The Journal of Agricultural Science

cambridge.org/ags

Crops and Soils Research Paper

Cite this article: Pereira GA *et al* (2019). Isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria in fresh plants and in silage from Opuntia and their effects on the fermentation and aerobic stability of silage. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **157**, 684–692. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0021859620000143

Received: 4 September 2019 Revised: 15 February 2020 Accepted: 27 February 2020 First published online: 25 March 2020

Key words:

Lactobacillus plantarum; ribosomal DNA sequence; Weissella cibaria; Weissella confusa; Weissella paramesenteroides

Author for correspondence: A. de M. Zanine, E-mail: anderson.zanine@ibest.com.br Isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria in fresh plants and in silage from Opuntia and their effects on the fermentation and aerobic stability of silage

G. A. Pereira¹, E. M. Santos¹, G. G. L. Araújo², J. S. Oliveira¹, R. M. A. Pinho³, A. de M. Zanine³, A. F. N. Souza¹, A. J. S. Macedo¹, J. M. C. Neto¹ and T. V. C. Nascimento³

¹Department of Animal Science, Federal University of Paraíba, Areia, Paraíba, Brazil; ²Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation, EMBRAPA Semiarid, Petrolina, Pernambuco, Brazil and ³Department of Animal Science, Federal University of Maranhão, Chapadinha, Maranhão, Brazil

Abstract

The current study aimed to select the strains of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolated from forage cactus plants and silage and assess their effects on silage fermentation and aerobic stability. Forty wild isolates from plant and cactus silage, classified as LAB, were evaluated for metabolite production and identified by 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing. These wild isolates were identified as *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Weissella cibaria*, *Weissella confusa* and *Weissella para-mesenteroides* and the LAB populations differed among the silage. The use of microbial inoculants did not influence gas or effluent losses in forage cactus silage. The silage inoculated with the microbial strain GP15 showed the highest number of LAB populations. The amounts of water-soluble carbohydrates (WSC) and ammonia nitrogen differed among the silage. The silage inoculated with the GP1 strain presented the highest WSC. Populations of enterobacteria and yeasts and moulds were below the minimum detection limit (<2.0 log cfu/g silage) in all the silage studied. The predominant action of inoculants was to maximize dry matter recovery of the silage, which could be the criterion adopted to select the strains of LAB for use as inoculants in *Opuntia* silage.

Introduction

Forage cacti (*Opuntia ficus-indica* Mill. and *Nopalea cochenillifera* Salm-Dyck) are widely used to feed ruminants in semi-arid regions (Vilela *et al.*, 2010; Saraiva *et al.*, 2015; Silva *et al.*, 2018). These plant species are favoured due to their persistence under high diurnal temperatures and limited soil moisture content (Aguilar-Yáñez *et al.*, 2011; Andrade-Montemayor *et al.*, 2011; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, the use of spineless cacti (*Opuntia* spp.) is fundamental in the formulation of diets, whether *in natura* as a complete feed or ensiled, an alternative that has gained prominence among producers over the years (Çürek and Özen, 2004; Macêdo *et al.*, 2018). Ensiling may be interesting for producers since it improves agronomic production, reduces the labour needed to cut and supply the cactus *in natura*, simplifies the agricultural practices required for this forage and maintains a nutritional value, which decreases over the dry season period. The use of forage cacti silage also favours the use of feedots for animals.

Opuntia can be conserved as silage, despite its high moisture content, due to the formation of mucilage that can decrease the water activity, controlling the development of clostridia and enterobacteria, besides reducing effluent losses (Gusha *et al.*, 2013; Toit *et al.*, 2018). However, the high concentration of water-soluble carbohydrates (WSC) present in *Opuntia* may favour yeast growth, resulting in alcoholic fermentation and, thereby, high dry matter (DM) loss (Gusha *et al.*, 2013, 2015; Macêdo *et al.*, 2018). Nevertheless, these characteristics may result in silage that is highly susceptible to deterioration after silo opening, which may compromise the use of *Opuntia* silage for animal feed.

Given the limitations mentioned above and aiming at the successful production of goodquality *Opuntia* silage, management strategies to limit silage deterioration should be adopted. Microbial inoculants are widely used for this purpose and have been efficient in promoting improvements throughout the fermentation profile and ensuring that the forage is adequately preserved (Kleinschmit and Kung Jr, 2006; Schmidt *et al.*, 2009; Carvalho *et al.*, 2014; Silva *et al.*, 2018). Muck (2008), Santos *et al.* (2016) and Sifeeldein *et al.* (2018) found that inoculants produced from the strains of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) from *Opuntia* species, adapted to

© Cambridge University Press 2020



the specific conditions of the forage plant, can be used to improve the efficiency of silage fermentation.

However, no prior research has evaluated the potential of isolated LAB cultures from *Opuntia* as inoculants for silage. In the current study, it was hypothesized that the strains of LAB isolated from spineless cactus silage could improve the fermentation pattern and increase the aerobic stability of cactus silage. To test this theory, the strains of LAB isolated from forage cactus plants and silage were selected and assessed for their effects on silage fermentation and aerobic stability.

Materials and methods

Experiment 1: isolation and characterization of lactic acid bacteria from spineless cactus plants and silage

Location and silage preparation

The study was performed at the Forage Laboratory, Department of Animal Science, the Federal University of Paraíba (Campus II, Areia, Paraíba, Brazil), located in the Brejo Paraibano microregion (6°57′S, 35°41′W).

Samples of the whole *Opuntia* plant from the species *N. coche-nillifera* Salm-Dyck cv. Miúda were obtained from the State Agricultural Research Agency of Paraíba (EMEPA-PB) in the municipality of Tacima, Paraíba, Brazil, located in the Agreste Paraibano mesoregion (6°29'S, 35°38'W), stocked with the stands of regrowth that was 2 years old. Thus, all cladodes were collected, preserving only the primary cladode per plant.

Forage cactus was chopped to a length of 2 cm using a JF-92 Z10 forage harvester (JF Agricultural Machinery, São Paulo, Brazil). Approximately 3 kg of fresh cactus palm were packed into polyvinyl chloride (PVC) silos (15 cm diameter and 40 cm length) to a density of 500 kg/m^3 and sealed with PVC cap equipped with Bunsen's valve and closed using adhesive tape. Each PVC silo included 1.5 kg of sand that had been dried in an oven for 48 h, separated from the chopped forage by two layers of cheesecloth. The sand was used to measure effluent losses. The mini silos were stored at room temperature ($22 \pm 2.0^{\circ}$ C) and opened at 60 days of ensiling. Three replicates were prepared for each sampling date (0 and 60 days).

Isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria

The LAB were isolated from 25 g of the *Opuntia* fresh forage and silage that were homogenized with 225 ml of sterile quarterstrength Ringer's solution (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) in an industrial blender for 1 min. Subsequently, serial tenfold dilutions were prepared in De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar (Difco, São Paulo, Brazil) using pour plates and incubated at 37°C for 48 h before enumeration. Colonies were counted on plates that had 30–300 colony-forming units (cfu).

From MRS plates containing well-isolated colonies, these colonies were randomly identified in a total relative to the square root of the total count of colonies (Holt *et al.*, 1994). The isolates were further purified by streaking individual colonies onto MRS agar containing bromocresol purple and calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) as indicators. All LAB were detected by a yellowish colony and a clear zone caused by the dissolution of CaCO₃. The isolates were re-cultivated in MRS agar for further purification by streaking onto MRS agar. The pre-selected cells, grown in 5 ml of MRS broth at 37°C for 18 h, were used for 16S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) gene sequencing. First, the DNA was extracted using a commercial kit (Wizard[®] Genomic DNA Purification kit, Promega, Madison, WI, USA) with the following modifications: the samples were centrifuged (Mikro 200R, Sigma-Aldrich, São Paulo, Brazil) at 10 000 g for 5 min and washed with 0.85% saline solution. The cells were re-suspended in 480 μ l of 50 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 50 μ l of 50 mg/ml lysozyme was added immediately. The genomic DNA concentration was evaluated in a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and stored at -20°C until use. The 16S rDNA gene sequence coding region was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in a PCR thermal cycler (Eppendorf®, Hamburg, Germany). The sequences of the PCR products were determined directly using a sequencing kit and the prokaryotic 16S rDNA universal primers P027F (5' GAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG 3') and 1492R (5' TACGG(C/T)TACCTTGTTACGACTT 3') (Heuer et al., 1997). The PCR reaction was performed in microfuge tubes containing 50 µl of the following reaction mixture: DNA (approximately 60 ng); reaction buffer 10× (0.1 mol/l trisaminomethane hydrochloric acid [Tris-HCl], pH 8.0 and 0.5 mol/l potassium chloride [KCl]); magnesium chloride (MgCl₂); 1.5 mmol/l, pH 8.0); deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTP) mix (Promega); GoTaq[®] DNA polymerase (Promega); primers (0.6 µmol/l of P027F and 1492R, respectively) and autoclaved milli-Q water. The reaction conditions were as follows: 94°C/5 min; 30 cycles (denaturation: 94° C/30 s; 60°C/30 s); polymerization: 72°C/2 min; final extension at 72°C/5 min. The PCR reaction mixture was checked by agarose gel (2%) electrophoresis with Tris-borate-EDTA buffer (Thermo Scientific). The bands were visualized under ultra-violet light after staining with 0.5 mg/ml ethidium bromide. The PCR product was sent to Macrogen[©] (Seoul, Korea) for purification and sequencing. Sequence similarity searches were performed using the GenBank DNA database and the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) for nucleotides (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). The 16S rRNA gene sequences that showed a similarity >97% were considered as belonging to the same operational taxonomic unit (Altschul et al., 1990).

Pre-selection of lactic acid bacteria strains based on metabolite production in De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe broth

Forty isolates – 20 from the plant and 20 from cactus silage – classified as LAB were isolated and evaluated for metabolite production. The isolates were also examined by Gram stain and catalase activity, to evaluate the production capacity of lactic acid (LA) and acetic acid (AA), the isolates were cultured in MRS broth at 37°C for 24 h. Afterwards, the inoculum was standardized to an optical density of 1.0 at 600 nm using a spectrophotometer. Subsequently, 0.1 ml of this inoculum was added to 50 ml MRS broth and then stored at 35°C for 24 h. After fermentation, samples of the cultures were taken to evaluate metabolite production by high-performance liquid chromatography (Siegfried *et al.*, 1984).

To evaluate the strains in experimental silos, ten strains of LAB were chosen based on their production capacity of LA and AA. The five strains with the highest ratio of LA to AA (GP21, GP22, GP23, GP24 and GP31) and the five with the lowest ratio of LA to AA (GP1, GP2, GP3, GP5 and GP15) were selected.

Experiment 2: strains evaluation in experimental silos

Location and silage preparation

Experiment 2 was conducted at the same location as described for Experiment 1. A forage cactus was chopped to a length of 2 cm using a JF-92 Z10 forage harvester (JF Agricultural Machinery).

Approximately 3 kg of fresh cactus palm were packed into PVC silos (15 cm internal diameter and 40 cm long) at a density of 500 kg/m^3 and sealed with PVC cap equipped with a Bunsen's valve and closed using adhesive tape. Each PVC silo was provided with an apparatus for effluent quantification, consisting of 1.5 kg of sand, dried previously in an oven for 48 h, placed in the bottom of the silo and separated from the chopped forage by two layers of cheesecloth. The mini silos were stored at room temperature (22 ± 2.0°C) and opened at 60 days of ensiling.

Silage was produced using ten selected LAB strains from the first experiment as inoculants. Silage without any inoculant was used as the control. For all treatments, the theoretical application rate was 1.0×10^6 cfu/g of fresh forage. Microbial inoculants were first plated on MRS agar to confirm their viability, then appropriate amounts of the inoculants were initially dissolved in 50 ml of pure distilled water and then sprayed uniformly onto each pile of forage, under constant mixing. The same quantity of water as used to dilute the inoculants was applied to the untreated piles. A separate sprayer was used for each treatment to avoid cross-contamination.

Sample collection

At the moment of ensiling and on the day of opening the silo, samples (3.5 kg) were removed from fresh forage and each PVC silo. The samples from fresh forage and silage were analysed for microbial populations, DM, ash, crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fibre (NDF), ether extract (EE), non-fibre carbohydrates (NFC), total carbohydrates (TC), WSC and ammonia nitrogen ratio to total nitrogen (NH₃-N/TN).

