

Dynamics of stratified turbulence decaying from a high buoyancy Reynolds number

A. Maffioli^{1,†} and P. A. Davidson¹

¹Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1PZ, UK

(Received 16 December 2014; revised 17 October 2015; accepted 6 November 2015;
first published online 1 December 2015)

We present direct numerical simulations (DNS) of unforced stratified turbulence with the objective of testing the strongly stratified turbulence theory. According to this theory the characteristic vertical scale of the turbulence is given by $\ell_v \sim u_h/N$, where u_h is the horizontal velocity scale and N the Brunt–Väisälä frequency. Combined with the hypothesis of the energy dissipation rate scaling as $\epsilon \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$, this theory predicts inertial range scalings for the horizontal spectrum of horizontal kinetic energy and of potential energy, according to $E(k_h) \propto k_h^{-5/3}$. We begin by presenting a scaling analysis of the horizontal vorticity equation from which we recover the result regarding the vertical scale, $\ell_v \sim u_h/N$, highlighting in the process the important dynamical role of large-scale vertical shear of horizontal velocity. We then present the results from decaying DNS, which show a good agreement with aspects of the theory. In particular, the vertical Froude number is found to reach a constant plateau in time, of the form $Fr_v = u_h/(N\ell_v) = C$ with $C = O(1)$ in all the runs. The derivation of the dissipation scaling $\epsilon \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ at low Reynolds number in the context of decaying stratified turbulence highlights that the same scaling holds at high $\mathcal{R} = ReFr_h^2 \gg 1$ as well as at low $\mathcal{R} \ll 1$, which is known (see Brethouwer *et al.*, *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 585, 2007, pp. 343–368) but not sufficiently emphasized in recent literature. We find evidence in our DNS of the dissipation scaling holding at $\mathcal{R} = O(1)$, which we interpret as being in the viscous regime. We also find $\epsilon_k \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ and $\epsilon_p \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ (with $\epsilon = \epsilon_k + \epsilon_p$), in our high-resolution run at earlier times corresponding to $\mathcal{R} = O(10)$, which is in the transition between the strongly stratified and the viscous regimes. The horizontal spectrum of horizontal kinetic energy collapses in time using the scaling $E_h(k_h) = C_1 \epsilon_k^{2/3} k_h^{-5/3}$ and the horizontal potential energy spectrum is well described by $E_p(k_h) = C_2 \epsilon_p \epsilon_k^{-1/3} k_h^{-5/3}$. The presence of an inertial range in the horizontal direction is confirmed by the constancy of the energy flux spectrum over narrow ranges of k_h . However, the vertical energy spectrum is found to differ significantly from the expected $E_h(k_v) \sim N^2 k_v^{-3}$ scaling, showing that Fr_v is not of order unity on a scale-by-scale basis, thus providing motivation for further investigation of the vertical structure of stratified turbulence.

Key words: atmospheric flows, stratified turbulence, turbulent flows

† Email address for correspondence: maffioli@mech.kth.se

1. Introduction

The atmosphere and oceans present strong stable density stratification over significant portions of their height and depth. These systems are also affected by the Earth's rotation, although it has a much smaller influence than the stratification. In terms of the flow dynamics, as highlighted by Riley & Lelong (2000), 'when turbulence is generated in the presence of stable density stratification, it often occurs locally and without a continuous source of energy' as a result of local Kelvin–Helmholtz (KH) instability or breaking of internal gravity waves (IGWs). The study of decaying stratified turbulence is therefore of most interest. Comparatively few previous studies of decaying stratified turbulence exist, in terms of both experiments (Fincham, Maxworthy & Spedding 1996; Praud, Fincham & Sommeria 2005) and numerical simulations (Riley & de Bruyn Kops 2003; Bartello & Tobias 2013). On the other hand, forced stratified turbulence has received considerable attention, particularly in the case of numerical studies where the achievement of statistical stationarity is desirable (see e.g. Smith & Waleffe 2002; Laval, McWilliams & Dubrulle 2003; Waite & Bartello 2004; Lindborg 2006; Brethouwer *et al.* 2007; Waite 2011; Almalkie & de Bruyn Kops 2012; Augier, Billant & Chomaz 2015). Most of the recent numerical studies of forced stratified turbulence have employed purely horizontal forcing to avoid direct excitation of IGWs, and force modes with $k_z = 0$ to avoid selecting a vertical scale, two conditions that are hardly met in nature (neither KH instability nor IGW breaking leads to this kind of forcing). Moreover, the forcing directly excites a certain horizontal scale, which will therefore not be dynamically selected.

The strongly stratified turbulence theory was developed by a number of researchers in the first decade of this century. The first step was the work of Billant & Chomaz (2001), who postulated that the vertical length scale of the turbulence should be $\ell_v = u_h/N$ and found a new set of reduced order equations. In this paper, the vertical spectrum of horizontal kinetic energy is predicted to be $E_h(k_v) \sim N^2 k_v^{-3}$; this form of the vertical spectrum had classically been found by Dewan & Good (1986), who interpreted it as being due to saturation of internal gravity waves. In the following years, Lindborg (2006) made the hypothesis that horizontal kinetic energy and potential energy are approximately equipartitioned and used $\epsilon_k \sim \epsilon_p \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ (ϵ_k is the viscous dissipation while ϵ_p is the dissipation due to diffusion of buoyancy) in his analysis to find that the horizontal spectrum of horizontal kinetic energy should scale as $E_h(k_h) \sim \epsilon_k^{2/3} k_h^{-5/3}$ and the horizontal spectrum of potential energy as $E_p(k_h) \sim (\epsilon_p/\epsilon_k^{1/3}) k_h^{-5/3}$. Atmospheric spectra were explained using the strongly stratified turbulence theory by Riley & Lindborg (2008). The strongly stratified turbulence regime is subject to the conditions $Fr_h = u_h/(N\ell_h) \ll 1$ and $\mathcal{R} = Re Fr_h^2 \gg 1$ ($Re = u_h \ell_h/\nu$ is the Reynolds number based on horizontal scales). The latter condition is equivalent to the buoyancy Reynolds number condition, $Re_b = \epsilon_k/(\nu N^2) \gg 1$, as discussed by Brethouwer *et al.* (2007).

At this point it is necessary to consider the recent literature behind the condition $\mathcal{R} = Re Fr_h^2 \gg 1$ and the difference between \mathcal{R} and the buoyancy Reynolds number $Re_b = \epsilon_k/(\nu N^2)$. The importance of the buoyancy Reynolds number in geophysical turbulence and in stratified mixing layers was emphasized by Smyth & Moum (2000). Taking a more theoretical standpoint, Billant & Chomaz (2001) considered the importance of diffusive effects on strongly stratified turbulence and identified the vertical diffusion component as a major contributor to viscous effects, but only if the condition $\mathcal{R} \gg 1$ is not met. Following this paper, an important contribution was made by Riley & de Bruyn Kops (2003), who identified the local gradient Richardson number Ri_g as an important non-dimensional parameter in stratified turbulence. In

particular they found that the local Richardson number has to be small in some areas of the flow in order for turbulence to develop, with the formation of KH instabilities. In their article Riley & de Bruyn Kops (2003) also suggested that $Ri_g \sim 1/\mathcal{R}$ describes well their simulations and a wider range of stratified turbulence problems. The route to the development of strongly stratified turbulence starting from Taylor–Green vortices was further pursued by Hebert & de Bruyn Kops (2006), who confirmed that, in the horizontal plane of maximum vertical shear, $Ri_g \approx 1/\mathcal{R}$. They also found that, in this horizontal plane, $\mathcal{R} \sim Re_b$, leading to $Ri_g \sim \nu N^2/\epsilon_k$. Moreover, the buoyancy Reynolds number Re_b has more recently emerged (see Brethouwer *et al.* 2007; Almalkie & de Bruyn Kops 2012; Bartello & Tobias 2013) as another measure of the relative importance of viscous effects in strongly stratified turbulence. Again the condition $Re_b \gg 1$ is necessary for strongly stratified turbulence without viscous effects. However, the two non-dimensional parameters \mathcal{R} and Re_b are only equivalent when the dissipation scaling $\epsilon_k \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ holds.

