*Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **103** (2021), 38–49 doi:10.1017/S0004972720000441

# INTEGERS REPRESENTED BY $x^4 - y^4$ REVISITED

### MICHAEL A. BENNETT®

(Received 12 March 2020; accepted 24 March 2020; first published online 20 May 2020)

#### Abstract

We sharpen earlier work of Dabrowski on near-perfect power values of the quartic form  $x^4 - y^4$ , through appeal to Frey curves of various signatures and related techniques.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11D41; secondary 11F33, 11F80, 11G05. *Keywords and phrases*: Diophantine equations, Frey curves, modularity.

# 1. Introduction

The fact that the equation  $x^4 - y^4 = z^2$  has no solutions in positive integers *x*, *y* and *z* was deduced centuries ago by Fermat, as an early application of the method of infinite descent. An analogous statement for the more general equation  $x^4 - y^4 = z^n$ , with  $n \ge 2$  and gcd(x, y) = 1, is of much more recent vintage, following work of Darmon [6], Darmon and Merel [8] and Ribet [17] (see also [3]).

Via similar techniques to [8] and [17], based essentially upon the modularity of Galois representations attached to (Frey) elliptic curves, Dabrowski [5] proved that the equation

$$x^4 - y^4 = 2^{\alpha} p^{\beta} z^n \tag{1.1}$$

has, for p a fixed prime with  $p \neq 2^k \pm 1$  for k an integer, no solutions in coprime positive integers x, y and suitably large prime exponent n. Somewhat stronger results have been obtained in a number of papers, under the additional assumption that y is prime; see, for example, [1, 12, 18, 22].

Our first result sharpens the conclusions of [5].

**THEOREM** 1.1. If *p* is prime and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are nonnegative integers, then there exists an effectively computable constant  $n_0 = n_0(p)$  such that (1.1) has no solutions in nonzero coprime integers *x*, *y*, *z* and prime  $n \ge n_0$ , unless  $p = 2^{2^j} + 1$  for some integer  $j \ge 1$  and  $xy \equiv 1 \mod 2$ .

The author was partially supported by a grant from NSERC.

<sup>© 2020</sup> Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

An almost immediate corollary of this is the following result.

**COROLLARY** 1.2. If p is prime with  $p \neq 2^{2^j} + 1$  for any integer  $j \geq 1$ , then (1.1) has at most finitely many solutions in nonzero coprime integers x, y, z, nonnegative integers  $\alpha, \beta$  and integer  $n \geq 3$ .

We observe that the results of [5] fail to apply to Fermat and Mersenne primes (the latter a presumably infinite set), while Theorem 1.1 (and hence Corollary 1.2) is valid for all primes except Fermat primes (a set that is expected to contain only p = 5, 17, 257 and 65 537). For these excluded primes, we have a rather weaker conclusion; for simplicity, we restrict our statement to (1.1) with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$ .

**THEOREM** 1.3. If *p* is prime with  $p = 2^{2^j} + 1$  for some integer  $j \ge 3$ , then there exists an effectively computable constant  $n_0 = n_0(p)$  such that the equation

$$x^4 - y^4 = pz^n (1.2)$$

has no solutions in nonzero integers x, y, z and prime  $n \ge n_0$  with either

$$\left(\frac{-10(2^{j-2}-1)}{n}\right) = -1$$
 or  $\left(\frac{-6(2^{j-1}-3)}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{-6(2^{j-2}-1)}{n}\right) = -1$ 

If  $p \in \{5, 17\}$ , (1.2) has no solutions in coprime positive integers x, y, z and prime n > 5.

Note that for p = 257, this eliminates large primes *n* in all but the following residue classes:

1, 7, 11, 49, 53, 59, 77, 103 mod 120.

For p = 65537, it excludes rather fewer primes.

It is worth observing that the obstruction to solving (1.2) for all suitably large prime n, if p is a Fermat prime, arises from the identity

$$(2^{k} + 1)^{4} - (2^{k} - 1)^{4} = (2^{2k} + 1)2^{k+3},$$

which provides a nontrivial solution to (1.2), if  $p = 2^{2^{j}} + 1$ , upon taking  $k = 2^{j-1}$  (with n = 7 and n = 11, for p = 257 and p = 65537, respectively).

