
Introducing the Corpus of Dutch English

ALISON EDWARDS

What it is, and where it does – and doesn't – belong

Data collection is now underway for a new corpus of 'Dutch English' within the broad scope of World Englishes. This news is often met with suspicion from ELT practitioners, SLA researchers and the average person on the street, Dutch and English L1s alike. How could a *Dunglish*-style interlanguage arising from 'imperfect learning' be cast as legitimate regional variation? Yet this has been a fruitful field for many decades across Asia and Africa, and researchers in Europe are starting to follow suit (see e.g. Erling, 2004; Erling & Bartlett, 2006 for the case of Germany). With English being used for intra-national purposes on the continent all the more frequently, especially in higher education, it is not hard to find examples of regionally flavoured English being more appropriate than any native 'norm'.

A case in point is the word *beamer*. Usually used in colloquial standard English to refer to a BMW, it is also used by the Dutch and others to mean 'projector'. In this case it is a literal translation from the Dutch word *beamer* – and the transfer is hardly surprising, as it looks and sounds like an English word, follows the usual rules of English morphology, and makes logical sense. As such, it appears to have become the accepted term, both in the Netherlands and elsewhere in Europe. This can give rise to confusion when used with interlocutors unfamiliar with the 'new' usage, as demonstrated in the following passages from a forum thread on the Canadian-based website Digital Home.¹

1 July 2009, 7.05 pm

Message posted by Zerogate. Posts: 4; join date: July 2009; location: not indicated

hi!

what are this new technologies all about? my father wants to buy a hdmi cable for his beamer to be able to view digital fotos on it in "high quality". my

question is: if the beamer supports a specific resolution, isn't it without relevance which type of cable to use to transfer the image to the beamer? [...]

sry if this sounds noobish but i'm really curious ☺
[...]

1 July 2009, 7.05 pm

Message posted by Moderator. Posts: 39,788; join date: May 2002; location: Toronto

[...]

I have no idea what a beamer is, other than a BMW, which makes no sense to me when talking about HDTV.

[...]

1 July 2009, 7.17 pm

Zerogate

this is a beamer (in german language): http://www.computer-media-service.co...beamer_nec.jpg

[...]



ALISON EDWARDS is a doctoral candidate at the Research Centre for English and Applied Linguistics at the University of Cambridge. For her research she is building a corpus of 'Dutch English' to promote detailed analysis of the variety as well as comparisons with other

similar varieties. She holds a BA in International Studies (majoring in German language, history and culture) and a BA in Communications (majoring in journalism) from the University of Technology, Sydney, as well as an MA in Applied Linguistics and ELT from St Mary's University College in London. She also works as a Dutch translator and author's editor. Email: ae302@cam.ac.uk

Here we have a new forum user (Zerogate) using the unconventional sense of *beamer*, which clearly baffles the forum moderator (who, with his/her almost 40,000 posts, is apparently the chief authority on the forum). The moderator is based in Toronto, as shown in the profile details. Zerogate's location is not shown, but we are given a clue in the line 'in german language' above.

Some time later, a new player joins the conversation, also located in Canada.

1 July 2009, 8.33 pm

Message posted by Eljaca. Posts: 127; join date: Oct 2003; location: Ontario

Definition of "Beamer" taken from the french version of wikipedia. . .

Le nom provient de l'allemand Beamer, faux anglicisme pour vidéoprojecteur.

Curiosity got a hold of me so I had to check

The moderator then rejoins the thread, apparently having now understood Zerogate's initial question. S/he posts an answer, without making mention of either *beamer* or *projector*. In the subsequent response, however, Zerogate now refers to 'projector' – having apparently 'corrected' his/her 'error' for the benefit of the interlocutors.

2 July 2009, 3.42 am

Zerogate

so connecting the laptop per VGA to the projector will result in the same image quality?

Several days later, another person joins the dialogue, again from Canada. This response seems to show a measure of accommodation by (at least initially) using both the standard and new forms:

4 July 2009, 3.55 pm

Message posted by Dog Byte. Posts: 863; join date: Apr 2004; location: British Columbia

[...]

You'll get the best picture if you set the video output to the native resolution of the projector (beamer). otherwise the projector will have to scale the signal which introduces distortion. In theory DVI should do this automatically but who knows what evil lurks in the minds of programmers and engineers.

