Genetic differentiation among populations of *Brachytrupes portentosus* (Lichtenstein 1796) (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) in Thailand and the Lao PDR: the Mekong River as a biogeographic barrier

C. Tantrawatpan¹, W. Saijuntha^{2*}, W. Pilab², K. Sakdakham², P. Pasorn², S. Thanonkeo², Thiha², R. Satrawaha² and T. Petney³

¹Division of Cell Biology, Department of Preclinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University, Rangsit Campus, Pathumthani 12121, Thailand: ²Walai Rukhavej Botanical Research Institute (WRBRI), Mahasarakham University, Maha Sarakham 44150, Thailand: ³Department of Ecology and Parasitology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Kornblumenstrasse 13, Karlsruhe, Germany

Abstract

The Mekong River is known to act as a boundary between a number of terrestrial and freshwater species, including various parasites and their intermediate hosts as well as endangered mammal species. Little information is available, however, on the genetic differentiation between terrestrial invertebrates to the east and the west of this wide river. The genetic diversity among eight natural populations of *Brachytrupes* portentosus (Lichtenstein, 1796) (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) collected from Thailand and the Lao People's Democratic Republic (PDR) were analyzed by multilocus enzyme electrophoresis. The allelic profiles of 20 enzymes encoding 23 loci were analyzed. An average of 41% fixed differences was detected between the populations from Thailand and Lao PDR, which are separated by the Mekong River. The percent fixed differences ranged between 4% and 26% within the populations from Thailand and between 4% and 22% within the populations from Lao PDR. A phenogram shows that the eight populations fell into two major clusters based on the Thai and Lao sampling sites. The genetic distance between the samples within Thailand and within Lao PDR was related to the distances between sampling areas. The genetic variability between populations of this cricket indicates that genetic relationships are influenced by a natural barrier as well as by the geographical distance between these allopatric populations.

Keywords: *Brachytrupes portentosus,* genetic differentiation, multilocus enzyme electrophoresis, Mekong River, natural barrier

(Accepted 28 March 2011)

*Authors for correspondence Fax: +66-43754407 E-mail: weerachai.s@msu.ac.th

Introduction

The biogeography of Southeast Asia is in part defined by the major river systems which run through the area (Meijaard & Groves, 2006). Thus, species distributions of both freshwater as well as terrestrial animals often end on the banks of the major rivers such as the Mekong or, in the case of some bird species, are confined to the deep river valleys cut by the Brahmaputra, Salween, Mekong and Yangtze (Johansson et al., 2007). Both invertebrate species, such as the intermediate snail hosts of the small liver fluke Opisthorchis viverrini sensu lato and O. viverrini sensu stricto (Saijuntha et al., 2007; Laoprom et al., 2009), and the intermediate hosts of Schistosoma mekongi and S. ovuncatum (Attwood et al., 2002, 2008) differ genetically between Thai populations to the west of the Mekong and those from Laos to the east. The Mekong also acts as a dividing line between various mammal species, such as primates (Long et al., 1994; Groves, 2007; Roos et al., 2008), with species richness being appreciably higher to the east (Meijaard & Groves, 2006). These findings are consistent with the riverine barrier hypothesis, which states that rivers and their flood plains act as barriers to gene flow between populations (Haffer, 1997; Jalil et al., 2008). Relatively little information is available from the Mekong Subregion, however, for terrestrial invertebrates.

Brachytrupes portentosus (Lichtenstein, 1796), the large brown or big-headed field cricket, belongs to the order Orthoptera, family Gryllidae (there are several synonyms, e.g. Tarbinskiellus portentosus (Lichtenstein, 1796) or Acheta portentosa Lichtenstein, 1796). This species spends most of its time up to 30 cm underground with a single specimen per burrow. It feeds on young plants and in some areas can be gathered in thousands at sundown (DeFoliart, 2002). It is widely distributed in Southeast Asia where it is important to humans both as a food source (Bristowe, 1932; DeFoliart, 2002), as well as a pest of various agricultural crops, vegetables, lawns and ornamental plants (Chatterjee, 1965; Atim et al., 1992). As a food source, this cricket has a higher economic value than other crickets in Southeast Asia, especially in Thailand, with the price of a hundred live giant crickets being about \$US1.5-4.5 (50-150 Thai baht) and, after being cooked (normally by deep frying), up to \$US4.5-6.0 (150-200 Thai baht) (Kittibanpacha, unpublished data). It is a valuable protein source, with 100g dry weight providing 12.8 g protein and energy worth about 113 kcal (Viwatpanich, unpublished data). This is of particular importance as sources of animal protein in this area are limited, with freshwater fish supplying by far most of the requirements for the local population (Sverdrup-Jensen, 2002).

