

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Changing relationships between HIV prevalence and circumcision in Lesotho

Michel Garenne^{1,2,3} 

¹MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit, School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, ²Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), UMI Résiliences, Bondy, France and ³Senior Fellow, FERDI, Université d'Auvergne, Clermont-Ferrand, France
Emails: mgarenne@hotmail.com; Michel.Garenne@ird.fr

(Received 30 November 2021; revised 20 March 2022; accepted 21 March 2022; first published online 04 April 2022)

Abstract

The study investigates the complex relationships between circumcision and HIV prevalence in Lesotho, using Demographic and Health surveys (DHS) conducted in 2004, 2009 and 2014. Before the HIV epidemic, about half of the male adult population was circumcised as part of a traditional custom, and this proportion increased markedly after 2008 with the campaigns of Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision (VMMC), while HIV prevalence stayed at the same level. In 2004, HIV prevalence was higher in circumcised groups than in intact groups (RR=1.49, 95% CI=1.20-1.86). This relationship changed over time, and was inverted in 2014 (RR=0.86; 95% CI=0.70-1.06). The changing relationship seems to be due to an interaction with education, with more educated men being more circumcised and having less HIV over time. A multivariate analysis showed no net effect of circumcision on HIV, after controlling for wealth, education, and indicators of marriage and sexual behaviour. A small net effect of VMMC was found, probably due to condom use. In couple studies, the effect of circumcision and VMMC on HIV was not significant, with similar transmission from female to male and male to female. The study questions the amount of effort and money spent on VMMC in Lesotho.

Key words: HIV/AIDS; Circumcision; Lesotho

Introduction

The relationship between circumcision and HIV infection in Africa has been the source of numerous controversies (Connolly et al. 2008; Garenne 2006, 2008, 2010; Garenne et al. 2013; Rosenberg et al. 2018; van Howe 1999, 2015). In particular, the effect of circumcision on HIV incidence in randomized clinical trials (RCT's) is different from the association between circumcision and HIV prevalence in real life populations. For instance, whereas RCT's show a reduction of incidence by about half among newly circumcised men in the short run, the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) show no effect on prevalence in the long run (Garenne 2008; Garenne & Matthews 2020). Among the 42 African DHS's tabulating HIV infection by circumcision status, 21 find more HIV among circumcised men, and 21 find more HIV among intact men (DHS/StatCompiler 2021). Even though most of these differences are not statistically significant, the standardized relative risk of HIV by circumcision status is close to 1, and this was found already in earlier studies (Garenne 2008). There are many reasons why findings in populations could differ from those in RCT's. National populations are complex and numerous interactions could exist between HIV, circumcision, socio-economic status, ethnicity, and a variety of exposure variables. For instance, in a population with two groups, one with high exposure to sexually transmitted infections (STI's) and universal

circumcision and the other one with the opposite features (low exposure to STI's and no circumcision), one would find a relationship opposite to that expected from RCT's. In addition, a 50% reduction in incidence from high level (say from 4% to 2% a year) still guarantees that men exposed continuously to HIV will eventually contract the infection because of repeated exposure over the years. This seems to be the case in South Africa, where fully circumcised groups have very high infection rates, among the highest in the world, as the Xhosa or the Shangaan (Rosenberg et al. 2018; South Africa/DHS 2019). The demographic impact of circumcision on HIV prevalence therefore depends on the local situation and on the level of infection.

Following the 2007 recommendation of the World Health Organisation (WHO) to promote circumcision as an additional tool for preventing HIV, 14 countries in Eastern and Southern Africa undertook mass campaigns of Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision (VMMC) (WHO 2012). These campaigns include not only the surgical act of circumcision but also health education and condom promotion, since regular condom use is necessary to really protect from HIV transmission in case of exposure. In addition, being based, in principle, on a voluntary decision and on informed consent, these campaigns are likely to select men who are better informed and are more motivated to prevent HIV. So, evaluating the impact of VMMC in a population includes not only the surgical procedure but also selection biases and changing behaviour.

Lesotho is an interesting case because at the onset of the HIV epidemic about half of the male adult population was already circumcised as a traditional custom, because levels of HIV prevalence were very high, and because the country undertook a major program of VMMC in recent years. The issue of the relationships between circumcision and HIV in Lesotho was addressed by several authors (Bulled 2015; Carrasco et al., 2020; Coburn et al. 2013; Low et al. 2019; Maffioli 2017; Makatjane et al. 2016; Thin et al. 2019; Warren 2010).

Lesotho undertook several demographic surveys with information on circumcision and HIV prevalence, providing the information needed to investigate these relationships at population level. Lesotho was also one of the countries where HIV prevalence was significantly higher among circumcised men than among intact men in 2004 (RR=1.49, $P < 0.0003$). The aim of this study was therefore to investigate what happened in Lesotho since 2004, to search for socio-economic and behavioural correlates of HIV and of circumcision, and to examine the association between the VMMC campaign and HIV prevalence. In a first part the paper provides background information necessary to understand the local situation, and the second part presents the statistical analysis of the complex relationships between HIV and circumcision, in the population and among couples.

Background

History

Lesotho is a territory embedded in South Africa. The territory was peopled by Southern Sotho tribes, who settled there in the 17th century. The country is therefore homogeneous in terms of ethnicity and language. The territory went through a troubled political period in the 18th century and early 19th century, with fights against nearby ethnic groups. The situation changed with the arrival of Europeans coming from the Cape colony. The country was first unified under the leadership of King Moshoeshoe (1823-1870). The current territory was delineated in 1868 when it became a British protectorate, named Basutoland. The territory refused to join the South Africa Union in 1910, and became independent in 1966, as a constitutional kingdom, following a British model. The first Christian missionaries (French Calvinists of the "*Société des Missions Évangéliques de Paris*") arrived in 1833, soon followed by Roman Catholic missionaries (1862), by other European churches (Lutherans, Anglicans), and by African churches such as Christian Zionists (1912). By the early 20th century most people had converted to one of the churches. In the 2014 DHS, 98% of men age 15-59 acknowledge the Christian faith, the largest group being the Roman Catholic Church (38%), followed by the Pentecostal Church (25%), the

Evangelical Church (17%), and the Anglican Church (7%). Religious affiliation could be important as it has an influence on marriage patterns and tolerance for sexual behaviour, and also as it can plausibly influence circumcision practices.

