

## Interpreting Isotopic and Macrobotanical Evidence for Early Maize in the Eastern Woodlands: A Response to Hart and Colleagues

Mary L. Simon , Kristin M. Hedman, and Thomas E. Emerson

---

*In the following response to Hart and colleagues (2021) we clarify our interpretations of the archaeological record for maize use from western Illinois. The robust archaeological record, newly obtained AMS dates, and evaluations of enamel apatite combine to support a late date for maize cultivation in this region. We reiterate that maize histories in the Eastern Woodlands may vary among different regions.*

**Keywords:** maize, carbon isotope assays, American Bottom of Illinois

*En la siguiente respuesta a Hart y colaboradores (2021), aclaramos nuestras interpretaciones del registro arqueológico del uso de maíz en el oeste de Illinois. El sólido registro arqueológico, las fechas AMS recién obtenidas y las evaluaciones de la apatita del esmalte se combinan para respaldar una fecha tardía para el cultivo de maíz en esta región. Reiteramos que la historia del maíz en Eastern Woodlands puede variar entre diferentes regiones.*

**Palabras clave:** maíz, ensayos de isótopos de carbono, fondo americano de Illinois

---

In their critique of Emerson and colleagues (2020), Hart and colleagues (2021) imply that we question their microbotanical and isotope research identifying early maize in the northern Eastern Woodlands. We do not. We accept their findings and cite their work as an example of the diverse regional and chronological variation that marks the history of maize in the Eastern Woodlands.

However, we take this opportunity to clarify several issues raised. Hart and colleagues cite the Icehouse Bottom and Edwin Harness sites as evidence for Middle Woodland maize. As noted in our article (Footnote 3, p. 224), reanalysis of these archaeobotanical samples was ongoing. Simon (2019), however, had reported preliminary results at the Plains Anthropological Conference as follows: two alleged maize

samples from the Edwin Harness site returned  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $<-21.0$ , indicating they were not maize, and two Icehouse Bottom samples returned  $\delta^{13}\text{C} >-10$ , but postdated AD 1000 in age. We would have been glad to share this information.

Hart and colleagues criticized us for ignoring the Ellege site sample date ( $1520 \pm 70$  RCYBP; Simon 2014). We rejected this sample as being maize because the radiocarbon laboratory did not return a carbon isotope assay for it. A second alleged maize sample from the same feature returned a  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  value of  $-25.3\text{‰}$ , indicating that it was not maize. We discount wood dates from associated contexts as unreliable. Simon (2014) demonstrated that with one exception, maize samples from early Late Woodland contexts (ca. AD 500–750) from western Illinois

---

Mary L. Simon ([msimon1@illinois.edu](mailto:msimon1@illinois.edu), corresponding author), Kristin M. Hedman, and Thomas E. Emerson ■ Illinois State Archaeological Survey, 209 Nuclear Physics Laboratory, 23 Stadium Drive, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL 61820, USA

*American Antiquity* 86(2), 2021, pp. 428–430

Copyright © The Author(s), 2021.

Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Society for American Archaeology

doi:10.1017/aaq.2020.83

postdated standard dates obtained on associated materials by hundreds of years, suggesting that maize was intrusive in these feature contexts.

Compared to other Illinois data, the Late Woodland date (ca. AD 700) obtained on the Edgar Hoener site sample is “aberrant”—a conclusion corroborated by our extensive macrobotanical record (Simon 2014:Table 5). We accept this date, however, and cite this as an example of early maize.

The application of stable isotope analysis to questions of maize consumption is particularly applicable where the pre-maize diet is almost exclusively C<sub>3</sub> based and addition of maize, a C<sub>4</sub> grass, would be evident in the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of skeletal tissues. Furthermore, because maize displays minimal geographic or landrace differences in isotopic values, comparisons are possible among different regions (Bender 1968; Lowden 1969; Tieszen and Fagre 1993). The isotopic datasets available for the northeast; comparable methodology in sample preparation and analysis; and long-established, multifaceted research programs aimed at identifying early maize make the work of Hart and colleagues (Hart and Lovis 2013; Katzenberg 2006) a particularly appropriate and valuable point of comparison to our own work.

Hart and colleagues took issue with our questioning bone carbonate data as reliable evidence for minimal maize consumption in southern Ontario. As summarized in Emerson and colleagues (2020:245–246) and presented in detail by Harrison and Katzenberg (2003:228–230), the use of bone or enamel carbonate data for dietary reconstruction has been demonstrated, and lab pretreatment procedures have been developed and implemented to address concerns about diagenetic effects on bone carbonate (Harrison and Katzenberg 2003:228). The (organic) bone collagen primarily reflects the isotope values of dietary protein, whereas (inorganic) bone and enamel carbonate reflect those of the whole diet. Because maize is a low-protein food, its consumption in small amounts would not be reflected in bone collagen but would be first reflected in bone or enamel carbonate (Ambrose and Norr 1993; Harrison and Katzenberg 2003; Hedman et al. 2002; Tieszen and Fagre 1993). As in our study area, Harrison and Katzenberg

found that the C<sub>4</sub> isotopic signature of maize is reflected in bone collagen at around AD 1000 in southern Ontario but not earlier (Harrison and Katzenberg 2003:236). Also, as in our study area, the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of bone carbonate for some individuals who predate AD 1000 are slightly enriched in heavy carbon, but corresponding  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of collagen are not (Harrison and Katzenberg 2003:234, Table 2). This pattern is consistent with a mixed C<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>4</sub> diet consisting primarily of C<sub>3</sub> resources supplemented with a small amount of a C<sub>4</sub> resource (e.g., maize). In the Northeast, this interpretation is supported by maize phytoliths and starch grains recovered from dated pot residues. Although, as Hart and colleagues have noted, we lack microbotanical data, we have an exceptionally robust macrobotanical record essentially lacking maize from pre-AD 900 contexts. Therefore, we are cautious about interpreting slightly enriched apatite  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values as evidence of maize consumption.