[...]

The final post in the thread comes from another Canadian-based interlocutor, and seems to give off somewhat mixed messages. In the first line below, s/he shares an in-joke with the other NSs, implicitly addressing only them by explicitly referring to Zerogate in the third person ('he'). Given that the

post is, of course, also visible to Zerogate (where s/he is in effect being treated as the 'other'), this comes off as somewhat exclusionary. Yet the second line acts to soften the blow, suggesting an awareness that learning and using other languages could be a worthy endeavour rather than a 'deviant' condition.

6 August 2009, 5.07 pm

Message posted by Firechkn. Posts: 152; join date: Oct 2005; location: Toronto

I thought he was talking about a car at first, Beamer as in BMW ☺

I learned something new today.

The issue of intelligibility is at stake here, and many mainstream SLA researchers will readily assert that in the exchange above, the NNS's use of a nonstandard form (i.e. Zerogate's 'imperfect' lexical proficiency) led to a breakdown in communication. Yet this line of argument is brought into question when we extrapolate to the situation in the Netherlands.

Until recently I worked as an English NS editor within a Dutch university, and found my daily practice plagued with what Erling (2002: 8) aptly termed a 'moral quandary': how to reconcile on the shop floor the conflicting personas of sociolinguist versus ELT practitioner (or in my case, editor). To 'correct' recurring and perfectly intelligible (but nonstandard) features, or to retain them as legitimate varietal features that simply add local flavour? Or, in the case at hand:

- (a) to 'correct' the word *beamer* to the more usual English term, *projector*, based on the quasi-ethical reasoning that editors are employed to do precisely such things; that is, to impart their NS 'authority' on 'deficient' NNS writing ('just doing my job')?
- (b) to allow the word to stand, taking into account the (predominantly) Dutch readership and the fact that *beamer* will pose no intelligibility threat to Dutch readers, whereas *projector* might?

More to the point, if empirical research showed *projector* to be a difficult (i.e. lesser-known) word for the Dutch, but *beamer* to be easily understood by everyone else in the environment concerned, should editors then still persist in replacing it? After all, why can't the Dutch have their *beamer*, when Canadians can have their *tuques* and *loonies* and Australians their *dunnies* and *tucker*?

The corpus

To turn to the ‘Dutch English’ corpus currently being compiled at the University of Cambridge, another quandary exists in how to position the corpus within the prevailing WEs-meets-corpus-linguistics paradigm. For reasons of comparability, the corpus replicates the design of the written sections of the International Corpus of English (ICE), thus 200 texts of approximately 2000 words each. As in ICE, these texts are divided among the categories academic writing, non-academic writing, instructional writing, creative writing, press writing and correspondence. A ‘Dutch person’ is defined as anyone who has lived in the Netherlands since before the age of 10, and who has not spent more than 10 years or over half their lifetime abroad (see e.g. Holmes, 1996, for ICE-NZ). As in ICE, too, the contributors ought to be ‘educated’ speakers of the relevant variety, in order to find more reliable evidence of a settled linguistic system rather than an interlanguage. For this reason, a HAVO diploma² has been agreed on as the minimum education criterion, as this will exclude those who work in a trade, for example, but include anyone who could potentially produce a text deemed suitable for one of the corpus categories. Naturally, the final key criterion is that the texts have not been professionally translated or edited by an NS editor. All contributors are required to fill out a questionnaire and a consent form, so that the corpus can be searched along demographic parameters and ultimately made publicly available.

Users or learners?

The difficulty arises in that, when basing the design on that of ICE, inevitable implications arise. ICE is expressly only for ENL and ESL varieties of English (national corpora within the ICE framework have so far been released for Great Britain, Canada, India, Singapore, and so on). On the project website,³ researchers interested in examining the English of countries where English is not the native or an official language are directed to join the ICLE (International Corpus of Learner English) project. This is ICE’s sister corpus, led by Sylviane Granger at the Université Catholique de Louvain. To date, ICLE corpora have been released for China, Brazil, Bulgaria, France and numerous other countries, including the Netherlands (developed by researchers at Radboud University Nijmegen).

