1. (color online) The midden of an arctic ground squirrel *Urocitellus parryii* we collected *in-situ* at the Little Blanche Creek in Yukon, Canada.

and transported back to the field camp and air dried. Material collected consisted of one almost complete arctic ground squirrel midden (volume ca. 1 L) and some small lumps  $(<1 \text{ cm}^3)$  of surrounding sediment.

# Subsampling

All visually different constituents in the midden were sampled, e.g., coherent blocks of ground squirrel midden of different structures (such as grass spikelets, fibrous tissue of varying size, and non-poaceous material). Each sample was then subsampled for laboratory processing of the individual proxies to be included in the analysis (i.e., macrofossils, pollen, phytoliths, charcoal, and aDNA; Table 1). Subsamples for aDNA were taken from the inner-most core of coherent blocks of vegetative material; subsampling was performed in the aDNA laboratory of Naturalis Biodiversity Center using standardized protocols to prevent contamination (Cooper and Poinar, 2000). Subsamples for macrofossils and microfossils were obtained and processed at the University of Amsterdam Paleoecology Laboratory. Fecal pellets that were encountered in macrofossil samples were also sampled for pollen content.

## **Radiocarbon dating**

One subsample of poaceous (grass) material from the Little Blanche Creek midden was submitted to the Centre for Isotope Research (CIO) at the University of Groningen (The Netherlands) for AMS radiocarbon dating.

#### Macrofossils

Eleven macrofossil subsamples were analyzed (Table 1). Subsample volumes for macrofossils were estimated by volumetric displacement of 5% KOH solution. Macrofossils were retrieved after warming the subsamples in 5% KOH under regular careful stirring with a glass rod to enhance disaggregation, followed by washing over a 160 µm mesh sieve. The remaining material was suspended, small volumes at a time, in water on a petri dish, and examined systematically using a Leica MZ16 stereomicroscope at ca. 12.5× magnification (Birks, 2007; Mauquoy et al., 2011). Fruits, seeds, selected vegetative remains, and non-plant macrofossils were individually picked and identified. Vascular plant specimens were identified using the literature (Lawton, 1971; Crum and Anderson, 1981; Vitt and Buck, 2001; Zazula et al., 2005, 2006b, 2006c, 2007, 2011; Cappers et al., 2006; Mauquoy and van Geel, 2007; van Geel et al., 2008, 2011a, 2011b; Gaglioti et al., 2011; Wooller et al., 2011; The Plant List, 2013; Gravendeel et al., 2014), the reference collection housed in the Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics (IBED) at the University of Amsterdam, and the expert opinions of specialists. Selected macrofossils were deposited in the collections at the Natural History Museum Rotterdam, The Netherlands (collection numbers NMR 999700003521, NMR 999900011869, NMR 999900011880 through NMR 999900011888). The remainder of the sampled midden was also deposited there for future analyses (NMR 999900011871).

Subsample	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6	A7*	A8	A9	A10	A11	A12	A13	Total samples
Macro	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	11
Micro (pollen and NPP)	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х		11
Micro (phytolith)	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х			Х	Х	Х	9
aDNA	Х	Х	Х											3
Excrement, small rodent					Х			Х						2

Table 1. Overview of available subsamples and proxies studied.

\*This subsample consisted of clay (surrounding sediment), while all others were vegetative material from the midden.

# Microfossils

Eleven samples from the Little Blanche Creek midden were analyzed for pollen and non-pollen palynomorphs (NPPs, mainly fungal spores; Table 1). Of the 11 samples, 10 were collected from nest material in the midden and 1 (sample A7) consisted of sediment from near the midden. Two subsamples (A5 and A8) contained small (ca.  $8 \times 3$  mm) cylindrical fecal pellets. At that size, they are too small to have been produced by an arctic ground squirrel, but likely originated from a microtine rodent (Zazula et al., 2005). A total of 5 subsamples from the fecal pellets in the A5 sample and 2 subsamples from the fecal pellets in the A8 sample were also analyzed for pollen content (Table 1).

The preparation of all pollen and NPP samples followed Chambers et al. (2011: table 2). Slides were counted using a Leica DMLB stereomicroscope at 400× magnification, with pollen sums that exceeded 300 pollen grains of terrestrial taxa. Percentages of reworked pollen, Cyperaceae, fern spores, and NPP were expressed on the terrestrial pollen sum (Chambers et al., 2011). In samples with one very abundant dominant taxon, extended scans were performed and the occurrence of any additional pollen types was noted (+). Pollen grains and spores were identified using the IBED reference collection, the literature (McAndrews et al., 1973; van Geel, 1978; Kapp et al., 2000; Beug, 2004; van Geel and Aptroot, 2006) and expert opinions of specialists. NPP type numbering and laboratory codes follow Miola (2012). All microfossil slides were deposited in the collection of the Natural History Museum Rotterdam (NMR 999900011870) and residues are kept in the collection of IBED (numbers G 30.306 through G 30.315, G 30.539 through G 30.545, and G 30.387).

Phytoliths, silica-based remnants of vegetative plant material, were extracted from nine subsamples of midden material and prepared according to standard laboratory procedures (Piperno, 2006). At least 200 phytoliths per sample were counted under a Zeiss Axiophot Photomicroscope at 400× magnification with Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) for enhanced viewing of silica, which has the same refractive index as glass. Phytolith morphotypes were identified using the reference collection housed at IBED at the University of Amsterdam, reference atlases (Piperno, 2006) and expert opinions.

We used multivariate ordinations to examine the similarity and dissimilarity of pollen and phytolith assemblages. Pollen, NPP, and phytolith data were analysed using detrended correspondence analysis (DCA). The results from DCA are also in terms of standard deviations, which allowed for comparisons of outputs between proxies. All analyses were performed in RStudio Version 1.0.136 (R Development Core Team, 2011). Pollen and phytolith diagrams were plotted with C2 software (Juggins, 2003).

#### aDNA

The arctic ground squirrel midden was loosely disaggregated and air-dried before shipping in a closed bag. During subsampling, care was taken to avoid any possible contamination: only material that could be taken from inside compact lumps of vegetation from the arctic ground squirrel midden was used. All pre-PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) work, including subsampling, was done in the aDNA lab of Naturalis Biodiversity Center, The Netherlands. No work on ground squirrels was previously performed in this lab and all extractions and PCRs included blanks (Cooper and Poinar, 2000).

Silica extraction (based on Rohland and Hofreiter, 2007) was used to obtain aDNA. Subsamples (ca. 1 mL) were ground in a Retsch CryoMill at  $-196^{\circ}$ C (set to: automatic precooling followed by two cycles [40 s each] of grinding at 30 Hz). Powdered subsamples were suspended in silica extraction buffer and incubated overnight in a hybridizer rotor in the dark at room temperature (RT). After centrifuging, the supernatant was transferred into an L2 buffer with silica suspension and the pH was adjusted to ca. 4.0 by adding small volumes of HC1. After 3h incubation (hybridizer rotor, RT, in the dark), extracts were purified by repeated centrifugation and addition of fresh buffer, finally using a New Wash buffer. Then extracts were centrifuged, the supernatant was discarded and pellets were air-dried, finally to be re-suspended in 50 µL of TE and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

Standard barcode markers were selected to amplify specific taxa, i.e., animals, fungi and plants (i.e., any organism with chloroplasts with chlorophyll b; Cavalier-Smith, 1981), including the mitochondrial *CytB* gene for the arctic ground squirrel, the chloroplast genes *trnL* (Taberlet et al., 2007) and *rbcL* (Hasebe et al., 1994) for plants, and nuclear ribosomal genes nrITS1 and nrITS2 for fungi (Schoch et al., 2012; Table 2). Our methods follow van Geel et al. (2011a) for plants and fungi. Novel primers were designed in Geneious 9.0.4 (http://www.geneious.com; Kearse et al., 2012) using modern *Urocitellus parryii* 

luct size (base pairs) Source	125 This study	79 Taberlet et al. (2007)	496 Taberlet et al. (2007)	204 Hofreiter et al. (2000)	Varies White et al. (1990);	taken from Vilgalys lab (2015)	Varies White et al. (1990); taken from Vilgalys lab (2015)
Sequence 5'-3' Prov	TTGGATTGCTAGGCAGAGGC	CCATTGAGTCTCTGCACCTATC	GGGGATAGAGGGGACTTGAAC	CTTCTTCAGGTGGAACTCCAG	GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC		TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC
Primer R	Spermo CytB 132R	B49466	B49863	GC rbcL19bR	ITS2		ITS4
Sequence 5'-3'	ACATCCGCAAAACTCACCCT	GGGCAATCCTGAGCCAA	CGAAATCGGTAGACGCTACG	ATGTCACCACCAACAGAGAGTAAA	TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG		GCATCGATGAAGAACGCAGC
rrget Primer F	vtB Spermo CytB 8F	nL A49425	iL A49325	cL rbcLZ1aF	ITS1 ITS1		ITS2 1TS3
Taxon Ta	Urocitellus C <sub>3</sub> parryii	Plants trn	tm	rb	ungi nr		UL.

sequences from NCBI GenBank. All primers included M13tails. The arctic ground squirrel primers were used to confirm the identity of the species that built the midden (Hofreiter et al., 2000).

aDNA extracts were diluted 1:5. PCRs of ancient material were carried out on a Bio-Rad C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler or Bio-Rad S1000 Thermal Cycler using Phire Hot Start DNA Polymerase. The PCR consisted of a 30 s activation step at 98°C, followed by 35–40 cycles at 98°C for 5 s, 50°C for 5 s and 72°C for 10 s, with a concluding step at 72 °C for 1 min. After initial PCRs produced amplicons, they were rerun using the same PCR schedule with MID-labelled primers (*trnL*, *rbcL*, and nrITS) for Ion Torrent sequencing. The amplicons from the arctic ground squirrel primers were Sanger sequenced by BaseClear B.V. (Leiden, The Netherlands) on an ABI3730XL sequencer (Life Technologies) to check their specificity.

Resulting PCR products were run on a QIAxcel machine to measure sizes and concentrations. Equimolar pools were made based on these results. One pool contained all of the small fragments (trnL and rbcL) and fragments generated with A49325 + B49863 (trnL), which contained mainly small products. The other pool contained products from both nrITS regions. Using Ampure XP beads from Agencour, size selection was performed and primer dimers were removed from the generated PCR pools. The beads were washed with 150 mL 70% EtOH twice and resuspended in 40 µL MilliQ water. Cleaned PCR products were quantified using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer DNA High sensitivity chip. The equimolar pools were diluted according to the calculated template dilution factor to target 10-30 % of all positive Ion Sphere Particles. Template preparation and enrichment was carried out with the Ion PGM<sup>™</sup> Template OT2 200 (small fragments) and Ion PGM<sup>TM</sup> Template OT2 400 (large fragments) kits with use of the Ion One Touch System, according to the manufacturer's protocol (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Quality control of the Ion One Touch 200 Ion Sphere Particles was done with the Ion Sphere Quality Control Kit using a Life Qubit 2.0. The pool containing the small fragments held 32.4% templated ISPs measured with the Qubit and the pool with the large fragments firstly held 82% templated ISPs. After further dilution, the last pool contained 11.2% templated ISPs. The Enriched Ion Spheres were prepared for sequencing on a Personal Genome Machine (PGM) with the Ion PGM<sup>TM</sup> Hi-Q<sup>TM</sup> Sequencing Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (Life Technologies) and deposited on an Ion-314-chip (520 cycles per run) in a single loading cycle for one sequencing run.

