PROCEEDINGS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY OF EDINBURGH

(Section A)

Volume 134	2004	Part 3
	CONTENTS	
/. Bagland		
Well-posedness for the spatially homogeneous Landau–Fermi–Dirac equations for hard potentials		415-447
G. R. BURTON and L. PRECIS		
Existence and isoperimetric characterization of steady spherical vortex rings in a uniform flow in \mathbb{R}^N		449–476
B. M. GONÇALVES and R. P. SULLIVAN Baer–Levi semigroups of linear transformations		477 400
Faer-Levi semigroups of	or linear transformations	477–499
	tes for multi-linear singular integral	
operators		501-514
G. LI, S. YAN and JF. YANG		
Solutions with positive boundary layer and positive peak for an elliptic Dirichlet problem		515-536
. L. LÓPEZ and N. M. TEMM		
	expansions of Charlier, Laguerre and	
Jacobi polynomials E. Massa		537-555
	cterization of the Fučíik spectrum of the	
Laplacian and a superlinear Sturm-Liouville equation		557-577
M. MEI, J. WH. So, M. Y.		
blowflies equation with	travelling waves for the Nicholson's	579–594
E. OLIVEIRA		077 071
	ONLS equation by the cubic nonlinear	
Schrödinger equation		595-607

3 <u>A</u>

BURGH

EDIN

ОF

Щ 5 S 0 6 OYA \simeq ш THI

134

Volume 134 Part 3 (2004) pages 415–607

THE ROYAL SOCIETY OF EDINBURGH



PROCEEDINGS SECTION A MATHEMATICS

(Issued 29 June 2004)

ISSN 0308-2105

Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb., A 134

Managed by ICMS, Edinburgh Typeset in T_EX by T&T Productions Ltd., London Printed by J. W. Arrowsmith Ltd., Bristol Distributed by CAB International, Wallingford

20 04

PUBLISHED BY THE RSE SCOTLAND FOUNDATION 22 GEORGE STREET, EDINBURGH EH2 2PQ

ISSN 038-2105

NOTES FOR AUTHORS

EDITORIAL BOARD

Executive Editors

J. CARR (Chairman) Heriot-Watt University

J. M. BALL University of Oxford

SIR MICHAEL ATIYAH University of Edinburgh

A. P. CARBERY University of Edinburgh

A. A. LACEY Heriot-Watt University

A. C. MCBRIDE Strathclyde University

H. Brezis Université de Paris VI, France C. M. DAFERMOS

Brown University. Providence, RI, USA E. B. DAVIES Kings College, London, UK L. E. FRAENKEL University of Bath, UK

M. GIAQUINTA Università di Pisa, Italy

J. K. HALE Georgia Institute of Technology, USA

C. E. KENIG University of Chicago, USA

K. KIRCHGASSNER Universität Stuttgart, Germany

Robert V. Kohn New York University, USA

P. L. LIONS Université de Paris IX. France H. MATANO

University of Tokyo, Japan

University of Edinburgh T. N. T. GOODMAN University of Dundee

A. M. DAVIE

J. R. RINGROSE University of Newcastle upon Tyne J. R. L. WEBB University of Glasgow M. D. McLeod Curator University of Glasgow

Consulting Editors

J. E. MARSDEN California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, U.S.A. S. MÜLLER

Max-Planck Institute, Germany

J. M. SANZ-SERNA Universidad de Valladolid, Spain

W. G. STRANG Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

C. A. STUART École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland

L. TARTAR Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

J. TOLAND University of Bath, UK

N. S. TRUDINGER Australian National University, Canberra, Australia G. O. Zhang

Beijing University, Beijing, China

© 2004 The Royal Society of Edinburgh

Submitting a paper

Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A considers for publication papers in all areas of mathematics. Papers for submission should be sent to:

The Editorial Office (Proc A)

- International Centre for Mathematical Sciences
- 14 India Street
- Edinburgh EH3 6EZ, Scotland, UK

Contact numbers: Tel: (0)131 220 1777; Fax (0)131 220 1053; e-mail: icms-proca@maths.ed.ac.uk Authors should send three copies of the paper; they should not at this stage send a disk or e-mail a file to the Editorial Office. At present, Proceedings A requires hard copies of the paper and does not accept electronic submission.

A paper by more than one author should be submitted with a statement, signed by each author, to the effect that the paper in its entirety is approved by the joint authors and naming the author who will be responsible for correspondence.

An acknowledgement letter or e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author on receipt of the paper. This will cite a reference number which will be used in all future correspondence regarding the paper.

Authors must prepare their papers as concisely as possible. Although *Proceedings A* has no page limit, longer papers can be difficult to review in a reasonable time and can be judged more harshly. Each paper must be accompanied by a synopsis, in general not exceeding 200 words, which will be printed. References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order, numbered sequentially. References within the text should be indicated by numbers in square brackets. Authors are encouraged to use TFX typesetting software to prepare their papers. The LATFX macro package is especially recommended and a class file is available on either the RSE and ICMS website. Once a paper has been accepted for publication, authors will be asked, whenever possible, to supply the appropriate electronic file. This should be a single file, with any relevant macros included in the preamble, and named after the article reference number (e.g. A99345.tex). Figures in postscript form are also welcome, and should be submitted at the same time as the code for the paper.

Proofs and offprints

Authors will be sent proofs of their paper for checking, together with an offprint order form. Fifty offprints are supplied free of charge, this number to be shared between joint authors. Additional offprints may be ordered in units of 25.

Copyright

On acceptance of their paper, authors are asked to assign the copyright of their paper to the Royal Society of Edinburgh. They are also asked to ensure that any electronic versions of their paper clearly state the official place of publication.

Except as otherwise permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988, papers published in *Proceedings A* may only be reproduced, stored or transmitted with the prior permission of the copyright holder, or, in the case of reprographic reproduction, in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency. The Society permits the making of a single photocopy of a article from an issue (under Sections 29 & 38 of the Act) for an individual for the purposes of research or private study.

Subscription details

The journal is published every two months by the RSE Scotland Foundation. Subscription price is £270 for six issues (vol 134). Orders, claims etc., should be addressed to CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon OX10 8DE, UK. Tel: (0)1491 832111; Fax (0)1491 826090.

The online version of the journal is free with a print subscription. Volumes 130 to 133 are available (hosted by Ingenta Select) and the issues of volume 134 will be made available shortly before publication of the printed version.

Websites

The Royal Society of Edinburgh: http://www.royalsoced.org.uk ICMS: http://www.ma.hw.ac.uk/icms/ Ingenta Select: http://www.ingentaselect.com

Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 134A, 415-447, 2004

Well-posedness for the spatially homogeneous Landau–Fermi–Dirac equation for hard potentials

Véronique Bagland

Mathématiques pour l'Industrie et la Physique, CNRS UMR 5640, Université Paul Sabatier-Toulouse 3, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 4, France (bagland@mip.ups-tlse.fr)

(MS received 10 July 2003; accepted 27 January 2004)

We study the Cauchy problem for the spatially homogeneous Landau equation for Fermi–Dirac particles, in the case of hard and Maxwellian potentials. We establish existence and uniqueness of a weak solution for a large class of initial data.

1. Introduction

Kinetic theory aims at modelling a gas or a plasma when one is interested in the statistical properties of the gas rather than the state of each gas particle. The evolution of the gas is then described by a distribution function $f = f(t, x, v) \ge 0$ which represents the (local) density of particles with velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ at position $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and time $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, +\infty]$.

In the absence of interactions (or collisions) between particles, the evolution of f is given by the free-transport equation. When the effect of collisions is included, f satisfies the celebrated Boltzmann equation or related models [3–5, 20]. In particular, while the Boltzmann equation is valid for neutral particles or weakly ionized plasmas, the modelling of completely ionized plasmas introduces a new model, the Landau equation, which is obtained as a limit of the Boltzmann equation when grazing collisions prevail (cf. [5, 8, 9, 20]). Quantum effects such as the Pauli exclusion principle should also sometimes be taken into account and both the Boltzmann and Landau equations have to be modified accordingly in that case [5, 7, 20]. We also mention that a Landau equation with Fermi statistics arises in the modelling of self-gravitating particles [6, 16].

In this paper, we study a modified Landau equation accounting for the Pauli exclusion principle, which reads

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q_{\mathrm{L}}(f),$$

where

$$Q_{\mathrm{L}}(f) = \nabla_{v} \cdot \int \Psi(v - v_{*}) \Pi(v - v_{*}) \{f_{*}(1 - \delta f_{*}) \nabla f - f(1 - \delta f) \nabla f_{*}\} \,\mathrm{d}v_{*},$$

where $\delta = 1$, f = f(t, v), $f_* = f(t, v_*)$ and $\Pi(z)$ denotes the orthogonal projection on $(\mathbb{R}z)^{\perp}$,

$$\Pi_{i,j}(z) = \delta_{i,j} - \frac{z_i z_j}{|z|^2}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le 3.$$

© 2004 The Royal Society of Edinburgh

and Ψ is a function such that $\Psi(z) = |z|^{2+\gamma}$, $-3 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. The choice $\Psi(z) = |z|^{2+\gamma}$ corresponds to inverse power-law potentials, among which we distinguish the Coulomb potential ($\gamma = -3$), soft potentials ($-3 < \gamma < 0$), the Maxwellian potential ($\gamma = 0$) and hard potentials ($0 < \gamma \leq 1$). We recall here that the Coulomb potential is, however, the only one to have a physical relevance.

Taking $\delta = 0$ in $Q_{\rm L}(f)$ corresponds to the classical Landau equation, while the Landau–Fermi–Dirac (LFD) equation and the Landau–Bose–Einstein (LBE) equation correspond to $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = -1$, respectively. Only the case $\delta = 1$ will be considered here and our aim is to investigate the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to the LFD equation in a spatially homogeneous setting, that is, f = f(t, v) and satisfies

$$\partial_t f = Q_{\rm L}(f),\tag{1.1}$$

with $\delta = 1$. We point out that the Pauli exclusion principle implies that a solution to (1.1) must satisfy $0 \leq f \leq 1$.

While the classical Boltzmann and Landau equations have been the subject of several papers (see [3,4,11,30] for the Boltzmann equation and [2,10,15,22,28,29] for the Landau equation, and the references therein), fewer studies have been devoted to the Boltzmann–Fermi–Dirac (BFD) equation and to the LFD equation. Concerning the former, the spatially inhomogeneous Cauchy problem has been studied in [1, 12, 21] for cross-sections satisfying Grad's cut-off assumption. In a spatially homogeneous setting, existence of solutions to the BFD equation is investigated in [13,24] for more realistic cross-sections, and their large-time behaviour as well [13,24,25]. To our knowledge, the problem of existence and uniqueness of solutions to the LFD equation has not been yet considered, and the only works on this model concern a formal derivation from the BFD equation in the grazing collisions limit [7] and a spectral analysis of its linearization near an equilibrium [18]. Therefore, our purpose is to investigate the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the LFD equation in a spatially homogeneous setting for hard or Maxwellian potentials. As already mentioned, the Pauli exclusion principle implies that solutions to the LFD equation should satisfy the L^{∞} bound $0 \leq f \leq 1$. On one hand, this L^{∞} bound simplifies the analysis in comparison to the classical Landau equation where only a bound in $L \log L$ is available. On the other hand, the term $f(1 - \delta f)$ is nonlinear for $\delta = 1$ and requires strong compactness arguments to be handled (weak compactness is sufficient for the classical Landau equation where $\delta = 0$, since the term $f(1 - \delta f) = f$ is linear in that case).

We now describe the contents of the paper. We set notation and state our main results in the next section: existence, propagation of moments, uniqueness (theorem 2.2), ellipticity of $Q_{\rm L}(f)$ (proposition 2.3). A priori estimates are gathered in § 3 and are used in § 4 to prove the existence of a solution to the LFD equation. Finally, the uniqueness result stated in theorem 2.2 is proved in § 5.

2. Main results

We first introduce some notation and definitions. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \ge 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$L_{2s}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) := L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{3}; (1+|v|^{2})^{s} \,\mathrm{d}v),$$

$$\|f\|_{L^{p}_{2s}}^{p} = \int |f(v)|^{p} (1+|v|^{2})^{s} \,\mathrm{d}v,$$

$$\|f\|_{H^{k}_{2s}}^{2} = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \int |\partial_{x}^{\alpha} f(v)|^{2} (1+|v|^{2})^{s} \,\mathrm{d}v,$$

where $\alpha = (i_1, i_2, i_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, $|\alpha| = i_1 + i_2 + i_3$ and $\partial_x^{\alpha} f = \partial_1^{i_1} \partial_2^{i_2} \partial_3^{i_3} f$. For $s \ge 0$ and $f \in L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we denote by $M_{2s}(f)$ the moment of order 2s of f,

$$M_{2s}(f) = \int |f(v)| |v|^{2s} \,\mathrm{d}v.$$

For $(i, j) \in [\![1, 3]\!]^2$, we define

$$a(z) = (a_{i,j}(z))_{i,j}, \quad \text{with } a_{i,j}(z) = |z|^{\gamma+2} \left(\delta_{i,j} - \frac{z_i z_j}{|z|^2} \right),$$

$$b_i(z) = \sum_k \partial_k a_{i,k}(z) = -2z_i |z|^{\gamma},$$

$$c(z) = \sum_{k,l} \partial_{kl}^2 a_{k,l}(z) = -2(\gamma+3) |z|^{\gamma},$$

and, when no confusion can occur, we write $\bar{A} = (\bar{A}_{i,j}), \bar{b} = (\bar{b}_i), \bar{B} = (\bar{B}_i)$, with

$$ar{b}_i = b_i * f, \qquad ar{A}_{i,j} = a_{i,j} * (f(1-f)), \ ar{c} = c * f, \qquad ar{B}_i = b_i * (f(1-f)).$$

Otherwise, we use the notation $\bar{A}_{i,j}^f$, \bar{b}_i^f , \bar{B}_i^f , \bar{c}^f instead of $\bar{A}_{i,j}$, \bar{b}_i , \bar{B}_i and \bar{c} . With this notation, the LFD equation can then be written alternatively in the form

$$\partial_t f = \nabla \cdot (\bar{A} \nabla f - \bar{b} f(1 - f)), \qquad (2.1)$$

or

that is,

$$\partial_t f = \sum_{i,j} \bar{A}_{i,j} \partial_{i,j}^2 f + (\bar{B} - \bar{b}(1 - 2f)) \cdot \nabla f - \bar{c}f(1 - f),$$

and is supplemented with the initial datum

$$f(0) = f_{\rm in},$$
 (2.2)

where

$$f_{\rm in} \in L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad 0 \leqslant f_{\rm in} \leqslant 1 \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\rm in} \neq 0.$$
 (2.3)

We note that the last assumption is not restrictive since, when $f_{in} \equiv 0$, $f \equiv 0$ is a solution to (2.1), (2.2).

