

Research Paper

Cite this article: Awarikabey EN, Afun JVK, Billah MK, Osekre EA (2025). Diversity, damage and pheromone specificity of fruit flies in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485324000750>

Received: 3 January 2023

Revised: 5 February 2024

Accepted: 30 October 2024


Keywords:

C. quinaria; *Ceratitis silvestrii*; *Dacus* species; diversity index; forest-savanna transition zone; species composition; *Xanthaciura*

Corresponding author:

Ernestina Narveh Awarikabey;
Email: enarveh@gmail.com

Diversity, damage and pheromone specificity of fruit flies in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana

Ernestina Narveh Awarikabey¹ , Jakpasu Victor Kofi Afun²,
Maxwell Kelvin Billah³ and Enoch Adjei Osekre²

¹Crops Research Institute -CSIR; ²Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana and ³Dept. of Animal Biology and Conservation Science, University of Ghana, P. O. Box LG. 67, Legon, Accra, Ghana

Abstract

Mango is a delicious tropical fruit with high economic value worldwide. The Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana contributes significantly to the production of mangoes for both local and international markets. The zone is plagued with the fruit fly ‘menace’ like all mango-producing areas in Ghana. Not much has been done in terms of species monitoring. A 24-month fruit fly monitoring survey was conducted in eight mango orchards, to assess the composition of fruit flies associated with Mango and their damage levels. Four para-pheromone attractants (Methyl Eugenol, Terpinyl Acetate, Trimedlure, and Cuelure) were used to monitor fruit flies. Eight improvised water bottle traps (two per lure) were purposefully deployed in each orchard. A total of 18 tephritid species belonging to five genera were recorded. *Bactrocera* (one species), *Ceratitis* (six species), *Dacus* (eight species), *Zeugodacus* (one species) and *Xanthaciura* (two species). *Bactrocera dorsalis* was the most abundant species (90% of the collected samples), while the native mango fly, *C. cosyra* constituted 0.5%. *Dacus fuscovittatus* and *Dacus pleuralis* were for the first time captured and identified in Ghana. *Dacus langi*, *Dacus carnesi*, *Dacus (diastatus?)*, *Ceratitis silvestrii* and *C. quinaria* were recorded for the first time in the zone. The zone recorded a diversity index of 0.41. Damage levels ranged from 41–91%. Ten out of the 18 species, are of economic importance on mango and must be watched. Periodic updates on seasonal fluctuations, species composition and new arrivals are key to the successful implementation of any management strategy.

Introduction

Fruit flies (Diptera:Tephritidae) are a major threat to the horticultural industry worldwide (White and Elson-Harris, 1992; De Meyer *et al.*, 2015; Ekesi *et al.*, 2016). Some are polyphagous with a wide host range, while others remain oligophagous. Horticultural products vulnerable to these pests in tropical Africa include mangoes, citrus, guava, pawpaw, and watermelon (Ekesi *et al.*, 2016). Tephritid fruit flies are a major constraint to the cultivation and export of mangoes due to reduced fruit quality. Their presence in exported fruits results in the interception, confiscation of such pest-ridden fruits, and embargoes on pest-ridden fruits during export on the exporting countries and companies (Ekesi and Billah, 2007; Ekesi *et al.*, 2016).

Mango is the preferred host of the Oriental fruit fly (*Bactrocera dorsalis*) (Ekesi *et al.*, 2016). *Bactrocera dorsalis* can coexist with, and dominate the native mango fruit fly (*Ceratitis cosyra*) and other frugivorous fruit fly species (Vayssières *et al.*, 2014; Ekesi *et al.*, 2016). Prior to the detection of *B. dorsalis* in East Africa, the mango/marula fly (*C. cosyra*) was for decades the major fruit fly pest on mango (Lux *et al.*, 2003b). Subsequently, research revealed that a complex of fruit fly species apart from *B. dorsalis* and *C. cosyra*, attack mango and cause economic losses in Africa (Vayssières *et al.*, 2009; Ekesi *et al.*, 2016). About 30–50% of the two million tonnes of mango harvested yearly on the African continent are destroyed by tephritid flies (Georgen *et al.*, 2011). In the absence of control measures direct losses to mango fruits have been reported to range between from 30 to 100% (Vayssières *et al.*, 2009; Ekesi *et al.*, 2016). Several fruit fly species have been observed to infest mangoes in Ghana (Billah *et al.*, 2010). Nboyine *et al.* (2012) reported the presence of *B. dorsalis*, *C. capitata*, *C. cosyra*, *Zeugodacus cucurbitae* and *C. ditissima* from mango orchards in parts of the Guinea Savanna, Coastal Savanna, and Forest-Savanna Transition zones of Ghana, while Badii *et al.* (2015) recovered *C. cosyra*, *B. dorsalis*, *C. capitata* and *C. rosa* from incubated mango fruits in Northern Ghana.

Ghana is the second-highest exporter of mango in the West African sub-region after Burkina Faso (Grumiller *et al.*, 2018). The variety ‘Keitt’ is widely cultivated and accounts for about 85% of mangoes produced in Ghana due to its versatile nature, and preference for it in the export market (Wih, 2008; Komayire, 2017). The other varieties like Kent,

Table 1. Global Positioning System (GPS) Co-ordinates of Study Sites

Farm location	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude (m)
Mampong (Timber Nkwanta)	7°08'02.424" N	1°24'23.398"W	410
Ejura Farm 1	7°25'35.336" N	1°27'44.490"W	277
Ejura Farm 2	7°25'11.045" N	1°27'49.787"W	243
Tanoso-Asutia	7°27'28.768" N	1°58'22.339"W	377
Hansua	7°31'55" N	1°56'19"W	398
Forikrom	7°35'54.428" N	1°51'30.82" W	331
Akumsa-Domase	7°32'43.217" N	1°44'27.283"W	303
Bonsu	7° 33'06.8" N	1°47'39.537"W	293

Palmer, Haden, Tommy Atkins, Julie, Erwin, Zill, Jaffna and a range of local varieties make up the remaining 15% of the mango market in Ghana (Wih, 2008).