This brings us back to the main focus of the paper, the conditions $\epsilon_k \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ and $\epsilon_p \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ on which much of the strongly stratified turbulence theory resides (see Lindborg 2006). These conditions stem from the evolution equation of total energy in purely decaying stratified turbulence, $dE_{tot}/dt = -\epsilon$ where $\epsilon = \epsilon_k + \epsilon_p$ and $E_{tot} = E_k + E_p$, E_k is the kinetic energy and E_p the potential energy, and all quantities are volume averages. If, by virtue of the strong anisotropy in the velocity components and length scales of stratified turbulence, we set $E_k \sim u_h^2$ and choose a typical time scale for energy cascade to be $\tau \sim \ell_h/u_h$, the dissipation scaling is recovered after invoking equipartition, $E_k \sim E_p$, and using the convective time scale τ also for the dissipation of buoyancy and potential energy. This dissipation scaling has been used by many authors (see Lindborg 2006; Brethouwer *et al.* 2007; Riley & Lindborg 2008) to estimate the horizontal length scale as $\ell_h \sim u_h^3/\epsilon$. The only evidence of this scaling holding in decaying stratified turbulence comes from Hebert & de Bruyn Kops (2006), but this study is limited to a horizontal plane where very large vertical shear is present and does not consider the entire simulation domain. The equivalent form $\epsilon \sim u^3/\ell$ is well established in homogeneous, isotropic turbulence, with extensive experimental and numerical evidence (Yeung & Zhou 1997; Davidson 2004). The dissipation scaling $\epsilon \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ was predicted to be valid also at low \mathcal{R} by Godoy-Diana, Chomaz & Billant (2004) and Brethouwer *et al.* (2007), a fact that is sometimes overshadowed in the literature on strongly stratified turbulence.

Concerning spectra in the horizontal direction, Bartello & Tobias (2013) found that the spectra are independent of stratification and of buoyancy Reynolds number only if $Re_b > 10$. They then found a $k_h^{-5/3}$ -scaling of the horizontal spectra for their most stratified case satisfying this condition on Re_b . It is important to note that their definition of buoyancy Reynolds number is $Re(\ell_v/\ell_h)^2$, which is different to the above definition based on ϵ_k .

The aim of this paper is to understand the applicability of the four predictions of the strongly stratified turbulence theory: $Fr_v \sim 1$, $\epsilon \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$, horizontal spectra scaling as $E_h(k_h) \sim \epsilon_k^{2/3} k_h^{-5/3}$ and $E_p(k_h) \sim (\epsilon_p/\epsilon_k^{1/3}) k_h^{-5/3}$ and vertical spectra scaling as $E_h(k_v) \sim N^2 k_v^{-3}$. Our first step is to consider the full vorticity equation and provide a scaling analysis in the limit of high \mathcal{R} , as presented in § 2. We then continue with presenting direct numerical simulations (DNS) of stratified turbulence, starting with the numerical methodology and description of runs in § 3. The main results are presented in § 4, where we consider the validity of $Fr_v \sim 1$ in our runs, the dissipation scaling for ϵ_k and ϵ_p and the horizontal spectra of horizontal kinetic energy and potential energy. This section ends with a look at the vertical spectra of the stratified turbulent flows that

have been simulated. A discussion section follows in § 5 in which the anisotropy of the r.m.s. velocity components is considered at high \mathcal{R} , and finally concluding remarks are given in § 6.

2. Scaling analysis of the vorticity equation in the limit of high \mathcal{R}

We start by presenting a scaling analysis of the vorticity equation. This has the advantage of not requiring any assumption regarding the scaling of the pressure term, as this term is eliminated when we take the curl of the momentum equation. In this analysis we assume from the outset that $\ell_v \ll \ell_h$ so that layers are present in the flow, whereas Billant & Chomaz (2001) took the aspect ratio $\alpha = \ell_v/\ell_h$ as a free parameter and took $Fr_h \ll 1$ (a condition we do not require *a priori*).

As mentioned above, our starting point is the vorticity equation that is obtained by taking the curl of the Boussinesq momentum equation. We divide the vorticity equation into two equations, one valid for the horizontal component of vorticity $\omega_h = \omega_x e_x + \omega_y e_y$, and the other valid for the vertical component of vorticity ω_z :

$$\frac{\partial \omega_h}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_h = \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_h - \mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla b + \nu \nabla^2 \omega_h \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \omega_z = \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla u_z + \nu \nabla^2 \omega_z \quad (2.2)$$

$$\nabla_h \cdot \mathbf{u}_h + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla b = -N^2 u_z \quad (2.4)$$

where \mathbf{u} is the velocity, $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$ the vorticity, $b = -\rho'g/\rho_0$ the buoyancy (with $\mathbf{g} = -g\mathbf{e}_z$ being the gravitational acceleration), ν the kinematic viscosity and $N = \sqrt{-(g/\rho_0) d\bar{\rho}/dz}$ the Brunt–Väisälä frequency that quantifies the density stratification. The total density is thus $\rho = \rho_0 + \bar{\rho} + \rho'$, the first term being a reference density, the second being the linear background density profile and the third being the perturbation density from the linear profile. We have added mass conservation and the buoyancy equation without diffusive effects to this set as they are necessary for the analysis.

We note in passing that the vertical vorticity equation simplifies to the advection equation $D\omega_z/Dt = 0$ if we neglect viscous effects and take the vortex stretching to be small compared to horizontal advection of ω_z . This in turn is the form of the potential vorticity (PV) conservation equation for the linear PV component if we neglect viscous and diffusive effects (Riley & Lelong 2000).

We now focus on the integral scale contributions to (2.1)–(2.4), and make the main assumption of the scaling and set $\ell_v \ll \ell_h$; this assumption is based on the observation in laboratory experiments, numerical simulations and indeed in the atmosphere that turbulence in a stratified fluid brings about the formation of pancake eddies or layers with vertical scales much smaller than their horizontal scales. Now, from the continuity equation we see that $u_v \sim u_h \ell_v/\ell_h$. Therefore the scaling for the horizontal vorticity is $\omega_h \sim u_h/\ell_v + u_v/\ell_h \sim u_h/\ell_v [1 + (\ell_v/\ell_h)^2] \approx u_h/\ell_v$. The scaling for the vertical vorticity is $\omega_z \sim u_h/\ell_h$. We take time to scale as a horizontal advective time scale, $t \sim \ell_h/u_h$, which amounts to filtering out any internal gravity waves (unless their time scale is very low and of the order of ℓ_h/u_h). Finally, the scaling for the buoyancy b is taken as $b \sim N^2 \ell_v$; this follows directly from (2.4) using continuity, $u_v/\ell_v \sim u_h/\ell_h$.

We now focus on the horizontal vorticity equation and provide scalings for every term in the equation:

$$\text{Advection: } \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega}_h \sim u_h \frac{u_h}{\ell_h \ell_v} + u_v \frac{u_h}{\ell_v^2} = \frac{u_h^2}{\ell_h \ell_v} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\text{Vortex stretching: } \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_h \sim \frac{u_h u_h}{\ell_v \ell_h} + \frac{u_h u_h}{\ell_h \ell_v} = \frac{u_h^2}{\ell_h \ell_v} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\text{Buoyancy term: } \nabla b \times \mathbf{e}_z \sim \frac{N^2 \ell_v}{\ell_h} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\text{Viscous diffusion: } \nu \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\omega}_h \sim \nu \left(\frac{u_h}{\ell_h^2 \ell_v} + \frac{u_h}{\ell_v^3} \right) = \frac{\nu u_h}{\ell_v^3} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\ell_v}{\ell_h} \right)^2 \right] \approx \frac{\nu u_h}{\ell_v^3}. \tag{2.8}$$

It is clear that the first two terms – advection and vortex stretching – have the same order of magnitude under the assumptions made. We are interested in the form the equations assume at high Reynolds number in the inviscid limit. Therefore we form a stratified Reynolds number by calculating the ratio of the advective term and the viscous term and set this term to be much greater than unity, so that the viscous term can be neglected:

$$\frac{\text{advection}}{\text{viscous diffusion}} \sim \frac{u_h^2 / \ell_h \ell_v}{\nu u_h / \ell_v^3} = \frac{u_h \ell_v^2}{\nu \ell_h} \gg 1. \tag{2.9}$$

Finally, because we are interested in the dynamics strongly influenced by stratification, we equate the buoyancy term with the advection term. This leads to

$$\frac{N^2 \ell_v}{\ell_h} \sim \frac{u_h^2}{\ell_h \ell_v} \tag{2.10}$$

from which

$$Fr_v = \frac{u_h}{N \ell_v} \sim 1. \tag{2.11}$$

We have thus recovered the $Fr_v = O(1)$ scaling proposed by many authors (Billant & Chomaz 2001; Lindborg 2006; Brethouwer *et al.* 2007). It follows from this result that $Fr_h = u_h / N \ell_h \sim \ell_v / \ell_h \ll 1$ using our assumption of layered flow. So indeed the horizontal Froude number has to be low for the scalings to hold. If we further substitute the vertical scale obtained in this way, $\ell_v \sim u_h / N$, into the expression of (2.9) we have

$$\frac{\text{advection}}{\text{viscous diffusion}} \sim \frac{u_h (u_h / N)^2}{\nu \ell_h} = \frac{u_h \ell_h}{\nu} \left(\frac{u_h}{N \ell_h} \right)^2 = Re Fr_h^2 = \mathcal{R}. \tag{2.12}$$

Evidently we require $\mathcal{R} = Re Fr_h^2 \gg 1$ to ensure that the viscous terms may be neglected.

