

## PROBABILITY AND BIAS IN GENERATING SUPERSOLUBLE GROUPS

ELEONORA CRESTANI, GIOVANNI DE FRANCESCHI AND ANDREA LUCCHINI

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy*  
(crestani.eleonora@gmail.com; giovanni.defranceschi@auckland.ac.nz;  
lucchini@math.unipd.it)

(Received 25 August 2014)

*Abstract* We discuss some questions related to the generation of supersoluble groups. First we prove that the number of elements needed to generate a finite supersoluble group  $G$  with good probability can be quite a lot larger than the smallest cardinality  $d(G)$  of a generating set of  $G$ . Indeed, if  $G$  is the free prosupersoluble group of rank  $d \geq 2$  and  $d_P(G)$  is the minimum integer  $k$  such that the probability of generating  $G$  with  $k$  elements is positive, then  $d_P(G) = 2d + 1$ . In contrast to this, if  $k - d(G) \geq 3$ , then the distribution of the first component in a  $k$ -tuple chosen uniformly in the set of all the  $k$ -tuples generating  $G$  is not too far from the uniform distribution.

*Keywords:* supersoluble groups; product replacement algorithm; generation; profinite groups

2010 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 20P05

### 1. Introduction

It is well known that a profinite group  $G$ , being a compact topological group, can be seen as a probability space. If we denote by  $\mu$  the normalized Haar measure on  $G$ , so that  $\mu(G) = 1$ , the probability that  $k$  random elements generate  $G$  is defined as

$$P_G(k) = \mu(\{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in G^k \mid \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = G\}),$$

where  $\mu$  also denotes the product measure on  $G^k$ . A profinite group  $G$  is said to be positively finitely generated (PFG) if  $P_G(k)$  is positive for some natural number  $k$ , and the least such natural number is denoted by  $d_P(G)$ . Not all finitely generated profinite groups are PFG; for example, if  $\hat{F}_d$  is the free profinite group of rank  $d \geq 2$ , then  $P_{\hat{F}_d}(t) = 0$  for every  $t \geq d$  (see, for example, [8]). However, Mann proved that finitely generated prosoluble groups are PFG [11]. In [10, 12] it was proved that if  $\hat{F}_{d,\text{sol}}$  is the free prosoluble group of rank  $d \geq 2$ , then  $d_P(\hat{F}_{d,\text{sol}}) = \lceil c(d-1) + 1 \rceil$ , with  $c = \log_9 48 + \frac{1}{3} \log_9 24 + 1 \simeq 3.243$  the Pálffy–Wolf constant. As a consequence, if  $G$  is a finitely generated prosoluble group with  $d(G) \neq 1$ , then  $d_P(G) \leq \lceil c(d(G)-1) + 1 \rceil$ . For several prosoluble groups this inequality is far from being sharp. For example,  $d_P(G) \leq d(G) + 1$  if  $G$  is pronilpotent. The first aim of this paper is to investigate the value of  $d_P(G)$

when  $G$  is a finitely generated prosupersoluble group. We will prove that in this case  $d_P(G) \leq 2d(G) + 1$ , and this result is the best possible. Indeed, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $G$  is the free prosupersoluble group of rank  $d \geq 2$ , then  $d_P(G) = 2d + 1$ .*

In the second part of the paper we study the bias of group generators in the case of finite supersoluble groups. Let us first recall some definitions. Given a finite group  $G$ , a sequence of  $t$  group elements  $(g_1, \dots, g_t)$  is called a generating  $t$ -tuple of  $G$  if  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle = G$ . Let  $Q_{G,t}$  be the probability distribution on  $G$  of the first components of  $t$ -tuples chosen uniformly from the set  $\Phi_G(t)$  of all generating  $t$ -tuples of  $G$ . We estimate the bias of the distribution  $Q_{G,t}$  considering the variation distance between  $Q_{G,t}$  and the uniform distribution  $U_G$ :

$$\beta_t(G) = \|Q_{G,t} - U_G\|_{tv} = \max_{B \subseteq G} |Q_{G,t}(B) - U_G(B)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in G} \left| Q_{G,t}(g) - \frac{1}{|G|} \right|.$$