Geography

Lesotho is a small country (30,355 km²), located in high altitude, and mainly mountainous. Several rivers created large valleys permitting agriculture, in particular the Caledon valley, which borders South Africa, and the Senqu (Orange) river. The administration distinguishes four ecological zones, also used in censuses and surveys: “Lowlands” in the North-West, which includes the capital city, is a more developed area, and is close to South Africa; “Foothills”; “Mountains”, and “Senqu River Valley”. The lowlands is a peculiar area because it hosts a population more urbanized, wealthier and with a higher level of education. It was therefore considered separately in the statistical analysis.

Economics and Migration

Lesotho is a poor country, mainly rural, with low level of industrialization, and with a low income per capita compared with South Africa. The average Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita for the 2004-2014 period was 2300 \$, that is 5.1 times less than in nearby South Africa (11700 \$) (World Development Indicators 2017). The great imbalance in employment opportunities and wages induced huge migration flows from Lesotho to South Africa. Migration of Lesotho workers started with the development of the mining industry in the later part of the 19th century. By 1990, at the peak of the mines in South Africa, Lesotho men were accounting for 25% of the whole labour force in the gold mines, and 57% of foreign migrant workers (Kok et al. 2006). The number of registered Lesotho workers in South Africa was about 100,000, which accounts for about 25% of the male population age 20-59. The pattern of migration changed after 1990, with fewer men and more women migrating. In recent years, it was estimated that 80% of migrant men worked in the mines and about 50% of migrant women were employed as domestic workers (Crush et al. 2010). Migrations played a major role in the spread of HIV in Lesotho. Male migrants were mostly married men, who kept a wife at home, and were visiting her on a regular basis, often monthly or several times a year. In contrast, female migrants tended to be single, widowed or divorced, who were coming back home less often. In both cases, men and women migrants were at high risk of contracting sexually transmitted diseases such as HIV in South Africa (Brummer 2002; Lurie et al. 2003; Palk & Blower 2015).

Demography

Due to the complex geography and arid climate, population size is moderate, about 2 million inhabitants. After a period of population growth since the 19th century, the population stagnated in recent years because of lower fertility, higher mortality (due to HIV/AIDS), and large out-migration flows. As a result, population size hardly changed between the last two censuses: 2.02 million in 2006 and 2.01 million in 2016. The country was essentially rural at the beginning of the 20th century, and urbanized rapidly after independence: 12% in 1976, 17% in 1996, and 34% in 2016. Migrations have an impact on the population counted as “resident and present”, the population interviewed in demographic surveys. For instance, at the 2016 census, some 16% of the adult population age 20-49 was considered as “absent”, mostly migrants to South Africa, 20% for men and 13% for women. Recent migration flows, with large numbers of women out of the country, created a surprising sex-ratio in the adult resident (*de jure*) population: 108 in the 30-39 age group. These patterns are unique in Africa, and signal an imbalance between sexes, which probably played a role in the spread of HIV/AIDS (Modo 2001). Marriage is nearly universal in Lesotho,

with some 6% of men never married by age 50 and 7% of women never married by age 40, values higher than the African average, but much lower than those in South Africa (Garenne 2016).

HIV in Lesotho

The dynamics of the HIV epidemic followed closely these in South Africa. First cases of HIV/AIDS were detected in 1986, followed by a rapid increase in seroprevalence between 1990 and 2004, and a stagnation afterwards due to maturation of the epidemic, changing behaviour, and arrival of anti-retroviral therapy (ART). By 2004, the level of HIV infection in Lesotho was comparable to that of the Black/African population in South Africa. HIV spread all over the country, with minor differences in seroprevalence by geographical location (urban and rural areas, ecological zone, district) (Lesotho/DHS 2005, 2010, 2016). The HIV epidemic in Lesotho had a mortality impact similar to that found in South Africa, with a major drop in life expectancy between 1990 and 2004, followed by a recovery (Gona et al. 2020).

Circumcision in Lesotho

The Sotho tribes were probably used to practice male circumcision in the past, as many groups all over Africa. Circumcision was part of the initiation ceremony of young men (*Lebollo la banna*), a rite of passage from childhood to adulthood found in most African traditional societies (Mohlaloka et al. 2016). Traditional circumcision occurred at the end of adolescence, around age 16-20 (average = 18.8 years), sometimes earlier or later, and somewhat later on average than among other African groups. The practice of circumcision seems to have changed in the early 20th century, because Christian missionaries, as well as British authorities during the Protectorate, discouraged the practice which was causing numerous casualties. For instance, the Roman Catholic Church threatened its followers of excommunication in case of circumcision, and Chief Lentshwe, who converted to Christianity in 1892, prohibited circumcision. As a result, at the onset of HIV epidemic (1990) about half of adult men were circumcised. At the 2004 DHS, 51.4% of men age 15-59 were circumcised, with little variations by district (38.9% to 66.5%), and little variations by religious affiliation (47.5% among Roman Catholics; 48.3% among Evangelicals; 51.5% among Anglicans; 57.5% among Other Christians). This situation is rare in Africa, and constitutes a quasi-experimental situation, with similar numbers of circumcised and intact men, and no interaction with ethnicity or religion: a single ethnic group, almost all Christians, little differences by Church, whereas in other African countries circumcision is usually an ethnic or a religious custom. Traditional circumcision seems to have remained stable in the years 1970-2007, before campaigns of Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision (VMMC) were launched after 2008, following a recommendation by the World Health Organization, and financed largely by “The United States President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief” (US-PEPFAR) and other international agencies (WHO 2012).

Data and Methods

DHS surveys

Lesotho conducted three Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) with information on HIV and circumcision, every five years, in 2004, 2009, and 2014, the first survey being conducted at the peak of the HIV epidemic (Lesotho/DHS 2005, 2010, 2016). Circumcision was defined as declared by the respondent, and medical circumcision (VMMC) was asked only in 2009 and 2014, as there were very few medical circumcisions in 2004. HIV infection was defined by positive serology in a blood test. Details of the laboratory procedures are provided in the final reports (Lesotho/DHS 2005, 2010, 2016). In brief, blood samples are tested with two ELISA tests, and in case of discrepancy a Western Blot test is processed.