3. Numerical methodology

We now discuss DNS of decaying stratified turbulence. The numerical methodology we employ consists in solving the Boussinesq set of equations directly for a linearly stratified fluid. The Boussinesq set of equations can be written as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p + b \mathbf{e}_z + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla b = -N^2 u_z + \mathcal{D} \nabla^2 b, \quad (3.3)$$

where p is the perturbation pressure from the hydrostatic pressure distribution, \mathcal{D} is the buoyancy diffusivity and the remaining symbols have already been defined. Equations (3.1)–(3.3) are solved using a pseudo-spectral method based on Rogallo's algorithm (Rogallo 1981). Time advancement is carried out using a second-order Runge–Kutta predictor–corrector integration scheme, while the viscous and diffusive terms are integrated exactly by using suitable integrating factors. De-aliasing of the nonlinear terms is performed using a combination of truncation and phase shifting. The simulations are performed in rectangular domains with $L_x = L_y > L_z$, with vertical to horizontal length ratios of 1/6, with the exception of one run at higher resolution where the box aspect ratio is 1/4. The resolution of the simulations is chosen so that the grid spacing is isotropic, i.e. $\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z$.

We would like to capture dynamics associated with both potential vorticity and internal gravity waves. Previous decaying simulations with Taylor–Green vortices (see Riley & de Bruyn Kops 2003) were skewed towards vortical motions. However, internal gravity waves have been found to be important in creating organized structures as shown recently by Maffioli *et al.* (2014) for localized patches of turbulence. The runs are therefore initialized with isotropic random-phase velocity fields satisfying

$$E(k) = U_2 k^4 \exp(-k^2/k_p^2), \quad (3.4)$$

where $E(k) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{u}}^* \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}} \rangle / 2$ is the 3D energy spectrum and the $\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle$ averaging is over spherical shells at radius k . For all runs we take $U_2 = 0.22$ and $k_p = 5$, giving a narrow spectrum peaking at $\sqrt{2}k_p \approx 7$. We choose the viscosity, and hence the initial Reynolds number, in order to ensure that our fields are well resolved. We use the condition $k_{max}\eta > 1$ (k_{max} is the maximum resolved wavenumber after truncation of the highest modes and $\eta = (\nu^3/\epsilon_k)^{1/4}$ is the Kolmogorov length scale) as the criterion for good resolution of the dissipation scales (as delineated by Eswaran & Pope (1988) and de Bruyn Kops & Riley (1998)), and this condition is met at all times throughout the evolution of the turbulent field. This is a severe requirement considering that η , after an initial drop as more small-scale turbulence is generated, grows steadily throughout a decaying run. Some trial-and-error was required to obtain the desired value for ν as the minimum in η was not known *a priori*. A typical plot of $k_{max}\eta$ throughout run R80F0.2 (see table 1) is given in figure 1; by the end of the run $k_{max}\eta = 2.9$.

The various runs are listed in table 1 together with non-dimensional parameters at the peak in kinetic energy dissipation when small scales have formed. From this moment onwards the simulation approaches 'real' decaying stratified turbulence and hence values for the important parameters are given here and not at the start of the simulation. The horizontal Froude number for all cases is $Fr_{h,ss} = O(1)$ so that initially stratification is not dominant but it will become so as the turbulence decays further. This is the situation that is thought to occur in the atmosphere and oceans with vigorous turbulent outbursts that then decay until $Fr_h \ll 1$, and stratification dominates as discussed by Riley & Lelong (2000). In order to obtain $Fr_{h,ss} = O(1)$ the initial Froude number was also of order one, which justifies the use of isotropic initial conditions. We use a single grid resolution for the initial four runs – denoted R1.8kF1.8, R330F0.6, R80F0.2 and R26F0.1 following their 'stratified Reynolds




FIGURE 1. (Colour online) Evolution of $k_{max}\eta$ in time for run R80F0.2.

Run	$N_x = N_y$	N_z	Re_{ss}	$Fr_{h,ss}$	\mathcal{R}_{ss}	$Re_{b,ss}$	\mathcal{R}_{end}	t_{end}/τ_0
R1.8kF1.8	1536	256	570	1.76	1770	790	1.0	117.3
R330F0.6	1536	256	860	0.62	330	170	1.5	65.8
R80F0.2	1536	256	1410	0.24	80	30	1.7	40.3
R26F0.1	1536	256	1820	0.12	26	4.2	0.7	36.5
R49F0.1	2048	512	2220	0.15	49	13	6.3	15.7

TABLE 1. DNS runs including horizontal and vertical resolutions and parameters at the peak in kinetic energy dissipation (denoted by the subscript *ss*, standing for ‘small scales’). The runs are denoted by their values of \mathcal{R}_{ss} and $Fr_{h,ss}$. The initial eddy turnover time is $\tau_0 = \ell_0/u_0$.

number’ and horizontal Froude number when small scales have formed. A higher resolution run is also performed, R49F0.1. All runs have $\mathcal{R}_{ss} \gg 1$ and, importantly, $\mathcal{R} > 10$; this is the condition to have strongly stratified turbulence, together of course with $Fr_h \ll 1$, which will be attained as turbulence decays. Also the buoyancy Reynolds number is high when small scales have formed, and all runs have $Re_{b,ss} \gg 1$ except run R26F0.1, where $Re_{b,ss} = 4.2$. All cases are run up to when \mathcal{R} is of order one. Hence we cover the entire evolution of strongly stratified turbulence. The only exception is the high-resolution run R49F0.1, which was run for a smaller amount of physical time, reaching a final $\mathcal{R}_{end} = 6.3$.

The buoyancy field is initialized with $b = 0$ everywhere. This results in high-frequency internal gravity waves with $\varpi = N$ being generated at $t = 0$ that affect the u_z and b fields as the buoyancy field reacts to having large vertical velocities initially. The horizontal length scale ℓ_h presented in what follows is an integral length scale obtained from the transverse velocity correlations:

$$\ell_x = \frac{1}{\langle u_y^2 \rangle} \int_0^{r_0} \langle u_y(\mathbf{x})u_y(\mathbf{x} + r\mathbf{e}_x) \rangle dr, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\ell_y = \frac{1}{\langle u_x^2 \rangle} \int_0^{r_0} \langle u_x(\mathbf{x})u_x(\mathbf{x} + r\mathbf{e}_y) \rangle dr, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\ell_h = \frac{1}{2}(\ell_x + \ell_y), \quad (3.7)$$

where r_0 is the horizontal separation at which the velocity correlation first becomes equal to zero and $\langle \dots \rangle$ now represents a volume average throughout the computational domain. The vertical length scale ℓ_v is obtained in a similar fashion using the transverse correlations of horizontal velocity:

$$\ell_z^{(x)} = \frac{1}{\langle u_x^2 \rangle} \int_0^{r_0} \langle u_x(\mathbf{x})u_x(\mathbf{x} + r\mathbf{e}_z) \rangle dr, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\ell_z^{(y)} = \frac{1}{\langle u_y^2 \rangle} \int_0^{r_0} \langle u_y(\mathbf{x})u_y(\mathbf{x} + r\mathbf{e}_z) \rangle dr, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\ell_v = \frac{1}{2}(\ell_z^{(x)} + \ell_z^{(y)}). \quad (3.10)$$

The spectra presented throughout the paper are one-dimensional spectra found by summation over ‘planar shells’:

$$E_h^{(x)}(k_h) = \sum_{\substack{|k_x|=k_h \\ k_y \\ k_z}} \frac{1}{2}(\hat{u}_x\hat{u}_x^* + \hat{u}_y\hat{u}_y^*), \quad (3.11)$$

$$E_h^{(y)}(k_h) = \sum_{\substack{k_x \\ |k_y|=k_h \\ k_z}} \frac{1}{2}(\hat{u}_x\hat{u}_x^* + \hat{u}_y\hat{u}_y^*), \quad (3.12)$$

$$E_h(k_h) = \frac{1}{2}(E_h^{(x)}(k_h) + E_h^{(y)}(k_h)), \quad (3.13)$$

$$E_h(k_v) = \sum_{\substack{k_x \\ k_y \\ |k_z|=k_v}} \frac{1}{2}(\hat{u}_x\hat{u}_x^* + \hat{u}_y\hat{u}_y^*), \quad (3.14)$$

where $*$ denotes complex conjugation. In these definitions we use only horizontal velocity components because most of the strongly stratified theory has been developed considering only horizontal velocities and taking $E_k \sim u_h^2$ as done by Lindborg (2006). The potential energy spectra are computed in an analogous fashion by summation of $\hat{b}^*\hat{b}/(2N^2)$.

