Changes in pollinator assemblages following hurricanes affect the mating system of *Laguncularia racemosa* (Combretaceae) in Florida, USA

Carol L. Landry

Department of Evolution, Ecology, and Organismal Biology, The Ohio State University, Mansfield, Ohio, USA (Received 4 February 2013; revised 15 April 2013; accepted 17 April 2013)

Abstract: Hurricanes are major disturbance events in Neotropical mangrove communities, potentially affecting the reproductive success of mangrove species. This was the first investigation of changes in mangrove pollinator assemblages following hurricanes, and the effect of these changes on the mating system of *Laguncularia racemosa*. Insect pollinator assemblages were investigated in three Florida mangrove communities in 2001–2003, 2005 and 2009; two hurricanes affected the area in 2004. Visitation rates were estimated from 1445 insects observed during 272 10-min intervals; the number of flowers visited by each insect was also recorded. Pollinator diversity was estimated with the Shannon Index. Following the hurricanes, species richness was reduced by 43–65% and diversity declined by 36–70%. Significant declines in insect visitation to *L. racemosa* resulted in reduced outcrossing frequencies in 2005. *Laguncularia racemosa* flowers autogamously self-pollinate without insect visitors, so fruit set still occurred. Visitation rates returned to pre-hurricane levels by 2009, but foraging behaviours differed from pre-hurricane patterns; outcrossing was further favoured by reduced frequencies of long foraging bouts and increased frequencies of short foraging bouts. The mixed mating system of *L. racemosa* provides reproductive assurance following hurricane disturbances, when pollinator abundance is low.

Key Words: *Apis mellifera, Euodynerus,* hurricane effects, mixed mating system, *Palpada albifrons, Sphex jamaicensis, Xylocopa virginica*

INTRODUCTION

In general, little is known about the effects of widespread catastrophic disturbance events on plant reproductive success. Pollinator limitation has been reported immediately following some hurricanes in Caribbean communities (Gardner *et al.* 1992, Rathcke 2000, 2001), but the frequency of pollination was unchanged following others (Bronstein & Hossaert-McKey 1995, Cane 1997). In contrast, bee diversity and plant reproductive success were higher in fire-driven Mediterranean landscapes 1-5 y after fires compared with areas 6-20 y after fires (Potts *et al.* 2004); in this case, the difference was correlated with the disappearance of early-successional species.

Hurricanes are major disturbance events in mangrove communities, with high winds and storm surge that cause significant structural damage (Imbert *et al.* 1996, Sherman *et al.* 2001, Smith *et al.* 2009). Hurricanes can

also have positive effects, if the plants take advantage of increased precipitation and nutrient availability (Attiwill 1994), or if damage results in an increase in habitat for seedling recruitment (Pascarella 1998, Rathcke & Landry 2003, Landry unpubl. data). Although hurricane frequency varies over time, hurricanes are regular events in the Caribbean region (Shaklee 1996), and long-lived woody mangrove species are likely to experience multiple hurricanes during their lifetimes (Tomlinson 1994).

Hurricane disturbance is also an important factor responsible for fluctuating insect populations in tropical coastal communities (Gandhi *et al.* 2007), but previous work is limited and the results are mixed. Flying insects were among the first organisms to recolonize one area following hurricane-associated surge (Gardner *et al.* 1992), and in another community, populations of generalist pollinators persisted following hurricanes despite the loss of some plant species previously used for floral resources (Roubik & Villanueva-Gutierrez 2009). In contrast, Landry (2011) reported the loss of native pollinator species from one community following hurricanes, although floral visitation rates

Corresponding author. Email: landry.26@osu.edu

rebounded due to an increase in non-native *Apis mellifera* individuals.

The purpose of this study was two-fold: to investigate the effects of hurricanes on pollinator species assemblages; and to document changes in pollination interactions with Laguncularia racemosa (L.) C.F. Gaertn. (Combretaceae) that could affect the mating system. Pollination interactions documented in three populations of L. racemosa following two hurricanes that made landfall in September 2004 were compared with previously published data (Landry & Rathcke 2012). Two factors influence floral visitation rate, the frequency of animal visitors and the number of flowers visited during each within-plant foraging bout. A decrease in the number of animal visitors decreases the number of vectors carrying pollen from another plant, which can decrease outcrossing frequency (Ashman et al. 2004). In this study, the frequency of floral visitors was expected to decline in 2005 and to return to pre-hurricane numbers over time. Further, the mating system of L. racemosa could be affected if the relative abundance of pollinators with different foraging behaviours is altered (Landry & Rathcke 2012, Whelan et al. 2009). For example, as proportionately fewer insects visit a large number of flowers per foraging bout, the frequency of geitonogamous self-pollination decreases (Karron et al. 2009, Snow et al. 1996). Some insect species were expected to have greater survivorship or faster rates of recovery following the hurricanes due to differences in their life-history traits, as has been found in other studies of ecological disturbance (Williams et al. 2010).

