

Book reviews

Ian Hacking, <i>Why Is There Philosophy of Mathematics at All?</i> By Michael J. Barany	686
Victor J. Katz and Karen Hunger Parshall, <i>Taming the Unknown: A History of Algebra from Antiquity to the Early Twentieth Century</i> . By Christopher Hollings	687
William E. Burns, <i>The Scientific Revolution in Global Perspective</i> . By James Poskett	689
Dario Tessicini and Patrick J. Boner (eds.), <i>Celestial Novelties on the Eve of the Scientific Revolution, 1540–1630</i> . By Neil Tarrant	690
Jamie C. Kassler, <i>Seeking Truth: Roger North's Notes on Newton and Correspondence with Samuel Clarke c.1704–1713</i> . By Cornelis J. Schilt	691
James Sumner, <i>Brewing Science, Technology and Print, 1700–1880</i> . By Alexi Baker	693
Ben Russell, <i>James Watt: Making the World Anew</i> . By Patricia Fara	694
Brian Gee (ed. Anita McConnell and A.D. Morrison-Low), <i>Francis Watkins and the Dollond Telescope Patent Controversy</i> . By Richard Dunn	696
Silke Ackermann, Richard L. Kremer and Mara Miniati (eds.), <i>Scientific Instruments on Display</i> . By Rebekah Higgitt	697
Charles Mollan (ed.), <i>William Parsons, 3rd Earl of Rosse: Astronomy and the Castle in Nineteenth-Century Ireland</i> . By Adrian James Kirwan	699
Ben Marsden, Hazel Hutchison and Ralph O'Connor (eds.), <i>Uncommon Contexts: Encounters between Science and Literature, 1800–1914</i> . By Courtney J. Salvey	700
Piers J. Hale, <i>Political Descent: Malthus, Mutualism, and the Politics of Evolution in Victorian England</i> . By Jonathan Conlin	702
Robert J. Richards, <i>Was Hitler a Darwinian? Disputed Questions in the History of Evolutionary Theory</i> . By John Z. Langrish	704
Catherine Marshall, Bernard Lightman and Richard England (eds.), <i>The Papers of the Metaphysical Society, 1869–1880: A Critical Edition</i> . By Gowan Dawson	705
Peter Lamont, <i>Extraordinary Beliefs: A Historical Approach to a Psychological Problem</i> . By Andreas Sommer	707
Frank Biess and Daniel M. Gross (eds.), <i>Science and Emotions after 1945: A Transatlantic Perspective</i> . By Joanna Bourke	708
David Cantor and Edmund Ramsden (eds.), <i>Stress, Shock, and Adaptation in the Twentieth Century</i> . By Neeraja Sankaran	709
Ruth Oldenziel and Mikael Hård, <i>Consumers, Tinkerers, Rebels: The People Who Shaped Europe</i> . By Coreen McGuire	711
Angela N.H. Creager, <i>Life Atomic: A History of Radioisotopes in Science and Medicine</i> . By Mauro Capocci	712
Catherine Jolivette (ed.), <i>British Art in the Nuclear Age</i> . By Camilla Mørk Røstvik	713
Naomi Oreskes and John Krige (eds.), <i>Science and Technology in the Global Cold War</i> . By Jon Agar	715
John P. DiMoia, <i>Reconstructing Bodies: Biomedicine, Health, and Nation-Building in South Korea since 1945</i> . By Victoria Lee	716
Nicolas Rasmussen, <i>Gene Jockeys: Life Science and the Rise of Biotech Enterprise</i> and Hallam Stevens, <i>Life out of Sequence: A Data-Driven History of Bioinformatics</i> . By Thomas P. Weber	717
Karen A. Rader and Victoria E.M. Cain, <i>Life on Display: Revolutionizing U.S. Museums of Science and Natural History in the Twentieth Century</i> . By Samuel J.M.M. Alberti	719

- Francis Graham-Smith, *Unseen Cosmos: The Universe in Radio*. By Oliver Marsh 721
 Catelijne Coopmans, Janet Vertesi, Michael Lynch and Steve Woolgar (eds.),
Representation in Scientific Practice Revisited. By Melissa Lo 722

IAN HACKING, *Why Is There Philosophy of Mathematics at All?*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014. Pp. xv + 290. ISBN 978-1-107-65815-8. £17.99 (paperback).
 doi:10.1017/S0007087415000692

Ian Hacking came of age as a philosopher in a distinctive Cambridge University milieu marked by the newly collected and newly fashioned remarks of the likes of Ludwig Wittgenstein and Imre Lakatos, and by an important but idiosyncratic conception of the philosophy of mathematics, the subject of Hacking's doctoral thesis. Since then, Hacking has returned repeatedly, if irregularly, to the philosophy of mathematics as part of a distinguished career featuring many signal interventions across the history and (especially) philosophy of science. A 2010 series of lectures gave Hacking occasion to revisit some themes from his dissertation in light of his own and others' substantial work in the intervening half-century, but with a twist. Rather than tackle the philosophy of mathematics directly, in his 2010 lectures and a series of talks and essays that followed them Hacking instead posed the question in his title, namely why certain questions about mathematics seem to have become a perennial preoccupation for certain philosophers. The result is not a book of philosophy (or history) as such, but rather what Hacking terms 'a book of philosophical thoughts' (p. xiii), part meditation, part conversation, part (albeit minutely and obliquely) philosophical memoir.