For microbial populations, 25 g of the fresh forage and silage from Opuntia were homogenized with 225 ml of sterile quarterstrength Ringer's solution (Oxoid) in an industrial blender for 1 min. The pH of the water extract was measured. A portion of the water extract was filtered through a double layer of cheesecloth into a sterile tube, for microbial analysis. Another portion of the water extract was acidified with 50% sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄, pH < 2.0) and frozen at -20° C for further investigation. For the enumeration, isolation and identification, serial dilutions were made in sterile Ringer's solution. For the count of LAB, samples were plated in MRS using pour plates and incubated at 37°C for 48 h before counting. Enterobacteria were enumerated by pourplating samples in violet red bile agar (Difco) and incubated as described above for LAB. Yeasts and moulds (Y&M) were quantified by pour-plating samples in potato dextrose agar (Difco) that had been acidified by the addition of 1.5% tartaric acid solution at the rate of 10% (w/v), and the plates were incubated at 25°C for 5 days. Colonies were counted from the plates of appropriate dilutions containing 30-300 cfu.

The concentrations of DM (method 934.01), ash (method 930.05), CP (method 984.13) and EE (method 920.85) were determined as described by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 1990). Samples were analysed for NDF, according to Mertens (2002) and WSC, as detailed by Nelson (1944), respectively. Non-fibre carbohydrates (NFC; g/kg) was calculated as follows: NFC = 100 - (CP + NDF + EE + ash) and TC (g/kg) was calculated as follows: TC = 100 - (CP + EE + ash).

In addition, samples from silage were also assessed for pH and NH_3 -N/TN (Detmann *et al.*, 2012), buffering capacity (Playne and McDonald, 1966), volatile fatty acids and LA (Siegfried *et al.*, 1984). Apparent DM recovery, gas losses and effluent losses were calculated using the weight and DM content of the fresh forage and silage (Zanine *et al.*, 2010).

The aerobic stability of each silo was ascertained by returning 3 kg of the sample silage to its respective silo and exposing it to air at 22°C. Temperatures were measured every 10 min using data loggers (Escort Mini; Impac, São Paulo, Brazil) inserted into the silage mass at the geometric centre. Each silo was covered with a double layer of sterile cheesecloth to avoid contamination and drying of the silage but allowing air to infiltrate the silage mass. Aerobic stability was defined as the number of hours silage remained stable before a rise in temperature of 2°C above ambient (Kleinschmit and Kung Jr, 2006). Silage weights were recorded before and at 7 days after aerobic exposure, to compute DM recovery. The aerobically exposed silage samples were analysed for chemical and microbial compositions as described above for fresh forages and silage.

Statistical analysis

All microbial data were transformed to log units and are presented on a wet weight basis. Chemical data are given on a DM basis. Normality of residues and homogeneity of variances were tested with the UNIVARIATE procedure. The experiment evaluating anaerobic fermentation was conducted in a completely randomized design with 11 treatments and three replicates. Each PVC silo was considered the experimental unit. Data were analysed using the following model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}$$

where Y_{ij} = is the value of the dependent variable; μ = mean and e_{ii} = residual error.

Data were analysed using the PROC MIXED in SAS (9.4 version, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA 2012). The means were compared by the Scott–Knott test. Treatment effects were considered significant at P < 0.05.

Results

Experiment 1

Isolation, identification and pre-selection of lactic acid bacteria strains

All strains isolated from the plant and silage were classified as Gram-positive and catalase-negative (Table 1). The cactus forage before ensiling had an amount of 6.64 \log_{10} cfu¹ per g of fresh matter of LAB (Table 2). The concentrations of LA and AA ranged from 186.98 to 2042.05 mg/dm³ and from 46.38 to 594.60 mg/dm³, respectively (Table 3). All strains showed sequence similarities \geq 96% when compared with the GenBank database using the BLAST algorithm (National Centre for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD, USA). The wild isolates were identified as *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Weissella cibaria*, *Weissella confusa* and *Weissella paramesenteroides*. The most prevalent LAB species from forage cactus and silage was *L. plantarum* (65%) (Table 4).

Experiment 2

Dry matter recovery and fermentative profile

The use of inoculants did not influence the gas or effluent losses in forage cactus silage (Table 5). In the silage inoculated with strains GP1, GP3, GP5, GP15, GP21, GP22, GP23, GP23, GP24 and GP 31, higher DM recovery was found compared with the control, and the inoculants did not affect the LA, AA, propionic

Table 1. Morphology and biochemical characteristics of the lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolated from cactus forage and silages

Isolates	Form	Gram stain	Catalase activity
cf0_1	Bacillus	+	_
cf0_2	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_3	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_4	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_5	Bacillus	+	_
cf0_6	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_7	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_8	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_9	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_10	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_11	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_12	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_13	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_14	Bacillus	+	_
cf0_15	Bacillus	+	_
cf0_16	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_17	Bacillus	+	_
cf0_18	Bacillus	+	-
cf0_19	Nd	+	_
cf0_20	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_21	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_22	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_23	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_24	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_25	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_26	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_27	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_28	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_29	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_30	Bacillus	+	_
cs60_31	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_32	Bacillus	+	_
cs60_33	Bacillus	+	_
cs60_34	Bacillus	+	
cs60_35	Bacillus	+	_
cs60_36	Bacillus	+	_
cs60_37	Bacillus	+	-
cs60_38	Bacillus	+	_
cs60_39	Bacillus	+	_
cs60_40	Bacillus	+	-

Nd, not-detected; cf, cactus forage; cs, cactus silage.

acid, butyric acid, pH or buffering capacity of the silage (Table 6). The WSC and NH₃-N/TN differed (P < 0.001) among the silage. The silage inoculated with GP1 strain presented the highest WSC.

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Table 2.}}$ Chemical composition and microbial populations in cactus forage before ensiling

Item	
Dry matter (DM) (g/kg of fresh matter)	206.4
Ash (g/kg of DM)	83.48
Crude protein (CP) (g/kg of DM)	66.16
Ether extract (EE) (g/kg of DM)	34.66
Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) (g/kg of DM)	117.9
Non-fibre carbohydrates (NFC) (g/kg of DM)	697.8
Total carbohydrates (TC) (g/kg of DM)	815.7
Water-soluble carbohydrates (WSC) (g/kg of DM)	125.0
Ammonia nitrogen ratio to total nitrogen (NH ₃ -N/TN) (g/kg of TN)	1.23
Microorganisms (log ₁₀ cfu ^a per g of fresh matter)	
Lactic acid bacteria	6.64
Yeasts and moulds	5.47
Enterobacteria	5.76
a., , , ,	

^aColony-forming units.

