

## CORRIGENDA

### ON A CLASSIFICATION OF THE FUNCTION FIELDS OF ALGEBRAIC TORI

(Nagoya Math. J. 56 (1975), 85–104)

SHIZUO ENDO AND TAKEHIKO MIYATA

There are some errors in Theorems 3.3 and 4.2 in [2]. In this note we would like to correct them.

1) In Theorem 3.3 (and [IV]), the condition (1) must be replaced by the following one;

(1)  $\Pi$  is (i) a cyclic group, (ii) a dihedral group of order  $2m$ ,  $m$  odd, (iii) a direct product of a cyclic group of order  $q^f$ ,  $q$  an odd prime,  $f \geq 1$ , and a dihedral group of order  $2m$ ,  $m$  odd, where each prime divisor of  $m$  is a primitive  $q^{f-1}(q-1)$ -th root of unity modulo  $q^f$ , or (iv) a generalized quaternion group of order  $4m$ ,  $m$  odd, where each prime divisor of  $m$  is congruent to 3 modulo 4.

Further replace the condition (1') in p. 96 by the following one:

(1')  $\Pi$  is (i') a cyclic group, or (ii') a direct product of a cyclic group of order  $n$ ,  $n$  odd,  $n \geq 1$ , and a group with generators  $\rho, \tau$  and relations  $\rho^m = \tau^{2^d} = 1$  and  $\tau^{-1}\rho\tau = \rho^{-1}$ ,  $m$  odd,  $d \geq 1$ , where each rational prime dividing  $m$  is a prime in  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{n2^d}]$ .

If the unit group  $U(\mathbf{Z}/n2^d\mathbf{Z})$  is not cyclic, then any rational prime is not prime in  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{n2^d}]$ . This observation shows that (1) is equivalent to (1').

Now, let  $\Pi$  be a metacyclic group as in (ii'). Denote by  $\sigma$  an element of  $\Pi$  of order  $nm$  and put  $\mu = \sigma\tau^2$ . Let  $m' | m$  ( $m' > 1$ ),  $n' | n$  and  $0 \leq d' \leq d-1$ , and put  $b = n'm'2^{d'}$ . Suppose that  $m'$  is not a prime power. Then we see that  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_b] = \mathbf{Z}[\mu]/(\Phi_b(\mu))$  is unramified over  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{n'2^{d'}}, \zeta_{m'} + \zeta_{m'}^{-1}]$ . Since

---

Received May 24, 1979.

$Z\Pi/(\Phi_b(\mu))$  is a crossed product of  $Z[\zeta_b]$  and a cyclic group of order 2, this shows that  $Z\Pi/(\Phi_b(\mu))$  is a maximal, separable  $Z[\zeta_{n'2^d}, \zeta_{m'} + \zeta_m^{-1}]$ -order in  $Q\Pi/(\Phi_b(\mu))$ .

Noting this fact, the implication (1')  $\Rightarrow$  (2) can be proved along the same line as in [2]. The implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is evident. Hence we have only to prove the implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1').

Assume that  $\Pi$  does not satisfy the condition (1'). Now we will prove that  $T(\Pi)$  is not a finite group. By virtue of (1.5) and (2.3), it suffices to show this in the case where every Sylow subgroup of  $\Pi$  is cyclic and  $i(\Pi) \leq 2$ . If  $T(\Pi)$  is a finite group, then, for any normal subgroup  $\Pi'$  of  $\Pi$ ,  $T(\Pi/\Pi')$  is a finite group. Therefore we may suppose that

(\*)  $\Pi$  is a metacyclic group with generators  $\sigma, \tau$  and relations  $\sigma^{np} = \tau^{2^d} = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma^n\tau = \sigma^{-n}$  and  $\sigma^p\tau = \tau\sigma^p$ , where  $d \geq 1, n$  is an odd integer and  $p$  is an odd prime with  $(p, n) = 1$  which is not a prime in  $Z[\zeta_{n2^d}]$ .