STUDY SPECIES

Laguncularia racemosa is an insect-pollinated shrub or tree found in mangrove forests throughout the Neotropics and north-western Africa (Tomlinson 1994). The species has a variable breeding system (Landry *et al.* 2009); some populations are androdioecious (Landry & Rathcke 2007), with male and hermaphroditic plants, while other populations are hermaphrodite-only. Laguncularia racemosa also has a mixed mating system; hermaphroditic flowers can only be outcrossed if visited by an animal pollinator, but they are also self-fertile (Landry & Rathcke 2007) and in the absence of floral visitors, they autogamously self-pollinate (Landry 2005). Landry & Rathcke (2007) found an inbreeding coefficient of -0.03in one population included in this study. Laguncularia racemosa flowers are most commonly visited by bees, wasps and flies, with occasional visits by butterflies and beetles (Landry & Rathcke 2012). Pollen carryover has not been estimated for L. racemosa, and is likely to be different for insect visitors with different anatomical features.



Figure 1. Map of Florida, USA, showing locations of communities, approximate hurricane tracks and approximate sizes of the hurricane eyes as they passed over the east coast of Florida. Communities (*), listed in geographic order from north to south: Sebastian Inlet State Recreation Area, Brevard County; Environmental Learning Center, Wabasso Island, Indian River County; Florida Atlantic University-Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute, Ft. Pierce, St. Lucie County. Tracks of Hurricanes Frances and Jeanne are represented with a single solid line. Circles indicate the furthest extent of the eye-wall of each hurricane as it made landfall: Frances, solid-line circle; Jeanne, dotted-line circle. Hurricane data from NOAA National Hurricane Center Archives (Bevin 2004, Lawrence & Cobb 2005).

METHODS

Insect visitors and their foraging behaviours were recorded in three hermaphrodite-only L. racemosa populations located on the eastern coast of Florida, USA (Figure 1; listed in geographic order from north to south, with abbreviations in parentheses): Sebastian Inlet State Recreation Area, Brevard County (SEB); Environmental Learning Center, Wabasso Island, Indian River County (WAB); Florida Atlantic University-Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute, Ft. Pierce, St. Lucie County (HBR). Hurricanes Frances (Category 2) and Jeanne (Category 3) made landfall on the east Florida coast on 5 September and 26 September 2004, respectively (Bevin 2004, Lawrence & Cobb 2005). The two hurricanes followed similar tracks, and each was centred over the southern end of Hutchinson Island when it made landfall, 32 km south of HBR (Figure 1).

Insect assemblages

Insects were observed on 4-18 d during the time period 30 June–16 July in 2001–2003, 2005 and 2009, during the peak of the *L. racemosa* flowering season, when the species provided most of the floral resources available

in the mangrove community. Observations were made on days when weather conditions were typical, with partly sunny to partly cloudy skies, light winds, and high temperatures 32–35 °C. Landry & Rathcke (2012) reported pollinator assemblages and foraging behaviours in these L. racemosa populations in 2001–2003; in this paper, the published results were compared with data collected in the same manner after the hurricanes. During each time period, insect visitors were observed on 15-30 plants located along a single 1.0-km transect or two 0.5-km transects, depending on the population; each plant was at least 5 m from all other plants included in the study. A watch zone was established for each tree every day, and the number of open flowers in the watch zone was estimated so direct comparisons could be made between plants with different floral densities. Only insects that made physical contact with anthers and/or stigmas when probing flowers were considered potential pollinators and included in this analysis.

Species richness of the pollinator assemblages and the relative abundances of pollinator species visiting L. racemosa flowers in each community were compared to determine whether the pollinator assemblages were different following the hurricanes. The Shannon Diversity Index was used to compare pre- and post-hurricane pollinator diversity in each community. The relative abundances of pollinator species were used to estimate their importance to L. racemosa pollination. Insect vouchers were collected in 2001-2003 and identified by Mark O'Brien, Collections Manager, University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (UMMZ), Insect Division; voucher specimens are housed at the UMMZ. Foraging insects observed in 2005 and 2009 were identified in the field, based on specimens collected in 2001-2003 and photographs of voucher specimens.

Insect visitation rates

Insect visitors were observed during 10-min intervals between 9h00 and 17h00; the number of 10-min intervals varied from 27–36 in each population and year. Insect visitation rates were estimated for each interval, and average insect visitation rates were calculated for each year in each population. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using Systat 12 to test for differences in insect visitation rates between populations and years.