Sequences obtained (Supplementary Tables 1–6) were analyzed in Galaxy (Giardine et al., 2005; Blankenberg et al., 2010; Goecks et al., 2010). They were sorted, clustered using CD HIT, and serially BLASTed (Altschul et al., 1990) against NCBI GenBank (*trnL* and *rbcL* products) and the UNITE Fungal ITS Database (ITS products) using the BLAST Wrapper for online or local BLASTing integrated in Galaxy (Camacho et al., 2009). Only matches above 50 bp long (70 bp for the nrITS fragments) with a minimal mean

**Table 2**. Primers used in this study. Product size indicates the total size of the obtained products, including the primers.

quality of 20 or higher and at 97% or more similarity to reference data were used. To compensate for any incompleteness of the reference databases and short reads, resulting identifications were manually culled to genus level maximally. These were interpreted using the literature (Richardson and Watling, 1997; Cody, 2000; Flora of North America, 2016; Vascular Plants of Canada (VASCAN) Database, 2016) and screened for aberrant records, such as tropical plants. In some samples, a few (<10) of these records were found, standardly present in aDNA sequencing projects such as *Cannabis* and *Emmotum*. As the corresponding blanks were clean, these could not have been caused by contamination in the lab. If contamination had occurred, it was between collecting in the field and entry into the aDNA lab. However, these records could also have resulted from sequencing errors, errors in the reference databases, or nondiagnostic reads. These non-informative sequences were excluded from further interpretation.

# RESULTS

## **Radiocarbon dating**

The selected poaceous (grass) macrofossils from the Little Blanche Creek midden were radiocarbon dated to  $26,210 \pm 140^{-14}$ C yr BP (GrA-64905), which calibrates to 30,740-30,380 cal BP using IntCal13 (Reimer et al., 2013). This date is in stratigraphic alignment with the younger overlaying tephra marker bed, which was deposited ca. 27,000 cal yr BP.

#### Macrofossils

The macrofossil samples (n = 11) yielded a combined volume of 79 mL, consisting of 13 genera (Table 3, Fig. 2–5).

Poaceous remains consisted of fruits and spikelets (Fig. 3-13 and 3-14) and vegetative remains. Most macrofossils samples were, however, dominated by Poaceae (Table 4). Overall, ca. 84% of 79 mL consisted of Poaceous remains. while the other 16% consisted of a variety of non-Poaceous plant remains. The non-poaceous macrofossil assemblages were dominated by Draba fruits (Fig. 2-7) and seeds (Fig. 2-8), Lepidium densiflorum siliques (Fig. 2-6), and Papaver, Ranunculus and Parnassia fruits and seeds (Fig. 2-1–2-5 and Fig. 3-10 and 3-11). All other taxa (Table 3) occurred in lower abundances. The mosses Campylium chrysophyllum and Crataneuron filicinum each occurred in only one subsample. Fungal fruit-bodies were encountered in one subsample, which consisted of fibrous tissue with many hairs (Fig. 5-37). The majority of the hairs were short and formed a quite compact wall from which many long hairs emerged, which ended in hooks, with which the fruit-bodies interlocked. These fruit-bodies were tentatively identified as Chaetomium sp. using Ames (1961). Compared to Ames' (1961) illustrations, the long hairs are relatively short, but the overall habitus fits very well. After photography, the fruit-bodies were squashed on a microscope slide, but no spores were obtained.

#### Microfossils

#### Pollen

Pollen was generally well-preserved and abundant in the eleven pollen samples. Poaceae dominated the pollen assemblages (Fig. 6), similarly to the macrofossil samples (Table 4). In six samples, some of the Poaceae grains were found still packed together in anther tissue, indicating that they originated from inflorescences that were collected before the release of ripe pollen grains. Other common constituents of the pollen spectra were *Artemisia*, other Asteraceae,

Table 3. Taxa preserved as macrofossils in the studied arctic ground squirrel midden.

Group	Taxon	Part	Figure
Vascular plants	Anemone sp.	Fruits	3-17
	Asteraceae	Fruits	3-12
	Caryophyllaceae	Seeds	5-27
	Draba sp.	Fruits and seeds	2-7; 2-8
	Mertensia sp.	Fruits	2-9
	Myosotis sp.	Fruits	3-18
	Lappula sp.	Fruits	4-19
	Lepidium densiflorum	siliques	2-6
	Papaver sp.	Seeds	2-5
	Papaver cf. mcconnellii	Fruits	2-4
	Parnassia sp.	Fruits and seeds	2-1-2-3
	Poaceae	Fruits	3-14
	Poaceae	leaves	5-35
	Polygonum viviparum	bulbils	4-20
	Ranunculus sp.	Infructescence and fruits	3-10; 3-11
	Silene taimyrensis	Fruits	3-15; 3-16
Mosses	Cratoneuron filicinum	Stem fragments with leaves	
	cf. Ditrichaceae	Stem fragments with damaged leaves	
	Campylium chrysophyllum	Stem fragments with leaves	



Figure 2. (color online) Vascular plant remains from the arctic ground squirrel midden. (1) *Parnassia* fruit with seeds. (2) *Parnassia* seeds. (3) *Parnassia* seed (left) and seed removed from envelope. (4) *Papaver* cf. *mcconnellii* fruit. (5) *Papaver* seeds. (6) *Lepidium densiflorum* siliques. (7) *Draba* fruits. (8) *Draba* seeds. (9) *Mertensia* fruits.



Figure 3. (color online) Vascular plant remains from the arctic ground squirrel midden. (10) *Ranunculus* infructescence. (11) *Ranunculus* fruits. (12) Asteraceae fruit. (13) Poaceae spikelets. (14) Poaceae fruits. (15) *Silene taimyrensis* fruit. (16) *Silene taimyrensis* seeds. (17) *Anemone* fruits. (18) *Myosotis* fruit.

**Table 4.** Macrofossil sample volume and percentage of poaceous macrofossil material; percentage of poaceous phytoliths; and percentage of poaceous pollen from arctic ground squirrel midden subsamples. The first percentage for phytoliths represents known Poaceae taxa; the second percentage represents an unknown phytolith that may or may not be poaceous.

Subsample	Volume (ml)	% Poaceae macrofossils	% Poaceae phytoliths	% Poaceae pollen
A1	2	98	21/75	98.8
A2	5	95	20/80	96.7
A3	5	95	21/77	95.5
A4	1	90	34/65	96.6
A5	10	90	87/11	89.2
A6	5	98		99.3
A7				67.6
A8	30	85	98/0.5	
A9	1	98		63.9
A10				70.4
A11	3	85	16/84	57.5
A12	7	99	2.5/97.5	100
A13	10	40	5/95	

Caryophyllaceae, and *Papaver* (Fig. 6), albeit in low percentages. Part of the pollen appears more translucent and details such as ornamentation are mostly lacking in subsample A7 (Fig. 7), which consisted of sediment from next to the midden. Except for Poaceae grains, those pollen grains could be easily distinguished from non-reworked pollen grains and were recorded separately as "Reworked pollen."

The sediment sample (subsample A7) differed from all others in that it contained reworked pollen (Fig. 6). Detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) performed on the pollen assemblages showed that the sediment sample A7 was dissimilar from the nest material samples along DCA Axis 1 (Fig. 8a). Poaceae grains dominated the pollen assemblages for both the sediment and the nest material samples. Artemisia pollen was present in both the sediment and the nest material samples, but was roughly an order of magnitude higher in the sediment sample A7 compared with the nest material samples (Fig. 6). The pollen spectra of A7 contained several forest elements that are either completely absent or present in only one of ten in nest material, such as Alnus, Betula, Picea, and Salix (Fig. 6). Other non-arboreal taxa were present in the sediment sample but similarly absent from nest material samples, such as Asteraceae liguliflorae, Persicaria maculosa type, Polemonium, Saxifraga stellaris type, and the spore of Glomus (Fig. 6). Taxa such as Apiaceae, Caryophyllaceae, Papaver, Plantago, Potentilla type, Ranunculaceae, and a Sporormiella type spore (HdV-113) were present in nest material samples but absent from the sediment sample (Fig. 6). Most of the subsamples of nest material clustered together, though A9, A10, and A11 are compositionally different from the other nest material samples and the sediment sample along DCA Axis 1 and Axis 2 (Fig. 8a). Subsample A5, which was from nest material that contained rodent fecal pellets was not compositionally different from the other nest material subsamples (Fig. 8a).

The fecal pellets yielded relatively few pollen grains, and percentage calculations were not possible (Table 5). Poaceae and Caryophyllaceae were the most dominant pollen types, which was similar to the relative pollen percentages in subsample A5 from the nest material (Fig. 6). All of the subsamples of fecal material also yielded *Artemisia* pollen, which was also present in all but one of the nest material samples and the sediment sample. The other pollen types found in the fecal pellets were also present in at least one of the nest material samples, except a sample from a fecal pellet in A8 which contained *Pinus* pollen (Table 5).

## **Phytoliths**

Poaceae remains were also common in the nine subsamples analyzed for phytoliths (Table 4, Fig. 9). Small percentages of woody taxa (trees) were found in samples A1 (4%) and A5 (2%). Cyperaceae was also found in samples A1, A3, and A8 (Fig. 9). DCA analysis revealed that A5 and A8, which contained the fecal pellets, were very dissimilar to all other samples along Axis 1 (Fig. 8b). The results are dissimilar to the DCA analysis on the pollen signatures (Fig. 8a). An unknown phytolith type, here called Morphotype A (Fig. 5-38), dominated the assemblages (from 65-97.5%) in all samples except A5 and A8, which drove the ordination along DCA Axis 1 (Fig. 8b). When Morphotype A occurred in low percentages or was absent, the assemblage was dominated by either wavy trapezoid, rondel, or saddle morphotypes, indicative of the grass subfamily Pooideae (Fig. 5-39–5-41). The samples where Morphotype A was not dominant were also the samples were fecal pellets were identified and analyzed for pollen. Sample A8 was dominated by wavy trapezoid morphotypes from the Pooideae grasses that drove the ordination of DCA Axis 2 (Fig. 8b).

## Non-pollen palynomorphs

Non-pollen palynomorphs were common in all samples, especially a fungal (asco)spore here described as a new type: HdV-834. It is ellipsoidal, one-celled with a smooth thick, light brown wall and simple, slightly protruding apical pores on both ends (Fig. 5-36). Its length averages 36.8 µm (31.5-38.7 µm) and its width averages 18.9 µm (16.9-19.4  $\mu$ m) (n = 10). Its morphology is like a fungal spore illustrated by Pirozynski et al. (1984: fig. 2c-e), and identified as Arnium sp. Here, we tentatively assign HdV-834 to the order Sordariales. It may represent a dung fungus and be an indicator of herbivores, and the NPP data show that it occurred in high abundances, together with Sordaria-type and Sporormiella-type, but also in the absence of those wellestablished dung indicators (Davis, 1987; van Geel et al., 2003; Montoya et al., 2010; Gill et al., 2013). Six samples contained Sporormiella and/or Sordaria. The sediment



Figure 4. (color online) Vascular plant remains from the arctic ground squirrel midden. (19) *Lappula* fruit. (20) *Polygonum viviparum* bulbil. (21) Unidentified fruit and seeds. (22) Unidentified fruit and seeds. (23) Unidentified seed. (24) Unidentified fruits and seeds. (25) Unidentified fruit and seeds.



