# CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

#### RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Gender, sexual experiences and sexual behavioural differences in Turkish university students

Feyza Nazik¹, Mehtap Omaç Sönmez²∗₀ and Mine Akben²

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Bingöl University, Bingöl, Turkey and <sup>2</sup>Department of Nursing, Kahramanmaras Faculty of Health Sciences, Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam University, Kahramanmaras, Turkey \*Corresponding author. Email: mehtapomac@gmail.com

(Received 17 March 2020; revised 15 May 2020; accepted 18 May 2020; first published online 07 July 2020)

#### Abstract

This study was conducted to determine sexual experiences and gender differences in sexual behaviour in university students. In this cross-sectional and descriptive study conducted between 1st February and 12th November 2017. A total of 491 volunteer students were selected at a state university in the Mediterranean region of Turkey using a systematic sampling method. Questionnaires were distributed to the students in sealed envelopes and collected on completion. The data were collected using a socio-demographic form and a sexual experiences and sexual health behaviours form. A total of 414 students (78 males; 336 females) were included in this study. The prevalence of sexual intercourse among these was 4.3%, and total oral and anal sex experiences were 35% and 16.7%, respectively. A significantly higher number of female students of those who reported having sexual intercourse (n = 9; 50.0%), oral sex (n = 81; 55.9%) and anal sex (n = 60; 87.0%), also reported not telling anyone about his/her partner (77.2%), not talking about sex (82.4%) and not buying contraceptive products, even when necessary (78.3%). Hidden sexuality was seen to affect female students more often and this may lead to risky sexual behaviours, sexually transmitted diseases, an unhealthy sexual life with multiple partners and even unplanned, unwanted pregnancies.

Keywords: Sexual Behaviours; Sexual Experiences; Gender

# Introduction

Sexuality and sexual behaviours among university students differ from the general population of young adults. University students are more free in respect of sexuality due to factors such as freedom, living separate from their family and university social groups (Gençtanırım, 2014). Turkey is a traditional Muslim country, and according to societal norms there is disapproval of university students having sexual partners and premarital sexual activity is perceived as incompatible with social norms and Islamic values. In addition, university students hide their sexual lives because of the social perception of honour in Turkey. In 2011, the World Health Organization reported that unsafe sex was the second highest risk factor in the global burden of all disease (Kebede *et al.*, 2018). As sexual lives and experiences are hidden in Turkey and other Islamic countries, there are limited data on sexual risk behaviours and unsafe sex in these countries (Golbasi & Kelleci, 2011; Alsubaie, 2019).

In developed countries, honour is defined as honesty, righteousness and morality for men and women, while it is defined differently for men and women in Islamic and traditional societies. Honour for men is to be credible, to protect the family's reputation and to be moral, while for women it has the meaning of sexual purity. Being a virgin, not flirting, dressing conservatively, not being chirpy, not talking too much and not laughing in social environments are perceived as

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press.

the behaviours of honourable women in traditional societies (Ruggi, 1998). Turkish society provides all kinds of comfort to men and expects women to behave in accordance with the manners and customs of society and a life under the control of men. This discrimination manifests itself most clearly at the point of control of the woman's body (Bora & Üstün, 2005). Single women in Turkey avoid vaginal sex to protect their virginity, and so have secret sex lives, whereas excessive sexual experiences represent masculinity for men in Turkey. A previous study reported that 80.3% of American adolescents had accessed pornography, 64.7% had experienced vaginal oral sex (66.7%) and a third (33.1%) had experienced anal sex (Astle *et al.*, 2019). Furthermore, according to national US data, there are minimal gender differences in lifetime rates of performing oral sex (Lefkowitz *et al.*, 2019). In another study, 93.5% of female German university students had one sex partner and 89.3% of male students had one sex partner (Martynuik *et al.*, 2019).