As we shall see, an admissible value for  $n_0(p)$  is

$$n_0(p) = \left(\sqrt{8(p+1)} + 1\right)^{2(p-1)}.$$
(1.3)

In practice, for reasonably small values of p, we can be much more precise. By way of example, we have the following result.

**THEOREM** 1.4. If *p* is prime with  $2 \le p < 50$ ,  $p \ne 5, 17$ , and  $\alpha, \beta$  are nonnegative integers, then (1.1) has no solutions in coprime positive integers *x*, *y*, *z* and prime n > 5.

We remark that with some work, one can treat the smaller exponents  $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , via Chabauty-type techniques and other means. Presumably, the only nontrivial

solutions to (1.1) with  $2 \le p < 257$  correspond to

$$n = 2$$
 and either  $p \equiv 5, 7 \mod 8$  or  $p \in \{41, 137\},$   
 $n = 4, p = 5, x = 3, y = 1, z = 2,$   
 $n = 5, p = 17, x = 5, y = 3, z = 2,$   
 $n = 4, p = 239, x = 120, y = 119, z = 13.$ 

We observe further that the restriction to coprime solutions to (1.1) is a necessary one. Indeed, if we fix any prime p > 2, then the identity

$$\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^4 - \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^4 = \left(\frac{p^2+1}{2}\right)p$$

leads to solutions to (1.2) for every  $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$ , upon taking

$$x = \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{p^2+1}{2}\right)^{(n-1)/4}, \quad y = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{p^2+1}{2}\right)^{(n-1)/4}, \quad z = \frac{p^2+1}{2}.$$

We proceed as follows. In Section 2, we use elementary factoring arguments to reduce the study of (1.1) to a number of ternary equations of signature (n, n, n) and (n, n, 2), which we can treat through appeal to work of Kraus [10], Ivorra [9], and the author and Skinner [2]. This use of multiple Frey curves corresponding to different signatures has become quite common in the literature, and has typically been employed to rather less modest effect. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 1.3, which uses recent criteria for certain isomorphisms to be symplectic. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.4, which requires a somewhat more careful analysis of local properties of our Frey curves. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss the situation when, in (1.1), the exponent *n* is small, relative to the prime *p*. In such circumstances, things are rather less clear-cut than is the case for larger exponents.

#### 2. Factoring and Frey curves

In this section we begin by proving Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. Suppose that  $n \ge 2$  and that we have a solution to (1.1) in positive, coprime integers x, y and z, with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  nonnegative integers such that  $\beta \not\equiv 0 \mod n$ . Without loss of generality, x > y.

**2.1.** *xy* even. Assume first that *xy* is even (so that *z* is odd and  $\alpha = 0$ ). Factoring, we have one of

$$\begin{cases} x - y = a^n \\ x + y = b^n \\ x^2 + y^2 = p^\beta c^n \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} x \pm y = a^n \\ x \mp y = p^\beta b^n \\ x^2 + y^2 = c^n, \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

Integers represented by  $x^4 - y^4$ 

for positive odd integers a, b and c. We thus find that either

$$a^{2n} + b^{2n} = 2p^{\beta}c^n \tag{2.2}$$

41

or

$$a^{2n} + p^{2\beta}b^{2n} = 2c^n. (2.3)$$

Note that if  $p \equiv -1 \mod 4$ , we are necessarily in cases (2.1) and (2.3).

**2.2.** *xy* odd. If, conversely, we suppose that *xy* is odd (so that either *z* is even or  $\alpha \ge 4$ ), then either

$$\begin{cases} x \pm y = 2^{\gamma} a^n \\ x \mp y = 2b^n \\ x^2 + y^2 = 2p^{\beta} c^n \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

or

$$\begin{cases} x \pm y = 2^{\gamma_1} a^n \\ x \mp y = 2^{\gamma_2} p^\beta b^n \\ x^2 + y^2 = 2c^n, \end{cases}$$

where *a*, *b* and *c* are coprime, odd positive integers, and  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are suitably chosen integers with  $\gamma \ge 2$ , min $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} = 1$  and max $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} \ge 2$ . We thus find that either

$$2^{2\gamma-2}a^{2n} + b^{2n} = p^{\beta}c^n \tag{2.5}$$

or

$$2^{2\gamma_1 - 2}a^{2n} + 2^{2\gamma_2 - 2}p^{2\beta}b^{2n} = c^n.$$
(2.6)

