

Dysphagia characteristics in Huntington's disease patients: insights from the Fiberoptic Endoscopic Evaluation of Swallowing and the Swallowing Disturbances Questionnaire

Yael Manor,^{1,2*} Yael Oestreicher-Kedem,^{3†} Alona Gad,¹ Jennifer Zitser,¹
Achinoam Faust-Socher,¹ Dina Shpunt,¹ Stav Naor,¹ Noit Inbar,¹
Meir Kestenbaum,¹ Nir Giladi,¹ and Tanya Gurevich¹

¹ Movement Disorders Unit, Department of Neurology, Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, affiliated to the Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

² Communication Sciences Disorders Department, School of Health Professions, Ono Academic College, Kiryat Ono, Israel

³ Voice and Swallowing Disorders Clinic, Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Maxillofacial Surgery, Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, affiliated to the Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

Background. Huntington's disease (HD) is a neurodegenerative disease characterized by increasing dysphagia as the disease progresses. Specific characteristics of the HD dysphagia are not well defined.

Objective. To characterize the swallowing disturbances of HD patients, to evaluate the feasibility of Fiberoptic Endoscopic Evaluation of Swallowing (FEES) in assessing dysphagia in HD patients, and to discern the relation between FEES findings and patients' self-report on dysphagia symptoms and swallowing related quality of life (SWAL-QOL).

Method. A retrospective case series in a tertiary referral center. All recruited HD patients underwent Bed Side Swallowing Evaluation (BSE), FEES, the Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale (UHDRS), and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA). All completed the Swallowing Disturbances Questionnaire (SDQ) and the SWAL-QOL questionnaire.

Results. Fourteen HD patients were recruited. All were able to complete the FEES study. The FEES demonstrated delayed swallowing reflex, solid food residues, and pre/post swallowing spillage in most patients (50%, 53.5%, 83.3%, and 87.5%, respectively). The mean SDQ score was 13.2. Significant correlations were found between the SWAL-QOL fear of eating score; the SDQ oral, pharyngeal, and total scores; and the FEES parameters of pureed and solid food bolus flow time. Significant correlations were also found between the total UHDRS score, the volitional cough score, and the SWAL-QOL disease burden score.

Conclusion. HD patients exhibit prominent unique oropharyngeal dysphagia features that may serve as a marker of disease progression. The FEES and the SDQ are valuable tools for detecting these features in HD patients with swallowing disturbance.

Received 3 January 2018; Accepted 10 May 2018; First published online 10 September 2018

Key words: Dysphagia, Fiberoptic Endoscopic evaluation of Swallowing (FEES), Huntington's disease, quality of life.

* Address for correspondence: Yael Manor, PhD, Movement Disorders Unit, Department of Neurology, Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, 6 Weizman Street, Tel Aviv 6423906, Israel.
(Email: yaelm@tlvmc.gov.il)

† This author contributed equally to this article.

Introduction

Huntington's disease (HD) is a devastating, progressive, familial, neurodegenerative disease with dominant autosomal inheritance. It has a major impact on the patients' quality of life (QOL) and daily function. Main clinical

manifestations include involuntary movements, psychiatric features, and progressive cognitive impairment.¹ Many HD patients experience dysphagia,^{1,2} which seems to worsen with disease progression and may cause life-threatening complications, such as malnutrition, dehydration, and aspiration pneumonia.³

The literature on specific swallowing disturbances of HD patients and their possible correlation to other manifestations of HD is limited. According to a recent study by de Tommaso et al.,³ dysphagia may be related to a combination of motor deficits and behavioral changes which may affect food intake and increase feeding dependency. Those authors observed that the majority of the HD patients experienced dysphagia symptoms and oral motor impairment, specifically, tongue protrusion, while cognitive decline did not seem to correlate with dysphagia severity. According to our clinical experience, the cognitive decline of HD patients interferes with their ability to follow swallowing therapy recommendations and to use compensatory maneuvers to improve their dysphagia.

To address the question of whether there are specific characteristics of dysphagia in HD patients, we assessed the oropharyngeal and pharyngeal stages of swallowing objectively by means of the bed side swallowing evaluation (BSE) and the fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES). We also used subjective patients' self-report questionnaires to acquire a detailed characterization of the swallowing dysfunction of HD patients. We specifically looked for correlations between swallowing dysfunction as apparent in the patients' FEES studies, as reported by the Swallowing Related Quality Of Life (SWAL-QOL) questionnaire and the Pleasure of Eating–Visual Analog Scale (POE-VAS) measurements.