4. Results

4.1. Visualization of flow field and emergence of strongly stratified turbulence

The turbulence simulations were initialized with isotropic velocity fields and zero density perturbations. When the stratification is abruptly switched on at $t = 0$ the turbulence takes some time to adjust to the vertical restoring force caused by the linear background density profile. The global effect is that $\langle u_z^2 \rangle$ slowly drops while buoyancy $\langle b^2 \rangle$ is generated. As is evident from figure 2, this process takes the form both of high-frequency exchanges of kinetic and potential energy (the oscillations on E_p and $\langle u_z^2 \rangle/2$ correspond to $\overline{\omega} = N$ internal gravity waves as observed by Maffioli *et al.* (2014) in their simulations of a turbulent cloud with stratification) and of a slower nonlinear process on a time scale similar to the initial turnover time τ_0 .

The effect of the stratification on the turbulence structure is apparent if we turn to visualizations of vertical and horizontal slices through the parallelepiped-shaped domains (see figures 3 and 4). At the peak in dissipation the buoyancy field is highly




FIGURE 2. (Colour online) Evolution of box-integrated kinetic energy components and potential energy for case R26F0.1. The horizontal velocity $u_h = \sqrt{\langle(u_x^2 + u_y^2)\rangle}/2$ so that u_h^2 is the total horizontal kinetic energy and $\langle u_z^2 \rangle/2$ is the vertical kinetic energy. The potential energy in our runs is given by $E_p = \langle b^2 \rangle/2N^2$.




FIGURE 3. (Colour online) Visualizations of the buoyancy field in vertical slices corresponding to the central x - z plane for run R26F0.1 at three different times: (a) $t = 4.4\tau_0$ (close to the peak in dissipation), (b) $t = 10\tau_0$, (c) $t = 23\tau_0$. Negative buoyancy goes from dark blue to light blue with a transition in the greys to positive buoyancy going from orange to yellow.

turbulent and approximately isotropic (see figure 3a), but as time progresses and the turbulence dies down, Fr_h drops and we see the emergence of flattened structures from $t = 10\tau_0$ onward, while the flow visualized in the horizontal slice in figure 4(b) remains approximately isotropic. At a late time, $t = 23\tau_0$, we have reached $\mathcal{R} = O(1)$, and in addition to the continued layering of the turbulence we have clearly a transition to a more viscously dominated flow, with diffuse structures and reduced b -gradients (see figures 3c and 4c).




FIGURE 4. (Colour online) Horizontal slices of the buoyancy field (central x - y plane) for run R26F0.1 at three different times: (a) $t = 4.4\tau_0$, (b) $t = 10\tau_0$, (c) $t = 23\tau_0$.




FIGURE 5. (Colour online) Visualizations of b in a vertical slice (central x - z plane) at $t = 23\tau_0$ for four DNS runs considered: (a) R1.8kF1.8, (b) R330F0.6, (c) R80F0.2, (d) R26F0.1.

Moving on to a comparison of the four low-resolution runs initialized with different Froude numbers at the same non-dimensional time, we see from figure 5 that the layering is more apparent as $Fr_{h,ss}$ is lowered (the instantaneous Fr_h in the four images is decreasing from figure 5(a) to (d)). In addition, we have the interesting feature that the high- $Fr_{h,ss}$ runs (R1.8kF1.8 and R330F0.6) still appear vigorously turbulent at this stage, while the low- $Fr_{h,ss}$ cases (R80F0.2 and R26F0.1) appear to be in a viscous regime. This observation can be explained if we consider the value of \mathcal{R} at this time for the different runs: $\mathcal{R} = 8.9$ for case R1.8kF1.8, $\mathcal{R} = 6.3$ for case R330F0.6, $\mathcal{R} = 3.1$ for case R80F0.2 and $\mathcal{R} = 1.6$ for case R26F0.1. Hence runs R1.8kF1.8 and R330F0.6 still marginally satisfy $\mathcal{R} \gg 1$ at this time and still constitute strongly stratified turbulence, while runs R80F0.2 and R26F0.1 have $\mathcal{R} \sim 1$ and they are in a transition phase between the highly turbulent and the viscous regimes of stratified turbulence.




FIGURE 6. (Colour online) Evolution of vertical Froude number at times for which $\mathcal{R} > 7$ for DNS runs. Full Fr_v evolution is given in the inset.

Having obtained a visual appreciation of the stratified turbulence under investigation, we now characterize it quantitatively, looking in particular at the energy dissipation rate, at correlation length scales and at energy spectra.

4.2. Vertical Froude number

One of the main results of our scaling analysis in § 2 is the recovery of the vertical scale relation $\ell_v \sim u_h/N$ as found in the original scaling analysis of the momentum equation by Billant & Chomaz (2001). Of course, this result holds only for high buoyancy Reynolds numbers, or for high values of the parameter $\mathcal{R} = ReFr_h^2$. However, our runs were intentionally stopped when \mathcal{R} falls to order unity, allowing us to check whether ℓ_v scales as the buoyancy scale. When $\mathcal{R} = O(1)$ we expect there to be a transition from the scaling $\ell_v \sim u_h/N$ to a viscous scaling. This means that, if we plot Fr_v as a function of time, we expect it to reach a constant value while $\mathcal{R} \gg 1$. Considering our visualizations in figure 5, the stratified turbulence in our DNS runs appears to change character and be less vigorous at values of $\mathcal{R} < 6.3$. We therefore substitute the condition $\mathcal{R} > 7$ for the more stringent (but not quantifiable) condition $\mathcal{R} \gg 1$, and plot the vertical Froude number as a function of time only for times at which this condition is met. This is done in figure 6 and it is clear that $Fr_v = \text{const}$ for all runs and the asymptotic value is similar in all cases, at $Fr_v = 0.34\text{--}0.37$. We also show the full evolution of Fr_v (inset panel in figure 6); this shows a slow variation of Fr_v at late times (especially true for the low- Fr_0 case F0.16) as the stratified turbulence is more affected by vertical viscous diffusion. This is accordance with a transition at $\mathcal{R} = O(1)$ between strongly stratified turbulence and a viscously dominated regime.

All in all, the simulations provide convincing evidence that, after an initial transition from random-phase velocity fields to fully developed stratified turbulence, the vertical Froude number is of order one. This is one of the central claims of the strongly stratified turbulence theory and, to our knowledge, it had not been verified in the context of freely decaying simulations.




FIGURE 7. (Colour online) (a) Total energy dissipation $\epsilon = \epsilon_k + \epsilon_p$ normalized by u_h^3/ℓ_h as a function of time for four runs at different initial Fr . The vertical black segment indicates, for each run, the bound between times at which $\mathcal{R} > 7$ and later times at which $\mathcal{R} < 7$. (b) The viscous dissipation and diffusive dissipation normalized analogously for run R49F0.1 with the same bound indicated on the plot.

4.3. Dissipation scaling

It has been suggested by numerous authors that in stratified turbulence both the viscous dissipation ϵ_k and the diffusive dissipation $\epsilon_p = (\mathcal{D}/N^2)(\partial b/\partial x_i)(\partial b/\partial x_i)$ scale with horizontal length and velocity scales:

$$\epsilon_k = A_k \frac{u_h^3}{\ell_h}, \quad A_k = \text{const}; \quad \epsilon_p = A_p \frac{u_h^3}{\ell_h}, \quad A_p = \text{const}. \quad (4.1a,b)$$

Both constants A_k and A_p are expected to be finite and of order one.

In figure 7(a) we show the total energy dissipation rate $\epsilon = \epsilon_k + \epsilon_p$ averaged throughout the simulation domain and normalized by u_h^3/ℓ_h as a function of time for the four runs at the lower resolution. According to the relations (4.1) the quantity

$\epsilon \ell_h / u_h^3$ should reach a constant value of order one. We see that this is indeed the case at late times; however, both \mathcal{R} and the buoyancy Reynolds number are close to 1 at these times and therefore we expect viscosity to be playing a role here. To clarify further that our four lower resolution simulations are not in the strongly stratified turbulence regime when the curves flatten out, we apply the same bound used in the previous section to test $Fr_v \sim 1$. The portions of the four curves presenting a close-to-constant non-dimensional dissipation are all clearly beyond the bound $\mathcal{R} = 7$ (which corresponds to a buoyancy Reynolds number $Re_b \approx 2$).

