

## SOME REMARKS CONCERNING CONTRACTION MAPPINGS

BY  
SIMEON REICH

The following result is proved in [1, p. 6].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a complete metric space, and let  $T$  and  $T_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) be contraction mappings of  $X$  into itself with the same Lipschitz constant  $k < 1$ , and with fixed points  $u$  and  $u_n$  respectively. Suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x) = T(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ .*

The next result was established by Singh and Russell [4].

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $\varepsilon$ -chainable metric space, and let  $T_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) be mappings of  $X$  into itself, and suppose that there is a real number  $k$  with  $0 \leq k < 1$  such that  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon \Rightarrow d(T_n(x), T_n(y)) \leq kd(x, y)$  for all  $n$ . If  $u_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) are the fixed points for  $T_n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x) = T(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ .*

The aim of this note is to generalize Theorem 1 as well as Theorem 2.

**Part 1.** We begin with

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $X$  be a complete metric space with metric  $d$ , and let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a function with the following property:*

$$(1) \quad d(T(x), T(y)) \leq ad(x, T(x)) + bd(y, T(y)) + cd(x, y), \quad x, y \in X,$$

where  $a, b, c$  are nonnegative and satisfy  $a + b + c < 1$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

Note that  $a = b = 0$  yields Banach's fixed point theorem, while  $a = b, c = 0$  yields Kannan's fixed point theorem, mentioned in [5, p. 406]. Of course, we may assume always that  $a = b$ , but this is not essential.

**Proof.** Take any point  $x \in X$  and consider the sequence  $\{T^n(x)\}$ . Putting  $x = T^n(x)$ ,  $y = T^{n-1}(x)$  in (1) we obtain for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$d(T^{n+1}(x), T^n(x)) \leq ad(T^n(x), T^{n+1}(x)) + bd(T^{n-1}(x), T^n(x)) + cd(T^n(x), T^{n-1}(x)).$$

Hence

$$d(T^{n+1}(x), T^n(x)) \leq pd(T^n(x), T^{n-1}(x)),$$

where  $p = (b + c)/(1 - a)$ . Note that  $p < 1$ . It follows that  $d(T^{n+1}(x), T^n(x)) \leq p^n d(x, T(x))$ , and that for any  $m > n$ ,  $d(T^m(x), T^n(x)) \leq p^n d(x, T(x))/(1 - p)$ . Thus  $\{T^n(x)\}$

is a Cauchy sequence and therefore  $T^n(x) \rightarrow z$ . Now we will show that  $T(z) = z$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $T^{n+1}(x) \rightarrow T(z)$ .

Indeed we have, taking  $x = T^n(x)$ ,  $y = z$  in (1),

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^{n+1}(x), T(z)) &\leq ad(T^{n+1}(x), T^n(x)) + bd(T(z), z) + cd(T^n(x), z) \\ &\leq ad(T^{n+1}(x), T^n(x)) + bd(T^{n+1}(x), T(z)) + bd(T^{n+1}(x), z) + cd(T^n(x), z) \\ &\leq ap^n d(T(x), x) + bd(T^{n+1}(x), T(z)) + bd(T^{n+1}(x), z) + cd(T^n(x), z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$d(T^{n+1}(x), T(z)) \leq (ap^n d(T(x), x) + bd(T^{n+1}(x), z) + cd(T^n(x), z)) / (1 - b) \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally we prove that there is only one fixed point. Let  $x, y$  be two fixed points. Then

$$d(x, y) = d(T(x), T(y)) \leq ad(x, x) + bd(y, y) + cd(x, y) = cd(x, y).$$

Were  $d(x, y)$  nonzero, we would have  $1 \leq c$ , a contradiction.

To see that this theorem is stronger than Banach's and Kannan's theorems, consider the following example:  $X = [0, 1]$ ,  $T(x) = x/3$  for  $0 \leq x < 1$  and  $T(1) = \frac{1}{6}$ .  $T$  does not satisfy Banach's condition because it is not continuous at 1. Kannan's condition also cannot be satisfied because  $d(T(0), T(\frac{1}{3})) = \frac{1}{2}(d(0, T(0)) + d(\frac{1}{3}, T(\frac{1}{3})))$ . But it satisfies condition (1) if we put  $a = \frac{1}{6}$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{9}$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{3}$  (these are not the smallest possible values).