Previous studies have shown a relatively high genetic variation in other species of field cricket, with genetic differentiation among their populations depending mostly on geographic features, especially among geographical populations of North American field crickets of the genus *Gryllus*, Hawaiian crickets of the genus *Laupala* and the Jerusalem cricket of the genus *Stenopelmatus* endemic to southern California (Huang *et al.*, 2000; Parsons & Shaw, 2001; Gray *et al.*, 2006; Vandergast *et al.*, 2007). Moreover, within the singing Orthoptera, many closely related, reproductively isolated species are divergent in ecological and sexual traits yet exhibit little or no morphological divergence (Braswell *et al.*, 2006). Such cryptic species pose particular problems for taxonomy. Speciation events can be associated with reduced gene flow caused by physical barriers to

migration, along with limited vagility and natal philopatry (Buston *et al.*, 2007). There is usually a geographic range within which individuals are more closely related to one another than to those randomly selected from the general population, leading to genetic structuring (Repaci *et al.*, 2007). The populations of many ground crickets are structured in this way, e.g. sequence divergence in mitochondrial genes between different geographical populations of field crickets, *Gryllus* spp., sampled from areas of both allopatry and sympatry. The pattern of cytochrome oxidase 1 (CO1) sequence divergence and genetic variation is consistent with allopatric or peripatric speciation in the southeastern and south-central American field cricket (Gray *et al.*, 2006). In addition, the divergence of cytochrome *b* sequence was reported among North American field cricket populations (Huang *et al.*, 2000).

Many molecular markers have been used to address the genetic diversity/variation of morphologically or geographically cryptic species. These include allozyme electrophoresis, amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLPs), restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPDs), mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequence variation and microsatellite markers (e.g. Parsons & Shaw, 2001; Dawson *et al.*, 2003; Bretman *et al.*, 2008). Allozyme surveys are straightforward and inexpensive and are capable of detecting variation among geographical and/or morphologically distinct populations (Loxdale & Lushai, 1998).

In this study, the genetic variation and geographical relationships among large field cricket populations from Thailand and the Lao People's Democratic Republic (PDR), separated by the Mekong River (on average, about 1 km wide), were investigated by multilocus enzyme electrophoresis (MEE). Three additional ground cricket species, *Acheta confirmata* Walker, *Gryllus bimaculatus* de Geer and *Gryllus testaceus* Walker, were compared as out-groups.

Materials and methods

Sample collection

Two hundred ninety-six adult large brown crickets were collected from five areas in Thailand and three in the Lao PDR (table 1, fig. 1). The specimens were collected by digging them up in grass fields, rice paddies, forests or by buying them at local markets. All crickets came from natural habitats. The other crickets tested, A. confirmata, G. bimaculatus and G. testaceus, were caught in houses in the Maung district, Maha Sarakham Province of Thailand. Species were identified morphologically as described in Triplehorn & Johnson (2005). The crickets were transported back to the laboratory alive and, after killing by freezing, the hind legs were removed and immediately stored deep frozen at -80°C. Enzyme homogenates were individually prepared from the muscle of a left hind leg. Femoral muscle was manually ground with an equal volume of lysing solution (100 ml distilled water, 100 µl β-mercaptoethanol, 10mg NADP) using a glass rod and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatants were stored in capillary tubes as $5\,\mu$ l aliquots at -20° C until used.

Multilocus enzyme electrophoresis (MEE)

Multilocus enzyme electrophoresis (MEE) was performed by using cellulose acetate (Cellogel, Milan, Italy) as the

Table 1. Geographical locations of B. portentosus collection from Thailand and Lao PDR.

Code	N^*	District	Province	Country	Source of collection	Latitude, longitude
MSm	35	Muang	Maha Sarakham	Thailand	Local market	16°11'3" N, 103°17'24" E
MSg	42	Gudrang	Maha Sarakham	Thailand	Rice paddy	16°05′38″ N, 103°00′38″ E
MSn	38	Nadun	Maha Sarakham	Thailand	Grass field	15°43′03″ N, 103°13′48″ E
UBk	40	Khemarat	Ubon Ratchathani	Thailand	Rice paddy and forest	16°02'21" N, 105°13'15" E
UBm	30	Muang Sam Sip	Ubon Ratchathani	Thailand	Local market	15°30′50″ N, 104°43′29″ E
SVg	40	Kaison Phomvihan	Savannakhet	Lao PDR	Local market	16°34'13" N, 104°46'00" E
SVs	37	Songkorn	Savannakhet	Lao PDR	Grass field and forest	16°15′28″ N, 105°17′58″ E
CSp	34	Pakse	Champasak	Lao PDR	Local market	15°06′58″ N, 105°48′08″ E

* Sample size.



Fig. 1. Map showed the eight different geographical localities of *B. portentosus* collection. The samples codes as listed in table 1.

support medium. The cellulose acetate gel was cut into an appropriate size $(15 \times 30 \text{ cm})$, soaked in 30% methanol and kept at 4°C until used. The cellulose acetate gel was blotted dry between sheets of blotting paper and rapidly transferred to a soaking tank containing approximately 400 ml of the running buffer for at least ten minutes to equilibrate the gel with the buffer. The loaded gel was run at a constant 200 V, running time being adjusted between 120–150 min according to the mobility of each enzyme. Twenty enzymes were examined as follows (abbreviation, Enzyme Commission no.); adenylate kinase (Ak, 2.7.4.3), aldolase (Ald, 4.1.2.13), creatine kinase (Ck, 2.7.3.2), enolase (Enol, 4.2.1.11), fructose-1,6-diphosphatase (Fdp, 3.1.3.11), aspartate amino transferase (Got, 2.6.1.1),