Insect foraging bouts

An insect's within-plant foraging bout was defined as the total number of flowers that were probed by the insect on a single plant before the insect left that plant. The

Table 1. Laguncularia racemosa pollinator diversity estimates and the number of pollinator species observed (species richness) for all communities before and after Hurricanes Frances and Jeanne (2004). Data from Landry & Rathcke (2012) used for 2001–2003 index values.

Community	Shannon Diversity Index (Species Richness)		
	2001-2003	2005	2009
Sebastian Inlet (SEB)	2.19 (14)	1.99 (11)	1.41 (8)
Wabasso Island (WAB)	1.68 (20)	1.69 (9)	0.90(7)
Harbor Branch (HBR)	1.81(18)	1.50(11)	0.55(7)

numbers of flowers probed by 1445 foraging insects were recorded. Using the method employed by Landry & Rathcke (2012), the foraging-bout distributions were divided into four quartiles in order to isolate the smallest and largest foraging bouts, which are included in the first and fourth quartiles, respectively. Two-way ANOVA was used to test for differences in foraging-bout distributions between populations and years. One-way ANOVA was used to test for differences in the number of first and fourth quartile foraging bouts made to plants before and after the hurricanes.

RESULTS

In all communities, the diversity of insect visitors to *L. racemosa* declined 36–70% in the 5 y after the hurricanes, and species richness was reduced 43–65% over the same time period (Table 1). Changes in the relative abundances of five species were important to *L. racemosa* in at least two communities following the hurricanes (Appendix 1), four hymenopterans (*Apis mellifera* Linnaeus and *Xylocopa virginica* Linnaeus (Apidae), *Sphex jamaicensis* (Drury) (Sphecidae), and a *Euodynerus* species (Vespidae)) and one dipteran (*Palpada albifrons* (Wiedemann) (Syrphidae)). The disappearances of a *Melissodes* species and a *Bombus* species (Apidae) also contributed to changes in relative abundance (Appendix 1). Diversity and species richness increased with distance from the storm centres (Table 1).

The rate of insect visitation to *L. racemosa* flowers declined significantly in 2005, but returned to prehurricane levels by 2009 (Figure 2; F-ratio = 50.6, df = 2, P < 0.001). Over time, the fraction of foraging bouts in the fourth quartile was reduced significantly (Figure 3; F-ratio = 11.7, df = 2, P = 0.008), but the reduction was greatest between 2005 and 2009. There was also a non-significant increase in the fraction of foraging bouts in the first quartile over time (Figure 3; F-ratio = 2.97, df = 2, P = 0.125).

DISCUSSION

Reductions in the diversity of pollinators visiting *L*. *racemosa* flowers and insect visitation rates observed in



Figure 2. Insect visitation rates in three *Laguncularia racemosa* populations affected by Hurricanes Frances and Jeanne (2004): Sebastian Inlet (SEB), Wabasso Island (WAB) and Harbor Branch (HBR). Overall, visitation rates declined significantly between 2001-2003 and 2005, and increased significantly between 2005 and 2009 (P < 0.001). 2001–2003 data from Landry & Rathcke (2012). n = number of 10-min time intervals.

2005 are likely a direct result of the hurricanes. Mature insects, in addition to eggs, larvae and pupae, could have been killed or carried away by high winds, rain or storm surge. The hurricanes would have stripped flowers as well as foliage from the plants (Smith *et al.*)

2009), reducing floral resources and forcing survivors to leave the area. While HBR was closest to the storm centres, storm intensity does not explain why HBR suffered the greatest reduction in diversity. Maximum wind speeds experienced by these communities during Hurricane Frances declined with distance from the centre of the hurricane (Bevin 2004). However, maximum wind speeds during Hurricane Jeanne were greater in the communities further away from the hurricane centre (Lawrence & Cobb 2005). Diversity increased with distance from the mainland; despite fewer insect visitors to L. racemosa, the SEB assemblage remained the most diverse following the hurricanes. The SEB and WAB communities are located on islands surrounded by plant communities that could provide additional resources for recovering insect populations. The HBR community is smaller than the other communities, and is located on the mainland at Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute, Florida Atlantic University campus. Human activity at HBR following the hurricanes, including clean-up of the grounds and repair work on buildings, may also be responsible for the decline in pollinator diversity at this location.