Once again, only the latter case can occur if  $p \equiv -1 \mod 4$ . Our key result that eliminates the possibility of (1.1) having solutions when *p* is a Mersenne prime is the following proposition, a straightforward consequence of the observation that (2.6) defines ternary equations of both signatures (n, n, n) and (n, n, 2).

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** If  $a, b, c, \gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are positive integers with

 $\min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} = 1 \quad and \quad \max\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} \ge 2,$ 

then (2.6) has no solutions in integers  $n \ge 3$ .

**PROOF.** If  $\gamma_1 = 1$ , (2.6) can be rewritten as

$$a^{2n} - c^n = (2^{\gamma_2 - 1} p^\beta b^n)^2 \tag{2.7}$$

which has, by the main theorem of Darmon and Merel [8], no solutions in nonzero coprime integers for  $n \ge 4$ . If n = 3, any such solutions correspond to rational points

$$(X,Y) = \left(-\frac{c}{a^2}, \frac{2^{\gamma_2 - 1}p^\beta b^n}{a^3}\right)$$

on the elliptic curve  $Y^2 = X^3 + 1$ . This curve has rank 0 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and torsion subgroup of order 6, containing the point at infinity and those given by

$$(X, Y) \in \{(-1, 0), (0, -1), (0, 1), (2, -3), (2, 3)\}.$$

[4]

Since *b* and *c* are positive, it follows that (2.7) has no solutions in nonzero coprime *a*, *b* and *c* if  $n \ge 3$ .

If  $\gamma_2 = 1$ , (2.6) becomes

$$2^{2\gamma_1 - 2}a^{2n} - c^n = (p^\beta b^n)^2.$$
(2.8)

Since  $\gamma_1 \ge 2$ , this equation has, via [2, Theorem 1.2], no solutions in coprime integers *a* and *c*, provided  $n \ge 7$  is prime. Further, it has no solutions modulo 4 for even *n*.

For  $n \in \{3, 5\}$ , solutions to (2.8) correspond to rational points (X, Y) with X < 0and Y > 0 on the (hyper)elliptic curve  $Y^2 = X^n + 2^{2k}$  for  $0 \le k \le n - 1$ . If n = 5, by Mulholland [14, Theorem 5.1], no such points exist. If n = 3, each of the curves  $Y^2 = X^3 + 2^{2k}$  has rank 0; once again the torsion points fail to correspond to nontrivial solutions to (2.8).

An almost immediate consequence is the following result.

**COROLLARY** 2.2. If x, y and z are coprime positive integers with x and y odd, then (1.1) has no solutions in prime  $p \equiv -1 \mod 4$ , nonnegative integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and integer  $n \geq 3$ .

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, it remains, then, to treat (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5). We will show that for suitably large prime exponents *n*, the first two of these never have nontrivial solutions. We obtain a like conclusion for the third equation, unless *p* is a Fermat prime.

**2.3. Ternary equations of signature** (n, n, n). To solve (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5), we will begin by appealing to results on ternary equations of signature (n, n, n). In general, suppose we have a solution to an equation of the shape

$$A\alpha^n + B\beta^n = C\gamma^n,$$

in integers A, B, C,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , with gcd( $A\alpha$ ,  $B\beta$ ) = 1 and  $n \ge 7$  prime. Without loss of generality, suppose further that

$$A\alpha^n \equiv -1 \mod 4$$
 and  $B\beta^n \equiv 0 \mod 2$ 

and consider the elliptic curve

$$F: Y^2 = X(X - A\alpha^n)(X + B\beta^n).$$

If we denote by

$$\rho_n^F : \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_n)$$

the representation of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on the *n*-torsion points F[n], then, combining work of Wiles [21], Taylor and Wiles [20] and Ribet [16] (see Kraus [10, Théorème 1] for details), there necessarily exists a weight-2 cuspidal newform