Ghana has experienced a down turn in mango exports over the last two decades due largely to tephritid fruit fly infestations, primarily from *Bactrocera dorsalis*. The presence of *B. dorsalis* in Ghana resulted in an increase in fruit fly infestation levels with subsequent rejection of mangoes worth millions of Euros meant for export (ECOWAS-TEN Newsletter, 2012; ACP-EU Newsletter, 2013). This development led to the placement of a ban on Ghana's mangoes to the EU markets in January 2015, which was subsequently lifted in December 2017 (Billah, pers. Comm.; Billah and Cudjoe, 2020).

The Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana is a hub for mango cultivation for both the local and international markets. Unfortunately, not much has been done in the zone in terms of fruit fly monitoring to determine species composition and new arrivals. A survey by Nboyine *et al.* (2012) on fruit fly species in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone was limited to two study areas (Ejura and Wenchi) and therefore limiting the possible range of the fruit fly species present in the zone. The rationale for conducting the study in different locations over a period with different pheromones is to have a representative data for the zone and also to identify other species that could be of economic importance to the horticultural industry in Ghana, but were missed during previous studies due to the lack of their respective attractants. The improvised traps employed in this study have been proven in other studies to be effective and user friendly in terms of their trapping efficiency, low cost of production and accessibility of raw materials (Nboyine *et al.*, 2012). The aim of this study, therefore, was to update the existing data on fruit flies in the zone. Specifically, the study sought to; (i) collect and identify the species of fruit flies associated with mango in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana, (ii) determine their diversity (iii) measure the fluctuations of their populations over time and, (iv) determine their percentage fruit infestation in the field.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study was conducted in eight selected mango orchards in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana from November 2017 to October 2019. Details of the study location are shown in table 1. The purposive selection of the study orchards was based on the following criteria:

1. the farm should be at least two hectares in size.
2. the orchards should be planted with one or more exportable mango varieties and should have borne fruits before.
3. the farmers should be active and willing to allow their farms used for at least two years for the study.

Fruit fly monitoring

Traps made from 750 ml transparent water bottles with two cm² holes (windows) on two opposite upper parts of the bottle were used (fig. 1). Tiny holes were bored in the bottom of the bottles to ensure drainage after rainfall but small enough to retain captured fruit flies. The traps were hanged on the branches of the selected trees with nylon threads strung on the corks of the bottles. Solid grease was then applied from the point of attachment to the middle of the nylon thread to prevent ants from entering the bottles to eat or steal the trapped flies.

Four para-pheromone attractants sourced from Scentry Biologicals Inc. (Billings Montana, USA) were used. Methyl Eugenol (ME) for targeting *Bactrocera* species, Terpinyl Acetate (TA) and Trimedlure (TML) for *Ceratitidis* species, and Cuelure (CUE) for *Dacus* species. Dimethyl 2, 2-DichloroVinyl Phosphate

**Figure 1.** Water Bottle Trap.

Table 2. Species of fruit flies attracted by different pheromone (lures)

SN	Pheromone	Fruit fly Genus	Fruit fly Species
1	Methyl eugenol	<i>Bactrocera and Ceratitis</i>	<i>Bactrocera dorsalis, Ceratitis brevii</i>
2	Terpinyl acetate	<i>Ceratitis</i>	<i>Ceratitis cosyra, Ceratitis silvestrii, Ceratitis quinaria</i>
3	Trimedlure	<i>Ceratitis</i>	<i>Ceratitis anonae, Ceratitis capitata</i>
4	Cuelure	<i>Dacus and Zeugodacus</i>	<i>Dacus bivittatus, Dacus frontalis, Dacus punctatifrons, Zeugodacus cucurbitae, Dacus pleuralis, Dacus diastatus?, Dacus langi, Dacus fuscovittatus, Dacus carnesi, Specimen F</i>

(DDVP) strips (2 × 1 cm) were placed in the traps as killing agent. Eight traps (2 of each attractant) were purposively deployed in each mango farm at a distance of 50 m apart and a height of 1.5 to 4.0 m above ground following the recommendation of (Ekesi and Billah, 2009). Traps were checked weekly for collections, while servicing and recharging were carried out every eight weeks. The traps were rotated monthly to prevent trap location from interfering with performance.

Collection and identification of trap catches

Trap contents were preserved in vials containing 70% ethanol and labeled appropriately with the collection information. The samples were then transferred to the laboratory for sorting, counting, and identification using a Carl Zeiss stereomicroscope (Stemi 415500-1800-00). The identification was based on morphological features using taxonomic keys developed by Billah *et al.*, (2007), the African Fruit Fly Initiative (AFFI) (Ekesi and Billah, 2009) and the online Set of Multi-Entry identification Keys to African Frugivorous Flies (Diptera, Tephritidae) by Virgilio *et al.* (2014). The identification of the rare *Dacus* species (first record in Ghana) was also done using identification keys and literature by Billah *et al.* (2007) and De Meyer *et al.* (2013), and confirmed in the Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium by Dr M. De Meyer.

Data analysis

To determine the relative densities of flies in the different localities, trap catches were expressed as indices by dividing the total number of flies collected (F) by the number of traps (T) and by the exposure period of traps (in Days) (F/T/D) (IAEA, 2003). Percentage trap catches per species were also determined. The number of trap-captured fruit flies were log transformed ($\log(x + 1)$) to normalize the variance before subjecting the data to analysis of variance. Fly catches from the orchards were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using PROC GLM. Number of fly catches over the sampling period was used for treatment comparison (Epsky *et al.*, 1999) and means separated with Tukey's HSD test at $P = 0.05$, using GENSTAT® 12th edition. Non-target catches were recorded and grouped into their respective orders to assess the effect of the trapping on beneficial and neutral organisms. Field infestation rate was expressed as the ratio of the number of infested fruits per total number of fruits collected, multiplied by 100. The diversity of fruit fly species in the eight orchards was determined with the Simpson's diversity index. The Shannon-Weiner index was used to assess the total diversity

index for the zone. Species richness was computed as the number of species encountered in the zone.