**Figure 5.** (color online) Vascular plant (including phytoliths), bryophyte, animal and fungal remains from the arctic ground squirrel midden. (26) Unidentified fruit and seeds. (27) Caryophyllaceae seed. (28) Unidentified fruit and seed. (29) Unidentified fruit and seeds. (30) Unidentified seed. (31) Unidentified seed. (32) Unidentified "seed." (33) Fecal pellet of microtine rodent. (34) Unidentified bryophyte sporangium. (35) Unidentified fungal fruit-bodies on poaceous tissue. (36) New fungal ascospore type HdV-834. (37) *Chaetomium* fruit-bodies. (38) Unknown morphotype A phytolith. (39a) Top view of wavy trapezoid phytolith. (39b) Side view of wavy trapezoid phytolith. (40a) Side view of rondel phytolith. (41) Tall saddle phytolith.



**Figure 6.** Pollen and non-pollen palynomorph (spore) assemblages from the Little Blanche Creek midden nest material and nearby sediment. Dark gray shading across the sample represents the sediment sample (A7) and the light gray shading represents nest material samples containing microtine fecal pellets (A5). Samples are listed on the y-axis, and the x-axis is in units of percentage. Plus signs represent presence of a given taxon in a sample, though the percentage was too low to visualize. Black bars represent pollen types and gray bars with black outlines indicate spore types. Arboreal genera are marked with an asterisk (\*).

sample A7 is the only sample that contained *Glomus* chlamydospores (3.3%; Fig. 6).

## aDNA

The aDNA yield was high and diverse. Subsample A2 was not visually different from the other subsamples, but was the most productive in terms of intact aDNA sequences. Sample A2 yielded a product with primer pair Spermo CytB 8F+ Spermo CytB 132R, which was Sanger sequenced and then BLASTed



Figure 7. (color online) *Artemisia* pollen grain from sediment subsample A7 interpreted as having been reworked.

against and submitted to NCBI GenBank (accession number KY627781). A 100% match with modern *Urocitellus parryii* CytB sequences from GenBank convincingly confirmed the former presence of an arctic ground squirrel in the midden and validates morphological data (size and contents) pointing to an arctic ground squirrel as the constructor and inhabitant of the midden. Despite its productivity, subsample A2 did not have a higher diversity of identified taxa.

In total, 30 plant genera, 67 fungal genera, and 3 bacterial genera (Table 6) were identified from the aDNA preserved in the midden. Our aDNA data add a significant number of plant taxa identified in ground squirrel middens, including Amelanchier, Coreopsis, Cusickiella, Drymocallis (although considered by some authors to be a synonym of *Potentilla*), Dupontiopsis, Gaillardia, Hamamelis, Heuchera, Koeleria, Lilium, Lygodesmia, Physaria, Populus, Prunus, Puccinellia, Rosa, Rubus, Solidago, and Xanthisma. Eleven other genera that we recovered through aDNA were already recorded by macrofossil analysis (Table 3; Zazula et al., 2005, 2007). Of the 13 genera identified through macrofossils here, 4 were also retrieved through the aDNA analysis, but 9 were not. Among the fungal aDNA data, we obtained various saprotrophic (including soil) taxa as well as symbionts and vascular plant pathogens, among them parasites on Poaceae in particular, and finally also coprophilous fungi and a skin fungus. We also obtained 19 different lichen taxa.

## DISCUSSION

#### Pleistocene landscapes of Beringia

We used a multi-proxy approach of macrofossils, microfossils, and aDNA from ground squirrel midden in the Yukon



**Figure 8.** Detrended correspondence analysis of (a) pollen and (b) phytolith data from the Little Blanche Creek arctic ground squirrel midden. Gray circles represent nest material samples containing microtine rodent fecal pellets; other nest material samples are shown as open circles. The black circle represents the sediment sample (A7).

region to examine glacial landscapes of Beringia. Our macrofossil analysis from the ground squirrel midden was in broad agreement with Zazula et al. (2005, 2007), who also studied macrofossil contents of ground squirrel middens from the study area. In addition to taxa previously recorded, we also identified the moss *Campylium chrysophyllum* in our macrofossil analysis. *C. chrysophyllum* grows on moist to dry

calcareous soils (Lawton, 1971; Crum and Anderson, 1981) and thus fits with previous reconstructions of a steppe-tundra mosaic as the dominant vegetation during the Late Pleistocene (Zazula et al., 2007). *Artemisia* pollen was present in almost every sample (Fig. 6), and has been interpreted as a key component of steppe-tundra vegetation. It is also a dominant member of present-day steppe or grassland communities within the subarctic (Edwards and Armbruster, 1989). Our fungal analysis showed that lichens were also constituents of the late Pleistocene Beringian ecosystems, supporting previous findings in the region (Lydolph et al., 2005). Our data from the Little Blanche Creek midden add to the scarce literature available on Pleistocene fungi from Eastern Beringia (Pirozynski et al., 1984; van Geel et al., 2007), which is a highlatitude fungal biodiversity hotspot (Geml et al., 2010).

The Little Blanche Creek midden was formed around 30,500 yr ago, a time when most paleoecological reconstructions indicated a steppe-tundra landscape in Beringia (Matthews, 1982). Our macro- and microfossil analyses agreed, and grasses were the dominant vegetation of the landscape at the time the midden was formed (Table 4, Fig. 6 and 9). The phytolith data indicated that the Pooideae subfamily grasses were the dominant grass type in the midden material. In the steppe-tundra landscapes, these Pooid grasses are most likely from the genera *Calamagrostis*, *Poa*, or *Stipa* (Blinnikov et al., 2011). Macrofossil and aDNA analyses were able to identify 13 and 30 plant genera, respectively, in the local area of the midden (Tables 3 and 6).

Though dominated by grasses and a variety of herbs, all of the microfossil proxies indicated that trees were growing nearby Little Blanche Creek in microrefugia. Phytoliths, which are indicative of local vegetation, showed that these trees were in close proximity to the midden with the presence of tree phytoliths in two of the samples from the nest material (Fig. 9). Our samples contained the fungal genera *Phellodon, Amanita,* and *Morchella,* all of which grow in association with Pinaceae (Stalpers, 1993; Dahlstrom et al., 2000; Geml et al., 2006). The presence of these fungi in the ground squirrel midden added further evidence for nearby *Picea* trees in eastern Beringia under full-glacial conditions (Brubaker et al., 2005; Zazula et al., 2006d). These results were supported by the occurrence of *Picea* pollen (Fig. 6) in midden

 Table 5. Pollen spectra (numbers) from fecal pellets, probably of microtine rodents, from the arctic ground squirrel midden.

	A5-1	A5-2	A5-3	A5-4	A5-5	A8-1	A8-2
Poaceae	5	54	112	11	5	38	1
Artemisia	9	4	9	5	2	11	1
Caryophyllaceae	19	1	3			42	4
Brassicaceae		2	7	1			
Plantago	2		1				
Persicaria maculosa type		1					
Pinus							1
SUM	35	62	132	17	7	91	7
Sordaria (HdV-55A)	1				1		



**Figure 9.** Phytolith assemblages from the Little Blanche Creek midden nest material and nearby sediment. Light gray shading represents nest material samples containing microtine fecal pellets (A5). Samples are listed on the y-axis, and the x-axis is in units of percentage. Plus signs represent presence of a given morphotype in a sample, as in Figure 6. Morphotypes indicating arboreal taxa are marked with an asterisk (\*).

material. The aDNA sequence obtained from the ground squirrel nest evidenced that *Populus* trees also occurred in the nearby microrefugia (compare Mann et al., 2002).

*Cusickiella* and *Dupontiopsis* do not occur in Canada in modern times, but were identified through our aDNA analysis. We obtained an aDNA sequence identified as *Dupontiopsis* at a similarity of 97.78% at a hit length of 90 bp. Soreng et al. (2015) recently described this grass genus as an alpine endemic to Japan, favoring wet habitats. Soreng et al. (2015) postulated the ancestor of *Dupontiopsis*, and the closely allied circumarctic *Arctophila* and *Dupontia*, to have lived in Western Beringia. Manual BLASTing of our sequence demonstrated that *Dupontia* and *Arctophila* scored just as well as *Dupontiopsis*. Hence, our sequence may belong to a branch of that clade that once was spread much further eastwards than nowadays and subsequently went extinct.

Representatives of the brassicaceous genus *Cusickiella*, which we obtained at 98.81 % similarity at a read length of 84 bp, grow on scree and rocky ridges, and are not found further north than the State of Washington (USA) in today's climate (Flora of North America, 2016). *Cusickiella* may have been able to expand its distribution northward during glacial episodes with more open vegetation and more soil erosion. The identifications of *Cusickiella* and *Dupontiopsis* are a further demonstration of the vast biogeographic range changes of plant and animal taxa that occurred in response to climate change during the Late Quaternary and highlight the non-analog character of the flora (Guthrie, 1990).

The observed *Sporormiella* and *Sordaria* spores and the obtained *Podospora* aDNA sequence indicate the presence of

large herbivores in the region (Fig. 6; van Geel and Aptroot, 2006; Gill et al., 2013). aDNA analyses identified the plant species *Eutrema edwardsii* in the midden samples, which is strongly nitrophilous (Cody, 2000). A high abundance of large herbivores and nitrogen input through deposited dung may have allowed this species to thrive during the Wisconsinan glaciation (Zimov et al., 2012). Our macrofossil record also contained the fungus *Chaetomium*, which was recorded by Pirozynski et al. (1984) from fossil ground squirrel dung from the same area but ca. 10,000 years younger. We also found *Malassezia* in our samples, which are fungi living on animal skin. The *Malassezia* that was recorded may have originated directly from the arctic ground squirrel or from other rodents visiting the nest.

## Integrating multiproxy paleoecological data

All of the proxies in our analyses provided unique insights into the glacial landscape of Beringia, and together provided a more comprehensive reconstruction than is possible with any single proxy. The use of multiple proxies in our study allowed us to: (1) examine the selective bias of plant material found in ground squirrel middens; (2) compare the local versus regional input of macro- and microfossils into ground squirrel middens; (3) assess factors such as under- and over-representation and variability of taxa; and (4) improve the taxonomic resolution of remains found in the ground squirrel midden. The integration of datasets such as the ones used here will assuredly improve reconstructions of past landscapes from midden material, and also from other paleoecological archives.