In traditional societies, especially women and even men do not want to talk about sexual health problems or see a doctor in case of illness and tend to hide their sexual relations. This suggests that sexual health problems may be at a larger scale than predicted. Due to sexual myths shaped by the society, sexual health problems are the invisible face of the iceberg (Ajmal *et al.*, 2011; Golbasi & Kelleci, 2011; Reis *et al.*, 2013). While the increasing prevalence of unprotected and early sexual intercourse in developed countries causes sexual health problems, in developing countries the prevalence of hidden sex lives is unknown and risky sexual behaviours are associated with many sexual health problems.

This study was conducted to determine the sexual experiences and gender differences in sexual behaviour in Turkish university students.

# Methods

# **Participants**

The research population of this cross-sectional, descriptive study, conducted between  $1^{\rm st}$  February and  $12^{\rm th}$  November 2017, consisted of students at a state university located in the Mediterranean region of Turkey. The study university has ten faculties and five high schools with 43,000 registered students (18,700 males and 24,300 females). The sample size was determined as 491 students to represent the population with an acceptable error value of  $\pm 5\%$  and a confidence interval of 95%. Students were selected with a systematic sampling method. A total of 32 students were selected from each faculty and high school. Data collection forms were distributed in sealed envelopes and collected back in the same way. The response rate was 84.3%. After exclusion of 77 students due to incomplete data, evaluation was made of the responses of 414 university students.

### Data collection tools

Two data collection forms were developed by the researchers. A 'Socio-demographic form' was used to collect data for the independent variables age, gender, marital status, place of residence, family structure, number of siblings, parental education status, income and religion. A 'Sexuality and sexual behaviour form' included twelve questions about partner status in the past and currently, sexual intercourse experiences, oral sex experiences, anal sex experiences and sexual life and sexual behaviour variables.

# Data analysis

Data were analysed statistically using SPSS version 22.0 software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 22.0). The socio-demographic data and sexual experiences were compared by gender to determine differences in sexual behavioural according to gender. Data were evaluated using the

Chi-squared test (significance taken at p < 0.05). The relationships between gender, sexual experiences and sexual health behaviours were evaluated using multinomial regression analysis.

#### Results

The socio-demographic characteristics of the students in the study are shown in Table 1. The study sample comprised 81.2% females and 18.8% males with a mean age of  $20.79 \pm 1.9$  years. Of the total sample, 95.2% were single, 55.3% were living in the city centre, 69.8% had nuclear families and their mean number of siblings was  $3.75 \pm 2.2$ . Parental level of education was of primary school level for 51.9% of mothers and 41.5% of fathers. Income level was reported as moderate by 44.1% of the students; 99.5% were Muslim with only two students stating having another religion. Females constituted 77.7% of the students in the 22-25 year age group, 81.7% of the married students and 80.8% of those living in the city centre.

A comparison of participants' sexuality and sexual behaviour variables by gender is shown in Table 2. A previous sexual partner was reported by 51.7% of the students; 38.6% currently had a partner, 4.3% had had sexual intercourse, 60.4% did not share their sexual problems, 98.6% did not see a doctor because of sexual problems, 65.7% did not talk to anybody about their sexual partners, 92% did not talk to anybody about sexuality, 76.8% said they would not buy contraceptive products even when necessary and 92.3% were too embarrassed to seek sex education. Females comprised 79.9% of the students who had a partner in the past, and 50.0% of the students who had had sexual intercourse, and 44.1% of the students with oral sex experience were male. Most of the female students (82%) did not share their sexual problems and did not talk to anybody about their partners (77.2%); 81.1% did not consult a doctor because of sexual problems, 82.4% did not talk about sex, 78.3% would not buy contraceptive products even when necessary and 81.4% were too embarrassed to seek sex education.

A multiple logistic regression model was established with these variables (Table 3). This revealed a statistically significant relationship between gender and the variables for having sexual intercourse, oral sex, anal sex, talking about their partner and sex education. Female students were 13.98 times more likely to have experienced oral sex, 3.71 times more likely to have experienced anal sex, 4.73 times more likely to have had sexual intercourse, 1.3 times more likely to be too embarrassed to seek sex education, and 2.12 times more likely not to talk to others about her partner than male students.