Results

Species composition and frugivorous fruit fly diversity

A total of 213,538 and 174,126 fruit flies were collected in 2018 and 2019, respectively with an average population size of 22, 803 per orchard. Seventeen fruit fly species belonging to four genera; *Bactrocera* (1) *Ceratitis* (6) *Dacus* (8), *Zeugodacus* (1), were collected during the two-year monitoring period (table 2). Two individuals of a non-frugivorous tephritid genus (*Xanthaciura*) (Supplementary fig. 2) were trapped at the end of the second season in 2019. Non-target arthropods encountered include lacewings, red ants (*Oecophylla* species), other non-tephritid flies, leaf hoppers and spiders (table 3). The percentage of fruit flies captured per lure is shown in fig. 2.

Relative fruit fly densities


The highest relative fly density of 15.61 was recorded at Mampong, followed by Tanoso (12.59), Forikrom (11.31), Hansua (7.95), Bonsu (7.17) and Akumsa Domase (6.67). The Ejura orchards recorded the least fly densities of 2.84 and 2.23 respectively. The differences in species composition between the mango orchards were not significant, but differences between the mean populations of the species encountered in the eight orchards, were $P \geq 0.019$. *Bactrocera dorsalis* formed 90% of total collections, with relative density values of 33.04 and 29.72 flies/trap/day for the 2018 and 2019 seasons, respectively. table 4 shows the mean relative fly densities of *B. dorsalis* and all the others fruit fly species. Percentage field infestation of mangoes ranged from 40–80% (table 5). Tanoso-Asutia recorded the highest percentage damage by the fruit fly infestation in both 2018 and 2019.

Fruit fly diversity

Shannon diversity index for the frugivorous species in the zone was 0.41 with an Evenness value of 0.14. The zone recorded a species Richness (number of species) of 17. Tanoso-Asutia, Hansua and Forikrom recorded the highest species richness of 15 whilst the second orchard at Ejura (Ejura 2) recorded the least species richness of 13. The species diversity of each orchard is shown in table 6. Table 7 shows the numbers and proportions of the species present in the study zone.

Table 3. Number of non-target organisms captured by different pheromone lures.

Pheromones	Hymenoptera	Diptera	Orthoptera	Lepidoptera	Coleoptera	Aranae	Neuroptera	Blattoidea	Hemiptera
METHYLEUGENOL	793	582	3	4	20	29	18	5	1
CUELURE	164	272	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TERPINYL ACETATE	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
TRIMEDLURE	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Figure 2.** Percentage of tephritid fruit flies captured by the different pheromones.

Seasonal fluctuations

Varying temporal fluctuations were observed for all the species throughout the duration of the study. Figure 3 illustrates the dynamics of *B. dorsalis* for both 2018 and 2019. The seasonal fluctuations of *Ceratitis* and *Dacus* species in the mango orchards in 2018 and 2019 are also shown in fig. 4.

Discussion

The results showed a complex of fruit fly species in all the study orchards. The monitoring survey conducted in the eight orchards in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana resulted

Table 4. Means and relative fly densities of fruit fly species in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana; (Nov. 2017–Oct. 2019)

SPECIES	F/T/D	Means
<i>B. dorsalis</i>	30.919	423 ^b
<i>C. anonae</i>	0.058	0.8 ^a
<i>C. breinii</i>	0.009	0.1 ^a
<i>C. capitata</i>	0.345	4.7 ^a
<i>C. cosyra</i>	0.170	2.3 ^a
<i>C. silvestrii</i>	0.022	0.3 ^a
<i>C. quinaria</i>	0.003	0 ^a
<i>D. bivittatus</i>	1.316	17.9 ^a
<i>D. frontalis</i>	0.017	0.2 ^a
<i>D. punctatifrons</i>	0.657	9 ^a
<i>Dacus pleuralis</i>	0.003	0 ^a
<i>Dacus diastatus?</i>	0.000	0 ^a
<i>Dacus langi</i>	0.055	0.7 ^a
<i>Dacus fuscovittatus</i>	0.008	0.1 ^a
<i>Dacus carnesi</i>	0.002	0 ^a
Specimen F	0.010	0.1 ^a
<i>Z. cucurbitae</i>	0.580	7.9 ^a

Tukey's at 95 % confident interval.

Table 5. Percentage field infestation of fruit fly species in Keitt mango.

Orchard	2018			2019		
	No. of fruits	No. of infested fruits	% Damage	No. of fruits	No. of infested fruits	% Damage
Tanoso Asutia	24	20	83.33	24	22	91.67
Hansua	30	24	80.00	30	26	86.67
Forikrom	24	16	66.67	24	18	75.00
Bonsu	24	12	50.00	24	16	66.67
Akumsa Domase	24	11	45.83	24	10	41.67
Ejura farm 1	30	25	83.33	30	24	80.00
Ejura farm 2	30	23	76.67	30	26	86.67
Mampong	15	9	60.00	15	12	80.00
TOTAL	201	140	69.65	201	154	76.62

in the identification of 17 different species from four economically-important frugivorous fruit fly genera (*Bactrocera*, *Ceratitidis*, *Dacus* and *Zeugodacus*), and two non-frugivorous tephritids from the genus *Xanthaciura*. A similar trapping exercise carried out about a decade ago during the 2009 and 2010 mango season resulted in the collection of four fruit fly species (*B. dorsalis*, *C. cosyra*, *C. ditissima*, and *C. capitata*) from two farms (Ejura and Boasu-Wenchi) in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone (Nboyine *et al.*, 2012). There was no record of the non-frugivorous *Xanthaciura* sp. and the frugivorous *Dacus* species. Nboyine *et al.* (2012) employed the use of three different lures (ME, TA and TML) that targeted only *Ceratitidis* and *Bactrocera* species and not *Dacus* species, which may explain their inability to detect *Dacus* spp. during their study.

The larvae of *Xanthaciura* species are known to feed on flowers of the Compositae, while species from the other four tephritid genera encountered in this study damage fruits and vegetables (White and Elson-Harris, 1992). *Xanthaciura insecta* and its sister species *X. biotellata* (Thomson) and *X. chysura* (Thomson) are associated with the Asteraceae plant family (Benjamin, 1934; Savaris *et al.*, 2015). These three *Xanthaciura* species have been recorded in Brazil, Florida, Mexico, and the West Indies, but none has been so far reported from Africa. The *Xanthaciura* species were encountered towards the tail end of this study in the month of October 2019. Since researchers are mostly interested in tephritid species of economic

importance, any of the hitherto unreported *Xanthaciura* species found in any earlier study would have been mentioned and tagged for detailed study on its biology and bionomics. As there is no such record it is believed this is the first report of its presence in Ghana.

