

MAIN

Imagery rescripting and negative self-imagery in social anxiety disorder: a systematic literature review

James Lloyd*¹ and Magda Marczak

School of Psychological, Social and Behavioural Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Coventry University, Priory Street, Coventry CV1 5FB, UK

*Corresponding author. Email: lloydj19@uni.coventry.ac.uk

(Received 22 May 2021; revised 22 November 2021; accepted 7 January 2022; first published online 28 February 2022)

Abstract

Background: Imagery rescripting (IR) is an effective intervention for social anxiety disorder (SAD) that targets memories of distressing formative events linked to negative self-imagery (NSI). IR is thought to update unhelpful schema by addressing the needs of the younger self within the memory. An accumulating body of evidence indicates that by modifying NSI, IR can significantly affect distressing imagery, memory appraisal, and beliefs about the self.

Aims: This systematic review aims to critically evaluate and synthesise literature investigating the existing research on the effects IR has on NSI in SAD.

Method: A systematic electronic search of Academic Search Complete, ProQuest, Medline, Scopus and PubMed was performed in February 2021 using pre-defined criteria. Ten studies met the inclusion criteria and were selected for review.

Results: Analysis of the reviewed articles' findings identified three main themes: *Changes to negative self-images*, *Memories linked to images* and *Encapsulated beliefs*. IR was associated with significant decreases in image distress, image vividness, memory vividness, memory distress, and encapsulated beliefs. Although reductions were found with image frequency, they were non-significant. Interpretation of results is limited by the small number of studies.

Conclusions: IR appears to effectively alter images, memories and beliefs in SAD in as little as a single session. The findings indicate that IR could be utilised as a cost-effective intervention for SAD. However, additional studies and longer-term follow-ups are needed.

Keywords: early memories; imagery rescripting; negative self-imagery; social anxiety disorder; systematic literature review

Introduction

Social anxiety disorder

Social anxiety disorder (SAD) is characterised by an intense and persistent fear of negative judgement in social or performance situations (*DSM-V*; American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Individuals fear that they will appear or behave embarrassingly, avoiding social situations or enduring them with intense anxiety. Common concerns include appearing dull or stupid, or looking anxious (Stein and Stein, 2008). Development of SAD typically occurs during the early to mid-teens, although a small subgroup of people develop the condition in later years (Stein and Stein, 2008). It is one of the most common anxiety disorders, with a lifetime prevalence rate of approximately 12% (Kessler *et al.*, 2005). SAD is associated with economic disadvantages and poorer quality of life (Dryman *et al.*, 2016; Patel *et al.*, 2002). Despite the considerable suffering, impediment and cost associated with SAD, only about 45%

of individuals with the condition ever seek treatment, and most often do so after two decades (Wang *et al.*, 2005).

In the UK, cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) is the first-line psychological treatment for SAD (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2013). Two well-established CBT models, Clark and Wells' model (1995) and Rapee and Heimberg's model (1997), are recommended by NICE. These models of SAD, as well as Hofmann's model (2007), which extends their work, indicate that negative self-imagery (NSI) plays a pivotal role in maintaining the disorder. NSI involves distorted images linked to memories of significant, negative social experiences (Hackmann *et al.*, 2000) where a social schema is formed that encapsulates negative appraisals (Cili and Stopa, 2015). These images are commonly recurrent, spontaneous and from a third-person's perspective (Hackmann *et al.*, 2000). Research has found that, during an anxiety-provoking social task, high social anxiety individuals more commonly experienced negative images and memories (Chiupka *et al.*, 2012) and were more likely to report an observer's perspective (Ashbaugh *et al.*, 2019) compared with low social anxiety individuals. Studies have found that holding an NSI (compared with a neutral self-image) is associated with an increase in safety-seeking behaviours, anxiety and critical appraisals of social performance (Hirsch *et al.*, 2004; Ng *et al.*, 2014).

The intrusive nature of NSI may be explained by neurobiological theories, such as the revised dual representation theory (DRT; Brewin *et al.*, 2010). The DRT proposes that overwhelming experiences can be processed in two memory systems that work in parallel: contextual accessible memories (Creps) and situationally accessible memories (Sreps). Creps are coherent, accurate in spatial and temporal context, voluntarily retrieved, updated over time and transferred to long-term memory. In contrast, Sreps consist of largely perceptual and affective information stored primarily in the amygdala and insula, disconnected from areas of the brain that provide contextual information, such as the hippocampus. Thus, uncontextualised Sreps may form the basis of intrusive imagery, such as NSI.