We have that  $0 \leq \beta_t(G) \leq 1$ , and the smaller  $\beta_t(G)$  is, the closer is  $Q_{G,t}$  to the uniform distribution  $U_G$ . The invariant  $\beta_t(G)$  plays a crucial role when one analyses the efficiency of the ‘product replacement algorithm’, a practical algorithm to construct random elements of a finite group, designed by Leedham-Green and Soicher (see [2, 14]). For the product replacement algorithm to generate ‘random’ group elements, it is necessary that  $Q_{G,t}$  be close to  $U_G$ . In [1] Babai and Pak demonstrated a defect in the product replacement algorithm: for certain groups,  $Q_{G,t}$  is far from  $U_G$ . We can reformulate their result in the context of profinite groups. Indeed, let  $G$  be a  $t$ -generated profinite group:  $G$  is the inverse limit of its finite epimorphic images  $G/N$ , where  $N$  runs over the set  $\mathcal{N}$  of the open normal subgroups of  $G$  and for every choice of  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  two probability distributions  $Q_{G/N,t}$  and  $U_{G/N}$  are defined on the quotient group  $G/N$ ; this allows us to consider  $G$  as a measure space obtained as an inverse system of finite probability spaces in two different ways. One of the two measures obtained in this way is the usual normalized Haar measure  $\mu_G$ . The other measure  $\kappa_{G,t}$  has the property that  $\kappa_{G,t}(X) = \inf_{N \in \mathcal{N}} Q_{G/N,t}(XN/N)$  for every closed subset  $X$  of  $G$ . We estimate the bias of the measure  $\kappa_{G,t}$  by considering

$$\beta_t(G) = \|\kappa_{G,t} - \mu_G\|_{tv} = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(G)} |\kappa_{G,t}(B) - \mu_G(B)| = \sup_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \beta_t(G/N),$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is the set of measurable subsets of  $G$ . The result of Babai and Pak implies that if  $\hat{F}_2$  is the free profinite group of rank 2 and  $t \geq 4$ , and then  $\beta_t(\hat{F}_2) = 1$ . In [14] Pak proposed the following problem: can one exhibit the bias for a sequence of finite soluble groups? In other words, can we produce a sequence of  $t$ -generated finite soluble groups  $H_n$  such that  $\beta_t(H_n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ? Equivalently, does there exist a  $t$ -generated prosoluble group  $G$  with  $\beta_t(G) = 1$ ? It is not difficult to give an affirmative answer in the particular case when  $t = d(G)$ . For example, in [3] it was proved that there exists a 2-generated metabelian profinite group  $G$  with the property that

$$\mu_G(\{x \in G \mid \langle x, y \rangle = G \text{ for some } y \in G\}) = 0.$$

A more important and intriguing question is whether we can find a finitely generated prosoluble group  $G$  with the property that  $\beta_t(G) = 1$  for some integer  $t$  significantly larger than  $d(G)$ . It follows from [14, Proposition 1.5.1] that if  $G$  is a  $t$ -generated profinite group, then  $\beta_t(G) \leq 1 - P_G(t)$ , and so we can have  $\beta_t(G) = 1$  only if  $t < d_P(G)$ . In particular, if  $G$  is a  $t$ -generated prosoluble group with  $\beta_t(G) = 1$ , then  $t < c(d(G) - 1) + 1$ ,  $c$  being the Pálffy–Wolf constant, and therefore the ratio between  $t$  and the smallest cardinality  $d(G)$  of a generating set of  $G$  cannot be arbitrarily large. However, in [3] examples are given of prosoluble  $t$ -generated groups  $G$  with  $\beta_t(G) = 1$  where the difference  $t - d(G)$  tends to infinity as  $d(G) \rightarrow \infty$ : if  $d \geq 3$  and  $2k \leq d - 3$ , then there exists a sequence of  $d$ -generated finite soluble groups  $J_n$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{d+k}(J_n) = 1$ . The groups described in [3] have a quite intricate structure and one would like to produce easier examples. These cannot be obtained just by considering pronilpotent groups, as in this case  $d_P(G) \leq d(G) + 1$ . But by Theorem 1.1, if  $G$  is the free prosupersoluble group of rank  $d \geq 2$ , then  $d_P(G) - d(G) = d + 1$ , so one could expect to have  $\beta_{d+k}(G) = 1$  for  $k$  significantly larger than  $d$ . However, we will prove that this is not what occurs. In fact we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $G$  is a non-cyclic finite supersoluble group and  $k \geq 3$ , then*

$$\beta_{d(G)+k}(G) \leq \frac{6}{10}.$$

This shows that, given a  $t$ -generated profinite group  $G$ , the condition  $P_G(t) > 0$  is sufficient to have  $\beta_t(G) < 1$ , but is quite far from being necessary. Indeed, the inequality  $\beta_t(G) \leq 1 - P_G(t)$  is not sharp; in particular, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For every positive real number  $\varepsilon$  there exist a positive integer  $t$  and a  $t$ -generated prosupersoluble group  $G$  such that  $P_G(t) = 0$  and  $\beta_t(G) \leq \varepsilon$ .*