Using this result we obtain

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $X$  be a complete metric space, and let  $T_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be mappings of  $X$  into itself satisfying (1) with the same constants  $a, b, c$ , and with fixed points  $u_n$ . Suppose that a mapping  $T$  of  $X$  into itself can be defined by  $T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x)$ . Then  $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $d$  is a continuous function of both its variables we immediately see that  $T$  satisfies (1) and therefore has a unique fixed point  $u$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(u_n, u) = d(T_n(u_n), T(u)) &\leq d(T_n(u_n), T_n(u)) + d(T_n(u), T(u)) \\ &\leq ad(u_n, T_n(u_n)) + bd(u, T_n(u)) + cd(u_n, u) + d(T_n(u), T(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$d(u_n, u) \leq [(b+1)d(T_n(u), T(u))] / (1 - c).$$

The result follows.

**Part 2.** Suppose the nonnegative function  $k(x, y)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $k(x, y) = k(d(x, y))$
- (b)  $k(d) < 1$  for any  $d > 0$
- (c)  $k(d)$  is a monotonically decreasing function of  $d$ .

Rakotch proved the following result [2, p. 463].

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $T: X \rightarrow X$  where  $X$  is a complete metric space, satisfies*

$$(2) \quad d(T(x), T(y)) \leq k(x, y)d(x, y), \quad x, y \in X, \quad x \neq y$$

*then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

Using this we obtain

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and let  $T_n(n=1, 2, \dots)$  be contraction mappings of  $X$  into itself with the same  $k(x, y)$ , and with fixed points  $u_n$ . If  $T$  can be defined by  $T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ .*

**Proof.** By the continuity of  $d$ ,  $T$  satisfies (2), and therefore has a unique fixed point  $u$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(u_n, u) = d(T_n(u_n), T(u)) &\leq d(T_n(u_n), T_n(u)) + d(T_n(u), T(u)) \\ &\leq k(u_n, u)d(u_n, u) + d(T_n(u), T(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$d(u_n, u) \leq [d(T_n(u), T(u))]/(1 - k(u_n, u)).$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, and denote  $k(\epsilon)$  by  $p$ . We can find an  $N$  such that for  $n > N$ ,  $d(T_n(u), T(u)) < (1 - p)\epsilon$ . Take any  $n > N$ . We intend to show that  $d(u_n, u) < \epsilon$ . If  $d(u_n, u) < \epsilon$ , there is nothing to prove. If  $d(u_n, u) \geq \epsilon$  then  $k(u_n, u) \leq p$ . Therefore

$$d(u_n, u) \leq [d(T_n(u), T(u))]/(1 - p) < \epsilon.$$

The proof is complete.

We state now another result due to Rakotch [3].

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $T$  be a mapping of a complete  $\epsilon$ -chainable metric space into itself, and suppose there is a function  $k(x, y)$ , satisfying (a), (b), (c), such that  $d(x, y) < \epsilon \Rightarrow d(T(x), T(y)) \leq k(x, y)d(x, y)$ ,  $x \neq y$ , where  $d$  is the metric of the space. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

This theorem enables us to present a generalization of Theorem 2.

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $\epsilon$ -chainable metric space, and let  $T_n(n=1, 2, \dots)$  be mappings of  $X$  into itself, and suppose that there is a nonnegative function  $k(x, y)$  which satisfies (a), (b), (c) such that  $d(x, y) < \epsilon \Rightarrow d(T_n(x), T_n(y)) \leq k(x, y)d(x, y)$ ,  $x \neq y$ , for all  $n$ . If  $u_n$  are the fixed points of  $T_n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x) = T(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ .*

**Proof.** We define a new metric for our space by  $d_\epsilon(x, y) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^p d(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ , where the infimum is taken over all  $\epsilon$ -chains  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_p$  joining  $x_0 = x$  and  $x_p = y$ .  $(X, d_\epsilon)$  is a complete metric space. By a part of the proof of the previous theorem [3, p. 56] we have  $d_\epsilon T_n(x), T_n(y) \leq k'(x, y)d_\epsilon(x, y)$ ,  $x \neq y$ , where  $k'$  satisfies (a), (b),

(c). Since  $d(x, y) = d_\varepsilon(x, y)$  when  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ ,  $T_n$  converges to  $T$  with respect to  $d_\varepsilon$  too. By Theorem 6,  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\varepsilon(u_n, u) = 0$ . Since  $d(x, y) \leq d_\varepsilon(u_n, u)$ , we obtain the desired result.

## REFERENCES

1. F. F. Bonsall, *Lectures on some fixed point theorems of functional analysis*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1962.
2. E. Rakotch, *A note on contractive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 459–465.
3. ———, *On  $\varepsilon$ -contractive mappings*, Bull. Res. Council. of Israel, F, Math. & Phys. **10F** (1962), 53–58.
4. S. P. Singh and W. Russel, *A note on a sequence of contraction mappings*, Canad. Math. Bull. **12** (1969), 513–516.
5. R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points—II*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 405–408.

ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,  
HAIFA, ISRAEL