glucose-phosphate isomerase (Gpi, 5.3.1.9), alanine amino transferase (Gpt, 2.6.1.2), hexokinase (Hk, 2.7.1.1), isocitrate dehydrogenase (Idh, 1.1.1.42), malate dehydrogenase (Mdh, 1.1.1.37), malic enzyme (Me, 1.1.1.40), mannose-phosphate isomerase (Mpi, 5.3.1.8), peptidase leucine-glycine-glycine (PepB, 3.4.11.4), peptidase phenylalanine-proline (PepD, 3.4.13.9), 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (6Pgd, 1.1.1.44), phosphoglycerate kinase (Pgk, 2.7.2.3), phosphoglucomutase (Pgm, 2.7.5.1), pyruvate kinase (Pk, 2.7.1.40), triose phosphate isomerase (Tpi, 5.3.1.1) and general protein (Gp).

The staining protocol described by Richardson *et al.* (1986) was followed with minor modifications. The stain ingredients commonly used were substrates in solution or dry

Code*	MSm	MSg	MSn	UBk	UBm	SVg	SVs	CSp
MSm	_	32	54	207	171	164	215	296
MSg	4/0.753	_	48	236	194	196	245	293
MSn	9 /0.787	4/0.879	-	216	162	190	229	295
UBk	17/0.830	17 /0.870	22 /0.879	_	79	76	26	120
UBm	17/0.852	22 /0.882	26 /0.889	13 /0.752	_	117	102	124
SVg	48 /0.947	52 /0.953	52 /0.952	39 /0.905	48 /0.912	_	67	195
SVs	35 /0.937	39 /0.939	39 /0.943	22/0.899	35/0.903	4/0.518	_	140
CSp	43 /0.966	43 /0.969	43 /0.971	30 /0.938	43 /0.935	22 /0.861	17 /0.857	-

Table 2. Pairwise comparison of the percentage of fixed difference (indicated in bold), F_{ST} values (indicated in italics, lower triangle) and geographical distance (in km, upper triangle) among isolates of *B. portentosus* collected from different geographical localities.

* Code of eight geographical samples listed in table 1. F_{ST} values compare between all localities were significant different with *P*-value < 0.001.

components, which were weighed prior to preparation of the final stain, staining buffer, stock solution and linking enzymes. The stain solution was thoroughly mixed and spread evenly on the staining plate to the width of the gel by using a disposable plastic bulb-pipette. The gel was removed from the electrophoresis chamber inverted so that the porous, loading surface was in contact with the stain mixture over the surface of the gel. The stain was evenly spread over the gel within five seconds of application. The gel was then left on the stain for 30-60s with occasional agitation every 10-15s. The gel was blotted to remove excess stain and quickly transferred, porous side down, onto the plastic sheet. This was wrapped around the gel so that no creases were present to obscure enzyme bands. Wrapping the gel in this manner facilitates handling, whilst allowing the enzyme reaction(s) to be monitored safety. Gels were incubated at 37°C to increase the rate of the enzyme reaction and checked frequently for the appearance of band activity. Highly active enzymes appeared within seconds of stain application, whereas weaker enzymes took up to an hour to stain.

Data analysis

Alleles at each locus were designated by letters of the alphabet, starting with the allele encoding the most anodally migrating allozymes. To examine the genetic differences and relationships between different geographical populations, percent fixed difference (i.e. where a sample did not share any alleles in common with another sample at a particular locus) was calculated and a phenogram constructed based on UPGMA (unweighted pair group method of analysis: Sneath & Sokal, 1978) using the GWbasic program to measure the fixed differences between different populations. GENEPOP, version 3.3 (Raymond & Rousset, 1995) was used to calculate allele and genotype frequencies for each locus and genetic differentiation among populations (FST) based on Weir & Cockerham (1984) estimation. The correlation between two matrices of genetic distance (% fixed differences) and geographic distance among B. portentosus populations was performed using a Mantel test (Mantel, 1967) based on a twotailed test (Pearson) at 95% CI in XLSTAT. The correlation between three matrices of genetic distance vs. geographic distance and river barrier (Mekong River) among B. portentosus populations was performed using a two-tailed partial Mantel test (Quemere et al., 2010) with 95% CI using ZT software (Bonnet & Van de Peer, 2002).

Results

Eight geographical samples of *B. portentosus* showed a relatively high genetic diversity. Allele frequencies at all 23 loci tested in each sample are shown in Appendix 1. Allelic variability within a population of *B. portentosus* was observed at Enol, Gpt-1, Gpt-2, Me, Mpi, PepB, 6Pgd and Tpi (see Appendix 1). Two populations of B. portentosus from Ubon Ratchathani Province showed allelic variability at five loci (i.e. Enol, Gpt-1, Gpt-2, Mpi and Me), whereas the three populations from Laos showed allelic variability at six loci, three as in Thailand (i.e. Gpt-1, Gpt-2 and Mpi) and three additional ones (i.e. PepB, 6Pgd and Tpi) (Appendix 1). However, there was highly significant differentiation in the pairwise F_{ST} values between eight different geographical populations of *B. portentosus.* Pairwise F_{ST} values between the populations from Thailand and the populations from Laos varied from 0.899 to 0.971. Pairwise F_{ST} values between five populations from Thailand varied from 0.752 to 0.889, whereas between three populations from Laos varied from 0.518 to 0.861 (Appendix 1).