To understand this apparently contradictory result, we consider the opposite limit to the one treated in the above scaling analysis in § 2, namely the limit of strong viscous dissipation $\mathcal{R} \ll 1$. In this limit, experiments on stratified turbulence (Fincham *et al.* 1996) show that vertical diffusion dominates the viscous dissipation and that the flow is still highly anisotropic and composed of pancake structures with $\ell_v \ll \ell_h$. Given that this flow regime is dominated by the action of viscosity in the vertical direction, we take the vertical length scale to obey a viscous diffusion process $\ell_v \sim \sqrt{\nu t}$, similarly to Godoy-Diana *et al.* (2004). We also make the assumption that the total dissipation is well described by $\epsilon \sim u_h^3 / \ell_h$, which is what has to be later verified in the scalings. Hence the sum of kinetic and potential energy obeys the evolution equation $dE_{tot}/dt = -Au_h^3/\ell_h$. Now, invoking equipartition, $E_k \sim E_p$, with $E_k \sim u_h^2$ and $E_p \sim b^2/N^2$, we arrive at the evolution equation for the horizontal kinetic energy

$$\frac{du_h^2}{dt} = -A_k \frac{u_h^3}{\ell_h}, \quad u_h^2 \sim t^{-n}, \quad (4.2)$$

where the power-law behaviour is expected as the flow is still turbulent, despite being in the viscous regime. Hence we have

$$-n \frac{u_h^2}{t} = -A_k \frac{u_h^3}{\ell_h} \quad (4.3)$$

from which $u_h/\ell_h = n/(A_k t)$, giving a time scale for this turbulent flow as $t = n\ell_h/(A_k u_h) \sim \ell_h/u_h$ (the usual eddy turnover time). Substituting this into our diffusion length ℓ_v we have $\ell_v \sim \sqrt{\nu \ell_h/u_h} = \ell_h/\sqrt{Re}$, a scaling for ℓ_v first proposed by Godoy-Diana *et al.* (2004) to describe the viscous regime of stratified turbulence. The final step is then to let $\epsilon_k \approx \nu(\partial \mathbf{u}_h/\partial z)^2 \sim \nu u_h^2/\ell_v^2 \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ and we have recovered the dissipation scaling for the viscous dissipation. Considering that we are interested in the case $Pr = \nu/\mathcal{D} = 1$, which is the case in the DNS runs, the same analysis clearly also leads to $\epsilon_p \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ and therefore the total dissipation ϵ presents the same scaling. In conclusion we can say with some confidence that this rederivation and figure 7(a) further strengthen the scaling proposed for the viscous regime (see Godoy-Diana *et al.* 2004; Brethouwer *et al.* 2007).

We now consider the high-resolution run R49F0.1. In figure 7(b) the full evolution of this run is presented. It is relatively clear that after an initial transient associated with the stratified turbulence becoming fully developed, the curve flattens out at around $t/\tau_0 = 11.5$. Again, the boundary demarcating the region in which $\mathcal{R} > 7$ from the later times at which $\mathcal{R} < 7$ is given, and it occurs close to the end of the simulation. There is a period of about $3\tau_0$ ahead of this boundary during which both ϵ_k and ϵ_p scale with horizontal quantities alone and, when normalized, reach a constant plateau. Despite the fact that the dissipation scaling is presented earlier than the low-resolution runs, at this time we are already in the transition between




FIGURE 8. (Colour online) Comparison of \mathcal{R} and buoyancy Reynolds number for two low- $Fr_{h,ss}$ runs, R80F0.2 and R49F0.1. The full evolution in time is shown.

the strongly stratified regime and the viscous regime. At $t/\tau_0 = 11.5$, $\mathcal{R} = 10$ but the buoyancy Reynolds number $Re_b = 2.1$, as can be seen in figure 8 showing the concurrent evolution of \mathcal{R} and Re_b for runs R80F0.2 and R49F0.1. This value of Re_b is too low, as can be seen more clearly when calculating the ratio of dissipation due to vertical gradients of horizontal velocity to the total dissipation, $\epsilon_{k,hv}/\epsilon_k = 0.69$ at this time. The turbulent motion is starting to be dominated by vertical shear and we are approaching the viscous regime. So we have been unable to verify the dissipation scaling in the strongly stratified regime. Our partial result does, however, support the claim that the dissipation scaling $\epsilon \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ is a robust result, holding across the various regimes of stratified turbulence.

4.4. Horizontal spectra of horizontal kinetic energy

According to Lindborg (2006) the horizontal spectrum of horizontal kinetic energy (which is very similar to the spectrum of full kinetic energy, given that the vertical component of velocity is small) should scale as $E_h(k_h) \sim \epsilon_k^{2/3} k_h^{-5/3}$ for strongly stratified turbulence. Let us now see if this is the case for our decaying runs. Figure 9 shows one-dimensional horizontal spectra of horizontal kinetic energy compensated by multiplication by $\epsilon_k^{-2/3} k_h^{5/3}$ for the four DNS runs. These compensated spectra should present a plateau at a constant value of $\tilde{E}_h(k_h) = \epsilon_k^{-2/3} k_h^{5/3} E_h(k_h)$ over the stratified inertial range if the Lindborg scaling applies. We have chosen to plot the spectra using a linear axis for the y-axis as often log–log plots show apparent plateaus where there is still significant variation in the compensated spectra. We can see that the compensated spectra present about half a decade of constancy for runs F1.3, F0.6 and F0.3, starting from a wavenumber $k_h \approx 10$. We have chosen the time instants in figure 9 as they present the clearest stratified inertial range and these times correspond to $\mathcal{R} \approx 15$ for the three runs with a clear plateau. This is in line with previous knowledge (Bartello & Tobias 2013) that $Re(\ell_v/\ell_h)^2 = 10$ appears to be the lower bound for the strongly stratified horizontal spectra to be observed. In our DNS simulations this condition actually would translate to $Re Fr_h^2 / Fr_v^2 \approx 8 Re Fr_h^2 = 8\mathcal{R} > 10$ or $\mathcal{R} > 1.3$ using the value $Fr_v = 0.35$ reached by all our runs. We find in our runs that $\mathcal{R} \approx 15$ is needed for the stratified inertial range to be observed. The extent of the stratified inertial range should be from the horizontal integral scale to the Ozmidov




FIGURE 9. (Colour online) Compensated horizontal spectra of horizontal kinetic energy, $\tilde{E}_h(k_h) = \epsilon_k^{-2/3} k_h^{5/3} E_h(k_h)$. (a) Run R18.kF1.8 at $t = 20.4\tau_0$ ($\mathcal{R} = 14.6$, $Fr_h = 0.16$), (b) run R330F0.6 at $t = 12.3\tau_0$ ($\mathcal{R} = 15.9$, $Fr_h = 0.12$), (c) run R80F0.2 at $t = 8.8\tau_0$ ($\mathcal{R} = 15.6$, $Fr_h = 0.096$), (d) run R26F0.1 at $t = 5.4\tau_0$ ($\mathcal{R} = 20$, $Fr_h = 0.11$). (e) Run R49F0.1 at $t = 5.6\tau_0$ ($\mathcal{R} = 29.7$, $Fr_h = 0.11$).

scale, and this range is determined solely by the Froude number since $k_{oz}/k_{\ell_h} \sim Fr_h^{-3/2}$ (Lindborg 2006). Hence the lower the Froude number the more wavenumbers the inertial range covers, and this is consistent with our results with run F0.3 presenting the longest inertial range.

There is a limit, however, to how low we can push the Froude numbers in a decaying context, as exemplified by run R26F0.1 where no stratified inertial range is visible. We interpret this as the effect of the vertical dissipation of large-scale horizontal motions, which becomes important at $\mathcal{R} = O(10)$. Clearly, since $\mathcal{R} = Re Fr_h^2$, this occurs at earlier times for runs that start from low Fr_{ss} . Now, the stratified cascade with its inertial range inevitably sets in after the dissipation peak and formation of smallest scales. As a result it appears that, for the case R26F0.1, there is not




FIGURE 10. (Colour online) Horizontal spectra of horizontal kinetic energy compensated such that $\tilde{E}_h(k_h) = \epsilon_k^{-2/3} k_h^{5/3} E_h(k_h)$ for (a) R330F0.6 from $t = 11\tau_0$ up to $t = 13.6\tau_0$ (horizontal line corresponds to $\tilde{E}_h = 0.44$), (b) R80F0.2 from $t = 7.4\tau_0$ up to $t = 9.6\tau_0$ (horizontal line corresponds to $\tilde{E}_h = 0.43$).

enough time for the spectrum to become fully developed before vertical diffusion dominates. To add some numerical evidence to this argument, all runs present a peak in dissipation at $t = 4.4\tau_0$ and we have $\mathcal{R} = 15$ at a later time highly dependent on $Fr_{h,ss}$. For the case R26F0.1 this time is $t = 6.6\tau_0$ (for the other runs the times are given approximately in figure 9), and hence two initial eddy turnover times are not sufficient for the stratified cascade to fully develop. On the other hand run R80F0.2 has about 4.5 turnover times before diffusion starts becoming important so that an inertial range can be established.

