

# Sensitive measure of prevalence and parasitaemia of haemosporidia from European blackbird (*Turdus merula*) populations: value of PCR-RFLP and quantitative PCR

S. BENTZ<sup>1</sup>, T. RIGAUD<sup>1</sup>, M. BARROCA<sup>1</sup>, F. MARTIN-LAURENT<sup>2</sup>, D. BRU<sup>3</sup>, J. MOREAU<sup>1</sup> and B. FAIVRE<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Equipe Ecologie Evolutive, UMR CNRS 5561 Biogéosciences, Université de Bourgogne, 6 Bd Gabriel, 21000 Dijon, France*

<sup>2</sup> *Microbiologie et Géochimie des Sols, UMR 1229 INRA/Université de Bourgogne, 17 rue Sully, BP 86510, 21065 Dijon cedex, France*

<sup>3</sup> *Service de Séquençage et de Génotypage, Service Commun de Biologie, 17 Rue Sully, BP 86510, 21065 Dijon Cedex, France*

(Received 20 April 2006; revised 13 June 2006; accepted 15 June 2006; first published online 4 September 2006)

## SUMMARY

Haemosporidian parasites are common in birds in which they act as an important selective pressure. While most studies so far have focused on the effect of their prevalence on host life-history traits, no study has measured the effect of parasitaemia. We developed molecular methods to detect, identify and quantify haemosporidia in 2 natural populations of the Blackbird *Turdus merula*. Three different parasite genotypes were found – 1 *Haemoproteus* and 2 *Plasmodium*. A PCR-RFLP screening revealed that only approximately 3% of blackbirds were free of parasites, compared to the 34% of uninfected birds estimated by blood smear screening. A quantitative PCR (q-PCR) assay revealed a weaker parasitaemia in microscopically undetected parasites compared to microscopically detected ones. Large parasitaemia differences were found between parasite species, suggesting either differing parasite life-histories or host resistance. Parasitaemias were also weaker in male hosts, and in urban habitats, suggesting that both host factors (e.g. immunity) and habitat characteristics (e.g. vector availability) may modulate parasite density. Interestingly, these differences in parasitaemia were comparable to differences in parasite prevalence estimated by smear screening. This suggests that previous results obtained by smear screening should be reinterpreted in terms of parasitaemia instead of parasite prevalence.

Key words: *Plasmodium*, *Haemoproteus*, *Turdus merula*, real-time PCR, PCR-RFLP, field populations, parasitaemia, prevalence.

## INTRODUCTION

Blood parasites of the phylum Apicomplexa and their avian hosts are valuable models to pursue important ecological, evolutionary, and behavioural questions (Atkinson and Van Riper III, 1991; Buchanan *et al.* 1999; Ricklefs *et al.* 2004; Sheuerlein and Ricklefs, 2004). Some studies found that these widespread parasites have negative effects on survival (Davidar and Morton, 1993; Sol *et al.* 2003), reproductive success (Merino *et al.* 2000; Marzal *et al.* 2005) and host body condition (Dawson and Bortolotti, 2000; Hatchwell *et al.* 2001), and thus may play a role in bird population dynamics. Also, haemosporidians in birds vary both over time and season, and between locations, in their apparent prevalence and parasitaemia which may lead to different selective pressures on bird populations (Allander and Bennett,

1994; Merilä *et al.* 1995; Valkiunias, 1997; Apanius *et al.* 2000; Sol *et al.* 2000; Bensch and Akesson, 2003).

Most of these studies determined prevalence based on microscopical examination of blood smears, and parasitaemia based on counts of parasites seen on these smears. However, these methods underestimate prevalence because the parasitaemia of many infections is too low to be detected visually. Molecular techniques (PCR amplification of a target gene segment) increase the efficiency of both parasite detection and identification (e.g. Tham *et al.* 1999; Richard *et al.* 2002; Fallon *et al.* 2003; Scopel *et al.* 2004; Waldenström *et al.* 2004; Beadell and Fleischer, 2005; Pérez-Tris *et al.* 2005). That is, some infections are missed during microscopical examination, but detected by use of a sensitive PCR-based assay. Microscopical study of smears and PCR can thus lead to contrasting results, especially if the data are used in correlative studies linking infection with some measure of host fitness. This problem is also important for ecological studies of prevalence that compare different sites or habitat types.

\* Corresponding author: Equipe Ecologie Evolutive, UMR CNRS 5561 Biogéosciences, Université de Bourgogne, 6 Bd Gabriel, 21000 Dijon, France. Tel: +33 (0)3 80 39 62 06. Fax: +33 (0)3 80 39 62 31. E-mail: bruno.favre@u-bourgogne.fr

The more sensitive detection of infection of the PCR-based method also depends on the parasite species present because some species are less obvious on smears, perhaps because they more often remain at lower levels of parasitaemia (Waldenström *et al.* 2004). Thus, differences between results from microscopical studies and molecular detection appear to reflect variation in the typical parasitaemia per infected host (mean number of parasites in an infection). Standard PCR is not a quantitative method (infections are simply scored as infected or not infected). The question remains whether it is the parasitaemia of most infections or the prevalence of the parasite that is more important in understanding the impact of haemosporidians on host biology. Advances in quantitative molecular methods, especially real-time PCR (quantitative PCR) have only recently allowed quantitative screening of micro-parasites (e.g. Mouton *et al.* 2003; Matsuu *et al.* 2005; Contini *et al.* 2005).

The present study had 3 goals. First, a rapid molecular method using PRC followed by restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RLFP) analysis was developed (similar to the method of Beadell and Fleischer, 2005) to detect the specific parasites found in 2 populations of a single bird species, the European Blackbird *Turdus merula*. Thus, a sensitive test was developed to detect and identify parasites in infections. Second, a method was developed to obtain a reliable measure of parasitaemia within infections (including those infections not obvious under the microscope). To this end, a quantitative PCR method was used. Because one can expect a low power of detection when using blood smear examination in subpatent infection cases (weak infection), analysis of these cases by using q-PCR could act as a way to calibrate the measurements of low parasitaemia. Third, the 2 methods allowed comparison of prevalence and parasitaemia by host sex and between 2 sites (urban *vs* rural). The results using microscopical examination and the molecular methods were compared. Contrasted habitats were chosen because of the potential differences found in blood-sucking vectors (e.g. Grégoire *et al.* 2002; Sol *et al.* 2000), and host sex was analysed because a set of theoretical hypotheses predicted sex differences in parasitism distribution. Specifically, Hatchwell *et al.* (2000) have identified sex as a factor influencing Haemosporidian prevalence in European Blackbirds.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Sampling

A long-term study of 2 European blackbird (*Turdus merula*) populations provided blood smears from a large sample of birds ( $n=289$ ) (M. Barroca, unpublished results). These smears were examined to select 20 that represented the range of parasite morphology

seen in infections (*Haemoproteus* and *Plasmodium* spp.). These infections were sequenced for a segment of the mitochondrial cytochrome b gene of the parasites (below). Then, to investigate parasite prevalence and parasitaemia, additional birds were sampled at 2 sites 35 km apart, an urban location (Dijon), and a forested habitat (Auxonne) (38 birds at each site). Blood was taken from each bird via the brachial vein to produce a thin blood smear to be dried, fixed in 100% methanol for 3 min, and stained in a Giemsa solution (5%, 45 min; Sigma) (Campbell and Dein, 1984). For molecular studies, 25  $\mu$ l of blood was stored in 500  $\mu$ l of lysis buffer (Seutin *et al.* 1991).

### Parasite detection by blood smear examination

The smears were examined at 1000 magnification under oil using a Nikon E400 microscope. Parasites were identified to genus (*Haemoproteus* or *Plasmodium*). Parasites were counted within 10 000 erythrocytes using a grid incorporated into the microscope eyepiece. If no parasites were seen, the bird was scored as not infected. As an estimation of parasitaemia, the number of parasitized cells was counted out of these 10 000 erythrocytes, for each parasite type separately.

### Molecular identification of blackbird haemosporidia parasites and prevalence screening by PCR-RFLP

DNA was extracted using a standard phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol method (Sambrook *et al.* 1989). A negative control (containing no blood) was added to each extraction run to detect contamination. Purity and concentration of extracted DNA was determined with a spectrophotometer, and DNA diluted to a final concentration of 2 ng/ $\mu$ l.

Conserved PCR primers that would amplify a segment of the cytochrome b gene for all Haemosporidians (*Plasmodium*, *Haemoproteus*, and *Leucocytozoon*) were designed after alignment of 76 parasite sequences from diverse hosts (GenBank data). The alignment sequences are available at ftp.ebi.ac.uk, in directory pub/databases/embl/align, under Accession ALIGN\_000970. We defined 2 new primers: HaemoFmod (5' TTACAAGGTAGCTCTAATCCTTT 3'), which is the same as HaemoF (Perkins and Schall, 2002) but slightly shortened at the 3' end, and CytbHaeR (5' TTGTGGTAATTGACATCCAAT 3'). As a control of DNA quality, we also designed primers for the amplification of partial 18S rDNA specific of the host *Turdus merula*. They were designed after alignment of the 36 bird sequences (Alignment file available at ftp.ebi.ac.uk, under Accession ALIGN\_000969). These primers were the following: OisF18 (5' GTGAACTGC-GAATGGCTCAT 3') and OisR18 (5' CAAGAT-CCAACACTACGAGCTTT 3').

Table 1. Primers designed for quantitative PCR

(Parasite primers amplified cytochrome b, whereas host primers amplified 18S rDNA.)

Target	Primers	Séquences (5'–3')	Size (pb)
<i>Plasmodium</i> TM1	Lpri 6–19	TCACATCCAGATAATGCAATCA	217
	Rpri 19	TTCTCTAACGCCAAAACGAAA	
<i>Plasmodium</i> TM2	Lpri 6–19	TCACATCCAGATAATGCAATCA	221
	Rpri 6	TTCTCTAGCACCAAAAAGCAAA	
<i>Haemoproteus</i> TM	Lpri 12	CCTTTACATATTGTTTCCTGAATGG	177
	Rpri 12	CTCTTGCACCAAAAAGCGAAT	
Host <i>Turdus merula</i>	Lpri OIS	GTACACACGGGCGGTACAGT	123
	Rpri OIS	GCTCGTCCGCATGTATTAGC	

DNA was diluted 10-fold before amplification. PCR reactions were performed in a T3Thermocycler (Biometra), in 50  $\mu$ l reaction mixtures adjusted to a final concentration of 1.3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2  $\mu$ M of each primer, 200  $\mu$ M dNTPs and 1 U/ $\mu$ l of Eurobio *Taq* Polymerase with buffer according to the manufacturer's instructions. After initial denaturing at 95 °C, 35 cycles of 95 °C (1 min.), 50 °C (1 min.) and 72 °C (2 min.) were performed. The final extension was at 72 °C for 10 min. To control for contamination, we used a blank (no DNA added but water) every 10 reactions.

PCR products were sliced from the agarose gels, purified using an Agarose Purification Kit (Fermentas) and then sent to Macrogen for sequencing both strands. Sequencing was performed with the primers used for PCR. The sequences were submitted to the Blast server (<http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/BLAST/Blast.cgi>) for comparisons with known sequences. Then, a restriction map was produced for each sequence using Bioedit, to reveal specific endonuclease cutting sites. These enzymes were then used to digest PCR products, following the manufacturer's instructions (MBI Fermentas), allowing us to determine the prevalence of each parasite type in our samples.

#### Quantification of blackbird haemosporidian parasites by quantitative (real-time) PCR

Based on Cytochrome B sequence alignment of the three parasites (i.e. *Haemoproteus* TM, *Plasmodium* TM1, *Plasmodium* TM2), specific primer pairs were designed using the Primer3 program available online [http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer3/primer3\\_www.cgi](http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer3/primer3_www.cgi) (Table 1). These primer pairs allowed the amplification of a PCR fragment of about 200 bp of each of those parasites DNA. A fourth primer pair (Table 1) targeting the 18S rDNA of the host *Turdus merula* was also designed. It allows the estimation of 18S rDNA sequences of each DNA sample and was used as an internal standard to normalize q-PCR results.

Amplified fragments were cloned in Pgem-T Easy vector, following the recommendations of the

manufacturer (Promega). Recombinant plasmids were purified using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (QIAGEN). Serial dilutions of appropriate cloned target sequence (from  $0.2 \times 10^8$  to  $0.2 \times 10^2$  copies) were used to elaborate calibration curves relating the log of the copy number ( $c$ ) of the target sequence as a function of the Ct (cycle threshold) were developed, namely:  $\log(c) = -3.3 * Ct + 36.01$  ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ) for *Haemoproteus* TM,  $\log(c) = -3.18 * Ct + 38.01$  ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ) for *Plasmodium* TM1,  $\log(c) = -3.2 * Ct + 33.66$  ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ) for *Plasmodium* TM2, and  $\log(c) = -3.2 * Ct + 30.95$  ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ) for *Turdus merula*. For each run of quantitative PCR DNA blood samples and calibration standard samples were used as a template. Results were taken into account only when the coefficient of variation of the calibration curve was lower than 5%.

q-PCR reactions were carried out in a ABI 7900 (Applied Biosystems) using the following mix PCR preparation: 12.5  $\mu$ l of Mix SYBRgreen PCR master mix including HotStar *Taq*<sup>TM</sup> DNA polymerase, Quanti Tec SYBR Green PCR buffer, dNTP mix with dUTP, SYBR Green I, Rox and 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (QuantiTect<sup>TM</sup> SYBR<sup>®</sup> Green PCR kit, QIAGEN, France), 2.5  $\mu$ l of each of the primer (5 pmol), 2.5  $\mu$ l of H<sub>2</sub>O and 5  $\mu$ l of DNA (2 ng/ $\mu$ l) for a final volume of 25  $\mu$ l. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: an initial cycle of 95 °C for 10 min, 8 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 30 s with a touchdown of  $-0.5$  °C by cycle, 72 °C for 30 s, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 56 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s and 1 cycle at 95 °C for 15 s, 56 °C for 15 s. Additional cycles of 56 °C for 15 s and 95 °C for 15 s were added to obtain dissociation curves specific from the targeted sequence, thereby allowing to check for the purity of the q-PCR products.

Parasite quantification per blood sample (i.e. parasitaemia) can only be relative to host blood cell quantity. For each individual sample, 2 reactions were performed, one targeting the 18S rDNA (host) and the other targeting cytochrome b (parasite). The relative parasitaemia of each sample was therefore given by the ratio: number of copies cytochrome b of parasites/number of copies of 18S rDNA of the host.

## RESULTS

*Molecular identification of blackbird Haemosporidia parasites*

We found 3 unique sequences in the 20 surveyed infections. One sequence (GenBank [DQ385454](#), found in 7 infections) appears to be a species of *Haemoproteus*. BLAST search of GenBank data revealed that it is similar to other *Haemoproteus* (2 differences of 354 bases) (Perkins and Schall, 2002). The two other sequences (GenBank [DQ385452](#) found in 10 infections, GenBank [DQ385453](#) found in 3 infections) appear to be 2 species of *Plasmodium* (BLAST search). These 2 species differed by 8% of base positions, well within the genetic distance expected for different species of *Plasmodium* (Perkins and Schall, 2002; Ricklefs *et al.* 2004). We thus regard these as 3 parasite species (referred as *Haemoproteus* TM, and *Plasmodium* TM1 and TM2, respectively).

For each of the 3 sequences we obtained the restriction map on Bioedit and then choose an endonuclease which would enable us to discriminate the 3 different parasite genotypes. *MunI* was specific of the *Haemoproteus* strand, and *BsrDI* specific to *Plasmodium* TM2. *SspI* cut all 3 sequences but with different restriction patterns and was also used to give more confidence in our discrimination (*SspI* generated 2 fragments with the *Haemoproteus* sequence, 4 fragments with *Plasmodium* TM1, and 3 fragments with *Plasmodium* TM2).

*Comparisons between detection methods*

Compared to smear detection, the PCR-RFLP method improved blood parasite detection, since approximately 80% of individuals detected as negative were indeed infected by either *Plasmodium* or *Haemoproteus* (Table 2). This led to a significant difference in the proportion of uninfected individuals according to the detection method (34.21% *vs* 2.63% for smear and PCR methods, respectively, Fisher exact test two-tail,  $P < 0.0001$ ,  $n = 76$ ). The PCR-RFLP method also allowed detection of mistakes in parasite genus identification and detection of co-infections more efficiently than smear screening (Table 2). While smear methods provided only weak evidence that there was a deficit of co-infections (Fisher exact test, two tail:  $P = 0.04$ ), PCR analysis confidently confirmed that *Haemoproteus* – *Plasmodium* TM1 co-infections are more rare than expected by chance (Table 2, Fisher exact test, two tail:  $P < 0.0001$ ).

By analysing the effect of sex and habitat on *Plasmodium* prevalence using smear detection methods, a significant effect of both sex and habitat was found (logistic regression: whole model:  $\chi^2_3 = 11.00$ ,  $P = 0.01$ , effect of sex:  $\chi^2_1 = 5.47$ ,  $P = 0.02$ ; effect of habitat:  $\chi^2_1 = 7.39$ ,  $P = 0.006$ ;

Table 2. The number of blackbirds infected by *Haemoproteus* parasites (H), *Plasmodium* parasites (P, PTM1 or PTM2, see text) and uninfected (U), as determined after smear screening (columns) and PCR-RFLP screening (rows)

(Numbers in bold refer to animals where the infection status was detected by both methods; whereas normal numbers are those where there was inconsistency between smear and PCR methods (i.e. number of mistakes due to the low detection power of the smear method). Numbers in italics are totals of rows or columns.)

Smear → PCR ↓	H	H+P	P	U	
H	<b>9</b>	0	1	7	<i>17</i>
H+PTM1	2	<b>5</b>	3	5	<i>15</i>
PTM1	3	0	<b>26</b>	7	<i>36</i>
PTM2	0	0	<b>1</b>	5	<i>6</i>
U	0	0	0	<b>2</b>	<i>2</i>
	<i>14</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>26</i>	

interaction sex\*habitat:  $\chi^2_1 = 0.25$ ,  $P = 0.62$ ; Fig. 1). However, the same analysis made with PCR data (to fit with the preceding analysis, the 2 *Plasmodium* species were grouped) revealed no significant effect of habitat, host sex and their interaction (Fig. 1; logistic regression: whole model:  $\chi^2_3 = 2.16$ ,  $P = 0.54$ ). For *Haemoproteus* parasites, none of the similar analysis revealed a significant effect (logistic regression with smear data: whole model:  $\chi^2_3 = 0.41$ ,  $P = 0.94$ ; logistic regression with PCR data: whole model:  $\chi^2_3 = 2.79$ ,  $P = 0.42$ ; results not shown).

*Quantitative results as revealed by q-PCR*

Despite our intention to design primer pairs specific for each parasite for q-PCR, cross-amplifications were seldom observed between *Haemoproteus* TM and *Plasmodium* TM1. Because of this lack of resolution, we were unable to obtain accurate quantitative results in co-infected individuals, and sample size was reduced to mono-infected individuals.

We controlled for whether the amount of parasite DNA (relative to host DNA) was correlated with the counts of parasites revealed by smears (i.e. where at least 1 parasite was counted). This analysis was impossible for *Plasmodium* TM2 and *Haemoproteus*, because of the small number of parasites detected on smears ( $n = 1$  and  $n = 9$ , respectively). For *Plasmodium* TM1, the correlation was weak but significantly positive ( $r_s = 0.45$ ,  $P = 0.01$ ,  $n = 29$ ).

Parasitaemia estimated by q-PCR was different according to parasite species (Fig. 2, ANOVA on  $\text{Log}_{10}$  transformed data:  $F_{2,58} = 63.62$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ), with *Plasmodium* TM1 being significantly more abundant than others, and *Plasmodium* TM2 showing significantly lower parasitaemias than others (Fig. 2).

In *Plasmodium* TM1 and *Haemoproteus*, we investigated whether parasites were in lower numbers




Fig. 1. Prevalence and intensity of haemosporidian parasites in *Turdus merula*. (A–D) Prevalence of *Plasmodium* parasites (*Plasmodium* TM1 + *Plasmodium* TM2), revealed using different detection method (A and B) smear detection, (C and D) PCR-RFLP detection, as a function of host sex and host habitat. (E and F) Parasite DNA abundance of *Haemoproteus* and *Plasmodium* TM1 (relative to host DNA abundance) revealed by quantitative PCR, as a function of host sex and host habitat. Numbers in the bars are sample sizes. M are males, F are females. Differences in sample size between (A–D) and (E and F) are because abundance can accurately be estimated only in single infections.

in ‘smear uninfected’ individuals (i.e. those where the infection was not detected on smears but detected by PCR-RFLP) than in ‘smear infected’ individuals (i.e. where the infection was detected by the 2 methods). The ANOVA included parasite species and smear infection status as factors, and their interaction. As seen before, *Plasmodium* TM1 was present in higher parasitaemia than *Haemoproteus* ( $F_{1,49} = 63.75$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ , Fig. 2). Parasites undetected by smear screening were in significantly lower number than those that were detected ( $F_{1,49} = 6.09$ ,  $P = 0.017$ , Fig. 3). There was no interaction between parasite species and smear infection




Fig. 2. Parasite DNA abundance in blackbirds (relative to host DNA abundance) revealed by quantitative PCR, as a function of parasite species. H: *Haemoproteus*; PTM1: *Plasmodium* TM1; PTM2: *Plasmodium* TM2. Numbers in the bars are sample sizes.




Fig. 3. Parasite DNA abundance in blackbirds of *Haemoproteus* TM and *Plasmodium* TM1 revealed by quantitative PCR, as a function of infection status after smear screening. Animals declared as ‘uninfected’ after smear screening are in fact ‘false negative’ individuals. Numbers in the bars are sample sizes.

status ( $F_{1,49} = 1.24$ ,  $P = 0.27$ ) (Global model:  $F_{3,49} = 28.63$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ,  $r^2 = 0.64$ ). In addition, it should be noted that the parasitaemia was low for the *Plasmodium* TM2, for which most of the animals were not detected as infected by smear examination. The only individual where the infection was detected by smear had the higher parasitaemia ( $2.61 \times 10^{-6}$  arbitrary units, compared to the  $1.26 \times 10^{-7}$  units obtained on average for this parasite in other samples).

Finally, to check whether host factors might modulate parasitaemia, an ANOVA was made, including parasite species (*Plasmodium* TM1 and *Haemoproteus* TM), host sex (males *vs* females) and host habitat (city park *vs* forest) as factors, and their interactions. None of the interactions was significant ( $P > 0.40$ ), and they were therefore removed from the model. As already seen, parasite species influenced parasitaemia ( $F_{1,49} = 74.20$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ). In addition, females hosted significantly more parasites than males ( $F_{1,49} = 4.49$ ,  $P = 0.039$ , Fig. 1E), and blackbirds living in forest hosted significantly more parasites than those living in the urban park

( $F_{1,49} = 11.83$ ,  $P = 0.001$ , Fig. 1F) (Global model:  $F_{3,49} = 34.15$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ,  $r^2 = 0.68$ ). This phenomenon was not only due to a differential distribution of the weak infections (i.e. those not detected by smear screening, but detected by PCR), as their proportion did not significantly differ between host sex ( $P = 0.54$ , D.F. = 53) or between host habitat ( $P = 0.12$ , D.F. = 53), although a tendency exists for a higher proportion of weak infections in the urban habitat.

#### DISCUSSION

*Turdus merula* was infected by 3 genetically distinct strains of Haemosporidian in our study sites. Two were unambiguously close to avian *Plasmodium* identified previously (Perkins and Schall, 2002; Ricklefs *et al.* 2004; Szymanski and Lovette, 2005); and one close to avian *Haemoproteus* (Perkins and Schall, 2002; Ricklefs and Fallon, 2002). The high haemosporidian prevalence found here is of the same order as that estimated by Hatchwell *et al.* (2000) for a rural blackbird population in England. However, Hatchwell *et al.* (2000) observed *Leucocytozoon* more frequently than other genera, whereas this parasite was not detected in our study. Differences between these two studies in the haemosporidian community may reflect large-scale geographical variation (Scheuerlein and Ricklefs, 2004).

As previously found in various studies on bird malaria, the PCR-based method developed here allowed a better detection of parasites compared to smear screening (e.g. Richard *et al.* 2002; Waldenström *et al.* 2004). As a consequence, differences in prevalence attributed to host sex or habitat, as estimated by smear analysis, disappear after PCR-RFLP screening, mainly because almost all hosts were shown to be infected by one or the other haemosporidian parasite with the last method. Consequently, results on haemosporidian prevalence based on smear screening should be interpreted with caution, as suggested by Richard *et al.* (2002).

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) showed that the blood samples detected as negative in smears but positive in PCR-RFLP screening contained less parasite DNA than samples detected as positive using both methods. We therefore confirmed that lack of detection with smear screening was due to fewer parasites in blood samples. This result is in accordance with those obtained from a dilution experiment conducted by Fallon *et al.* (2003) and showing that detectability using PCR, decreased with parasitaemia. This observation led us to turn our attention from an analysis of prevalence to an emphasis of parasitaemia.

An interesting finding emerging from qPCR analysis is that there was significant variation in parasite numbers between parasite species. For instance, the parasitaemia for *Plasmodium* TM2 was 100–1000 weaker than that for *Plasmodium* TM1. The proximate reason for this difference may depend

on several factors. First, parasite virulence and/or host capacity to limit the infection could vary between parasite strains, as interaction between parasite and host traits could explain variability in parasitaemia (Mackinnon and Read, 2004). Second, the abundance of respective vectors may differ in the field, allowing an increased transmission rate of one parasite relative to others (Sol *et al.* 2000; Scheuerlein and Ricklefs, 2004). Finally, the degree of parasite ‘sharing’ between blackbirds and other coexisting bird species may differ between parasite species and explain variation in parasitaemia (Bensch and Akesson, 2003).

Variations in parasitaemia were also found for individual parasite species. Here, *Plasmodium* TM1 and *Haemoproteus* TM parasitaemias were weaker in male hosts, and in urban habitats. Differences between the sexes in blackbirds may be explained by sex-specific immunity, or, alternatively, by sex-specific behaviour resulting in differences in exposure (Zuk and McKean, 1996; Tschirren *et al.* 2003). Differences between urban and forest habitats may be explained by differences in vector presence or/and abundance (Sol *et al.* 2003, Grégoire *et al.* 2002). Inter-population variation in host resistance against avian malaria may also explain such a result, as revealed by recent studies on MHC allele diversity in natural populations of house sparrows *Passer domesticus* (Bonneaud *et al.* 2006). As our study was not designed to test these hypotheses, future studies, using q-PCR, focusing for example on parasite dynamics within host individuals, should be able to address these questions more clearly.