Imagery rescripting

Imagery rescripting (IR) – an intervention used to treat NSI – has received increased attention from researchers in recent years (Arntz, 2012; Holmes *et al.*, 2007; Stopa, 2011). IR is a collection of therapeutic imagery techniques aiming to update negative appraisals encapsulated in memories of painful past events. IR is thought to modify negative mental self-representation by meeting the younger self's unfulfilled needs within a memory (Arntz and Weertman, 1999; Arntz, 2012). IR was first used to treat SAD by Clark *et al.* (2006) among a subgroup of patients who had a modest response to standard interventions. The authors believed that IR contributed to the positive results observed, but as they did not evaluate IR separately they could not support this hypothesis. Wild *et al.* (2007, 2008) assessed IR's effects as a stand-alone intervention for SAD and found that it was associated with significant improvements to the vividness and disturbance of imagery and memories as well as negative encapsulated beliefs. An encapsulated belief can be understood as a summary of beliefs about the self, others and the world in relation to the imagery and/or memory (Wild *et al.*, 2007).

Different theoretical frameworks can explicate IR's underlying working mechanisms. Contemporary learning models assume negative memories to be unconditioned stimuli (UCS), their triggers conditioned stimuli (CS) and symptomatology the conditioned response (CR; Mineka and Zinbarg, 2006). Davey's conditioning theory (1997) hypothesises that the UCS mediates the strength of the CR to CS. When the subjective meaning of the UCS is altered in IR, so is the CR. This theory has been termed *US-revaluation* (Davey, 1989; Arntz and Weertman, 1999). Alternatively, Brewin's (2006) retrieval competition hypothesis suggests that rather than modifying the negative memory, IR may develop a new adaptive memory that competes with the original memory during instances of subsequent retrieval. From a DRT

perspective, IR utilises the contextualised Crep memories to project a memory representation while incorporating the sensory-bound Sreps. This supports the contextualisation of the Srep memory before a new, positive, more elaborated memory is formed that wins the retrieval competition, reducing distress (Brewin *et al.*, 2010).

IR has been administered in individual and group settings as a stand-alone intervention and part of a CBT protocol to treat SAD. As a stand-alone approach, IR has been shown to reduce fear, avoidance, negative evaluation, performance anxiety and social anxiety (Lee & Kwon, 2013; Nilsson *et al.*, 2012; Norton and Abbott, 2016; Reimer and Moscovitch, 2015; Wild *et al.*, 2007). Hyett *et al.* (2018) has evaluated IR as a stand-alone intervention in group sessions and found no significant differences in self-report measures of SAD between a single session of group IR, group cognitive restructuring (CR) and a waitlist. However, compared with CR and a waitlist, IR was associated with modulating heart rate variability, an indicator of greater emotion regulation. As part of multi-component CBT intervention for individuals, IR was associated with significant reductions in the frequency and severity of socially anxious beliefs and fear of negative evaluation (Takanashi *et al.*, 2020; Wild *et al.*, 2007).

Two studies have integrated IR into a group CBT intervention. McEvoy and Saulsman, (2014) study found imagery-enhanced CBT, compared with non-imagery CBT, was associated with reliable improvements in social interaction anxiety at post-treatment and 1-month follow-up. However, no group differences were found in improvements to performance anxiety. Ahn and Kwon (2018) found that IR-influenced group CBT was comparable to standard group CBT on all measures post-treatment. However, IR-influenced group CBT was associated with significant improvements in interaction and performance anxiety at 3-month follow-up.

Rationale and aims

Given the central role of imagery in the development, maintenance and treatment of SAD, an increased understanding of the relationship between IR and NSIs will facilitate more advanced treatment approaches to modify this maintaining factor. This may be particularly valuable for more chronic cases of SAD where traditional verbal-based techniques have limited effect.

This study had two aims; firstly, to conduct a systematic review to synthesise and critically evaluate empirical literature on IR used for patients with SAD; and secondly, to perform a meta-analysis to calculate pooled estimates of the effects of IR on imagery, memories and encapsulated beliefs linked to NSI based on the available evidence. It was hypothesised that, across studies, those who receive IR would report a reduction in anxiety associated with the imagery, reduced image vividness and frequency and less memory distress. With respect to encapsulated beliefs, it was expected that there would be a significant change in these appraisals, becoming more positive.