The usual a priori estimates are available here. Indeed, one can formally verify that solutions preserve mass and energy, namely, for every $t \geqslant 0$

$$M_0(f)(t) = \int f(t, v) \, \mathrm{d}v = \int f_{\rm in} \, \mathrm{d}v := M_{\rm in}, \qquad (2.4)$$

$$M_2(f)(t) = \int f(t,v)|v|^2 \,\mathrm{d}v = \int f_{\rm in}|v|^2 \,\mathrm{d}v := E_{\rm in}.$$
 (2.5)

Moreover, introducing the entropy S(f) for Fermi–Dirac particles defined by

$$S(f) = -\int [f \ln f + (1 - f) \ln(1 - f)] \,\mathrm{d}v \ge 0,$$

one can see, still formally, that $t \mapsto S(f)(t)$ is a non-decreasing function.

DEFINITION 2.1. Consider f_{in} satisfying (2.3). A weak solution to the LFD equation (2.1), (2.2) is a function f satisfying the following conditions.

- (i) $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^1_2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)), f(1-f) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^1_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$
- (ii) $0 \leq f \leq 1$ and $f(0) = f_{\text{in}}$.
- (iii) $\int f(t,v)|v|^2 dv \leqslant \int f_{\rm in}(v)|v|^2 dv$ for every $t \ge 0$.
- (iv) $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\forall s, t \ge 0$,

$$\int f(t,v)\varphi(v) \,\mathrm{d}v - \int f(s,v)\varphi(v) \,\mathrm{d}v$$
$$= \int_{s}^{t} \mathrm{d}\tau \left[\sum_{i,j} \int \bar{A}_{i,j} f \partial_{i,j}^{2} \varphi \,\mathrm{d}v + \int f \bar{B} \cdot \nabla \varphi \,\mathrm{d}v + \int f(1-f) \bar{b} \cdot \nabla \varphi \,\mathrm{d}v \right]$$

Our main result is the following.

THEOREM 2.2. Consider f_{in} satisfying (2.3) and assume further that $f_{in} \in L^1_{2s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $s_0 > 1$. Then there exists a weak solution f to (2.1), (2.2) satisfying (2.4), (2.5) and

$$f(1-f) \in L^{1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; L^{1}_{2s_{0}+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{3})), \quad f \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; L^{1}_{2s_{0}}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) \cap L^{2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; H^{1}_{2s_{0}}(\mathbb{R}^{3})).$$

If we also suppose that $s_0 \ge 1 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma$, $t \mapsto S(f)(t)$ is a non-decreasing function and

$$S_{\rm in} := S(f_{\rm in}) \leqslant S(f)(t) \leqslant E_{\rm in} + \pi^{3/2} \quad for \ every \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Moreover, for $2s_0 > 4\gamma + 11$, such a solution is unique.

The existence proof is adapted from that of [2,10] and is performed in three steps: analysis of a regularized equation; uniform estimates; and passage to the limit by a compactness argument. At this stage, we recall that, owing to the cubic nature of $Q_{\rm L}(f)$, a weak-compactness argument is not sufficient. Strong compactness is actually a consequence of the uniform ellipticity of the matrix \bar{A} , which we state now.

We fix $E_0 > 0$ and $S_0 > 0$ and denote by $\mathcal{Y}(E_0, S_0)$ the set of functions $f \in L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$ a.e. and

$$M_2(f) \leqslant E_0, \qquad S(f) \geqslant S_0.$$

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{Y}(E_0, S_0)$. Then there exists a constant K > 0, depending only on γ , E_0 and S_0 , such that

$$\sum_{i,j} \bar{A}_{i,j}(v)\xi_i\xi_j \ge K(1+|v|^2)^{\gamma/2}|\xi|^2, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

As for the uniqueness proof, it follows the lines from that of [10], but the nonquadratic nature of $Q_{\rm L}(f)$ requires the use of an embedding lemma for weighted Sobolev spaces.

3. A priori estimates

3.1. Uniform ellipticity

We first prove proposition 2.3 and proceed as in [10, proposition 4] for the Landau equation with some modifications. Indeed, for the classical Landau equation, the first step is a positive bound from below of $||f||_{L^1(B_R)}$, which is straightforward by (2.4) and (2.5) (B_R denotes the ball with centre 0 and radius R). For the LFD equation, we need a positive bound from below of $||f(1-f)||_{L^1(B_R)}$ and we realize that the arguments of [10, proposition 4] provide no information for velocities where f is close to 1. However, for such velocities, the required information is to be found in the entropy.

LEMMA 3.1. There exist constants $\eta_* > 0$ and $R_* \ge 1$, depending only on E_0 and S_0 , such that

$$\int_{B_{R_*}} f(1-f) \, \mathrm{d}v \ge \eta_* > 0 \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{Y}(E_0, S_0).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{Y}(E_0, S_0)$. For every $R \ge 1$, we have

$$S_0 \leqslant \int_{B_R} (f|\ln f| + (1-f)|\ln(1-f)|) \,\mathrm{d}v + \int_{|v| \ge R} (f|\ln f| + (1-f)|\ln(1-f)|) \,\mathrm{d}v.$$
(3.1)

STEP 1. We first consider the integral over B_R . Let $\varepsilon, \alpha \in (0, 1)$. Since

$$|\ln r| \leqslant \frac{1-r}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{if } r \in (\varepsilon, 1),$$
 (3.2)

$$|\ln(1-r)| \leqslant \frac{r}{\varepsilon}$$
 if $r \in (0, 1-\varepsilon)$, (3.3)

we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_R} f|\ln f| \, \mathrm{d}v &\leqslant \int_{B_R \cap \{f \geqslant \varepsilon\}} f|\ln f| \, \mathrm{d}v + \int_{B_R \cap \{f \leqslant \varepsilon\}} f|\ln f| \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_R} f(1-f) \, \mathrm{d}v + \varepsilon^\alpha \int_{B_R} f^{1-\alpha} |\ln f| \, \mathrm{d}v, \end{split}$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{B_R} (1-f) |\ln(1-f)| \, \mathrm{d} v \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_R} f(1-f) \, \mathrm{d} v + \varepsilon^{\alpha} \int_{B_R} (1-f)^{1-\alpha} |\ln(1-f)| \, \mathrm{d} v. \\ & \text{As } r \mapsto r^{1-\alpha} |\ln r| \text{ is bounded on } [0,1], \text{ we obtain, choosing } \varepsilon = R^{-4/\alpha}, \end{split}$$

$$\int_{B_R} (f|\ln f| + (1-f)|\ln(1-f)|) \,\mathrm{d}v \leqslant 2R^{4/\alpha} \int_{B_R} f(1-f) \,\mathrm{d}v + \frac{C_1(\alpha)}{R}.$$
 (3.4)

STEP 2. It remains now to consider the second integral of (3.1). On one hand, thanks to the Hölder inequality and the boundedness of $r \mapsto r^{\alpha} |\ln r|$ on [0, 1], we obtain

$$\int_{|v| \ge R} f|\ln f| \, \mathrm{d}v = \int_{|v| \ge R} f^{1-\alpha} |v|^{2(1-\alpha)} \frac{f^{\alpha} |\ln f|}{|v|^{2(1-\alpha)}} \, \mathrm{d}v$$

$$\leqslant C_2(\alpha) \left(\int_{|v| \ge R} f|v|^2 \, \mathrm{d}v \right)^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_{|v| \ge R} |v|^{-2(1-\alpha)/\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}v \right)^{\alpha}.$$

We fix $\alpha = \frac{1}{5}$ and conclude that

$$\int_{|v| \ge R} f|\ln f| \,\mathrm{d}v \leqslant C \frac{E_0^{1-\alpha}}{R}.$$
(3.5)

On the other hand, using (3.3) with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ leads to

$$\begin{split} \int_{|v|\geqslant R} (1-f) |\ln(1-f)| \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &\leqslant 2 \int_{\{|v|\geqslant R\} \cap \{f\leqslant 1/2\}} f(1-f) \, \mathrm{d}v + \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}} \int_{\{|v|\geqslant R\} \cap \{f\geqslant 1/2\}} f \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &\leqslant \frac{2}{R^2} \int f|v|^2 \, \mathrm{d}v + \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}R^2} \int f|v|^2 \, \mathrm{d}v. \end{split}$$

Hence, for $R \ge 1$,

$$\int_{|v| \ge R} (1-f) |\ln(1-f)| \, \mathrm{d}v \le \frac{3E_0}{R^2} \le \frac{3E_0}{R}.$$
(3.6)

From (3.5) and (3.6), we deduce

$$\int_{|v| \ge R} (f|\ln f| + (1-f)|\ln(1-f)|) \,\mathrm{d}v \le \frac{C_3(E_0)}{R}.$$
(3.7)

STEP 3. Substituting inequalities (3.4) and (3.7) into (3.1) gives

$$S_0 - \frac{C_1(\frac{1}{5}) + C_3(E_0)}{R} \leqslant 2R^{20} \int_{B_R} f(1-f) \,\mathrm{d}v.$$

The choice

$$R_* = 2\frac{C_1(\frac{1}{5}) + C_3(E_0)}{S_0}$$

then completes the proof of lemma 3.1.

Proof of proposition 2.3. Due to lemma 3.1, the remainder of the proof of proposition 2.3 is similar to that of [10, proposition 4], to which we refer. \Box

3.2. Propagation of moments

We now show (formally) the propagation of moments for solutions to the LFD equation (2.1), (2.2), which, in turn, implies an H^1 estimate (still formally). All

the computations we perform here will be justified in $\S 4.2$ by means of smooth approximating solutions.

Let f be a smooth solution to (2.1), (2.2). Multiplying (2.1) by 1 and $|v|^2$ and integrating with respect to v lead, after some integrations by parts, to the conservation of mass (2.4) and energy (2.5). Also, after multiplying (2.1) by $\ln f - \ln(1-f)$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , the non-negativity of the matrix a ensures that the entropy S(f) is a non-decreasing function of time. From now on, C_i , $i \ge 1$, denote positive constants depending only on γ , $M_{\rm in}$, $E_{\rm in}$ and $S_{\rm in}$. The dependence of the C_i on additional parameters will be indicated explicitly.

LEMMA 3.2. Assume that $f_{in} \in L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some s > 1. Then, for every T > 0, there exists a constant $\Gamma(s, T, \|f_{in}\|_{L^1_{2s}})$, depending only on s, T and $\|f_{in}\|_{L^1_{2s}}$, such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|f(t)\|_{L^{1}_{2s}} + \int_{0}^{T} \iint |v - v_{*}|^{\gamma} |v_{*}|^{2s} ff_{*}(1 - f_{*}) \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant \Gamma(s, T, \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^{1}_{2s}}).$$

In particular, $f(1-f) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^1_{2s+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$

Proof. Let φ be a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^3 and multiply (2.1) by φ . After integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 and some integrations by parts, we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int f(t,v)\varphi(v)\,\mathrm{d}v = \sum_{i,j} \iint ff_*(1-f_*)a_{i,j}(v-v_*)\partial_{i,j}^2\varphi\,\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_* + \iint ff_*[2-f-f_*]b(v-v_*)\cdot\nabla\varphi\,\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_*. \quad (3.8)$$

We take $\varphi(v) = \Phi(|v|^2)$ in (3.8), where Φ is a convex function. As

$$\sum_{i} a_{i,i}(v - v_*) = 2|v - v_*|^{\gamma+2},$$

$$\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}(v - v_*)v_iv_j = |v - v_*|^{\gamma}(|v|^2|v_*|^2 - (v \cdot v_*)^2),$$

$$\sum_{j} b_j(v - v_*)v_j = -2|v - v_*|^{\gamma}(|v|^2 - (v \cdot v_*)),$$

formula (3.8) becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int f(t,v) \Phi(|v|^2) \,\mathrm{d}v = 4 \iint ff_*(1-f_*)|v-v_*|^{\gamma} \Lambda^{\Phi}(v,v_*) \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_*,$$

where

$$\Lambda^{\Phi}(v,v_*) = (|v_*|^2 - (v \cdot v_*))(\Phi'(|v|^2) - \Phi'(|v_*|^2)) + (|v|^2|v_*|^2 - (v \cdot v_*)^2)\Phi''(|v|^2).$$

Since Φ is convex, Φ'' is non-negative and, consequently,

$$\Lambda^{\Phi}(v,v_*) \leq (|v_*|^2 - (v \cdot v_*))(\Phi'(|v|^2) - \Phi'(|v_*|^2)) + |v|^2 |v_*|^2 \Phi''(|v|^2).$$

Let $\Phi(r) = r^s$, s > 1. Since $(v \cdot v_*) \leq |v| |v_*|$, we deduce (with the notation $\Lambda^s = \Lambda^{\Phi}$) that

$$\Lambda^{s}(v, v_{*}) \leq s[s|v|^{2s-2}|v_{*}|^{2} - |v_{*}|^{2s} + |v||v_{*}|(|v|^{2s-2} + |v_{*}|^{2s-2})].$$
(3.9)

As s > 1, we have 2s - 1 > 1 and Young's inequality ensures that

$$x^{2s-2}y^2 = x^{2s-2}y^{(2s-2)/(2s-1)}y^{2s/(2s-1)} \leqslant \frac{2s-2}{2s-1}x^{2s-1}y + \frac{1}{2s-1}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^{2s-2}y^$$

Substituting this inequality for $x = |v|, y = |v_*|$ into (3.9) yields

$$\Lambda^{s}(v,v_{*}) \leqslant s \bigg[(s+1)|v|^{2s-1}|v_{*}| + |v||v_{*}|^{2s-1} - \frac{s-1}{2s-1}|v_{*}|^{2s} \bigg].$$

Since $f \ge 0$ and $|v - v_*|^{\gamma} \le |v|^{\gamma} + |v_*|^{\gamma}$ $(\gamma \ge 0)$, we finally obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int f(t,v) |v|^{2s} \,\mathrm{d}v + 4s \frac{s-1}{2s-1} \iint |v-v_*|^{\gamma} |v_*|^{2s} ff_* (1-f_*) \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_* \\ &\leqslant 4s \iint ff_* (|v|^{\gamma} + |v_*|^{\gamma}) [(s+1)|v|^{2s-1} |v_*| + |v||v_*|^{2s-1}] \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_* \end{split}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (|v|^{\gamma} + |v_*|^{\gamma})[(s+1)|v|^{2s-1}|v_*| + |v||v_*|^{2s-1}] \\ &\leqslant (s+1)[|v|^{2s+\gamma-1}|v_*| + |v|^{2s-1}|v_*|^{1+\gamma}] + [|v|^{1+\gamma}|v_*|^{2s-1} + |v||v_*|^{2s+\gamma-1}], \end{aligned}$$

and Young's inequality ensures that

$$\max\{|v|, |v|^{\gamma+1}\} \leqslant 1 + |v|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \max\{|v|^{2s-1}, |v|^{2s+\gamma-1}\} \leqslant 1 + |v|^{2s}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}M_{2s}(f) + 4s\frac{s-1}{2s-1}\iint |v-v_*|^{\gamma}|v_*|^{2s}ff_*(1-f_*)\,\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_* \leqslant C_1(s) + C_2(s)M_{2s}(f).$$
(3.10)

Thanks to Gronwall's lemma, we first conclude that, for every $T \ge 0$,

$$M_{2s}(f)(t) \leq (M_{2s}(f_{\rm in}) + 1)C_3(s, T), \quad t \in [0, T].$$
 (3.11)

We next integrate (3.10) over (0, T) and deduce from (3.11) that

$$\int_0^T \iint |v - v_*|^{\gamma} |v_*|^{2s} ff_* (1 - f_*) \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_* \mathrm{d}\tau \leq (M_{2s}(f_{\mathrm{in}}) + 1)C_4(s, T)$$

Since $|v - v_*|^{\gamma} \ge |v_*|^{\gamma} - |v|^{\gamma}$, we infer that

$$\|f_{\rm in}\|_{L^1} \int_0^T \int f_*(1-f_*) |v_*|^{2s+\gamma} \,\mathrm{d}v_* \leq (M_{2s}(f_{\rm in})+1)C_4(s,T) + \|f_{\rm in}\|_{L^1_2} \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^1_{2s})}, \quad (3.12)$$

which completes the proof.