The DHS's provide numerous indicators of socio-economic status, used in this study: urban residence, ecological zone, household wealth (quintile), and level of education defined by years of schooling. The DHS's also provide several indicators of exposure to risk of STI's and sexual behaviour: marital status, number of spouses (polygamy), age at first marriage, age at first sexual intercourse, life-time number of sexual partners, paid for sex in past 12 months, and condom use in past 12 months. Unfortunately, there was no information on previous migration to South Africa. For the statistical analysis, most variables were used as provided by the surveys, with some basic recoding, and traditional circumcision was distinguished from VMMC. For the multivariate analysis, age was replaced by the duration since first sexual intercourse, to better estimate the exposure to sexual transmission of HIV. Wealth was represented by the wealth quintiles defined by the DHS's. Level of education was grouped into four categories of years of schooling: '0-1'; '2-4'; '5-7'; '8+'. Other variables were taken as defined by the DHS.

Methods

The statistical investigation of the relationship between circumcision and HIV infection was conducted using classic cross-tabulations, and by linear-logistic regression on the probability of being infected, coding 1 for HIV positive and 0 for HIV negative. Several regression models were tested, independent variables being circumcision status and type of circumcision, socio-economic variables, exposure variables, and time trends. Calculations were done with SPSS-17. Details of the variables used in each model are provided in the results section.

Results

DHS samples

The three Lesotho DHS's were based on representative samples of about 9,000 households and 11,000 men age 15-59 each, of which about a third was sampled for in-depth interview and HIV testing. The pooled sample used for this analysis included 9045 men, of which 8075 had complete information on HIV and circumcision (Table 1). In 2004, 48.1% of men were circumcised, 52.0% in 2009, and 72.2% in 2014, showing a major push of the VMMC campaigns: some 20.2% of the male adult population were circumcised within five years. HIV prevalence hardly changed over the period, with 18.8% (2004), 18.4% (2009) and 19.5% (2014) men infected. If anything, HIV prevalence did not decline over the period, and this was confirmed by a more recent survey conducted in 2016/2017 (Lesotho/PHIA 2019). These values were consistent with HIV prevalence among Black/African men age 15-59 in nearby South Africa: 18.1% in 2002, and 17.5% in 2017 (South Africa/HSRC, 2002, 2014).

The dynamics of the HIV epidemic varied by circumcision status: HIV prevalence was lower among the intact groups in 2004, but increased steadily from 15.1% to 21.6% over the period, whereas prevalence among the circumcised groups declined somewhat from 22.6% to 18.7%. As a result, the relative risk of HIV infection by circumcision status changed over the period, and declined from 1.49 [95% CI: 1.20-1.86] to 0.86 [95% CI: 0.70-1.06], the difference being statistically significant ($P = 0.0004$) (Table 1). This could be due to a variety of confounding factors, such as age (older men are more often intact and more infected), socio-economic status, changing behaviour, or the effect of VMMC.

The samples of adult men were more and more urbanized (from 21.5% to 33.8%), as in the general population. The proportion of men absent from the household, most of them migrant to South Africa, stayed at very high levels, and fluctuated from 33.1% to 39.7%, without any obvious trend. In terms of exposure to sexual transmission of HIV, little changes could be observed over the study period: the median age at first intercourse declined somewhat (from 20.1 to 18.9

Table 1. Sample size of demographic surveys and basic characteristics, Lesotho, men age 15-59

	DHS surveys			Change 2009-2014
	2004	2009	2014	
<i>Sample size of DHS</i>				
Nb households	8 592	9 391	9 402	
Nb men 15-59 resident	10 846	12 133	11 013	
Nb men 15-59 sampled	2 797	3 317	2 931	
<i>Demographic indicators</i>				
% urban	21.5%	28.0%	33.8%	+5.8%
Fertility (TFR)	3.5	3.3	3.3	(none)
% premarital fertility	14.1%	17.5%	20.1%	+2.6%
Under-five mortality/1000	113	117	85	-32
Adult mortality, men/1000	470	535	476	-59
Adult mortality, women/1000	394	446	436	-10
% absent, men 20-49	33.1%	39.7%	36.7%	-3.0%
% absent, women 20-49	22.1%	26.8%	26.3%	-0.5%
<i>Circumcision</i>				
% circumcised	48.1%	52.0%	72.2%	+20.2%
% medical circumcision	(n.a.)	9.1%	36.7%	+27.6%
<i>HIV serology</i>				
% HIV+	18.8%	18.4%	19.5%	+1.1%
<i>HIV & circumcision</i>				
% HIV+/intact	15.1%	16.0%	21.6%	+5.6%
% HIV+/circumcised	22.6%	20.6%	18.7%	-1.9%
Risk Ratio circum./intact	1.49	1.29	0.86	
95% CI	1.20-1.86	1.07-1.55	0.70-1.06	
<i>Exposure variables</i>				
% currently married	42.6%	42.7%	40.0%	-2.7%
% in polygamy	5.0%	1.7%	2.5%	+0.8% (ns)
Median age at marriage	24.7	25.4	26.0	+0.6
Median age at first sex	20.1	19.5	18.9	-0.6

Sources: Population: United Nations, Population Division, World Population Prospects, 2019. Other indicators: DHS survey reports and Author's calculation from DHS surveys. TFR: Total Fertility Rate (children per woman); Under-five mortality: deaths of children age 0-4 per 1000 live births; Adult mortality: deaths of adults age 15-49 per 1000 survivors at age 15. (ns) not statistically significant.

years), the mean age at first marriage increased somewhat (from 24.7 years to 26.0 years), and the proportion currently married decreased somewhat (42.6% to 40.0%). Polygyny is not frequent in Lesotho, and changes over the 2004-2014 period were not statistically significant (Table 1).




Figure 1. Trends in proportion of adult men circumcised, by year of birth, Lesotho, adult men.