As its name suggests, however, ICLE is explicitly profiled as a ‘learner’ corpus, and as such

only includes undergraduate essays. In contrast, the present Dutch English corpus is being composed on the hypothesis that it may show evidence not merely of learner language but of potentially stable characteristics. It is not meant to be an overstretch of the original initiative, but merely a new and exploratory way of approaching a WEs corpus. As indicated above, it is being composed predominantly of academic and professional texts from well-educated contributors with long exposure to English in a country where it is not *formally* a second language, but arguably is *functionally*, and which is repeatedly referred to in the WEs literature as being in transition from the expanding (EFL) to the outer (ESL) circle. Thus, it would seem to be better profiled as a ‘user’ rather than a ‘learner’ corpus, and as such does not sit well within the ICLE paradigm.

Various factors point to this notion of Dutch academics and professionals as potentially being users rather than learners. For example, Björkman (2008: 36) points out that one is only a ‘learner’ when English is the object of study. Identity, too, is a key factor in shaping a new variety (Brutt-Griffler, 2004). Ridder (1995) reports that the Flemish historian Sophie De Schaapdrijver once described the Dutch use of English with people who attempt to communicate with them in their own language as a form of ‘repressive tolerance’ (‘My English is always going to be better than your Dutch’). This may point to a growing sense of Dutch identity in English: their collective competence in English (and other languages, incidentally) is seen as a source of national pride.

Another key factor in varietal development is intra-national use of the language (see e.g. Mollin, 2006), and here the change is well underway in the Netherlands: master’s programmes are increasingly offered only in English, and even in Dutch-language undergraduate programmes, lectures and reading materials are frequently offered in English. Competence in English is a prerequisite for almost any career, from secretary to scientist. English is also pervasive in popular culture: Dutch talk show hosts, for instance, regularly interview foreign guests in English and such programmes are rarely (if ever) subtitled. Indeed, without reasonable competence in English (ranging from more to less active depending on career path, for example), one would have difficulty functioning as a full-fledged and unhindered citizen in Dutch society. Thus, while English may not have the official status that it often holds in other ESL (and ICE) countries, such as Kenya and the Philippines, with nearly 90% of Dutch people

claiming to be able to converse in English (Eurobarometer, 2006), its de facto position in the Netherlands is unchallenged.

Agency

Another good indicator of this status as ‘user’ versus ‘learner’ is a certain agency in manipulating English purposefully to one’s own environment and ends. At the southern Dutch university where I used to work, Dutch colleagues writing in English sometimes intentionally opted for a ‘non-standard’ form if they felt it better suited the situation. For example, the 24-hour clock was preferred over the use of *am* and *pm*; the staff felt that this would be more readily recognisable by their students (mostly Dutch and German L1s), and this consideration was deemed to outweigh the fact that in standard English the 24-hour clock remains mainly the domain of soldiers and pilots (Burrough-Boenisch, 2004: 58). Another example was the deliberate transfer of the double-barrelled honorific *Prof. Dr. X*. In standard English, only the highest title is used. But in Dutch, all qualifications are made visible (e.g. *Prof. dr. ir. X*), and thus many Dutch writers will purposefully opt for the ‘nonstandard’ formulation rather than strip someone of an earned qualification.

Another experience well known to NS translators and editors in the Netherlands is that of being ‘corrected’ not infrequently by Dutch clients. In the late 1990s, Joy Burrough-Boenisch published a pair of articles in the newsletter of the Society of Native-English-Speaking Editors in the Netherlands, entitled ‘L2s correcting L1s’ and ‘More on L2s correcting L1s’. In these articles she described her own experiences and those of other members of the society with being corrected by Dutch clients. In 2010, I conducted a similar, very informal survey of members of the same society, to get a sense of the situation 10 years on. One wrote:

When I first started in this game, i.e. when I was younger and less sure of myself, I used to get a lot of this. For example, customers who commanded me to make my translations ‘lekker levendig’ [nice and lively] always meant that *everything* had to be in the present tense.

Another had been asked to translate the phrase *Let maar niet op de troep*, which is said by the owner of a house when receiving a guest:

My translation: ‘Please ignore the mess’. My client, whose secretary had once spent three months in

London, had her look the whole translation over and ... they made me change it into: ‘Don’t bother the mess’.

The two dozen or so responses I received ranged from the mildly amused to the downright infuriated. A number pointed out that this phenomenon is partly the result of the generally perceived English-language competence of the Dutch population as a whole, which gives rise to the confidence to challenge the purported authority of the NS. Some also saw it as a form of cultural transfer of apparently typically ‘Dutch’ characteristics such as assertiveness and directness. In any event, Dutch agency in English should certainly be examined in more thorough and systematic studies.