Tacheophyta     Asterales     Asterales     Asterales     Asterales     Asterales     Asterales     Asterales     Asterales     N       Tacheophyta     Asterales     Asterales     Asterales     N     X     X       Gatllardat     87-91     X     X     X       Gatllardat     87-91     X     X     X       Brassicales     Brassicacese     Carceptis     84     X       Brassicales     Brassicacese     Carceptis     84     X       Drube     83-254     X     X       Brassicales     Brassicacese     Population     81-85     X       Larniales     Plantaginacee     Lillion     84-155     X       Larniales     Plantaginacee     Plantago     77     X       Lattiles     Dilaccese     Population     110     X       Posicion     70     X     X     Participation       Ranancotales     Ranunoviales     85-157     X     X       Ranunoviales     Rosiceae     Papator     144     X       Participation     135     X     X       Participation     136     X     X       Participation     136     X        Participation     <	Higher taxonomic group	Order	Family	Identification	Hit length (base pairs)	A1	A2	A3	Comments
Tackeophya         Asterales         Asterales         Asterales         Asterales         Asterales         Asterales         Asterales         Asterales         Note of the second sec			5						
Function of the second of the secon	Tracheophyta	Asterales	Asteraceae	Achillea	147–153		Х		
Frigrom         87-157         X         X           Gallardia         87-157         X         X           Lygodemia         99         X         X           Lygodemia         99         X         X           Janabisma         86-155         X         X           Brassicales         Brassicales         Brassicales         Antibisma         86-155         X         X           Image         Particip         83-284         X         X         X           Proba         83-284         X         X         X           Image         Particip         11         X         X           Proba         131         X         X         X           Indiage         Particip         70         X         X         X           Posc         Particip         16         X         X         X           Posc         Particip         70-139         X         X         X           Posc         Particip         70-139         X         X         X           Posc         Particip         70-139         X         X         X           Posc         Particip         <				Coreopsis	81			Х	
Function         Galibration         Note         Note           Solidage         109         X           Solidage         109         X           Solidage         000         X           Solidage         000         X           Solidage         812         X           Privation         812         X           Initiality         Note         X           Privation         11         X           Initiality         Plantiginon         81-87           Initiality         Solidage         900         X           Post         Partician         110         X           Post         Solidage         900         X           Post         Solidage         9000         X           Post         Post         75-103         X           Resels         Parenelle         75-103         X           Postenillity         Postenillity         X         X           Postenillity         Postenillity         X         X           Postenillity         Postenillity         X         X           Postenillity         Postenillity         X         X <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Erigeron</td><td>87–157</td><td></td><td>Х</td><td>X</td><td></td></td<>				Erigeron	87–157		Х	X	
Image: Second				Gaillardia	87–91	Х		Х	
Solidage         Normalisance         Solidage         Normalisance         Normalisance           Brassicales         Brassicacee         Casiskiella         84         X         X           Brassicales         Brassicacee         Casiskiella         84         X         X           Brassicales         Brassicacee         Plantaginaceae         135         X         X           Lamiales         Lilatore         Plantaginaceae         Plantaginaceae         Non-         X           Majnipitales         Lilatore         Plantaginaceae         Plantaginaceae         Non-         X           Poales         Salicaceae         Plantaginaceae         Plantaginaceae         Non-         X           Poales         Dispontaginas         90         X         X         X           Poales         Non-         155         X         X         X           Raunaculates         Plantaginaceae         Non-         X         X         X           Procenterina         90         X         X         X         X           Raunaculates         Raunaculates         Raunaculates         Non-         X         X           Raunaculates         Raunaculates         Salica				Lygodesmia	99		Х		
Fund         Second Science         X         X         X           Brassicacese         Droba         83-254         X         X           Droba         83-254         X         X         X           Droba         83-254         X         X         X           Droba         83-254         X         X         X           Droba         111         X         X         X           Linicles         Duitaginacea         Polutaginacea         Noncontrol         X           Hallabs         Salicacea         Duitaginacea         81-87         X         X           Poles         Poaceae         Androsanthan         111         X         X           Poales         Poaceae         Androsanthan         11         X         X           Poales         Poaceae         Androsanthan         11         X         X           Poales         Poaceae         Anenone         70-139         X         X           Rananculates         Rananculaceae         Normoncular         75-103         X         X           Funderida         13c-146         X         X         X           Porentilla         13c-1				Solidago	109		Х		
Brassicales         Brassicales         Catchella         84-284         N           Draba         83-284         N         N           Lamiales         Draba         83-284         N         N           Lamiales         Plantsginaccea         Plantsginaccea         Plantsginaccea         N           Lamiales         Lilaines         Editorea         Plantsginaccea         Plantsginaccea         N           Malay gibriales         Salicacceae         Populas         155         N         N           Poales         Salicacceae         Populas         110         N         N           Poales         Salicacceae         Populas         155         N         N           Poales         Decontopisis         90         N         N         N           Raunculacea         Aneonore         75-103         N         N         N           Raunculacea         Raunculas         85-157         N         N         N           Pormocalitacea         155         N         N         N           Raunculases         Rosalecae         Tamelaritacia         N         N           Promocalitacea         155         N         N				Xanthisma	86–155		X	Х	
Fund         Barba         Name         Name           Hirtena         111         X         Name           Lindels         Plantaginacce         Plantago         77         X           Lindels         Lindece         Plantago         77         X         X           Malpighialos         Science         Popular         155         X         X           Poales         Poacea         Anthoxamhum         111         X         X         X           Poales         Poacea         Anthoxamhum         111         X         X         X           Poales         Poacea         Anthoxamhum         111         X         X         X           Ranuculare         Annor         70-139         X         X         X         X           Ranuculare         Anemon         75-103         X         X         X         X           Poar         Anemon         75-103         X         X         X         X           Promocilitis         136-146         X         X         X         X           Pranta         Rasca         Incellitia         136-146         X         X         X           Fung		Brassicales	Brassicaceae	Cusickiella	84		Х		
LatriceLatriceLatriceLatriceLatriceLatriceLatriceLatricePinsaria11XLilialesLiliaceLilianeSalicaceaeDepolus155XXMajopitalesSalicaceaePopulos101XXXPoalesPoaceaePopulos103XXXNeoreiroNocientiano110XXXPoalesPageveraceae136XXXPoaceaePageveraceae89XXXRanneculatesPageveraceae89XXXRosaeeAnenone85-167XXXPoaceaePomoralific157XXXPoaceaePomoralific157XXXPortentila136-146XXXXPotentila136-142XXXXPotentila136-142XXXXPotentila136-143XXXXPotentila136-143XXXXPotentila136-143XXXXPotentila136-143XXXXPotentila136-143XXXXPotentila136-143XXXXPotentila136-143XXXXPotentila136-143XXXX <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Draba</td><td>83–284</td><td>Х</td><td>Х</td><td></td><td></td></t<>				Draba	83–284	Х	Х		
Pinetagianceae         Pinetag				Eutrema	135		Х		
Laniales         Plantaginacee         Plantage         Plantage         Plantage         Plantage         Plantage           Liliales         Salicaceae         Populus         155         X           Poales         Poaceae         Anthoxonthum         111         X           Poales         Poaceae         Nathoxonthum         111         X           Poaceae         Poaceae         90         X         X           Poaceae         Poaveracea         89         X         X           Paccinefilie         89         X         X         X           Rosales         Ramuculacea         Anenochiter         X         X           Promentil         136         X         X         X           Promentil         136         X         X         X           Rosale         Anantiaceae         Anantia         To         X         X				Physaria	111	Х			
Litales     Litaceae <i>Pipula</i> 155     X       Malpighines     Salicaceae <i>Popula</i> 155     X       Peales     Peaceae     Anthoxanthum     111     X       Litales     Peaceae     Anthoxanthum     111     X       Neteria     156     X     X     X       Raunculates     Papaveraceae     Papaveraceae     No     X     X       Raunculates     Raunculaceae     Anemore     75-103     X     X     X       Rosales     Rosaceae     Anemicanticas     85-157     X     X     X       Promocallis     157     X     X     X     X       Promocallis     157     X     X     X       Primus     136-146     X     Y       Rosale     Hamamelidaceae     Homareli     155     X       Rosal     75-133     X     X     X       Primus     155     X     X     X       Primus     156-164     X     Y       Primus     157     X     X     X       Primus     157     X     X     X       Primus     157     X     X     X       Primus     153		Lamiales	Plantaginaceae	Plantago	77		Х		
Maje bialesSalicaceaePopulus15XPolesPoaceaeAnthoxamhum111XPalesPoaceaeAnthoxambum136XPoaceaeAnthoxambum136XXPoaceaePoaceae70-139XXXPoaceaePapaveraceaePapaveraceaePapaveraceaePapaveraceaePapaveraceaePapaveraceaeRanunculacesPapaveraceaePapaveraceaeSalistoreaSalistoreaXXRaseaRanunculaceaRanunculacea85-157XXXRaseaeMaleanchier86-108XXYPortentilla136-142XXYYPortentilla136-142XXYYPortentilla155XXXYPapaveraceaeHamanclidaceae149XXYPortentilla155XXYYParatifiageaeHamanclidaceae167XXYPortentilla155XXYYPapaveraceaePapaveraceaePapaveraceaeYYYPapaveraceaeParatifiageraeNonpites70XXXPapaveraceaePapaveraceaePapaveraceaeSapotrophicSapotrophicPapaveraceaePapaveraceaePapaveraceaeSapotrophicSapotrophicPapaveraceaePapaveraceaePapaveraceaeSapotrophicSapotrophic		Liliales	Liliaceae	Lilium	81-87		Х		
Poales         Poaceae         Anthoxanthum         111         X           Duponitopisos         90         X         X           Releria         136         X         X           Pace         70-139         X         X         X           Pace         900         50         X         X           Pace         900         70-139         X         X         X           Pace         9000         75-103         X         X         X           Rannuculace         Anenno         75-103         X         X         X           Rannuculace         Anenno         75-103         X         X         X           Procealis         Anennoticulas         85-157         X         X         X           Promocalis         157         X         X         X         X           Promocalis         153         X         X         X           Fores         Hamamelidaceae         Hamamelis         S         X         X           Fungi         Agaridages         Agaridages         Gamonia         76         X         X         Saportophic           Saportophic         Saportophic <td></td> <td>Malpighiales</td> <td>Salicaceae</td> <td>Populus</td> <td>155</td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Malpighiales	Salicaceae	Populus	155	Х			
Fund         Second		Poales	Poaceae	Anthoxanthum	111		Х		
Fungi         Ramunulales         Ramunulace         Requires         Requi				Dupontiopsis	90		Х		
Poa         70-139         X         X         X         X           Ranunculacea         Papaveraceae         Papavera         114         X         X           Ranunculacea         Anemone         75-103         X         X         X           Rosales         Rosaceae         Amenoculacea         85-103         X         X           Rosales         Rosaceae         Amenoculacea         85-103         X         X           Prinus         85-103         X         X         X         X           Prinus         85-106         X         X         X         X           Prinus         136-146         X         X         X         X           Rosa         103-142         X         X         X         X           Fungi         Agaricales         Hamamelifacean         165         X         X         X           Fungi         Agaricales         Amamelifacean         160         X         X         X           Paga         Agaricales         Amamelifacean         167         X         X         X           Fungi         Agaricales         Agaricales         Agariales         Agariales <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Koeleria</td><td>136</td><td>Х</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				Koeleria	136	Х			
Paccinellia         89         X           Ranunculaes         Papaverace         Papavera         14         X           Ranunculaceae         Anemone         75-103         X         X           Rosales         Rosaceae         Anemone/ier         85-157         X         X           Rosales         Rosaceae         Anemone/ier         85-157         X         X           Prinos         157         X         X         X           Prinos         153         X         X           Rosal         Prinos         155         X           Rosalifragaces         Hanamelidaceae         Hanamelis         155         X           Fungi         Agaricales         Amanitaceae         160         X         X           Fungi         Agaricales         Gymopus         70         X         X         Saprotrophic           Fungi         Agyriales         Ropyrinduceae         160         72         X         Saprotrophic           Fungi         Agariales         Romanitaceae         Induce/rar         174         X         Saprotrophic           Fungi         Agariales         Ropyrineacea         Foropartific         122-144				Poa	70–139	Х	Х	Х	
RanunculalesPapaveraceae </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Puccinellia</td> <td>89</td> <td></td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td></td>				Puccinellia	89		Х		