REMARK 3.3. Unlike the classical Landau equation, for which $M_{2s}(f)$ becomes instantaneously finite for positive times and s > 1, we obtain here the propagation of these moments but not their appearance. This is due to the term $f_*(1-f_*)$ in (3.12). Consequently, we do not recover the same smoothness as in [10, theorems 3 and 5].

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500003280 Published online by Cambridge University Press

423

LEMMA 3.4. For every T > 0, there exists a constant C(s,T) such that

$$K \int_{0}^{T} \int |\nabla f|^{2} (1+|v|^{2})^{s+\gamma/2} \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau$$

$$\leq C(s,T) [1+\|f(1-f)\|_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{1}_{2+\gamma})}] \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}_{2s+\gamma})} + \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^{1}_{2s}}. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. Let $s \ge 0$ and $f_{in} \in L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since $0 \le f \le 1$, equations (2.4), (2.5) and lemma 3.2 imply that $f \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. It follows from (2.1) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int f^2 (1+|v|^2)^s \,\mathrm{d}v \\
= -\int (\bar{A}\nabla f - \bar{b}f(1-f))\nabla (f(1+|v|^2)^s) \,\mathrm{d}v \\
= -\int \bar{A}\nabla f \nabla f (1+|v|^2)^s \,\mathrm{d}v - 2s \int \bar{A}f \nabla f v (1+|v|^2)^{s-1} \,\mathrm{d}v \\
+ \int f(1-f)\bar{b} \cdot \nabla f (1+|v|^2)^s \,\mathrm{d}v + 2s \int \bar{b} \cdot v f^2 (1-f) (1+|v|^2)^{s-1} \,\mathrm{d}v.$$
(3.14)

On one hand, since S(f) is a non-decreasing function and f satisfies (2.5), proposition 2.3 implies that

$$\int \bar{A}\nabla f \nabla f (1+|v|^2)^s \,\mathrm{d}v \ge K \int |\nabla f|^2 (1+|v|^2)^{s+\gamma/2} \,\mathrm{d}v.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that there exists a constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \cdot (\bar{A}v(1+|v|^2)^{s-1})| &\leq C \|f(1-f)\|_{L^1_{2+\gamma}}(1+|v|^2)^{s+\gamma/2}, \qquad (3.15) \\ |\nabla \cdot (\bar{b}(1+|v|^2)^s)| &\leq C \|f\|_{L^1_2}(1+|v|^2)^{s+\gamma/2}, \\ &|\bar{b}| \leq C \|f\|_{L^1_2}(1+|v|^2)^{(1+\gamma)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\int \bar{b} \cdot v f^2 (1-f) (1+|v|^2)^{s-1} \, \mathrm{d}v \leqslant C \|f\|_{L^1_2} \int f^2 (1+|v|^2)^{s+\gamma/2} \, \mathrm{d}v$$

and

$$\begin{split} \int f(1-f)\bar{b}\cdot\nabla f(1+|v|^2)^s \,\mathrm{d}v &= -\int (\frac{1}{2}f^2 - \frac{1}{3}f^3)\nabla\cdot(\bar{b}(1+|v|^2)^s) \,\mathrm{d}v \\ &\leqslant C \|f\|_{L^1_2} \int f^2(1+|v|^2)^{s+\gamma/2} \,\mathrm{d}v, \\ -2\int \bar{A}f\nabla fv(1+|v|^2)^{s-1} \,\mathrm{d}v &= \int f^2\nabla\cdot[\bar{A}v(1+|v|^2)^{s-1}] \,\mathrm{d}v \\ &\leqslant C \|f(1-f)\|_{L^1_{2+\gamma}} \int f^2(1+|v|^2)^{s+\gamma/2} \,\mathrm{d}v. \end{split}$$

Substituting the previous estimates into equation (3.14) and using (2.4) and (2.5) yield (3.13) after integrating with respect to time. $\hfill \Box$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500003280 Published online by Cambridge University Press

4. Existence

This section is devoted to the proof of the existence part of theorem 2.2. First we investigate a regularized problem and show the existence and smoothness of a solution. Indeed, a first difficulty common to both the Landau and LFD equations lies in the fact that the coefficients of the elliptic operator $Q_{\rm L}(f)$ are unbounded. We thus approximate them by bounded ones. However, the coefficients remain non-local, which is the second difficulty to be faced. The existence of approximated solutions follows from a fixed-point method, but, unlike the classical Landau equation, this method has to be applied to a nonlinear equation. Finally, we obtain solutions to the LFD equation as cluster points of sequences of approximated solutions. At this stage, owing to the cubic nature of the LFD equation, weak convergence is not sufficient.

4.1. The regularized problem

Let $(\Psi_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ be a family of smooth bounded functions on \mathbb{R}_+ that coincide with $\Psi(r) = r^{\gamma+2}$ for $0 < \varepsilon < r < \varepsilon^{-1}$ and enjoy the following properties.

- (i) The functions $\Psi'_{\varepsilon}, \Psi''_{\varepsilon}, \Psi^{(3)}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\Psi^{(4)}_{\varepsilon}$ are bounded.
- (ii) For $0 < r < \varepsilon^{-1}$, $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(r) \ge \frac{1}{2}r^{\gamma+2}$. For $r > \varepsilon^{-1}$, $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(r) \ge \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{-(\gamma+2)} > 0$.
- (iii) For every r > 0, $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(r) \leq r^2(1+r^{\gamma})$ and $|\Psi'_{\varepsilon}(r)| \leq (\gamma+2)r(1+r^{\gamma})$.
- (iv) For $0 < r < \varepsilon$, $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(r) = r^2 \nu_{\varepsilon}(r)$, with $\nu_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0, \varepsilon])$, $\nu_{\varepsilon}(0) = 1$, $\nu'_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0$ and $\nu''_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0$.

For $(i, j) \in [\![1, 3]\!]^2$, we set

$$a^{\varepsilon}(z) = (a^{\varepsilon}_{i,j}(z))_{i,j}, \quad \text{with } a^{\varepsilon}_{i,j}(z) = \Psi_{\varepsilon}(|z|) \left(\delta_{i,j} - \frac{z_i z_j}{|z|^2} \right),$$
$$b^{\varepsilon}_i(z) = \sum_k \partial_k a^{\varepsilon}_{i,k}(z) = -\frac{2z_i}{|z|^2} \Psi_{\varepsilon}(|z|),$$
$$c^{\varepsilon}(z) = \sum_{k,l} \partial^2_{kl} a^{\varepsilon}_{k,l}(z) = -\frac{2}{|z|^2} [\Psi_{\varepsilon}(|z|) + |z| \Psi'_{\varepsilon}(|z|)]$$

and consider the regularized problem

$$\partial_t f = \nabla \cdot (\bar{A}^{f,\varepsilon} \nabla f - \bar{b}^{f,\varepsilon} f(1-f)) + \varepsilon \Delta f, \qquad (4.1)$$

$$f(0,\cdot) = f_{\rm in}.\tag{4.2}$$

We first note that, thanks to the properties of Ψ_{ε} , we have the following result. LEMMA 4.1. The functions $a_{i,j}^{\varepsilon}$ and b_i^{ε} belong to $C_b^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The function c^{ε} belongs to $C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

We set

 $K_{\varepsilon} = \max_{i,j} \|a_{i,j}^{\varepsilon}\|_{C_{b}^{4}} + \max_{i} \|b_{i}^{\varepsilon}\|_{C_{b}^{4}} + \|c^{\varepsilon}\|_{C_{b}^{2}}.$

We next investigate the well-posedness of (4.1), (4.2).

THEOREM 4.2. Consider

$$f_{\rm in} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap W^{3,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

such that

$$0 < \alpha_1 e^{-\beta_1 |v|^2} \leqslant f_{\rm in}(v) \leqslant \frac{\alpha_2 e^{-\beta_2 |v|^2}}{1 + \alpha_2 e^{-\beta_2 |v|^2}} < 1 \quad for \ every \ v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{4.3}$$

for positive constants α_1 , α_2 , β_1 and β_2 . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and T > 0. Then there exists a solution f^{ε} to the regularized problem (4.1), (4.2) with initial condition f_{in} such that, for every s > 0, f^{ε} belongs to

$$L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{1}_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) \cap L^{2}(0,T; H^{1}_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^{3})).$$

Let $\beta'_1 \ge \beta_1$, D, E, F and C_L be five positive constants, the values of which we will specify later. We denote by \mathcal{C} the set of functions $f \in \mathcal{C}([0,T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ such that, for all $s, t \in [0, T]$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^2_b(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$0 \leqslant f \leqslant 1,$$

$$\int f(t,v) \, \mathrm{d}v = \int f_{\mathrm{in}}(v) \, \mathrm{d}v,$$

$$\left| \int (f(t,v) - f(s,v))\varphi(v) \, \mathrm{d}v \right| \leqslant C_{\mathrm{L}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2_b} |t-s|,$$

$$\left| \int (f(1-f)(t,v) - f(1-f)(s,v))\varphi(v) \, \mathrm{d}v \right| \leqslant C_{\mathrm{L}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2_b} |t-s|,$$

$$\alpha_1 \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_1' |v|^2} \mathrm{e}^{-Dt} \leqslant f(t,v) \leqslant \frac{\alpha_2 \mathrm{e}^{Et} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_2 |v|^2 / (1+Ft)}}{1 + \alpha_2 \mathrm{e}^{Et} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_2 |v|^2 / (1+Ft)}}.$$

For $g \in \mathcal{C}$, we consider the following quasi-linear problem,

$$\partial_t f = \nabla \cdot [(\bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon I_3)\nabla f - \bar{b}^{g,\varepsilon} f(1-f)], \qquad (4.4)$$

$$f(0,\cdot) = f_{\rm in}, \qquad (4.5)$$

where I_3 denotes the identity matrix of \mathbb{R}^3 .

The existence of solutions to (4.1), (4.2) will follow from the existence of solutions to (4.4), (4.5) by means of a fixed-point method. We thus first study the latter and prove the following result.

THEOREM 4.3. Let $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $g \in C$, there exists a unique classical solution $f^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H}^{2+\delta,(2+\delta)/2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ to (4.4), (4.5) and there is a constant A, depending only on $f_{\rm in}$, δ , T, ε and $C_{\rm L}$, such that

$$\|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\delta,(2+\delta)/2}} \leqslant \Lambda.$$

Moreover, there exist constants β'_1 , D, E, F and C_L, depending only on f_{in} , T and ε , such that f^{ε} belongs to C.

For $T > 0, l > 0, l \notin \mathbb{N}$ and Ω a domain of \mathbb{R}^3 , we consider Hölder spaces $\mathcal{H}^{l,l/2}([0,T] \times \Omega)$, whose norm are

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{l,l/2}} &= \sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T, v \in \mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{|\alpha|+2r \leqslant [l]} |\partial_t^r \partial_\alpha f(t,v)| \\ &+ \sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T, v \neq w} \sum_{|\alpha|+2r = [l]} \frac{|\partial_t^r \partial_\alpha f(t,v) - \partial_t^r \partial_\alpha f(t,w)|}{|v-w|^{l-[l]}} \\ &+ \sup_{s \neq t, v \in \mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{|\alpha|+2r = [l]} \frac{|\partial_t^r \partial_\alpha f(t,v) - \partial_t^r \partial_\alpha f(s,v)|}{|t-s|^{(l-[l])/2}}, \end{split}$$

where [l] denotes the integer part of l and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$.

Thanks to lemma 4.1 and the properties of C, the coefficients of the parabolic operator in (4.4) have the following regularity properties.

LEMMA 4.4. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $g \in C$. For every $(i, j, k) \in [\![1,3]\!]^3$, the functions $\bar{A}_{i,j}^{g,\varepsilon}$, $\bar{b}_i^{g,\varepsilon}$, $\partial_k \bar{A}_{i,j}^{g,\varepsilon}$ and $\bar{c}^{g,\varepsilon}$ belong to the Hölder space $\mathcal{H}^{\delta,\delta/2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$, with

$$\max_{i,j} \|\bar{A}_{i,j}^{g,\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \max_{i} \|\bar{b}_{i}^{g,\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|\bar{c}^{g,\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant K_{\varepsilon} \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^{1}}.$$
(4.6)

Moreover, for every bounded domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^3 , the functions $\bar{A}_{i,j}^{g,\varepsilon}$, $\bar{b}_i^{g,\varepsilon}$, $\partial_k \bar{A}_{i,j}^{g,\varepsilon}$ and $\partial_k \bar{b}_i^{g,\varepsilon}$ belong to the Hölder space $\mathcal{H}^{1+\delta,(1+\delta)/2}([0,T] \times \Omega)$.