Method

Database search

Following ethical approval, a comprehensive literature search was conducted in February 2021. Searches were completed in the following databases: Academic Search Complete, ProQuest, Medline, Scopus and PubMed.

Search terms

The search terms used were ‘social anxiety disorder’ OR ‘social phobia’ AND ‘imagery rescripting’ AND ‘memory’ OR ‘negative imagery’. A manual search was also conducted.




Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of study selection procedure.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

One hundred and sixteen articles were generated in total. All came from the five databases as no further articles were identified through the manual search. Search results were first imported to RefWorks, where 34 duplicates were removed. Upon completing screening of the titles and abstracts, 53 articles were excluded as they met the exclusion criteria. The remaining articles were selected for full-text analysis, where a total of 10 articles were deemed acceptable. Figure 1 shows the procedure used for selecting articles following the PRISMA guidelines (Moher *et al.*, 2009).

Systematic search results

Quality assessment of studies

The *Standard Quality Assessment Criteria for Evaluating Primary Research Papers from a Variety of Fields* (Kmet *et al.*, 2004) was used to assess the quality of the 10 articles. This assessment tool was used as it allowed for the appraisal of multiple research designs. It uses 14 items for quantitative studies and 10 items for qualitative studies. Fully, partially and not-met criteria scored 2, 1 and 0, respectively. If a criterion was not applicable it was excluded from the summary score. Two reviewers independently evaluated the included studies and discussed any difference in ratings until a consensus was reached.

Results of quality appraisal

Assessment scores ranged from 60 to 96%, indicating good quality. Inter-rater reliability tests were carried out on all papers. The Cohen's kappa value was .780, demonstrating moderate to strong inter-rater agreement (Altman, 1990).

Results

See Table 1 for a summary of the reviewed studies.

Synthesis of the findings

Where studies used the same measurements and reported the necessary data, generic inverse variance meta-analysis were used to derive conclusions about that body of research. Where this was not possible, a narrative summary was made. Nilsson *et al.* (2012) and Knutsson *et al.* (2019) did not report the necessary data for inclusion in some of the meta-analyses.

Images

Image vividness

Eight studies examined the impact of IR on image vividness (Knutsson *et al.*, 2019; Lee and Kwon, 2013; Nilsson *et al.*, 2012; Norton and Abbott, 2016; Takanashi *et al.*, 2020; Wild *et al.*, 2007). Considering the three studies that performed pre-session versus within-session comparisons, meta-analysis showed an overall decrease in image vividness [weighted mean difference (WMD) 27.41, 95% confidence interval (CI) 19.36 to 35.47; standardised mean difference (SMD) 0.98, $Z = 3.21$, $p = 0.001$] and the presence of heterogeneity among studies ($I^2 = 77\%$, $p = 0.01$) (Fig. 2A). Considering the two studies that performed pre-session versus 1-week follow-up comparisons (Wild *et al.*, 2007; Wild *et al.*, 2008), there was again an overall decrease in image vividness (WMD 26.17, 95% CI 11.53 to 40.81, SMD 0.58, $Z = 3.50$, $p = 0.0005$), with no heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 0\%$, $p = 0.78$) (Fig. 2B). Likewise, Takanashi *et al.* (2020) found that median within-session image vividness scores were lower than median pre-IR scores ($p = 0.05$), and Lee and Kwon (2013) found that a decrease in mean image vividness scores was maintained at 3-month follow-up ($p < 0.001$). By contrast, no significant changes in image vividness were found in studies that performed a post-session IR versus control group comparison (Nilsson *et al.*, 2012); a pre-session versus immediate post-session, 2-week follow-up, and 1-month follow-up comparisons (Knutsson *et al.*, 2019); and a pre-session versus 1-week follow-up comparison (Nilsson *et al.*, 2020).