The bottom plot in figure 9 corresponds to run R49F0.1 at an early time. At this instant, there is no plateau observable on the horizontal spectrum of horizontal kinetic energy, but rather a dip at $k_h \approx 20$ and a bump at $k_h \approx 80$, similarly to what was observed by Augier *et al.* (2015).

The compensated spectra in figure 9 are for a given time instant. It is interesting to observe the evolution of the spectra in time to check whether the scaling with the kinetic energy dissipation is correct. Results for the one-dimensional horizontal spectra of horizontal kinetic energy over a range of times are presented in figure 10 for cases R330F0.6 and R80F0.2. It is clear that the curves collapse well over two to three integral time scales, showing that the dependence on the kinetic energy dissipation is met in our simulations. Moreover, the constant describing the compensated horizontal spectra is $C_1 = 0.44 \pm 0.02$ for the four runs excluding run R26F0.1. For times beyond the ones considered in figure 10 the spectra are viscously dominated without much of an inertial range, highlighting that the buoyancy Reynolds number is low at late times.

It is important to note that $k_h^{-5/3}$ horizontal spectra are presented well before the dissipation scaling $\epsilon \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ is shown, meaning that the result for the spectra is applicable to the regime of strongly stratified turbulence. For instance, the high-resolution run has $\epsilon_{k,hv}/\epsilon_k = 0.419$ at the time at which the spectra are shown, which is not too far from the isotropic value of $\epsilon_{k,hv}/\epsilon_k = 4/15 = 0.267$. The $-5/3$ form of the horizontal kinetic energy spectra is a statement that the dissipation scaling holds on a scale-by-scale basis, or $v_h^3/s_h \sim \epsilon_k$ where v_h and s_h are local scales in the cascade. It is therefore surprising that this scaling holds in the inertial range in our DNS runs but, at the same times, not at the integral scales, which are feeding the downscale cascade of energy in the horizontal. At high enough \mathcal{R} and




FIGURE 11. (Colour online) Compensated horizontal spectra of potential energy, $\tilde{E}_p(k_h) = \epsilon_p^{-1} \epsilon_k^{1/3} k_h^{5/3} E_p(k_h)$. The runs and corresponding times are the same as those given in figure 9.

buoyancy Reynolds number it is expected that both results will be recovered, but in our simulations the horizontal-transfer-to-dissipation balance is found scale-by-scale but not in a volume-averaged sense.

4.5. Horizontal spectra of potential energy

The horizontal spectra of potential energy predict that $E_p(k_h) \sim \epsilon_p \epsilon_k^{-1/3} k_h^{-5/3}$, which is found from cascade arguments (analogous to those that lead to the form for the horizontal spectrum of horizontal kinetic energy) and on insisting that the time scale of the buoyancy fluctuations is the same as the convective time scale. It follows from this that the form of the horizontal spectrum is a Corrsin–Obukhov spectrum, which governs passive scalar advection. Figure 11 shows five compensated horizontal spectra of potential energy, one for every run at the same times as for the horizontal kinetic




FIGURE 12. (Colour online) Compensated horizontal 1-D spectra of potential energy with $\tilde{E}_p(k_h) = \epsilon_p^{-1} \epsilon_k^{1/3} k_h^{5/3} E_p(k_h)$ for (a) F0.6 from $t = 11\tau_0$ up to $t = 13.1\tau_0$ (horizontal line corresponds to $\tilde{E}_p = 0.61$), (b) F0.3 from $t = 7.4\tau_0$ up to $t = 8.8\tau_0$ (horizontal line corresponds to $\tilde{E}_p = 0.62$).

energy spectra in figure 9. Except for the high-resolution run R49F0.1 presenting a clear inertial range behaviour, the results are less convincing than the kinetic energy spectra. Nonetheless the spectra present a limited inertial range as shown more clearly by the time evolution of the potential energy spectra for runs F0.6 and F0.3 in figure 12. The constant appears to be $C_2 = 0.61 \pm 0.03$ across the runs, except for case R26F0.1 where, as for the kinetic energy spectra, there is no clear plateau.

4.6. Horizontal energy flux spectra

We have calculated the horizontal energy flux spectra $\Pi_k(k_h)$ and $\Pi_p(k_h)$ by summing the transfer spectra over cylinders of radius k_h in Fourier space; here k_h is a two-dimensional wavenumber, $k_h = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$. For more details on the definition of kinetic energy and potential energy transfer spectra the reader is referred to Augier, Chomaz & Billant (2012). The horizontal energy flux spectra highlight the energy transfers in the horizontal direction at a certain wavenumber k_h . If $\Pi_k(k_h) > 0$ then there is downscale transfer of kinetic energy to higher wavenumbers than k_h , and if $\Pi_k(k_h) < 0$ there is an upscale transfer at this wavenumber. Moreover, a region of constant Π_k or Π_p defines an inertial range (see Lindborg 2006). In figure 13 we show Π_k and Π_p together with the corresponding 2-D horizontal spectra for runs R80F0.2 and R49F0.1. The times at which the energy flux spectra are shown are towards the end of the period over which the 1-D spectra present a collapse over time (see figure 10). The energy spectra are compensated to show a $k_h^{-5/3}$ -range, which is very limited in run R80F0.2 and slightly more pronounced in the high-resolution run R49F0.1. In all cases the energy flux spectra are constant over a narrow range, which correlates well with the $k_h^{-5/3}$ -region in the 2-D spectra (except for Π_k in run R80F0.2, which is flat starting from wavenumbers $k_h < 10$, where there is clearly no $k_h^{-5/3}$ -region). In both runs, the region $\Pi_p = \text{const.}$ extends to higher wavenumbers than the region $\Pi_k = \text{const.}$ The ranges are narrow due to the limited dynamic range in the runs, but the fact that Π_k and Π_p present a region of constancy provides confirmation that we indeed have an inertial range in the horizontal direction. The kinetic and potential energy fluxes are negative at small wavenumbers, showing that there is a small upscale transfer at large scales. However, in both the runs under consideration, the transfer of kinetic




FIGURE 13. (Colour online) Energy flux spectrum in the horizontal direction together with the corresponding horizontal 2-D spectrum (compensated): (a) horizontal kinetic energy spectrum $\tilde{E}_h(k_h) = \epsilon^{-2/3} k_h^{5/3} E_h(k_h)$ and kinetic energy flux spectrum for run R80F0.2, (b) potential energy spectrum $\tilde{E}_p = \epsilon_p^{-1} \epsilon_k^{1/3} k_h^{5/3} E_p(k_h)$ and potential energy flux spectrum for run R80F0.2 (both (a) and (b) are at $t/\tau_0 = 9.3$), (c) horizontal kinetic energy spectrum and horizontal kinetic energy flux spectrum for run R49F0.1, (d) potential energy spectrum and potential energy flux spectrum for run R49F0.1 (both (c) and (d) are at $t/\tau_0 = 7.5$). The horizontal wavenumber is incremented by 0.5 to show the transfers to the shear modes with $k_h = 0$.

energy to the shear modes $k_h = 0$ is negligible at the times shown, and it is actually downscale for run R80F0.2 from this mode. At other times, there is a transfer of kinetic energy towards the $k_h = 0$ mode but this mode never dominated the dynamics in our simulations.

As is apparent from figure 13 we have $\Pi_k < \epsilon_k$ and $\Pi_p < \epsilon_p$ in the inertial range. If we form total transfers $\Pi = \Pi_k + \Pi_p$ then the ratio of the total transfer to the total dissipation is $\Pi/\epsilon \approx 0.53$ in the inertial range for both runs under consideration. Hence only about half of the dissipation rate can be attributed to downscale transfer of energy in the horizontal in our decaying stratified turbulence. This means that the remaining half of the dissipation rate must be accounted for by energy transfers in the vertical direction or by dissipation acting at scales of the order $k_h \approx 30$ or lower, presumably due to vertical shear at these horizontal scales. The cascade of energy in the horizontal has therefore been confirmed in our DNS of stratified turbulence but perhaps it should be put into perspective that it accounts for only roughly half of the total energy dissipation in the flow. Further simulations with a greater dynamic range are needed to confirm this statement.