RanuculaceaeAnemone75-103XRanuculaceaeNaemonelus85-157XXRosalesRosaceaeAmelanchier86-108XDrymocallis157XXDrymocallis157XXPranus136-146XXKosalesHamamelidaceae103-142XRosa75-133XXSaxifragalesHamamelidaceae149XFungiAgaricalesAmanina76XPangiAgaricalesAmaninaceae70XSaportophicPutaceaeVolvopluteus107XSaportophicPutaceaeSovipharciae70XLichenAgyrialesAgyriaceaeIrapeliopsis87ALichenAdvophaeralesNovopharciaeae72-144XSovipharciaeAdvinalesIncerta sedisBasilodendron72XSaportophicCantheralelasIncerta sedisBasilotera70XSaportophic oidead woodAdvisilesNovopharciaeaePhylloxita73XSilfurgus (sexual stage of Chrysoportum)AdvidilesIncerta sedisBasilotera73XYFungus on plants Engel plant pathogenAdvidilaesIncertas esdisCoinosportum123-277XFungus on plants Engel plant pathogenChactofhyrialesIncertas esdisCoinosportum123-277XFungus on plants 		Ranunculales	Papaveraceae	Papaver	114		Х		
Rundensity         Ranucalus         Ranucalus         Rescases         Rescases <threscases< th="">         Rescases         Rescases<td></td><td></td><td>Ranunculaceae</td><td>Anemone</td><td>75–103</td><td>Х</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></threscases<>			Ranunculaceae	Anemone	75–103	Х			
Rosales     Rosacee     Amelanchier     86-108     X       Drymocallis     157     X       Prinus     136-14     X       Prinus     136-14     X       Rosa     136-14     X       Prinus     136-14     X       Rosa     136-14     X       Prinus     136-14     X       Rosa     75-13     X       Saxifragales     Hammelidacea     Hanamelis       Saxifragales     Henchera     149       Saxifragales     Amainiaceae     Henchera       Agaricales     Amainiaceae     6monpus       Pilutaceae     Onopus     70       Pilutaceae     Volvopluteus     107       Pilutaceae     Volvopluteus     107       Arthoniales     Roccellaceae     Dendrographa       Arthoniales     Roccellaceae     Dendrographa       Arthoniales     Roccellaceae     Dendrographa       Arthoniales     Roccellaceae     Dendrographa       Articulariales     Incertae sedis     Baidodendron       Auriculariales     Rotrophacinaceae     Phylosicita       Auriculariales     Rotrophacinaceae     Phylosicita       Auriculariales     Rotrophacinaceae     Phylosicita       Canthoriellaceae				Ranunculus	85-157	Х	Х		
primeDrymocallis157XPotentilla136-146XPrimus153XPrimus153XRosa103-142XRubus75-133XSaxifragalesHamamelidaceaeHaunanieis155SaxifragaceaeHeuchera149XPuntas70XSapotrophicAgaricalesManitaceae70XSapotrophicMarasmiaceaeNonopus70XSapotrophicPuteaceaeVolvopluteus170XSapotrophicAgyrialesAgyrialesRocellaceaePendrographa74-94XAuricularialesRoccellaceaeDendrographa74-94XLichenAuricularialesBotyosphaeriaceaPendrographa72XSapotrophic on dead woodPungiDavidiellaceaeSistotrema73XPunga plant pathogenAuricularialesIncerta esdisBasidiodendron72XFunga plant pathogenDavidiellaceaeSistotrema70XPunga plant pathogenCanton ellaceaeSistotrema73XPunga plant pathogenDavidiellaceaeSistotrema72XFunga plant pathogenDavidiellaceaeSistotrema73XPunga plant pathogenCathorolitalesBotyosphaeriaceaSistotrema74YPunga plant pathogenDavidiellaceaeCatosprium123-277XFunga plant pathogenDavid		Rosales	Rosaceae	Amelanchier	86–108		Х		
Potentilla136-146XPrinus153XPrinus153XRosa103-142XRubus75-133XSaxifragalesHanameliacaeHanamelisSaxifragacaeHanameliacae155XMarasmiaceaeAmaniaceaeMania76MarasmiaceaeManopus70XPlutaceaeVolvopluteus107XPlutaceaeVolvopluteus107XPlutaceaeMarasmiaceae87XPlutaceaeVolvopluteus107XAgyrialesAgyriaceaePrapeliopsis87XAcosphaeralesAcosphaeralesBetsia122-144XAuricularialesIncertae sedisBaidiodendron72XAuricularialesBotyosphaerialesBotyosphaerialesSoil fungus (sexual stage of Chrysosporium)AuricularialesIncertae sedisBaidiodendron72XSaprotrophic on dead wood fungu laplant pathogenAuricularialesIncertae sedisBaidiodendron72XFungus on plants and to the plant pathogenAuricularialesIncertae sedisSaidioendron123-277XFungus on plants anaiteliaesAuricularialesIncertae sedisConsoporium123-277XFungus on plants anaiteliaesAuricularialesIncertae sedisConsoporium123-277XYAuricularialesIncertae sedisConsoporium124YYeast </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Drymocallis</td> <td>157</td> <td></td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td></td>				Drymocallis	157		Х		
PrunusPrunus153XRosa103-142XRoba75-133XSaxifragalesHamamelidaceaHamamelisSaxifragaceaHaunamelis155SaxifragaceaAmanitaceae149AgaricalesAmanitaceaeAmanitaMarasmicaceaeAmanita76MarasmicaceaeSaminta70PuteaceaeVolvophutensPutaceaeVolvophutensAgyrialesAgyriaceaeTrapeliopsisAgyrialesAgyriaceaeDendrographaArthonialesRoccellaceaeDendrographaArthonialesRoccellaceaeDendrographaArthonialesIncerta sedisBasiliodendronPultacicaeeBeitsia73XAuricularialesIncerta sedisBasiliodendronPultaceaeDavidiellaceae70XAuricularialesIncerta sedisBasiliodendronDavidiellaceaeDavidiella73XDavidiellaceaeDavidiella70XDavidiellaceaeCladosporium123-277XDavidiellaceaeCladosporium214XDavidiellaceaeCladosporium214XDavidiellaceaeCladosporium214XDavidiellaceaeCladosporium153-277XDavidiellaceaeCladosporium214XDavidiellaceaeCladosporium214XDavidiellaceaeCladosporium214XDavidiellacea				Potentilla	136–146		Х		
Rosa Rubus103-142XRubus75-133XXSaxifragalesHamamelidaceae Saxifragaceae155XFungiAgaricalesAmanitaceae149XFungiAgaricalesAmanitaceae76XMarasmiaceaeGymopus70XSaprotrophicPuteaceaeCymopus70XSaprotrophicPuteaceaeCymopus70XSaprotrophicAgyrialesAgyriaceaeTrapeliopsis87XLichenAcsosphaeralesRoccellaceaeDendrographa74-94XLichenAuricularialesIncetta sedisBasidoendron72XSoil fungus (sexual stage of Chrysosprium)BotryosphaerialesBotryosphaeriaceaeBasidoendron72XSaprotrophic on deal wood engraphaCapnodialesIncetta sedisCaldosprium123-277XFungus on plants engraphaDavidiellaceaeCladosprium123-277XFungus on plants engraphaCapnodialesDavidiellaceaeCladosprium123-277XFungus on plants engraphaDavidiellaceaeCladosprium123-277XYFungus on plants engraphaCapnodialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiaum158-256XXY esat				Prunus	153		Х		
RubusRubus75-133XXSaxifragalesHamameliaceaeHamamelia155XSaxifragaceaHeuchera149XFungiAgaricalesManaitaceaeManaita76XMarasmiaceaeGymopus70XSaptotrophicPluteaceaeVolvopluteus107XSaptotrophicPluteaceaeConceptionsis87XLichenAgyrialesAgyriaceaeDendrographa74-94XLichenActionialesAccellaceaeDendrographa74-94XSoil fungus (sexual stage of Chrysosprium)AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron72XSaptotrophic of Chrysosprium)AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron73XFungua plant pathogenCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistotrema70XFungua plant pathogenDavidiellaceaeDavidiellaceaePhilosticta73XFungua plant pathogenCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistotrema70XFungua plant pathogenDavidiellaceaeCidosprium123-277XFungua plant pathogenDavidiellaceaeCidosprium214XFungal plant pathogenChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConisporium214XYeast				Rosa	103-142		Х		
SaxifragalesHamamelidaceaeHamamelis155XFungiAgaricalesAmanitaceae149XFungiAgaricalesAmanitaceae76XMay grow in association with PinaceaeMarasmiaceaeSymopus70XSaprotrophicPluteaccaeGymopus70XSaprotrophicAgyrialesAgyriaceaeTapeliopsis87XSaprotrophicArthonialesAccoellaceaeDedrographa74–94XLichenAuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodentron72XSoil fungus (sexual stage of <i>Lichen</i> AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodentron72XSaprotrophic on dead wood of <i>Lichen</i> AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodentron72XSaprotrophic on dead wood serutCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistorema70XSaprotrophic on dead wood serutCantharellalesIndicaceaeDevideillaceae70XSaprotrophic on dead wood serutChactohyrialesIncertae sedisSistorema70XSaprotrophic on dead wood serutChactohyrialesIncertae sedisCadosprium123–277XFungal plant pathogenChactohyrialesIncertae sedisConisoprium214XYeastChactohyrialesIncertae sedisConisoprium214XYeast				Rubus	75–133		Х	Х	
FungiSaxifragaceaeHeuchera149XFungiAgaricalesAmanitaceaeAmanita76XMay grow in association with PinaceaeMarasmiaceaeGymopus70XSaprotrophicPluteaceaeVolvopluteus107XSaprotrophicAgyrialesAgyriaceaeTrapeliopsis87XLichenAtrhonialesRoccellaceaeDendrographa74-94XLichenAscosphaeralesNocosphaeraceaeDendrographa72-144XSaprotrophicAuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron72XSaprotrophic on dead woodBotryosphaerialesBotryosphaeriaceaePhyllosticta73XFungal plant pathogenCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistorema70XFungal plant pathogenDavidiellaceaeCladosprium123-277XFungal plant pathogenDavidiellaceaeConiosprium214XFungal plant pathogenChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosprium94XYeast		Saxifragales	Hamamelidaceae	Hamamelis	155			Х	
FungiAgaricalesAmanita76XMay grow in association with PinaceaeMarasmiaceaeGymnopus70XSaprotrophicPluteaceaeVolvopluteus107XSaprotrophicAgyrialesAgyriaceaeTrapeliopsis87XLichenArthonialesRoccellaceaeDendrographa74-94XLichenAscosphaeralesAscosphaeraceaeBettsia122-144XSoil fungus (sexual stage of Chrysosporium)AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron72XSaprotrophic on dead wood Fungal plant pathogenAuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiotendron72XSaprotrophic on dead wood Fungal plant pathogenCanharellalesHydnaceaePhuloscitat73XFungal plant pathogenCanharellalesHydnaceaeCladosporium123-277XFungus on plantsCapodialesIncertae sedisConisoprium123-277XFungus on plantsChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConisoprium94XYeast		-	Saxifragaceae	Heuchera	149		Х		
MarasmiaceaeGymopus70XSaprotrophicPluteaceaeVolvopluteus107XSaprotrophicAgyrialesAgyriaceaeTrapeliopsis87XLichenArthonialesRoccellaceaeDendrographa74–94XLichenAscosphaeralesAscosphaeraceaeBetisia122–144XSoil fungus (sexual stage of Chrysosporium)AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron72XSaprotrophic on dead woodBotryosphaeriaceaePhylosticta73XFungal plant pathogenCapnodialesHydnaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsCapnodialesDavidiellaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeDavidiellaceaeCladosporium94XYeast	Fungi	Agaricales	Amanitaceae	Amanita	76		Х		May grow in association
MarasmiaceaeGymopus70XSaprotrophicPlutaceaeVolvopluteus107XSaprotrophicAgyrialesAgyriaceaeTrapeliopsis87XLichenArthonialesRoccellaceaeDendrographa74–94XLichenAscosphaeralesAscosphaeraceaeBetisia122–144XSoil fungus (sexual stage of Chrysosporium)AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron72XSaprotrophic on dead woodBotryosphaerialesBotryosphaeriaceaePhyllosticta73XSaprotrophic on dead woodCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistotrema70XSaprotrophic on dead woodCapnodialesDavidiellaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsDavidielaceaeDavidiella214XFungus on plantsChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XYeast									with Pinaceae
PluteaceaeVolvopluteus107XSaprotrophicAgyrialesAgyriaceaeTrapeliopsis87XLichenArthonialesRoccellaceaeDendrographa74–94XLichenAscosphaeralesAscosphaeraceaeBettsia122–144XSoil fungus (sexual stage of Chrysosporium)AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron72XSaprotrophic on dead woodBotryosphaerialesBotryosphaeriaceaePhyllosticta73XFungal plant pathogenCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistotrema70XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsCapnodialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XYeastCystobasidialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidium158–256XXYeast			Marasmiaceae	Gymnopus	70	Х			Saprotrophic
AgyrialesAgyriaceaeTrapliopsis87XLichenArthonialesRoccellaceaeDendrographa74–94XLichenAscosphaeralesAscosphaeraceaeBettsia122–144XSoil fungus (sexual stage of Chrysosporium)AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron72XSaprotrophic on dead woodBotryosphaerialesBotryosphaeriaceaePhyllosticta73XFungal plant pathogenCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistotrema70XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeDavidiella214XFungal plant pathogenChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XCystobasidialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiaceaeXYeast			Pluteaceae	Volvopluteus	107	Х			Saprotrophic
ArthonialesRoccellaceaeDendrographa74–94XLichenAscosphaeralesAscosphaeraceaeBettsia122–144XSoil fungus (sexual stage of Chrysosporium)AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron72XSaprotrophic on dead woodBotryosphaerialesBotryosphaeriaceaePhyllosticta73XFungal plant pathogenCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistotrema70XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeDavidiella214XFungal plant pathogenChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XCystobasidialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiaceaeXYeast		Agyriales	Agyriaceae	Trapeliopsis	87	Х			Lichen
AscosphaeralesAscosphaeraceaeBettsia122–144XSoil fungus (sexual stage of Chrysosporium)AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron72XSaprotrophic on dead woodBotryosphaerialesBotryosphaeriaceaePhyllosticta73XFungal plant pathogenCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistotrema70XFungus on plantsCapnodialesDavidiellaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeDavidiella214XFungal plant pathogenChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XYeastCystobasidialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiaueae158–256XXYeast		Arthoniales	Roccellaceae	Dendrographa	74–94		Х		Lichen
AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron72XSaprotrophic on dead woodBotryosphaerialesBotryosphaeriaceaePhyllosticta73XFungal plant pathogenCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistotrema70XFungus on plantsCapnodialesDavidiellaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeDavidiella214XFungual plant pathogenChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XCystobasidialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiaum158–256XXYeast		Ascosphaerales	Ascosphaeraceae	Bettsia	122–144	Х			Soil fungus (sexual stage
AuricularialesIncertae sedisBasidiodendron72XSaprotrophic on dead woodBotryosphaerialesBotryosphaeriaceaePhyllosticta73XFungal plant pathogenCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistotrema70XFungus on plantsCapnodialesDavidiellaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeDavidiella214XFungus pathogenChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XCystobasidialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiaueae158–256XXYeast		1	1						of Chrysosporium)
BotryosphaerialesBotryosphaeriaceaePhyllosticta73XFungal plant pathogenCantharellalesHydnaceaeSistotrema70XFungus on plantsCapnodialesDavidiellaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeDavidiella214XFungal plant pathogenChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XYeastCystobasidialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiaceae158–256XXYeast		Auriculariales	Incertae sedis	Basidiodendron	72	Х			Saprotrophic on dead wood
Cantharellales CapnodialesHydnaceaeSistotrema70XDavidiellaceae DavidiellaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeDavidiella214XFungal plant pathogenChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XYeastCystobasidialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiauea158–256XXYeast		Botryosphaeriales	Botryosphaeriaceae	Phyllosticta	73	Х			Fungal plant pathogen
CapnodialesDavidiellaceaeCladosporium123–277XFungus on plantsDavidiellaceaeDavidiella214XFungal plant pathogenChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XCystobasidialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiaum158–256XXYeast		Cantharellales	Hydnaceae	Sistotrema	70	Х			
DavidiellaceaeDavidiella214XFungal plant pathogenChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XCystobasidialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidiaum158–256XXYeast		Capnodiales	Davidiellaceae	Cladosporium	123–277	Х			Fungus on plants
ChaetothyrialesIncertae sedisConiosporium94XCystobasidialesCystobasidiaceaeCystobasidium158–256XXYeast			Davidiellaceae	Davidiella	214		Х		Fungal plant pathogen
Cystobasidiales Cystobasidiaceae Cystobasidium 158–256 X X Yeast		Chaetothyriales	Incertae sedis	Coniosporium	94	Х			
		Cystobasidiales	Cystobasidiaceae	Cystobasidium	158–256	Х	Х		Yeast