Proof of theorem 4.3. Owing to the uniform ellipticity

$$\varepsilon |\xi|^2 \leqslant \sum_{i,j} (\bar{A}_{i,j}^{g,\varepsilon}(v) + \varepsilon \delta_{i,j}) \xi_i \xi_j \leqslant (3K_\varepsilon ||f_{\rm in}||_{L^1} + \varepsilon) |\xi|^2, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (4.7)$$

and classical arguments, the maximum principle and [17, theorem 5.8.1] imply the existence and uniqueness of a solution $f^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H}^{2+\delta,(2+\delta)/2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ to (4.4), (4.5). This solution satisfies

$$0 \leqslant f^{\varepsilon}(t, v) \leqslant 1, \tag{4.8}$$

and there exists a constant Λ , depending only on $f_{\rm in}$, δ , T, ε and $C_{\rm L}$, such that

$$\|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\delta,(2+\delta)/2}} \leqslant \Lambda$$

(see the appendix for a sketch of proof).

We next show that we can choose constants β'_1, D, E, F and C_L such that $f^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}$. First, we verify that, for every $(t, v) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\alpha_1 e^{-\beta_1' |v|^2} e^{-Dt} \leqslant f^{\varepsilon}(t, v) \leqslant \frac{\alpha_2 e^{Et} e^{-\beta_2 |v|^2/(1+Ft)}}{1 + \alpha_2 e^{Et} e^{-\beta_2 |v|^2/(1+Ft)}}.$$
(4.9)

Indeed, introducing

$$\varphi_{\inf}(t,v) = \alpha_1 \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_1' |v|^2} \mathrm{e}^{-Dt},$$

and the parabolic operator ${\cal L}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}u = \partial_t u - \sum_{i,j} (\bar{A}_{i,j}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \delta_{i,j}) \partial_{i,j}^2 u - \sum_i [\bar{B}_i^{g,\varepsilon} - \bar{b}_i^{g,\varepsilon} (1 - 2f^{\varepsilon})] \partial_i u + \bar{c}^{g,\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon}) u,$$

427

we see that $\mathcal{L}\varphi_{\inf} \leq 0$ as soon as

$$\beta_1' \ge \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad D \ge 12\beta_1' K_{\varepsilon}^2 \|f_{\text{in}}\|_{L^1}^2 + 6\beta_1' (K_{\varepsilon} \|f_{\text{in}}\|_{L^1} + \varepsilon) + K_{\varepsilon} \|f_{\text{in}}\|_{L^1}$$

whence

$$\alpha_1 \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_1' |v|^2} \mathrm{e}^{-Dt} \leqslant f^{\varepsilon}(t, v), \quad (t, v) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3,$$

by the comparison principle [17, theorem 1.2.1].

We next set

$$\varphi_{\sup}(t,v) = \frac{\alpha_2 e^{Et} e^{-\beta_2 |v|^2 / (1+Ft)}}{1 + \alpha_2 e^{Et} e^{-\beta_2 |v|^2 / (1+Ft)}},$$

and let \mathcal{M} be the semilinear operator defined by

$$\mathcal{M}u = \partial_t u - \sum_{i,j} (\bar{A}_{i,j}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \delta_{i,j}) \partial_{i,j}^2 u - \sum_i [\bar{B}_i^{g,\varepsilon} - \bar{b}_i^{g,\varepsilon} (1-2u)] \partial_i u + \bar{c}^{g,\varepsilon} (1-u)u.$$

For

$$E \ge 12K_{\varepsilon}^2 \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^1} + K_{\varepsilon} \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^1}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad F \ge 12\beta_2 K_{\varepsilon} \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^1} + 4\beta_2 \varepsilon + \beta_2,$$

we have $\mathcal{M}\varphi_{\sup} \ge 0 = \mathcal{M}f^{\varepsilon}$. Owing to the regularity of the coefficients of the parabolic operator, we are in a position to apply the comparison principle [19, theorem 9.1] to obtain that

$$f^{\varepsilon}(t,v) \leqslant \frac{\alpha_2 \mathrm{e}^{Et} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_2 |v|^2/(1+Ft)}}{1 + \alpha_2 \mathrm{e}^{Et} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_2 |v|^2/(1+Ft)}}.$$

It readily follows from (4.9) and the continuity of f^{ε} that $f^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$. In addition, classical truncation arguments and equations (4.6) and (4.9) allow us to check that

$$\int f^{\varepsilon}(t,v) \,\mathrm{d}v = \int f_{\mathrm{in}}(v) \,\mathrm{d}v, \quad t \in [0,T].$$
(4.10)

It remains now to verify the two Lipschitz properties, and this will be the aim of the three following lemmas. We only give formal calculations, but they can be rigorously justified by standard truncation arguments.

LEMMA 4.5. For every $r \ge 0$, f^{ε} belongs to $L^2(0,T; H^1_{2r}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Moreover, there exists a constant C, depending only on f_{in} , r, ε and T, such that

$$\|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1_{2r})} \leqslant C.$$

Proof. Let $r \ge 0$. We multiply (4.1) by $f^{\varepsilon}(1+|v|^2)^r$ and we integrate with respect to v to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int |f^{\varepsilon}|^{2}(t,v)(1+|v|^{2})^{r}\,\mathrm{d}v = -\int (\bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon}+\varepsilon I_{3})\nabla f^{\varepsilon}\nabla f^{\varepsilon}(1+|v|^{2})^{r}\,\mathrm{d}v$$
$$-2r\int (\bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon}+\varepsilon I_{3})\nabla f^{\varepsilon}vf^{\varepsilon}(1+|v|^{2})^{r-1}\,\mathrm{d}v$$
$$+\int f^{\varepsilon}(1-f^{\varepsilon})\bar{b}^{g,\varepsilon}\cdot\nabla f^{\varepsilon}(1+|v|^{2})^{r}\,\mathrm{d}v$$
$$+2r\int (f^{\varepsilon})^{2}(1-f^{\varepsilon})\bar{b}^{g,\varepsilon}\cdot v(1+|v|^{2})^{r-1}\,\mathrm{d}v.$$

After integrating over (0, t), we infer from (4.6), (4.7) and Young's inequality that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \int |f^{\varepsilon}|^{2}(t,v)(1+|v|^{2})^{r} \,\mathrm{d}v + \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int |\nabla f^{\varepsilon}|^{2}(1+|v|^{2})^{r} \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int |\nabla f^{\varepsilon}|^{2}(1+|v|^{2})^{r} \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ C_{\varepsilon}[3K_{\varepsilon}||f_{\mathrm{in}}||_{L^{1}} + \varepsilon]^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int f^{\varepsilon}(1+|v|^{2})^{r-1} \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int |\nabla f^{\varepsilon}|^{2}(1+|v|^{2})^{r} \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau + C_{\varepsilon}K_{\varepsilon}^{2}||f_{\mathrm{in}}||_{L^{1}}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int f^{\varepsilon}(1+|v|^{2})^{r} \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ CK_{\varepsilon}||f_{\mathrm{in}}||_{L^{1}} \int_{0}^{t} \int f^{\varepsilon}(1+|v|^{2})^{r} \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int |f_{\mathrm{in}}|^{2}(1+|v|^{2})^{r} \,\mathrm{d}v. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\varepsilon \int_0^t \int |\nabla f^\varepsilon|^2 (1+|v|^2)^r \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau$$

$$\leqslant C(\varepsilon, M_{\mathrm{in}}) \int_0^t \int f^\varepsilon (1+|v|^2)^r \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau + \frac{3}{2} \int |f_{\mathrm{in}}|^2 (1+|v|^2)^r \,\mathrm{d}v,$$

and (4.9) implies that the right-hand side of the above inequality is bounded. $\hfill\square$

LEMMA 4.6. The function f^{ε} belongs to $L^{\infty}(0,T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Moreover, there exists a constant G, depending only on f_{in} , ε and T, such that

$$\|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H^{1})} \leqslant G$$

Proof. We first observe that

$$f^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H}^{2+\delta,(2+\delta)/2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathcal{H}^{3+\delta,(3+\delta)/2}([0,T] \times \Omega)$$

for each bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, by [17, theorem 5.8.1]. We may thus differentiate (4.4) with respect to v_k and obtain

$$\partial_t \partial_k f^{\varepsilon} = \nabla \cdot \left[(\bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon I_3) \nabla \partial_k f^{\varepsilon} + \partial_k \bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon} \nabla f^{\varepsilon} - \bar{b}^{g,\varepsilon} (1 - 2f^{\varepsilon}) \partial_k f^{\varepsilon} - \partial_k \bar{b}^{g,\varepsilon} f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon}) \right].$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int (\partial_k f^\varepsilon)^2 \,\mathrm{d}v = -\int (\bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon I_3)\nabla \partial_k f^\varepsilon \nabla \partial_k f^\varepsilon \,\mathrm{d}v \\ -\int \partial_k \bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon} \nabla f^\varepsilon \nabla \partial_k f^\varepsilon \,\mathrm{d}v \\ +\int (1-2f^\varepsilon)\bar{b}^{g,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \partial_k f^\varepsilon \partial_k f^\varepsilon \,\mathrm{d}v \\ +\int f^\varepsilon (1-f^\varepsilon)\partial_k \bar{b}^{g,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \partial_k f^\varepsilon \,\mathrm{d}v,$$

and (4.6), (4.7) and Young's inequality lead to

$$\begin{split} \int |\partial_k f^{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}v + 2\varepsilon \int_0^t \int |\nabla \partial_k f^{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\leqslant \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon \int_0^t \int |\nabla \partial_k f^{\varepsilon}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ C_{\varepsilon} K_{\varepsilon}^2 \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^1}^2 \|\nabla f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)}^2 + C_{\varepsilon} T K_{\varepsilon}^2 \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^1}^3 + \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{H^1}^2 \end{split}$$

Lemma 4.6 then readily follows from the above inequality by lemma 4.5.

LEMMA 4.7. There exists a constant $C_{\rm L}$, depending only on $f_{\rm in}$, ε and T, such that, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^2_b(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\sigma, t \in [0, T]$,

$$\left| \int (f^{\varepsilon}(t,v) - f^{\varepsilon}(\sigma,v))\varphi(v) \,\mathrm{d}v \right| \leq C_{\mathrm{L}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2}_{b}} |t-\sigma|,$$
$$\left| \int (f^{\varepsilon}(1-f^{\varepsilon})(t,v) - f^{\varepsilon}(1-f^{\varepsilon})(\sigma,v))\varphi(v) \,\mathrm{d}v \right| \leq C_{\mathrm{L}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2}_{b}} |t-\sigma|.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^2_b(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Classical truncation arguments ensure that

$$\int f^{\varepsilon}(t,v)\varphi(v) \,\mathrm{d}v - \int f^{\varepsilon}(\sigma,v)\varphi(v) \,\mathrm{d}v$$
$$= \int_{\sigma}^{t} \mathrm{d}\tau \bigg\{ \sum_{i,j} \int \bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon}_{i,j} f^{\varepsilon} \partial^{2}_{i,j} \varphi \,\mathrm{d}v + \int f^{\varepsilon} \bar{B}^{g,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi \,\mathrm{d}v$$
$$+ \int f^{\varepsilon} (1-f^{\varepsilon}) \bar{b}^{g,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi \,\mathrm{d}v + \varepsilon \int f^{\varepsilon} \Delta \varphi \,\mathrm{d}v \bigg\}.$$
(4.11)

The first inequality of lemma 4.7 then readily follows from (4.10), (4.11) and lemma 4.4 with $C_{\rm L} \ge C_1 = C(K_{\varepsilon} \| f_{\rm in} \|_{L^1} + \varepsilon) \| f_{\rm in} \|_{L^1}$. Similarly, we infer from (4.4) that, for $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})(t, v)\varphi(v) \, \mathrm{d}v - \int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})(\sigma, v)\varphi(v) \, \mathrm{d}v$$

$$= \int_{\sigma}^{t} \mathrm{d}\tau \bigg\{ -\int (\bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon I_{3})\nabla f^{\varepsilon} [(1 - 2f^{\varepsilon})\nabla\varphi - 2\varphi\nabla f^{\varepsilon}] \, \mathrm{d}v$$

$$- 2\int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})\varphi \bar{b}^{g,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla f^{\varepsilon} \, \mathrm{d}v$$

$$+ \int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})(1 - 2f^{\varepsilon}) \bar{b}^{g,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla\varphi \, \mathrm{d}v \bigg\}. \quad (4.12)$$

With the notation $M_1: M_2 = \sum_{i,j} M_{1i,j} M_{2i,j}$ for any two matrices M_1 and M_2 , we have

$$\int (\bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon I_3) \nabla f^{\varepsilon} (1 - 2f^{\varepsilon}) \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}v$$

= $-\int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon}) \nabla \cdot ((\bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon I_3) \nabla \varphi) \, \mathrm{d}v$
= $-\int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon}) \bar{B}^{g,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}v - \int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon}) (\bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon I_3) : \nabla^2 \varphi \, \mathrm{d}v,$

and the identity (4.12) becomes

$$\begin{split} \int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})(t, v) \varphi(v) \, \mathrm{d}v &- \int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})(\sigma, v) \varphi(v) \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &= \int_{\sigma}^{t} \mathrm{d}\tau \bigg\{ \int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon}) \bar{B}^{g, \varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}v + \int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon}) (\bar{A}^{g, \varepsilon} + \varepsilon I_{3}) : \nabla^{2} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &+ 2 \int (\bar{A}^{g, \varepsilon} + \varepsilon I_{3}) \nabla f^{\varepsilon} \nabla f^{\varepsilon} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}v - 2 \int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon}) \varphi \bar{b}^{g, \varepsilon} \cdot \nabla f^{\varepsilon} \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &+ \int f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon}) (1 - 2f^{\varepsilon}) \bar{b}^{g, \varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}v \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

The second inequality of lemma 4.7 then follows with the help of (4.10) and lemmas 4.4 and 4.6 with

$$C_{\rm L} \ge C_2 = C(K_{\varepsilon} \| f_{\rm in} \|_{L^1} + \varepsilon)(\| f_{\rm in} \|_{L^1} + G^2).$$

Choosing $C_{\rm L} = \max(C_1, C_2)$ completes the proof of lemma 4.7.

We have thus found β'_1 , D, E, F and C_L , depending only on f_{in} , T and ε , such that, if $g \in \mathcal{C}$, $f^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}$ and the proof of theorem 4.3 is complete.

