

Corrigendum

A comparison of the efficacy of multiple ultraviolet light room decontamination devices in a radiology procedure room — CORRIGENDUM

In the original published article by Cadnum et al¹, figure 3.B was incorrectly repeated instead of figure 4.B. The correct version of Figure 4 appears on the following page. The authors apologize for this error.




Fig. 4. Efficacy of 3 nonstandard ultraviolet light decontamination devices in reducing (A) methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), (B) vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*, and (C) *Clostridium difficile* spores on 20-mm² steel disk carriers placed on a radiology procedure table. The nonstandard devices included a device with 3 adjustable lamps that can be oriented to provide closer proximity to the surface of interest, a robotic device that moves along the side of the table during the treatment cycle, and a device that has 3 vertical towers that run simultaneously to reduce the impact of shadowing. The devices were operated for a 4-minute cycle and reductions in pathogens were measured in comparison to untreated controls. The means of data from triplicate experiments are presented. Error bars indicate standard deviation.

Reference

1. Cadnum, JL, Jenson, AL, Gestrich, SA, *et al.* A comparison of the efficacy of multiple UV light room decontamination devices in a radiology procedure room. *ICHE* 2019;40:158–163.