=

# Table 6. (Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2017.93 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Higher taxonomic group	Order	Family	Identification	Hit length (base pairs)	A1	A2	A3	Comments
	Dothideales	Dothioraceae	Aureobasidium	168–289	Х	Х		Yeast
	Helotiales	indet.	indet.	72–272	Х	Х	Х	
		Incertae sedis	Tetracladium	256	Х			
	Hypocreales	Clavicipitaceae	Epichloe	304–325	Х	Х		Endophyte on cool temperate grasses
			Neotyphodium	287		Х		Endophyte on cool temperate grasses
		Hypocreaceae	Trichoderma	77	Х			Soil fungus
	Incertae sedis	Incertae sedis	Cotvlidia	76			Х	Soil fungus or on plant material
			Geomvces	113-276	Х	Х		5 I I
			Hvmenula	251	Х			Fungal plant pathogen
			Variocladium	91	Х			Freshwater fungus
		Mvxotrichaceae	Pseudogymnoascus	233–290	X		Х	Saprotrophic
		Plectosphaerellaceae	Plectosphaerella	76	X			
	Lecanorales	Byssolomataceae	Micarea	87		Х		Lichen
		Lecanoraceae	Circinaria	71		X		Lichen
		Livenioraveae	Lecanora	76–95		X		Lichen
			Lecidella	73–105		X		Lichen
		Parmeliaceae	Parmelia	75-76	Х	X		Lichen
			Parmelina	82-104		Х		Lichen
			Punctelia	107	Х			Lichen
		Psoraceae	Psora	73		Х		Lichen
		Ramalinaceae	Bacidia	143	Х			Lichen
			Biatora	114		Х		Lichen
		Stereocaulaceae	Lepraria	76-82	х		х	Lichen
	Lecideales	Lecideaceae	Lecidea	72		Х		Lichen
	Leucosporidiales	Leucosporidiaceae	Leucosporidiella	252-346		X		
	F	r	Leucosporidium	324-343		X		
	Malasseziales	Malasseziaceae	Malassezia	384		X		Skin fungus
	Microascales	Incertae sedis	Sphaeronaemella	81		X		Fungal parasite of fungi
	niner ouse unes	Microascaceae	indet.	123-317		X	х	r ungur parasite of rangi
		11101040040040	Wardomycopsis	117		X		Soil fungus
	Mortierellales	Mortierellaceae	Mortierella	183-392	x	x		Soil fungus
	Mucorales	Mucoraceae	Mucor	276-306	X	11		Soil or dung fungus
	Mycocaliciales	Mycocaliciaceae	Chaenotheconsis	120-126	X		x	Saprobes parasites or commensals
	wijeocanenales	Mycoculicitecte	Chachoinecopsis	120 120	11		11	on lichens and free-living algae
	Onvgenales	Onvgenaceae	Chrysosporium	194-276	х	х	х	Soil fungus
	Peltigerales	Pannariaceae	Parmeliella	72–101	x			Lichen
	Pezizales	Morchellaceae	Morchella	108	n -	Х		May grow in association
		Duronamatacaaa	Chailymania	216 267		v		with I maccae
	Diagenorales	I yronemataecae	Ascochyta	103 262	v	Λ		May be pathogenic to grasses
	Fieosporaies	incertae seuis	Didumalla	74 270		v		Fungue on plants
			Phoma	14-219	A V	Λ		Soil fungus
	Phizocomoles	Catillariaceae	Toninia	195 82	A V			Lichen
	Sorderialas	Canhalathaaaaaaa	Dhialamonium	02 80				
	Soluanaies	Lasiosphaeriaceae	Podospora	122	X			Dung fungus

Higher taxonomic group	Order	Family	Identification	Hit length (base pairs)	A1	A2	A3	Comments
	Sporidiobolales	Incertae sedis	Rhodosporidium	73-355	X	X	X	Yeast
	Teloschistales	Caliciaceae	Rhodotorula Calicium	122–352 100	××	X	×	Y east Lichen
		Teloschistaceae	Caloplaca	89			X	Lichen
	Thelephorales	Bankeraceae	Phellodon	89		Х		May grow in association
		Thelephoraceae	Tomentella	73–90	Х	X		Fundus on dead wood
	Tremellales	Incertae sedis	Biatoropsis	108		Х		Lichen
			Cryptococcus	105 - 351	Х	Х	X	Yeast
			Tremella	76–88	Х	Х		Fungal parasite of fungi
	Tubeufiales	Tubeufiaceae	Tubeufia	121	Х			
	Xylariales	Xylariaceae	Biscogniauxia	71		Х		Fungus on plants
Bacteria	Enterobacteriales	Enterobacteriaceae	Rahnella	73–197		X		Freshwater bacterium
	Pseudomonadales	Moraxellaceae	Acinetobacter	89		Х		Soil bacterium
		Pseudomonadaceae	Pseudomonas	131–302		Х		

Even though tree taxa were present in pollen assemblages, they are all genera with wind-dispersed pollen grains, meaning they could have originated from trees far away from the midden (i.e., long distance transport). Phytoliths indicate local vegetation; they are deposited into soils at the site of plant decay. Thus, the phytoliths found in the midden (Fig. 9) were from locally derived plants. The presence of tree phytoliths indicates that a microrefugium harboring trees was present near the Little Blanche Creek midden during the Wisconsinan glaciation. The complementarity of the pollen, spores, and aDNA documented that these tree assemblages were composed of *Populus* and *Picea*.