Image distress

Seven studies examined the impact of IR on image distress (Knutsson *et al.*, 2019; Lee and Kwon, 2013; Nilsson *et al.*, 2012; Norton and Abbott, 2016; Takanashi *et al.*, 2020; Wild *et al.*, 2007;

Table 1. Characteristics of reviewed studies

Author, date, country of origin, quality rating (QR) and kappa coefficient (K)	Study aims ¹	Research design	Sampling method	Sample characteristics	Data collection	Data analysis
Wild <i>et al.</i> (2007) UK QR = 90% K = 1.000	To examine the effects of single session IR on trauma memories in SAD	Quantitative Open trial Intervention: Control then 1 week later CR followed by IR (single session) 1-week follow-up	Purposive sampling Participants were recruited from out-patient services in London where they were seeking treatment for SAD	Principal diagnosis of SAD – DSM-IV N = 14 64.3% male (n = 9) 35.7% female (n = 5) Age (mean = 28.6, SD = 3.8) Onset of SAD age (mean = 16.1, SD = 7.6) Age memory occurred (mean = 15.2, SD = 7.1)	Encapsulated belief: strength of belief (0–100) in the negative assumption regarding oneself as a social person Image distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the image Memory distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the memory Image vividness: image vividness (0–100) Memory vividness: memory vividness (0–100)	Paired sample <i>t</i> -test (p < .001)
Wild <i>et al.</i> (2008) UK QR = 90% K = 1.000	To compare IR on trauma memories to a control of exploring negative memories in SAD	Quantitative Within-subjects, repeated measures design Intervention: Control followed by CR followed by IR (single session) 1-week follow-up	Purposive sampling Participants were recruited from out-patient services in London where they were seeking treatment for SAD	Principal diagnosis of SAD – DSM-IV N = 11 36.4% male (n = 4) 63.6% female (n = 7) Age (mean = 35.2, SD = 9.4) Onset of SAD age (mean = 16.27, SD = 11.9) Age memory occurred (mean = 17.09, SD = 13.41)	Encapsulated belief: strength of belief (0–100) in the negative assumption regarding oneself as a social person Image distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the image Memory distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the memory Image vividness: image vividness (0–100) Image frequency: how often has the image appeared during the last week on a scale of 0 (not at all) to 100 (all the time)	<i>t</i> -tests Repeated measures ANOVA
Nilsson <i>et al.</i> (2012) Sweden QR = 90% K = .750	To use a between-groups design in an unselected sample of clients with SAD, and use IR in the absence of verbal CR	Quantitative Between-groups design Two groups: Allocation to condition; Independent randomised control for: 1. IR only (single session; n = 7) 2. Reading task only	Purposive sampling Participants were recruited from university health services in Sweden	Principal diagnosis of SAD – DSM-IV N = 14 57.1% male (n = 8) 42.9% female (n = 6) Age (mean = 33.5, SD = 12.9)	Memory distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the memory Image distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the image Image vividness: image vividness (0–100)	ANCOVAs

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Author, date, country of origin, quality rating (QR) and kappa coefficient (K)	Study aims ¹	Research design	Sampling method	Sample characteristics	Data collection	Data analysis
		(<i>n</i> = 7) 1-week follow-up			Image frequency: how often has the image appeared during the last week on a scale of 0 (not at all) to 100 (all the time) MOI-NP = Meaning of Image. The Negative/Positive dimension MOI-HC = Meaning of Image. The Helplessness/Capacity dimension MOI-UA = Meaning of Image. The Unattractiveness/Attractiveness dimension	
Lee & Kwon (2013) South Korea QR = 88% K = .750	To examine the efficacy of IR as a stand-alone intervention for SAD	Quantitative Within-subjects, repeated measures design Two groups: Allocation to condition; Independent randomised control for 1. CR prepared IR (3 sessions) 2. Supportive counselling only (3 sessions) 3-month follow-up	Purposive sampling Participants were recruited from out-patient services in London where they were seeking treatment for SAD	Principal diagnosis of SAD – DSM-IV Group 1: N = 13 38.5% male (<i>n</i> = 5) 61.5% female (<i>n</i> = 8) Age: (mean = 23.9, SD = 3.4) Group 2: N = 10 60% male (<i>n</i> = 6), 40% female (<i>n</i> = 4). Age (mean = 22.7, SD = 2.3)	Image distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the image Image vividness: image vividness (0–100) Memory vividness: memory vividness (0–100) Memory distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the memory Encapsulated belief: strength of belief (0–100) in the negative assumption regarding oneself as a social person	Repeated measure ANOVAs One-tailed paired samples <i>t</i> -tests
Reimer & Moscovitch (2015) Canada QR = 83% K = .824	To conduct a second controlled study to investigate the efficacy of IR as a stand-alone intervention for SAD in the absence of CR and to examine how IR might lead to changes in autobiographical event recollections, appraisals and core beliefs	Quantitative Within-subjects, repeated measures design Two groups: Allocation to condition; Independent randomised control for 1. IR only, single session (<i>n</i> = 13) 2. No IR (<i>n</i> = 12) 1-week follow-up	Purposive sampling Participants were recruited from university having met pre-established criteria	Principal diagnosis of SAD – DSM-IV N = 27 29.6% male (<i>n</i> = 8) 70.4% female (<i>n</i> = 19) Age (mean = 19.5, SD = 1.3)	Memory appraisals: - Perceived emotional impact of memory retrieval - Perceived validity and accuracy of core beliefs derived from the recalled event - Content of core beliefs derived from the recalled event	Paired-samples <i>t</i> -tests