The current results show a marked increase in the number of species in the Forest Savanna Transition zone since the previous study, almost a decade ago. The increase in the number of fruit fly species could be attributed primarily to the use of more lures that are specific to the different fruit fly genera, and also due to anthropogenic activities and/or the increase in the area covered in this current study. However, the arrival of new species cannot be ruled out in explaining the increasing number of fruit fly species in the zone. Human activity, particularly in local mango trade, can result in the spread of the fruit-borne stages of the fruit flies. Changing environmental conditions due to human interference can impact insect populations both adversely and positively. Recent increase in the degradation of forests in Ghana may be blamed for creating the conducive environment for colonization and establishment of the hitherto unseen *Dacus* species in the zone.

The composition of the species in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone differs extensively from that of the Guinea savanna, Coastal savanna, and the Sudan savanna. Wih and Billah (2012) reported on nine fruit fly species (*C. cosyra*, *C. capitata*, *C. ditissima*, *C. rosa*, *C. breinii*, *C. anonae*, *B. dorsalis*, *D. bivittatus*, and *D. vertebrata*) from their survey of the Upper-West region. Nboyine *et al.* (2012) reported only three species (*B. dorsalis*, *C. cosyra*, and *C. capitata*) from a trapping survey of the Guinea savanna zone and four species from the genera *Bactrocera* and *Ceratitidis* in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone. The last two cited works were limited in the sense that they did not include the Cuelure (pheromone) to explore the range of *Dacus* species present at the time. The two previous studies together with this current study have established that currently in Ghana, three species of fruit flies (*C. cosyra*, *C. capitata* and *B. dorsalis*) are common in all the mango producing agro-ecological zones and also associated with mango orchards. *Bactrocera dorsalis* and *Ceratitidis cosyra* are known to be of economic importance on mango (Ekesi *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, periodic monitoring with specific attractants targeting both key and potential tephritid pests is important in the detection of existing cryptic pest species and introduced pests for early detection and necessary action.

Table 6. Shannon Weiner's Diversity index for the composition of fruit flies in eight mango orchards

Orchard	H'	Effective number of species
Tanoso Asutia	0.50	1.65
Hansua	0.41	1.50
Forikrom	0.60	1.82
Bonsu	0.64	1.90
Akumsa Domase	0.55	1.73
Ejura 1	0.42	1.52
Ejura 2	0.42	1.52
Mampong	0.24	1.27

Table 7. Numbers and proportions of fruit flies captured in pheromone-baited traps in eight mango orchards in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana.

Species	Tanoso	Hansua	Forikrom	Bonsu	Akumsa Domase	Ejura 1	Ejura 2	Mamong	TOTAL	% Proportion
<i>B. dorsalis</i>	65,680	42,716	57,086	35,402	33,792	15,247	11,924	87,120	348,967	90.02
<i>C. anonae</i>	90	168	178	95	7	145	30	59	772	0.20
<i>C. breinii</i>	34	1	1	9	7	8	14	12	86	0.02
<i>C. capitata</i>	2700	60	226	64	24	54	6	8	3142	0.81
<i>C. cosyra</i>	159	142	363	351	124	421	228	195	1983	0.51
<i>C. silvestrii</i>	152	4	1	2	3	13	20	0	195	0.05
<i>C. quinaria</i>	23	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0.01
<i>D. bivittatus</i>	2913	1450	3597	2465	1958	446	505	2106	15,440	3.89
<i>D. frontalis</i>	1	79	6	23	9	12	0	69	199	0.05
<i>D. punctatifrons</i>	1358	811	2261	915	635	177	212	1115	7484	1.93
<i>Dacus pleuralis</i>	12	1	2	1	1	0	0	8	25	0.01
<i>Dacus diastatus?</i>	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4	0.00
<i>Dacus langi</i>	121	22	121	9	27	29	11	174	514	0.13
<i>Dacus fuscovittatus</i>	9	27	51	60	9	0	0	2	158	0.04
<i>Dacus carnesi</i>	9	4	1	0	0	0	0	4	18	0.01
<i>Specimen F</i>	0	0	87	3	2	0	0	0	92	0.02
<i>Z. cucurbitae</i>	276	978	2063	2495	2352	45	81	265	8555	2.21
Total	73,537	46,470	66,044	41,894	38,950	16,599	13,033	91,137	387,664	100.00




Figure 3. Population fluctuations of *B. dorsalis* in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana.

Population trends of fruit flies of economic importance

Ten species of fruit flies of economic importance to mango were encountered in this study. These include *Bactrocera dorsalis*, *C. anonae*, *C. breinii*, *C. capitata*, *C. cosyra*, *C. quinaria*,

C. silvestrii, *Dacus bivittatus*, *D. punctatifrons* and *Zeugodacus cucurbitae*. Out of these, only *C. cosyra* and *B. dorsalis* are known to cause significant economic losses to Mango in Ghana, with *B. dorsalis* being the most destructive. The other *Ceratitidis* species collected from the traps are also known to attack mango in Mali and Uganda (Vayssières and Kalabone, 2000; Vayssières et al., 2005). Ironically the mango fruit fly, *C. cosyra*, recorded relatively smaller numbers, with peaks in the months of April and May. Relative fly densities for the fruit fly species encountered were higher in 2018 than in 2019, except for *C. silvestrii*, *C. quinaria*, and *D. punctatifrons*. This shows that constant trapping all year round will aid in instituting management measures against the pest in time.

Bactrocera species

The invasive *B. dorsalis*, since its detection in Ghana in 2005, has dominated all fruit fly collections (Billah et al., 2006; Nboyine et al., 2012, 2013; Wih and Billah, 2012; Billah and Wilson, 2016). *Bactrocera dorsalis* establishes easily because it is polyphagous, has high fecundity, and great dispersal traits (Ekesi et al., 2009). Its ability to compete well and its easy adaptation have led to its dominance and apparent displacement of the native mango fruit fly, *C. cosyra*, in several African countries (Ekesi et al., 2009). It occurs all year round with peak periods between April and June coinciding with fruit maturity periods. This study, together with other surveys carried out in Ghana, Burundi and other parts of Africa confirm that *B. dorsalis* is well established, is still a threat and responsible for the interception of mangoes from Ghana and parts of Africa (Nboyine et al., 2012; Gnanvossou et al., 2017; Ndayizeye et al., 2017; Billah and Cudjoe, 2020).

Zeugodacus species

Zeugodacus cucurbitae is known to primarily attack cucurbit plants, but has also been reported from an array of unrelated

plant families (Mwatawala et al., 2010). It was first seen in Ghana in 2003 (Billah, 2004) and also known to be associated with mango (Vayssières et al., 2015; Gnanvossou et al., 2017). This study has revealed that *Z. cucurbitae* occurs all year round in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone. Peaks occurred between February and March and again in September to October in 2018, while in 2019, peaks occurred between January and March, then August to November. These peaks coincided with flowering and fruit set during the first three months of the year, and during the vegetative flush periods. Adult *Z. cucurbitae* have been recovered from mango varieties including Keitt in Benin (Vayssières et al., 2007). Hence a potential threat to Ghana's mango industry because according to Wih (2008) about 85% of Ghanaian mango farmers prefer the Keitt variety to the other mango varieties available.

Ceratitidis species

All the *Ceratitidis* species encountered in this study are associated with the mango crop either in Ghana or other African countries. They account for 1.6% of the total trap collections. *Ceratitidis cosyra*, the native mango fruit fly, accounted for 0.5% of the total trap collections. *Ceratitidis quinaria* was found at Tanoso and Hansua, while *C. silvestrii* was found in all the other study orchards except Mampong. The other *Ceratitidis* species were represented in all the eight study orchards. Small numbers of *Ceratitidis breinii* was recorded in all the orchards. Hala et al. (2006) reported that *C. breinii* made up 70.74% of total methyl eugenol trap catches from mango orchards in la Côte d'Ivoire. *C. breinii* was also reported from citrus orchards in Kade, Ghana (Foba et al., 2012), and also from mango orchards in Benin (Vayssières, et al., 2015). A mass trapping exercise carried out in mango orchards in Western Burkina Faso also reported about 0.08% of total trap catches being *C. breinii* (Zida et al., 2020). Even though information on its host preference and ecological niche is quite scarce, this distribution trend of *C. breinii* in mango orchards is an indication that the species may be associated with mango.

This study recorded *C. silvestrii* and *C. quinaria* for the first time in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana. A few




Figure 4. Population fluctuations of (A) *Ceratitis* species and (B) *Dacus* species in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana.

individuals of *C. quinaria* and *C. silvestrii*, making up 0.01 and 0.05% of the total tap catches, were encountered during the study period. *Ceratitis silvestrii* was encountered in all orchards, except Mampong. Prior to this study *C. quinaria* and *C. silvestrii* were reported from yellow plum surrounding mango orchards in Northern Ghana (Oyinkah, 2012; Billah *et al.*, 2023). However, no yellow plum was sited around the eight mango orchards used in

this study. Although both species recorded relatively small numbers, their presence in the zone cannot be overlooked because of the evidence of their emergence from mango fruits in Benin, Burkina Faso, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, and Senegal (Vayssières *et al.*, 2005, 2015). According to Sawadogo *et al.* (2013), *C. silvestrii* is a significant pest of mango in parts of West Africa, although it has also been reported to emerge from Shea fruits (*Vitellaria*

paradoxa C.F Gaetn.). It accounted for 54.75% of the total number of fruit flies that emerged from the mango fruits in Western Burkina Faso (Zida *et al.*, 2020).

The results from this study indicate that *C. silvestrii* is gradually expanding its geographical range in Ghana. *C. quinaria* on the other hand, had been recorded in Ghana with established populations confirmed all over West Africa and also found in Yemen (Vayssières *et al.*, 2005, 2009; Oyinkah, 2012; Ekesi *et al.*, 2016). Its host range is quite narrow but Mango happens to be one of its main hosts of economic importance (Manrakhan *et al.*, 2020) In this study, it was recorded from only two orchards (Tanoso and Hansua). The peak periods for the two species were between February and March, confirming the report of Vayssières *et al.* (2005) that *C. quinaria* and *C. silvestrii* coexist, are abundant in the dry seasons, and according to Zida *et al.* (2020) are also quarantine pests.

An overview of the peak periods of *Ceratitidis* species in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone, showed that *C. cosyra* populations started increasing during anthesis in January, peaked in February, and dropped in March when fruits were set. Then fluctuated till July while recording the highest peak at fruit maturity in May in 2018 during. The 2019 season saw smaller population levels of *C. cosyra*, with the highest peak occurring in April. *Ceratitidis capitata* populations recorded low peaks in January and February at the flowering stage, and high peaks in October. *C. bremii* also recorded low peaks in March and relatively higher peaks in October for both seasons. The peak periods indicate that early maturing mango varieties like Jaffna and Palmer may be prone to *Ceratitidis* species infestation. Late maturing varieties like Kent and Keitt may also be susceptible to attack either during the early stages of fruit development or in the absence of the dominant *B. dorsalis*. *Ceratitidis cosyra* monitoring and control measures should start during the off-season from September to December; then intensified from January (flowering) to May (fruit setting to fruit development). Control for *C. capitata* should be from July to December where populations tend to shoot up showing distinct high peaks.

Dacus species in forest-savanna transition zone of Ghana

According to Ekesi and Billah (2007), the Cucurbitaceae plant family, especially cucurbit vegetables, are the primary hosts of *Dacus* species on which they inflict severe losses. Studies, more recent than the report of Ekesi and Billah (2007), have shown that *Dacus* species also infest mango (N'depo *et al.*, 2013; Ekesi *et al.*, 2016). This study found eight species of *Dacus* from trap collections, occurring all year round with varying population trends. *Dacus pleuralis* and *Dacus fuscovittatus* were for the first time captured and identified in Ghana. *Dacus* (*diastatus*?), *Dacus langi*, and *Dacus carnesi* on the other hand have already been sighted in Ghana (De Meyer *et al.*, 2013; Ofori *et al.*, 2023), but this is the first official record in the Forest-Savanna Transition Zone (see Supplementary fig. 1A–1E) and are hitherto not common. The confirmation of the identity of these species was done in the Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium by Dr M. De Meyer (Fruit Fly Taxonomist). The other species include *D. bivittatus*, *D. frontalis* and *D. punctatifrons*.

Common *Dacus* species in Ghana include *D. bivittatus*, *D. vertebratus*, *D. ciliatus*, and occasionally *D. frontalis* and *D. lounsburyi* (De Meyer *et al.*, 2013). *Dacus bivittatus* and *D. ciliatus* have been reported to attack mango in parts of

Africa (Hala *et al.*, 2006; N'depo *et al.*, 2013; Fikru *et al.*, 2018). Ekesi *et al.* (2016) did not report *D. frontalis* in Ghana. Nevertheless, *D. frontalis* was recorded in all study orchards, except Ejura 2. The presence *D. bivittatus*, *D. punctatifrons* and *D. frontalis* in mango orchards in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana raises concern because of their 'pest' status elsewhere. Currently they can be considered potential pests, being subdued and outcompeted by the large populations of *Bactrocera dorsalis*.

The population of *D. bivittatus* was largest, followed by *D. punctatifrons*. A study in the Upper West region by Wih and Billah (2012) reported the presence of only two species of *Dacus* namely, *Dacus bivittatus* and *Dacus vertebratus*. *Dacus fuscovittatus* was recorded from all study orchards except Ejura 1 and Ejura 2, while *Dacus* (*diastatus*)? was recorded from only Ejura 1 and Ejura 2. Specimen F (Supplementary fig. 3) on the other hand, was recorded from three locations; Forikrom, Bonsu, and Akumsa-Domase. These study orchards were surrounded by other horticultural farmlands notably tomatoes and cashew.

The presence of the six tephritid species presently albeit rare, requires further research on their biology and geographical distribution in the country. The Paratype specimens of these species are deposited at the insectary of the Department of Crops and Soil Sciences, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.

Species diversity and proportions

The Shannon Weiner diversity analysis on the frugivorous species indicates a low diversity index for the zone despite the species richness of 17 recorded. This is due to the uneven distribution of the abundance of the individual species present. This is corroborated by the low evenness and effective number of species per orchard that resulted from the analysis. The dominance of *Bactrocera dorsalis* in the zone is the physical proof. An individual diversity assessment per orchard, resulted in only three out of the eight orchards recording a higher diversity index of approximately 1. The trapping exercise carried out in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone during the 2018 and 2019 mango seasons, saw *B. dorsalis* again dominating all trap collections in all the study orchards. It recorded the largest population in terms of trap catches, followed by *Dacus bivittatus*, *Zeugodacus cucurbitae*, and *D. punctatifrons*.

Field infestation assessment

In terms of percentage mango fruit infestation, Tanoso recorded the highest percentage fruit damage for the study duration. This can be attributed to the fact that orchard sanitation was very poor and none of the fruit fly management methods were employed. The other orchards that implemented some management practices like Male Annihilation Technique (MAT), burying of dropped fruits and pruning recorded lower percentage infestation levels.

The role of different lures in population monitoring

The essence of the different lures employed in the study was to have a holistic view of all the different species of fruit flies in the zone. It was important to target all the fruit fly genera of economic importance because previous studies carried out in the zone didn't include Cuelure which is an attractant for *Dacus* species and *Zeugodacus* species. *Ceratitidis cosyra*, *C. quinaria*, and

C. silvestrii were collected from Terpinyl acetate baited traps, while *C. anonae* and *C. capitata* were captured in Trimedlure baited traps. *Ceratitidis breinii* was the only *Ceratitidis* species encountered together with *B. dorsalis* in Methyl eugenol-baited traps.

Non-target organisms

Methyl eugenol recorded the most diverse number of non-target arthropods belonging to seven insect orders and spider order (Araneae) from the class Arachnida. This was followed by Cuelure with Hymenopterans and Dipterans, recording the highest number of individuals. Terpinyl acetate and Trimedlure recorded one and three individual orthopterans, respectively, which could be attributed to accidental entries. Wih and Billah (2012), recorded non-target species from three insect orders and the order Araneae from a survey in the Upper West Region. Their work however did not include Cuelure parapheromones. The methyl eugenol and Cuelure, even though are key for monitoring fruit flies, they can be detrimental against natural enemies like spiders, lacewings and wasps, as large numbers of them are trapped and killed.

Conclusions and implications for management

The influx of fruits and vegetables across regional and country borders due to trade, warrants constant monitoring and surveillance to aid early detection and potential new fruit fly incursions. Seventeen fruit flies, plus two non-frugivorous tephritid species were identified from mango orchards in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone of Ghana. Two species (*B. dorsalis* and *C. cosyra*) are known to cause economic losses in the Mango industry in Ghana. Seven other species (*C. breinii*, *C. silvestrii*, *C. quinaria*, *C. capitata*, *C. anonae*, *Z. cucurbitae*, and *D. bivittatus*) remain potential pests that must be watched. *C. silvestrii* and *C. quinaria* were recorded for the first time in the Forest-Savanna Transition zone. *Bactrocera dorsalis* remains the most destructive fruit fly of mango in Ghana.

Recommendations

Awareness of the species composition of a pest is key to the institution of appropriate management strategies or improving upon an existing management plan. A survey of the species composition of mango fruit flies should be repeated in other agro-ecological zones in Ghana since previous surveys are almost a decade old. This will update Ghana's fruit fly database, more so when research shows that most mango varieties in Ghana are susceptible to fruit attack (Ambele *et al.*, 2012). As a suppressive measure, intensive trapping of the flies with increased number of traps per unit area, is strongly recommended for mango farmers. Management practices like the male annihilation technique with para-pheromones, food baits, and orchard sanitation are also recommended. Stakeholders in the mango industry must recognize the presence of the other 'potential' mango infesting fruit fly species, to ensure a holistic approach when implementing management strategies. Further research on the ecology, biology and population dynamics of the newly encountered *Dacus* species is recommended. This will safeguard future outbreaks.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485324000750>

Acknowledgments. We are grateful for the partial funding from CORAF as part of the PhD training programme under the West African Fruit Fly control Project carried out in Ghana. This research was also supported by the Ghana GNPC Scholarship Fund.