The mixed mating strategy observed in these populations allowed *L. racemosa* to maximize reproductive success in a stochastic environment with inconsistent pollination services between years. Floral production was typical in 2005, the year following the hurricanes, but



Number of flowers visited during foraging bout

Figure 3. Mean proportion of floral visits made to plants in three *Laguncularia racemosa* populations during three time periods in each of four categories, representing four quartiles: insects that visited 1–2 flowers per foraging bout. 1st quartile; insects that visited 3 flowers, 2nd quartile; insects that visited 4–7 flowers, 3rd quartile; and insects that visited 8–41 flowers, 4th quartile. There was a significant decline in the frequency of 4th quartile foraging bouts (P = 0.008) and a non-significant increase in the frequency of 1st quartile foraging bouts (P = 0.125) following the hurricanes, which increased outcrossing frequencies. The dotted line represents the expected frequency of foraging bouts in each quartile if the distribution is even. 2001-2003 data from Landry & Rathcke (2012). For each category and year, n = three populations.

significant reductions in floral visitation rates reduced outcrossing. Previous work demonstrated that there were no differences in fruit set or early survivorship of selfed and outcrossed progeny at WAB (Landry & Rathcke 2007), so autogamous self-pollination (Landry 2005) provided reproductive assurance for *L. racemosa* when pollination services were limited. Insect visitation rates increased by 2009, but the foraging behaviours of the dominant species were different from those made before the hurricanes. In 2009, more pollinators made short foraging bouts, which increased the frequency of outcrossing, and fewer pollinators made long foraging bouts, which reduced the frequency of geitonogamous self-pollination (Snow *et al.* 1996).

The continued decline in species richness of pollinator assemblages in 2009 was not expected, and could be due to a number of factors. Since most of the 24 insect species observed before the hurricanes were infrequent visitors to *L. racemosa* flowers, it is possible that some of these species were present following the hurricanes, but not seen during observation times due to very low abundance. However, species-specific characteristics related to pollinator survivorship and recolonization rates could also be important in explaining changes in the pollinator assemblages visiting *L. racemosa* following the hurricanes.

Apis mellifera were the most common visitors in all communities before the hurricanes. By 2009 A. *mellifera* was clearly the dominant species, although their numbers declined in 2005, as was true for most species. The rapid recovery and increase in the number of A. mellifera individuals observed in these communities could be due to feral colonies escaping into natural areas from nearby citrus groves, which are pollinated by large numbers of commercial bees brought into the area (Bureau of Plant and Apiary Inspection 2008). The closer proximity of HBR to agricultural lands could be responsible for the larger increase in A. mellifera in this community. Apis mellifera is known to outcompete native pollinators for nectar and pollen resources and to reduce native bee fecundity (Goulson 2003, Goulson & Sparrow 2009, Paini & Roberts 2005, Rivera-Marchand & Ackerman 2006, Thomson 2004), so rapid growth of the A. mellifera population may have resulted in reduced resource availability for other pollinator species, slowing the recovery of their populations. In 2007, feral colonies of Africanized A. mellifera were documented in the region (http://www.ars.usda.gov/Research/docs.htm?docid= 11059&page=6). Africanized colonies grow faster and swarm more frequently than European colonies because they are more focused on resource acquisition (Schneider et al. 2004), which could have intensified the effect of competition on native pollinators.

Native species that increased in frequency following the hurricanes were able to take advantage of the disturbance. Xylocopa species are considered important pollinators in areas prone to disturbance because of their resourcefulness and flexibility in nesting and foraging behaviours (Rivera-Marchand & Ackerman 2006); they frequently use cavities in wood as nest chambers (Gerling & Hermann 1978), which are created when hurricanes damage mature trees and wooden structures. Increases in nesting habitat caused by flooding and damage to trees may also have resulted in the increased frequency of Palpada albifrons, since many species in the subfamily Eristalinae lay eggs in water associated with decaying wood (Maier 1982, 1987). Human activities such as clearing dead trees and repairing buildings during the years following the hurricanes could have reduced nesting sites for *Xulocopa* and *Palpada* by 2009, when they were less frequent. In addition, increased availability of prey species could have been responsible for the rapid recovery of Sphex jamaicensis and the Euodynerus species. These wasp species have flexible nesting requirements and prey on herbivorous orthopterans (Genaro 1998) and lepidopteran larvae (Krombein et al. 1979), respectively. Herbivore populations can increase in years following hurricanes if the species can take advantage of the flush of new leaves (Schowalter 1994, Torres 1992), although herbivore populations can also decline immediately following disturbance (Koptur et al. 2002).

Native species that have not been observed since the hurricanes could have had low survivorship or may have limited dispersal ability. For example, apid *Melissodes* and *Bombus* species are groundnesters that use wax in the construction of their nest cells (Cameron *et al.* 1996, Michener 1974). Waxes may provide protection from saturated soils under normal conditions (Cane 1997), but may not have been sufficient protection from the hurricane-associated storm surge and subsequent flooding. Native species might recover given enough time, as long as they are able to obtain the resources they need in a community dominated by *A. mellifera*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was performed with permission from: Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute – Florida Atlantic University, Ft. Pierce, Florida; Environmental Learning Center, Vero Beach, Florida; and Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Recreation and Parks, District 3 (Permit No. 06-03-02-2, Sebastian Inlet State Park). Special thanks to Nancy B. Elliott, Siena College (retired), and Beverly J. Rathcke, University of Michigan (deceased), for discussions concerning insect behaviours and comments that improved this manuscript; Mark O'Brien, Collections Manager for the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology – Insect Division, for insect identifications; and Mark Kaufmann for assistance with field observations.