The use of GP5, GP15, GP21, GP22, GP24 and GP 31 strains resulted in silage with a similar content of NH_3 -N/TN to the control and was higher than in the other silage (Table 6).

Chemical and microbiological characteristics of silage

The inoculants did not affect DM, ash or NDF of the silage (Table 7). The CP and EE differed (P < 0.026) among the silage. The control silage and those inoculated with GP1, GP2, GP3, GP5 and GP31 strains showed the highest CP content (P = 0.026). The silage inoculated with GP2, GP15 and GP 21 strains showed the highest concentration of EE (P < 0.001).

The LAB populations differed (P < 0.001) among the silage (Table 8): those inoculated with the GP15 strain showed the highest LAB population amount. The enterobacteria and Y&M populations were below the minimum detection limit (<2.0 log cfu/g silage) in all the silage studied. The inoculants did not affect the aerobic stability of forage cactus silage (Table 8).

Discussion

Lactic acid bacteria are Gram-positive, catalase-negative and non-spore-forming microorganisms, and their metabolism results in high production of LA (Asa *et al.*, 2010). Microbial inoculants produced from the strains of homofermentative LAB show the potential for converting WSC to lactate, thus decreasing the pH of the ensiled mass. However, some silage may favour the development of Y&M after the opening of the silo, deeming it necessary to use heterofermentative inoculants that produce not only LA but also AA since it has antifungal properties (Carvalho *et al.*, 2014).

Lactobacillus plantarum, isolated from the plant, survives throughout the ensiling process and can be considered a potential inoculant, because it rapidly converts WSC into organic acids, promoting rapid acidification of the ensiled mass, inhibiting deleterious microorganisms (Xu *et al.*, 2017). The present study verified that the strain GP26, identified as belonging to the *W. cibaria*

Table 3. Contents of lactic acid, acetic acid, lactic acid/acetic acid ratio and pH by strains of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) in MRS broth

LAB strain	Lactic acid (mg/dm³)	Acetic acid (mg/dm³)	Lactic/ acetic acid	рН
cf0_5	206.4	137.0	1.51	4.59
cf0_3	885.2	451.4	1.96	4.89
cf0_2	1181.0	594.6	1.99	4.84
cf0_1	1171.4	570.8	2.05	4.79
cf0_15	1097.5	533.0	2.06	4.82
cf0_4	1272.4	355.3	3.58	5.24
cf0_10	935.5	240.5	3.89	5.14
cf0_19	536.2	133.0	4.03	4.65
cf0_7	187.0	46.38	4.03	4.85
cs60_26	2042.1	485.0	4.21	4.37
cf0_6	1184.3	267.8	4.42	5.06
cf0_14	906.4	189.4	4.79	4.97
cf0_12	1027.0	212.9	4.82	5.02
cf0_13	446.1	90.8	4.91	5.01
cf0_8	947.2	192.1	4.93	4.94
cf0_11	1064.7	207.7	5.13	4.97
cf0_20	1074.7	207.1	5.19	4.95
cf0_17	1042.3	158.8	6.56	4.81
cf0_9	960.8	134.6	7.14	4.94
cs60_25	421.4	49.3	8.55	4.43
cs60_29	1605.0	114.6	14.01	4.44
cs60_28	1445.4	102.4	14.12	4.39
cs60_27	1316.4	93.0	14.15	4.31
cf0_16	1450.6	100.4	14.45	4.47
cs60_34	1672.3	112.4	14.88	4.37
cs60_35	1497.6	95.4	15.70	4.38
cs60_40	1317.1	80.0	16.46	4.33
cs60_33	1636.5	97.5	16.78	4.38
cs60_32	1866.6	110.8	16.85	4.39
cs60_36	1511.1	89.6	16.87	4.37
cs60_39	1419.8	81.3	17.47	4.36
cf0_18	1296.7	73.6	17.61	4.44
cs60_37	1282.9	72.7	17.64	4.41
cs60_30	1365.9	71.0	19.23	4.34
cs60_38	1423.1	73.7	19.31	4.39
cs60_22	1401.2	69.8	20.09	4.36
cs60_21	1680.6	82.3	20.42	4.35
cs60_31	1409.9	67.2	20.97	4.36
cs60_24	1627.5	77.4	21.03	4.35
cs60_23	1503.1	64.7	23.23	4.35

cf, cactus forage; cs, cactus silage.

species, a compulsory heterofermentative LAB, although not in the group of the largest producers of AA. Moreover, it is worth mentioning that *W. cibaria* is classified among the species with antimicrobial and antifungal activities (Ndagano *et al.*, 2011). The survival of this species in the acidic conditions of the silo may inhibit undesirable microorganisms in the ensiled material and improve aerobic stability. The identification of strains from the epiphytic microbiota and after the fermentation of the mass aims at the production of a microbial inoculant, capable of promoting an adequate fermentative profile and improving DM recovery of *Opuntia* silage, as well as increasing the aerobic stability of silage.

From the analysis of its chemical composition alone, *Opuntia* would be presumably considered as a plant prone to high losses, due mainly to its low DM content. In addition, the high sugar content could lead to excessive fermentation, predominantly carried out by yeasts. However, *Opuntia* releases a hydrophobic, viscous gel called mucilage when cut (a substance rich in polysaccharides: arabinose, galactose, xylose, rhamnose, glucose and uronic acid) (Felkai-Haddache *et al.*, 2016; Kalegowda *et al.*, 2017), which helps to reduce the runoff of water in the plant, thereby helping to retain it within plant tissues which reduces the effluent losses (Toit *et al.*, 2018).

Mucilage formation directly influences the retention of the liquids present in the ensiled mass, inhibiting fermentation losses, hence resulting in high DM recovery (Monrroy *et al.*, 2017). Although effluent losses are minimized by the presence of mucilage, the excess of WSC in the mucilage can cause secondary fermentations such as alcoholic fermentation or even heterobacterial fermentation by enterobacteria. Inoculants are therefore used to prevent these undesirable fermentations and thus increase the recovery of DM (Gusha *et al.*, 2015).