VMMC campaigns

By 2014 PEPFAR estimated that 84,735 men had been circumcised by the new VMMC campaign, that is 27.2% of the target population age 15-29 (UNAIDS 2019; WHO 2017). This corresponds roughly to the 2014 DHS estimate of 31.2% circumcised by a medical officer in the age group 15-29, whereas the proportion of men age 15-29 circumcised traditionally hardly changed over the years (39.9% in 2004, 43.3% in 2009, 39.4% in 2014). This reveals the magnitude of the VMMC campaign, which reached about half of the intact population within a few years. This effort was continued, and by 2018 196,456 men had been circumcised, close to the whole target population. On average VMMC was done at age 20.4 years, just above the median age at first sexual intercourse. However, it should be noted that medical circumcision was not restricted to the 15-29 target population. According to the 2014 DHS, only 72% of medical circumcisions were done at age 15-29, while 15% were done at age 0-14 and 13% above age 30. If this age distribution is taken into account, either the number of VMMC was under-estimated (possibly conducted by programs other than PEPFAR), or the proportion medically circumcised was over-estimated by the DHS. The change in the proportions circumcised appears clearly when the same cohorts are compared in 2004 and 2014: a major increase in proportions circumcised for men born after 1955 (age < 59 in 2014), and especially for the younger generations, so that 82.7% of men born in 1990-1994 (age 20-24 in 2014) were circumcised, a large increase from a baseline value of 58.6%, essentially due to the VMMC campaigns (Figure 1).

Correlations of circumcision with socio-economic status

Male circumcision varied by demographic and socio-economic status (SES). Since traditional circumcision tended to be late in Lesotho, the prevalence of traditional circumcision in 2004 was about 60% in older adults (age 30-59), but only 40% at age 15-29. This difference changed with the VMMC campaigns and by 2014 prevalence of circumcision was about the same at all ages, ranging from 71% to 74% (Table 2). Differences between urban and rural areas were large in 2004 (from 32% to 52%), whereas they had almost disappeared by 2014 (68 to 74%). Differences were large by ecological zone (from 40% to 63%) in 2004, and were strongly reduced by 2014 (70% to 79%). Largest differences were found by wealth and education; they were also reduced over time,

Table 2. Demographic and socio-economic correlates of male circumcision, Lesotho, Men age 15-59

	DHS surveys			Change 2009-2014
	2004	2009	2014	
<i>Total</i>				
% circumcised	48.1%	52.0%	72.2%	+20.2%
<i>Age group</i>				
15-29	39.9%	46.8%	71.3%	+24.5%
30-44	59.5%	60.8%	74.0%	+13.2%
45-59	59.5%	56.5%	72.1%	+15.5%
<i>Area of residence</i>				
Urban	32.4%	33.8%	67.9%	+34.1%
Rural	52.4%	59.1%	74.4%	+15.3%
<i>Ecological zone</i>				
Lowlands	39.6%	43.4%	70.1%	+26.7%
Foothills	59.5%	66.2%	72.4%	+6.2%
Mountains	63.2%	68.9%	76.7%	+7.8%
Senqu River Valley	62.5%	55.9%	79.2%	+23.3%
<i>Wealth quintile</i>				
Poorest	70.4%	74.1%	77.7%	+3.6%
Poorer	57.3%	64.8%	75.1%	+10.3%
Middle	48.8%	57.0%	71.6%	+14.6%
Richer	41.6%	44.1%	69.6%	+25.5%
Richest	30.0%	29.4%	69.8%	+40.4%
<i>Years of schooling</i>				
0-1	78.7%	80.4%	85.8%	+5.4%
2-4	54.2%	67.9%	77.5%	+9.6%
5-7	45.4%	53.3%	67.8%	+14.5%
8+	23.9%	30.7%	70.0%	+39.4%

Source: Author's calculation from DHS surveys

but had not disappeared by 2014. Traditional circumcision was concentrated in the poorer (70%) and least educated strata (79%), and even though prevalence increased over the years, it was only marginal (+4% and +5% respectively) in this group. In contrast, prevalence of circumcision was low among the wealthiest groups (30%) and the more educated (24%) at baseline, but increased considerably in these groups over the years (+40% and +39% respectively). In summary, there was a major push with the VMMC campaigns, and this push was concentrated in the least circumcised groups who were wealthier, more educated, more urbanized, and tended to live in the lowlands. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of traditional circumcision by religious affiliation.

Correlations of HIV prevalence with socio-economic status

The pattern of HIV infection by socio-economic status was different, and often inversed compared with that of circumcision. Urban areas, lowlands, wealthier groups and less educated groups had higher HIV prevalence in 2004. HIV prevalence increased somewhat in the urban population whereas it tended to slightly decline in the rural population. HIV prevalence varied little by ecological zone, HIV being well spread all over the country: on average HIV prevalence was somewhat higher (+18%) in the lowlands, and the same in the other areas. The pattern of differentials by wealth was not linear, the group with the highest prevalence being the “richer” group; HIV prevalence declined somewhat only in the “poorer” group, but not in the others. When stratified by level of education, it tended to increase at all levels, and more so for the least educated. However, these differences remained small, and most of the time trends were not statistically significant (Table 3). Correlations between circumcision and HIV prevalence were inversed for some groups: more circumcision and less HIV for the more educated, for the poorer and middle wealth groups, for rural areas, for mountains, and for the 15-29 and 30-44 age groups, whereas the opposite pattern was found in the other groups (more circumcision associated with more HIV). These correlations show the complexity of the relationships between circumcision and HIV in populations.

Interactions between HIV and circumcision and level of education

The relative risk (RR) of HIV infection by circumcision status changed with level of education. The relative risk tended to be higher at low level of education, and lower at high level of education. Furthermore, the pattern changed over time, especially at higher level of education, leading to a low 0.68 at high level of education in 2014 compared with a high 1.27 at low level of education in 2004, the difference being statistically significant ($P=0.013$). This indicates a changing behaviour or a changing situation, possibly due to VMMC (Table 4).