LITERATURE CITED

- ASHMAN, T.-L., KNIGHT, T. M., STEETS, J. A., AMARASEKARE, P., BURD, M., CAMPBELL, D. R., DUDASH, M. R., JOHNSTON, M. O., MAZER, S. J., MITCHELL, R. J., MORGAN, M. T. & WILSON, W. G. 2004. Pollen limitation of plant reproduction: ecological and evolutionary causes and consequences. *Ecology* 85:2408– 2421.
- ATTIWILL, P. M. 1994. The disturbance of forest ecosystems: the ecological basis for conservation management. *Forest Ecology and Management* 63:247–300.
- BEVIN, J. L. 2004. Tropical cyclone report: Hurricane Frances 25 August–8 September 2004. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration – National Hurricane Center, Miami. 28 pp.
- BRONSTEIN, J. L. & HOSSAERT-MCKEY, M. 1995. Hurricane Andrew and a Florida fig pollination mutualism: resilience of an obligate interaction. *Biotropica* 27:373–381.
- BUREAU OF PLANT AND APIARY INSPECTION. 2008. *Annual report*. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Gainesville. 33 pp.
- CAMERON, S. A., WHITFIELD, J. B., HULSLANDER, C. L., CRESKO, W. A., ISENBERG, S. B. & KING, R. W. 1996. Nesting biology and foraging patterns of the solitary bee *Melissodes rustica* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in northwest Arkansas. *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society* 69(Supplement 2):260–273.
- CANE, J. H. 1997. Violent weather and bees: populations of the barrier island endemic, *Hesperapis oraria* (Hymenoptera: Melittidae) survive a category 3 hurricane. *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society* 70:73–75.
- GANDHI, K. J. K., Gilmore, D. W., KATOVICH, S. A., MATTSON, W. J., SPENCE, J. R. & SEYBOLD, S. J. 2007. Physical effects of weather events on the abundance and diversity of insects in North American forests. *Environmental Reviews* 15:113–152.
- GARDNER, L. R., MICHENER, W. K., WILLIAMS, T. M., BLOOD, E. R., KJERVE, B., SMOCK, L. A., LIPSCOMB, D. J. & GRESHAM, C. 1992. Disturbance effects of Hurricane Hugo on a pristine coastal landscape: North Inlet, South Carolina, USA. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research* 30:249–263.
- GENARO, J. A. 1998. Behaviour of Sphex jamaicensis during nesting and notes on S. mandibularis and S. cubensis (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae). Caribbean Journal of Science 34:238–242.
- GERLING, D. & HERMANN, H. R. 1978. Biology and mating behaviour of *Xylocopa virginica* L. (Hymenoptera, Anthophoridae). *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology* 3:99–111.
- GOULSON, D. 2003. Effects of introduced bees on native ecosystems. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 34:1–26.
- GOULSON, D. & SPARROW, K. R. 2009. Evidence for competition between honeybees and bumblebees; effects on bumblebee worker size. *Journal of Insect Conservation* 13:177–181.

- IMBERT, D., LABBE, P. & ROUSTEAU, A. 1996. Hurricane damage and forest structure in Guadeloupe, French West Indies. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 12:663–680.
- KARRON, J. D., HOLMQUIST, K. G., FLANAGAN, R. J. & MITCHELL, R. J. 2009. Pollinator visitation patterns strongly influence among-flower variation in selfing rate. *Annals of Botany* 103:1379–1383.
- KOPTUR, S., RODRIGUEZ, M. C., OBERBAURER, S. F., WEEKLEY, C. & HERNDON, A. 2002. Herbivore-free time? Damage to new leaves of woody plants after Hurricane Andrew. *Biotropica* 34:547– 554.
- KROMBEIN, K. V., HURD, P. D., SMITH, D. R. & BURKE, B. D. 1979. Catalog of Hymenoptera in America North of Mexico. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington DC. 681 pp.
- LANDRY, C. L. 2005. *Androdioecy in white mangrove* (Laguncularia racemosa): *maintenance of a rare breeding system through plant–pollinator interactions*. PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor. 166 pp.
- LANDRY, C. L. 2011. Changes in the pollination ecology of white mangrove following Hurricane Wilma (2005). Pp. 77–85 in Cole, E. & Baxter, J. (eds.). *The Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on the Natural History of the Bahamas*. Gerace Research Centre, San Salvador Island.
- LANDRY, C. L. & RATHCKE, B. J. 2007. Do inbreeding depression and relative male fitness explain the maintenance of androdioecy in white mangrove, *Laguncularia racemosa* (Combretaceae)? *New Phytologist* 176:891–901.
- LANDRY, C. L. & RATHCKE, B. J. 2012. Insect visitation rates and foraging patterns differ in androdioecious and hermaphrodite-only populations of *Laguncularia racemosa* (Combretaceae) in Florida. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 28:343–351.
- LANDRY, C. L., RATHCKE, B. J. & KASS, L. B. 2009. Distribution of androdioecious and hermaphroditic populations of the mangrove *Laguncularia racemosa* (Combretaceae) in Florida and the Bahamas. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 25:75–83.
- LAWRENCE, M. B. & COBB, H. D. 2005. Tropical cyclone report: Hurricane Jeanne 13–28 September 2004. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration – National Hurricane Center, Miami. 20 pp.
- MAIER, C. T. 1982. Larval habitats and mate-seeking sites of flower flies (Diptera: Syrphidae, Eristalinae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 84:603–609.
- MAIER, C. T. 1987. New distributional and rearing records for neotropical flower flies (Diptera: Syrphidae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 89:369.
- MICHENER, C. D. 1974. *The social behaviour of the bees*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge. 404 pp.
- PAINI, D. R. & ROBERTS, J. D. 2005. Commercial honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) reduce the fecundity of an Australian native bee (*Hylaeus alcyoneus*). *Biological Conservation* 123:103–112.
- PASCARELLA, J. B. 1998. Hurricane disturbance, plant–animal interactions, and the reproductive success of a tropical shrub. *Biotropica* 30:416–424.
- POTTS, S. G., PETANIDOU, T., ROBERTS, S., O'TOOLE, C., HULBERT, A. & WILLMER, P. 2004. Plant-pollinator biodiversity and pollination services in a complex Mediterranean landscape. *Biological Conservation* 129:519–529.

- RATHCKE, B. J. 2000. Hurricane causes resource and pollination limitation of fruit set in a bird-pollinated shrub. *Ecology* 81:1951– 1958.
- RATHCKE, B. J. 2001. Pollination and predation limit fruit set in a shrub, *Bourreria succulenta* (Boraginaceae), after hurricanes on San Salvador Island, Bahamas. *Biotropica* 33:330–338.
- RATHCKE, B. J. & LANDRY, C. L. 2003. Dispersal and recruitment of white mangrove on San Salvador Island, Bahamas after Hurricane Floyd. *Pp.* 34–40 in Smith, D. L. & Smith, S. (eds.). *Proceedings of the 9th symposium on the natural history of the Bahamas*. Gerace Research Center, San Salvador Island.
- RIVERA-MARCHAND, B. & ACKERMAN, J. D. 2006. Bat pollination breakdown in the Caribbean columnar cactus *Pilosocereus royenii*. *Biotropica* 38:635–642.
- ROUBIK, D. W. & VILLANUEVA-GUTIERREZ, R. 2009. Invasive Africanized honey bee impact on native solitary bees: a pollen resource and trap nest analysis. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 98:152–160.
- SCHNEIDER, S. S., DEGRANDI-HOFFMAN, G. & SMITH, D. R. 2004. The African honey bee: factors contributing to a successful biological invasion. *Annual Review of Entomology* 49:351–376.
- SCHOWALTER, T. D. 1994. Invertebrate community structure and herbivory in a tropical rainforest canopy in Puerto Rico following Hurricane Hugo. *Biotropica* 26:312–319.
- SHAKLEE, R. V. 1996. *Weather and climate San Salvador Island, Bahamas.* Bahamian Field Station, San Salvador Island. 67 pp.

- SHERMAN, R. E., FAHEY, T. J. & MARTINEZ, P. 2001. Hurricane impacts on a mangrove forest in the Dominican Republic: damage patterns and early recovery. *Biotropica* 33:393–408.
- SMITH, T. J., ANDERSON, G. H., BALENTINE, K., TILING, G., WARD, G. A. & WHELAN, K. R. T. 2009. Cumulative impacts of hurricanes on Florida mangrove ecosystems: sediment deposition, storm surges, and vegetation. *Wetlands* 29:24–34.
- SNOW, A. A., SPIRA, T. P., SIMPSON, R. & KLIPS, R. A. 1996. The ecology of geitonogamous pollination. Pp. 191–216 in Lloyd, D. G. & Barrett, S. C. H. (eds.). *Floral biology: studies on floral evolution in animal-pollinated plants.* Chapman and Hall, New York.
- THOMSON, D. 2004. Competitive interactions between the invasive European honey bee and native bumble bees. *Ecology* 85:458–470.
- TOMLINSON, P. B. 1994. *The botany of mangroves*. Cambridge University Press, New York. 441 pp.
- TORRES, J. A. 1992. Lepidoptera outbreaks in response to successional changes after the passage of Hurricane Hugo in Puerto Rico. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 8:285–298.
- WHELAN, R. J., AYRE, D. J. & BEYNON, F. M. 2009. The birds and the bees: pollinator behaviour and variation in the mating system of the rare shrub *Grevillea macleayana*. *Annals of Botany* 103:1395– 1401.
- WILLIAMS, N. M., CRONE, E. E., ROULSTON, T. H., MINCKLEY, R. L., PACKER, L. & POTTS, S. G. 2010. Ecological and life-history traits predict bee species responses to environmental disturbances. *Biological Conservation* 143:2280–2291.