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $G$  be the free prosupersoluble group of rank  $d \geq 2$ . In this section we want to compute the probability  $P_G(t)$  that  $t$  randomly chosen elements of  $G$  generate  $G$ . Let  $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence of the prime numbers in increasing order and for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\pi_m = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G$  has a unique  $\pi'_n$ -Hall subgroup, say  $K_n$  (see, for example, [13, Proposition 3.5]). Let  $G_n = G/K_n$  and  $H_n = G_n/\text{Frat}(G_n)$ . By [11, Theorem 1], we have

$$P_G(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{G_n}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{H_n}(t). \quad (2.1)$$

The group  $H_n$  is finite [13, Theorem 3.8] and metabelian [13, Proposition 3.5]. We compute  $P_{H_n}(t)$  using a formula due to Gaschütz [5, Satz 4]. Let  $X$  be a finite soluble group and let  $A$  be an irreducible  $X$ -module. The number  $\delta_X(A)$  of complemented factors  $X$ -isomorphic to  $A$  in a chief series of  $X$  is independent of the choice of the chief series and

$$P_X(t) = \prod_A \left( \prod_{0 \leq i \leq \delta_X(A) - 1} 1 - \frac{|\text{End}_X(A)|^i |A|^{\theta_X(A)}}{|A|^t} \right), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $A$  runs over the set of the  $X$ -irreducible modules and  $\theta_X(A) = 0$  or  $1$  according to whether  $A$  is the trivial  $X$ -module or not. In the supersoluble group  $H_n$  any chief factor is cyclic of prime order, so we have

$$P_{H_n}(t) = \prod_{p \in \pi_n} \left( \prod_{|A|=p} \left( \prod_{0 \leq i \leq \delta_{H_n}(A)-1} 1 - \frac{|\text{End}_{H_n}(A)|^i |A|^{\theta_{H_n}(A)}}{|A|^t} \right) \right).$$

We need to know how many pairwise non- $H_n$ -isomorphic  $H_n$ -modules of order  $p$  are there and, for each of these, to estimate the value of  $\delta_{H_n}(A)$ . Firstly,  $A$  is isomorphic to the cyclic group  $C_p$  of order  $p \in \pi_n$ , so  $\text{End}_{H_n}(A)$  is a field with  $p$  elements. Any action of  $H_n$  over  $C_p$  is identified by a homomorphism  $\phi: H_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_p) \cong C_{p-1}$ . Any generator of  $H_n$  can be sent to any element of  $C_{p-1}$ , so there are  $(p-1)^d$  choices for  $\phi$ . We are sure that two modules obtained by two different homomorphisms  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are not  $H_n$ -isomorphic. Indeed, in this case we should have an automorphism  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(C_p)$  such that  $(x^{h^{\phi_1}})^\alpha = (x^\alpha)^{h^{\phi_2}}$  for every  $x \in C_p$  and  $h \in H_n$ . This implies that  $h^{\phi_1} \alpha = \alpha h^{\phi_2}$  for every  $h$ , and then  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$  because  $\text{Aut}(C_p) \cong C_{p-1}$  is abelian. It remains to estimate  $\delta_{H_n}(A)$ . Let  $Y_A = H_n/C_{H_n}(A) \leq \text{Aut}(A)$  and for any positive integer  $t$  consider the semi-direct product  $L_{A,t} = A^t \rtimes Y_A$ , where  $Y_A$  acts in the same way on each of the  $t$  direct factors. Since  $A \cong C_p$  with  $p \in \pi_n$  and  $Y_A$  is cyclic of order dividing  $p-1$ ,  $L_{A,t}$  is a finite supersoluble  $\pi_n$ -group. Moreover, it follows from (2.2) that  $L_{A,t}$  is  $d$ -generated if and only if  $t \leq d - \theta_{H_n}(A)$ . But then  $L_{A,t}$  is an epimorphic image of the free pro-supersoluble group  $G$  of rank  $d$  (and consequently of  $H_n$ ) if and only if  $t \leq d - \theta_{H_n}(A)$ . On the other hand, it follows from the results proved by Gaschütz [6] that  $L_{A,t}$  is an epimorphic image of  $H_n$  if and only if  $t \leq \delta_{H_n}(A)$ . By these two observations we have  $\delta_{H_n}(A) = d - \theta_{H_n}(A)$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} P_{H_n}(t) &= \prod_{p \in \pi_n} \left( \prod_{|A|=p} \left( \prod_{0 \leq i \leq \delta_{H_n}(A)-1} 1 - \frac{|\text{End}_{H_n}(A)|^i |A|^{\theta_{H_n}(A)}}{|A|^t} \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \pi_n} \left( \left( \prod_{i=0}^{d-2} 1 - \frac{p^{i+1}}{p^t} \right)^{\alpha_p} \left( \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} 1 - \frac{p^i}{p^t} \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \pi_n} \left( \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} 1 - \frac{p^i}{p^t} \right)^{\alpha_p} \left( \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} 1 - \frac{p^i}{p^t} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_p = (p-1)^d - 1$ ; the first factor involves all non-trivial  $H_n$ -submodules  $A$  of order  $p$ , and the second factor regards the trivial  $H_n$ -submodule. But then, by (2.1), we obtain