Multivariate analysis of HIV prevalence

Various linear-logistic regression models were tried, linking HIV prevalence with circumcision, socio-economic status, exposure variables and other available variables. A parsimonious model included circumcision, duration since first sexual intercourse, marital status, number of unions, polygamy, number of sexual partners, wealth quintile and level of education. In this model, the effect of circumcision was nil ($RR=0.955$, $P=0.495$), whereas the effects of all other variables were highly significant, with P -values <0.01 (The RR was 1.19 in univariate analysis). For exposure variables, duration since first sex induced an increase in prevalence of 15.3% for each additional 10 years; being ever-married multiplied the risk by 3.38; each additional union increased the risk by 1.61; polygyny reduced the risk by 33%; and 5-more partners increased the risk by 4.8%. These findings indicate that many cases of HIV transmission occur within unions. In addition, more wealth increased prevalence ($RR=1.69$ for maximum quintile), whereas more education decreased prevalence ($RR=0.70$ for 8 or more years of education). Due to the large sample size (8075 men), results were stable and significant, which gives a strong value to the lack of effect of circumcision (Table 5).

Adding other variables in the model

Adding other variables to the parsimonious model did not add any important information, and created perturbations due to multi-collinearity. Adding urban residence ($RR=1.19$, $P=0.034$) increased the effect of wealth, but decreased the effect of education. Adding ecological zone also had little effect: the lowlands had higher risk ($RR=1.24$, $P=0.002$), with the same interactions with wealth and education as urban residence. Adding both urban residence and ecological zone

Table 3. Demographic and socio-economic correlates of HIV infection, Lesotho, men age 15-59

	DHS surveys			Change 2009-2014
	2004	2009	2014	
<i>Total</i>				
% HIV positive	18.8%	18.4%	19.5%	+1.1%
<i>Age group</i>				
15-29	10.1%	7.5%	8.8%	+1.3%
30-44	38.7%	38.3%	36.3%	-2.0%
45-59	20.3%	26.7%	29.3%	+2.6%
<i>Area of residence</i>				
Urban	22.0%	20.8%	23.9%	+3.1%
Rural	18.2%	17.5%	17.3%	-0.2%
<i>Ecological zone</i>				
Lowlands	20.0%	19.1%	21.1%	+2.0%
Foothills	16.8%	16.0%	18.1%	+2.1%
Mountains	17.1%	18.5%	15.3%	-3.2%
Senqu River Valley	17.5%	15.9%	18.6%	+2.6%
<i>Wealth quintile</i>				
Poorest	17.9%	15.5%	20.0%	+4.5%
Poorer	16.3%	18.0%	15.1%	-2.9%
Middle	23.2%	18.6%	18.8%	+0.2%
Richer	21.3%	19.2%	22.0%	+2.8%
Richest	14.4%	19.8%	20.8%	+0.9%
<i>Years of schooling</i>				
0-1	26.3%	24.7%	29.7%	+5.0%
2-4	17.8%	19.0%	21.1%	+2.1%
5-7	15.1%	16.4%	17.3%	+0.9%
8+	18.2%	16.9%	17.5%	+0.6%

Source: Author's calculation from DHS surveys

wiped out the effects of wealth and urban residence. When added, the effect of condom use was positive, most likely because of reverse causality (men with HIV being more likely to use condoms). When added, the effect of 'paid for sex' was not significant.

Adding VMMC in the model

If circumcision never showed any effect on HIV prevalence in any model, this was not the case for VMMC. The 2014 sample, most appropriate for this testing, was too small to show the effect of VMMC (RR= 0.793; P= 0.129), and adding VMMC reduced the effect of education. When VMMC was included in the model with the three surveys, a significant effect was found (RR= 0.684; P= 0.002). But adding VMMC increased the net effect of circumcision, which became

Table 4. Relative risk of HIV infection by circumcision status and by level of education, Lesotho, men age 15-59

Level of education (years of schooling)	Nb men	Year of survey			Total
		2004	2009	2014	
Low (0-4)	3031	1.27 (0.94-1.72)	1.45 (1.04-2.01)	1.08 (0.74-1.56)	1.29 (1.07-1.56)
Medium (5-7)	3097	2.07 (1.40-3.06)	1.24 (0.90-1.70)	0.80 (0.60-1.07)	1.20 (0.99-1.45)
High (8+)	2916	1.25 (0.80-1.94)	1.05 (0.77-1.44)	0.68 (0.49-0.94)	0.94 (0.77-1.13)
Total	9044	1.50 (1.23-1.82)	1.29 (1.09-1.53)	0.86 (0.72-1.04)	1.19 (1.08-1.33)

NB: RR= % HIV+ if circumcised/intact; (95% confidence interval)

Table 5. Results of multivariate analysis of HIV infection, Lesotho, men age 15-59 (N= 8075 men)

Variable	Beta coefficient	Standard deviation	Net effect	P-value	Signif.
Circumcision	-0.0464	0.0679	0.955	0.495	(ns)
Duration since first sex	+0.0012	0.0003	1.153	1.15E-05	*
Ever married	+1.2180	0.1607	3.381	3.50E-14	*
Number of unions	+0.4740	0.1084	1.606	1.23E-05	*
Polygamous union	-0.4219	0.0852	0.656	7.34E-07	*
Number of partners	+0.0094	0.0022	1.048	1.49E-05	*
Wealth index	+0.1055	0.0255	1.694	3.50E-05	*
Level of education	-0.0908	0.0333	0.696	6.45E-03	*
Constant (% HIV+)	-2.6299	0.1233	(18.4%)		

NB: Net effect for variables other than dummies: Duration since first sex = 10 years; Number of unions = each additional union; Polygamous union = each additional wife; Number of partners = five additional partners; Wealth = maximum of 5 categories; Education = maximum of 4 categories.

above 1 (still not significant), it decreased the effect of wealth, and that of education became not significant, indicating again interactions between education and VMMC. The net effect of VMMV could be shown by reconstructing the predicted value of HIV prevalence with and without VMMC for men age 50, who had first sexual intercourse at age 18, ever married, married only once in monogamic union, with 5 other partners. Calculations indicate that for poor and uneducated persons, HIV prevalence would be 37.8% without VMMC, and 30.0% with VMMC; for wealthy and educated persons, HIV prevalence would be 44.4% without VMMC, and 36.3% with VMMC. In both cases HIV prevalence would be reduced by about 20%. This could be compared with empirical values. For the 30-39 age group in 2014, the age group most likely to show an effect of VMMC, HIV prevalence was 36.6% in the intact group, 32.0% in the traditional circumcision group, and 33.1% in the VMMC group, that is an absolute difference of -3% compared with other groups. Similar differences were found at age 20-29, 40-49, and 50-59. Even though these differences were