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Author, date, country of origin, quality rating (QR) and kappa coefficient (K)	Study aims ¹	Research design	Sampling method	Sample characteristics	Data collection	Data analysis
Norton & Abbott (2016) Australia QR = 92% K = .750	To explore the impact of IR on NSI and other key maintaining factors in SAD, compare the efficacy of a single session of IR and CR and investigate the impact of IR on a behavioural measure of SAD	Quantitative Repeated measures randomised controlled intervention Three groups: Independent randomized control for single session 1. IR only (<i>n</i> = 20) 2. CR only (<i>n</i> = 20) 3. Control (<i>n</i> = 20) No follow-up	Purposive sampling Participants were recruited from university having met pre-established criteria	Principal diagnosis of SAD – DSM-IV <i>N</i> = 60 15% male (<i>n</i> = 9) 85% female (<i>n</i> = 51) Age (mean = 20.8, <i>SD</i> = 4.0)	SBSA ² Image distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the image Image vividness: image vividness (0–100)	Chi-squared one-way ANOVAs
Knutsson <i>et al.</i> (2020) Netherlands QR = 88% K = .800	To compare a single session of IR and <i>in vivo</i> exposure in treating SAD and are the interventions, studied independently, effective in reducing anxiety and image distress	Quantitative Two conditions parallel design using within-group measurements Two groups: Randomised following a pairwise matching procedure 1. IR only, single session (<i>n</i> = 14) 2. Imaginal exposure only, single session (<i>n</i> = 13) 2-week and 1-month follow-up	Purposive sampling Participants were recruited from university having responded to an open offer of treatment for SAD	Primary problem of SAD with clinically significant scores on the LSAS-SR <i>N</i> = 27 40.1% male (<i>n</i> = 11) 59.9% female (<i>n</i> = 16) Age (mean = 25.0, <i>SD</i> = 3.8)	Scales used for IR condition (separate scales were used for imaginal exposure condition) Image distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the image Image vividness: image vividness (0–100) Image frequency: how often has the image appeared during the last week on a scale of 0 (not at all) to 100 (all the time) Memory distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the memory Encapsulated beliefs: strength of belief (0–100) in the negative assumption regarding oneself as a social person	Pairwise <i>t</i> -tests
Takanashi <i>et al.</i> (2020) Japan QR = 61% K = .668	To gain an understanding of patients' experiences/ perspectives on IR as an adjunct to CBT for SAD	Mixed method Within-subjects, repeated measures design Intervention: 1–2 sessions of IR as part of a course of CBT No follow-up	Purposive sampling Participants were already part of a randomised controlled trial examining the effectiveness of CBT	Principal diagnosis of SAD – DSM-IV <i>N</i> = 25 64% male (<i>n</i> = 16) 36% female (<i>n</i> = 9) Age (mean = 32.1, <i>SD</i> = 8.8)	Encapsulated beliefs: how much they considered the belief true on a scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 100 (extremely) Image distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the image	Wilcoxon signed ranks test