The case  $d = 1$ . Write  $b = np$ , and let  $\Lambda = Z\Pi/(\Phi_b(\sigma))$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is a trivial crossed product of  $Z[\zeta_b]$  and  $\langle \tau \rangle$ . Let  $R = Z[\zeta_b] = Z[\sigma]/(\Phi_b(\sigma))$  and  $R_0 = Z[\zeta_n, \zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$ , and let  $\mathfrak{A} = (\zeta_p - 1) \subseteq R$ . Both  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  can be regarded as  $\Lambda$ -modules, and we have  $\Lambda \underset{(s)}{\dashv} 0, R \underset{(s)}{\dashv} 0, \mathfrak{A} \underset{(s)}{\dashv} 0$  and  $\Lambda \cong R \oplus \mathfrak{A}$  as  $\Lambda$ -modules. Since  $p$  is not a prime in  $Z[\zeta_n]$ , we can find an ambiguous prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $R$  such that  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ . By localizing  $\Lambda, R, \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{P}$  at  $\mathfrak{P} \cap R_0$ , it can be shown that the genus of  $\mathfrak{P}$  is different from those of  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We note that, if  $T \in \mathcal{S}_n, \Lambda T \cong R^{(u)} \oplus \mathfrak{A}^{(v)}$  for some  $u, v \geq 0$ . Now suppose that  $(\mathfrak{P}^*)^{(j)} \underset{(s)}{\dashv} 0$  for  $j > 0$ . Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S' \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow \mathfrak{P}^{(j)} \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $\Pi$ -modules with  $S', S \in \mathcal{S}_n$ . Tensoring this with  $\Lambda$  over  $Z\Pi$  and eliminating the torsion parts, we get  $\mathfrak{P}^{(j)} \oplus \Lambda S' \cong \Lambda S$  and so  $\mathfrak{P}^{(j)} \oplus R^{(u)} \oplus \mathfrak{A}^{(v)} \cong R^{(u')} \oplus \mathfrak{A}^{(v')}$  for some  $u, v, u', v' \geq 0$ , which is a contradiction. This shows that  $(\mathfrak{P}^*)^{(j)} \not\underset{(s)}{\dashv} 0$  for any  $j > 0$ . Thus  $T(\Pi)$  is not finite.

The case  $d \geq 2$ . We first assume that  $n = 1$ . As is easily seen,  $p$  is not a prime in  $Z[i]$  if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and, for  $d \geq 3, p$  is not a prime in  $Z[\zeta_{2^d}]$ . We now write  $\mu = \sigma\tau^2$ . Suppose that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and let  $\Lambda = Z\Pi/(\Phi_{2p}(\mu))$ . Then  $\Lambda = Z[\zeta_p, \tau']$  where  $\tau'^2 = -1$  and  $\tau'^{-1}\zeta_p\tau' = \zeta_p^{-1}$ , and  $R_0 = Z[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$  is the center of  $\Lambda$ . Since  $\Lambda/(\zeta_p - 1) = F_p[i] = F_p \oplus F_p$  and  $R_0/(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1} - 2) = F_p, \Lambda$  is a non-maximal, hereditary  $R_0$ -order in  $Q\Lambda$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a maximal ideal of  $\Lambda$  containing  $\zeta_p - 1$ . Then the genus of  $\mathfrak{M}$

is different from that of  $\Lambda$ . Note that, for  $T \in \mathcal{S}_n$ ,  $\Lambda T \cong \Lambda^{(u)}$  for some  $u \geq 0$ . Using this fact we see that  $(\mathfrak{M}^*)^{(j)} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(i)}$  for any  $j > 0$ , which shows that  $T(\Pi)$  is not finite. Suppose that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $d = 3$ , and let  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}\Pi/(\Phi_{4p}(\mu))$ . Then  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p, i, \tau']$  where  $\tau'^2 = i$  and  $\tau'^{-1}\zeta_p\tau' = \zeta_p^{-1}$ , and  $R_0 = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}, i]$  is the center of  $\Lambda$ . Since  $\Lambda/(\zeta_p - 1) = \mathbf{F}_p[\zeta_8] = \mathbf{F}_{p^2} \oplus \mathbf{F}_{p^2}$  and  $R_0/(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1} - 2) = \mathbf{F}_p[i] = \mathbf{F}_{p^2}$ ,  $\Lambda$  is a non-maximal, hereditary  $R_0$ -order in  $\mathbf{Q}\Lambda$ . Note that, for  $T \in \mathcal{S}_n$ , we have  $\Lambda T \cong \Lambda^{(u)}$  for some  $u \geq 0$ . Then, in the same way as in the case  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we can show that  $T(\Pi)$  is not finite.