## Discussion

University students have more freedom to have a sex life than other young adults in the general population, and are therefore at greater risk sexually. Sexual attitudes and behaviours are generally affected by the socio-cultural environment and interactions with friends at universities. In most traditional and Muslim societies, sexuality is defined by the virginity of a woman, and a man is defined as a guard who protects honour. This traditional point of view continues despite the increased levels of development of countries (Cok *et al.*, 2001; Aras *et al.*, 2007). The conception of honour not only entails social constraints and responsibilities, but also has a negative effect on sexual health and life.

In traditional societies, it is expected that men and women will have sexual behaviour differences due to the social limitations about sexuality. Women are expected to uphold 'the family's religious and cultural integrity' (Dwyer, 2000). Women's behaviour, in particular, has a significant impact on a family's honour (Ayyub, 2000; Shankar *et al.*, 2013; Gill & Brah, 2014; Cowburn *et al.*, 2015). In a study in Turkey about traditional attitudes, 66.9% of the study students said that 'sexual intercourse before marriage is wrong', 63.6% said 'extramarital relations are destroying our moral and cultural values' and 52.1% said 'self-induced abortion is morally wrong'

 Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of participants by gender

	Total		Fen	nales	Males				
Characteristic	n	%ª	n	% <sup>a</sup>	n	% <sup>3</sup>			
Age (years)									
<18	34	8.2	32	9.5	2	2.			
18–21	156	37.7	133	39.6	23	29.			
22–25	202	48.8	157	46.7	45	57.			
>26	22	5.3	14	4.2	8	10			
$\chi^2/p$			11.424/0.01*						
Marital status									
Married	14	3.4	11	3.3	3	3			
Single	394	95.2	322	95.8	72	92			
Divorced	6	1.4	3	0.9	3	3			
χ²/p				3.954/	0.139				
Living place									
City	229	55.3	185	55.1	44	56			
District	88	25.3	73	21.7	15	19			
Town	28	6.8	24	7.1	4	5			
Village	69	16.7	54	16.1	15	19			
χ²/p				0.965/	0.810				
Family structure						•••••			
Nuclear	289	69.8	240	71.4	49	62			
Extended	119	28.8	93	27.7	26	33			
Fragmented	6	1.4	3	0.9	3	3			
χ²/p			5.186/0.075						
Number of siblings									
0	67	16.2	56	16.7	11	14			
1–2	100	24.2	82	24.4	18	23			
3–4	138	33.3	109	32.4	29	37			
>5	109	26.3	89	26.5	20	25			
χ²/p				0.747/	0.862				
Educational status									
Mothers									
Illiterate	66	15.9	49	14.6	17	21			
Literate	215	51.9	173	51.5	42	53			
Primary school	72	17.4	63	18.8	9	11			
High school or University	61	14.7	51	15.1	10	12			
χ²/p				4.265/	0.234				

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

	To	Total Females		nales	Males			
Characteristic	n	% <sup>a</sup>	n	% <sup>a</sup>	n	% <sup>a</sup>		
Fathers								
Illiterate	12	2.9	12	3.6	0	0.0		
Literate	172	41.5	136	40.5	36	46.2		
Primary school	91	22.0	76	22.6	16	19.2		
High school or University	139	33.6	112	33.3	27	34.6		
χ²/p	3.639/0.303							
Income (Turkish Liras TL)								
<1000 (<226US\$)	22	5.3	17	5.1	5	6.4		
1000-2000 (226-452US\$)	63	15.2	57	17.0	6	7.7		
2001–3000 (452\$-678US\$)	182	44.1	140	41.6	42	53.8		
>3000 (>678US\$)	147	35.5	122	36.3	25	32.1		
$\chi^2/p$	1.276/0.342							
Religion								
Islam	412	99.5	335	99.7	77	98.7		
Other	2	0.5	1	0.3	1	1.3		
χ <sup>2</sup> /p	1.276/0.342							
Total	414	100.0	336	81.2	78	18.8		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Column percentages.