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Author, date, country of origin, quality rating (QR) and kappa coefficient (K)	Study aims ¹	Research design	Sampling method	Sample characteristics	Data collection	Data analysis
Romano <i>et al.</i> (2020a) Canada QR = 94% K = .625	To isolate the unique effects of IR on memory outcomes by examining the effects of single-session IR alongside two single session control conditions: imaginal exposure supportive counselling	Quantitative Repeated measures randomised controlled intervention Three groups: Randomised with sex stratification to one of the three conditions 1. IR only, single session ($n = 11$) 2. Imaginal exposure (IE) only, single session ($n = 11$) 3. Supportive counselling (SC) only, single session ($n = 11$) 1-week, 2-week and 1-month follow-up	Purposive sampling Participants were recruited from the community	Onset of SAD age (mean = 17.7, $SD = 7.4$) Principal diagnosis of SAD – DSM-IV $N = 33$ 45.5% male ($n = 15$) 54.5% female ($n = 18$) Age (mean = 28.0, $SD = 9.53$)	Image vividness: image vividness (0–100) Memory vividness: memory vividness (0–100) Memory distress: level of anxiety (0–100) elicited by the memory Memory vividness: ‘While envisioning/remembering the event, I could see it clearly in my mind’ – on scales from 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely) Memory intrusiveness: ‘This memory has previously come to me out of the blue, without my trying to bring it to mind’ – on scales from 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely) Memory distress: PANAS Encapsulated beliefs: WIMI Linear mixed-effects models (LMM) were used to examine outcomes within conditions across time	Chi-squared tests
Romano <i>et al.</i> (2020b) Canada QR = 94% K = .630	To examine the features of clinically relevant strategies enacted during IR and how these fulfilled the needs of their younger self and updated the memory and associated schema	Qualitative Intervention: IR only (single session) 6-month follow-up	Purposive sampling Participants were recruited from the community	Principal diagnosis of SAD – DSM-IV $N = 14$ 43% male ($n = 6$) 57% female ($n = 8$) Age (mean = 27.4, $SD = 8.91$)	Encapsulated beliefs: WIMI	Qualitative coding was used to examine evidence of updating the memory and associated schema

¹Relevant to the review.²Acronym at the end of the table.SBSA, Self-Beliefs Related to Social Anxiety Scale (Wong *et al.*, 2021); PANAS, Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (Watson *et al.*, 1988); WIMI, Waterloo Images and Memories Interview: Core beliefs module (Moscovitch *et al.*, 2011); LSAS-SR, Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (Liebowitz, 1987).




Figure 2. A, image vividness pre- versus within-session; B, image vividness pre- versus 1-week follow-up; C, image distress pre- versus within-session; D, image distress pre- versus 1-week follow-up.

Wild *et al.*, 2008). Considering the four studies that performed pre-session versus within-session comparisons (Lee and Kwon, 2013; Norton and Abbott, 2016; Wild *et al.*, 2007; Wild *et al.*, 2008), meta-analysis showed an overall decrease in image distress (WMD 26.15, 95% CI 20.83 to 31.47; SMD 0.77, $Z = 9.64$, $p < 0.0001$) and the presence of heterogeneity among studies ($I^2 = 91\%$, $p < 0.00001$) (Fig. 2C). Considering the two studies that performed pre-session versus 1-week follow-up comparisons (Wild *et al.*, 2007; Wild *et al.*, 2008), there was again an overall decrease in image distress (WMD 28.48, 95% CI 14.90 to 42.06; SMD 1.14, $Z = 4.11$, $p < 0.0001$) with no heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 0\%$, $p = 0.94$) (Fig. 2D). Likewise, Nilsson *et al.* (2012) reported that IR reduced image distress compared with a control group ($p = 0.03$), and Takanashi *et al.* (2020) found that median within-session image distress scores were lower than median pre-IR scores ($p < 0.001$). Furthermore, Lee and Kwon (2013) found a decrease in image distress between a pre-IR session and 3-month follow-up ($p < 0.001$). By contrast, no significant changes in image distress were found in a study that performed a post-IR versus control group comparison and pre-IR versus 2-week and 1-month follow-up comparisons (Knutsson *et al.*, 2019).

Image frequency

Three studies examined the impact of IR on image frequency (Knutsson *et al.*, 2019; Nilsson *et al.*, 2012; Wild *et al.*, 2008). Wild *et al.* (2008) and Nilsson *et al.* (2012) found a non-significant reduction in image frequency immediately after IR and at 1-week follow-up, although the authors of both studies proposed that stronger effects of IR on image frequency would be observed with longer follow-ups. Indeed, Knutsson *et al.* (2020) found a significant reduction in image frequency at 4-week follow-up ($p < 0.001$).