FIGURE 14. (Colour online) (a) Compensated vertical spectra of horizontal kinetic energy, $\tilde{E}_h(k_v) = N^{-2}k_v^3 E_h(k_v)$. (b) Horizontal and vertical 1-D spectra of horizontal kinetic energy (main plot) and potential energy (inset) for the case R1.8kF1.8 at $t = 27.2\tau_0$.

4.7. Vertical energy spectra

Vertical spectra of horizontal kinetic and potential energy are predicted to scale as $E_h(k_v) \sim E_p(k_v) \sim N^2 k_v^{-3}$ according to the strongly stratified turbulence theory. This particular form for $E_h(k_v)$ means that the vertical Froude number is of order unity on a scale-by-scale basis. It is important to test whether the vertical spectra in our decaying runs obey this scaling or not. In figure 14(a), vertical spectra of horizontal kinetic energy for all four cases at specific times are presented, the spectra having been compensated so that a horizontal plateau would show accordance with the scaling above. There is no evidence of such a plateau in any of the DNS runs, the spectra being considerably shallower than k_v^{-3} before the rapid viscous decay at high wavenumbers. Similar vertical spectra were found by Bartello & Tobias in their decaying simulations (S. M. Tobias 2014, personal communication).

It should be pointed out that the range of vertical wavenumbers at which the k_v^{-3} -spectrum is predicted is narrow, the scales ranging from the buoyancy scale u_h/N to the Ozmidov scale $\ell_{oz} = \sqrt{\epsilon_k/N^3}$, which scales like $Fr_h^{-1/2}$ (see Lindborg 2006). Given the moderately low Froude number in our runs, which is $Fr_h = O(0.1)$ during the time when $\mathcal{R} = O(10)$, the results for vertical spectra are not so surprising. Limited support has been provided to date for such steep vertical spectra: Augier *et al.* (2012) have found k_v^{-3} -vertical spectra in their simulations of transient evolution of the zigzag instability while Almkie & de Bruyn Kops (2012) have found this scaling in only one of their high-resolution forced runs of stratified turbulence.

The vertical spectra in our DNS runs at intermediate to late times are actually rather similar to their horizontal equivalents, as shown in figure 14(b) for both kinetic and potential energy. The vertical and horizontal spectra present closely matching trends at varying wavenumber, meaning that the vertical spectra are closer to $k_v^{-5/3}$ than k_v^{-3} over the stratified inertial range. Beyond a transition wavenumber that corresponds to the Ozmidov scale ℓ_{oz} it is expected that there is a return to an isotropic Kolmogorov spectrum of the form $E_h(k_v) \sim \epsilon_k^{2/3} k_v^{-5/3}$ (see Brethouwer *et al.* 2007; Augier *et al.* 2012). Our shallow vertical spectra may be the result of an early transition to isotropic conditions due to the moderately low Fr_h . We will discuss this point further in the discussion that follows in § 5.

5. Discussion

The fact that the vertical spectra do not present an $N^2 k_v^{-3}$ -dependency has an important implication: the vertical Froude number is not of order unity on a scale-by-scale basis in our simulations. We will now see that this is actually not so surprising if we consider that our scaling argument from which we found $Fr_v \sim 1$ implies that $Fr_h \ll 1$. Let us consider scale-by-scale Froude numbers $Fr_{s_h} = v_h/Ns_h$ and $Fr_{s_v} = v_h/Ns_v$, where v_h , $s_h = \pi/k_h$ and $s_v = \pi/k_v$ are local scales in the cascade. The reason for using a factor of π and not 2π when relating a scale to its corresponding wavenumber is that s_h and s_v are scales and not wavelengths, i.e. a region of correlated positive buoyancy such as a yellow structure in figure 3 presents scales s_h and s_v while if we consider also an adjacent blue structure we will have a wavelength. We found some evidence from our horizontal spectra that at intermediate scales in the inertial range we should have $\epsilon \sim v_h^3/s_h$. This leads to

$$Fr_{s_h} = \frac{v_h}{Ns_h} \sim \frac{(\epsilon s_h)^{1/3}}{Ns_h} = \frac{\epsilon^{1/3} k_h^{2/3}}{\pi^{2/3} N} \quad (5.1)$$

so it is clear that Fr_{s_h} steadily grows from its integral scale value Fr_h as we move to smaller scales. Run F0.3 presents the clearest inertial range of $E_h(k_h)$ – see figure 9 – but on substituting the values of dissipation at this time in (5.1), we see that $Fr_{s_h} > Fr_v$ for $k_h > 80$. This value compares well with the wavenumber at the end of the stratified inertial range, estimated to be $k_h = 50$, as can be seen in figures 9 and 10. However, this value of k_h is only about 10 vertical wavenumbers after the peak in $E_h(k_v)$ so it appears that the condition $Fr_{s_h} \ll 1$ is not well satisfied for most of the vertical scales and Fr_{s_v} can therefore not be of order unity for these scales. The typical argument reads that the horizontal Froude number becomes unity at the Ozmidov scale with $k_{oz} = \pi \sqrt{N^3/\epsilon_k} \approx 470$ for run F0.3 at $t = 8.8\tau_0$ (the time of figure 9). But, by using the value of Fr_v from our simulations, the estimate for the transition wavenumber is greatly reduced (the use of the total dissipation also reduces this value, but by a much smaller amount), making it practically impossible to observe a vertical inertial range as predicted by the strongly stratified turbulence theory.

In the future, greater computer capabilities will allow Fr_h to be pushed much lower, while keeping $\mathcal{R} > O(10)$, thus allowing Fr_{s_h} to be small over a large range of scales. The scaling analysis of §2 should therefore be valid over these scales, for which $Fr_{s_v} \sim 1$ as a result. It follows that the level of anisotropy decreases as we go down the cascade:

$$\frac{v_z}{v_h} \sim \frac{s_v}{s_h} = \frac{Fr_{s_h}}{Fr_{s_v}} \sim Fr_{s_h} \sim \frac{\epsilon^{1/3} k_h^{2/3}}{N}, \quad (5.2)$$

where v_z is a local vertical velocity scale and we have used continuity in the first step. Therefore the distribution of vertical kinetic energy across horizontal scales is given by

$$v_z^2 \sim \left(\frac{\epsilon^{1/3} k_h^{2/3}}{N} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\epsilon}{k_h} \right)^{2/3} \sim \frac{\epsilon^{4/3} k_h^{2/3}}{N^2}, \quad (5.3)$$

which grows as we move to smaller and smaller scales up to a transition wavenumber that is of the order of k_{oz} . This means that, as discussed previously by Davidson (2013) and Riley & Lindborg (2013), the vertical velocity fluctuations peak at the Ozmidov




FIGURE 15. (Colour online) Evolution of the non-dimensionalized vertical velocity variance and the horizontal Froude number in (a) run R80F0.2, (b) run R49F0.1. The solid grey curve (cyan online) corresponding to $\langle u_z^2 \rangle^{k_z \neq 0} / u_h^2$ represents the variance of u_z (non-dimensionalized by u_h^2), with the removal of the contributions to the variance of the wavenumber $k_z = 0$. The solid dark curve (red online) corresponds to the horizontal Froude number. The dashed curve (green online) with the large and periodic oscillations is the variance of u_z^2 non-dimensionalized by u_h^2 considering all vertical wavenumbers.

scale, ℓ_{oz} , rather than at the integral scale ℓ_h . From (5.3) we therefore have $\langle u_z^2 \rangle \sim v_z^2(k_h = k_{oz}) \sim \epsilon / N$, from which the following result is obtained:

$$\frac{\langle u_z^2 \rangle}{u_h^2} \sim \frac{\epsilon / N}{u_h^2} \sim \frac{u_h}{N \ell_h} = Fr_h \quad (5.4)$$

rather than $\langle u_z^2 \rangle = Fr_h^2 u_h^2$, the naive deduction obtained by applying continuity without realizing that vertical velocity is a small-scale quantity in stratified turbulence.

We have attempted to test equation (5.4) in our simulations. However, large oscillations with frequency equal to twice the Brunt–Väisälä frequency on the $\langle u_z^2 \rangle$ -curve appear to cause a mismatch between $\langle u_z^2 \rangle / u_h^2$ and Fr_h . This can be observed in figure 15. By removing the mode $k_z = 0$ from the vertical 1-D spectra of u_z^2 , one isolates the dynamics that is independent of this $k_z = 0$ wavenumber, at which the oscillations are expected according to the IGW dispersion relation. The summation of the 1-D spectra of u_z^2 from the first non-zero vertical wavenumber to the largest vertical wavenumber is presented together with the evolution of Fr_h in time in figure 15. The match of functional forms is good for both low- $Fr_{h,ss}$ runs considered: run R80F0.2 and the high-resolution run R49F0.1.