To address this concern, we analyzed enamel apatite carbonate  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  for Middle Archaic and Middle Woodland individuals from several sites in Illinois. In nearly all cases, the enamel  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values are less enriched than that of bone carbonate for the same individuals, which both reflects a C<sub>3</sub> diet and is consistent with the diet suggested by bone collagen  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  results (Emerson et al. 2020:Supplemental Figure 2.3, Supplemental Table 2.3). If we accept Harrison and Katzenberg’s interpretation of their bone carbonate  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  levels as indicating maize consumption, then we might conclude that Middle Archaic people in Illinois consumed maize. We think that is unlikely. The divergence between bone carbonate  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and enamel carbonate  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  from the same individuals, when preservation criteria for both collagen and apatite carbonate are met, raises the question of bone carbonate  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  as a reliable indicator of slight maize consumption, particularly when quality of bone carbonate cannot be confirmed.

We recognize from macrobotanical and microbotanical evidence that the history of maize’s chronological appearance as well as cultural and economic impact east of the Mississippi River varies dramatically. The seeming discrepancies between the Eastern Woodland

macrobotanical and Great Lakes–Northeastern microbotanical evidence calls for additional research to help us understand the role that maize played in Native subsistence practices. We interpret the current evidence as an example of the tremendous variation in the history of maize among Native peoples across this region, and we call for our colleagues to continue to explore this variation.

### References Cited

- Ambrose, Stanley H., and Lynette Norr  
1993 Experimental Evidence for the Relationship of Carbon Isotope Ratios of Whole Diet and Dietary Protein to Those of Bone Collagen and Carbonate. In *Prehistoric Human Bone*, edited by Joseph B. Lambert and Gisela Grupe, pp. 1–37. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Bender, Margaret M.  
1968 Mass Spectrometric Studies of Carbon 13 Variations in Corn and Other Grasses. *Radiocarbon* 10:468–572.
- Emerson, Thomas E., Kristin M. Hedman, Mary L. Simon, Mathew A. Fort, and Kelsey E. Witt  
2020 Isotopic Confirmation of the Timing and Intensity of Maize Consumption in Greater Cahokia. *American Antiquity* 85:241–262.
- Harrison, Roman G., and M. Anne Katzenberg  
2003 Paleodiet Studies Using Stable Carbon Isotopes from Bone Apatite and Collagen: Examples from Southern Ontario and San Nicolas Island, California. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 22:227–244.
- Hart, John P., and William A. Lovis  
2013 Reevaluating What We Know about the Histories of Maize in Northeastern North America: A Review of Current Evidence. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 21:175–216.
- Hart, John P., William A. Lovis, and M. Anne Katzenberg  
2021 Early Maize in Northeastern North America: A Comment on Emerson and Colleagues. *American Antiquity* 86:425–427.
- Hedman, Kristin M., Eve A. Hargrave, and Stanley H. Ambrose  
2002 Inter- and Intra-Site Comparisons of Late Mississippian Diet in the American Bottom: Results of Recent Stable Isotope Analyses of Bone Collagen and Apatite. *Midcontinental Journal of Archaeology* 27:237–271.
- Katzenberg, M. Anne  
2006 Prehistoric Maize in Southern Ontario Contributions from Stable Isotope Studies. In *Histories of Maize: Multidisciplinary Approaches to the Prehistory, Linguistics, Biogeography, Domestication, and Evolution of Maize*, edited by John E. Staller, Robert H. Tykot, and Bruce F. Benz, pp. 263–273. Elsevier/Academic Press, Burlington, Massachusetts.
- Lowden, J. A.  
1969 Isotopic Fractionation in Corn. *Radiocarbon* 11:391–393.
- Simon, Mary L.  
2014 Reevaluating the Introduction of Maize into the American Bottom and Western Illinois. In *Reassessing the Timing, Rate, and Adoption Trajectories of Domesticated Use in the Midwest and Great Lakes*, edited by Maria E. Raviele and William A. Lovis, pp. 97–134. Occasional Papers No 1. Midwest Archaeological Conference, Champaign, Illinois.
- 2019 New Dates on Old Maize. Paper presented at the 77th Annual Plains Anthropological Conference, Bloomington, Indiana.
- Tieszen, Larry, and Tim Fagre  
1993 Carbon Isotope Variability in Modern and Archaeological Maize. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 20:25–40.

---

Submitted June 22, 2020; Revised July 20, 2020; Accepted July 21, 2020