Proof of theorem 4.2. We fix β'_1 , D, E, F and C_L as in theorem 4.3. For $g \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote by $\Phi(g)$ the unique solution $f^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H}^{2+\delta,(2+\delta)/2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ to (4.4), (4.5). Then $\Phi(g) \in \mathcal{C}$ by theorem 4.3 and we now check that $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is continuous and compact for the topology of $\mathcal{C}([0,T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

CONTINUITY OF Φ . Consider $g_1 \in \mathcal{C}$, $g_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and put $f_i^{\varepsilon} = \Phi(g_i)$ for i = 1, 2. Then $u = f_1^{\varepsilon} - f_2^{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$\begin{split} \partial_t u &- \sum_{i,j} (\bar{A}_{i,j}^{g_1,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \delta_{i,j}) \partial_{i,j}^2 u - \sum_j [\bar{B}_j^{g_1,\varepsilon} - \bar{b}_j^{g_1,\varepsilon} (1 - f_1^\varepsilon - f_2^\varepsilon)] \partial_j u \\ &+ \left[\bar{c}^{g_1,\varepsilon} (1 - f_1^\varepsilon - f_2^\varepsilon) - \sum_j \bar{b}_j^{g_1,\varepsilon} (\partial_j f_1^\varepsilon + \partial_j f_2^\varepsilon) \right] u = \Upsilon, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Upsilon &= \sum_{i,j} (\bar{A}_{i,j}^{g_1,\varepsilon} - \bar{A}_{i,j}^{g_2,\varepsilon}) \partial_{i,j}^2 f_2^\varepsilon + \sum_j (\bar{B}_j^{g_1,\varepsilon} - \bar{B}_j^{g_2,\varepsilon}) \partial_j f_2^\varepsilon \\ &- \sum_j (\bar{b}_j^{g_1,\varepsilon} - \bar{b}_j^{g_2,\varepsilon}) (1 - 2f_2^\varepsilon) \partial_j f_2^\varepsilon - (\bar{c}^{g_1,\varepsilon} - \bar{c}^{g_2,\varepsilon}) f_2^\varepsilon (1 - f_2^\varepsilon). \end{split}$$

Since u belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{2+\delta,(2+\delta)/2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ and is bounded $(|u| \leq 1)$, we infer from the maximum principle [17, theorem 1.2.5] that

$$\sup_{[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3} |f_1^{\varepsilon} - f_2^{\varepsilon}| \leqslant \bigg(\sup_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f_1^{\varepsilon} - f_2^{\varepsilon}|(0) + T \max_{[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3} |\Upsilon| \bigg) e^{\omega T},$$

with

$$\omega = K_{\varepsilon} \| f_{\rm in} \|_{L^1} (1 + 6\Lambda)$$

431

where the constant Λ is given by theorem 4.3. Since $f_1^{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot) = f_{in} = f_2^{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot)$ and

$$|\Upsilon| \leqslant K_{\varepsilon}|g_1 - g_2|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T];L^1)} \left(\sum_{i,j} \sup |\partial_{i,j}^2 f_2^{\varepsilon}| + 4\sum_j \sup |\partial_j f_2^{\varepsilon}| + 1\right),$$

we deduce that

. . . .

$$\sup_{[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3} |f_1^{\varepsilon} - f_2^{\varepsilon}| \leqslant CT \mathrm{e}^{\omega T} |g_1 - g_2|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T];L^1)}.$$

Now, for R > 0, we have

$$\begin{split} |f_{1}^{\varepsilon} - f_{2}^{\varepsilon}|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T];L^{1})} \\ &\leqslant \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_{|v| \leqslant R} |f_{1}^{\varepsilon} - f_{2}^{\varepsilon}|(t,v) \, \mathrm{d}v + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_{|v| \geqslant R} (|f_{1}^{\varepsilon}| + |f_{2}^{\varepsilon}|)(t,v) \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &\leqslant CR^{3}T\mathrm{e}^{\omega T}|g_{1} - g_{2}|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T];L^{1})} + 2\alpha_{2}\mathrm{e}^{ET} \int_{|v| \geqslant R} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_{2}|v|^{2}/(1+FT)} \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &\leqslant C(T)R^{3}|g_{1} - g_{2}|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T];L^{1})} + \frac{C(T)}{R^{3}}, \end{split}$$

whence

$$|f_1^{\varepsilon} - f_2^{\varepsilon}|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T];L^1)} \leq C(T)|g_1 - g_2|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T];L^1)}^{1/2}$$

with the choice

$$R = |g_1 - g_2|_{\mathcal{C}([0,T];L^1)}^{-1/6}.$$

COMPACTNESS OF Φ . For $m \ge 4$, we have

$$L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset (H^m(\mathbb{R}^3))',$$

with a compact embedding $L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since

$$\begin{split} \varPhi(\mathcal{C}) & \text{ is bounded in } L^{\infty}(0,T;L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)),\\ \partial_t\varPhi(\mathcal{C}) & \text{ is bounded in } L^r(0,T;(H^m(\mathbb{R}^3))'), \end{split}$$

with r > 1, by theorem 4.3, we deduce from [27, corollary 4] that $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

We are now in a position to complete the proof of theorem 4.2. Indeed, \mathcal{C} is a nonempty, convex, closed and bounded subset from the Banach space $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Since Φ is a compact and continuous map from \mathcal{C} into \mathcal{C} , the Schauder fixed-point theorem ensures the existence of a fixed point of Φ , that is, of a solution to (4.1), (4.2). In addition, equation (4.9) and lemma 4.5 warrant that f^{ε} has the desired properties.

4.2. Uniform estimates

In order to pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (4.1), (4.2) and obtain a solution to (2.1), (2.2), we first need to establish uniform estimates on f^{ε} that do not depend on ε . These estimates are actually similar to those listed in § 3. In the following, we denote by C any constant depending only on γ , $M_{\rm in}$, $E_{\rm in}$ and $S_{\rm in}$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500003280 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 4.8. For all $\sigma, t \in [0, T]$, $\sigma \leq t$, the function f^{ε} satisfies

$$\int f^{\varepsilon}(t,v) \,\mathrm{d}v = M_{\mathrm{in}},\tag{4.13}$$

$$\int f^{\varepsilon}(t,v)|v|^2 \,\mathrm{d}v = E_{\mathrm{in}} + 6\varepsilon M_{\mathrm{in}}t \leqslant E_{\mathrm{in}} + 6\varepsilon M_{\mathrm{in}}T \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$S_{\rm in} \leqslant S(f^{\varepsilon})(\sigma) \leqslant S(f^{\varepsilon})(t),$$
 (4.15)

where $M_{\rm in} = M_0(f_{\rm in})$, $E_{\rm in} = M_2(f_{\rm in})$ and $S_{\rm in} = S(f_{\rm in})$.

Proof. Since $f^{\varepsilon} \in C$, the first equality holds true. It then follows from (4.6), (4.9) and (4.11) with $\varphi(v) = |v|^2$ that

$$\int f^{\varepsilon}(t,v)|v|^2 \,\mathrm{d}v - \int f_{\mathrm{in}}(v)|v|^2 \,\mathrm{d}v = 6\varepsilon \int_0^t \int f^{\varepsilon}(\tau,v) \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau,$$

whence (4.14) by (4.13).

Finally, since f^{ε} is differentiable with respect to time and satisfies $0 < f^{\varepsilon}(t, v) < 1$ for every $(t, v) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$, by (4.9), we have

$$\partial_t [f^{\varepsilon} \ln f^{\varepsilon} + (1 - f^{\varepsilon}) \ln(1 - f^{\varepsilon})] = [\ln f^{\varepsilon} - \ln(1 - f^{\varepsilon})] \partial_t f^{\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore, thanks to (4.1),

$$\begin{split} S(f^{\varepsilon})(t) &= S(f_{\rm in}) + \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau \int [\bar{A}^{\varepsilon} \nabla f^{\varepsilon} - \bar{b}^{\varepsilon} f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})] \frac{\nabla f^{\varepsilon}}{f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})} \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &+ \varepsilon \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau \int \frac{|\nabla f^{\varepsilon}|^2}{f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})} \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &= S(f_{\rm in}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau \iint a^{\varepsilon} (v - v_*) (f^{\varepsilon}_* (1 - f^{\varepsilon}_*) \nabla f^{\varepsilon} - f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon}) \nabla f^{\varepsilon}_*) \\ &\times \left(\frac{\nabla f^{\varepsilon}}{f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})} - \frac{\nabla f^{\varepsilon}_*}{f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})} \right) \mathrm{d}v_* \mathrm{d}v \\ &+ \varepsilon \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau \int \frac{|\nabla f^{\varepsilon}|^2}{f^{\varepsilon} (1 - f^{\varepsilon})} \, \mathrm{d}v. \end{split}$$

Since the matrix a^{ε} is non-negative, we conclude that the function $S(f^{\varepsilon})$ is non-decreasing and (4.15) follows.

We next consider the ellipticity of the diffusion matrix, the propagation of moments and the smoothness of f^{ε} . Proceeding as in the proof of proposition 2.3, with the help of the properties of Ψ_{ε} , we first have the following results.

PROPOSITION 4.9. We denote by R_* the constant given by lemma 3.1. For every $0 < \varepsilon \leq (3R_*)^{-1}$, we have the following.

(i) Let $f \in \mathcal{Y}(E_{\text{in}}, S_{\text{in}})$. Then there exists a constant K > 0, depending only on γ , E_{in} and S_{in} , such that, for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\sum_{i,j} (\bar{A}_{i,j}^{\varepsilon}(v) + \varepsilon \delta_{i,j}) \xi_i \xi_j \ge K(1 + |v|^2)^{\gamma/2} [\min((\varepsilon|v|)^{-1}, \frac{1}{2})]^{\gamma+2} |\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

(ii) If $f(1-f) \in L^1_{\gamma+2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then there exists a constant C > 0, depending only on $M_{\gamma+2}(f)$ and $M_0(f)$, such that, for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$0 \leq \sum_{i,j} (\bar{A}_{i,j}^{\varepsilon}(v) + \varepsilon \delta_{i,j}) \xi_i \xi_j \leq (C(1+|v|^{\gamma+2}) + \varepsilon) |\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

In fact, the proof of the first point also gives a uniform (with respect to ε) ellipticity estimate.

COROLLARY 4.10. For $0 < \varepsilon \leq (3R_*)^{-1}$, there exists a constant κ , depending only on γ , $E_{\rm in}$ and $S_{\rm in}$, such that, for every $f \in \mathcal{Y}(E_{\rm in}, S_{\rm in})$,

$$\sum_{i,j} (\bar{A}_{i,j}^{\varepsilon}(v) + \varepsilon \delta_{i,j}) \xi_i \xi_j \ge \kappa \frac{|\xi|^2}{1 + |v|^2}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

We next proceed as in the proof of lemma 3.2 to show the following result.

LEMMA 4.11. For all T > 0, s > 1, there exists a constant Γ , depending only on s, T and $\|f_{\text{in}}\|_{L^{1}_{rs}}$, such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|f^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^{1}_{2s}} + \int_{0}^{T} \iint \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon}(|v-v_{*}|)}{|v-v_{*}|^{2}} |v_{*}|^{2s} f^{\varepsilon} f^{\varepsilon}_{*} (1-f^{\varepsilon}_{*}) \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant \Gamma(\|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^{1}_{2s}}).$$

$$\tag{4.16}$$

REMARK 4.12. The constant Γ increases with $||f_{in}||_{L^{1}_{2s}}$.

Finally, a proof similar to that of lemma 3.4 leads to the following H^1 estimate. LEMMA 4.13. For all T > 0, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, $s \ge 0$, there exists a constant C > 0, depending only on s and T, such that

$$K \int_{0}^{T} \int |\nabla f^{\varepsilon}|^{2} (1+|v|^{2})^{s+\gamma/2} [\min((\varepsilon|v|)^{-1}, \frac{1}{2})]^{\gamma+2} \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau$$

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{T} \iint f^{\varepsilon} f^{\varepsilon}_{*} (1-f^{\varepsilon}_{*}) \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon}(|v-v_{*}|)}{|v-v_{*}|^{2}} |v_{*}|^{2} (1+|v|^{2})^{s-1} \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\tau$$

$$+ C (1+\varepsilon) \|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}_{2s+\gamma})} + \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^{1}_{2s}}.$$
(4.17)

In particular, for $s \in [0, 1]$, we have, for every $\delta > 0$,

$$K \int_{0}^{T} \int |\nabla f^{\varepsilon}|^{2} (1+|v|^{2})^{s+\gamma/2} [\min((\varepsilon|v|)^{-1}, \frac{1}{2})]^{\gamma+2} \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau$$

$$\leq C\Gamma(\|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^{1}_{2+\delta}}) + C(1+\varepsilon) \|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}_{2s+\gamma})} + \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^{1}_{2s}}.$$
(4.18)

Using corollary 4.10 instead of proposition 4.9 in the proof of lemma 4.13 yields the following result.

COROLLARY 4.14. For all T > 0, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $s \ge 0$, there exists a constant C > 0, depending only on s and T, such that

$$\begin{split} \kappa \int_0^T &\int |\nabla f^{\varepsilon}|^2 (1+|v|^2)^{s-1} \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau \\ \leqslant C \int_0^T &\iint f^{\varepsilon} f^{\varepsilon}_* (1-f^{\varepsilon}_*) \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon}(|v-v_*|)}{|v-v_*|^2} |v_*|^2 (1+|v|^2)^{s-1} \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_* \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ C(1+\varepsilon) \|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^1_{2s+\gamma})} + \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^1_{2s}} \end{split}$$

Proof of lemma 4.13. A slight change to the proof of lemma 3.4 is required here, since we do not have an estimate on $f^{\varepsilon}(1-f^{\varepsilon})$ in $L^1(0,T; L^1_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ because Ψ_{ε} is bounded. Thus (3.15) has to be replaced by

$$\begin{split} \left| \int (f^{\varepsilon})^{2} \nabla \cdot (\bar{A}^{\varepsilon} v(1+|v|^{2})^{s-1}) \,\mathrm{d}v \right| \\ &\leqslant C \iint f^{\varepsilon} f^{\varepsilon}_{*}(1-f^{\varepsilon}_{*}) \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon}(|v-v_{*}|)}{|v-v_{*}|^{2}} |v_{*}|^{2} (1+|v|^{2})^{s-1} \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} + C \|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}_{2}} \|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}_{2s+\gamma}}, \end{split}$$

which gives (4.17).

Let $s \leq 1$ and $\delta > 0$. We deduce from lemma 4.11 with $s = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta$, Young's inequality and equations (4.13), (4.14) that

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{t} \iint f^{\varepsilon} f_{*}^{\varepsilon} (1 - f_{*}^{\varepsilon}) \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon}(|v - v_{*}|)}{|v - v_{*}|^{2}} |v_{*}|^{2} (1 + |v|^{2})^{s - 1} \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & \leqslant \int_{0}^{t} \iint f^{\varepsilon} f_{*}^{\varepsilon} (1 - f_{*}^{\varepsilon}) \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon}(|v - v_{*}|)}{|v - v_{*}|^{2}} (1 + |v_{*}|^{2 + \delta}) \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ & \leqslant CT \|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{1}_{2})}^{2} + \Gamma(\|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^{1}_{2 + \delta}}). \end{split}$$

Formula (4.18) then follows directly from (4.17).