$$P_G(t) = \prod_p \left( \left( \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} 1 - \frac{p^i}{p^t} \right)^{\alpha_p} \left( \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} 1 - \frac{p^i}{p^t} \right) \right).$$

We are looking for the minimum integer  $t$  such that  $P_G(t) > 0$ . Since the factors in this product lie between 0 and 1, writing the product as  $\prod_n (1 + x_n)$ , its convergence is equivalent to the convergence of the sum  $\sum_n x_n$ . Hence,  $P_G(t)$  is positive if and only if

the sum

$$\sum_p \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left( (p-1)^d - 1 \right) \frac{p^i}{p^t} + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \frac{p^i}{p^t} \right) \sim \sum_p \frac{p^{2d-1}}{p^t}$$

is convergent, i.e. if and only if  $t \geq 2d + 1$ .

### 3. Some properties of $\beta_t(G)$

Given a finite group  $G$  and a subset  $X$  of  $G$ , for any positive integer  $t$  let  $\phi_G(X, t)$  denote the number of ordered  $t$ -tuples  $(g_1, \dots, g_t)$  of group elements such that  $\langle G = \langle X, g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$ . The number

$$P_G(X, t) = \frac{\phi_G(X, t)}{|G|^t}$$

is the probability that  $t$  randomly chosen elements generate  $G$  together with the elements of the subset  $X$ . We will write  $P_G(g, t)$  instead of  $P_G(\{g\}, t)$  and  $P_G(t)$  instead of  $P_G(\emptyset, t)$ .

Now let  $t$  be a positive integer with  $d(G) \leq t$ . Let  $Q_{G,t}$  be the probability distribution of the first component of  $(g_1, \dots, g_t)$ , where  $(g_1, \dots, g_t)$  is selected uniformly at random from among all the  $t$ -tuples that generate  $G$ . So if  $X \subseteq G$ , then  $Q_{G,t}(X)$  is the probability that  $g_1 \in X$  given that  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle = G$ . In particular,

$$Q_{G,t}(X) = \frac{\sum_{x \in X} |\Phi_G(x, t-1)|}{|\Phi_G(t)|} = \frac{\sum_{x \in X} P_G(x, t-1)}{P_G(t)|G|}.$$

We estimate the bias of the distribution  $Q_{G,t}$  considering the variation distance between  $Q_{G,t}$  and  $U_G$ :

$$\|Q_{G,t} - U_G\|_{\text{tv}} = \max_{B \subseteq G} |Q_{G,t}(B) - U_G(B)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in G} \left| Q_{G,t}(g) - \frac{1}{|G|} \right|.$$

We will use the notation

$$\beta_t(G) := \|Q_{G,t} - U_G\|_{\text{tv}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{G,t}(g) := \frac{P_G(g, t-1)}{P_G(t)}.$$

Moreover, let

$$\Delta_G^+(t) = \{g \in G \mid P_G(g, t-1) \geq P_G(t)\}, \quad \Delta_G^-(t) = \{g \in G \mid P_G(g, t-1) < P_G(t)\}.$$