(Tokuç et al., 2011). In other study, it was noticeable that the factors affecting sexual attitudes and behaviours differed for the two sexes, and in addition to one's own desire and values, sociocultural variables were seen to be of considerable importance for both males and females (Aras et al., 2007). However, the majority of those affected by sexual problems among university students in Turkey are female (Aras et al., 2007; Tokuç et al., 2011). In another study conducted in Iran, of the students who reported having sexual partners, meeting in an empty house was the most common type of relationship among girls (30.4%) compared with their male counterparts where the rate was 13.9% (Ghorashi, 2019). In the current study, it was seen that female students want to hide their sexuality but it was determined that they have had more sexual experiences (such as oral and anal sex).

In this study, only eighteen students reported that they had had sexual intercourse, while 35% (n = 145) reported that they had experienced oral sex, more than half of whom were female. Of the 69 students who had experienced anal sex, 60 were female. Sexuality is focused on female virginity in traditional societies, so any sexual intercourse that does not affect virginity is more common. The expectation for female students to be virgins represents how traditional gender norms play a role in Turkey. This power dynamic may also result in female students having less knowledge about sexual health and to engage in risky sexual behaviours. It is not surprising that those living in a traditional society do not share sexual problems, do not go to the doctor with sexual problems, do not tell anyone about their partners, do not buy any contraceptive products even when necessary and do not talk about sex. However, hiding sexual problems in these societies has a negative effect on sexual health (Akin, 2006). In a previous study conducted on students, it was reported that a large majority of students did not talk about sexuality, and unwanted

p < 0.05.

Table 2. Sexual life and sexual health variables of students by gender

	To	Total		Females		Males	
	n	% <sup>a</sup>	n	% <sup>b</sup>	n	% <sup>l</sup>	
Have you ever had a partner in the past?							
Yes	214	51.7	171	79.9	43	20.	
No	200	48.3	165	82.5	35	17.	
x²/p			0.45	5/0.292			
Do you currently have a partner?							
Yes	160	38.6	128	80.0	32	20	
No	254	61.4	208	81.9	46	18	
x²/p			0.22	9/0.361		••••••	
Do you have sexual intercourse?		••••••	••••••		••••••		
Yes	18	4.3	9	50.0	9	50	
No	396	95.7	327	82.6	69	17	
χ <sup>2</sup> /p			11.94	0/0.002*		•••••••	
Have you ever had oral sex?			••••••	••••••			
Yes	145	35.0	81	55.9	64	44	
No	269	65.0	255	94.8	14	5	
χ <sup>2</sup> /p			93.397/0.001*				
Have you ever had anal sex?			••••••				
Yes	69	16.7	60	87.0	9	13	
No	330	79.7	268	81.2	62	18	
No answer	15	3.6	8	53.3	7	46	
x <sup>2</sup> /p			9.11	3/0.011*			
I don't share my sexual problems							
Yes	250	60.4	205	82.0	45	18	
No	164	39.6	131	79.9	33	20	
χ <sup>2</sup> /p			0.29	2/0339			
I do not go to a doctor for my sexual problems							
Yes	408	98.6	331	81.1	77	18	
No	6	1.4	5	83.3	1	16	
χ²/p			0.01	9/0.684			
I do not tell others about my partner							
Yes	272	65.7	210	77.2	62	22	
No	142	34.3	126	88.7	16	11	
χ²/p			8.10	6/0.003*			
I do not talk to anyone about sex							
Yes	381	92.0	314	82.4	67	17	
No	33	8.0	22	66.7	11	33	
χ²/p			4.92	.9/0.029*			

Table 2. (Continued)

	Total		Females		Males	
	n	% <sup>a</sup>	n	% <sup>b</sup>	n	% <sup>b</sup>
I do not buy contraceptive products even when necessary						
Yes	318		249		69	21.7
No	96	23.2	87	90.6	9	9.4
χ²/ρ	7.323/0.004*					
I am too embarrassed to seek sex education						
Yes	382	92.3	311	81.4	71	18.6
No	32	7.7	25	78.1	7	21.9
χ²/ρ	0.209/0.397					
Total	414	100.0	336		78	18.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Column percentages; <sup>b</sup>row percentages.\*p < 0.05.