$$f = q + \sum_{\ell \ge 2} c_\ell(f) q^\ell$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972720000441 Published online by Cambridge University Press

of level N, for

$$N = \begin{cases} \prod_{q|ABC} q & \text{if } v_2(ABC) = 4 \text{ and } \alpha\beta\gamma \equiv 1 \mod 2, \\ 2^3 \prod_{q|ABC} q & \text{if } v_2(ABC) = 2 \text{ or } 3 \text{ and } \alpha\beta\gamma \equiv 1 \mod 2, \\ 2^5 \prod_{q|ABC} q & \text{if } v_2(ABC) = 1 \text{ and } \alpha\beta\gamma \equiv 1 \mod 2, \\ 2 \prod_{q|ABC} q & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where each product is taken over odd primes q, with the property that if we write  $K = K_f = \mathbb{Q}(c_2, c_3, \ldots)$ , there exists some prime ideal  $\mathfrak{n} \mid n$  with

$$a_{\ell}(F) \equiv c_{\ell}(f) \mod \mathfrak{n} \quad \text{for all prime } \ell \nmid Nn\alpha\beta\gamma$$
 (2.9)

and

$$\pm (\ell + 1) \equiv c_{\ell}(f) \mod \mathfrak{n} \quad \text{for all prime } \ell \nmid Nn, \ell \mid \alpha \beta \gamma.$$
(2.10)

Here, for shorthand, we write  $F \sim_n f$  and say that F arises modulo n from f.

Further, from Kraus [10, Théorème 1], we either have

$$n < \left( \left( \frac{N}{6} \prod_{\substack{l \mid N \\ l \text{ prime}}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{l} \right) \right)^{1/2} + 1 \right)^{2g_0^+(N)}$$

where  $g_0^+(N)$  denotes the dimension of the space of cuspidal, weight-2, level-*N* newforms, or  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $F \sim_n E$ , for an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  of conductor *N* with full rational 2-torsion.

In the case of (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5), necessarily  $N = 2^{\kappa} \cdot p$ , where  $\kappa \in \{0, 1, 3, 5\}$ . To classify these *p* for which there exist elliptic curves of corresponding conductors and full rational 2-torsion, we turn to work of Ivorra [9].

**PROPOSITION** 2.3. If p > 2 is prime, then there exists an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  with full rational 2-torsion and conductor  $N = 2^{\kappa} \cdot p$  precisely when E is isogenous to

$$E': y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6,$$

for  $a_i$ , p and  $\kappa$  as follows:

р	к	$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6)$
3	3, 4, 5	$(0, \pm 1, 0, 1, 0), (0, \pm 1, 0, -2, 0)$
3	6	$(0, \pm 1, 0, -4, \pm 2), (0, \pm 1, 0, 3, \pm 3)$
5	3, 4, 6	$(0, 0, 0, -2, \pm 1), (0, 0, 0, -8, \pm 8)$
7	3, 4, 6	$(0, 0, 0, 1, \pm 2), (0, 0, 0, 4, \pm 16)$
17	0	(1, -1, 1, -1, 0)
$2^{k} - 1$	1	$(1, 2^{k-2}, 0, 2^{k-4}, 0), k \ge 5$
$2^{k} - 1$	4	$(0, -2^k - 2, 0, -2^k - 1, 0), k \ge 5$
$2^{k} + 1$	1	$(1, -2^{k-2}, 0, -2^{k-4}, 0), k \ge 8$
$2^{k} + 1$	4	$(0, 2^k + 2, 0, 2^k + 1, 0), k \ge 8.$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972720000441 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[6]

M. A. Bennett

For (2.2) and (2.3), we have  $N = 2^5 \cdot p$  and hence, from Proposition 2.3, either p = 3 or, using the fact that  $g_0^+(2^5 \cdot p) = p - 1$  (see Martin [13, Theorem 1]), it follows that  $n < n_0(p)$ , where this latter quantity is as defined in (1.3).