We have

$$\beta_t(G) = \frac{1}{2|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\sigma_{G,t}(g) - 1| = \frac{1}{2|G|} \left( \sum_{g \in \Delta_G^+(t)} (\sigma_{G,t}(g) - 1) + \sum_{g \in \Delta_G^-(t)} (1 - \sigma_{G,t}(g)) \right).$$

On the other hand,  $\Phi_G(t)$  is the disjoint union of the subsets  $\Phi_G(g, t-1)$ ,  $g \in G$ , and hence  $\sum_{g \in G} \sigma_{G,t}(g) = |G|$ , and therefore

$$\left( \sum_{g \in \Delta_G^+(t)} (\sigma_{G,t}(g) - 1) \right) + \left( \sum_{g \in \Delta_G^-(t)} (\sigma_{G,t}(g) - 1) \right) = 0$$

and

$$\beta_t(G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \left( \sum_{g \in \Delta_G^+(t)} (\sigma_{G,t}(g) - 1) \right) = \frac{1}{|G|} \left( \sum_{g \in \Delta_G^-(t)} (1 - \sigma_{G,t}(g)) \right). \tag{3.1}$$

Assume that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of the finite group  $G$ . We want to compare  $\beta_t(G)$  and  $\beta_t(G/N)$ . First we need to study the relation between the two probability distributions  $Q_{G,t}$  and  $Q_{G/N,t}$ . Let  $\bar{G} = G/N$  and, for any  $g \in G$ , denote by  $\bar{g}$  the element  $gN$  of  $\bar{G}$ .

**Lemma 3.1 (Crestani and Lucchini [3, Lemma 4]).**  $Q_{G,t}(gN) = Q_{\bar{G},t}(\bar{g})$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $t \geq d(G)$ , then  $\beta_t(G) \geq \beta_t(G/N)$ . Equality holds if and only if  $(\sigma_{G,t}(g_1) - 1)(\sigma_{G,t}(g_2) - 1) \geq 0$  whenever  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are in the same coset of  $N$  in  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  be a transversal of  $N$  in  $G$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_t(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{g \in G} \left| Q_{G,t}(g) - \frac{1}{|G|} \right| \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left( \sum_{n \in N} \left| Q_{G,t}(g_i n) - \frac{1}{|G|} \right| \right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left| \sum_{n \in N} \left( Q_{G,t}(g_i n) - \frac{1}{|G|} \right) \right| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left| Q_{G,t}(g_i N) - \frac{|N|}{|G|} \right| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left| Q_{\bar{G},t}(\bar{g}_i) - \frac{1}{|\bar{G}|} \right| \right) \\ &= \beta_t(\bar{G}). \end{aligned}$$

To conclude, notice that the equality holds if and only if for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  we have

$$\sum_{n \in N} \left| Q_{G,t}(g_i n) - \frac{1}{|G|} \right| = \left| \sum_{n \in N} \left( Q_{G,t}(g_i n) - \frac{1}{|G|} \right) \right|$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{n \in N} |\sigma_{G,t}(g_i n) - 1| = \left| \sum_{n \in N} (\sigma_{G,t}(g_i n) - 1) \right|.$$

This is equivalent to saying that  $(\sigma_{G,t}(g_i n_1) - 1)(\sigma_{G,t}(g_i n_2) - 1) \geq 0$  for every  $n_1, n_2 \in N$ . □

If  $f \in \text{Frat}(G)$ , the Frattini subgroup of  $G$ , then  $P_G(g, t) = P_G(gf, t)$  for each  $g \in G$ . This implies that  $\sigma_{G,t}(g_1) = \sigma_{G,t}(g_2)$  whenever  $g_1 \text{Frat}(G) = g_2 \text{Frat}(G)$ , and therefore, by the previous proposition,  $\beta_t(G) = \beta_t(G/N)$  whenever  $N \leq \text{Frat } G$ .