Methods

Participants

Fourteen genetically confirmed HD patients who were followed at the Movement Disorders Unit at Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center were recruited for this retrospective study. To be included, patients needed to be able to fill in the questionnaires and be willing to tolerate the FEES protocol. Exclusion criteria included a history of another neurological or medical disorder that interferes with swallowing and inability to perform the required tasks. The study was approved by the institutional Helsinki Committee.

Patient assessment

All patients were assessed by a speech and language pathologist (SLP) who performed a BSE including oral motor examination. All patients were examined by an otolaryngologist specializing in swallowing disturbances

and underwent a flexible fiberoptic examination of the nasal cavity, pharynx, and larynx, and a FEES study. All patients filled in 2 self-report questionnaires: SDQ and SWAL-QOL and responded to the POE scale.⁶

The SDQ consists of 5 questions related to the oral phase of swallowing and 10 questions related to the pharyngeal phase of swallowing. A score of ≥ 11 indicates that the patient should be referred to a speech and language pathologist (SLP) for a swallowing evaluation.⁶ The SWAL-QOL questionnaire is a 44-item tool that assesses 10 QOL domains: eating desire (2 items), fatigue (3 items), food selection (2 items), communication skills (2 items), fear of eating (4 items), burden (2 items), social functioning (5 items), sleep (2 items), mental health (5 items), and symptom frequency. All the responses are rated on a scale of 0–4. The lower the SWAL-QOL scores, the better the QOL. The POE-VAS was designed to measure the patient's degree of enjoyment from food (1 = "no enjoyment" and 10 = "much enjoyment"). The VAS score is determined by measuring in millimeters from the left end of the line to the point that the patient marks.⁹

All patients were evaluated by a neurologist specializing in movement disorders who graded their clinical performance and cognition according to the Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale (UHDRS)⁷ and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA),⁸ respectively.

Assessment tools

Bedside swallowing evaluation (BSE)

The BSE was performed by the SLP. It includes an oromotor examination and the provision of a score of normal/distorted functions. Volitional cough strength and the ability to initiate volitional swallow were evaluated on a scale of 0–2 (0 = normal, 1 = slightly distorted, 2 = significantly distorted). In addition, the patients were asked to perform a diadochokinetic (DDK) task, an assessment tool used by SLPs that measures how quickly an individual can accurately produce a series of rapid, alternating sounds⁹ (production of 10 "PATAKA" as fast as possible), and the performance time was measured. For adult Hebrew speakers, the mean oral-DDK rate performance was found to be 6.4 syllables/seconds \pm 0.8 with a mean performance time of 15.6 seconds for 10 repeats.¹⁰

FEES study

The FEES was performed by an otolaryngologist and a SLP specializing in swallowing disorders. The patients were instructed to swallow 3 food consistencies (pureed, solid, and liquid) under visualization. FEES scoring includes 5 swallowing function parameters: bolus flow time, bolus location when the swallowing reflex is triggered, residue location of the 3 food consistencies,

laryngeal penetration, and aspiration before/after swallowing (Table 1). Each parameter is scored on a scale of 0–3 (0 = normal, 3 = abnormal). The measurement of bolus flow time was evaluated on a scale of 0–3 (0 = 0–1 sec, 1 = 2–4 sec, 2 = 5–7 sec, and 3 = > 8 sec). Each function parameter was tested for the 3 food consistencies, and the total score of each swallowing function measurement was the sum of the 3 scores. All 5 swallowing function parameters were measured and scored while the patients swallowed 3 teaspoons (5 cc) of applesauce, 3 bites of a biscuit, a sip of milk from a cup, and, if no aspiration is noted, 3 more sips of milk from a cup. The applesauce and milk were tinted by a blue food coloring powder. A swallowing disorder is diagnosed when one of the swallowing function measurements was scored more than 0.

The level of difficulty in performing the FEES is graded 0–3 (0 = no difficulty, 3 = very difficult) by the otolaryngologist according to the patient's level of cooperation, ability to maintain adequate positioning, and ability to perform the swallowing instructions.