Conclusion: a starting point

The positioning of the Dutch English corpus as a full-fledged national component of the International Corpus of English would contribute to the discussion on the nature of nativised varieties, the distinction between error and feature and so on. Data collection is around half-way through, but well before the analysis phase starts many issues still need to be resolved. The aim is to look for empirical evidence of certain grammatical and lexical properties that

- have been impressionistically reported as characteristic of DuE
- are reported as common features of all New Englishes
- emerge during the POS-tagging process.

More precise hypotheses are currently being formulated regarding the grammatical and lexical properties that we may expect to find. There are technical issues to address, too, which will include the choice of word classes and annotation software, and how to deal with ‘deviant’ forms in the tagging process. Not to mention the many issues that will arise in the analysis stage: Can the findings be explained by the phenomena of L1 transfer or universal/angloversal processes (e.g. of regularisation)? With respect to any novel characteristics, is there evidence of stability and systematicity in the vein of Mollin (2006) or Kirkpatrick (2007), or are we looking at merely a random collection of learner errors? In the event that certain systematic characteristics can be provisionally catalogued, it is hoped that this will provide a basis for the development of intelligibility and attitude studies, to be conducted among international stakeholders, but most especially among the Dutch themselves.

After all, as Mollin (2006: 159) put it, ‘unless a variety is accepted, it is not a variety’.

Notes

1 www.digitalhome.ca/forum/showthread.php?t=108964

2 There are three different secondary school streams in the Netherlands. *VMBO* is a four-year stream for students who will enter trades such as plumbing, automechanics, etc. *HAVO* and *VWO* are five- and six-year streams respectively for students who will go on to higher (vocational or university) education.

3 <http://ice-corpora.net/>

References

- Björkman, B. 2008. ‘“So where we are?” Spoken lingua franca English at a technical university in Sweden.’ *English Today*, 24(2), 35–41.
- Brutt-Griffler, J. 2004. *World English: A study of its development*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Burrough-Boenisch, J. 2004. *Righting English that’s gone Dutch* (2nd edn.). The Hague: Kemper Conseil.
- Erling, E. J. 2002. ‘“I learn English since ten years”: The global English debate and the German university classroom in English.’ *English Today*, 18(2), 8–13.
- . 2004. ‘Globalization English and the German university classroom.’ Doctoral dissertation. Berlin: Freie Universität. Online at <http://userpage.fu-berlin.de/~berling/Final%20Draft%20pdf.pdf> (Accessed October 26, 2010).
- . & Bartlett, T. 2006. ‘Making English their own: The use of ELF among students of English at the FUB.’ *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 5(2), 9–40.
- Eurobarometer 2006. *Europeans and their Languages*. Eurobarometer Special Survey No. 243, European Commission.
- Holmes, J. 1996. ‘The New Zealand spoken component of ICE: some methodological challenges.’ In S. Greenbaum (ed.), *Comparing English World-wide: The International Corpus of English*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kirkpatrick, A. 2007. *World Englishes: Implications for International Communication and English Language Teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mollin, S. 2006. *Euro English: Assessing Variety Status*. Tübingen: Gunter Narr.
- Ridder, S. 1995. ‘English in Dutch.’ *English Today*, 11(4), 44–50.

CAMBRIDGE

JOURNALS

Language Teaching

Editor

Graeme Porte, *University of Granada, Spain*

Language Teaching provides a convenient source of references on current research in second and foreign language learning and teaching. Each issue offers at least one specially commissioned state-of-the-art article on a specific topic.

Each volume includes about hundreds of abstracts of recent research articles on areas including reading and writing, teacher education, testing, bilingualism and neurolinguistics, selected from key international periodicals.

For free email alerts

keep up-to-date with new material - sign up at
<http://journals.cambridge.org/register>



Language Teaching
is available online at:
<http://journals.cambridge.org/lt>

To subscribe contact Customer Services

in Cambridge:

Phone +44 (0)1223 326700
Fax +44 (0)1223 325150
Email journals@cambridge.org

in New York:

Phone +1 (845) 353 7500
Fax +1 (845) 353 4141
Email
subscriptions_newyork@cambridge.org



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS