

MULTINOMIAL VANDERMONDE CONVOLUTION VIA PERMANENT

KIJTI RODTES 

(Received 7 July 2020; accepted 31 August 2020; first published online 6 November 2020)

Abstract

We provide a generalised Laplace expansion for the permanent function and, as a consequence, we re-prove a multinomial Vandermonde convolution. Some combinatorial identities are derived by applying special matrices to the expansion.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 05A19; secondary 15A15.

Keywords and phrases: derangement numbers, Laplace expansion, permanent, Vandermonde convolution.

1. Introduction

The Vandermonde convolution is a combinatorial identity of the form

$$\binom{m+n}{r} = \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{r,m\}} \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{r-k},$$

for any nonnegative integers r, m, n . There are many ways to prove this identity, including a proof by a combinatorial double counting principle, a geometrical proof and an algebraic proof. The identity can be extended in numerous ways. The q -binomial Vandermonde convolution form was introduced by Bender in [1], with both a partition proof and a geometric proof. Sulanke [3] extended the result of Bender to a q -multinomial Vandermonde convolution and offered a graph-theoretical proof. Zeng [4] studied multinomial convolution for a family of polynomials (including the form that appears in Corollary 3.4) and showed that multi-convolution polynomials arise as coefficients of power series in several variables.

In this article, we re-prove a multinomial Vandermonde convolution using the language of multilinear algebra. In fact, we provide a generalised Laplace expansion for the permanent function. The convolution is an immediate consequence of that expansion. An identity for the elementary symmetric functions and a relation for

The author thanks the Faculty of Science, Naresuan University, for financial support on project number P2562C033.

© 2020 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

derangement numbers are given as examples of applying special matrices to the expansion.

2. Preliminaries

Let V, W be vector spaces over \mathbb{C} , and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that an m -multilinear map $\varphi : \times^m V := V \times \dots \times V \rightarrow W$ is a map satisfying

$$\varphi(v_1, \dots, av_i + bv'_i, \dots, v_m) = a\varphi(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_m) + b\varphi(v_1, \dots, v'_i, \dots, v_m),$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $v_i, v'_i \in V$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. By the unique factorisation property of the m -fold tensor space $\otimes^m V$, any m -multilinear map φ will factor through $\otimes^m V$; that is, there exists a unique linear map $T : \otimes^m V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$\varphi(v_1, \dots, v_m) = T(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m) \quad \text{for any } v_1, \dots, v_m \in V.$$

An m -multilinear map $\psi : \times^m V \rightarrow W$ is said to be *completely symmetric* if

$$\psi(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(m)}) = \psi(v_1, \dots, v_m),$$

for all $\sigma \in S_m$ (the permutation group of degree m) and $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$. For example, the multilinear map $\chi_{\otimes} : \times^m V \rightarrow W$ defined, for each $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$, by

$$\chi_{\otimes}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(m)},$$

is completely symmetric. In particular, when $W = \otimes^m V$, by the unique factorisation property of $\otimes^m V$, there is a unique linear map $T(S_m, 1) : \otimes^m V \rightarrow \otimes^m V$ such that $\chi_{\otimes}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = T(S_m, 1)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m)$ for any $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$. This is a symmetriser and hence an orthogonal projection (with respect to the induced inner product defined below) on $\otimes^m V$ (see [2, Theorem 6.3]). The image of $\otimes^m V$ under the map $T(S_m, 1)$ is called the *m -fold completely symmetric space*, denoted by

$$T(S_m, 1)(\otimes^m V) := \vee^m V,$$

and its elements are linear combinations of vectors of the form

$$v_1 \vee \dots \vee v_m := T(S_m, 1)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(m)}.$$

Of course,

$$v_{\sigma(1)} \vee \dots \vee v_{\sigma(m)} = v_1 \vee \dots \vee v_m \tag{2.1}$$

for any $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$ and any $\sigma \in S_m$. Again, the space $\vee^m V$ is equipped with the following unique factorisation property.

PROPOSITION 2.1 [2, Theorem 6.14]. *Let V and W be vector spaces over \mathbb{C} , and let $\phi : \times^m V \rightarrow W$ be a completely symmetric multilinear map. Then, there is a unique*

linear transformation $T_1 : \vee^m V \longrightarrow W$ such that $\phi(v_1, \dots, v_m) = T_1(v_1 \vee \dots \vee v_m)$, for any $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$.

Let V be an inner product space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . Let $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal ordered basis for V . It is well known that

$$E^{\otimes} := \{e_{\alpha}^{\otimes} := e_{\alpha(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha(m)} \mid \alpha \in \Gamma_{m,n}\}$$

is an orthonormal ordered (lexicographic order) basis for $\otimes^m V$ under the induced inner product on $\otimes^m V$, defined by

$$\langle v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m, u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_m \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^m (v_i, u_i) \quad \text{for all } v_i, u_i \in V,$$

where

$$\Gamma_{m,n} = \{\alpha := (\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(m)) \in \mathbb{N}^m \mid 1 \leq \alpha(i) \leq n, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Then, $\vee^m V$ is spanned by

$$E^{\vee} = \{T(S_m, 1)(e_{\alpha}^{\otimes}) := e_{\alpha}^{\vee} := e_{\alpha(1)} \vee \dots \vee e_{\alpha(m)} \mid \alpha \in \Gamma_{m,n}\}.$$

Since $T(S_m, 1)^2 = T(S_m, 1) = T(S_m, 1)^*$, for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_{m,n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_{\alpha}^{\vee}, e_{\beta}^{\vee} \rangle &= \langle T(S_m, 1)(e_{\alpha}^{\otimes}), T(S_m, 1)(e_{\beta}^{\otimes}) \rangle = \langle T(S_m, 1)(e_{\alpha}^{\otimes}), e_{\beta}^{\otimes} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} e_{\alpha(\sigma(1))} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha(\sigma(m))}, e_{\beta(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\beta(m)} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \prod_{i=1}^m (e_{\alpha(\sigma(i))}, e_{\beta(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\langle e_{\alpha}^{\vee}, e_{\beta}^{\vee} \rangle = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \delta_{\alpha\sigma, \beta} \quad \text{for any } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_{m,n}. \tag{2.2}$$

Define the right action of S_m on $\Gamma_{m,n}$ by $\alpha \cdot \sigma := (\alpha(\sigma^{-1}(1)), \dots, \alpha(\sigma^{-1}(m)))$ for each $\sigma \in S_m$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma_{m,n}$. Then, the orbit of α is

$$\Gamma_{\alpha} := \{\alpha \cdot \sigma \mid \sigma \in S_m\} = \{\alpha \cdot \sigma^{-1} \mid \sigma \in S_m\} = \{\alpha\sigma \mid \sigma \in S_m\},$$

and the stabiliser of α is

$$G_{\alpha} := \{\sigma \in S_m \mid \alpha \cdot \sigma = \alpha\} = \{\sigma \in S_m \mid \alpha \cdot \sigma^{-1} = \alpha\} = \{\sigma \in S_m \mid \alpha\sigma = \alpha\}.$$

Let Δ be the set comprising the first element (ordered by lexicographic order) in each orbit Γ_{α} of $\Gamma_{m,n}$. For each $\alpha \in \Delta$, S_m can be partitioned as $S_m = \sqcup_{i=1}^s G_{\alpha} \tau_i$, where $S = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_s\}$ is the set of representatives of the right cosets of G_{α} in S_m . So,

$\Gamma_\alpha = \{\alpha\tau_1, \dots, \alpha\tau_s\}$ and $|\{\sigma \in S_m \mid \alpha\sigma = \alpha\tau_j\}| = |G_\alpha|$ for each $j = 1, \dots, s$. Hence,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{m,n}} f(\gamma) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\alpha} f(\gamma) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{1}{|G_\alpha|} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} f(\alpha\sigma), \tag{2.3}$$

for any function $f : \Gamma_{m,n} \rightarrow W$.

By (2.2), if $\beta \notin \Gamma_\alpha$, then $\langle e_\alpha^\vee, e_\beta^\vee \rangle = 0$. Also,

$$\|e_\alpha^\vee\|^2 = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \delta_{\alpha\sigma, \alpha} = \frac{|G_\alpha|}{m!}.$$

Then, $e_\alpha^\vee = 0$ if and only if $|G_\alpha| = 0$. Since G_α contains at least one element (the identity element), $e_\alpha^\vee \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma_{m,n}$. Let $\vee_\alpha^m(V) := \text{Span}\{e_{\alpha\sigma}^\vee \mid \sigma \in G\}$ be the orbital subspace of $\vee^m V$ associated with $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then $\vee^m V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta}^\perp \vee_\alpha^m(V)$ (orthogonal direct sum). By Freese’s theorem [2, Theorem 6.34], $\dim(\vee_\alpha^m(V)) = 1$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. Note that

$$\Delta = G_{m,n} := \{\alpha := (\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(m)) \in \Gamma_{m,n} \mid \alpha(1) \leq \dots \leq \alpha(m)\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, $\bar{E}^\vee = \{e_\alpha^\vee \mid \alpha \in G_{m,n}\}$ is an orthogonal ordered basis for $\vee^m V$.

Furthermore, for each $\alpha \in G_{m,n}$, if $\alpha = (l_1, \dots, l_1, l_2, \dots, l_2, \dots, l_k, \dots, l_k)$, where the multiplicity of l_i is m_i for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, we write α as $\alpha := (l_1^{m_1}, l_2^{m_2}, \dots, l_k^{m_k})$, where $1 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_k \leq n$ and $m_1 + \dots + m_k = m$. Note also that each element of G_α can only permute an entry of α among l_i entries. Thus, $G_\alpha \cong S_{m_1} \times \dots \times S_{m_k}$ and hence

$$|G_\alpha| = m_1! \cdots m_k! := \nu(\alpha). \tag{2.5}$$

For any matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_{m,n}$, denote by $A[\alpha|\beta]$ the matrix of size $m \times m$ constructed from A using rows and columns of A indexed by α and β , respectively. This matrix need not be a submatrix of A unless $\alpha, \beta \in Q_{m,n}$, where

$$Q_{m,n} := \{\alpha \in \Gamma_{m,n} \mid \alpha(1) < \dots < \alpha(m)\} \subset G_{m,n} \subset \Gamma_{m,n}.$$

The permanent of A is defined as $\text{per}(A) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n A_{i\sigma(i)}$ and thus

$$\text{per}(A[\alpha|\beta]) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \prod_{i=1}^m A_{\alpha(i)\beta(\sigma(i))},$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_{m,n}$.

3. Main results

Let V be an inner product space over \mathbb{C} equipped with an orthonormal basis $E := \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Let $1 \leq m \leq n$, and let $u_1, \dots, u_{n-m} \in V$ be arbitrary fixed elements. Consider a multilinear map $\Psi : \times^m V \rightarrow \vee^n V$ defined by

$$\Psi(v_1, \dots, v_m) := v_1 \vee \dots \vee v_m \vee u_1 \vee \dots \vee u_{n-m} \quad \text{for } v_1, \dots, v_m \in V.$$

By (2.1), it turns out that $\Psi(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(m)}) = \Psi(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ for any $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$. Thus, Ψ is a completely symmetric multilinear map. By Proposition 2.1, there is a

unique linear transformation $T_1 : \vee^m V \longrightarrow \vee^n V$ satisfying

$$T_1(v_1 \vee \cdots \vee v_m) = v_1 \vee \cdots \vee v_m \vee u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{n-m},$$

for each $v_1 \vee \cdots \vee v_m \in \vee^m V$.

Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma_{m,n}$. Define

$$\alpha_m := (\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(m)) \in \Gamma_{m,n} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_m^c := (\alpha(m+1), \dots, \alpha(n)) \in \Gamma_{n-m,n}, \quad (3.1)$$

and further define

$$v_i := \sum_{j=1}^n a_{\alpha_m(i)j} e_j \quad (1 \leq i \leq m) \quad \text{and} \quad u_k := \sum_{j=1}^n a_{\alpha_m^c(k)j} e_j \quad (1 \leq k \leq n-m).$$

Denote $v_1 \vee \cdots \vee v_m \vee u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{n-m} := v^\vee \vee u^\vee$. We now calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} v^\vee \vee u^\vee &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{\alpha_m(1)j} e_j \right) \vee \cdots \vee \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{\alpha_m(m)j} e_j \right) \vee \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{\alpha_m^c(1)j} e_j \right) \vee \cdots \\ &\quad \vee \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{\alpha_m^c(n-m)j} e_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n,n}} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\alpha(i)\gamma(i)} e_\gamma^\vee \quad (\text{by the multilinear property of } \vee) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in G_{n,n}} \frac{1}{\nu(\beta)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\alpha(i)\beta\sigma(i)} e_{\beta\sigma}^\vee \quad (\text{by (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5)}) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in G_{n,n}} \frac{1}{\nu(\beta)} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\alpha(i)\beta\sigma(i)} \right) e_\beta^\vee \quad (\text{by (2.1)}). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the permanent function on the matrix $A[\alpha|\beta]$, we conclude that

$$v^\vee \vee u^\vee = \sum_{\beta \in G_{n,n}} \frac{1}{\nu(\beta)} \text{per}(A[\alpha|\beta]) e_\beta^\vee. \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand, by an analogous calculation to the one given above,

$$v^\vee = v_1 \vee \cdots \vee v_m = \sum_{\theta \in G_{m,n}} \frac{1}{\nu(\theta)} \text{per}(A[\alpha_m|\theta]) e_\theta^\vee.$$

Applying T_1 to both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} v^\vee \vee u^\vee &= \sum_{\theta \in G_{m,n}} \frac{1}{\nu(\theta)} \text{per}(A[\alpha_m|\theta]) T_1(e_\theta^\vee) \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in G_{m,n}} \frac{1}{\nu(\theta)} \text{per}(A[\alpha_m|\theta]) (e_\theta^\vee \vee u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{n-m}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{\theta \in G_{m,n}} \frac{1}{v(\theta)} \text{per}(A[\alpha_m|\theta]) \left(e_\theta^\vee \vee \sum_{\gamma \in G_{n-m,n}} \frac{1}{v(\gamma)} \text{per}(A[\alpha_m^c|\gamma]) e_\gamma^\vee \right).$$

Let $\beta = (\beta(1), \dots, \beta(n)) \in G_{n,n}$, $\theta \in G_{m,n}$ and $\gamma \in G_{n-m,n}$. By (2.1), any permutation on the subscripts of $e_\theta^\vee \vee e_\gamma^\vee = e_{\theta(1)} \vee \dots \vee e_{\theta(m)} \vee e_{\gamma(1)} \vee \dots \vee e_{\gamma(n-m)}$ does not give a new element in $\vee^n V$. Then, $e_\beta^\vee = e_\theta^\vee \vee e_\gamma^\vee$ if and only if $I_\beta = I_\theta \cup I_\gamma$ as multisets, where $I_\beta := \{\beta(1), \dots, \beta(n)\}$, $I_\theta := \{\theta(1), \dots, \theta(m)\}$ and $I_\gamma := \{\gamma(1), \dots, \gamma(n-m)\}$. Define

$$G(\beta) := \{(\theta, \gamma) \in G_{m,n} \times G_{(n-m),n} \mid I_\theta \cup I_\gamma = I_\beta \text{ as multisets}\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Then,

$$v^\vee \vee u^\vee = \sum_{\beta \in G_{n,n}} \left(\sum_{(\theta, \gamma) \in G(\beta)} \frac{1}{v(\theta)v(\gamma)} \text{per}(A[\alpha_m|\theta]) \text{per}(A[\alpha_m^c|\gamma]) \right) e_\beta^\vee. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\bar{E}^\vee = \{e_\beta^\vee \mid \beta \in G_{n,n}\}$ is a basis for $\vee^n V$, comparing (3.2) and (3.4), yields a generalised Laplace expansion for the permanent function.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \leq n$. Then, for each $\alpha, \beta \in G_{n,n}$,*

$$\text{per}(A[\alpha|\beta]) = \sum_{(\theta, \gamma) \in G(\beta)} \frac{v(\beta)}{v(\theta)v(\gamma)} \text{per}(A[\alpha_m|\theta]) \text{per}(A[\alpha_m^c|\gamma]),$$

where $G(\beta)$ is defined as in (3.3) and α_m, α_m^c are defined as in (3.1).

In fact, this theorem also holds when $G_{n,n} \subseteq \Gamma_{n,n}$ is replaced by $\Gamma_{n,n}$, because per is invariant under permuting rows or columns.

In particular, if $\beta = (1, \dots, n)$, then θ and γ must have union $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Only one of θ, γ can be chosen freely, say $\theta \in G_{m,n}$. But then $\theta \in Q_{m,n}$ (because the entries of θ are parts of β with no multiplicities) and thus $\gamma = \theta^c$. That is,

$$G(\beta) = \{(\theta, \theta^c) \mid \theta \in Q_{m,n}\}.$$

Moreover, $v(\beta) = v(\theta) = v(\theta^c) = 1$ and if $\alpha_m \in Q_{m,n}$, then $A[\alpha_m^c|\theta^c] = A(\alpha_m|\theta)$ is a submatrix of A obtained by deleting rows and columns indexed by α_m and θ , respectively. Thus, by Theorem 3.1, we obtain the standard form of the Laplace expansion for the permanent function.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\rho \in Q_{m,n}$, where $1 \leq m \leq n$. Then,*

$$\text{per } A = \sum_{\theta \in Q_{m,n}} \text{per}(A[\rho|\theta]) \text{per}(A(\rho|\theta)).$$

As another point of view, we consider each $\beta \in G_{n,n}$ in the form

$$\beta = (l_1^{n_1}, \dots, l_k^{n_k}) \in G_{n,n},$$

where $l_1 < \dots < l_k$, $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$. Then, each $\theta \in G(\beta)$ must be in the form $\beta_m = (l_1^{m_1}, \dots, l_k^{m_k})$, where $0 \leq m_i \leq \min\{n_i, m\} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $m_1 + \dots + m_k = m$. The corresponding $\gamma \in G_{n-m,m}$ (since $(\theta, \gamma) \in G(\beta)$) must have

the form $\gamma = (l_1^{n_1-m_1}, \dots, l_k^{n_k-m_k}) := \beta_{\bar{m}^c}$. Thus,

$$\bar{G}(\beta) := \left\{ \bar{m} := (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \mid 0 \leq m_i \leq \min\{n_i, m\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \sum_{i=1}^k m_i = m \right\} \tag{3.5}$$

is in one-to-one correspondence with $G(\beta)$. Now, $v(\beta) = n_1! \cdots n_k!$, $v(\theta) = m_1! \cdots m_k!$ and $v(\gamma) = (n_1 - m_1)! \cdots (n_k - m_k)!$. This information leads to the following alternative version of Theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\alpha \in G_{n,n}$. Then, for each $\beta = (l_1^{n_1}, \dots, l_k^{n_k}) \in G_{n,n}$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$,*

$$\frac{\text{per}(A[\alpha|\beta])}{\prod_{j=1}^k n_j!} = \sum_{\bar{m} \in \bar{G}(\beta)} \left(\frac{\text{per}(A[\alpha_m|\beta_{\bar{m}}])}{\prod_{j=1}^k m_j!} \right) \left(\frac{\text{per}(A[\alpha_m^c|\beta_{\bar{m}^c}])}{\prod_{j=1}^k (n_j - m_j)!} \right),$$

where $\beta_{\bar{m}} := (l_1^{m_1}, \dots, l_k^{m_k})$, $\beta_{\bar{m}^c} := (l_1^{n_1-m_1}, \dots, l_k^{n_k-m_k})$ and $\bar{G}(\beta)$ is as in (3.5).

In particular, if $A := J_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the $n \times n$ matrix all of whose entries are 1, then $\text{per}(A[\alpha|\beta]) = n!$, $\text{per}(A[\alpha_m|\beta_{\bar{m}}]) = m!$ and $\text{per}(A[\alpha_m^c|\beta_{\bar{m}^c}]) = (n - m)!$, for any $\bar{m} \in \bar{G}(\beta)$. Using the notation

$$\binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_k} := \frac{n!}{n_1! \cdots n_k!}$$

and Theorem 3.3, we reach a multinomial Vandermonde convolution.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $m, n, n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $m \leq n$ and $n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$. Then*

$$\binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_k} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m_i \leq \min\{n_i, m\} \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ m_1 + \dots + m_k = m}} \binom{m}{m_1, \dots, m_k} \binom{n - m}{n_1 - m_1, \dots, n_k - m_k}.$$

Some combinatorial identities similar to the Vandermonde convolution can also be derived by applying a matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and sequences $\alpha, \beta \in G_{n,n}$ to Theorem 3.3.

EXAMPLE 3.5. Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the matrix constructed from J_n by changing the first column of J_n to the vector (x_1, \dots, x_n) , where x_1, \dots, x_n are indeterminates. Let α, β be in $G_{n,n}$, with the form $\alpha := (1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $\beta := (1^m, 2, 3, \dots, n - m + 1)$, where $1 \leq m \leq n$ is an integer. Let $\bar{m}_k := (1^{m-k}, m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \bar{G}(\beta)$, where $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \{2, 3, \dots, n - m + 1\}$ for each $k = 0, \dots, \min\{m, n - m\}$. Then,

$$\text{per}(A[\alpha_m|\beta_{\bar{m}_k}]) = \text{per} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_m & \cdots & x_m & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = (m - k)! E_{m-k}(x_1, \dots, x_m),$$

where $E_{m-k}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{m-k} \leq m} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{m-k}}$ is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree $m - k$ in the variables x_1, \dots, x_m , and

$$\text{per}(A[\alpha_m^c | \beta_{\bar{m}_k^c}]) = \text{per} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_{m+1} & \cdots & x_{m+1} & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & \cdots & x_n & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = k! E_k(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n),$$

where $E_k(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n)$ is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree k in the variables x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n . Also, $\text{per}(A[\alpha | \beta]) = m! E_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. For each k , the matrix $A[\alpha_m | \beta_{\bar{m}_k}]$ does not depend on the $\binom{n-m}{k}$ -choices of the columns indexed by m_1, \dots, m_k in $\{2, 3, \dots, n - m + 1\}$, because they are all the columns containing only 1. Substituting into Theorem 3.3, we obtain an elementary symmetric polynomial identity:

$$E_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m, n-m\}} E_{m-k}(x_1, \dots, x_m) E_k(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n). \tag{3.6}$$

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the matrix constructed from J_n by changing the diagonal of J_n to the vector $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in G_{n,n}$ have the form $\alpha := (1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $\beta := (1^m, 2, 3, \dots, n - m + 1)$, where $1 \leq m \leq n$ is an integer. Let $\bar{m}_k \in \bar{G}_\beta$ have the form $(1^{m-k}, m_1, \dots, m_k)$, where $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \{2, 3, \dots, n - m + 1\}$ for each $k = 0, \dots, \min\{m, n - m\}$. After permuting suitable rows or columns,

$$\text{per}(A[\alpha_m | \beta_{\bar{m}_k}]) = \text{per} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) := S_k^m(0),$$

where $S_k^m(0)$ denotes the permanent of the $m \times m$ matrix $J(m, k)$, all of whose entries are 1 except for the first k diagonal entries which are 0. Using similar notation, it turns out that $\text{per}(A[\alpha_m^c | \beta_{\bar{m}_k^c}]) = S_{n-m-k}^{n-m}(0)$ and $\text{per}(A[\alpha | \beta]) = S_{n-m}^n(0)$. Note that the number of zeros in the matrix $A[\alpha_m | \beta_{\bar{m}_k}]$ (and hence $A[\alpha_m^c | \beta_{\bar{m}_k^c}])$ does not depend on the $\binom{n-m}{k}$ -choices of the columns indexed by $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \{2, 3, \dots, n - m + 1\}$, because each column contains exactly one zero. Substituting into Theorem 3.3 gives the combinatorial identity:

$$S_{n-m}^n(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m, n-m\}} \binom{n-m}{k} \binom{m}{k} S_k^m(0) S_{n-m-k}^{n-m}(0). \tag{3.7}$$

In fact, the value of $S_k^m(0)$ in the above example can be calculated explicitly in terms of the rencontres numbers. The rencontres number $D_{n,r}$ is the number of permutations

of $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with exactly r fixed points. It is well known that

$$D_{n,r} = \frac{n!}{r!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-r} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{n,r} = \binom{n}{r} D_{n-r,0}.$$

Since there are $\binom{n}{r}$ sets of r points $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ from $[n]$ and each permutation is one-to-one, there are exactly $D_{n,r}/\binom{n}{r}$ permutations that have $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ as their fixed points. Note also that for the matrix $J(m, k) = (J_{ij})$, the permutations $\sigma \in S_m$ for which $\prod_{i=1}^m J_{i\sigma(i)} \neq 0$ are those with no fixed points or fixed points that are subsets of $\{k+1, \dots, m\}$. Thus,

$$S_k^m(0) = D_{m,0} + \binom{m-k}{1} \binom{m}{m} D_{m,1} + \binom{m-k}{2} \binom{m}{m} D_{m,2} + \dots + \binom{m-k}{m-k} \binom{m}{m} D_{m,m-k}$$

or, equally, for each $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \leq m$,

$$S_k^m(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-k} \binom{m-k}{i} D_{m-i,0}. \quad (3.8)$$

By (3.8), the identity (3.7) becomes a relation of derangement numbers.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for reviewing this manuscript.

References

- [1] E. Bender, 'A generalized q -binomial Vandermonde convolution', *Discrete Math.* **1** (1971), 115–119.
- [2] R. Merris, *Multilinear Algebra* (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1997).
- [3] R. A. Sulanke, 'A generalized q -multinomial Vandermonde convolution', *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **31** (1981), 33–42.
- [4] J. Zeng, 'Multinomial convolution polynomial', *Discrete Math.* **160** (1996), 219–228.

KIJTI RODTES, Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science, Naresuan University,
Phitsanulok, 65000, Thailand
e-mail: kijtir@nu.ac.th