The basic answer to Hacking's titular question is straightforward, and more perspicuously and suggestively posed in the short 2011 article Hacking published with the same title. Here, Hacking sketches his two-part answer in his third chapter. First, Hacking argues, philosophers since ancient times have generalized (likely with excessive exuberance) a compelling experience felt in encounters with a particular and unrepresentative kind of mathematical proof. Second, philosophers since Kant have attempted to explain the seemingly miraculous applicability of mathematics, by which Hacking means not just the use of mathematics in the physical sciences but more broadly the experience of finding applications or analogies connecting mathematical knowledge to a wide range of mathematical, physical and other phenomena. He prefaces this answer with a chapter introducing these key notions of proof and application, and another chapter surveying a range of definitions of mathematics itself. After answering his primary question, Hacking devotes a chapter each to challenging the inevitability of proof and application, at least in the forms that have fascinated certain philosophers, first by pointing out the range of conceptions of proof that have been present or absent in different settings in the history of mathematics, and second by historicizing the disciplinary and philosophical distinctions between pure and applied mathematics. A final pair of chapters poses a series of contemporary and historical debates that frame a variety of interpretations of Platonism and its multiple converses that, Hacking suggests, represent the philosophical stakes and motivations of philosophers' engagement with mathematics and mathematicians' engagement with philosophy.

The book is laden with observations that seem banal but turn out to be profound, and vice versa. Most significant of the banal-seeming profundities, perhaps, is the simple fact that the experiences of mathematics that some philosophers and more mathematicians have found so moving and have often been stipulated to be universal are, as a matter of history and sociology, rather unusual and limited. The tension between idiosyncrasy and generalization recurs frequently and to significant effect in this book, but often seems missing where it could be most informative – where Hacking tends to slip uncritically into the collective philosophical 'we' in his tour of mathematical and philosophical experience. As an example of a profound-seeming banality, Hacking professes

repeated astonishment at a range of applications (in his expansive sense) of mathematics, but in expanding upon their diversity and philosophical interpretations he seems to lose the thread of why they should have been so central to the philosophy of mathematics or why (apart from some provisional hypotheses from cognitive science and a few other lightly developed rationales) they should be so astonishing in the first place.

Part of the trouble is the book's meditative genre, heavy on suggestive digressions but short on the sort of synthesis and argument for which Hacking is frequently and justly praised. Where in other texts Hacking's tangle of foreshadowing, deferral and cross-reference signals a dense and multi-layered explanation that rewards a reader's close attention, here it appears to reflect the book's piecemeal elaboration through a series of shorter expositions in other formats. Hacking exhibits a frustrating habit of mentioning a provocative topic and then disavowing it as peripheral to his main quarry. A generous and scrupulous writer, Hacking devotes considerable attention to doing his contemporary and historical interlocutors justice, even when it sometimes comes at the expense of his own cogency.

There is much to praise here. Hacking's perambulatory reflections about the philosophy of mathematics teem with insight and provocation. He displays his habitually impeccable ear for delectable quotes and original aphorisms, enriched by his characteristic close attention to nuances of usage and interpretation. Hacking's perspective on the development of a range of themes in the philosophy of mathematics over the last century and a half is markedly well informed and frequently illuminating. His laudable commitment to engaging with recent and forbiddingly difficult mathematical work has mixed results, but stands out in a field whose practitioners (as Hacking discusses) often seem overly preoccupied with fanciful or simplistic examples that are scarcely connected to what mathematicians do. On their own, these features may satisfy a great many readers. As elements of a larger intervention in the history and theory of philosophy, they tend to accentuate the volume's disappointingly persistent lacunae.

MICHAEL J. BARANY
Princeton University

VICTOR J. KATZ and KAREN HUNGER PARSHALL, **Taming the Unknown: A History of Algebra from Antiquity to the Early Twentieth Century**. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2014. Pp. xiii + 485. ISBN 978-0-691-14905-9. £34.95 (hardback).
doi:10.1017/S0007087415000709

As with the technical terms of any field, the word 'algebra' has undergone several changes of meaning throughout its history, as the subjects to which it has been attached have developed. The book under review traces this process from ancient times up to the modern day. The word 'algebra', we are told, first emerged in western European languages as a corruption of part of the title of a ninth-century text by the Islamic scholar al-Khwārizmī (fl. 800–847). Since this work concerned the solution of polynomial equations (in modern notation, any equation of the form

$$a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0 = 0,$$

which is to be solved for x , and where n is an integer and a_n, \dots, a_0 are known numbers), the term 'algebra' subsequently became the new name for the (much older) subject in which solutions of such equations are sought.

Although al-Khwārizmī limited himself to quadratic equations (those of degree 2: that is, $n = 2$ in the above equation), the centuries following his work saw the extension of his methods to equations of higher degree: solutions for degrees 3 and 4 were discovered in sixteenth-century Italy, for example. However, efforts to extend known methods still further failed, and, by the eighteenth