Of the 23 enzyme loci, fixed differences between different geographical populations of B. portentosus were observed at 12 loci, i.e. Ak, Ald, Ck, Gp, Hk, Idh, Mdh, Me, PepD, Pgk, Pgm and Pk (Appendix 1). Fixed differences were also observed between the populations from Thailand and Lao PDR at eight loci, i.e. Ak, Ald, Ck, Gp, Hk, Idh, Me and Pgk (22-52% fixed difference) (Appendix 1, table 2). A total of 4-26% fixed differences were observed when the allelic patterns among five different geographical populations from Thailand were compared. Fixed differences were observed between the two populations from Ubon Ratchathani Province (UBk and UBm) and the three populations from Maha Sarakham Province (MSm, MSg and MSn) (17-26% fixed difference) at five loci, namely Ck, Gp, Mdh, PepD and Pgm (Appendix 1, table 2). Moreover, comparison among three populations from Maha Sarakham Province revealed that there were fixed difference between the MSn population vs. the MSm and MSg populations at the Ald locus and the MSm population vs. the MSg and MSn populations at Mdh locus. Similarly, it was found that fixed differences occurred between the UBk and the UBm populations from Ubon Ratchathani Province at three loci, i.e. Ck, Gp and PepD, with 13% fixed differences (Appendix 1, table 2). Comparison among the three populations from Lao PDR showed that fixed differences were observed at three loci (PepD, Pgm and Pk) between the two populations from Savannakhet Province (SVg and SVs) and one population from Champasak Province (CSp) at 17-22% fixed differences.



Fig. 2. Phenogram depicts % fixed difference (indicated in each branch) between eight different geographical populations of the field cricket, *B. portentosus*, from Thailand and Lao PDR and three other species of ground crickets.

In contrast, 4% fixed differences were observed between the SVg and SVs populations, which were differed at *Mdh* locus (Appendix 1, table 2).

Two distinct clusters of *B. portentosus* samples are shown in a phenogram generated from the allelic profile of 23 loci examined (fig. 2). The first cluster contained the five samples from Thailand, which is seen to be genetically different from the cluster comprising the three samples from Laos at 41% fixed differences (fig. 2). The three samples from Maha Sarakham Province (MSg, MSm and MSn) were aligned as a cluster with 4-6.5% fixed differences. The population from Ubon Ratchathani Province, UBk, was clustered with UBm at 13% fixed differences. Moreover, the three samples from Maha Sarakham Province appeared to be genetically different from the two populations from Ubon Ratchathani at 19.5% fixed difference. The cluster of the samples from Laos showed that two populations, SVg and SVs, from Savannakhet Province were clustered at 4% fixed differences. The CSp population from Champasak Province was genetically different from SVg and SVs with 19.5% fixed differences. In addition, B. portentosus was genetically distinct from the other three species of ground cricket tested (i.e. >76% fixed differences). A Mantel test (r=0.515, P-value=0.0061; table 3) supports the notion that the null hypothesis, indicating that the matrices of % fixed difference and Euclidean geographical distance are not correlated, be rejected. A partial Mantel test between 'geographic distance' and 'genetic distance' after controlling for 'river barrier' revealed that r = 0.415 (P = 0.0275) (table 3). Another partial Mantel test between 'river barrier' and 'genetic distance' after controlling for 'geographic distance' gave r = 0.486 (P = 0.0007) (table 3). These results suggest that

Table 3. Correlation test between genetic distance vs. geographical distance and river barrier.

Correlation	Controlling factor	r	<i>P-</i> value	Test
Genetic distance vs. geographic distance	No	0.515	0.0061	Mantel test
Genetic distance vs. geographic distance	River barrier	0.415	0.0275	Partial Mantel test
Genetic distance vs. river barrier	Geographic distance	0.486	0.0007	Partial Mantel test

the river is even a more important factor in limiting gene-flow than the geographical distance. Our results also showed that genotypes at all loci deviated from Hardy-Weinberg expectations (HWE) with a highly significant heterozygote deficiency (P<0.001) except for Mpi (P=0.117) and PepB (P=0.289) (Appendix 1).

Discussion

As with other species belonging to the order Orthoptera (e.g. grasshoppers: Gill, 2008; Ademolu *et al.*, 2009; mole crickets: e.g. Nevo *et al.*, 2000; and many field or ground crickets: e.g. Braswell *et al.*, 2006), MEE has proven to be an extremely use-efficient tool for examining the genetic variation and relationships between different geographical populations of B. portentosus in Thailand and Lao PDR. In our study, over 23 informative enzyme loci markers were generated with up to 12 loci (52%) being polymorphic among B. portentosus populations. The grouping of B. portentosus samples based on the UPGMA phenogram was in line with the geographic area and distances as recorded, implying that genetic relationships of B. portentosus samples, would depend on geographic features in Thailand and Lao PDR. Moreover, the genetic distance was also concordant with the Euclidean geographical distance between populations compared within Thailand or within Lao PDR, i.e. the samples which were collected between 32-79 km from one another showed 4-13% fixed differences and F_{ST} values of 0.518–0.879, whereas those separated by between 102-236 km showed 17-26% fixed differences and F_{ST} values of 0.830–0.971. Other studies have shown that this is not always the case; thus although genetic difference of cytochrome b sequences of G. bimaculatus De Geer showed a significant correlation with spatial distance between South African and European samples, there was no significant correlation between different geographical populations from within South Africa (Ferreira, 2006).