Besides the swallowing evaluation, patients responded to the SDQ,⁶ the SWAL-QOL questionnaire,⁴ and the POE-VAS that was designed to measure the patient's degree of enjoyment from food (1 = "no enjoyment" and 10 = "much enjoyment").¹¹

TABLE 1. The fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing scoring parameters for pureed, solid, and liquid boluses⁵

Parameter	Score
Bolus flow time—measurement from the time the bolus is seen in the hypopharynx until it triggers the swallowing reflex	0 = 0–1 sec 1 = 2–4 sec 2 = 5–7 sec 3 = > 7 sec
Bolus location when the swallowing reflex is triggered	0 = normal 1 = base of the tongue 2 = valleculae 3 = pyriformis, postcricoid
Residue location of the 3 food consistencies	0 = normal 1 = one abnormal location 2 = two abnormal locations 3 = spread throughout the hypopharynx
Penetration of larynx before/after swallowing	0 = no 1 = able to cough before penetration of larynx 2 = yes, with a cough 3 = yes, without a cough
Aspiration before/after swallowing	0 = no 1 = able to cough before aspiration 2 = yes, with a cough 3 = yes, without a cough

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). The Spearman correlation test was used, and *P* values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Fourteen HD patients participated in the study (Table 2). The oral motor assessment results are presented in Table 4. The oral phase was characterized by impaired tongue, lips, and jaw movements in 9 patients and they were involuntary, impulsive, inconsistent, and limited in range. There was a reduced rate in the DDK task (mean score of 7.22 ± 3.9 seconds, normal range (10/ptk/in < 5 seconds).

Four patients tolerated the endoscope during the FEES and performed the swallowing tasks with no difficulty, 8 patients had mild difficulty, and 2 had moderate difficulty. The FEES results demonstrated an adequate oral transit time for all food consistencies in 8 patients and adequate chewing in 10 patients. The pharyngeal phase was characterized by a weak volitional cough and abnormal volitional swallow. The main pharyngeal phase dysfunction detected by FEES (Figure 1) included delay in initiation of the swallowing reflex with the initiation of swallowing only when the food bolus reached the vallecula in 7 patients, solid food residues in different pharyngeal locations in 8 patients, pre-swallowing spillage in 12 patients, and post-swallowing spillage in 12 patients. Liquid and/or solid laryngeal penetration and/or aspiration were observed in only 2 patients. A significant positive correlation was found between the volitional cough and the ability to initiate volitional swallow scores ($P < .01$) and between volitional cough and disease duration ($P < .05$); negative correlation was observed between the volitional cough and the cognitive status scores ($P < .05$).

The mean total SDQ score was 13.21 ± 7.5 , with a mean oral phase score of 3.86 ± 3.34 and a mean

TABLE 2. Clinical characteristics of the study Huntington's disease patients (n = 14)

Clinical characteristics	Value (SD)
Mean age	48 (12)
Mean age at disease onset, y	37.2 (8.5)
Mean age at diagnosis, y	42 (10)
Mean number nucleotide CAG repeats	45.6 (4.3)
Mean diagnosis duration, y	4.2 (3.1)
Mean United Huntington's Disease Rating Scale score	36.7 (17.5)
Mean Montreal Cognitive Assessment score	20.1 (4.1)

TABLE 3. Oral motor assessment results (n = 13)

	Number of patients with abnormal structure	Number of patients with abnormal function
Lips	1	8
Jaw	1	6
Cheeks	1	7
Tongue	1	11
Soft palate	1	3
Teeth	2	3

TABLE 4. Means and standard deviations of the swallow quality of life questionnaire parameters

Measurement	Mean (SD)
Eating desire	11 (5.6)
Fatigue	11.07 (5.5)
Food selection	4.5 (2.4)
Communication skills	6 (3.3)
Fear of eating	8.1 (4.3)
Burden	10.4 (6.1)
Social functioning	6.5 (4.6)
Sleep	11.1 (5.4)
Mental health	2.5 (1.1)
Symptom frequency	29.4 (12.1)

pharyngeal phase score of 8.64 ± 4.74 . A score of ≥ 11 is an indicator of a marked swallowing disturbance, and it was noted in 10 patients: specifically, 12 patients reported coughing while drinking liquid and 9 reported difficulty in chewing solids. One patient reported a history of aspiration pneumonia.