For (2.5), we necessarily have  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and  $N \in \{p, 2p, 8p\}$ , whence Proposition 2.3 implies p = 5 (and N = 40,  $\gamma = 2$ ), p = 17 (and N = 17,  $\gamma = 3$ ) or  $p = 2^k + 1$  for  $k \ge 8$  (whence N = 2p). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1, for all p > 3.

To treat the case of (2.3) with p = 3, we will use a Frey curve of signature (n, n, 2). Suppose that  $n \ge 7$  is prime and consider the curve

$$E: Y^{2} = X^{3} + 2 \cdot 3^{\beta} b^{n} X^{2} + 2 \cdot c^{n} X.$$

Appealing to [2, Proposition 4.3], we have  $E \sim_n f$  where f is a weight-2 cuspidal newform f, with trivial character and level 128. All such forms have  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $c_3(f) = \pm 2$ , while, from  $\beta > 0$ ,  $a_3(E) = 0$ , contradicting

$$a_3(E) \equiv c_3(f) \mod n.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. Corollary 1.2 is now almost immediate, since (1.1) has, for fixed exponent  $n \ge 3$ , at most finitely many coprime solutions x, y and z, via a result of Darmon and Granville [7] (which itself is a consequence of Faltings's theorem).

### 3. Applications of symplectic criteria

To prove Theorem 1.3, we begin by supposing that we have a solution to (1.2) in coprime integers x, y and z, where n > 5 is prime. We have either xy even, whereby  $F \sim_n f$  for a newform of level N = 32p, or xy odd, so that, from (1.2), necessarily  $\gamma \ge 5$  in (2.4), whence  $F \sim_n f$  for a newform of level N = 2p. There are no newforms at level N = 10. A short Magma computation reveals that, for  $p \in \{5, 17\}$ ,

$$c_3(f) \in \{\pm 2, \pm 2\sqrt{2}\}$$

if *f* has level 34 or 160, or if  $N = 32 \cdot 17$  and  $K_f = \mathbb{Q}$ . Since our Frey curve *E* has full rational 2-torsion (whereby  $a_{\ell}(E) \equiv \ell + 1 \mod 4$  for primes  $\ell$  of good reduction), it follows from (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$0, \pm 4 \equiv \pm 2, \pm 2\sqrt{2} \mod n,$$

contradicting n > 5. For higher-dimensional forms at level  $32 \cdot 17$ , we have  $c_3(f) = \theta$  where  $\theta$  satisfies one of

$$\theta^2 - 2 = 0$$
,  $\theta^2 - 10 = 0$  or  $\theta^3 \pm 2\theta^2 - 4\theta \mp 4 = 0$ .

Once again (2.9) and (2.10) contradict n > 5.

We may thus suppose that  $p = 2^k + 1$  with  $k = 2^j \ge 8$  and that we have a corresponding solution in integers  $a, b, c, \gamma$  and  $\beta$  to (2.5), with prime  $n > n_0(p), \beta = 1$  and  $\gamma \equiv -2 \mod n$ . Define elliptic curves

$$E_p: Y^2 + XY = X^3 - 2^{k-2}X^2 - 2^{k-4}X$$

Integers represented by 
$$x^4 - y^4$$

and

$$F_p: Y^2 = X(X + pc^n)(X + 2^{2\gamma - 2}a^{2n}).$$

Then, from [10, Théorème 1] and Proposition 2.3, by a slight abuse of notation,  $F_p \sim_n E_p$ , so that, in particular, the curves have isomorphic *n*-torsion modules  $E_p[n]$  and  $F_p[n]$ . Since these curves have multiplicative reduction at 2 and p, the fact that n > p allows us to apply Kraus and Oesterlé [11, Proposition 2] with  $\ell \in \{2, p\}$  to conclude that  $E_p[n]$  and  $F_p[n]$  are symplectically isomorphic if and only if

$$\left(\frac{\nu_{\ell}(\Delta(E_p))/\nu_{\ell}(\Delta(F_p))}{n}\right) = 1,$$

where  $v_{\ell}(\Delta(E_p))$  and  $v_{\ell}(\Delta(F_p))$  denote the exponents of  $\ell$  occurring in the prime factorisations of the minimal discriminants of  $E_p$  and  $F_p$ , respectively. Since these minimal discriminants satisfy