**Table 3.** Multiple regression analysis showing the association between gender and sexual behaviours (N = 414) (reference category: Female)

Factor	β	SEª	df <sup>b</sup>	<i>p</i> -value	OR <sup>c</sup>	95% Cl <sup>d</sup>
Sexual intercourse (Do you have sexual intercourse = Yes)	1.55	0.49	1	0.001	4.73	1.08-12.37
Oral sex experience (Have you ever had oral sex = Yes)	-2.638	0.32	1	0.001	13.98	7.35–26.59
Anal sex experience (Have you ever had anal sex = Yes)	1.430	0.69	1	0.038	3.71	1.81-16.16
Status of talking about partner (Referent: I do not tell others about my partner = Yes)	0.59	0.32	1	0.006	2.12	0.96–4.65
Sex education (I am too embarrassed to seek sex education = Yes)	0.95	0.36	1	0.008	1.34	0.55-0.99

SE: Standard Error; df: degree of freedom; OR: odds ratio; Cl: confidence interval.

pregnancies and consequently sexually transmitted diseases increased due to misunderstood sexual myths among young people (Süt et al., 2015).

The rate of modern contraceptive use among young unmarried people is low due to fear and social norms (Hoang *et al.*, 2018). However, countries driven by gender inequalities that constrain individual behaviour in sexual interactions often have high rates of unwanted pregnancy and sexual transmitted diseases (Nalukwago *et al.*, 2019). In traditional societies, there are two major risks for women. The first is hiding sexual life due to social limitations, and the second risk is that her partners have more relationships and unprotected sex (Leslie, 2019). In another study in female university students, it was reported that 47% had had unprotected sexual intercourse (Polo *et al.*, 2019). In addition, the rate of termination of pregnancy in Turkey has been reported to be 29% among university female students (Aras *et al.*, 2007).

In the current study, 76.8% of students stated 'I do not buy contraceptive products even when necessary'. While there is an understanding that partners should be hidden from others in Turkey, premarital sexual intercourse is common in most parts of the world. Contraceptive products allow many women to complete their education and pursue a career, thus shrinking the wage gap between the sexes in developing countries (Van Zyl *et al.*, 2019), but women do not use contraceptive products and do not buy them in premarital relationships.

It has been reported that 68% of young people under 20 in the US and 72% in France have had sexual intercourse (Evcili & Golbasi, 2017). Süt et al. (2015) reported that 21.3% of nursing department students and Evcili et al. (2013) reported that 5.6% of Turkish university students had had a sexual experience. In the current study, similarly, only 4.3% of the students reported having had sexual intercourse, but 35% had experienced oral sex and 16.7% had experienced anal sex. The reason for the higher rates of oral sex and anal sex is the 'protection of virginity'. A university student in Turkey was forced to hide her pregnancy, killed her baby immediately after the birth and was found guilty of breaching honour values. Today, there are still high rates of 'honour' crimes where many women are murdered by their mother, father or brother, have to conceal their sex life and have sexual health problems because they cannot receive medical help (Doğan, 2018). Sociological studies have demonstrated that sexual behaviours of young people are limited by the norms established within a social context and that shame and guilt are more commonly reported when sexual activity contradicts individual, family and social normative expectations (Shoveller et al., 2004; Moreau et al., 2019). According to the American College Health Association, in 2013 approximately half of college students had engaged in oral sex, 42-68% in vaginal sex and 5% in anal sex in the past month. In the USA, men were more likely to receive oral sex and use condoms than were women. Young adults often perceive oral sex as a positive part of their sexuality, playing an important role in physical pleasure and relationship intimacy (Lefkowitz et al., 2019). In sub-Saharan Africa, the prevalence of reporting oral sex among university students ranged from 3.0 to 47.2%, and the prevalence of reported anal sex ranged from 0.3 to 46.5% among university students (Morhason-Bello et al., 2019).