4.3. Proof of theorem 2.2

Consider f_{in} satisfying (2.3) and such that $f_{\text{in}} \in L^1_{2s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $s_0 > 1$. There exists a sequence of functions $(f_{\text{in},k})_{k \ge 1}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap W^{3,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $f_{\text{in},k} \to f_{\text{in}}$ in $L^1_{2s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$C'_k \mathrm{e}^{-\delta'_k |v|^2} \leqslant f_{\mathrm{in},k} \leqslant \frac{C_k \mathrm{e}^{-\delta_k |v|^2}}{1 + C_k \mathrm{e}^{-\delta_k |v|^2}}$$

for some positive constants C_k , C'_k , δ_k and δ'_k .

For every $k \ge 1$, we set

$$\varepsilon_k = \frac{1}{k}$$
 and $f_k = f^{\varepsilon_k}$,

where f^{ε_k} denotes the solution to (4.1), (4.2) with initial datum $f_{\text{in},k}$ given by theorem 4.2.

435

LEMMA 4.15. There exists a non-negative function

$$f \in \mathcal{C}_w([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$$

and a subsequence of $(f_k)_{k \ge 1}$ (not relabelled) that converges to f in $L^2(0, T; L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$, in $\mathcal{C}_w([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and a.e. on $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

In addition, $0 \leq f \leq 1$ a.e. on $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Here, $C_w([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ denotes the space of weakly continuous functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since $0 \leq f_k \leq 1$, it follows from lemma 4.15 and Hölder's inequality that $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ converges to f in $L^p((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty[$.

Proof. For $m \ge 4$ and r > 0, we have

$$H^{1}_{2s_{0}-2-\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \cap L^{1}_{r}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \subset L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) \subset (H^{m}_{2+2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))',$$

the embedding of $H^1_{2s_0-2-\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ being compact. Since the sequence $(f_{\mathrm{in},k})_{k \ge 1}$ converges to f_{in} in $L^1_{2s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there exists κ_0 such that $\|f_{\mathrm{in},k}\|_{L^1_{2s_0}} \le \kappa_0$ and

$$\Gamma(\|f_{\mathrm{in},k}\|_{L^{1}_{2so}}) \leqslant \Gamma(\kappa_{0}) \quad \text{for } k \ge 1,$$
(4.19)

by remark 4.12. We then deduce from lemma 4.11 and corollary 4.14 that

$$(f_k)_{k \ge 1}$$
 is bounded in $L^2(0,T; H^1_{2s_0-2-\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1_2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$ (4.20)

Next, for $\varphi \in H^m_{2+2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\int \partial_t f_k \varphi \, \mathrm{d}v = \sum_{i,j} \iint a_{i,j}^{\varepsilon_k} (v - v_*) f_k f_{k*} (1 - f_{k*}) \partial_{i,j}^2 \varphi \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_* + \varepsilon_k \int f_k \Delta \varphi \, \mathrm{d}v + \sum_i \iint b_i^{\varepsilon_k} (v - v_*) f_k f_{k*} (1 - f_k) (\partial_i \varphi - \partial_i \varphi_*) \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_*.$$
(4.21)

Hence

$$\begin{split} \left| \int \partial_t f_k \varphi \, \mathrm{d}v \right| &\leqslant C \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \iint \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon_k}(|v-v_*|)}{|v-v_*|^2} |v_*|^2 f_k f_{k*} (1-f_{k*}) \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_* \\ &+ C \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \|f_k\|_{L_2^1}^2 + C \|\varphi\|_{H_{2+2\gamma}^2} \|f_k\|_{L_2^1}^{3/2} + \varepsilon_k \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^1} \end{split}$$

Since $m \ge 4$, we infer from lemma 4.11 and the continuous embedding of $H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ into $W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ that

$$(\partial_t f_k)_{k \ge 1}$$
 is bounded in $L^1(0,T;(H^m_{2+2\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))').$ (4.22)

By [27, corollary 4], we conclude from (4.20) and (4.22) that $(f_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is relatively compact in the space $L^2(0,T;L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Therefore, there exists a function $f \in L^2(0,T;L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and a subsequence of $(f_k)_{k\geq 1}$ (not relabelled) such that $(f_k)_{k\geq 1}$ converges towards f in $L^2(0,T;L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and a.e. on $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500003280 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Moreover, we deduce from (4.21) that, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with compact support included in B_R for some R > 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f_{k}(t)\varphi \,\mathrm{d}v - \int f_{k}(\sigma)\varphi \,\mathrm{d}v \right| \\ &\leqslant C \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \int_{\sigma}^{t} \iint_{|v|\leqslant R} \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon_{k}}(|v-v_{*}|)}{|v-v_{*}|^{2}} |v_{*}|^{2} f_{k} f_{k*}(1-f_{k*}) \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ C \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} |t-\sigma|[\|f_{k}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}_{2})}^{2} + \|f_{k}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}_{2})}^{3/2} + \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^{1}}]. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.23)$$

From lemma 4.11, we deduce that, for R' > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{T} \iint_{|v| \leq R', |v_{*}| \leq R'} \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon_{k}}(|v-v_{*}|)}{|v-v_{*}|^{2}} |v_{*}|^{2s_{0}} f_{k} f_{k*}(1-f_{k*}) \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\tau \leq \Gamma(\|f_{\mathrm{in},k}\|_{L^{1}_{2s_{0}}}) \leq \Gamma(\kappa_{0}).$$

We may then pass to the limit as $k \to +\infty$ thanks to the a.e. convergence of $(f_k)_{k \ge 1}$ and $(\Psi_{\varepsilon_k})_{k \ge 1}$ and then, as $R' \to +\infty$, by the Fatou lemma to obtain

$$\int_{0}^{T} \iint |v - v_{*}|^{\gamma} |v_{*}|^{2s_{0}} ff_{*}(1 - f_{*}) \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant \Gamma(\kappa_{0}).$$
(4.24)

Next, it is easy to check, by means of the a.e. convergence and (4.24), that

$$\left(\iint_{|v|\leqslant R} \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon_k}(|v-v_*|)}{|v-v_*|^2} f_k f_{k*}(1-f_{k*}) |v_*|^2 \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_*\right)_{k\geqslant 1}$$

converges towards

$$\iint_{|v| \leq R} |v - v_*|^{\gamma} |v_*|^2 ff_* (1 - f_*) \, \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{d} v_*$$

in $L^1(0,T)$. Therefore, the Vitali theorem implies that

$$\lim_{|t-\sigma|\to 0} \sup_{k\ge 1} \int_{\sigma}^{t} \iint_{|v|\leqslant R} f_k f_{k*} (1-f_{k*}) \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon_k}(|v-v_*|)}{|v-v_*|^2} |v_*|^2 \, \mathrm{d}v \, \mathrm{d}v \, \mathrm{d}\tau = 0.$$

We then deduce from (4.23) that the sequence $(\int f_k \varphi \, dv)_{k \ge 1}$ is equicontinuous and bounded in $\mathcal{C}([0,T])$. The Arzela–Ascoli theorem ensures that it is relatively compact in $\mathcal{C}([0,T])$. From the convergence of $(f_k)_{k\ge 1}$ towards f in $L^1((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, we deduce that $\int f\varphi \, dv$ is the unique cluster point of $(\int f_k \varphi \, dv)_{k\ge 1}$. Therefore, $(\int f_k \varphi \, dv)_{k\ge 1}$ converges to $\int f\varphi \, dv$ in $\mathcal{C}([0,T])$. Since the sequence $(f_k)_{k\ge 1}$ and its limit f are bounded in $L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$, it follows that $(f_k)_{k\ge 1}$ converges towards f in $\mathcal{C}_w([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

LEMMA 4.16. The limit f of the sequence $(f_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is a solution to the Landau– Fermi–Dirac equation (2.1), (2.2) which satisfies (2.4) and (2.5).

Proof.

STEP 1 (conservation of mass and energy). Let $t \in [0, T]$. Due to lemma 4.11 and (4.19), we have

$$\int_{|v| \leq R} f_k(t, v) |v|^{2s_0} \, \mathrm{d}v \leq \int f_k(t, v) |v|^{2s_0} \, \mathrm{d}v$$
$$\leq \Gamma(\kappa_0)$$

for each $k \ge 1$. Thanks to lemma 4.15 and the Fatou lemma, we may let $k \to +\infty$, and then $R \to +\infty$, and obtain

$$\int f(t,v)|v|^{2s_0} \,\mathrm{d}v \leqslant \Gamma(\kappa_0). \tag{4.25}$$

Combining lemmas 4.11, 4.15 and (4.25), we see that $(M_{2r}(f_k))_{k \ge 1}$ converges strongly towards $M_{2r}(f)$ in $\mathcal{C}([0,T])$ for $r \in [0, s_0)$. Since $(f_{\mathrm{in},k})_{k \ge 1}$ converges to f_{in} in $L^1_{2s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $s_0 > 1$, we deduce

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int f_k(t, v) \, \mathrm{d}v = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int f_{\mathrm{in},k}(v) \, \mathrm{d}v = \int f_{\mathrm{in}}(v) \, \mathrm{d}v,$$
$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \int |v|^2 f_k(t, v) \, \mathrm{d}v = \lim_{k \to +\infty} (M_2(f_{\mathrm{in},k}) + 6\varepsilon_k M_0(f_{\mathrm{in},k})t) = M_2(f_{\mathrm{in}}).$$

We thus conclude that f conserves mass and energy.

STEP 2 (passage to the limit in the weak formulation (4.11)). For all $k \ge 1$, $\varphi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $t \in [0, T]$, the functions f_k satisfy

$$\int f_k(t,v)\varphi(v) \,\mathrm{d}v - \int f_{\mathrm{in},k}(v)\varphi(v) \,\mathrm{d}v$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint a_{i,j}^{\varepsilon_k}(v-v_*) f_k f_{k*}(1-f_{k*}) \partial_{i,j}^2 \varphi \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_*$$

$$+ \varepsilon_k \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\sigma \int f_k \Delta \varphi \,\mathrm{d}v$$

$$+ \sum_i \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint b_i^{\varepsilon_k}(v-v_*) f_k f_{k*}(2-f_k-f_{k*}) \partial_i \varphi \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_*. \quad (4.26)$$

Our aim here is to pass to the limit as $k \to +\infty$ in (4.26). By lemma 4.15, it is obvious for the left-hand side and the second integral in the right-hand side. We thus have to consider the two remaining integrals. As $(\Psi_{\varepsilon_k})_{k \ge 1}$ converges pointwise towards Ψ , the functions $a_{i,j}^{\varepsilon_k}$ and $b_i^{\varepsilon_k}$ defined at the beginning of §4.1 converge towards $a_{i,j}$ and b_i , respectively. Consider $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with compact support included in B_R for some R > 0. Let R' > 0. We first turn our attention to the

integral involving the matrix a^{ε_k} ,

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{i,j} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint [a_{i,j}^{\varepsilon_{k}}(v-v_{*})f_{k}f_{k*}(1-f_{k*}) - a_{i,j}(v-v_{*})ff_{*}(1-f_{*})]\partial_{i,j}^{2}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_{*} \right| \\ & \leqslant \left| \sum_{i,j} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint_{B_{R} \times B_{R'}} [a_{i,j}^{\varepsilon_{k}}(v-v_{*})f_{k}f_{k*}(1-f_{k*}) \\ & - a_{i,j}(v-v_{*})ff_{*}(1-f_{*})]\partial_{i,j}^{2}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_{*} \right| \\ & + C \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint_{\{|v| \leqslant R, |v_{*}| \geqslant R'\}} \Psi_{\varepsilon_{k}}(|v-v_{*}|)f_{k}f_{k*}(1-f_{k*}) \,\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ & + C \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint_{\{|v| \leqslant R, |v_{*}| \geqslant R'\}} |v-v_{*}|^{\gamma+2}ff_{*}(1-f_{*}) \,\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}v_{*}. \end{split}$$

$$(4.27)$$

The a.e. convergence of a^{ε_k} and f_k , the bound on f_k , the properties of Ψ_{ε_k} and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem imply that the first term of the right-hand side of (4.27) converges to zero. For the two others, it follows from (4.16) and (4.24) that

$$\begin{split} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint_{\{|v| \leqslant R, |v_*| \geqslant R'\}} |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} ff_* (1 - f_*) \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_* \\ &\leqslant 2[R^2 R'^{-2s_0} + R'^{2-2s_0}] \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint |v - v_*|^{\gamma} |v_*|^{2s_0} ff_* (1 - f_*) \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_* \\ &\leqslant 2\Gamma(\kappa_0)[R^2 R'^{-2s_0} + R'^{2-2s_0}] \end{split}$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint_{\{|v| \leq R, |v_{*}| \geq R'\}} \Psi_{\varepsilon_{k}}(|v-v_{*}|) f_{k} f_{k_{*}}(1-f_{k_{*}}) \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ \leq 2\Gamma(\kappa_{0}) [R^{2} R'^{-2s_{0}} + R'^{2-2s_{0}}].$$

We then substitute these estimates in equation (4.27) and let first $k \to +\infty$ and then $R' \to +\infty$ to obtain that the left-hand side converges to zero as $k \to +\infty$.

We proceed analogously for the integral of (4.26) which involves the function $b^{\varepsilon_k},$

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint [b_{i}^{\varepsilon_{k}}(v-v_{*})f_{k}f_{k*}(2-f_{k}-f_{k*}) \\ &-b_{i}(v-v_{*})ff_{*}(2-f-f_{*})]\partial_{i}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \right| \\ &\leqslant \left| \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint_{B_{R} \times B_{R'}} [b_{i}^{\varepsilon_{k}}f_{k}f_{k*}(2-f_{k}-f_{k*}) - b_{i}ff_{*}(2-f-f_{*})]\partial_{i}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \right| \\ &+ C \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint_{\{|v| \leqslant R, |v_{*}| \geqslant R'\}} \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon_{k}}(v-v_{*})}{|v-v_{*}|} f_{k}f_{k*} \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \\ &+ C \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint_{\{|v| \leqslant R, |v_{*}| \geqslant R'\}} |v-v_{*}|^{1+\gamma} ff_{*} \,\mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*}. \end{split}$$
(4.28)

For the first term of the right-hand side, we use again the a.e. convergence of a^{ε_k} and f_k , the bound on f_k , the properties of Ψ_{ε_k} and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, whereas for the other two, we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} d\sigma \iint_{\{|v| \leq R, |v_{*}| \geq R'\}} |v - v_{*}|^{1+\gamma} ff_{*} dv dv_{*} \\
\leq C \int_{0}^{t} d\sigma \iint_{\{|v| \leq R, |v_{*}| \geq R'\}} (1 + |v|^{2})^{(1+\gamma)/2} (1 + |v_{*}|^{2})^{(1+\gamma)/2} ff_{*} dv dv_{*} \\
\leq CT (1 + R'^{2})^{(1+\gamma-2s_{0})/2} ||f||_{L^{1}_{2}} ||f||_{L^{1}_{2s_{0}}}$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}\sigma \iint_{\{|v| \leq R, |v_{*}| \geq R'\}} \frac{\Psi_{\varepsilon_{k}}(v - v_{*})}{|v - v_{*}|} f_{k} f_{k_{*}} \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_{*} \leq CT (1 + R'^{2})^{(1 + \gamma - 2s_{0})/2} \|f_{k}\|_{L^{1}_{2s_{0}}}^{2} \\ \leq CT (1 + R'^{2})^{(1 + \gamma - 2s_{0})/2} \Gamma(\kappa_{0})^{2},$$

by lemma 4.11. Inserting the estimates in (4.28) and letting first $k \to +\infty$ and then $R' \to +\infty$, we obtain that the left-hand side converges to zero as $k \to +\infty$.