The participants' SWAL-QOL was assessed according to 10 domains (Table 3). The SWAL-QOL fear of eating score (8.07 ± 4.3) correlated significantly with the SWAL-QOL mental health (2.53 ± 1.19) and SWAL-QOL social functioning scores (6.53 ± 4.62 , $P < .05$). The mean POE-VAS score was 8.71 ± 1.54 .

Significant correlations were found between the SWAL-QOL fear of eating score and the SDQ oral score (3.86 ± 3.34 , $P < .05$), pharyngeal score (8.64 ± 4.74 , $P < .05$), and total score (13.21 ± 7.5 , $P < .05$). The SWAL-QOL fear of eating score (8.07 ± 4.3) also significantly correlated with the FEES parameters of pureed and solid food bolus flow time (8.07 ± 4.3 , $P < 0.05$).

There were significant correlations between the total UHDRS score (36.75 ± 17.52) and the volitional cough score (0.58 ± 0.67 , $P < .05$); the total UHDRS score (36.75 ± 17.25) and the SWAL-QOL disease burden score (10.46 ± 6.17 , $P < .05$); and the UHDRS mental health score (2.53 ± 1.19) and both the SWAL-QOL social functioning score (6.53 ± 4.62) and the SWAL-QOL fear of eating score (8.07 ± 4 , $P < .05$).

Discussion

This study aimed to characterize the swallowing disturbances of HD patients. Dysphagia severity was assessed both objectively (BSE, FEES) and subjectively (SWAL-QOL, POE-VAS, SDQ). Swallowing disturbances were present in both oral and pharyngeal phases of swallowing and were characterized by discoordination between the swallowing functions during the various phases of swallowing (eg, impaired and involuntary tongue, lips, and jaw movements, a weak volitional cough, abnormal volitional swallow, delay in initiation of the swallowing reflex that occurred only when the food bolus reached the vallecular, solid food residues, and pre- and post-swallowing spillage), as demonstrated by FEES. This swallowing pattern may be defined as oropharyngeal dyssynergia. A similar distortion in the swallowing pattern of HD patients was also described by Kagel and Leopold,¹² who assessed their patients by videofluoroscopy. Those authors observed reductions in speed and range of movements of the tongue and a lack of coordination of the lips, tongue, mandible, hyoid, and larynx, as well as unpredictable forceful inspiratory phonation during swallowing. In our study, 5 of 14 patients had a weak volitional cough and 5 of 14 abnormal volitional swallow, which could be related to a lack of coordination of the hyoid and larynx and an unstable inspiratory pattern. We hypothesize that this dyssynergia may be the result of 2 factors: (1) a disturbance of central swallowing control¹³ and (2) motor dysfunction as manifested by slow performance of the diadochokinetic task, and reduction in oral motor function¹⁴ and buccolingual chorea, all resulting in involuntary food transfer.

The importance of motor control and of the efficiency of the mouth–tongue aperture in determining dysphagia had been reported by Van Lieshout et al,¹⁵ who noted a correlation between dysphagia and dysarthria.

The swallowing characteristics in HD as demonstrated by the FEES study included delay in the initiation of the swallowing reflex with initiation of the reflex only when the food bolus reached the vallecula, solid food residues, pre- and post-swallowing spillage to the pharynx, and rarely laryngeal penetration and/or aspiration. These findings are in accordance with a recent study by Alves et al,¹⁶ who reported posterior oral spillage of liquids and nectar, small amounts of pharyngeal residues, and no laryngeal penetration or aspiration in 2 HD patients undergoing FEES studies. Food residues in HD patients were also noted in other studies that utilized videofluoroscopy to evaluate dysphagia.^{12,13,17} We suspect that the reduced coordination of the muscles involved in the swallowing process might be the cause for the food residues and spillage.

The FEES is a sensitive and reliable assessment tool for identifying and detecting silent dysphagia findings, including aspiration, laryngeal penetration, food

residue, and pharyngeal spillage.¹⁸ It is considered a more conservative assessment tool than videofluoroscopy, when there are concerns about aspiration of barium during the videofluoroscopy procedure. Furthermore, FEES has been used previously to describe the dysphagic characteristics of different populations.^{19–21} To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to describe the dysphagia characteristics in a cohort of HD patients as revealed by the FEES. We found that despite their cognitive and motor dysfunctions, most of the study patients tolerated the insertion of the flexible fiberoptic laryngoscope, underwent the FEES with only mild difficulty, and were able to complete the study protocol. The FEES enabled us to identify dysphagia characteristics that are consistent with findings of other studies that utilized the less commonly available videofluoroscopy to assess dysphagia in HD patients^{12,13,17} without subjecting our patients to X-ray radiation.