In traditional societies, where sexuality is associated with marriage, sexuality within the notion of honour is met with denial, prohibition or silence, and the need for reproductive health services for single young women is overlooked (Tokuç *et al.*, 2011). Pushing sexuality and sexual health into the background can lead to unplanned and unwanted pregnancies, high-risk sexual behaviours, sexually transmitted diseases, sexual intercourse at a young age and an unhealthy sexual life with multiple partners (Özcan *et al.*, 2017).

This study had some limitations that warrant caution and suggest future directions. First, the sample was limited to students at one state university in a traditional city in Turkey. The contexts and opportunities underlying sexual relationships, and thus the rates of sexual behaviours, most likely differ for students in more urban areas. Second, the cross-sectional method used in this study does not explain causation, and although respondents were asked about specific behaviours, the survey included an explicit definition of sexual behaviours and some participants did not fully complete the questionnaire (77 students were excluded from the study due to incomplete data).

In conclusion, in line with these results, there is a need for equity studies on gender and sexuality and community activists should be mobilized in traditional countries. It can be recommended that there should be provision of sexual health services in the medical units of universities to meet the sexual health education needs of students and the placement of sexuality and sexual health courses in the university curriculum.

Funding. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial entity or not-for-profit organization.

Conflicts of Interest. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Approval. Approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam University (Ref. No.2016/20-04).

#### References

Ajmal F, Agha A, Zareen N and Karim MS (2011) Knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) regarding sexuality, sexual behaviours and contraceptives among college/university students in Karachi, Pakistan. Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan 21, 164–168.