Next, we assume that  $n > 1$ . We only need to consider the case where  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $d = 2$ . If  $p$  is not a prime in  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n]$ , then  $T(\Pi/\langle \tau^2 \rangle)$  is not finite as shown in the case  $d = 1$ , and so  $T(\Pi)$  is not finite. Hence we may assume that  $p$  is a prime in  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n]$ . Write  $\mu = \sigma\tau^2$  and let  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}\Pi/(\Phi_{2np}(\mu))$ . Then  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n, \zeta_p, \tau']$  where  $\tau'^2 = -1$ ,  $\tau'^{-1}\zeta_n\tau' = \zeta_n$  and  $\tau'^{-1}\zeta_p\tau' = \zeta_p^{-1}$ , and  $R_0 = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n, \zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$  is the center of  $\Lambda$ . We see that  $\Lambda/(\zeta_p - 1) = \mathbf{F}_p[\zeta_n, i] = \mathbf{F}_p[\zeta_n] \oplus \mathbf{F}_p[\zeta_n]$  and  $R_0/(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1} - 2) = \mathbf{F}_p[\zeta_n]$ . This shows that  $\Lambda$  is a non-maximal, hereditary  $R_0$ -order in  $\mathbf{Q}\Lambda$ . Therefore, along the same line as in the case  $n = 1$ , it can be shown that  $T(\Pi)$  is not finite. This completes the proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1').

The implication (1')  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) can also be proved by Theorem 3.1 in [1]. But Dress' result does not immediately show the implication (1')  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

The argument on p. 96 in [2] is incorrect for non-cyclic groups. A detailed and rectified proof of the implication (1')  $\Rightarrow$  (2) will be given in a more general form in a forthcoming paper.

2) In Theorem 4.2, the condition (1) must be replaced by the following one:

(1)  $\Pi$  is one of the following groups: (i) a cyclic group of order  $n$  where for every  $n' | n$  any prime ideal of  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{n'}]$  containing  $n$  is principal. (ii) a dihedral group of order  $2m$ ,  $m$  odd, where for every  $m' | m$  any prime ideal of  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{m'} + \zeta_{m'}^{-1}]$  containing  $m$  is principal. (iii) a direct product of a cyclic group of order  $q^f$ ,  $q$  an odd prime,  $f \geq 1$ , and a dihedral group of order  $2m$ ,  $m$  odd, where any prime divisor of  $m$  is a primitive  $q^{f-1}(q-1)$ -th root of unity modulo  $q^f$ , for every  $1 \leq f' \leq f$  any prime ideal of  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{q^{f'}}]$  containing 2 is principal, and for every  $0 \leq f' \leq f$  and every  $m' | m$  any prime ideal of  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{q^{f'}}, \zeta_m + \zeta_m^{-1}]$  containing  $qm$  is principal. (iv) a generalized quaternion group of order  $4m$ ,  $m$  odd, where any prime divisor of  $m$  is con-

*gruent to 3 modulo 4 and for every  $m' | m$  any prime ideal of  $Z[\zeta_{m'} + \zeta_{m'}^{-1}]$  containing  $2m$  is generated by a totally positive element.*

It should be noted that, for a finite group  $\Pi$  satisfying the condition (1) in the part 1), the converse of (4.1), (1) is true. Then we can prove Theorem 4.2 in the same way as in [2].

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] A. W. M. Dress, The permutation class group of a finite group, *J. of Pure and Applied Algebra*, **6** (1975), 1–12.
- [ 2 ] S. Endo and T. Miyata, On a classification of the function fields of algebraic tori, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **56** (1975), 85–104.

*Tokyo Metropolitan University  
Osaka City University*