Another observation that we may add to support this relation is that visualizations of u_z appear to be isotropic as seen in figure 16, at variance with the other fields, u_x , u_y and b , which invariably present the characteristic layering of stratified turbulence (see figures 3–5). This is suggestive of u_z being a smaller scale quantity, as at small scales we have a return to isotropy. It is hoped that further simulations of stratified turbulence at lower Fr_h will confirm these preliminary findings.

6. Conclusions

We have presented results from a scaling analysis and DNS of stratified turbulence starting from a high value of \mathcal{R} and a Froude number of order one. The alternative




FIGURE 16. (Colour online) Visualization of the u_z field in run R80F0.2 at $t/\tau_0 = 10$, corresponding to $Fr_h = 0.09$ and $\mathcal{R} = 13.3$.

scaling analysis based upon the vorticity equations leads simply and directly to the well-known scaling result for the vertical length scale of the turbulence as $\ell_v = u_h/N$. In doing so it highlights the importance of horizontal vorticity in stratified turbulence, and in particular of vertical shearing of horizontal velocity at large scales (which can be interpreted physically as pancake vortices sliding one on top of the other). The DNS results are in line with the scaling prediction on the vertical scale at high \mathcal{R} and show the first signs of a transition to a viscous scaling at $\mathcal{R} = O(1)$.

A frequent assumption in the literature on stratified turbulence is $\epsilon_k \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ and $\epsilon_p \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$, which can be useful when interpreting the results of forced simulations. The results of our runs have shown its validity, yet only for the transition regime between the strongly stratified turbulence and the viscous regimes. This result does suggest that the dissipation scaling is robust, holding across the regimes of stratified turbulence. The horizontal spectra are largely in line with the Lindborg forms over a limited inertial range. The presence of an inertial range is confirmed by the energy flux spectra Π_k and Π_p being approximately constant over a narrow k_h -range. The $k_h^{-5/3}$ -spectra are observed from early times so that we are still in a state close to strongly stratified turbulence. The reason why the spectra present $k_h^{-5/3}$ -ranges at times when the dissipation does not show $\epsilon \sim u_h^3/\ell_h$ is not understood, and is a point that deserves further attention. The constants C_1 and C_2 for the horizontal kinetic and potential energy spectra are different in our runs, while previous results had them as being very close with $C_1 = C_2 \approx 0.5$ as found by Lindborg (2006) and Lindborg & Brethouwer (2007). More importantly, the vertical spectra $E_h(k_v)$ and $E_p(k_v)$ are very far from k_v^{-3} and are closer to $k_v^{-5/3}$ over a range of wavenumbers. This result is thought to be due to the insufficiently low horizontal Froude numbers in the cases under consideration. It is possible that by pushing horizontal Froude numbers down to very low values $Fr_h = O(10^{-3})$ the form $N^2 k_v^{-3}$ for the vertical spectra will be recovered and the scaling $Fr_v \sim 1$ will be found to hold over a range of scales. The computational requirements for such a run are very high, but they could lead to the resolution of an important open issue in stratified turbulence.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Professor P. K. Yeung of Georgia Tech for valuable discussions and for providing the pseudo-spectral code used for the simulations.

REFERENCES

- ALMALKIE, S. & DE BRUYN KOPS, S. M. 2012 Kinetic energy dynamics in forced, homogeneous, and axisymmetric stably stratified turbulence. *J. Turbul.* **13** (29), 1–32.
- AUGIER, P., BILLANT, P. & CHOMAZ, J.-M. 2015 Stratified turbulence forced with columnar dipoles: numerical study. *J. Fluid Mech.* **769**, 403–443.

- AUGIER, P., CHOMAZ, J.-M. & BILLANT, P. 2012 Spectral analysis of the transition to turbulence from a dipole in stratified fluid. *J. Fluid Mech.* **713**, 86–108.
- BARTELLO, P. & TOBIAS, S. M. 2013 Sensitivity of stratified turbulence to the buoyancy Reynolds number. *J. Fluid Mech.* **725**, 1–22.
- BILLANT, P. & CHOMAZ, J.-M. 2001 Self-similarity of strongly stratified inviscid flows. *Phys. Fluids* **13** (6), 1645–1651.
- BRETHOUWER, G., BILLANT, P., LINDBORG, E. & CHOMAZ, J.-M. 2007 Scaling analysis and simulation of strongly stratified turbulent flows. *J. Fluid Mech.* **585**, 343–368.
- DE BRUYN KOPS, S. M. & RILEY, J. J. 1998 Direct numerical simulation of laboratory experiments in isotropic turbulence. *Phys. Fluids* **10** (9), 2125–2127.
- DAVIDSON, P. A. 2004 *Turbulence. An Introduction for Scientists and Engineers*. Oxford University Press.
- DAVIDSON, P. A. 2013 *Turbulence in Rotating, Stratified and Electrically Conducting Fluids*. Cambridge University Press.
- DEWAN, E. M. & GOOD, R. E. 1986 Saturation and the ‘universal’ spectrum for vertical profiles of horizontal scalar winds in the atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.* **91** (D2), 2742–2748.
- ESWARAN, V. & POPE, S. B. 1988 An examination of forcing in direct numerical simulations of turbulence. *Comput. Fluids* **16** (3), 257–278.
- FINCHAM, A. M., MAXWORTHY, T. & SPEDDING, G. R. 1996 Energy dissipation and vortex structure in freely decaying stratified grid turbulence. *Dyn. Atmos. Oceans* **23**, 155–169.
- GODOY-DIANA, R., CHOMAZ, J.-M. & BILLANT, P. 2004 Vertical length scale selection for pancake vortices in strongly stratified viscous fluids. *J. Fluid Mech.* **504**, 229–238.
- HEBERT, D. A. & DE BRUYN KOPS, S. M. 2006 Predicting turbulence in flows with strong stable stratification. *Phys. Fluids* **18**, 066602.
- LAVAL, J.-P., MCWILLIAMS, J. C. & DUBRULLE, B. 2003 Forced stratified turbulence: successive transitions with Reynolds number. *Phys. Rev. E* **68**, 036308.
- LINDBORG, E. 2006 The energy cascade in a strongly stratified fluid. *J. Fluid Mech.* **550**, 207–242.
- LINDBORG, E. & BRETHOUWER, G. 2007 Stratified turbulence forced in rotational and divergent modes. *J. Fluid Mech.* **586**, 83–108.
- MAFFIOLI, A., DAVIDSON, P. A., DALZIEL, S. B. & SWAMINATHAN, N. 2014 The evolution of a stratified turbulent cloud. *J. Fluid Mech.* **739**, 229–253.
- PRAUD, O., FINCHAM, A. M. & SOMMERIA, J. 2005 Decaying grid turbulence in a strongly stratified fluid. *J. Fluid Mech.* **522**, 1–33.
- RILEY, J. J. & DE BRUYN KOPS, S. M. 2003 Dynamics of turbulence strongly influenced by buoyancy. *Phys. Fluids* **15** (7), 2047–2059.
- RILEY, J. J. & LELONG, M.-P. 2000 Fluid motions in the presence of strong stable stratification. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **32**, 613–657.
- RILEY, J. J. & LINDBORG, E. 2008 Stratified turbulence: a possible interpretation of some geophysical turbulence measurements. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **65**, 2416–2424.
- RILEY, J. J. & LINDBORG, E. 2013 Recent progress in stratified turbulence. In *Ten Chapters in Turbulence* (ed. P. A. Davidson, Y. Kaneda & K. R. Sreenivasan). Cambridge University Press.
- ROGALLO, R. S. 1981 Numerical experiments in homogeneous turbulence. *NASA Technical Memorandum* 81315. NASA Ames Research Center.
- SMITH, L. M. & WALEFFE, F. 2002 Generation of slow large scales in forced rotating stratified turbulence. *J. Fluid Mech.* **451**, 145–168.
- SMYTH, W. D. & MOUM, J. N. 2000 Length scales of turbulence in stably stratified mixing layers. *Phys. Fluids* **12** (6), 1327–1342.
- WAITE, M. L. 2011 Stratified turbulence at the buoyancy scale. *Phys. Fluids* **23** (6), 066602.
- WAITE, M. L. & BARTELLO, P. 2004 Stratified turbulence dominated by vortical motion. *J. Fluid Mech.* **517**, 281–308.
- YEUNG, P. K. & ZHOU, Y. 1997 Universality of the Kolmogorov constant in numerical simulations of turbulence. *Phys. Rev. E* **56** (2), 1746–1752.