$$\Delta(E_p) = 2^{2k-8}p^2$$
 and  $\Delta(F_p) = 2^{4\gamma-12}p^2(a^2b^2c)^{2n}$ 

taking  $\ell = p$  implies that  $E_p$  and  $F_p$  are necessarily symplectically isomorphic and hence we have a contradiction from the choice of  $\ell = 2$ , whenever

$$\left(\frac{(2k-8)(\gamma-3)}{n}\right) = -1.$$

Since  $\gamma \equiv -2 \mod n$  and  $k = 2^j$  for  $j \ge 3$ , this is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{-10(2^{j-2}-1)}{n}\right) = -1.$$

Let us next view (2.5) as one of signature (n, n, 2) (by considering  $b^{2n}$  as  $(b^n)^2$ ), and write

$$G_p: Y^2 + XY = X^3 + \left(\frac{\pm b^n - 1}{4}\right)X^2 - 2^{2\gamma - 8}a^{2n}X,$$

with minimal discriminant

$$\Delta(G_p) = 2^{4\gamma - 16} p (a^4 b^2)^n.$$

From Ivorra [9, Théorème 1], we find that  $G_p \sim_n E_p$ , where  $E_p$  is either

$$E_{p,1}: Y^2 + XY = X^3 - 2^{k-6}X$$

or

$$E_{p,2}: Y^2 + XY = X^3 + 2^{k-3}X^2 + 2^{2k-8}X$$

Note that  $G_p$  does not (necessarily) have full rational 2-torsion. For these curves, we have minimal discriminants

$$\Delta(E_{p,1}) = 2^{2k-12}p$$
 and  $\Delta(E_{p,2}) = 2^{4k-16}p$ .

[8]

M. A. Bennett

Once again applying Kraus and Oesterlé [11, Proposition 2] with  $\ell \in \{2, p\}$ , we reach a contradiction provided

$$\left(\frac{(2k-12)(\gamma-4)}{n}\right) = -1$$
 and  $G_p \sim_n E_{p,1}$ 

or

$$\left(\frac{(k-4)(\gamma-4)}{n}\right) = -1$$
 and  $G_p \sim_n E_{p,2}$ 

From  $\gamma \equiv -2 \mod n$  and  $k = 2^j$  for  $j \ge 3$ , these are equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{-6(2^{j-1}-3)}{n}\right) = -1$$
 and  $G_p \sim_n E_{p,1}$ 

and

$$\left(\frac{-6(2^{j-2}-1)}{n}\right) = -1$$
 and  $G_p \sim_n E_{p,2}$ 

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

# 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

From the arguments leading to Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.1, we are left to treat (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5) with prime *p* satisfying  $7 \le p < 50$ ,  $p \ne 17$ , and exponents  $n \ge 7$  prime and bounded above by  $n_0(p)$ . Arguing as previously, and applying (2.9) and (2.10), there necessarily exist a weight-2, cuspidal newform *f* of level N = 2p or N = 32p, and an ideal  $n \mid n$  in  $K_f$ , such that, for every odd prime  $\ell \nmid np$ ,

$$c_{\ell}(F) \equiv \kappa \mod \mathfrak{n},$$

where either  $\kappa = \pm (\ell + 1)$  or

$$|\kappa| < 2\sqrt{\ell}$$
 and  $\kappa \equiv \ell + 1 \mod 4$ .