The observed deficit of heterozygotes as compared with Hardy-Weinberg expectations could be the result of a variety of factors, such as the Wahlund effect (i.e. due to the mixing of apparently contiguous population samples with different allele frequencies which are in reality separated by geographic barriers), natural selection, phenotypic assortative mating or inbreeding (Karlsson & Mork, 1999; Holsinger & Weir, 2009). Which of these acts in the case of *B. portentosus* cannot be determined from the data available, although the very high F_{ST} levels indicate concomitantly very limited gene flow between the populations sampled (Holsinger & Weir, 2009), suggesting that the strong Wahlund effect, selection or inbreeding may be possible. The use of burrows by these crickets may be an indication of limited dispersal, which would be in line with both of these possibilities. Here, more information on the behavior and ecology of *B. portentosus* is required.

The genetic difference observed between the population samples from Thailand and Lao PDR (average 40% fixed difference) can probably be attributed to the major natural barrier, the Mekong River. The distance between UBk from Thailand and SVs from Laos is only 26 km, but there were 22% fixed differences between populations on either side of the river. Moreover, the correlation between genetic distance was more highly significantly correlated with river barrier than geographic distance. This finding provides strong evidence that the Mekong River obstructs gene flow of this cricket between Thailand and Lao PDR, as is the case for other organisms. The liver fluke, Opisthorchis viverrini, which uses Bithynia snails as first intermediate host and cyprinid fish as a second intermediate host, shows very low levels of gene flow between the samples from Thailand and Lao PDR (Saijuntha et al., 2007). Gene flow between populations of freshwater fish species, such as cyprinid spp. and catfish, is also interrupted across the Mekong River (So et al., 2006; Ngamsiri et al., 2007; Hurwood et al., 2008). This conforms to the riverine barrier hypothesis, which was first suggested by Alfred Russell Wallace to describe the pattern of species distribution in the Amazon area of South America (Wallace, 1853; Haffer, 1997). Over the last few decades, tests of the hypothesis have usually involved taxonomic groups from this area (Gascon et al., 1998, 2000; Aleixo, 2004; Noonan & Wray, 2006), although some support has also come from Africa (Anthony et al., 2007) and islands of Southeast Asia (Jalil *et al.*, 2008) for gorillas and orang-utans, respectively.

Nevertheless, the two closest areas in Thailand and the Lao PDR, i.e. UBk and SVs, showed the lowest genetic difference when compared with the other samples from these countries. This is possibly because there was some gene flow between these populations despite the obstruction presented by the Mekong, potentially caused by gene flow between the UBk and SVs populations due to human transport. This humanassisted movement can occur if crickets are brought from the Songkorn district in Laos for sale at local markets in the Khemarat district of Thailand. While all our analyses show substantial genetic differentiation among the B. portentosus samples, a comprehensive assessment of population genetics structure, allele/genotype frequency and gene flow will require larger sample sizes and also more sophisticated approaches, i.e. DNA markers such as microsatellites or mtDNA markers with higher genetic resolving power. A lowfrequency of some alleles at some loci tested may have remained undetected in this study due to the comparatively lower resolving power of allozyme markers vs. microsatellites. Moreover, the variation in morphological and biological traits, e.g. flatwing, cercal filiform hairs, body size, genitalia, tegmen length, mesosternum, metasternum, male calling song and seminal protein, which have been reported in other field crickets (Parsons & Shaw, 2001; Dangles et al., 2005; Braswell et al., 2006; Tinghitella, 2008; Cordero et al., 2009), indicates that further work to explore biological differences between geographical populations of *B. portentosus* is required. One last possibility is certainly that cryptic species may be responsible for the fixed allelic differences observed, but again this requires elucidation using other biological methods such as cross mating experiments.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by a research grant from Mahasarakham University for the year 2010. We wish to thank the many individuals who help us with sample collection, especially Dr Anchalee Techasen, Ms Kunyarat Duenngai, Ms Sumonta Tepdara, Ms Kanokorn Saimanee, Ms Reamjit Suttisokshueak and Ms Thong Saijuntha. We would also like to thank two referees, Profs H.D. Loxdale, and J. Ortego, for their suggestions which have improved the paper considerably. Prof Ortega kindly suggested and carried out the partial Mantel tests.

References

- Ademolu, K.O., Idowu, A.B. & Olatunde, G.O. (2009) Morphometrics and enzyme activities in the femoral muscles of the variegated grasshopper *Zonocerus variegates* (Orthoptera: Pyrgomorphidae) during post-embryonic development. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* 29, 53–56.
- Aleixo, A. (2004) Historical diversification of a *terre-firme* forest bird superspecies: a phylogenetic perspective on the role of different hypotheses of Amazonian diversification. *Evolution* 58, 1202–1317.
- Anthony, N.M., Johnson-Bawe, M., Jeffery, K., Clifford, S.L., Abernathy, K.A., Tutin, C.E., Lahm, S.A., White, L.J.T., Utley, J.F., Wickings, E.J. & Bruford, M.W. (2007) The role of Pleistocene refugia and rivers in shaping gorilla genetic

diversity in central Africa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**, 20432–20436.

- Atim, A.B., Gopalan, S., Baharin, M., Saad, Z. & Samuri, M. (1992) Predaceous insects of cover crops under rubber in Malaysia. *Journal of Plant Protection in the Tropics* 9, 51–56.
- Attwood, S.W., Panasoponkul, C., Upatham, E.S., Meng, X.H. & Southgatem, V.R. (2002) Schistosoma ovuncatum n. sp. (Digenea: Schistosomatidae) from northwest Thailand and the historical biogeography of Southeast Asian Schistosoma Weinland, 1858. Systematic Parasitology 51, 1–19.
- Attwood, S.W., Fatih, F.A., Campbell, I. & Upatham, E.S. (2008) The distribution of Mekong schistosomiasis, past and future: preliminary indications from an analysis of genetic variation in the intermediate past. *Parasitology International* 57, 256–270.
- Bonnet, E. & Van de Peer, Y. (2002) ZT: a software tool for simple and partial Mantel tests. *Journal of Statistical Software* 10, 1–12.
- Braswell, W.E., Birge, L.M. & Howard, D.J. (2006) Allonemobius shalontaki, a new cryptic species of ground cricket (Orthoptera: Gryllidae: Nemobiinae) from the Southwestern United States. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 99, 449–456.
- Bretman, A., Dawson, D.A., Horsburgh, G.J. & Tregenza, T. (2008) New microsatellite loci isolated from the field cricket *Gryllus bimaculatus* characterized in two cricket species, *Gryllus bimaculatus* and *Gryllus campestris*. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8, 1015–1019.
- Bristowe, W.S. (1932) Insects and other invertebrates for human consumption in Siam. *Transactions of the Entomological Society* of London 80, 387–404.
- Buston, P.M., Bogdanowicz, S.M., Wong, A. & Harrison, R.G. (2007) Are clownfish groups composed of close relatives? An analysis of microsatellite DNA variation in *Amphiprion percula*. *Molecular Ecology* **16**, 3671–3678.
- Chatterjee, P.B. (1965) A note on Brachytrypes portentosus Lichtenstein (= Achatinus Stoll.) (Orthoptera, Gryllidae), a pest of ramie (Boehmeria nivea). Indian Journal of Entomology 27, 493–494.
- Cordero, P.J., Llorente, V., Cordero, P. & Ortego, J. (2009) Recognizing taxonomic units in the field-The case of the crickets *Oecanthus dulcisonans* Gorochov 1993, and *O. pellucens* (Scopoli, 1763) (Orthoptera: Gryllidae): implications for their distribution and conservation in Southern Europe. *Zootaxa* 2284, 63–68.
- Dangles, O., Magal, C., Pierre, D., Olivier, A. & Casas, J. (2005) Variation in morphology and performance of predatorsensing system in wild cricket populations. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 208, 461–468.
- Dawson, D.A., Bretman, A.J. & Tregenza, T. (2003) Microsatellite loci for the field cricket, *Gryllus bimaculatus* and their crossutility in other species of Orthoptera. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 3, 191–195.
- **DeFoliart, G.R.** (2002) The human use of insects as a food resource: a bibliographic account in progress. Available online at http://www.food-insects.com/ (Accessed 3 April 2011).
- Ferreira, M. (2006) Evolutionary implications of variation in the calling song of the cricket *Gryllus bimaculatus* De Geer (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) in Chapter 4 'Inter-continental phylogeography and regional gene flow in the field cricket, *Gryllus bimaculatus* De Geer (Orthoptera: Gryllidae)'. Master thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Gascon, C., Lougheed, S.C. & Bogart, J.P. (1998) Patterns of genetic population differentiation in four species of Amazonian frogs: a test of the riverine barrier hypothesis. *Biotropica* **30**, 104–119.