Order Family Species 2005 2009 2005 2009 200 Coleoptera $n = 92$ $n = 96$ $n = 71$ $n = 160$ $n = 92$ Oedemeridae $0xacis sp.$ 0.01 $ -$ Diptera $0xacis sp.$ 0.01 $ -$ Baccha sp. $ 0.01$ $ 0.01$ Hymenoptera Baccha sp. $ 0.05$ 0.01 $ 0.01$ Hymenoptera Apidae Apiaae 0.05 0.01 $ 0.02$ 0.02 Hymenoptera Apidae $ 0.02$ 0.02 Melissodes sp. 0.03 0.10 $ 0.02$ 0.02 Scoliidae Campsomeris sp. 0.07 $ 0.01$	
n = 92 n = 96 n = 71 n = 160 n = Oedemeridae Oxacis sp. 0.01 - 0.0 - - - 0.0 - - - 0.0 - - 0.0 - - 0.0 - - 0.0 0.0 - - 0.0 0.0 - - 0.0 0.	2009
Coleoptera Oedemeridae $0xacis sp.$ 0.01 $ -$	51 n = 222
Oedemeridae 0.01 $ -$	
Oxacis sp. 0.01 $ -$	
Diptera Syrphidae Baccha sp. - - 0.01 - 0.0 Palpada albifrons (Wiedemann) 0.28 0.17 0.11 0.03 0.1 Hymenoptera Volucella sp. 0.05 0.01 - - 0.0 Hymenoptera Apidae - - 0.02 0.0 Melissop sp. 1 - - - 0.02 0.0 Bombus sp. 3 - - - 0.02 0.0 Melissodes sp. 0.03 0.10 - - - 0.0 Scoliidae Campsomeris sp. 0.07 - - 0.0 0.0 Sphecidae Sphex janaicensis (Drury) 0.01 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.0 Vespidae Euchnerus sp. 0.17 0.14 0.07 0.01 0.0	-
Syrphidae Baccha sp. $ 0.01$ $ 0.01$ Palpada albifrons (Wiedemann) 0.28 0.17 0.11 0.03 0.1 Hymenoptera Apidae 0.05 0.01 $ 0.00$ Hymenoptera Apidae $ 0.02$ 0.01 Apidae $ 0.02$ 0.01 Bombus sp. 1 $ 0.02$ 0.01 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.01 Melissodes sp. 0.03 0.10 $ 0.01$ 0.35 0.03 $ 0.01$ 0.35 0.03 $ 0.01$ 0.15 0.01 0.16 0.01 0.16 0.01 0.16 0.01 0.16 0.01 0.16 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	
Baccha sp. $ 0.01$ $ 0.01$ Palpada albifrons (Wiedemann) 0.28 0.17 0.11 0.03 0.1 Hymenoptera Apidae 0.05 0.01 $ 0.01$ Hymenoptera Apidae $Apis mellifera$ Linnaeus 0.09 0.48 0.28 0.74 0.4 Bombus sp. 1 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Melissodes sp. 0.03 0.10 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Scoliidae Campsomeris sp. 0.01 0.01 0.35 0.03 $-$ Sphecidae Sphex janaicensis (Drury) 0.01 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.06	-
Palpada albifrons (Wiedemann) 0.28 0.17 0.11 0.03 0 Hymenoptera Apidae 0.05 0.01 $ 0.06$ Apidae Apis mellifera Linnaeus 0.09 0.48 0.28 0.74 0.6 Bombus sp. 1 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.01$ 0.16 $-$ Solitidae Campsomeris sp. 0.03 0.10 $ 0.01$ 0.35 0.03 $ 0.01$ 0.35 0.03 $ 0.01$ 0.15 0.04 0.16 0.06 Vespidae Euclypticae 0.17 0.14 0.07 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 <td< td=""><td>1 –</td></td<>	1 –
Hymenoptera Apidae $Apis mellifera$ Linnaeus 0.05 0.01 $ 0.01$ Apidae Apis mellifera Linnaeus 0.09 0.48 0.28 0.74 0.6 Bombus sp. 1 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Melissodes sp. 0.03 0.10 $ 0.01$ $-$ Scoliidae Campsomeris sp. 0.07 $ 0.06$ Sphecidae Sphex janaicensis (Drury) 0.01 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.06	5 0.05