Note that, if  $K_f = \mathbb{Q}$ , we can actually obtain these congruences in the case  $\ell = n$  as well. A relatively short computation in Magma using admissible  $\ell < 100$  contradicts our assumption that  $n \ge 7$  in almost all cases. In fact, if N = 2p (where we may restrict attention to  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and (2.5)), we are left to treat only one form f of level 2p for (p, n) = (13, 7), and only one form of level 32p for (p, n) = (43, 11). For these pairs (p, n), we will work somewhat more carefully. If we have a solution to (2.5) with (p, n) = (13, 7), then the obstruction to reaching our desired conclusion corresponds to an elliptic curve E (that is,  $K_f = \mathbb{Q}$ ), denoted 26b in Cremona's tables, for which

$$a_{\ell}(E) \equiv \ell + 1 \mod 7$$

for all odd primes  $\ell \neq 13$ . We may thus suppose, in particular, that our solution to (2.5) has the property that 3 | *abc*. Since *c* divides a sum of two coprime squares, necessarily 3 | *a* or 3 | *b*. Treating (2.5) as having signature (7, 7, 2) (by considering  $2^{2\gamma-2}a^{14}$  or  $b^{14}$  as squares if 3 | *a* or 3 | *b*, respectively) and appealing to [2], we construct a Frey curve

[9]

*F* with  $F \sim_7 f$  for a form of level  $2^7 \cdot 13$  if  $3 \mid a$  and level  $2 \cdot 13$  if  $3 \mid b$ , and, in either case,  $a_3(F) = 0$ . We check via Magma that this, in every case, contradicts (2.9).

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.4, it remains to handle the case of (2.3) with (p, n) = (43, 11). Considering  $43^{2\beta}b^{2n}$  as a square, as previously we may construct an (11, 11, 2) Frey curve *F*, this time with  $a_{43}(F) = 0$  and  $F \sim_{11} f$  for a newform of level 128, so that  $0 \equiv \pm 6 \mod 11$ . This contradiction finishes our proof.

# 5. A few comments

The fact that our techniques enable us to show that (1.1) has no coprime solutions only when the prime exponent *n* is suitably large as a function of *p* is not entirely an artefact of our approach. Indeed, it is not difficult, given n > 2, to construct what are likely infinite sets of primes *p* for which even the more restrictive (1.2) has nontrivial solutions.

By way of example, if k is a positive integer and a > b are odd positive integers, then, setting

$$x = \frac{a^{2^k} + b^{2^k}}{2}$$
 and  $y = \frac{a^{2^k} - b^{2^k}}{2}$ ,

we have

$$x^4 - y^4 = (ab)^{2^k} F_k(a, b),$$

where

$$F_k(a,b) = \frac{a^{2^{k+1}} + b^{2^{k+1}}}{2}.$$

Since the polynomial  $x^{2^{k+1}} + 1$  is irreducible, our expectation is that  $F_k(a, b)$  will take on prime values infinitely often.

If n > 3 is prime, we may set

$$x = 2^{n-3}a^n + b^n$$
 and  $y = 2^{n-3}a^n - b^n$ ,

so that

$$x^4 - y^4 = (2^{2n-6}a^{2n} + b^{2n}) (2ab)^n.$$

We note that the polynomial  $x^{2n} + 2^{2n-6}$  is irreducible for all primes n > 3 (but not for n = 3), via a classical result of Capelli [4], and hence we once again expect that the form  $2^{2n-6}a^{2n} + b^{2n}$  is prime infinitely often. It is worth mentioning that, despite this expectation, the smallest primes constructed here can be quite large. If, for example, we take n = 19, the smallest prime for which we find a solution to (1.2) via this approach is given by

p = 3740434668995905047343202488519402432937.

Finally, if n = 3, we set

$$x = \frac{a^3 + b^3}{2}$$
 and  $y = \frac{a^3 - b^3}{2}$ ,

47

M. A. Bennett

where

$$a = s^3 - 3st^2 - 3s^2t + t^3$$

and

$$b = s^3 + 3s^2t - 3st^2 - t^3,$$

for *s* and *t* coprime integers of opposite parity. From this,

$$x^4 - y^4 = G(s, t)(ab(s^2 + t^2))^3,$$

where G(s, t) is equal to

$$s^{12} + 114s^{10}t^2 - 705s^8t^4 + 1436s^6t^6 - 705s^4t^8 + 114s^2t^{10} + t^{12},$$

an irreducible form. Once again, we expect that G(s, t) is prime infinitely often.

If n = 2, it is a pleasant exercise in elementary number theory to prove the following result.

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** If p is prime, the equation

$$x^4 - y^4 = pz^2 \tag{5.1}$$

[11]

has infinitely many solutions in coprime, nonzero integers x, y and z if p is a congruent number, and no such solutions if p is a noncongruent number.