#### 4. Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

Before we start with the proof of Theorem 1.2, we need to recall some results that make it possible to compute  $P_G(x, t - 1)$  and consequently  $\sigma_{G,t}(g)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the ring of Dirichlet polynomials  $P(s) = \sum_n a_n/n^s$  with integer coefficients. As was noticed by Hall [7], applying the Möbius inversion formula we obtain

$$\phi_G(X, t) = \sum_{X \subseteq H \leq G} \mu(H, G) |H|^t, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function associated with the subgroup lattice of  $G$ . In view of (4.1) we may write

$$P_G(X, t) = \sum_{X \subseteq H \leq G} \frac{\mu(H, G)}{|G : H|^t}. \quad (4.2)$$

By rearranging the summands in (4.2) we obtain a Dirichlet polynomial as follows:

$$P_G(X, s) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \quad \text{where } a_n := \sum_{\substack{|G:H|=n, \\ X \subseteq H \leq G}} \mu(H, G).$$

Let  $1 = N_l \leq \dots \leq N_0 = G$  be a chief series of  $G$ . In [9] it is proved that to each chief factor  $N_{i-1}/N_i$  one can associate a Dirichlet polynomial  $P_{G/N_i, N_{i-1}/N_i}(X, s)$  with integer coefficients with the property that

$$P_G(X, s) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq l} P_{G/N_i, N_{i-1}/N_i}(X, s). \quad (4.3)$$

In particular, if  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then there exists  $P_{G,N}(X, s) \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $P_G(X, s) = P_{G/N}(XN/N, s)P_{G,N}(X, s)$ . More precisely, we have (see [9, Proposition 16])

$$P_{G,N}(X, t) = \sum_{X \subseteq H, NH=G} \frac{\mu(H, G)}{|G : H|^t}. \quad (4.4)$$

When  $G$  is soluble the factorization of  $P_G(X, s)$  given by (4.3) is particularly simple. It was studied when  $X = \emptyset$  by Gaschütz [5], and in [9] it is noted that Gaschütz's arguments can be generalized for arbitrary choices of  $X$ . The Dirichlet polynomial  $P_{G/N_i, N_{i-1}/N_i}(X, s)$  corresponding to the chief factor  $N_{i-1}/N_i$  can be easily described via

$$P_{G/N_i, N_{i-1}/N_i}(X, s) = 1 - \frac{c_i}{|N_{i-1}/N_i|^s},$$

where  $c_i$  is the number of complements of  $N_{i-1}/N_i$  in  $G/N_i$  containing  $XN_i/N_i$ . In particular,  $P_{G/N_i, N_{i-1}/N_i}(s) = 1$  if there is no complement of  $N_{i-1}/N_i$  in  $G/N_i$  containing  $XN_i/N_i$  (in this case we will say that  $N_{i-1}/N_i$  is an  $X$ -Frattini factor of  $G$ ).

We are going to apply the previous consideration to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Let  $J$  be a finite supersoluble group with  $d = d(G) \geq 2$ . Clearly,  $J$  is an epimorphic image of the free pro-supersoluble group  $G$  of rank  $d$ , which was studied in §2. Using the same

notation, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with the property that all the prime divisors of  $|J|$  belong to  $\pi_n$ , so  $J$  is indeed an epimorphic image of  $G_n$ , and therefore  $J/\text{Frat } J$  is an epimorphic image of  $H_n = G_n/\text{Frat}(G_n)$ . It follows from Proposition 3.2 that

$$\beta_{d+k}(J) = \beta_{d+k}(J/\text{Frat } J) \leq \beta_{d+k}(H_n),$$

and therefore in order to prove Theorem 1.2 it suffices to show that  $\beta_{d+k}(H_n) \leq 0.6$  if  $k \geq 3$ .

Notice that  $H_n$  has the following structure. Let  $t_n := \text{lcm}\{p(p-1) \mid p \in \pi_n\}$ . There are  $\alpha_p = (p-1)^d - 1$  non-trivial homomorphisms  $\rho_{p,1}, \dots, \rho_{p,\alpha_p}$  from  $Y = (C_{t_n})^d$  to  $\text{Aut}(C_p) \cong C_{p-1}$  and we have

$$H_n \cong \left( \prod_{p \in \pi_n} \left( \prod_{1 \leq j \leq (p-1)^{d-1}} W_{p,j}^{d-1} \right) \right) \rtimes Y,$$