Table 6. Comparison of HIV prevalence by circumcision status, Lesotho, 2014 DHS, men age 20-59 (N= 2080)

Age group	Circumcision status				Ratio VMMC/ Other
	Total	Intact	Traditional Circumcision	VMMC	
20-29	11.8%	14.6%	9.8%	12.0%	0.94
30-39	33.7%	36.6%	32.0%	33.1%	0.97
40-49	37.8%	47.8%	31.0%	35.5%	0.90
50-59	28.6%	30.2%	22.8%	29.2%	0.99

NB. Differences not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$)

Table 7. Characteristics of men age 15-59 by circumcision status, Lesotho, 2014 DHS (N= 2926 men)

Characteristics	Circumcision status			RR VMMC/ other
	Intact	Traditional	VMMC	
Mean age (years)	29.0	32.1	26.9	
% Urban	38.9%	16.9%	57.3%	2.27
% Educated (8+)	35.5%	15.3%	60.5%	2.27
% Wealthy (4-5)	51.3%	29.2%	73.3%	1.95
% Ever married	43.6%	59.4%	34.5%	0.65
% Married more than once	17.9%	13.8%	7.5%	0.50
% Ever use condom	34.7%	36.6%	46.6%	1.30
% Ever paid for sex	9.0%	13.5%	9.7%	0.82

not statistically significant, they suggest a small correlation with VMMC. Whether this effect is due to the surgical procedure, to a selection bias, or to behaviour change is another issue (Table 6).

Correlates of VMMC in 2014

Many correlates of VMMC could be found in the 2014 DHS. Men who underwent VMMC were on average younger (mean age 26.9 years), more urbanized (57.3%), more educated (60.5%), and wealthier (73.3%) than either intact men or men who were traditionally circumcised (Table 7). In addition, they were less likely to be ever-married or married more than once. More important, they were more likely to use condoms (46.6%) than the two other groups. In multivariate analysis, after controlling for age, wealth, education and HIV status, condom use was still higher among VMMC men (RR= 1.39; $P = 0.015$). This indicates that even when controlling for exposure and socio-economic variables, the net effect of VMMC could be due to behaviour, in particular condom use, and not to the surgical procedure. Furthermore, VMMC could have selected a group of persons who were more aware of STD's and less likely to take risks than others.

HIV and circumcision among couples

The DHS's allow one to investigate HIV transmission within couples, by matching the HIV serostatus of husband and wife. The sample of available data was smaller, with 2068 couples with complete information. Overall, 84% of couples were concordant, whatever husband's circumcision status, that is either both spouses not infected or both infected, which underlines the importance

Table 8. Relationship between HIV and circumcision in couples, Lesotho, DHS surveys

Circumcision status	Sample size (nb of couples)	% Concordant	HIV prevalence		
			Couple	Husband, if wife HIV+	Wife, if husband HIV+
Total (all couples)	2068	84.0%	33.6%	72.0%	65.6%
Husband intact	552	85.0%	36.6%	77.3%	71.3%
Husband circumcised	1516	83.6%	32.5%	69.7%	63.2%
VMMC	164	88.4%	23.8%	71.4%	64.5%
Traditional	946	82.6%	34.0%	67.7%	63.6%
RR Circum./Intact		0.98 ns	0.89 ns	0.90 ns	0.89 ns
RR VMMC/Tradi.		1.07 ns	0.70 ns	1.06 ns	1.01 ns

NB: (ns) difference not significant, $P > 0.05$

of HIV transmission within stable unions in Lesotho. HIV prevalence was always somewhat higher among husbands than wives, most likely because of age differences between spouses (+5.4 years). Differences in HIV prevalence in couples by husband's circumcision status were not statistically significant, neither by VMMC status. The proportion of HIV infected women whose husband was infected was 65.6%, and the same in the three groups: 71.3% for intact husband, 63.6% for husband circumcised traditionally, and 64.5% for VMMC husband, none of the differences being statistically significant. Likewise, the proportion of HIV infected men whose wife was infected was 72.0%, and the same in the three groups: 77.3% for intact husband, 67.7% for husband circumcised traditionally, and 71.4% for VMMC husband, none of these differences being statistically significant. This indicates that when exposure is intense, as in a stable couple, circumcision (traditional or medical) has no effect on HIV transmission either way, from female to male as from male to female (Table 8).

Discussion

The relationship between circumcision and HIV infection in real life population is a complex matter, likely to be country specific, or even specific to social groups, and likely to evolve over time, because of numerous interactions with selection biases and with behavioural change. This is why results differ from observations in RCT's. Results from RCT's could be transferred to populations only if exposure were uniform and behaviour constant, which obviously is not the case.

The Lesotho study did not find any effect of circumcision at individual level in multivariate analysis, after controlling for other factors. In addition, increasing circumcision by VMMC campaigns did not have any visible effect on trends in HIV prevalence. Even when including the 2016/2017 PHIA survey, changes in time trend of HIV prevalence among men age 15-34 between the 2004-2009 period (slope= -0.059 before VMMC) and 2009-2016 (slope= -0.046 after VMMC) were not significant ($P = 0.582$). Circumcising 20% of the male population, and about half of the intact men, did not have any effect on HIV trends. The study was unable to demonstrate any effect of circumcision, whether medical or traditional, on HIV transmission within couples, either way from male to female or from female to male.

In further multivariate analysis, there was a small correlation between VMMC and HIV prevalence: men who underwent VMMC had a 20% lower level of infection, after controlling for exposure. This could be due to selection bias or to a behavioural effect such as increased condom use,

and unlikely to be due only to the surgical procedure. Whatever the mechanism, men age 30-39 had similar and high levels of HIV infection, irrespective of the circumcision status and the type of circumcision.

Even if one admits the net effect of VMMC, a massive campaign in a country such as Lesotho is unlikely to have any major impact on HIV prevalence in the population. With a 20% reduction in HIV infection and 20% of men undergoing VMMC, the reduction in HIV prevalence would be small (say from 20.0% to 19.2%), a small value hardly detectable in demographic surveys. These values are probably close to reality, and the small effect seems most likely due selection bias and to behavioural change, and not to the surgical procedure.