In particular, from work of Nagell [15] and Stephens [19], (5.1) has no nonzero solutions for  $p \equiv 3 \mod 8$ , and infinitely many nontrivial solutions whenever  $p \equiv 5, 7 \mod 8$ ; the case  $p \equiv 1 \mod 8$  is more subtle, since, for example, 17 is noncongruent and 41 is congruent.

#### References

- [1] A. Bajolet, B. Dupuy, F. Luca and A. Togbé, 'On the Diophantine equation  $x^4 q^4 = py^r$ ', *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **79** (2011), 269–282.
- [2] M. A. Bennett and C. Skinner, 'Ternary Diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms', *Canad. J. Math.* 56(1) (2004), 23–54.
- [3] Z. Cao, 'The Diophantine equations  $x^4 y^4 = z^p$  and  $x^4 1 = dy^q$ ', C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **21** (1999), 23–27.
- [4] A. Capelli, 'Sulla riduttibilità della funzione  $x^n A$  in un campo qualunque di razionalità', *Math. Ann.* **54** (1901), 602–603.
- [5] A. Dabrowski, 'On the integers represented by  $x^4 y^4$ ', Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **76** (2007), 133–136.
- [6] H. Darmon, 'The equation  $x^4 y^4 = z^p$ ', C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada. 15(6) (1993), 286–290.
- [7] H. Darmon and A. Granville, 'On the equations  $z^m = F(x, y)$  and  $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$ ', Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 27 (1995), 513–543.
- [8] H. Darmon and L. Merel, 'Winding quotients and some variants of Fermat's Last Theorem', J. reine angew. Math. 490 (1997), 81–100.
- [9] W. Ivorra, 'Courbes elliptiques sur  $\mathbb{Q}$ , ayant un point d'ordre 2 rationnel sur  $\mathbb{Q}$ , de conducteur  $2^N p'$ (in French) [Elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a rational point of order 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and conductor  $2^N p$ ]', *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* **429** (2004).
- [10] A. Kraus, 'Majorations effectives pour l'équation de Fermat généralisée', *Canad. J. Math.* 49(6) (1997), 1139–1161.

48

49

- [11] A. Kraus and J. Oesterlé, 'Sur une question de B. Mazur', Math. Ann. 293 (1992), 259–275.
- [12] F. Luca and A. Togbé, 'On the Diophantine equation  $x^4 q^4 = py^3$ ', Rocky Mountain J. Math. 40 (2010), 995–1008.
- [13] G. Martin, 'Dimensions of the spaces of cuspforms and newforms on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and  $\Gamma_1(N)$ ', J. Number *Theory* **112** (2005), 298–331.
- [14] J. Mulholland, 'Elliptic Curves with Rational 2-torsion and Related Ternary Diophantine Equations', PhD Thesis, The University of British Columbia (Canada), 2006.
- T. Nagell, 'L'analyse indéterminée de degré supérieur', in: Mémorial des sciences mathématiques, Vol. 39 (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1929).
- [16] K. Ribet, 'On modular representations of Gal(Q/Q) arising from modular forms', *Invent. Math.* 100 (1990), 431–476.
- [17] K. Ribet, 'On the equation  $a^p + 2^{\alpha}b^p + c^p = 0$ ', Acta Arith. **79**(1) (1997), 7–16.
- [18] D. Savin, 'On the Diophantine equation  $x^4 q^4 = py^5$ ', Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. 26 (2009), 103–108.
- [19] N. M. Stephens, 'Congruence properties of congruent numbers', Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 7 (1975), 182–184.
- [20] R. L. Taylor and A. Wiles, 'Ring theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras', Ann. Math. 141 (1995), 553–572.
- [21] A. Wiles, 'Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem', Ann. of Math. (2) 141(3) (1995), 443–551.
- [22] L. Yanyan, 'On the diophantine equation  $x^4 q^4 = py^n$ ', *Expo. Math.* **30** (2013), 196–203.

MICHAEL A. BENNETT, Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z2 e-mail: bennett@math.ubc.ca