Hymenoptera Apidae Apis mellifera Linnaeus 0.09 0.48 0.28 0.74 0.33 Bombus sp. 1 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.06 Melissodes sp. 0.03 0.10 $ 0.06$ Scoliidae 0.21 0.01 0.35 0.03 $-$ Campsomeris sp. 0.07 $ 0.06$ Sphecidae Sphex janaicensis (Drury) 0.01 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.06 Vespidae Euchnerus sp. 0.17 0.14 0.07 0.01 0.01	2 0.01
Apidae Apis mellifera Linnaeus 0.09 0.48 0.28 0.74 0.1 Bombus sp. 1 $ 0.02$ 0.0 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.0 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.0 Melissodes sp. 3 $ 0.01$ 0.10 $ -$ Melissodes sp. 0.03 0.10 $ 0.01$ 0.35 0.03 $-$ Scoliidae Campsomeris sp. 0.07 $ 0.01$ 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.01 Vespidae Euclymerus sp. 0.17 0.14 0.07 0.01 0.01	
Apis mellipera Linnaeus 0.09 0.48 0.28 0.74 0.1 Bombus sp. 1 $ 0.02$ 0.0 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.0 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.02$ 0.0 Melissodes sp. 3 $ 0.01$ 0.10 $ -$ Melissodes sp. 3 0.03 0.10 $ 0.01$ 0.35 0.03 $-$ Scoliidae Campsomeris sp. 0.07 $ 0.01$ 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.01 Vespidae Euchnerus sp. 0.17 0.14 0.07 0.01 0.01	1 0.00
Bombus sp. 1 $ 0.02$ 0.01 Bombus sp. 3 $ 0.01$ Melissodes sp. 0.03 0.10 $ 0.01$ Xylocopa virginica Linnaeus 0.21 0.01 0.35 0.03 $-$ Scoliidae Campsomeris sp. 0.07 $ 0.01$ 0.18 Sphecidae Sphex janaicensis (Drury) 0.01 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.01 Vespidae Eucohnerus sp. 0.17 0.14 0.07 0.01 0.01	1 0.88
Bombus Sp. 5 - - - - - 0.0 Melissodes sp. 0.03 0.10 - - - - - - - 0.0 Xylocopa virginica Linnaeus 0.21 0.01 0.35 0.03 - - - - - - - - - - - 0.0 - - - - 0.0 - - - - 0.0 - - - - 0.0 - - - 0.0 - - - 0.0 - - - 0.0 0.0 - - - 0.0 <td>3 0.04</td>	3 0.04
Millisodas sp. 0.03 0.10 $ -$ Xylocopa virginica Linnaeus 0.21 0.01 0.35 0.03 $-$ ScoliidaeCampsomeris sp. 0.07 $ 0.01$ SphecidaeSphex janaicensis (Drury) 0.01 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.01 VespidaeEuclunerus sp. 0.17 0.14 0.07 0.01 0.01	9 –
Scoliidae Campsomeris sp. 0.07 – – – 0.0 Sphecidae Sphex janaicensis (Drury) 0.01 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.0 Vespidae	_
Campsomeris sp. 0.07 – – – 0.0 Sphecidae Sphex jamaicensis (Drury) 0.01 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.0 Vespidae Eucodimentis sp. 0.17 0.14 0.07 0.01 0.0	—
Sphecidae Sphex jamaicensis (Drury) 0.01 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.0 Vespidae	1
Sphex jamaicensis (Drury) 0.01 0.18 0.04 0.16 0.0 Vespidae	1 –
Vespidae Fuodumenus sp. 0.17 0.14 0.07 0.01 0.0	0.02
Fundaments sp 0.17 0.14 0.07 0.01 0.01	1 0.02
	3 0.01
Polities exclamons Viereck 0 (3 –
Policies metricus Sav 00	3 –
Lenidontera Oristo incluito duy Ori	5
Lycaenidae	
Leptotes sp 0.01	_
Pieridae	
Ascia monuste Linnaeus 0.05 0.01 0.01 0.02 -	0.01
Pvralidae	0.01
unidentified sp. $0.02 - 0.01$	_

Appendix 1. Frequency of insects visiting *Laguncularia racemosa* flowers in three populations following Hurricanes Frances and Jeanne: Sebastian Inlet (SEB), Wabasso Island (WAB) and Harbor Branch (HBR). n = number of insect visitors.