Competing interests. The authors have no financial interests and neither do they have any propriety interests in the material discussed in this article.

References

- ACP-EU Newsletter (2013) How to control the mango fruit fly. Technical Centre for Agricultural and Rural Corporation. CTA practical guide series, no. 14. ISSN:1873-8192. Accessed 8th February 2018.
- Ambele FC, Billah MK, Afreh-Nuamah K and Obeng-Ofori D (2012) Susceptibility of four mango varieties to the Africa invader fly, *Bactrocera invadens* Drew, Tsuruta and White. *Journal of Applied Biosciences* **49**, 3425–3434.
- Badii B, Billah MK, Afreh-Nuamah K and Obeng-Ofori D (2015) Species composition and host range of fruit-infesting flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in northern Ghana. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* **35**, 1–15.
- Benjamin FH (1934) Descriptions of some native trypetid flies with notes on their habits (No. 401–425). US Department of Agriculture.
- Billah MK (2004) Biosystematic Studies of *Psytalia* species (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): Parasitoids Attacking Fruit-Infesting Flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Africa (PhD Thesis). University of Ghana, Legon. 236 pp.
- Billah MK and Cudjoe AR (2020) Final Consultancy Report on “Supporting PPRSD-MoFA with SPS Consultants In Sanitary And Phytosanitary Inspection At the Kotoka International Airport”, Accra, Ghana. Commissioned Report by GiZ to Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Ghana. 29 pp.
- Billah MK and Wilson DD (2016) Integrated management of fruit flies: case studies from Ghana. In Ekesi S, Mohamed S and De Meyer M (eds), *Fruit Fly Research and Development in Africa – Towards A Sustainable Management Strategy to Improve Horticulture*. Cham: Springer, pp. 601–627.
- Billah MK, Wilson DD, Cobblah MA, Lux SA and Tumfo JA (2006) Detection and preliminary survey of the invasive fruit fly, *Bactrocera invadens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Ghana. *Journal of the Ghana Science Association* **2**, 138–144.
- Billah MK, Mansell MW, De Meyer M and Goergen G (2007) Fruit fly taxonomy and identification. In Ekesi S and Billah MK (eds), *A Field Guide to the Management of Economically Important Tephritid Fruit Flies in Africa*, 2nd Edn. Nairobi, Kenya: I.C.I.P.E. Science Press, pp. H1–19, 106 pp.
- Billah MK, Owusu EO, Biney PM, Biney K, Agboka M and Edmund JC (2010) Field Evaluation of the novel fruit fly food bait, SUCCESS® APPAT (GF-120) in mango orchards across different agro-ecological zones in Ghana. Final Technical Report. 29 pp.
- Billah MK, Oyinkah GM, Badii BK and Cobblah MA (2023) A safe haven or a temporary alternative host? – the displaced Mango fruit fly, *Ceratitidis cosyra* in the African peach plant. *West African Journal of Applied Ecology* **31**, 56–63.
- De Meyer M, White IM and Goodger KFM (2013) Notes on the frugivorous fruit fly (Diptera:Tephritidae) fauna of Western Africa, with description of a new *Dacus* species. *European Journal of Taxonomy* **50**, 1–17.
- De Meyer M, Delatte H, Mwatawala M, Quilici S, Vayssières JF and Virgilio M (2015) A review of the current knowledge on *Zeugodacus cucurbitae* (Coquillett) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Africa, with a list of species included in *Zeugodacus*. *ZooKeys* **540**, 539–557.
- ECOWAS-TEN Newsletter (2012) Mango imports from EU. Expected mango news feed, pp. 1–5. Available at www.ecowas-ten.com (Accessed 16th June, 2018).
- Ekesi S and Billah MK (eds) (2007) *A Field Guide to the Management of Economically Important Tephritid Fruit Flies in Africa*. Nairobi, Kenya: ICIPE Science Press.
- Ekesi S and Billah MK (eds) (2009) *A Field Guide to the Management of Economically Important Tephritid Fruit Flies in Africa*, 2nd Edn. Nairobi, Kenya: ICIPE Science Press. ISBN: 92-9064-179-7, pp. 3–45.