where  $W_{p,j} \cong C_p$  and, for each  $y \in Y$  and  $w \in W_{p,j}$ ,  $w^y = w^{y^{\rho_{p,j}}}$ . For any pair  $(p, j) \in \pi_n \times \{1, \dots, \alpha_p\}$  let  $U_{p,j} = W_{p-1}^{d-1}$  and let  $W = \prod_{p,j} U_{p,j}$ . We will denote by  $\pi_{p,j}$  the projection  $\pi_{p,j}: W \rightarrow U_{p,j}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $h = wy \in H_n$  with  $w \in W$  and  $y \in Y$ . Define*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{p,h} &= \{j \in \{1, \dots, \alpha_p\} \mid y \in \ker \rho_{p,j}\}, & \gamma_{p,h} &= |\Gamma_{p,h}|, \\ \Lambda_{p,h} &= \{j \in \Gamma_{p,h} \mid w \in \ker \pi_{p,j}\}, & \lambda_{p,h} &= |\Lambda_{p,h}|, \\ \epsilon_{p,y} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \notin Y^p, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\sigma_{H_n, d+k}(h) = \prod_{p \in \pi_n} \frac{(1 - \epsilon_{p,y}/p^k)}{(1 - 1/p^{d+k})} \frac{(1 - 1/p^k)^{\lambda_{p,h}}}{(1 - 1/p^{d+k-1})^{\gamma_{p,h}}}.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\sigma_{H_n, d+k}(h) = \frac{P_{H_n}(h, d+k-1)}{P_{H_n}(d+k)} = \frac{P_Y(y, d+k-1) P_{H_n, W}(h, d+k-1)}{P_Y(d+k) P_{H_n, W}(d+k)}.$$

We also have

$$\frac{P_Y(y, d+k-1)}{P_Y(d+k)} = \frac{P_V(v, d+k-1)}{P_V(d+k)},$$

where  $V = Y/\text{Frat } Y \cong \prod_{p \in \pi_n} C_p^d$  and  $v = y \text{Frat } Y$ . Let  $\omega$  be the set of the prime divisors of  $|v|$ . Then

$$P_V(v, d+k-1) = \prod_{p \in \omega} \left( \prod_{0 \leq u \leq d-2} (1 - p^u/p^{d+k-1}) \right) \prod_{p \in \pi_n \setminus \omega} \left( \prod_{0 \leq u \leq d-1} (1 - p^u/p^{d+k-1}) \right).$$



It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_V(v, d+k-1)}{P_V(d+k)} &= \frac{\prod_{p \in \omega} (\prod_{0=u}^{d-2} (1 - p^u/p^{d+k-1})) \prod_{p \in \pi_n \setminus \omega} (\prod_{0=u}^{d-1} (1 - p^u/p^{d+k-1}))}{\prod_{p \in \pi_n} (\prod_{0=u}^{d-1} (1 - p^u/p^{d+k}))} \\ &= \left( \prod_{\pi \in \omega} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-(d+k)}} \right) \left( \prod_{p \in \pi_n \setminus \omega} \frac{1 - p^{-k}}{1 - p^{-(d+k)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p \in \omega$  if and only if  $y \notin Y^p$ , we conclude that

$$\frac{P_Y(y, d+k-1)}{P_Y(d+k)} = \frac{P_V(a, d+k-1)}{P_V(d+k)} = \prod_{p \in \pi_n} \frac{(1 - \epsilon_{p,y}/p^k)}{(1 - p^{-(d+k)})}.$$

The  $Y$ -modules  $W_{p,j}$  are pairwise non- $Y$ -isomorphic and not  $Y$ -isomorphic to any non-Frattini chief factor of  $Y$ . It follows from [9, Theorems 19 and 20] that this implies that

$$\frac{P_{H_n, W}(h, d+k-1)}{P_{H_n, W}(d+k)} = \prod_{p,j} \frac{P_{H_n, U_{p,j}}(h, d+k-1)}{P_{H_n, U_{p,j}}(d+k)}.$$

The value of  $P_{H_n, U_{p,j}}(h, d+k-1)/P_{H_n, U_{p,j}}(d+k)$  can be determined using [3, Lemmas 5 and 6]. We have

$$\frac{P_{H_n, U_{p,j}}(h, d+k-1)}{P_{H_n, U_{p,j}}(d+k)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \notin \Gamma_{p,h}, \\ \frac{1 - 1/p^k}{1 - 1/p^{d+k}} & \text{if } j \in \Lambda_{p,h}, \\ \frac{1}{1 - 1/p^{d+k}} & \text{if } j \in \Gamma_{p,h} \setminus \Lambda_{p,h}, \end{cases}$$

and from this our formula can be immediately deduced.  $\square$

Now it follows from Lemma 4.1 that for every  $h \in H_n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{H_n, d+k}(h) &\leq \prod_{p \in \pi_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{d+k}}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{d+k-1}}\right)^{-\alpha_p} \\ &\leq \prod_{p \in \pi_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{d+k-1}}\right)^{-(p-1)^d} \\ &\leq \prod_{p \in \pi_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{d+k-1}}\right)^{-p^d} \\ &= \prod_{p \in \pi_n} \left( \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{d+k-1}}\right)^{-p^{d+k-1}} \right)^{p^{1-k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $k \geq 3$ . Since  $(1 - 1/n)^{-n}$  is a decreasing function, we obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{d+k-1}}\right)^{-p^{d+k-1}} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^4}\right)^{-2^4} = a \leq 2.8084.$$

Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $N_n = \sum_p 1/p^n$ . We have

$$\sigma_{H_n, d+k}(h) \leq \prod_p a^{p^{1-k}} \leq a^{\sum_p 1/p^{k-1}} \leq a^{N_{k-1}} \leq a^{N_2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Since (see, for example, [4, p. 95])

$$N_2 = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \ln(\zeta(2k)) = 0.4522474200 \dots,$$

we have

$$\sigma_{H_n, d+k}(h) \leq a^{N_2} \leq \frac{16}{10}.$$

But then, by (3.1), we conclude that

$$\beta_{d+k}(H_n) = \frac{1}{|H_n|} \left( \sum_{h \in \Delta_{H_n}^+(d+k)} (\sigma_{H_n, d+k}(h) - 1) \right) \leq \frac{|\Delta_{H_n}^+(d+k)|}{|H_n|} \frac{6}{10} \leq \frac{6}{10}$$

and this finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

With the same argument we obtain that

$$\beta_{d+k}(H_n) = \frac{1}{|H_n|} \left( \sum_{h \in \Delta_{H_n}^+(d+k)} (\sigma_{H_n, d+k}(h) - 1) \right) \leq a^{N_{k-1}} - 1.$$

Now let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive real number. Since  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} N_m = 0$ , there exists  $m_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a^{N_{m_\varepsilon}} - 1 \leq \varepsilon$ . Let  $d = m_\varepsilon$ ,  $t = 2m_\varepsilon$  and consider the free prosupersoluble group of rank  $d$ :  $\text{d}_p(G) = 0$ , by Theorem 1.1, while

$$\beta_t(G) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_t(H_n) \leq a^{N_{m_\varepsilon}} - 1 \leq \varepsilon,$$

and this proves Theorem 1.3.

## References

1. L. BABAI AND I. PAK, Strong bias of group generators: an obstacle to the ‘product replacement algorithm’, in *Proc. 11th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, San Francisco, CA, 2000*, pp. 627–635 (ACM Press, New York, 2000).
2. F. CELLER, C. R. LEEDHAM-GREEN, S. MURRAY, A. NIEMEYER AND E. A. O’BRIEN, Generating random elements of a finite group, *Commun. Alg.* **23** (1995), 4931–4948.
3. E. CRESTANI AND A. LUCCHINI, Bias of group generators in the solvable case, *Israel J. Math.* **207**(2) (2015), 739–761.
4. S. FINCH, *Mathematical constants*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Volume 94 (Cambridge University Press, 2003).
5. W. GASCHÜTZ, Die Eulersche Funktion endlicher auflösbarer Gruppen, *Illinois J. Math.* **3** (1959), 469–476.
6. W. GASCHÜTZ, Praefrattinigruppen, *Arch. Math.* **13** (1962), 418–426.
7. P. HALL, The Eulerian functions of a group, *Q. J. Math.* **7** (1936), 134–151.

8. W. M. KANTOR AND A. LUBOTZKY, The probability of generating a finite classical group, *Geom. Dedicata* **36** (1990), 67–87.
9. A. LUCCHINI, The  $X$ -Dirichlet polynomial of a finite group, *J. Group Theory* **8**(2) (2005), 171–188.
10. A. LUCCHINI, F. MENEGAZZO AND M. MORIGI, On the probability of generating prosoluble groups, *Israel J. Math.* **155** (2006), 93–115.
11. A. MANN, Positively finitely generated groups, *Forum Math.* **8**(4) (1996), 429–459.
12. M. MORIGI, On the probability of generating free prosoluble groups of small rank, *Israel J. Math.* **155** (2006), 117–123.
13. B. C. OLTIKAR AND L. RIBES, On prosupersolvable groups, *Pac. J. Math.* **77**(1) (1978), 183–188.
14. I. PAK, What do we know about the product replacement algorithm?, in *Groups and computation III*, Ohio State University Mathematics Research Institute Publications, Volume 8, pp. 301–347 (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001).