- Akın L (2006) Epidemiology of sexually transmitted Infection: review. Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Medical Science 26, 655–665.
- **Alsubaie ASR** (2019) Exploring sexual behaviour and associated factors among adolescents in Saudi Arabia: a call to end ignorance. *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health* **9**, 76–80.
- Aras S, Orcin E, Özhan S and Semih S (2007) Sexual behaviours and contraception among university students in Turkey. Journal of Biosocial Science 39, 121–135.
- Astle S, Leonhardt N and Willoughby B (2019) Home base: family of origin factors and the debut of vaginal sex, anal sex, oral sex, masturbation and pornography use in national sample adolescents. *Journal of Sex Research*, doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2019.1691140
- Ayyub R (2000) Domestic violence in the South Asian Muslim immigrant population in the United States. *Journal of Social Distress and the Homeless* 9, 237–248.
- Bora A and Üstün İ (2005) Intimate Family Atmosphere: Women and Men in Process of Democratization [in Turkish]. TESEV, İstanbul.
- Cok F, Gray LA and Ersever H (2001) Turkish university students' sexual behaviour, knowledge, attitudes and perceptions of risk related to HIV/AIDS. *Culture Health and Sexuality* 3, 81–99.
- Cowburn M, Gill AK and Harrison K (2015) Speaking about sexual abuse in British South Asian communities: offenders, victims and the challenges of shame and reintegration. *Journal of Sexual Aggression* 21, 4–15.
- Doğan R (2018) Do women really kill for honour? Conceptualizing women's involvement in honour killings. Deviant Behaviour 39, 1247–1266.
- Dwyer C (2000) Negotiating diasporic identities: Young British South Asian Muslim women. Women's Studies International Forum 23(4), 475–486.
- Evcili F, Cesur B, Altun, A, Güçtaş Z and Sümer H (2013) The premarital sexual experience: opinions and attitudes of students from midwifery department. Gümüşhane University Journal of Health Sciences 2, 486–498.
- Evcili F and Golbasi Z (2017) Sexual myths and sexual health knowledge levels of Turkish university students. Sexuality & Culture 21, 976–990.
- Gençtanırım D (2014) University form of risk behaviours scale: validity and reliability studies *Journal of Measurement and Evaluation in Education and Psychology* 5, 24–34.
- Ghorashi Z (2019) Teenage sexting and sexual behaviours in an Iranian setting. Sexuality & Culture 23, 1274-1282.
- Gill AK and Brah A (2014) Interrogating cultural narratives about "honour"-based violence. European Journal of Women's Studies 21, 72–86.
- Golbasi Z and Kelleci M (2011) Sexual experience and risky sexual behaviours of Turkish university students. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics 283, 531–537.
- Hoang A, Nguyen CQ and Duong CD (2018) Youth experiences in accessing sexual healthcare services in Vietnam. *Culture, Health & Sexuality* 20, 545–559.
- Kebede A, Molla B and Gerensea H (2018) Assessment of risky sexual behaviour and practice among Aksum University students, Shire Campus, Shire Town, Tigray, Ethiopia, 2017. BMC Research Notes 11, 88.
- Lefkowitz ES, Vasilenko SA, Wesche R and Maggs, JL (2019) Changes in diverse sexual and contraceptive behaviours across college. *Journal of Sex Research* 56(8), 965–976.
- Leslie KA (2019) Factors affecting married, monogamous women's risk of HIV infection in India: traditional gender roles and husbands' high-risk sexual behaviours. *International Journal of Medicine and Public Health* 9(4), 112–117.
- Martyniuk U, Okolski L and Dekker A (2019) Pornographic content and real-life sexual experiences: findings from a survey of German university students. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy* 45(5), 370–377.
- Moreau N, Költő A, Young H, Maillochon F and Godeau E (2019) Negative feelings about the timing of first sexual intercourse: findings from the health behaviour in school-aged children study. *International Journal of Public Health* 64, 219–227.
- Morhason-Bello IO, Kabakama S, Baisley K, Francis, SC and Watson-Jones D (2019) Reported oral and anal sex among adolescents and adults reporting heterosexual sex in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Reproductive Health* 16(48), 1–23.
- Nalukwago J, Crutzen R, van den Borne B, Bukuluki PM, Bufumbo L, Burke HM and Alaii J (2019) Gender norms associated with adolescent sexual behaviours in Uganda. *International Social Science Journal* 69(231), 35–48.
- Özcan S, Güleç H, Demir J, Tamam L and Soydan A (2017) Reliability and validity of Turkish version of Why Have Sex? (YSEX?) questionnaire. *Current Approaches in Psychiatry* 9, 101–114.
- **Polo EP, Cassas AM, Senior NA and Hamdan M** (2019) Marriage, pregnancy and sexual practices in university female students in Cartagena, Colombia. *Global Journal of Health Science* 11, 141–149.
- Reis M, Ramiro L, Matos MGM and Diniz JA (2013) Nationwide survey of contraceptive and sexually transmitted infection knowledge, attitudes and skills of university students in Portugal. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology* 13, 127–137.
- Ruggi S (1998) Commodifying honour in female sexuality: honour killings in Palestine. Middle East Report No. 206, Spring, pp. 12–15.

- Shankar J, Das G and Atwal S (2013) Challenging cultural discourses and beliefs that perpetuate domestic violence in South Asian communities: a discourse analysis. *Journal of International Women's Studies* 14, 248–262.
- Shoveller JA, Johnson JL, Langille DB and Mitchell T (2004) Socio-cultural influences on young people's sexual development. Social Science & Medicine 59, 473–487.
- Süt, HK, Aşcı Ö and Gökdemir F (2015) Sexual attitudes and effective factors in summer school students of nursing department. TAF Preventive Medicine Bulletin 14, 315–323.
- Tokuc B, Berberoğlu U, Saraçoğlu GV and Çelikkalp Ü (2011) Opinions of health college students on premarital sex, induced abortions and contraceptive use of young people. *Journal of Turkish Public Health* 9, 168–173.
- Van Zyl P M, Brisley C, Halberg L, Matthysen M, Toerien M and Joubert G (2019) The use, knowledge and attitudes regarding hormonal contraceptive products of female first-year students in a faculty of health sciences. *South African Family Practice* **61**(5), 190–196.

Cite this article: Nazik F, Omaç Sönmez M, and Akben M (2021). Gender, sexual experiences and sexual behavioural differences in Turkish university students. *Journal of Biosocial Science* 53, 471–480. https://doi.org/10.1017/S002193202000036X