- Gascon, C., Malcolm, J.R., Patton, J.L., da Silva, M.N.F., Bogart, J. P., Lougheed, S.C., Peres, C.A., Neckel, S. & Boag, P.T. (2000) Riverine barriers and the geographic distribution of Amazonian species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 97, 13672–13677.
- Gill, P. (2008) Allozyme variation in sympatric population of British grasshoppers–evidence of natural selection. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 16, 83–91.
- Gray, D.A., Barnfield, P., Seifried, M. & Richards, M.H. (2006) Molecular divergence between *Gryllus rubens* and *Gryllus texensis*, sister species of field crickets (Orthoptera: Gryllidae). *The Canadian Entomologist* 138, 305–313.
- Groves, C.P. (2007) Speciation and biogeography of Vietnam's primates. *Vietnamese Journal of Primatology* **1**, 27–40.
- Haffer, J. (1997) Alternative models of vertebrate speciation in Amazonia: an overview. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 6, 451–476.
- **Holsinger, K.E. & Wier, B.S.** (2009) Genetics in geographically structured populations: defining, estimating and interpreting *F*_{ST}. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **10**, 639–650.
- Huang, Y., Orti, G., Sutherlin, M., Duhachek, A. & Zera, A. (2000) Phylogenetic relationships of North American field crickets inferred from mitochondrial DNA data. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 17, 48–57.
- Hurwood, D.A., Adamson, E.A.S. & Mather, P.B. (2008) Evidence for strong genetic structure in a regionally important, highly vagile cyprinid (*Henicorhynchus lobatus*) in the Mekong River Basin. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* 17, 273–283.
- Jalil, M.F., Cable, J., Sinyor, J., Lackman-Ancrenaz, I., Ancrenaz, M., Bruford, M.W. & Goossens, B. (2008) Riverine effects on mitochondrial structure of Bornean orangutans (Pongo pygmaeus) at two spatial scale. *Molecular Ecology* 17, 2898–2909.
- Johansson, U.S., Alström, P., Olsson, U., Ericson, P.G.P., Sundberg, P. & Price, T.D. (2007) Build-up of the Himalayan avifauna through immigration: a biogeographical analysis of the *Phylloscopus* and *Seicercus* warblers. *Evolution* 61, 324–333.
- Karlsson, S. & Mork, J. (1999) deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and temporal instability in allele frequencies at microsatellite loci in a local population of Atlantic cod. *Marine Science* 62, 1588–1596.
- Laoprom, N., Saijuntha, W., Sithithaworn, P., Wongkham, S., Laha, T., Ando, K., Andrews, R. & Petney, T.N. (2009) Biological variation within *Opisthorchis viverrini sensu lato* in Thailand and Lao PDR. *Journal of Parasitology* **95**, 1307–1313.
- Long, Y., Kirkpatrick, C.R., Zhongtai & Xiaolin (1994) Report on the distribution, population, and ecology of the Yunnan snub-nosed monkey (*Rhinopithecus bieti*). Primates 35, 241– 250.
- Loxdale, H.D. & Lushai, G. (1998) Molecular markers in entomology (Review). Bulletin of Entomological Research 88, 577–600.
- Mantel, N.A. (1967) The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. *Cancer Research* 27, 209– 220.
- Meijaard, E. & Groves, C.P. (2006) The geography of mammals and rivers in Southeast Asia. pp. 305–329 *in* Lehman, S.M. & Fleagle, J.G. (*Eds*) *Primate Biogeography*. New York, USA, Springer.
- Nevo, E., Beiles, A., Korol, A.B., Ronin, Y.I., Pavlicek, T. & Hamilton, W. (2000) Extraordinary multilocus genetic organization in mole crickets, Gryllotalpidae. *Evolution* 54, 586–605.

- Ngamsiri, T., Nakajima, M., Sukmanomon, S., Sukumasavin, N., Kamonrat, W., Na-Nakorn, U. & Taniguchi, N. (2007) Genetic diversity of wild Mekong giant catfish *Pangasianodon gigas* collected from Thailand and Cambodia. *Fisheries Science* **73**, 792–799.
- Noonan, B.P. & Wray, K.P. (2006) Neotropical diversification: the effects of a complex history on diversity within the poison frog genus *Dendrobates*. *Journal of Biogeography* 33, 1007–1020.
- Parsons, Y.M. & Shaw, K.L. (2001) Species boundaries and genetic diversity among Hawaiian crickets of the genus *Laupala* identified using amplified fragment length polymorphism. *Molecular Ecology* 10, 1765–1772.
- Quemere, E., Crouau-Roy, B., Rabarivola, C., Louis, E.E. & Chikhi, L. (2010) Landscape genetics of an endangered lemur (*Propithecus tattersalli*) within its entire fragmented range. *Molecular Ecology* **19**, 1606–1621.
- Raymond, M. & Rousset, F. (1995) Population genetics software for exact test and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity* 86, 248– 249.
- Repaci, V., Stow, A.J. & Briscoe, D.A. (2007) Fine-scale genetic structure, co-founding and multiple mating in the Australian allodapine bee (*Ramphocinclus brachyurus*). *Journal of Zoology* 270, 687–691.
- Richardson, B.J., Baverstock, P.R. & Adams, M. (1986) Allozyme Electrophoresis: A Handbook for Animal Systematics and Population Studies. Sydney, Australia, Academic Press.
- Roos, C., Nadler, T. & Walter, L. (2008) Mitochondrial phylogeny, taxonomy and biogeography of the silvered langur species group (*Trachypithecus cristatus*). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 47, 629–636.
- Saijuntha, W., Sithithaworn, P., Wongkham, S., Laha, T., Pipitgool, V., Tesana, S., Chilton, N.B., Petney, T.N. & Andrews, R.H. (2007) Evidence of a species complex within

the food-borne trematode *Opisthorchis viverrini* and possible co-evolution with their first intermediate hosts. *International Journal for Parasitology* **37**, 695–703.