Symptoms of dysphagia might affect the QOL and spoil the social opportunities and pleasures of mealtimes, so a patient with dysphagia can become isolated, feel excluded by others, and be anxious and distressed at mealtimes.²² In the current study, swallowing QOL was assessed using the SWAL-QOL questionnaire and POE-VAS questionnaires. The fear of eating measurement, as reported by the patients in the SWAL-QOL questionnaire, significantly correlated with SDQ scores and with bolus flow time of pureed and solid food in the FEES studies. Thus, our findings support the position that dysphagia negatively affects QOL of HD patients. Due to the decrease in POE, patients might experience weight loss, which is a ubiquitous symptom of HD and one that signifies advanced disease. Weight loss may be related to dysphagia and other symptoms, such as fatigue, depression, anxiety, and chorea movements, which require high energy.²³ Metabolism is also affected in HD, and patients with higher Cytosine-Adenosine-Guanine (CAG) trinucleotide repeats tend to lose weight faster due to an increased metabolism compared to those with fewer repeats.²⁴

Our results demonstrated a significant positive correlation between the volitional cough and the ability to initiate volitional swallow. Furthermore, the decrease in quality of the volitional cough also correlated negatively with the level of cognition. Both of these findings make a vital contribution to the design of swallowing therapy for HD patients by recommending that swallowing therapy approaches and swallowing compensatory techniques should be adjusted to the patient's cognitive level. Given that progressive decline in cognitive level is expected with HD progression,^{25–27} frequent visits to the SLP may be indicated.

We are aware of the limitations of our study. The results are based on a small cohort of HD patients who were cognitively impaired, and the patients' responses to the questionnaires might be affected accordingly. However, the objective FEES swallowing assessment

confirmed many of the dysphagia characteristics elicited by the questionnaires. In addition, all of the study patients had dysphagia symptoms; we did not test HD patients who did not exhibit dysphagia.

Conclusion

In conclusion, based on our comprehensive assessment of dysphagia patterns among HD patients, we propose that the main manifestation of dysphagia in HD is oropharyngeal dyssynergia, as demonstrated by FEES. We found that the severity of the decline in both cognition and motor function together with the severity of the disease in general correlated with the severity of dysphagia. We believe that our findings support a proactive approach to the swallowing problems in HD, with early detection and close follow-up of deterioration of the swallowing mechanism in order to cope with and perhaps even reduce complications. In addition, we hope that our findings will assist SLPs in developing the optimal therapeutic approach to HD patients with dysphagia. Management of swallowing disturbances in HD patients is challenging and requires the consideration of such a multifaceted condition.

Disclosures

Yael Manor, Yael Oestreicher-Kedem, Jennifer Zitser, Achinoam Faust-Socher, Dina Shpunt, Stav Naor, Noit Inba, Meir Kestenbaum, Alona Gad, and Tanya Gurevich have nothing to disclose. Nir Giladi serves as a member of the editorial board for the *Journal of Parkinson's Disease*. He serves as consultant to Teva-Lundbeck, IntecPharma, NeuroDerm, Armon Neuromedical Ltd Dexcel, Monfort, and Lysosomal Therapeutic Inc. He received payment for lectures at Teva-Lundbeck, Novartis, UCB, Abviee, Shaier, and Genzyme. Prof. Giladi received research support from the Michael J. Fox Foundation, the National Parkinson Foundation, the European Union 7th Framework Program, and the Israel Science Foundation, as well as from Teva NNE program, LTI, and Abviee and CHDI foundation.

REFERENCES:

1. Ross CA, Tabrizi SJ. Huntington's disease: from molecular pathogenesis to clinical treatment. *Lancet Neurol*. 2011; **10**(1): 83–98.
2. Heemskerck A, Roos RA. Dysphagia in Huntington's disease: a review. *Dysphagia*. 2011; **26**(1): 62–66.
3. de Tommaso M, Nuzzi A, Dellomonaco AR, et al. Dysphagia in Huntington's disease: correlation with clinical features. *Eur Neurol*. 2015; **74**(1–2): 49–53.
4. McHorney CA, Robbins J, Lomax K, et al. The SWAL-QOL and SWAL-CARE outcomes tool for oropharyngeal dysphagia in adults: III. Documentation of reliability and validity. *Dysphagia*. 2002; **17**(2): 97–114.