- Ekesi S, Billah MK, Nderitu PW, Lux SA and Rwomushana I** (2009) Evidence for competitive displacement of *Ceratitis cosyra* by the invasive fruit fly *Bactrocera invadens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) on mango and mechanisms contributing to the displacement. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **102**, 981–991.
- Ekesi S, De Meyer M, Mohamed SA, Virgilio M and Borgemeister C** (2016) Taxonomy, ecology, and management of native and exotic fruit fly species in Africa. *Annual Review of Entomology* **61**, 219–238.
- Epsky ND, Hendrichs J, Katsoyannos BI, Vasquez LA, Ros JP, Zümreoglu A, Pereira R, Bakri A, Seewooruthun SI and Heath RR** (1999) Field evaluation of female-targeted trapping systems for *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in seven countries. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **92**, 156–164.
- Fikru W, Firdu A and Yibrah B** (2018) Species composition of fruit flies (Tephritidae: Diptera) and extent of infestations on mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) in Western Ethiopia. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research* **8**, 104–112.
- Foba CN, Afreh-Nuamah K and Billah MK** (2012) Species composition of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in the Citrus Museum at the Agricultural Research Centre (ARC), Kade, Ghana. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* **32**, 12–23.
- Georgen G, Vayssières J-F, Gnanvossou D and Tindo M** (2011) *Bactrocera invadens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) a new invasive fruit fly pest for the Afrotropical Region. Host plant range and distribution in West and Central Africa. *Environmental Entomology* **40**, 844–845.
- Gnanvossou D, Hanna R, Goergen G, Salifu D, Tanga CM, Mohamed SA and Ekesi S** (2017) Diversity and seasonal abundance of tephritid fruit flies in three agro-ecosystems in Benin, West Africa. *Journal of Applied Entomology* **141**, 798–809.
- Grumiller J, Christoph Arndt C, Grohs H, Raza W, Staritz C and Tröster B** (2018) Strategies for sustainable upgrading in global value chains: The Ivorian and Ghanaian mango sectors, ÖFSE Policy Note, No. 25/2018, Austrian Foundation for Development Research (ÖFSE), Vienna.
- Hala N, Quilici S, Gnago AJ, N'dépo OR, N'Da Adopo A, Kouassi P and Allou K** (2006) September. Status of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Côte d'Ivoire and implications for mango exports. In *Fruit flies of economic importance: from basic to applied knowledge*, Proc. 7th Int. Symposium. Fruit Flies of Economic Importance, Salvador, Brazil (pp. 10–15).
- International Atomic Energy Agency, IAEA** (2003) *Thematic Plan for Fruit fly Control Using the sterile Insect Technique*. Vienna, Austria: IAEA Publication, TP-NA-D4-02.
- Komayire D** (2017) An assessment of current status, future trends and opportunities for improving mango production in Ghana. *Acta Horticulturae* **1183**, 365–372.
- Lux SA, Copeland RS, White IM, Manrakhan A and Billah MK** (2003b) A new invasive fruit fly species from the *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) group detected in East Africa. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* **23**, 355–361.
- Manrakhan A, Grout TG, Grove T, Daneel JH, Stephen PR, Weldon CW, De Meyer M, Carstens E and Hattingh V** (2020) A survey of *Ceratitis quinaria* (Bezzi) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in citrus production areas in South Africa. *African Entomology* **28**, 35–43.
- Mwatawala M, Maerere AP, Makundi R and De Meyer M** (2010) Incidence and host range of the melon fruit fly *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Central Tanzania. *International Journal of Pest Management* **56**, 265–273.
- Nboiyne JA, Billah MK and Afreh-Nuamah K** (2012) Species range of fruit flies associated with mango from three agro-ecological zones in Ghana. *Journal of Applied Biosciences* **52**, 3696–3703.
- Nboiyne J, Abudulai M, Nutsugah S and Badii B** (2013) Population dynamics of fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) species associated with mango in the guinea savanna agro-ecological zone of Ghana. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences ISSN: 2167-0447* **3**, 450–454.
- Ndayizeye L, Nzigidahera B and Theron CD** (2017) Effect of parapheromones on the capture of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Burundi. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology A* **7**, 413–425.
- N'Dépo OR, Hala NF, Adopo N, Coulibaly F, Kouassi K, Vayssières J-F and De Meyer M** (2013) Fruit flies (Diptera Tephritidae) populations dynamic in mango production zone of Côte d'Ivoire. *Agricultural Science Research Journal* **3**, 352–363.
- Ofori ESK, Osaé MY, Kwapong PK and Abraham J** (2023) Population dynamics of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in mango orchards in the southeastern mango enclave of Ghana. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* **43**, 2201–2213.
- Oyinkah GM** (2012) Host range, preferences and size relationship studies of fruit flies from northern Ghana (M. Phil Dissertation). University of Ghana, Legon. 105 pp.
- Savaris M, Lampert S, Lorini LM, Pereira PR and Marinoni L** (2015) Interaction between Tephritidae (Insecta, Diptera) and plants of the family Asteraceae: new host and distribution records for the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* **59**, 14–20.
- Sawadogo A, Gnankine O, Badolo A, Ouedraogo S, Dabiré R and Sanon A** (2013) First report of the fruit flies, *Ceratitis quinaria* and *Ceratitis silvestrii*, on yellow plum *Ximenia americana* in Burkina Faso, West Africa. *The Open Entomology Journal* **7**, 9–15.
- Vayssières J-F and Kalabane S** (2000) Inventory and fluctuations of the catches of Diptera Tephritidae associated with mangoes in Coastal Guinea. *Fruits* **55**, 259–270.
- Vayssières J-F, Goergen G, Lokossou O, Dossa P and Akponon C** (2005) A new *Bactrocera* species detected in Benin among mango fruit flies (Diptera Tephritidae) species. *Fruits* **60**, 371–377.
- Vayssières J-F, Rey JY and Traoré L** (2007) Distribution and host plants of *Bactrocera cucurbitae* in West and Central Africa. *Fruits* **62**, 391–396. doi: 10.1051/fruits:2007037
- Vayssières JF, Sinzogan A and Adandonos A** (2009) Assessment of damage caused to mangoes by fruit flies and calculation of the economic injury level in Benin. IITA-CIRAD leaflet No 7.
- Vayssières J-F, Sinzogan A, Adandonon A, Rey J-Y, Dieng EO, Camara K, Sangaré M, Ouedraogo S, Sidibé A, Keita Y, Gogovor G, Korie S, Coulibaly O, Kikissagbé C, Tossou A, Billah MK, Biney K, Nobime O, Diatta P, N'Dépo R, Noussourou M, Traoré L, Saizonou S and Tamo M** (2014) Annual population dynamics of mango fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in West Africa: socio-economic aspects, host phenology and implications for management. *Fruits* **69**, 207–222.
- Vayssières JF, De Meyer M, Ouagoussounon I, Sinzogan A, Adandonon A, Korie S, Wargui R, Anato F, Houngbo H, Didier C and De Bon H** (2015) Seasonal abundance of mango fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) and ecological implications for their management in mango and cashew orchards in Benin (Centre & North). *Journal of Economic Entomology* **108**, 2213–2230.
- Virgilio M, White I and De Meyer M** (2014) A set of multi-entry identification keys to African frugivorous flies (Diptera, tephritidae). *ZooKeys* **428**, 97–108.
- White IM and Elson-Harris MM** (1992) *Fruit Flies of Economic Significance: Their Identification and Bionomics*. Wallingford: CAB International, p. 601.
- Wih K** (2008) Assessment of fruit fly damage and implications for the dissemination of management practices for mango production in the upper west region of Ghana. *Journal of Developments in Sustainable Agriculture* **3**, 117–134.
- Wih K and Billah MK** (2012) Diversity of fruit flies and mealybugs in the Upper West Region of Ghana. *Journal of Developments in Sustainable Agriculture* **7**, 39–45.
- Zida I, Nacro S, Dabiré R and Somda I** (2020) Co-existence of *Bactrocera dorsalis* Hendel (Diptera: Tephritidae) and *Ceratitis cosyra* Walker (Diptera: Tephritidae) in the mango orchards in Western Burkina Faso. *Advances in Entomology* **8**, 46–55.