The general conclusion of this study is that differences in parasitaemia, as estimated with qPCR, were comparable to differences in parasite prevalence estimated by smear screening. Standard PCR methods (like our PCR-RFLP procedure) therefore obscure patterns detected by smear or qPCR. This suggests that previous results obtained by smear screening (e.g. Buchanan *et al.* 1999; Dawson and Bortolotti, 2000; Hatchwell *et al.* 2001; Sol *et al.* 2003) may be re-interpreted in terms of parasitaemia instead of parasite prevalence.

We thank Gabriele Sorci for comments and discussions, and Mike Cherry for reading the manuscript. M. Barroca's thesis was funded by a grant from the Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale et de la Recherche. A part of this study was funded by the Institut Français de la Biodiversité, programme ‘Origine, distribution et dynamique de la biodiversité’. We thank the CRBPO for capture authorization and the Ville de Dijon for open access to urban parks.

#### REFERENCES

- Allander, K. and Bennett, G. F. (1994). Prevalence and intensity of haematozoan infection in a population of great tits *Parus major* from Gotland, Sweden. *Journal of Avian Biology* **25**, 69–74.

- Apanius, V., Yorinks, N., Bermingham, E. and Ricklefs, R. E.** (2000). Island and taxon effects in parasitism and resistance of lesser antillean birds. *Ecology* **81**, 1959–1969.
- Atkinson, C. T. and Van Riper III, C.** (1991). Pathogenicity and epizootiology of avian haematozoa: *Plasmodium*, *Leucocytozoon* and *Haemoproteus*. In *Bird-Parasite Interactions: Ecology, Evolution and Behaviour* (ed. Loye, J. E. and Zuk, M.), pp. 19–48. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Beadell, J. S. and Fleischer, R. C.** (2005). A restriction enzyme-based assay to distinguish between avian hemosporeidians. *Journal of Parasitology* **91**, 683–685.
- Bensch, S. and Åkesson, S.** (2003). Temporal and spatial variation of Hematozoans in scandinavian willow warblers. *Journal of Parasitology* **89**, 391–393.
- Bonneaud, C., Pérez-Tris, J., Chastel, O., Federici, P. and Sorci, G.** (2006). Mhc allele associated with local resistance to malaria in passerine. *Evolution* **60**, 383–389.
- Buchanan, K. L., Catchpole, C. K., Lewis, J. W. and Lodge, A.** (1999). Song as an indicator of parasitism in the sedge warbler. *Animal Behaviour* **57**, 307–314.
- Campbell, T. W. and Dein, J. F.** (1984). Avian hematology. The basics. *Veterinary Clinics of North America, Small Animal Practice* **14**, 223–248.
- Contini, C., Seraceni, S., Cultrera, R., Incorvaia, C., Sebastiani, A. and Picot, S.** (2005). Evaluation of a real-time PCR-based assay using the lightcycler system for detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* bradyzoite genes in blood specimens from patients with toxoplasmic retinochoroiditis. *International Journal for Parasitology* **35**, 275–283.
- Davidar, P. and Morton, E. S.** (1993). Living with parasites: prevalence of a blood parasite and its effects on survivorship in the Purple Martin. *Auk* **110**, 109–116.
- Dawson, R. D. and Bortolotti, G. R.** (2000). Effects of hematozoan parasites on condition and return rates of american kestrels. *Auk* **117**, 373–380.
- Fallon, S. M., Ricklefs, R. E., Swanson, B. L. and Bermingham, E.** (2003). Detecting avian malaria: an improved polymerase chain reaction diagnostic. *Journal of Parasitology* **89**, 1044–1047.
- Grégoire, A., Faivre, B., Heeb, P. and Cézilly, F.** (2002). A comparison of infestation patterns by *Ixodes* ticks in urban and rural populations of the Common Blackbird *Turdus merula*. *Ibis* **144**, 640–645.
- Hatchwell, B. J., Wood, M. J., Anwar, M. and Perrins, C. M.** (2000). The prevalence and ecology of the hematozoan parasites of European blackbirds, *Turdus merula*. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **78**, 684–687.
- Hatchwell, B. J., Wood, M. J., Anwar, M. A., Chamberlain, D. E. and Perrins, C. M.** (2001). The haematozoan parasites of Common Blackbirds *Turdus merula*: associations with host condition. *Ibis* **143**, 420–426.
- Mackinnon, M. J. and Read, A. F.** (2004). Immunity promotes virulence evolution in a malaria model. *PLoS Biology* **2**, e230.
- Marzal, A., de Lope, F., Navarro, C. and Moller, A. P.** (2005). Malarial parasites decrease reproductive success: an experimental study in a passerine bird. *Oecologia* **142**, 541–545.