According to Arriola et al. (2015) and Pholsen et al. (2016), a homofermentative inoculant should be used to improve the fermentation profile of the silage, since it decreases the pH rapidly due to high production of LA, resulting in the highest DM recovery. In contrast, the heterofermentative inoculant presents positive results after the opening of the silo, because of the production of AA that controls the proliferation of Y&M. In this context, an interesting characteristic of Opuntia silage is its high concentration of AA. This favours the growth of heterofermentative LAB through the slow decline of pH in the silage, owing to the presence of buffering substances, such as oxalic, malic, citric, malonic, succinic and tartaric acids resulting from crassulacean acid metabolism (Abidi et al., 2009; Petera et al., 2015; Isaac, 2016). Some of these acids may exert an antifungal action, which is associated with the high AA content that ensures high aerobic stability of the Opuntia silage. This was observed in the present study, since none of the evaluated silage lost aerobic stability during the 96 h of air exposure.

Opuntia shows a high amount of WSC in its composition (125.0 g/kg), favouring higher LA production relative to the other acids. Paradoxically, all the silage presented high concentrations of AA, which can be attributed to a lower reduction of the pH of silage, favouring the performance of heterofermentative LAB in the initial phase of the ensiling process. This fact is interesting because, considering that all silage showed the concentrations of AA close to or above 10 g/kg, they can be considered aerobically stable (Kung Jr and Ranjit, 2001).

~	\mathbf{n}	^
h	×	Ľ
0	U	-

Table 4. Isolates, bacterial species similarity and GenBank access code of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolated from cactus forage and silages

Isolates ^a	Species	Similarity (%)	GenBank access code ^b
Isolated from fresh plants			
GP1	Weissella confusa	99	XWEDU6KA015
GP2	Lactobacillus plantarum	96	XWK3VBWV015
GP3	Weissella confusa	99	XWJZWCY4014
GP4	Weissella paramesenteroides	99	XWG4J3Y4014
GP5	Weissella confusa	98	XWJVYX6XD14
GP7	Lactobacillus plantarum	98	XWGSB8M4015
GP10	Weissella confusa	97	XWG9P2BX01R
GP15	Weissella paramesenteroides	97	XWEKSX3M014
GP18	Lactobacillus plantarum	98	XWHHGDBV015
GP19	Lactobacillus plantarum	99	XWGDW63601R
Isolated from silages			
GP21	Lactobacillus plantarum	98	XWJ8WKAV015
GP22	Lactobacillus plantarum	99	XWJ5A2F7014
GP23	Lactobacillus plantarum	98	XWJN6V6S01R
GP24	Lactobacillus plantarum	98	XWJH0MTE015
GP26	Weissela cibaria	97	XWGY2HDT01R
GP30	Lactobacillus plantarum	98	XWE854EZ014
GP31	Lactobacillus plantarum	99	XWJD8VB801R
GP37	Lactobacillus plantarum	98	XWHRW4FN015
GP38	Lactobacillus plantarum	96	XWJ0PVEH014
GP39	Lactobacillus plantarum	98	XWHDNH33014

^aStrains with identification from GP1 to GP19 were isolated from cactus forage. Strains with identification from GP21 to GP39 were isolated from cactus silages. ^bIdentification based on ~1500 base pair gene that code for a portion of the 16S rDNA.

Table	5.	Effects	of	microbial	inoculant	on	gas	and	effluent	losses	and	dry
matter	r re	covery o	of c	actus silag	ge							

	LAB strains	GL (g/kg DM)	EL (g/kg DM)	DMR (g/kg)
Control	Without inoculant	92.7	9.96	893.0 ^b
GP1	W. confusa	58.2	6.03	961.2 ^a
GP2	L. plantarum	77.2	10.47	884.9 ^b
GP3	W. confusa	58.1	6.16	939.1 ^a
GP5	W. confusa	59.2	3.95	941.0 ^a
GP15	W. paramesenteroides	63.5	6.31	959.4 ^a
GP21	L. plantarum	55.1	9.15	952.9 ^a
GP22	L. plantarum	36.6	4.81	976.9 ^a
GP23	L. plantarum	44.3	4.72	931.3 ^a
GP24	L. plantarum	35.5	3.99	973.4 ^a
GP31	L. plantarum	54.3	4.91	969.3 ^a
P value		0.769	0.116	< 0.001
S.E.M.		21.5	1.80	1.44

LAB, lactic acid bacteria; GL, gas losses; EL, effluent losses; DMR, dry matter recovery; DM, dry matter.

The values within a column with different superscripts are significantly different.

The NH₃-NT content is related to proteolysis, which occurs during the fermentation process and results from the growth of undesirable microorganisms, such as clostridia and enterobacteria (Muck, 2010). Costa et al. (2016) state that well-fermented silage should present NH₃-NT contents <100 g/kg of total nitrogen. Opuntia silage can, therefore, be considered to have an adequate fermentation profile since the NH₃-N/NT contents were in the range of 0.99-2.63 g/kg. Protein hydrolysis occurs during fermentation of the ensiled mass, generating molecules such as soluble nitrogenous compounds, NH₃, free amino acids and bioactive peptides, which have functions related to the probiotic characteristics of L. plantarum (Crowley et al., 2013; Li et al., 2018). Under limited nutrient conditions, LAB can derive the energy required for their metabolism from the catabolism of these amino acids. However, this system varies among the strains of the same species and among different species of LAB (Ke et al., 2018; Shah et al., 2018). Reduced protein content might be indicative of the proteolytic activity of some strains used in the present study, which requires further investigation at the gene expression level to confirm the performance of proteolytic enzymes synthesized by these strains.

Lower amounts of LAB were found at the end of the fermentation period when compared to the fresh plant, as also found by several previous authors (Moon *et al.*, 1981; Alli *et al.*, 1983; Pedroso *et al.*, 2005; Filya and Sucu, 2010). In general, LAB multiply rapidly in the first days of fermentation, coinciding with the