Therefore, f is a weak solution to the Landau–Fermi–Dirac equation (2.1), (2.2), which preserves mass and energy.

Moreover, we deduce from (4.24) and (4.25) that f satisfies

$$f(1-f) \in L^{1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; L^{1}_{2s_{0}+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) \text{ and } f \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; L^{1}_{2s_{0}}(\mathbb{R}^{3})).$$
 (4.29)

Distinguishing the cases $s_0 < 1 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma$ and $s_0 \ge 1 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma$, we infer from (4.17) and (4.18) the existence of a constant $C(T, \kappa_0)$ such that, for all $R > 0, k \ge \frac{1}{2}R$,

$$(\frac{1}{2})^{2+\gamma} \int_0^T \int_{|v| \leq R} |\nabla f_k|^2 (1+|v|^2)^{s_0} \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\tau \leq (1+\varepsilon_k) C(T,\kappa_0).$$

Letting first $k \to +\infty$, thanks to a weak compactness argument, and then $R \to +\infty$ by the Fatou lemma, we conclude that

$$\nabla f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2_{2s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$
(4.30)

Therefore, the proof of the first statement of theorem 2.2 is now complete.

We now verify that, when $f_{in} \in L^1_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the entropy of f is a non-decreasing function, which corresponds to the second statement of theorem 2.2. For this purpose, we first need a smoothness result.

LEMMA 4.17. Let $f_{in} \in L^1_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying (2.3). The weak solution f to (2.1), (2.2) given by lemma 4.16 belongs to $\mathcal{C}([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

Proof. Let us first show that

$$\partial_t f \in L^2(0, T; (H^1_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))').$$
 (4.31)

Indeed, the function f satisfies, in the sense of distributions,

$$\partial_t f = \nabla \cdot \left[\bar{A} \nabla f - \bar{b} f (1 - f) \right]$$

Moreover, since the initial datum belongs to $L^1_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

 $f \in L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{1}_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) \cap L^{2}(0,T; H^{1}_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{3})),$

by (4.29) and (4.30). Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \cdot [\bar{A}\nabla f]\|_{(H^{1}_{2+\gamma})'} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^{1}_{2+\gamma}} \|f\|_{H^{1}_{2+\gamma}}, \\ \nabla \cdot [\bar{b}f(1-f)]\|_{(H^{1}_{2+\gamma})'} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^{1}_{2}}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

whence (4.31). Since

$$H^1_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^2_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset (H^1_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))',$$

with continuous and dense embeddings, and

$$f \in L^2(0,T; H^1_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$$
 and $\partial_t f \in L^2(0,T; (H^1_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))'),$

we have $f \in \mathcal{C}([0,T]; L^2_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ by [23, proposition 1.2.1 and theorem 1.3.1] (see also [14, theorem 5.9.3]). Lemma 4.17 then follows, since $L^2_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. \Box

LEMMA 4.18. Let $f_{in} \in L^1_{2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying (2.3). Let f denote the weak solution to (2.1), (2.2) given by lemma 4.16. The entropy S(f) is a continuous and non-decreasing function such that, for $t \ge 0$,

$$S(f_{\rm in}) \leqslant S(f)(t) \leqslant E_{\rm in} + \int e^{-|v|^2} dv.$$

$$(4.32)$$

Proof. We first show the continuity of S(f). Let $t \ge 0$ and $(t_n)_{n\ge 1}$ be a sequence converging to t. Lemma 4.17 implies that $(f(t_n))_{n\ge 1}$ converges towards f(t) in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. One can extract a subsequence $f(t_{\varphi(n)})_{n\ge 1}$ which converges a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 towards f(t).

From the inequality

$$s(r) \leq r|v|^2 + e^{-|v|^2} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq 1,$$
 (4.33)

where $s(r) = r |\ln r| + (1 - r) |\ln(1 - r)|$, we deduce that

$$\begin{split} |S(f)(t_{\varphi(n)}) - S(f)(t)| \\ &\leqslant \left| \int_{|v|\leqslant R} (s(f)(t_{\varphi(n)}) - s(f)(t)) \, \mathrm{d}v \right| \\ &+ \int_{|v|\geqslant R} (f(t_{\varphi(n)}) + f(t))|v|^2 \, \mathrm{d}v + 2 \int_{|v|\geqslant R} \mathrm{e}^{-|v|^2} \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &\leqslant \left| \int_{|v|\leqslant R} (s(f)(t_{\varphi(n)}) - s(f)(t)) \, \mathrm{d}v \right| + 2R^{-\gamma} \Gamma(\kappa_0) + 2 \int_{|v|\geqslant R} \mathrm{e}^{-|v|^2} \, \mathrm{d}v, \end{split}$$

and hence the convergence of $(S(f)(t_{\varphi(n)}))_{n \ge 1}$ towards S(f)(t). Since $(S(f)(t_n))_{n \ge 1}$ is bounded by (2.5) and (4.33) and has a unique cluster point S(f)(t), we conclude that $(S(f)(t_n))_{n \ge 1}$ converges to S(f)(t).

Let us now prove the monotonicity of S(f). Consider $h \ge 0$ and $0 \le \sigma \le t$. We deduce from (4.15) that

$$hS(f_{\mathrm{in},k}) \leqslant \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+h} S(f_k)(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant \int_{t}^{t+h} S(f_k)(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau.$$
(4.34)

As previously, inequality (4.33) implies that

$$\int_0^T |S(f_k) - S(f)| \,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$\leqslant \int_0^T \left| \int_{B_R} (s(f_k) - s(f)) \,\mathrm{d}v \right| \,\mathrm{d}t + 2TR^{-\gamma} \Gamma(\kappa_0) + 2T \int_{|v| \ge R} \mathrm{e}^{-|v|^2} \,\mathrm{d}v.$$

and thus that $(S(f_k))_{k \ge 1}$ converges to S(f) in $L^1(0,T)$. Similarly, $(S(f_{\text{in},k}))_{k \ge 1}$ converges to $S(f_{\text{in}})$. We may then pass to the limit as $k \to +\infty$ in (4.34) to obtain

$$S(f_{\rm in}) \leqslant \frac{1}{h} \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+h} S(f)(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant \frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} S(f)(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau$$

Letting $h \to 0$, thanks to the continuity of S(f), completes the proof of the monotonicity of S(f) and the first inequality in (4.32). Finally, the second inequality in (4.32) follows from (4.33).

5. Uniqueness

In this section, we are concerned with the uniqueness issue. As previously mentioned, we first need an embedding lemma for weighted Sobolev spaces because of the non-quadratic nature of the LFD collision operator.

LEMMA 5.1. For all $r \ge 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant C > 0 such that, for every function $h \in H_{2r}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\|h\|_{L^4_{2r}} \leqslant C\varepsilon^{-3/4} \|h\|_{L^2_{2r}} + C\varepsilon^{1/4} \|\nabla h\|_{L^2_{2r}}.$$

The proof of lemma 5.1 is an easy extension of [26, lemma 3.6.7], where the above inequality is established for r = 0.

THEOREM 5.2. Let $f_{in} \in L^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, with $2s > 4\gamma + 11$, satisfying (2.3). Then there is a unique weak solution f to (2.1), (2.2) (in the sense of definition 2.1) such that

$$f \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1_{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

REMARK 5.3. Since $0 \leq f_{\text{in}} \leq 1$, f_{in} belongs to $L_{2s}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as soon as it belongs to $L_{2s}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus we do not need any extra assumption in a weighted L^2 -space as in [10].

Proof. We only give formal computations in order to highlight the difference with the proof for the classical Landau equation performed in [10, theorem 7]. Let f_1 and f_2 be two solutions to (2.1), (2.2) satisfying the requirements of theorem 5.2. We set $u = f_1 - f_2$ and $w = f_1 + f_2$. The function u satisfies, in the sense of distributions,

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \{ \bar{A}^{u(1-w)} \nabla w + (\bar{A}^{f_1} + \bar{A}^{f_2}) \nabla u - b^u [f_1(1-f_1) + f_2(1-f_2)] - b^w u(1-w) \}$$

Then, for every q > 0,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int |u|^2 (1+|v|^2)^q \,\mathrm{d}v = -\int \bar{A}^{u(1-w)} \nabla w \nabla [u(1+|v|^2)^q] \,\mathrm{d}v \tag{5.1}$$

$$-\int [\bar{A}^{f_1} + \bar{A}^{f_2}] \nabla u \nabla [u(1+|v|^2)^q] \,\mathrm{d}v$$
(5.2)

$$+\int \bar{b}^{u}[f_{1}(1-f_{1})+f_{2}(1-f_{2})]\nabla[u(1+|v|^{2})^{q}]\,\mathrm{d}v \quad (5.3)$$

+
$$\int \bar{b}^w u(1-w)\nabla[u(1+|v|^2)^q] \,\mathrm{d}v.$$
 (5.4)

We first consider (5.2) and (5.4):

$$(5.2) + (5.4) = -q \int [\bar{A}^{f_1} + \bar{A}^{f_2}] \nabla (u^2) (1 + |v|^2)^{q-1} v \, dv - \int [\bar{A}^{f_1} + \bar{A}^{f_2}] \nabla u \nabla u (1 + |v|^2)^q \, dv + \frac{1}{2} \int \bar{b}^w \cdot \nabla (u^2) (1 + |v|^2)^q \, dv - \int u w \bar{b}^w \cdot \nabla u (1 + |v|^2)^q \, dv + 2q \int u^2 (1 - w) \bar{b}^w \cdot v (1 + |v|^2)^{q-1} \, dv.$$

With the ellipticity of the diffusion matrix and an integration by parts in the integrals involving the term $\nabla(u^2)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) + (5.4) \\ \leqslant & -2K \int |\nabla u|^2 (1+|v|^2)^{q+\gamma/2} \, \mathrm{d}v + q \int u^2 [\bar{B}^{f_1} + \bar{B}^{f_2}] \cdot v(1+|v|^2)^{q-1} \, \mathrm{d}v \\ & + q \int u^2 [\bar{A}^{f_1} + \bar{A}^{f_2}] : \nabla [(1+|v|^2)^{q-1}v] \, \mathrm{d}v - \frac{1}{2} \int u^2 \bar{c}^w (1+|v|^2)^q \, \mathrm{d}v \\ & - q \int u^2 \bar{b}^w \cdot v(1+|v|^2)^{q-1} \, \mathrm{d}v - \int uw \bar{b}^w \cdot \nabla u(1+|v|^2)^q \, \mathrm{d}v \\ & + 2q \int u^2 \bar{b}^w \cdot v(1+|v|^2)^{q-1} \, \mathrm{d}v - 2q \int u^2 w \bar{b}^w \cdot v(1+|v|^2)^{q-1} \, \mathrm{d}v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(5.2) + (5.4) \leqslant -2K \int |\nabla u|^2 (1+|v|^2)^{q+\gamma/2} \,\mathrm{d}v + \int u^2 E \,\mathrm{d}v + \left| \int u w \bar{b}^w \cdot \nabla u (1+|v|^2)^q \,\mathrm{d}v \right| + 2q \left| \int u^2 w \bar{b}^w \cdot v (1+|v|^2)^{q-1} \,\mathrm{d}v \right|,$$

where

$$\begin{split} E &= q[\bar{B}^{f_1} + \bar{B}^{f_2} + \bar{b}^w] \cdot v(1 + |v|^2)^{q-1} \\ &+ q[\bar{A}^{f_1} + \bar{A}^{f_2}] : \nabla[(1 + |v|^2)^{q-1}v] - \frac{1}{2}\bar{c}^w(1 + |v|^2)^q. \end{split}$$

Now

$$\begin{split} E &= q \int [f_{1*}(1 - f_{1*}) + f_{2*}(1 - f_{2*})] |v - v_*|^{\gamma} (1 + |v|^2)^{q-2} \\ & \times \{-2|v|^2 (v \cdot v_*) + 2q|v|^2 |v_*|^2 - 2(q-1)(v \cdot v_*)^2 - 2v \cdot v_* + 2|v_*|^2\} \, \mathrm{d}v_* \\ & + \int w_* |v - v_*|^{\gamma} (1 + |v|^2)^{q-1} \{(\gamma + 3 - 2q)|v|^2 + 2q(v \cdot v_*) + \gamma + 3\} \, \mathrm{d}v_*, \end{split}$$

and choosing $2q > \gamma + 3$, we deduce that $E \leqslant C(1 + |v|^2)^q$ since

$$f_i \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^1_{\gamma+2}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Consequently,

$$(5.2) + (5.4) \leqslant -2K \int |\nabla u|^2 (1+|v|^2)^{q+\gamma/2} \, \mathrm{d}v + C \int u^2 (1+|v|^2)^q \, \mathrm{d}v + \left| \int uw \bar{b}^w \cdot \nabla u (1+|v|^2)^q \, \mathrm{d}v \right| + 2q \left| \int u^2 w \bar{b}^w \cdot v (1+|v|^2)^{q-1} \, \mathrm{d}v \right|.$$
(5.5)

From Hölder's inequality and lemma 5.1, we deduce that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left| \int uw\bar{b}^{w} \cdot \nabla u(1+|v|^{2})^{q} \, \mathrm{d}v \right| \leq C \|w\|_{L^{2}_{2q+2\gamma+4}}^{1/2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}_{2q+\gamma}} \|u\|_{L^{4}_{2q}} \leq C_{\varepsilon} \|w\|_{L^{2}_{2q+2\gamma+4}}^{4} \|u\|_{L^{2}_{2q}}^{2} + \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}_{2q+\gamma}}^{2}$$
(5.6)

and

$$\left| \int u^2 w \bar{b}^w \cdot v (1+|v|^2)^{q-1} \, \mathrm{d}v \right| \leq C \|w\|_{L^2_{2q+2\gamma}} \|u\|_{L^4_{2q}}^2$$
$$\leq C_{\varepsilon} \|w\|_{L^2_{2q+2\gamma}}^4 \|u\|_{L^2_{2q}}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|_{L^2_{2q+\gamma}}^2. \tag{5.7}$$