The VMMC campaigns hit not only young men and children but also older men. Circumcising men above age 30 makes no sense in this situation, since about a third of men (33.9%) were already infected by HIV. Unfortunately, the HIV status was not verified prior to circumcising. For children and minors, circumcision raises serious questions about medical ethics, informed consent, and children's right to body integrity (Garenne 2007; Svoboda 2013). Circumcision is also associated with numerous complications, especially when performed among minors (pain, loss of sensitivity, bleeding, infection, wounds, laceration, injury, meatal stenosis, etc.), some of which severe and definite, including death (Muula et al. 2007; Wilcken et al. 2010).

This study has several limitations. Firstly, the analysis relied on self-reported circumcision status, which could be questioned, as there are sometimes confusion between the initiation ceremony and the circumcision act. In addition, the surgical procedure could be incomplete, and circumcision be partial, in particular in case of traditional circumcision. However, it should be noted that the information on traditional circumcision was consistent across surveys, and that the numbers of VMMC declared in surveys was consistent with VMMC program reports. Another limitation is that other confounding factors could have been omitted. This is the case in particular for migration to South Africa, which is a critical exposure factor in Lesotho. However, since a large fraction of the adult population worked or travelled to South Africa, this factor could have an effect on the level of infection, but is unlikely to affect the relative risk of infection associated with circumcision.

Many African countries were able to curb the course of the HIV epidemic with behavioural change and condom use, the best documented case being Uganda in the 1990's, without any help of VMMC (Low-Beer & Stoneburner 2003; Okware et al. 2005; Stoneburner & Low-Beer 2004). In contrast, despite VMMC, HIV prevalence did not decline in Lesotho. A large amount of money was spent on VMMC without much result. Was this effort worthwhile and money spent appropriately? Could it be better spent on more efficient health programs and health education?

Acknowledgements. This study did not receive any external grant. The author thanks the DHS programme and the Lesotho Bureau of Statistics for providing free access to survey data.

Conflict of interest. None declared.

References

- Brummer, D.** (2002) Labour migration and HIV/AIDS in Southern Africa. IOM report P534. Pretoria, South Africa.
- Bulled, N.L.** (2015) Social models of HIV risk among young adults in Lesotho. *African Journal of AIDS Research* **14**(3), 239–254.
- Carrasco, M.A., Rosen, J.G., Maile, L., Manda, R., Amzel, A. & Kiggundu, V.** (2020) Medically, Traditionally, and Dually Circumcised Men in Lesotho: Population-Based Measurements of HIV/STI Infections, Sexual Risk Behaviors, and Service Use Patterns. *AIDS Behaviour* **24**(7), 2112–2118.
- Coburn, B.J., Okano, J.T. & Blower, S.** (2013) Current drivers and geographic patterns of HIV in Lesotho: implications for treatment and prevention in Sub-Saharan Africa. *BMC Medicine* **11**:224. DOI: [10.1186/1741-7015-11-224](https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-11-224).
- Connolly, C., Simbayi, L.C., Shanmugam, R. & Nqeketo, A.** (2008) Male circumcision and its relationship to HIV infection in South Africa: Results of a national survey in 2002. *South African Medical Journal* **98**(10), 789–794.
- Crush, J., Dodson, B., Gay, J., Green, T. & Leduka, C.** (2010) *Migration, remittances and development in Lesotho*. Cape Town: IDASA and Queen's University, Canada, Southern African Migration Programme (SAMP).