Abundances of macro- and microfossils from midden materials are difficult to interpret in terms of vegetation composition because of the selection made by ground squirrels (Zazula et al., 2006a; Fig. 6 and 9) and the variability in productivity of fossil material between taxa. For example, some plants are known to produce more pollen than others in relation to their basal area within the landscape (Davis, 1963; Jacobson and Bradshaw, 1981). Poaceae are known to produce large amounts of pollen. The occurrence of some Poaceae grains still packed together in anther tissue demonstrated that Poaceae pollen in our samples is over-represented, which has also been shown in other studies from this setting (Gravendeel et al., 2014). Because Poaceae are also prolific phytolith producers, we are unable to verify the over-representation of Poaceae pollen in our samples.

High abundances of reworked pollen and *Glomus* chlamydospores (Fig. 6), which are formed below the soil surface (Anderson et al., 1984; Kołaczek et al., 2013), were found in the A7 sediment sample. The reworked pollen indicates that the sediment sample likely encompasses a time span larger than the microfossils found in nest material samples. Reworked *Sphagnum* spores were also found in A7. These may be significantly older than any of the other pollen and spores, as they do not fit, from an ecological viewpoint, in the obtained microfossil spectra. As a whole, these results demonstrate that sediments or loess deposits nearby middens should be analyzed in conjunction with midden material to provide a more comprehensive view of past vegetation patterns, keeping in mind the age difference between the midden itself and (the older) surrounding sediment due to the animal digging down.

The macro- and microfossil analyses were in broad agreement regarding the taxa present in the sediment sample, nest material, and fecal pellet samples (Table 4, Fig. 6 and 9). The DCA analysis of pollen and phytoliths, however, showed separations of samples differently (Fig. 8). For example, the A5 sample had a similar composition to other nest material samples in the pollen DCA, but was very dissimilar to other nest material samples in the phytolith DCA (Fig. 8). This difference actually shows the complementarity of the proxies to provide a more robust reconstruction than either proxy alone. The pollen assemblages can more reliably distinguish tree and herbaceous taxa, whereas the phytoliths are more sensitive to detecting changes in the composition of grass communities (Piperno, 2006; Morcote-Ríos et al., 2015). A combined DCA that incorporated both proxies was not

[able 6. (Continued)

possible in our analysis because there were too few overlapping samples. Also, the variation of the pollen spectra from the fecal pellets suggested slight differences in the diet of the microtine rodents. These pellets may not have been dropped simultaneously, and suggest repeated visits by microtine rodents to the arctic ground squirrel midden.

The high yield of aDNA shows the significant potential of the Pleistocene arctic ground squirrel middens as sources of species or genus-specific paleoecological reconstructions. Of the 19 previously unrecorded genera identified via aDNA analyses, 9 currently occur in the Yukon Territory (Cody, 2000; VASCAN, 2016). Of the 10 that do not, 8 are currently found elsewhere in Canada (VASCAN, 2016). Most of the newly recorded genera are members of the large and complex families Asteraceae (5 genera), Poaceae (3), and Rosaceae (5), which are many times indistinguishable using traditional macrofossil or microfossil analyses. These results highlight the advantage of including aDNA as a proxy in paleoecological reconstructions when possible. The NCBI GenBank and the UNITE Fungal ITS Database are continuously growing and therefore future analyses with aDNA from paleoecological archives will increase in potential to identify macro- and microfossil remains at the genus or species level. aDNA from an array of plants and animals (e.g., Elias, 2010) in paleoecological archives such as middens are proving valuable in addressing a range of evolutionary and ecological questions. Additional work, specifically with plant aDNA, will undoubtedly reveal additional information about past plant migrations, further detailing the non-analog nature of glacial environments in this region and strengthening the notion of Beringia as a glacial biotic refuge in the Arctic.

# CONCLUSIONS

Here, we used a multiproxy analysis of macrofossils, pollen, fungal spores, phytoliths, and aDNA from a ground squirrel midden to provide information on the glacial landscapes of Beringia. The data generated from the multiproxy approach provided complementary information that provided a more robust reconstruction than any of the individual proxies alone. We also showed that combining the proxies allowed a more in-depth interpretation of regional versus local signals of microfossil assemblages, but also that several subsamples are needed for reliable results. We identified a total of 39 vascular plant and bryophyte and 68 fungal genera from the arctic ground squirrel midden macrofossil and aDNA records. Future studies incorporating a multi-proxy approach of macrofossils, microfossils, and aDNA will add a wealth of additional information on the glacial vegetation in Eastern Beringia. But this approach will also benefit paleoecological studies in other time periods and other geographic regions.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Placer gold miner Miles Carlson allowed us to sample the artic ground squirrel midden and provided guidance and hospitality out in the Klondike goldfields. Dr. Raymond van der Ham (Naturalis Biodiversity Center, The Netherlands) contributed to discussions. Annemarie Philip (Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands) prepared the pollen slides. Jan van Arkel (IBED) prepared photographs and plates; Elza Duijm (NBC) assisted with work on aDNA. Dr. Jacqueline van Leeuwen (University of Bern, Switzerland) helped with identification of some pollen types. Dr. Alberto Reyes suggested literature. Elizabeth Hall and Susan Hewitson (both Yukon Palaeontology Program, Canada) assisted our work at the field site. Thanks to all.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

For supplementary materials referred to in this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2017.93

#### REFERENCES

- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., Lipman, D.J., 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215, 403410.
- Ames, L.M., 1961. A monograph of the Chaetomiaceae. *The United States Army Research and Development Series* 2, 1–125.
- Anderson, R.S., Homola, R.L., Davis, R.B., Jacobson, G.L. Jr., 1984. Fossil remains of the mycorrhizal fungal *Glomus fasciculatum* complex in postglacial lake sediments from Maine. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 62, 2325–2328.
- Beug, H., 2004. Leitfaden der Pollenbestimmung für Mitteleuropa und angrenzende Gebiete. Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, München.
- Birks, H.H., 2007. Plant Macrofossil Introduction. In: Elias, S.A. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 2266–2288.
- Blankenberg, D., von Kuster, G., Coraor, N., Ananda, G., Lazarus, R., Mangan, M., Nekrutenko, A., Taylor, J., 2010. Galaxy: a web-based genome analysis tool for experimentalists. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* Jan, Chapter 19: Unit 19.10.1–21. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1002/0471142727.mb1910s89.
- Blinnikov, M.S., Gaglioti, B.V., Walker, D.A., Wooller, M.J., Zazula, G.D., 2011. Pleistocene graminoid-dominated ecosystems in the Arctic. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 2906–2929.
- Brubaker, L.B., Anderson, P.M., Edwards, M.E., Lozhkin, A.V., 2005. Beringia as a glacial refugium for boreal trees and shrubs: new perspectives from mapped pollen data. *Journal of Biogeography* 32, 833–848.
- Buck, C.L., Barnes, B.M., 1999. Annual cycle of body condition and hibernation in free-living arctic ground squirrels. *Journal of Mammalogy* 80, 430–442.
- Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., Madden, T.L., 2009. BLAST+ architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10, 421.
- Cappers, R.T.J., Bekker, R.M., Jans, J.E.A., 2006. Digitale Zadenatlas van Nederland/Digital Seed Atlas of the Netherlands. Groningen Archaeological Studies 4. Barkhuis Publishing and Groningen University Library, Groningen.
- Cavalier-Smith, T., 1981. Eukaryote kingdoms: seven or nine? *Biosystems* 14, 461–481.
- Chambers, F.M., van Geel, B., van der Linden, M., 2011. Considerations for the preparation of peat samples for palynology, and for the counting of pollen and non-pollen palynomorphs. *Mires and Peat* 7, 1–14.

Cody, W.J., 2000. Flora of the Yukon Territory. National Research Council (NRC) Press, Ottawa, Canada.

- Cooper, A., Poinar, H.N., 2000. Ancient DNA: do it right or not at all. *Science* 289, 1139.
- Crum, H.A., Anderson, L.E., 1981. *Mosses of Eastern North America*. Vol. 1 and 2. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Dahlstrom, J.L., Smith, J.E., Weber, N.S., 2000. Mycorrhiza-like interaction by *Morchella* with species of the Pinaceae in pure culture synthesis. *Mycorrhiza* 9, 279–285.
- Davis, M.B., 1963. On the theory of pollen analysis. *American Journal of Science* 261, 897–912.
- Davis, O.K., 1987. Spores of the dung fungus *Sporormiella*: increased abundance in historic sediments and before Pleistocene megafaunal extinction. *Quaternary Research* 28, 290–294.
- Edwards, M.E., Armbruster, W.S., 1989. A tundra-steppe transition on Kathul Mountain, Alaska, USA. *Arctic and Alpine research* 21, 296–304.
- Elias, S.A., Brigham-Grette, J., 2007. Late Pleistocene Events in Beringia. In: Elias, S.A. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 1057–1066.
- Elias, S.A., 2010. 15 Ancient DNA Studies. *Developments in Quaternary Sciences* 12, 223–228.
- Flora of North America, 2016. Flora of North America Database (accessed April 6, 2016) http://www.efloras.org/flora\_page.aspx? flora\_id=1.
- Froese, D.G., Zazula, G.D., Reyes, A.V., 2006. Seasonality of the late Pleistocene Dawson tephra and exceptional preservation of a buried riparian surface in central Yukon Territory, Canada. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25, 1542–1551.
- Gaglioti, B.V., Barnes, B.M., Zazula, G.D., Beaudoin, A.B., Wooller, M.J., 2011. Late Pleistocene paleoecology of arctic ground squirrel (*Urocitellus parryii*) caches and nests from interior Alaska's mammoth steppe ecosystem, USA. *Quaternary Research* 76, 373–382.
- Geml, J., Laursen, G.A., O'Neill, K., Nusbaum, H.C., Taylor, D.L., 2006. Beringian origins and cryptic speciation events in the fly agaric (*Amanita muscaria*). *Molecular Ecology* 15, 225–239.
- Geml, J., Kauff, F., Laursen, G.A., Taylor, D.L., 2010. Genetic studies point to Beringia as a biodiversity hotspot for high-latitude fungi. *Alaska Park Science* 8, 37–41.
- Giardine, B., Riemer, C., Hardison, R.C., Burhans, R., Elnitski, L., Shah, P., Zhang, Y., et al., 2005. Galaxy: a platform for interactive large-scale genome analysis. *Genome Research* 15, 1451–1455.
- Gill, J.L., McLauchlan, K.K., Skibbe, A.M., Goring, S., Zirbel, C.R., Williams, J.W., 2013. Linking abundances of the dung fungus *Sporormiella* to the density of bison: implications for assessing grazing by megaherbivores in palaeorecords. *Journal of Ecology* 101, 1125–1136.
- Goecks, J., Nekrutenko, A., Taylor, J., The Galaxy Team, 2010. Galaxy: a comprehensive approach for supporting accessible, reproducible, and transparent computational research in the life sciences. *Genome Biology* 11, R86. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-8-r86.
- Gravendeel, B., Protopopov, A., Bull, I., Duijm, E., Gill, F., Nieman, A., Rudaya, N., et al. 2014. Multiproxy study of the last meal of a mid-Holocene Oyogos Yar horse, Sakha Republic, Russia. *The Holocene* 24, 1288–1296.
- Guthrie, R.D., 1990. Frozen Fauna of the Mammoth Steppe: The Story of Blue Babe. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

