

other studies. A different interpretation of the results could be that no less than 31% of an essentially unselected group of subjects who had attempted suicide suffered from a syndrome of depression which carries a considerable degree of morbidity and mortality, and for which we have, for the most part, effective treatments.

The adequate treatment of depression remains the most effective way in which we as clinicians can assist suicidal patients. The word "only" in this context appears to be singularly inappropriate.

ROBERT D. GOLDNEY

*Dibden Research Unit
Glenside Hospital
Adelaide
South Australia*

References

- GOLDNEY, R. D. & PILOWSKY, I. (1979) Depression in young women who have attempted suicide. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, **14**, 203-211.
- GOLDNEY, R. D., ADAM, K. S., O'BRIEN, J. C., *et al* (1981) Depression in young women who have attempted suicide: an international replication study. *Journal of Affective Disorder*, **3**, 327-337.
- PILOWSKY, I. & BOULTON, D. M. (1970) Development of a questionnaire-based decision rule for classifying depressed patients. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **116**, 647-650.
- POLLITT, J. D. (1971) Etiological, clinical and therapeutic aspects of depression. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Medicine*, **64**, 1174-1178.

Molecular genetics and ethics

SIR: I would like to take up the issues aptly raised by Pelosi (*Journal*, October 1988, **153**, 570) and David (*Journal*, January 1989, **154**, 119) and suggest that the ethical implications of the new genetics are of immediate importance, not least because its practical implications have been quickly grasped by those such as insurance companies who play a significant role in the provision of health care in the Western world, if not yet in Britain.

Abuse of new technology is rarely the sole prerogative of doctors. (On the evidence of past enquiries, the public have more to fear from backward than enlightened practitioners, but that is another story.) Ethical abuses depend as much, if not more, on the ideological climate in which the advances occur. The real life counterparts of Drs Moreau and Frankenstein prosper under suitably unethical political regimes. Psychiatrists must ask themselves what implications the current ideological views of health care will have on the new genetics.

This is especially important in view of the fact that these advances promise more than they can, in the

short term, deliver. They do not currently hold out any early hopes for treatment, nor do they, if the chromosome 5 studies are representative, imply a simple correspondence between genetic lesion and diagnostic category, an important caveat given the variety of impairments and prognoses across categories. Their preventative power is constrained by the multiplicity of causes for mental illness and its link with further ethical questions surrounding procedures such as abortion. What they do offer, and what will no doubt be seized upon, is increased predictability of general morbidity within, and possibly outside, the affected pedigree.

The effect of all this in the current ethos may be unfortunate. In emphasising the predictable, and therefore inevitable, aspects of mental illness we do not put ourselves in a strong bargaining position for resources, but improve the position of those who might wish to further limit the amount of money spent on the mentally ill and see in the new discoveries both a reason for, and a potential means of, doing so. Genetic approaches to the mentally ill have an unpleasant social history, and given the above it is easy to see why.

Outside science fiction, ethical choices for doctors are not simply between hubris and humility but a more insidious business of resisting the carrots and sticks of ideology. In the present case the carrots may be more visible than the sticks: there are enough pedigrees, mental illnesses, and slots on the 23 chromosomes to occupy researchers well into the 23rd century. This is all the more reason for collective responsibility. This might entail: (a) strong guidelines on the use of predictive tests; (b) a monitoring of future research to ensure a balance with management issues, be they psychological, social, or physical; and (c) a reasoned debate on where these advances are leading, especially with regard to the everyday clinician and his patients. The most obvious source of such an initiative would be the Royal College of Psychiatrists.

Although some of the above smacks of Luddism, I hope it challenges enough to stimulate a discussion which, as your previous correspondents suggest, is overdue. Whatever history says about us, it will not accept the excuse that we were taken unprepared.

M. F. BRISTOW

*St Bartholomew's Hospital
London EC1*

Lipid-lowering drugs and violence

SIR: I was interested to see the letter from McLoughlin & Clarke (*Journal*, February 1989, **154**,