Finally, substituting (5.6) and (5.7) in (5.5), we find

$$(5.2) + (5.4) \leqslant -2(K - \varepsilon) \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}_{2q+\gamma}}^{2} + C_{\varepsilon} \|u\|_{L^{2}_{2q}}^{2} (1 + \|w\|_{L^{2}_{2q+2\gamma+4}}^{4}).$$
(5.8)

It remains now to consider (5.1) and (5.3). In the sequel, we use the notation Π for $\Pi(v-v_*)$. We have

$$(5.1) + (5.3) = -\iint \Pi |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} u_* (1 - w_*) \nabla w \nabla u (1 + |v|^2)^q \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_*$$
(5.9)

$$-2q \iint_{\mathcal{L}} \Pi |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} u_* (1 - w_*) \nabla w u v (1 + |v|^2)^{q-1} \, \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{d} v_*$$
(5.10)

$$-2 \iint (v - v_*) \cdot \nabla u |v - v_*|^{\gamma} u_* [f_1(1 - f_1) + f_2(1 - f_2)] (1 + |v|^2)^q \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_*$$
(5.11)

$$-4q \iint (v - v_*) \cdot v |v - v_*|^{\gamma} u_* [f_1(1 - f_1) + f_2(1 - f_2)] u(1 + |v|^2)^{q-1} \, \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}v_*.$$
(5.12)

Using successively the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$|v - v_*|^{2r} \leq C(1 + |v|^2)^r (1 + |v_*|^2)^r$$

and the Fubini theorem, we find

$$(5.9) \leqslant C \left\{ \iint |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} |u_*| |\nabla u|^2 (1 + |v|^2)^{q-1} \, \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{d} v_* \right\}^{1/2} \\ \times \left\{ \iint |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} |u_*| |\nabla w|^2 (1 + |v|^2)^{q+1} \, \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{d} v_* \right\}^{1/2} \\ \leqslant C ||u||_{L^1_{\gamma+2}} ||\nabla u||_{L^2_{2q+\gamma}} ||\nabla w||_{L^2_{2q+\gamma+4}},$$

$$(5.10) \leqslant C \left\{ \iint |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} |v|^2 |u_*| ||\nabla w|^2 (1 + |v|^2)^{q-1+\gamma/2} \, \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{d} v_* \right\}^{1/2} \\ \times \left\{ \iint |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} |u_*| |u|^2 (1 + |v|^2)^{q-1-\gamma/2} \, \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{d} v_* \right\}^{1/2} \\ \leqslant C ||u||_{L^1_{\gamma+2}} ||u||_{L^2_{2q}} ||\nabla w||_{L^2_{2q+2\gamma+2}},$$

$$(5.11) \leqslant C \left\{ \iint |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} |u_*| ||w|^2 (1 + |v|^2)^q \, \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{d} v_* \right\}^{1/2} \\ \times \left\{ \iint |v - v_*|^{\gamma} |u_*| ||\nabla u|^2 (1 + |v|^2)^q \, \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{d} v_* \right\}^{1/2} \\ \leqslant C ||u||_{L^1_{\gamma+2}} ||\nabla u||_{L^2_{2q+\gamma}} ||w||_{L^2_{2q+\gamma+2}},$$

$$(5.12) \leqslant C \left\{ \iint |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2} |u_*| ||w|^2 (1 + |v|^2)^{q-1+\gamma/2} \, \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{d} v_* \right\}^{1/2} \\ \times \left\{ \iint |v - v_*|^{\gamma} |v|^2 |u_*| ||u|^2 (1 + |v|^2)^{q-1-\gamma/2} \, \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{d} v_* \right\}^{1/2} \\ \leqslant C ||u||_{L^1_{\gamma+2}} ||u||_{L^2_{2q}} ||w||_{L^2_{2q+2\gamma}}.$$

Since $\gamma \leq 1$, we thus obtain

$$(5.1) + (5.3) \leq CB(t) \|u\|_{L^{1}_{\gamma+2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}_{2q+\gamma}} + CB(t) \|u\|_{L^{1}_{\gamma+2}} \|u\|_{L^{2}_{2q}} + CA \|u\|_{L^{1}_{\gamma+2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}_{2q+\gamma}} + CA \|u\|_{L^{1}_{\gamma+2}} \|u\|_{L^{2}_{2q}} \leq C(A + B(t)) \|u\|_{L^{1}_{\gamma+2}} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}_{2q+\gamma}} + \|u\|_{L^{2}_{2q}}),$$

where

$$A = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|w(t)\|_{L^{2}_{2q+2\gamma+4}} \quad \text{and} \quad B(t) = \|\nabla w(t)\|_{L^{2}_{2q+\gamma+4}}$$

Now, for $\delta > 0$, we have

 $||u||_{L^{1}_{\gamma+2}} \leqslant C_{\delta} ||u||_{L^{2}_{2\gamma+7+\delta}},$

and thus, for $2q > 2\gamma + 7$,

$$(5.1) + (5.3) \leqslant \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}_{2q+\gamma}}^{2} + C_{\varepsilon}(1 + A^{2} + B^{2}(t))\|u\|_{L^{2}_{2q}}^{2}.$$
 (5.13)

445

From (5.8) and (5.13), we infer that

$$\|u\|_{L^{2}_{2q}}^{2}(t) \leq C \int_{0}^{t} (1 + A^{2} + A^{4} + B^{2}(\tau)) \|u\|_{L^{2}_{2q}}^{2}(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau.$$

Since A is finite and B belongs to $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, we may use the Gronwall lemma and conclude that $u = 0 = f_1 - f_2$.

Appendix A. Well-posedness of (4.4), (4.5)

We give here further details for the proof of the well-posedness statement of theorem 4.3. In order to apply [17, theorem 5.8.1], we introduce the quasi-linear problem

$$\partial_t f = \nabla \cdot \left((\bar{A}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon I_3) \nabla f \right) - (1 - 2f) \bar{b}^{g,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla f - \bar{c}^{g,\varepsilon} f \theta(f),$$
(A1)
$$f(0, \cdot) = f_{\text{in}}.$$
(A2)

where the function θ is defined on \mathbb{R} by

$$\theta(f) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f \leqslant 0, \\ 1 - f & \text{if } 0 \leqslant f \leqslant 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } f \geqslant 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\delta \in (0,1)$. Then $f_{in} \in \mathcal{H}^{2+\delta}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Owing to lemma 4.4 and the uniform ellipticity (4.7), the functions α_i and α defined by

$$\alpha_i(t, v, \xi) = \sum_j (\bar{A}_{i,j}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \delta_{i,j}) \xi_j \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(t, v, f, \xi) = (1 - 2f) \sum_k \bar{b}_k^{g,\varepsilon} \xi_k + \bar{c}^{g,\varepsilon} f \theta(f)$$

satisfy the assumptions of [17, theorem 5.8.1], which implies the existence of a solution f^{ε} to (A 1), (A 2) belonging to the Hölder space $\mathcal{H}^{2+\delta,(2+\delta)/2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, there exists a constant Λ , depending only on $f_{\rm in}$, δ , T, ε and $C_{\rm L}$, such that

$$\|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2+\delta,(2+\delta)/2}} \leqslant \Lambda$$

It remains to prove that $0 \leq f^{\varepsilon}(t, v) \leq 1$. To this end, we consider the linear operator \mathcal{L}_1 defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_1 u = \partial_t u - \sum_{i,j} (\bar{A}_{i,j}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \delta_{i,j}) \partial_{i,j}^2 u - \sum_i [\bar{B}_i^{g,\varepsilon} - \bar{b}_i^{g,\varepsilon} (1 - 2f^\varepsilon)] \partial_i u + \bar{c}^{g,\varepsilon} \theta(f^\varepsilon) u.$$

Let R > 0. As soon as

$$C \ge 6K_{\varepsilon} \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^1} + 6\varepsilon + 12(1+\Lambda)^2 K_{\varepsilon}^2 \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^1}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \ge 1 + K_{\varepsilon} \|f_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{L^1},$$

we deduce from the comparison principle [17, theorem 1.2.1] that

$$f^{\varepsilon}(t,v) \ge -\frac{\Lambda}{R^2}(|v|^2 + Ct)\mathrm{e}^{\lambda t}, \quad (t,v) \in [0,T] \times B_R.$$

We let $R \to +\infty$ and obtain $f^{\varepsilon}(t, v) \ge 0$ for every $(t, v) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Next, we introduce the quasi-linear operator \mathcal{L}_2 defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_2 u = \partial_t u - \sum_{i,j} (\bar{A}_{i,j}^{g,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \delta_{i,j}) \partial_{i,j}^2 u - \sum_i [\bar{B}_i^{g,\varepsilon} - \bar{b}_i^{g,\varepsilon} (1 - 2f^\varepsilon)] \partial_i u + \bar{c}^{g,\varepsilon} f^\varepsilon \theta(u).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500003280 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Let R > 0. For

$$C \ge 6K_{\varepsilon} \|f_{\rm in}\|_{L^1} + 6\varepsilon + 12(1+\Lambda)^2 K_{\varepsilon}^2 \|f_{\rm in}\|_{L^1}^2,$$

it follows from the comparison principle for quasi-linear equations [19, theorem 9.1] that

$$f^{\varepsilon}(t,v) \leq 1 + \frac{\Lambda}{R^2} (|v|^2 + Ct) \mathrm{e}^t, \quad (t,v) \in [0,T] \times B_R.$$

Letting R go to infinity, we obtain that $f^{\varepsilon}(t,v) \leq 1$ for every $(t,v) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Consequently, there exists a solution to (4.4), (4.5) in $\mathcal{H}^{2+\delta,(2+\delta)/2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$. The uniqueness of such a solution follows easily from the comparison principle [17, theorem 1.2.5].

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Ph. Laurençot and M. Lemou for many helpful suggestions. The preparation of this paper was partly supported by the POLONIUM project ÉGIDE–KBN 2003–05643SE, and by the EU network HYKE under the contract HPRN-CT-2002-00282.

References

- 1 R. Alexandre. On some related non homogeneous 3D Boltzmann models in the non cutoff case. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **40** (2000), 493–524.
- 2 A. A. Arsen'ev and O. E. Buryak. On the connection between a solution of the Boltzmann equation and a solution of the Landau–Fokker–Planck equation. *Mat. USSR Sb.* **69** (1991), 465–478.
- 3 C. Cercignani. The Boltzmann equation and its applications (Springer, 1988).
- 4 C. Cercignani, R. Illner and M. Pulvirenti. *The mathematical theory of dilute gases* (Springer, 1994).
- 5 S. Chapman and T. G. Cowling. *The mathematical theory of non-uniform gases* (Cambridge University Press, 1970).
- P.-H. Chavanis. On the 'coarse-grained' evolution of collisionless stellar systems. MNRAS 300 (1998), 981–991.
- 7 P. Danielewicz. Nonrelativistic and relativistic Landau/Fokker–Planck equation for arbitrary statistics. *Physica* A 100 (1980), 167–182.
- 8 P. Degond and B. Lucquin-Desreux. The Fokker–Planck asymptotics of the Boltzmann collision operator in the Coulomb case. *Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci.* 2 (1992), 167–182.
- 9 L. Desvillettes. On asymptotics of the Boltzmann equation when the collisions become grazing. *Transport Theory Statist. Phys.* **21** (1992), 259–276.
- 10 L. Desvillettes and C. Villani. On the spatially homogeneous Landau equation for hard potentials. Part I. Existence, uniqueness and smoothness. *Commun. PDEs* 25 (2000), 179– 259.
- 11 R. J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions. On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability. Ann. Math. 130 (1989), 321–366.
- 12 J. Dolbeault. Kinetic models and quantum effects: a modified Boltzmann equation for Fermi–Dirac particles. Arch. Ration. Mech. Analysis 127 (1994), 101–131.
- 13 M. Escobedo, S. Mischler and M. A. Valle. Homogeneous Boltzmann equation in quantum relativistic kinetic theory. *Electron. J. Diff. Eqns* **4** (2003).
- 14 L. C. Evans. Partial differential equations. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19 (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1998).
- Y. Guo. The Landau equation in a periodic box. Commun. Math. Phys. 231 (2002), 391– 434.

447

- 16 B. B. Kadomtsev and O. P. Pogutse. Collisionless relaxation in systems with Coulomb interactions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25** (1970), 1155–1157.
- 17 O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. V. Solonnikov and N. N. Ural'ceva. Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1968).
- 18 M. Lemou. Linearized quantum and relativistic Fokker–Planck–Landau equations. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 23 (2000), 1093–1119.
- 19 G. M. Lieberman. Second order parabolic differential equations (World Scientific, 1996).
- 20 E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii. Course of theoretical physics, vol. 10 (Oxford: Pergamon, 1981). (Translated from Russian by J. B. Sykes and R. N. Franklin.)
- 21 P.-L. Lions. Compactness in Boltzmann's equation via Fourier integral operators and applications. III. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 34 (1994), 539–584.
- 22 P.-L. Lions. On Boltzmann and Landau equations. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 346 (1994), 191–204.
- 23 J.-L. Lions and E. Magenes. Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications, vol. 1 (Paris: Dunod, 1968).
- 24 X. Lu. On spatially homogeneous solutions of a modified Boltzmann equation for Fermi-Dirac particles. J. Stat. Phys. 105 (2001), 353–388.
- 25 X. Lu and B. Wennberg. On stability and strong convergence for solutions to the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation for Fermi–Dirac particles. Arch. Ration. Mech. Analysis 168 (2003), 1–34.
- 26 M. Miklavčič. Applied functional analysis and partial differential equations (World Scientific, 1998).
- 27 J. Simon. Compact sets in the space $L^p(0,T;B)$. Annli Mat. Pura Appl. 146 (1987), 65–96.
- 28 C. Villani. On the Cauchy problem for Landau equation: sequential stability, global existence. Adv. Diff. Eqns 1 (1996), 793–816.
- 29 C. Villani. On a new class of weak solutions to the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann and Landau equations. Arch. Ration. Mech. Analysis 143 (1998), 273–307.
- 30 C. Villani. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. In Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics, vol. 1, pp. 71–305 (Amsterdam: North-Holland, 2002).

(Issued 29 June 2004)

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500003280 Published online by Cambridge University Press