- Harington, C.R., 2011. Pleistocene vertebrates of the Yukon Territory. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 2341–2354.
- Hasebe, M., Omori, T., Nakazawa, M., Sano, T., Kato, M., Iwatsuki, K., 1994. *rbcL* gene sequences provide evidence for the evolutionary lineages of leptosporangiate ferns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 91, 5730–5734.
- Hofreiter, M., Poinar, H.N., Spaulding, W.G., Bauer, K., Martin, P.S., Possnert, G., Pääbo, S., 2000. A molecular analysis of ground sloth diet through the last glaciation. *Molecular Ecology* 9, 1975–1984.
- Jacobson, G.L., Bradshaw, R.H.W., 1981. The selection of sites for paleovegetational studies. *Quaternary Research* 16, 80–96.
- Juggins, S., 2003. C2 Program Version 1.4. Department of Geography, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK (accessed 4 June 2017). http://www.campus.ncl.ac.uk/staff/ Stephen.Juggins/software/c2home.htm.
- Kapp, R.O., Davis, O.K., King, J.E., 2000. Ronald O. Kapp's Pollen and Spores. 2nd ed. The American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Foundation, College Station, Texas, USA.
- Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., et al., 2012. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28, 1647–1649.
- Kołaczek, P., Zubek, S., Błaszkowski, J., Mleczko, P., Margielewski, W., 2013. Erosion or plant succession — How to interpret the presence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomeromycota) spores in pollen profiles collected from mires. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 189, 29–37.
- Lawton, E., 1971. *Moss Flora of the Pacific Northwest*. The Hattori Botanical Laboratory, Nichinan, Japan.
- Lydolph, M.C., Jacobsen, J., Arctander, P., Gilbert, M.T.P., Gilichinsky, D.A., Hansen, A.J., Willerslev, E., Lange, L., 2005. Beringian paleoecology inferred from permafrost-preserved fungal DNA. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 71, 1012–1017.
- Mann, D.H., Peteet, D.M., Reanier, R.E., Kunz, M.L., 2002. Responses of an arctic landscape to Lateglacial and early Holocene climatic changes: the importance of moisture. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21, 997–1021.
- Matthews, J.V., 1982. East Beringia during Late Wisconsin time: a review of the biotic evidence. In: Hopkins, D.M., Matthews, J.V., Schweger C.E., Young, S.B. (Eds.), *Paleoecology of Beringia*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 127–150.
- Mauquoy, D., van Geel, B., 2007. Mire and peat macros. In: Elias, S.A. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 2315–2336.
- Mauquoy, D., Hughes, P.D.M., van Geel, B., 2011. A protocol for plant macrofossil analysis of peat deposits. *Mires and Peat 7, Article* 6, 1–5.
- McAndrews, J.H., Berti, A.A., Norris, G., 1973. *Key to the Quaternary pollen and spores of the Great Lakes region*. Life Sciences Miscellaneous Publication, Royal Ontario Museum. The University of Toronto Press, Toronto.
- Miola, A., 2012. Tools for Non-Pollen Palynomorphs (NPPs) analysis: a list of Quaternary NPP types and reference literature in English language (1972–2011). *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 186, 142–161.
- Montoya, E., Rull, V., van Geel, B., 2010. Non-pollen palynomorphs from surface sediments along an altitudinal transect of the Venezuelan Andes. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 297, 169–183.
- Morcote-Ríos, G., Giraldo-Cañas, D., Raz, L., 2015. Catálogo ilustrado de fitolitos contemporáneos con énfasis arqueológico y paleoecológico I. Gramíneas amazónicas de Colombia. Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia.

- Piperno, D.R., 2006. Phytoliths: a comprehensive guide for archaeologists and paleoecologists. Alta Mira Press, Lanham, Maryland.
- Pirozynski, K.A., Carter, A., Day, R.G., 1984. Fungal remains in Pleistocene ground squirrel dung from Yukon Territory, Canada. *Quaternary Research* 22, 375–382.
- R Development Core Team, 2011. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Reimer, P.J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J.W., Blackwell, P.G., Bronk, C.B., Buck, C.E., et al., 2013. IntCal13 and marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP. *Radiocarbon* 55, 1869–1887.
- Richardson, M.J., Watling, R., 1997. *Keys to Fungi on Dung*. British Mycological Society, Stourbridge.
- Rohland, N., Hofreiter, M., 2007. Ancient DNA extraction from bones and teeth. *Nature Protocols* 2, 1756–1762.
- Schoch, C.L., Seifert, K.B., Huhndorf, S., Robert, V., Spougea, J.L., Levesque, C.A., Chen, W., Fungal Barcoding Consortium, 2012. Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 109, 6241–6246.
- Soreng, R.J., Gillespie, L.J., Koba, H., Boudko, E., Bull, R.D., 2015. Molecular and morphological evidence for a new grass genus, *Dupontiopsis* (Poaceae tribe Poeae subtribe Poinae s.l.), endemic to alpine Japan, and implications for the reticulate origin of *Dupontia* and *Arctophila* within Poinae s.l. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution* 53, 138–162.
- Stalpers, J.A., 1993. The Aphyllophoraceous fungi I: keys to the species of the Thelephorales. *Studies in Mycology* 35, 1–168.
- Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Pompanon, F., Gielly, L., Miquel, C., Valentini, A., Vermat, T., Corthier, G., Brochmann, C., Willerslev, E., 2007. Power and limitations of the chloroplast *trnL* (UAA) intron for plant DNA barcoding. *Nucleic Acids Research* 35, 1–8.
- The Plant List, 2013. Version 1.1 (accessed March 14, 2016). http:// www.theplantlist.org.
- van Geel, B., 1978. A palaeoecological study of Holocene peat bog sections in Germany and the Netherlands based on the analysis of pollen, spores and macro- and microscopic remains of fungi, algae, cormophytes and animals. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 25, 1–120.
- van Geel, B., Aptroot, A., 2006. Fossil ascomycetes in Quaternary deposits. *Nova Hedwigia* 82, 313–329.
- van Geel, B., Aptroot, A., Baittinger, C., Birks, H.H., Bull, I.D., Cross, H.B., Evershed, R.P., et al., 2008. The ecological implications of a Yakutian mammoth's last meal. *Quaternary Research* 69, 361–376.
- van Geel, B., Buurman, J., Brinkkemper, O., Schelvis, J., Aptroot, A., van Reenen, G., Hakbijl, T., 2003. Environmental reconstruction of a Roman Period settlement site in Uitgeest (the Netherlands), with special reference to coprophilous fungi. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 30, 873–883.
- van Geel, B., Fisher, D.C., Rountrey, A.N., van Arkel, J., Duivenvoorden, J.F., Nieman, A.M., van Reenen, G.B.A., Tikhonov, A.N., Buigues, B., Gravendeel, B., 2011b. Palaeoenvironmental and dietary analysis of intestinal contents of a mammoth calf (Yamal Peninsula, northwest Siberia). *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 3935–3946.
- van Geel, B., Guthrie, R.D., Altmann, J.G., Broekens, P., Bull, I.D., Gill, F.L., Jansen, B., Nieman, A.M., Gravendeel, B., 2011a. Mycological evidence of coprophagy from the feces of an Alaskan Late Glacial mammoth. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 2289–2303.

- van Geel, B., Zazula, G.D., Schweger, C.E., 2007. Spores of coprophilous fungi from under the Dawson tephra (25,300 <sup>14</sup>C years BP), Yukon Territory, northwestern Canada. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 252, 481–485.
- VASCAN. 2016. Database of Vascular Plants of Canada (accessed 7 April 2016) http://data.canadensys.net/vascan/search.
- Vilgalys lab, 2015. Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) Region Primers (accessed 23 October 2015). http://sites.biology.duke. edu/fungi/mycolab/primers.htm#Internal%20transcribed%20 spacer%20%28ITS%29%20region%20primers.
- Vitt, D.H, Buck, W.R., 2001. Bryophyte Flora of North America (accessed March 14, 2016). http://www.mobot.org/plantscience/ BFNA/bfnamenu.htm.
- Westgate, J.A., Preece, S.J., Kotler, E., Hall, S., 2000. Dawson tephra: a prominent stratigraphic marker of Late Wisconsinan age in west-central Yukon, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 37, 621–627.
- White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., Taylor, J., 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J.J. (Eds.), *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications*. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 315–322.
- Willerslev, E. et al., 2014. Fifty thousand years of Arctic vegetation and megafaunal diet. *Nature* 506, 47–51.
- Wooller, M.J., Zazula, G.D., Blinnikov, M., Gaglioti, B.V., Bigelow, N.H., Sandorn, P., Kuzmina, S., La Farge, C., 2011. The detailed palaeoecology of a mid-Wisconsinan interstadial (ca. 32 000 14C a BP) vegetation surface from interior Alaska. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 26, 746–756.
- Zazula, G.D., Froese, D.G., Elias, S.A., Kuzmina, S., La Farge, C., Reyes, A.V., Sanborn, P.T., Schweger, C.E., Smith, C.A.S., Mathewes, R.W., 2006b. Vegetation buried under Dawson tephra (25,300<sup>-14</sup>C years BP) and locally diverse late Pleistocene paleoenvironments of Goldbottom Creek, Yukon, Canada. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 242, 253–286.
- Zazula, G.D., Froese, D.G., Elias, S.A., Kuzmina, S., Mathewes, R.W., 2007. Arctic ground squirrels of the mammoth-steppe: paleoecology of middens from the last glaciation, Yukon Territory, Canada. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26, 979–1003.
- Zazula, G.D., Froese, D.G., Elias, S.A., Kuzmina, S., Mathewes, R.W., 2011. Early Wisconsinan (MIS 4) Arctic ground squirrel middens and a squirrel-eye-view of the mammoth-steppe. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 2220–2237.
- Zazula, G.D., Froese, D.G., Westgate, J.A., La Farge, C., Mathewes, R.W., 2005. Paleoecology of Beringian "packrat" middens from central Yukon Territory. *Quaternary Research* 63, 189–198.
- Zazula, G.D., Mathewes, R.W., Harestad, A.S., 2006a. Cache Selection by Arctic Ground Squirrels Inhabiting Boreal-steppe Meadows of Southwest Yukon Territory, Canada. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 38, 631–638.
- Zazula, G.D., Schweger, C.E., Beaudoin, A.B., McCourt, G.H., 2006c. Macrofossil and pollen evidence for full-glacial steppe within an ecological mosaic along the Bluefish River, eastern Beringia. *Quaternary International* 142–143, 2–19.
- Zazula, G.D., Telka, A.M., Harington, C.R., Schweger, C.E., Mathewes, R.W., 2006d. New spruce (*Picea* spp.) macrofossils from Yukon Territory: implications for Late Pleistocene refugia in Eastern Beringia. *Arctic* 59, 391–400.
- Zimov, S.A., Zimov, N.S., Chapin, F.S. III, 2012. Chapter 10: The past and future of the Mammoth Steppe Ecosystem. In: Louys, J. (Ed.), *Paleontology in Ecology and Conservation*. Springer Earth System Sciences, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 193–225.