5. Manor Y, Mootanah R, Freud D, Giladi N, Cohen JT. Video-assisted swallowing therapy for patients with Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord.* 2013; **19**(2): 207–211.
6. Manor Y, Giladi N, Cohen A, Fliss DM, Cohen JT. Validation of a swallowing disturbance questionnaire for detecting dysphagia in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord.* 2007; **22**(13): 1917–1921.
7. Fletcher SG. Time-by-count measurement of diadochokinetic syllable rate. *J Speech Hear Res.* 1972; **15**(4): 763–770.
8. Kremer HPH. Huntington Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale: reliability and consistency. Huntington Study Group *Movement Disorders.* 1996; **11**(2): 136–142.
9. Nasreddine Z. MoCA Version August 18, 2010. www.mocatest.org.
10. Icht M, Ben-David BM. Oral-diadochokinesis rates across languages: English and Hebrew norms. *J Commun Disord.* 2014; **48**: 27–37.
11. Wallerstein SL. Scaling clinical pain and pain relief. In: Bromm B, ed. *Pain Measurement in Man: Neurophysiological Correlates of Pain.* New York: Elsevier; 1984.
12. Kugel MC, Leopold NA. Dysphagia in Huntington's disease: a 16-year retrospective. *Dysphagia.* 1992; **7**(2): 106–114.
13. Lee TH, Lee JS, Kim WJ. High resolution impedance manometric findings in dysphagia of Huntington's disease. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2012; **18**(14): 1695–1699.
14. Atkinson M, McHanwell S. *Basic Medical Science for Speech & Language Therapy Students.* London: Whurr Publishers; 2002.
15. Van Lieshout PH, Steele CM, Lang AE. Tongue control for swallowing in Parkinson's disease: effects of age, rate, and stimulus consistency. *Mov Disord.* 2011; **26**(9): 1725–1729.
16. Alves TC, Cola PC, Santos RR, Motonaga SM, Silva RG. Swallowing endoscopy findings in Huntington's disease: a case report. *CoDAS.* 2016; **28**(4): 486–488.
17. Hamakawa S, Koda C, Umeno H, et al. Oropharyngeal dysphagia in a case of Huntington's disease. *Auris Nasus Larynx.* 2004; **31**(2): 171–176.
18. Langmore S. *Endoscopic Evaluation and Treatment of Swallowing Disorders.* New York: Thieme; 2001.
19. Leder SB, Cohn SM, Moller BA. Fiberoptic endoscopic documentation of the high incidence of aspiration following extubation in critically ill trauma patients. *Dysphagia.* 1998; **13**(4): 208–212.
20. Wu C, Ko J, Hsiao T, Hsu M. Dysphagia after radiotherapy: endoscopic examination of swallowing in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* 2000; **109**(3): 320–325.
21. Hartley BE, Harnick CJ, Miller C, Willging JP. Pediatric fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* 2000; **109**(11): 996–999.
22. Stringer S. Managing dysphagia in palliative care. *Prof Nurse.* 1999; **14**(7): 489–492.
23. Rosenblatt A, Ranen N, Nance M, Paulsen J. *A Physician's Guide to the Management of Huntington's Disease.* 2nd ed. New York: HDSA; 1999.
24. Aziz NA, van der Marck MA, Pijl H, et al. Weight loss in neurodegenerative disorders. *J Neurol.* 2008; **255**(12): 1872–1880.
25. Van Liew C, Gluhm S, Goldstein J, Cronan TA, Corey-Bloom J. The functional implications of motor, cognitive, psychiatric, and social problem-solving states in Huntington's disease. *Psychiatry.* 2013; **76**(4): 323–335.
26. Saldert C, Fors A, Ströberg S, Hartelius L. Comprehension of complex discourse in different stages of Huntington's disease. *Int J Lang Commun Disord.* 2010; **45**(6): 656–669.
27. Baker M, Blumlein D. Huntington's disease part I: what is it? *British Journal of Healthcare Assistants.* 2009; **3**(5): 223–227.