- Sneath, P.H.A. & Sokal, R.R. (1978) Numerical Taxonomy: The Principles and Practice of Numerical Classification. San Francisco, USA, WH Freeman & Co.
- So, N., Maes, G.E. & Volckaert, F.A.M. (2006) High genetic diversity in cryptic populations of the migratory sutchi catfish *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* in the Mekong River. *Heredity* 96, 166–174.
- Sverdrup-Jensen, S. (2002) Fisheries in the Lower Mekong Basin: Status and Perspectives. MRC Technical Paper No. 6, Mekong River Commission, Phnom Penh, Cambodia. ISSN: 1683–1489.
- Tinghitella, R.M. (2008) Rapid evolutionary change in a sexual signal: genetic control of the mutation 'flatwing' that renders male field crickets (*Teleogryllus oceanicus*) mute. *Heredity* 100, 261–267.
- Triplehorn, C.A. & Johnson, N.F. (2005) Borror and Delong's Introduction to the Study of Insects. Belmont, CA, USA, Thompson Brook/Cole.
- Vandergast, A.G., Bohonak, A.J., Weissman, D.B. & Fisher, R.N. (2007) Understanding the genetic effects of recent habitat fragmentation in the context of evolutionary history: phylogeography and landscape genetics of a southern California endemic Jerusalem cricket (Orthoptera: Stenopelmatidae: Stenopelmatus). Molecular Ecology 16, 977– 992.
- Wallace, A.R. (1853) A Narrative of Travels on the Amazon and Rio Negro. London, UK, Reeve.
- Weir, B.S. & Cockerham, C.C. (1984) Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. Evolution 38, 1358– 1370.

Appendix 1

Allele frequency of 23 loci of eight geographical samples of *B. portentosus*, including *Acheta confirmata* Walker (*Ac*), *Gryllus bimaculatus* de Geer (*Gb*) and *Gryllus testaceus* Walker (*Gt*). All loci deviated from HWE with highly significant heterozygote deficiency at P < 0.001, except *Mpi* (P = 0.117) and *PepB* (P = 0.289).

Enzyme loci	Allele	B. portentosus samples*								Ac (3)	Gb (2)	Gt (2)
		Thailand						Lao PDF	R			
		MSm (35)	MSg (42)	MSn (38)	UBk (40)	UBm (30)	SVg (40)	SVs (37)	CSp (34)			
Ak	а	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00		
	b						1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
A14	C						1.00	1.00		**	1.00	1.00
Лш	u b	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		_	_	_
	с			1.00						-	_	-
	d								1.00	-	-	-
Ck	a h	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00				-	-	-
	U C	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					_	_	_
	d						1.00	1.00	1.00	_	_	-
Enol	а	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.89	0.92	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Edu 1	b	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.11	0.08	1.00	1.00	1.00			
Fap-1 Fdp-2	a a	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	-	-
	b									1.00		
	С										1.00	1.00
Gp	a				1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	b C				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
	d	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00						
Got-1	а									1.00		
	b	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1 00	1.00		1.00	1.00
<i>C</i> ()	С	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
Got-2 Gnt-1	a	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	_	_	-
Opt 1	b	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.90	0.93	0.89	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Gpt-2	а							0.12	0.09	_	_	-
	b	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.90	1.00	0.88	0.91	-	-	-
* *1	С				0.12	0.10				-	-	-
Hk	a h						1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	C C	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
Idh	а	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					1.00	
	b						1.00	1.00	1.00			
	C J									1.00		1.00
Mala	u									1.00	1.00	1.00
wian	a b							1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
	c		1.00	1.00				1.00				
	d	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00			
Me	a	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.09	0.20	1.00	1.00	1.00			
	b C	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.91	0.80					1.00	
	d									1.00	1.00	
	е											1.00

C. Tantrawatpan et al.

Enzyme loci	Allele		B. portentosus samples*								Gb (2)	Gt (2)
		Thailand						Lao PDR	2			
		MSm (35)	MSg (42)	MSn (38)	UBk (40)	UBm (30)	SVg (40)	SVs (37)	CSp (34)			
Mpi	а	0.81	0.80	0.88	0.84	0.83	0.81	0.82	0.81	0.90	1.00	
,	b	0.12	0.12	0.05	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.10	0.12			1.00
	С	-		-	-	-	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.10		
	d	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.07						
PepB	а										1.00	
	b									1.00		
	C							0.11	0.00			1.00
	a	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.11	0.09			
D D	е	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.89	0.91		4 00	
PepD	a 1-	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	
	D C	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00
	d								1.00	1.00		1.00
(Dad	и 2									1.00		
orgu	u h									1.00	1.00	1.00
	c	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.86	1.00		1.00	1.00
	d						0.12	0.14				
Pok	а									1.00		
1 8/	b									1.00	1.00	
	С	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						1.00
	d						1.00	1.00	1.00			
Pgm	а									1.00		
0	b										1.00	1.00
	С				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
	d	1.00	1.00	1.00								
	е								1.00			
Pk	а									1.00	1.00	1.00
	b	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	4.00			
	С								1.00			
Tpi	а						0.96	1.00	1.00	4.95		
	b	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.04			1.00	1.00	1.00

Appendix 1 (Cont.)

* Sample codes as listed in table 1 and sample size indicated in parenthesis.
** Enzyme band could not be stained.