- Matsuu, A., Ono, S., Ikadai, H., Uchide, T., Imamura, S., Onuma, M., Okano, S. and Higuchi, S.** (2005). Development of a SYBR green real-time polymerase chain reaction assay for quantitative detection of *Babesia gibsoni* (Asian genotype) DNA. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation* **17**, 569–573.
- Merilä, J., Bjorklund, M. and Bennett, G. F.** (1995). Geographic and individual variation in haematozoan infections in the greenfinch, *Carduelis chloris*. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **73**, 1798–1804.
- Merino, S., Moreno, J., Sanz, J. J. and Arriero, E.** (2000). Are avian blood parasites pathogenic in the wild? A medication experiment in blue tits (*Parus caeruleus*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, B* **267**, 2507–2510.
- Mouton, L., Henri, H., Boulétreau, M. and Vavre, F.** (2003). Strain-specific regulation of intracellular *Wolbachia* density in multiply infected insects. *Molecular Ecology* **12**, 3459–3465.
- Pérez-Tris, J., Hasselquist, D., Hellgren, O., Krizanauskiene, A., Waldenström, J. and Bensch, S.** (2005). What are malaria parasites? *Trends in Parasitology* **21**, 209–211.
- Perkins, S. L. and Schall, J. J.** (2002). A molecular phylogeny of malarial parasites recovered from cytochrome b gene sequences. *Journal of Parasitology* **88**, 972–978.
- Richard, F. A., Sehgal, R. N. M., Jones, H. I. and Smith, T. B.** (2002). A comparative analysis of PCR-based detection methods for avian malaria. *Journal of Parasitology* **88**, 819–822.
- Ricklefs, R. E. and Fallon, S. M.** (2002). Diversification and host switching in avian malaria parasites. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, B* **269**, 885–892.
- Ricklefs, R. E., Fallon, S. M. and Bermingham, E.** (2004). Evolutionary relationships, cospeciation, and host switching in avian malaria parasites. *Systematic Biology* **53**, 111–119.
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. and Maniatis, T.** (1989). *Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
- Scheuerlein, A. and Ricklefs, R. E.** (2004). Prevalence of blood parasites in European passeriform birds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, B* **271**, 1363–1370.
- Scopel, K. K. G., Fontes, C. J. F., Nunes, A. C., Horta, M. D. and Braga, E. M.** (2004). High prevalence of *Plasmodium malariae* infections in a Brazilian Amazon endemic area (Apiacas-Mato Grosso State) as detected by polymerase chain reaction. *Acta Tropica* **90**, 61–64.
- Seutin, G., White, B. N. and Boag, P. T.** (1991). Preservation of avian blood and tissue samples for DNA analyses. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **69**, 82–90.
- Sol, D., Jovani, R. and Torres, J.** (2000). Geographical variation in blood parasites in feral pigeons: the role of vectors. *Ecography* **23**, 307–314.
- Sol, D., Jovani, R. and Torres, J.** (2003). Parasite mediated mortality and host immune response explain age-related differences in blood parasitism in birds. *Oecologia* **135**, 542–547.
- Szymanski, M. M. and Lovette, I. J.** (2005). High lineage diversity and host sharing of malarial parasites in a local avian assemblage. *Journal of Parasitology* **91**, 768–774.

- Tham, J. M., Lee, S. H., Tan, T. M. C., Ting, R. C. Y. and Kara, U. A. K.** (1999). Detection and species determination of malaria parasites by PCR: Comparison with microscopy and with ParaSight-F and ICT malaria Pf tests in a clinical environment. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **37**, 1269–1273.
- Tschirren, B., Fitze, P. S. and Richner, H.** (2003). Sexual dimorphism in susceptibility to parasites and cell-mediated immunity in great tit nestlings. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **72**, 839–845.
- Valkiunias, G.** (1997). *Avian Malaria Parasites and other Haemosporidia*. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Waldenström, J., Bensch, S., Hasselquist, D. and Ostman, O.** (2004). A new nested polymerase chain reaction method very efficient in detecting *Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus* infections from avian blood. *Journal of Parasitology* **90**, 191–194.
- Zuk, M. and McKean, K. A.** (1996). Sex difference in parasite infections: patterns and processes. *International Journal for Parasitology* **26**, 1009–1024.