	LAB strain	LA (g/kg DM)	AA (g/kg DM)	PA (g/kg DM)	BA (g/kg DM)	LA/AA (g/kg DM)	WSC (g/kg DM)	рН	NH ₃ -N/TN (g/kg TN)	BC (mEq NaOH/100 g DM)
Control	Without inoculant	64.8	14.0	0.12	0.008	4.63	92.7 ^b	4.07	2.09 ^a	0.07
GP1	W. confusa	58.9	11.6	0.06	0.009	5.07	123.6 ^a	4.19	1.77 ^b	0.09
GP2	L. plantarum	53.1	12.6	0.09	0.008	4.21	79.1 ^c	4.04	1.53 ^b	0.09
GP3	W. confusa	56.9	12.3	0.07	0.011	4.62	73.9 ^c	4.15	1.58 ^b	0.10
GP5	W. confusa	53.9	10.4	0.08	0.008	5.18	48.1 ^d	4.03	1.90 ^a	0.10
GP15	W. paramesenteroides	52.2	11.5	0.07	0.007	4.53	69.8 ^c	3.95	2.56 ^a	0.10
GP21	L. plantarum	56.3	10.8	0.04	0.007	5.21	66.9 ^c	4.16	2.63 ^a	0.08
GP22	L. plantarum	67.4	11.4	0.04	0.008	5.91	96.6 ^b	3.87	2.25 ^a	0.10
GP23	L. plantarum	54.3	10.2	0.06	0.008	5.32	47.9 ^d	4.04	0.99 ^c	0.08
GP24	L. plantarum	49.0	09.8	0.05	0.009	5.00	79.4 ^c	3.94	2.08 ^a	0.14
GP31	L. plantarum	58.3	11.3	0.05	0.008	5.16	45.9 ^d	4.07	1.94 ^a	0.08
P value		0.545	0.267	0.322	0.056	0.148	<0.001	0.861	<0.001	0.131
S.E.M.		0.58	0.1	0.02	0.0007	0.36	0.42	0.13	0.19	0.01

Table 6. Effects of microbial inoculant on the concentrations of lactic acid (LA), acetic acid (AA), propionic acid (PA), butyric acid (BA), lactic acid to acetic acid ratio (LA/AA), water-soluble carbohydrates (WSC), ammonia nitrogen ratio to total nitrogen (NH₃-N/TN) and buffering capacity (BC) of cactus silage

LAB, lactic acid bacteria; DM, dry matter.

The values within a column with different superscripts are significantly different.

 Table 7. Effects of microbial inoculant on the chemical composition of cactus silage

	LAB strain	DM (g/kg FM)	Ash (g/kg DM)	CP (g/kg DM)	EE (g/kg DM)	NDF (g/kg DM)
Control	Without inoculant	194.6	92.1	67.2 ^a	57.7 ^c	115
GP1	W. confusa	205.5	83.2	63.1 ^a	47.0 ^d	105
GP2	L. plantarum	201.0	84.3	67.2 ^a	79.7 ^a	127
GP3	W. confusa	203.5	87.3	62.0 ^a	65.8 ^b	122
GP5	W. confusa	202.9	80.1	65.0 ^a	70.9 ^b	125
GP15	W. paramesenteroides	207.7	78.8	56.7 ^b	77.0 ^a	116
GP21	L. plantarum	205.0	86.7	49.6 ^b	84.0 ^a	125
GP22	L. plantarum	207.2	86.6	49.7 ^b	68.7 ^b	122
GP23	L. plantarum	223.8	82.3	55.7 ^b	65.1 ^b	125
GP24	L. plantarum	209.1	86.3	54.7 ^b	48.3 ^d	108
GP31	L. plantarum	211.8	80.0	59.8 ^a	33.5 ^e	106
P value		0.406	0.401	0.026	<0.001	0.873
S.E.M.		0.69	0.25	0.30	0.20	10.5

LAB, lactic acid bacteria; DM, dry matter; FM, fresh matter; CP, crude protein; EE, ether extract; NDF, neutral detergent fibre.

The values within a column with different superscripts are significantly different.

period of intense acidification of silage (Li *et al.*, 2015). The stabilization of the LAB population promotes intense acidification of the silage environment and can reduce the LAB population (Pedroso *et al.*, 2005; Ávila *et al.*, 2009; Filya and Sucu, 2010).

Conversely, a fascinating observation was the presence of enterobacteria and Y&M at the end of the fermentative process. These were present despite the final pH being below that recommended for enterobacteria (Weirich *et al.*, 2018) and despite the amount of acetic fermentation, which would normally inhibit fungi and yeasts. Kung Jr *et al.* (2018) mention that the silage will certainly be aerobically stable if there is effective control of

yeasts throughout the fermentation period. Another fact to consider regarding *Opuntia* ensiling is its high density, obtained as a function of the presence of mucilage, which aggregates the plant particles and expels oxygen rapidly from the silo, thereby also contributing to the inhibition of fungal populations.

Analysis of the obtained results confirmed that the inoculation fulfilled its expected function of triggering lactic fermentation and minimizing fermentation losses, while the high content of AA contributed to ensuring the aerobic stability of the evaluated silage. It is evident that the high amount of WSC contributes to the acidification of the ensiled mass while the buffering delays

Table 8. Effects of microbial inoculant on microbial populations (log cfu/g silage) and aerobic stability of cactus silage

	LAB strain	LAB	Enterobacteria	Yeast and moulds	Aerobic stability (h)
Control	Without inoculant	4.50 ^b	<2.00	<2.00	>96
GP1	W. confusa	2.51 ^e	<2.00	<2.00	>96
GP2	L. plantarum	4.39 ^b	<2.00	<2.00	>96
GP3	W. confusa	4.56 ^b	<2.00	<2.00	>96
GP5	W. confusa	3.66 ^c	<2.00	<2.00	>96
GP15	W. paramesenteroides	5.57 ^a	<2.00	<2.00	>96
GP21	L. plantarum	3.71 ^c	<2.00	<2.00	>96
GP22	L. plantarum	2.85 ^d	<2.00	<2.00	>96
GP23	L. plantarum	4.38 ^b	<2.00	<2.00	>96
GP24	L. plantarum	3.63 ^c	<2.00	<2.00	>96
GP31	L. plantarum	3.71 ^c	<2.00	<2.00	>96
P value		<0.01	-	-	-
S.E.M.		0.078	-	-	-

LAB, lactic acid bacteria.

The values within a column with different superscripts are significantly different.

acidification somewhat, leading to a high consumption of sugars and decreasing DM recovery. The inoculation probably accelerated acidification, thus ensuring high recovery of DM at the end of fermentation. Taking into account that there was no aerobic deterioration, the ensilage of *Opuntia* with inoculants of the autochthonous microbiota is shown as a highly efficient technique. Even so, further studies should be conducted to explore the potential of these microorganisms as inoculants, mainly to investigate their genomic constitution and other favourable characteristics that these strains can add to the silage process of *Opuntia* or even other forages used for ensiling.