- DHS/StatCompiler. (2021) DHS program, Customized tables. In: <https://www.statcompiler.com/en/index.html> [accessed October 5, 2021]
- Garenne, M. (2006) Male circumcision and HIV control in Africa. *PLoS Medicine* 3(1), e78.
- Garenne, M. (2008) Long-term population effect of male circumcision in generalized HIV epidemics in sub-Saharan Africa. *African Journal of AIDS Research* 7(1), 1–8.
- Garenne, M. (2007) Male circumcision as a public health policy: ethical challenges', *Journal of Medical Ethics* 33(6), 357–361 [e-letter].
- Garenne, M. (2010) Mass campaigns of male circumcision for HIV control in Africa: Clinical efficacy, Population effectiveness, Political issues. In: Denniston, G.C., Hodges, F.M., Milos, M.F. (Editors). *Genital Autonomy. Protecting Personal Choice*. Springer Science+Business Media B.V., Dordrecht: 49–60.
- Garenne, M., Giami, A. & Perrey, C. (2013) Male circumcision and HIV control in Africa: Questioning scientific evidence and decision making process. In: Giles-Vernick, T. & Webb, J. (Editors), *Global Health in Africa*; Ohio Press University. (Chapter 8).
- Garenne, M. (2016) Dynamics of marriage and infertility in South Africa: An analysis of census data. *African Population Studies* 30(2), 2550–2563. (Special issue on the “Demographic Dividend in Africa: Prospects, Opportunities, and Challenges”).
- Garenne, M. & Matthews, A. (2020) Voluntary medical male circumcision and HIV in Zambia: expectations and observations. *Journal of Biosocial Science* 52(4), 560–572.
- Gona, P.N., Gona, C.M., Ballout, S., Rao, S.R., Kimokoti, R., Mapoma, C.C. & Mokdad, A.H. (2020) Burden and changes in HIV/AIDS morbidity and mortality in Southern Africa Development Community Countries, 1990–2017. *BMC Public Health* 20(1), 867.
- Kok, P., Gelderbloem, D., Oucho, J.O., & Van Zyl, J., (eds). (2006) *Migration in South and Southern Africa: Dynamics and determinants*. Cape Town, South Africa: HSRC Press.
- Lesotho/DHS: Ministry of Health and Social Welfare (MOHSW), Bureau of Statistics (BOS), & ORC Macro. (2005) *Lesotho Demographic and Health Survey 2004*. Calverton, Maryland: MOH, BOS, and ORC Macro.
- Lesotho/DHS: Ministry of Health and Social Welfare of Lesotho (MOHSW) & ICF Macro. (2010) *Lesotho Demographic and Health Survey 2009*. Maseru, Lesotho: MOHSW and ICF Macro.
- Lesotho/DHS: Ministry of Health of Lesotho (MoH) & ICF International. (2016) *Lesotho Demographic and Health Survey 2014*. Maseru, Lesotho: Ministry of Health and ICF International.
- Lesotho/PHIA: Ministry of Health (MoH), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) & ICAP at Columbia University. (2019) *Lesotho Population-based HIV Impact Assessment (LePHIA) 2016-2017: Final Report*. Maseru, Lesotho, Atlanta, Georgia, and New York, New York, USA: Ministry of Health, CDC, and ICAP.
- Low, A., Thin, K., Davia, S., Mantell, J., Koto, M., McCracken, S., Ramphalla, P., Maile, L., Ahmed, N., Patel, H., Parekh, B., Fida, N., Schwitters, A. & Frederix K. (2019) Correlates of HIV infection in adolescent girls and young women in Lesotho: results from a population-based survey. *Lancet HIV* 6(9), e613–e622.
- Low-Beer, D. & Stoneburner, R.L. (2003) Behaviour and communication change in reducing HIV: is Uganda unique? *African Journal of AIDS Research* 2(1), 9–21.
- Lurie, M.N., Williams, B.G., Zuma, K., Mkaya-Mwamburi, D., Garnett, G., Sturm, A.W., Sweat, M.D., Gittelsohn, J. & Abdool Karim S.S. (2003) The impact of migration on HIV-1 transmission in South Africa: a study of migrant and non-migrant men and their partners. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases* 30(2), 149–156.
- Maffioli, E.M. (2017) Is traditional male circumcision effective as an HIV prevention strategy? Evidence from Lesotho. *PLoS One* 12(5), e0177076.
- Makatjane, T., Hlabana, T. & Letete, E. (2016) Male Circumcision and HIV in Lesotho: Is the Relationship Real or Spurious? Analysis of the 2009 Demographic and Health Survey. DHS Working Papers No. 125. Rockville, Maryland, USA: ICF International.
- Modo, I.V.O. (2001) The Changing Family Structure and Legal Lag in Lesotho: Implications for the Future. *African Anthropologist (Journal of the Pan African Anthropological Association)* 8(1), 69–84.
- Mohlaloka, S.M.B., Jacobs, L. & de Wet, N.C. (2016) Insights from traditional initiation teachers (Basuwe) on the influence of male traditional initiation (lebollo) on the behaviour of schoolboys. *Perspectives in Education* 34(2), 19–32.
- Muula, A.S., Prozesky, H.W., Mataya, R.H. & Ikechebelu, J.I. (2007) Prevalence of complications of male circumcision in Anglophone Africa: a systematic review. *BMC Urology* 7, 4.
- Okware, S., Kinsman, J., Onyango, S., Opio, A. & Kaggwa, P. (2005) Revisiting the ABC strategy: HIV prevention in Uganda in the era of antiretroviral therapy. *Postgraduate Medical Journal* 81(960), 625–628.
- Palk, L. & Blower, S. (2015) Mobility and circular migration in Lesotho: implications for transmission, treatment, and control of a severe HIV epidemic. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome* 68(5), 604–608.
- Rosenberg, M.S., Gómez-Olivé, F.X., Rohr, J.K., Kahn, K. & Bärnighausen, T.W. (2018) Are circumcised men safer sex partners? Findings from the HAALSI cohort in rural South Africa. *PLoS One* 13(8), e0201445.

- South Africa/DHS: National Department of Health (NDoH), Statistics South Africa (Stats SA), South African Medical Research Council (SAMRC), & ICF.** (2019). South Africa Demographic and Health Survey 2016. Pretoria, South Africa, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NDoH, Stats SA, SAMRC, and ICF. Pretoria, South Africa, National Department of Health.
- South Africa/HSRC.** (2002) *Nelson Mandela/HSRC study of HIV/AIDS, 2001*. Cape Town: Human Sciences Research Council.
- South Africa/HSRC: Shisana, O., Rehle, T., Simbayi, L.C., Zuma, K., Jooste, S., Zungu, N., Labadarios, D. & Onoya, D.** (2014). *South African National HIV Prevalence, Incidence and Behaviour Survey, 2012*. Cape Town, HSRC Press.
- Stoneburner, R.L. & Low-Beer, D.** (2004) Population-level HIV declines and behavioral risk avoidance in Uganda. *Science* 304(5671), 714–718.
- Svoboda, J.S.** (2013) Circumcision of male infants as a human rights violation. *Journal of Medical Ethics* 39(7), 469–474.
- Thin, K., Frederix, K., McCracken, S., Letsie, M., Low, A., Patel, H., Parekh, B., Motsoane, T., Ahmed, N., Justman, J., Callaghan, L., Tembo, S. & Schwitters, A.** (2019) Progress toward HIV epidemic control in Lesotho. *AIDS* 33(15), 2393–2401.
- UNAIDS.** (2019) Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision. https://hivpreventioncoalition.unaids.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/2019_ymmc-15-esa-countries_en.pdf [accessed May 16, 2021].
- Van Howe, R.S.** (1999) Circumcision and HIV infection: review of the literature and meta-analysis. *International Journal of STD & AIDS* 10(1), 8–16.
- Van Howe, R.S.** (2015) Circumcision as a primary HIV preventive: extrapolating from the available data. *Global Public Health* 10(5–6), 607–625.
- Warren, K.** (2010). HIV and Male Circumcision in Swaziland, Botswana and Lesotho: An Econometric Analysis. CSSR Working Paper no 273. Centre for Social Science Research, University of Cape Town.
- Wilcken, A., Keil, T. & Dick, B.** (2010) Traditional male circumcision in eastern and southern Africa: a systematic review of prevalence and complications. *Bulletin of the World Health Organisation* 88(12), 907–914.
- World Development Indicators (WDI).** (2017) *Data Bank*. Washington: The World Bank. <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators> [accessed March 19, 2021]
- World Health Organisation (WHO).** (2012) Voluntary medical male circumcision for HIV prevention. http://www.who.int/hiv/topics/malecircumcision/fact_sheet/en/ [accessed May 8, 2019]
- World Health Organisation (WHO), Progress brief.** (2017). Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision for HIV prevention in 14 priority countries of Eastern and Southern Africa. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/258691/1/WHO-HIV-2017.36-eng.pdf> [accessed May 16, 2021].