Given the above, microbial diversity is observed in *Opuntia* silage, with a predominance of LAB from the genus *Weissella* in the plant and the genus *Lactobacillus* in *Opuntia* silage; the predominant action of inoculants was the maximized DM recovery of the silage, which could be the criterion adopted to select the strains of LAB for use as inoculants in *Opuntia* silage.

Financial support. This research was supported by the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES-Brazil) and by the Maranhão State Research Foundation (FAPEMA-Brazil).

Conflict of interest. The authors declare there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical standards. Not applicable.

References

- Abidi S, Ben Salem H, Vasta V and Priolo A (2009) Supplementation with barley or spineless cactus (*Opuntia ficus indica* f. inermis) cladodes on digestion, growth and intramuscular fatty acid composition in sheep and goats receiving oaten hay. *Small Ruminant Research* 87, 9–16.
- Aguilar-Yáñez MI, Hernández-Mendo O, Guerrero-Legarreta I, Ramírez-Bribiesca JE, Aranda-Osorio G and Crosby-Galvan MM (2011) Productive response of lambs fed with fresh or dehydrated spineless cactus (Opuntia ficus indica L.). Journal of the Professional Association for Cactus Development 13, 23–35.
- Alli I, Fairbairn R, Baker BE and Garcia G (1983) The effects of ammonia on the fermentation of chopped sugarcane. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **9**, 291–299.

- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW and Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **215**, 403–410.
- Andrade-Montemayor HM, Cordova-Torres AV, García-Gasca T and Kawas JR (2011) Alternative foods for small ruminants in semiarid zones, the case of Mesquite (*Prosopis laevigata* spp.) and Nopal (*Opuntia* Spp.). Small Ruminant Research 98, 83–92.
- Arriola KG, Queiroz OCM, Romero JJ, Casper D, Muniz E, Hamie J and Adesogan AT (2015) Effect of microbial inoculants on the quality and aerobic stability of bermudagrass round-bale haylage. *Journal of Dairy Science* 98, 478–485.
- Asa TAR, Uehara A, Shinzato I, Toride Y, Usui N, Hirakawa K and Takahashi J (2010) Effects of protease-resistant antimicrobial substances produced by lactic acid bacteria on rumen methanogenesis. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science* 23, 700–707.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) (1990) Official Methods of Analysis, 15th Edn. Arlington, VA, USA: AOAC.
- Ávila CLS, Pinto JC, Figueiredo HCP and Schwan RF (2009) Effects of an indigenous and a commercial *Lactobacillus buchneri* strain on quality of sugar cane silage. *Grass and Forage Science* 64, 384–394.
- Carvalho BF, Ávila CLS, Pinto JC, Neri J and Schwan RF (2014) Microbiological and chemical profile of sugar cane silage fermentation inoculated with wild strains of lactic acid bacteria. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **195**, 1–13.
- Costa RF, Pires DAA, Moura MMA, Sales ECJ, Rodrigues JAS and Rigueira JPS (2016) Agronomic characteristics of sorghum genotypes and nutritional values of silage. Acta Scientiarum. Animal Sciences 38, 127–133.
- Crowley S, Mahony J and van Sinderen D (2013) Current perspectives on antifungal lactic acid bacteria as natural bio-preservatives. *Trends in Food Science & Technology* 33, 93–109.
- Çürek M and Özen N (2004) Feed value of cactus and cactus silage. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences 28, 633–639.
- Detmann E, Souza MA, Valadares Filho SC, Queiroz AC, Berchielli TT, Saliba EOS, Cabral LS, Pina DS, Ladeira MM and Azevedo JAG (2012) Métodos para Análise de Alimentos. Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia de Ciência Animal. 1st Edn. Visconde do Rio Branco, Brazil: Suprema.
- du Toit A, de Wit M and Hugo A (2018) Cultivar and harvest month influence the nutrient content of *Opuntia* Spp. cactus pear cladode mucilage extracts. *Molecules* 23, 1–12.
- Felkai-Haddache L, Remini H, Dulong V, Mamou-Belhabib K, Picton L, Madani K and Rihouey C (2016) Conventional and microwave-assisted

extraction of mucilage from *Opuntia ficus-indica* cladodes: physicochemical and rheological properties. *Food and Bioprocess Technology* **9**, 481–492.

- Filya I and Sucu E (2010) The effects of lactic acid bacteria on the fermentation, aerobic stability and nutritive value of maize silage. *Grass and Forage Science* **65**, 446–455.
- Gusha J, Katsande S, Zvinorova PI and Ncube SL (2013) The nutritional composition and acceptability of cacti (*Opuntia ficus indica*)-legume mixed silage. Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research 3, 116–120.
- Gusha J, Halimani TE, Ngongoni NT and Ncube S (2015) Effect of feeding cactus-legume silages on nitrogen retention, digestibility and microbial protein synthesis in goats. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 206, 1–7.
- Heuer H, Krsek M, Baker P, Smalla K and Wellington EM (1997) Analysis of actinomycete communities by specific amplification of genes encoding 16S rRNA and gelelectrophoretic separation in denaturing gradients. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **63**, 3233–3241.
- Holt JG, Krieg NR and Sneath PHA (1994) Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 9th Edn, Baltimore, MD, USA: Williams and Wilkins.
- Isaac AA (2016) Overview of cactus (Opuntia ficus-indica (L): a myriad of alternatives. Studies on Ethno-Medicine 10, 195–205.
- Kalegowda P, Chauhan AS and Urs SMN (2017) Opuntia dillenii (Ker-Gawl) Haw cladode mucilage: physico-chemical, rheological and functional behavior. Carbohydrate Polymers 157, 1057–1064.
- Ke W, Ding W, Xu D, Shah MN, Zhang P and Guo X (2018) Influences of addition of malic acid or citric acid, *Lactobacillus plantarum* and their mixtures on fermentation quality, proteolysis and fatty acid composition of ensiled alfalfa. *Archives of Animal Nutrition* 72, 492–502.
- Kleinschmit DH and Kung L Jr (2006) The effects of Lactobacillus buchneri 40788 and Pediococcus pentosaceus R1094 on the fermentation of corn silage. Journal of Dairy Science 89, 3999–4004.
- Kung L Jr and Ranjit NK (2001) The effect of *Lactobacillus buchneri* and other additives on the fermentation and aerobic stability of barley silage. *Journal of Dairy Science* 84, 1149–1155.
- Kung L Jr, Shaver RD, Grant RJ and Schmidt RJ (2018) Silage review: interpretation of chemical, microbial, and organoleptic components of silages. *Journal of Dairy Science* 101, 4020–4033.
- Li D, Ni K, Pang H, Wang Y, Cai Y and Jin Q (2015) Identification and antimicrobial activity detection of lactic acid bacteria isolated from corn stover silage. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science* 28, 620–631.
- Li X, Tian J, Zhang Q, Jiang Y, Hou J, Wu Z and Yu Z (2018) Effects of applying *Lactobacillus plantarum* and Chinese gallnut tannin on the dynamics of protein degradation and proteases activity in alfalfa silage. *Grass and Forage Science* 73, 648–659.
- Macêdo AJS, Santos EM, Araújo GGL, Edvan RL, Oliveira JS, Perazzo AF, Sá WCCS and Pereira DM (2018) Silages in the form of diet based on spineless cactus and buffelgrass. *African Journal of Range & Forage Science* 35, 121–129.
- Mertens DR (2002) Gravimetric determination of amylase-treated neutral detergent fiber in feeds with refluxing in beakers or crucibles: collaborative study. *Journal of AOAC International* **85**, 1217–1240.
- Monrroy M, García E, Ríos K and García JR (2017) Extraction and physicochemical characterization of mucilage from *Opuntia cochenillifera* (L.) Miller. *Journal of Chemistry* 2017, 1–9.
- Moon NJ, Ely L and Sudweeks EM (1981) Fermentation of wheat, corn and alfalfa silages inoculated with *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Candida* sp. at ensiling. *Journal of Dairy Science* 64, 807–813.
- Muck RE (2008) Improving alfalfa silage quality with inoculants and silo management. In Proceedings of the 70th Annual Cornell Nutrition Conference for Feed Manufacturers. Syracuse, NY, USA: Cornell University Syracuse, pp. 137–146.
- Muck RE (2010) Silage microbiology and its control through additives. *Revista* Brasileira de Zootecnia **39**, 183–191.

- Ndagano D, Lamoureux T, Dortu C, Vandermoten S and Thonart P (2011) Antifungal activity of 2 lactic acid bacteria of the *Weissella* Genus isolated from food. *Journal of Food Science* **76**, M305–M311.
- Nelson N (1944) A photometric adaptation of the Somogyi method for the determination of glucose. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 153, 375–380.
- Pedroso AF, Nussio LG, Paziani SF, Loures DRS, Igarasi MS, Coelho RM, Packer IH, Hori IJ and Gomes LH (2005) Fermentation and epiphytic microflora dynamics in sugar cane silage. *Scientia Agricola* 62, 427–432.
- Petera B, Delattre C, Pierre G, Wadouachi A, Elboutachfaiti R, Engel E, Poughon L, Michaud P and Fenoradosoa TA (2015) Characterization of arabinogalactan-rich mucilage from *Cereus triangularis* cladodes. *Carbohydrate Polymers* 127, 372–380.
- Pholsen S, Khota W, Pang H, Higgs D and Cai Y (2016) Characterization and application of lactic acid bacteria for tropical silage preparation. *Animal Science Journal* 87, 1202–1211.
- Playne MJ and McDonald P (1966) The buffering constituents of herbage and of silage. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 17, 264–268.
- **Rodrigues AM, Pitacas FI, Reis CMG and Blasco M** (2016) Nutritional value of opuntia ficus-indica cladodes from Portuguese ecotypes. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science* **22**, 40–45.
- Santos AO, Ávila CLS, Pinto JC, Carvalho BF, Dias DR and Schwan RF (2016) Fermentative profile and bacterial diversity of corn silages inoculated with new tropical lactic acid bacteria. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 120, 266–279.
- Saraiva CAS, Gonzaga Neto S, Henriques LT, Queiroz MFS, Saraiva EP, Albuquerque RPF, Fonseca VFC and Nascimento GV (2015) Forage cactus associated with different fiber sources for lactating Sindhi cows: production and composition of milk and ingestive behavior. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* 44, 60–66.
- Schmidt RJ, Hu W, Mills JA and Kung Junior L (2009) The development of lactic acid bacteria and *Lactobacillus buchneri* and their effects on the fermentation of alfalfa silage. *Journal of Dairy Science* 92, 5005–5010.
- Shah AA, Xianjun Y, Zhihao D, Junfeng L and Sao T (2018) Microbiological and chemical profiles of elephant grass inoculated with and without *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Pediococcus acidilactici. Archives of Microbiology* 200, 311–328.
- Siegfried VR, Ruckemann H and Stumpf G (1984) Method for the determination of organic acids in silage by high performance liquid chromatography. *Landwirtschaftliche Forschung* 37, 298–304.
- Sifeeldein A, Yuan Z, Dong Z, Li J, Youns H and Shao T (2018) Characterization and identification of lactic acid bacteria by 16S rRNA gene sequence and their effect on the fermentation quality of Elephant Grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) Silage. *Kafkas Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi* 24, 123–130.
- Silva RC, Ferreira MA, Oliveira JCV, Santos DC, Gama MAS, Chagas JCC, Inácio JG, Silva ETS and Pereira LGR (2018) Orelha de Elefante Mexicana (Opuntia stricta [Haw.] Haw.) spineless cactus as an option in crossbred dairy cattle diet. South African Journal of Animal Science 48, 516–525.
- Vilela MS, Ferreira MA, Azevedo M, Modesto EC, Farias I, Guimarães AV and Bispo SV (2010) Effect of processing and feeding strategy of the spineless cactus (*Opuntia ficus-indica* Mill.) for lactating cows: ingestive behavior. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 125, 1–8.
- Weirich DT, Neres MA, Hunoff CA, Ströher SM, Nath CD, Sunahara SMM, Sarto JRW and Oldoni T (2018) Microbiological profile and aerobic stability of Tifton 85 bermudagrass silage with or without vacuum and microbial inoculants. *Bioscience Journal* 34, 151–161.
- Xu Z, He H, Zhang S and Kong J (2017) Effects of inoculants Lactobacillus brevis and Lactobacillus parafarraginis on the fermentation characteristics and microbial communities of corn stover silage. Scientific Reports 7, 1–9.
- Zanine AM, Santos EM, Dórea JRR, Dantas PAS, Silva TC and Pereira OG (2010) Evaluation of elephant grass silage with the addition of cassava scrapings. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* **39**, 2611–2616.