Memory*Memory vividness*

Four studies examined the impact of IR on memory vividness (Lee and Kwon, 2013; Romano *et al.*, 2020a; Takanashi *et al.*, 2020; Wild *et al.*, 2007). Considering the two studies that performed pre-session versus within-session comparisons (Lee and Kwon, 2013; Wild *et al.*, 2007), meta-analysis showed an overall decrease in memory vividness (WMD 35.14, 95% CI 25.37 to 44.92; SMD 0.73, $Z = 7.05$, $p < 0.00001$) and the presence of heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 73\%$, $p = 0.05$) (Fig. 3A). Likewise, Takanashi *et al.* (2020) found that median within-session memory vividness scores were lower than median pre-IR scores ($p = 0.015$), and Wild *et al.* (2007) and Lee and Kwon (2013) found that this decrease was maintained at 1-week ($p = 0.004$) and 3-month follow-up ($p < 0.001$), respectively. By contrast, Romano *et al.* (2020a) found that IR, imaginal exposure, and supportive counselling decreased memory vividness over time, but the main effects of condition and time and their interaction were not significant.

Memory distress

Eight studies examined the impact of IR on memory distress (Knutsson *et al.*, 2019; Lee and Kwon, 2013; Nilsson *et al.*, 2012; Reimer and Moscovitch, 2015; Romano *et al.*, 2020a; Takanashi *et al.*, 2020; Wild *et al.*, 2007; Wild *et al.*, 2008). Considering the four studies that performed pre-session versus within-session comparisons, meta-analysis showed an overall decrease in memory distress (WMD 40.90, 95% CI 33.18 to 48.61; SMD 0.68, $Z = 10.39$, $p < 0.00001$) with no significant heterogeneity among studies ($I^2 = 58\%$, $p = 0.07$) (Fig. 3B). Considering the two studies that performed pre-session versus 1-week follow-up comparisons (Wild *et al.*, 2007; Wild *et al.*, 2008), there was again an overall decrease in memory distress (WMD 47.05, 95% CI 36.87 to 57.23; SMD 0.54, $Z = 9.06$, $p < 0.00001$) with the presence of heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 93\%$, $p = 0.0002$) (Fig. 3C). Likewise, Nilsson *et al.* (2012) reported that IR decreased memory distress compared with a control group ($p = 0.01$), and Takanashi *et al.* (2020) observed that median within-session memory distress scores were lower than median pre-IR scores ($p = 0.006$). At 1-week follow-up, Nilsson *et al.* (2012) found less memory distress in the IR group compared with the control group ($p = 0.01$) and Reimer and Moscovitch (2015) observed higher ratings for positive emotions ($p = 0.003$) and lower ratings for negative emotions ($p < 0.001$) as a result of IR. Furthermore, Lee and Kwon (2013) found that a reduction in memory distress was maintained at 3-month follow-up ($p < 0.001$). By contrast, Romano *et al.* (2020a) found that IR was associated with a non-significant reduction in memory distress at 3-month follow-up.

Encapsulated beliefs

Ten studies examined the impact of IR on encapsulated beliefs (Knutsson *et al.*, 2019; Lee and Kwon, 2013; Nilsson *et al.*, 2012; Norton and Abbott, 2016; Reimer and Moscovitch, 2015; Romano *et al.*, 2020a; Romano *et al.*, 2020b; Takanashi *et al.*, 2020; Wild *et al.*, 2007; Wild




Figure 3. A, memory vividness pre- versus within-session; B, memory distress pre- versus within-session; C, memory distress pre- versus 1-week follow-up.

et al., 2008). Considering the four studies that performed pre-session versus within-session comparisons (Knutsson *et al.*, 2019; Lee and Kwon, 2013; Wild *et al.*, 2007; Wild *et al.*, 2008), meta-analysis showed an overall decrease in encapsulated beliefs (WMD 29.74, 95% CI 24.34 to 35.13; SMD 0.62, $Z = 10.80$, $p < 0.00001$) and the presence of heterogeneity among studies ($I^2 = 76\%$, $p = 0.005$) (Fig. 4A). Considering the two studies that performed pre-session versus 1-week follow-up comparisons (Wild *et al.*, 2007; Wild *et al.*, 2008), there was again an overall decrease in encapsulated beliefs (WMD 46.34, 95% CI 30.69 to 61.99; SMD 0.86, $Z = 5.80$, $p < 0.0001$), with no heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 0\%$, $p = 0.57$) (Fig. 4B). Likewise, Takanashi *et al.* (2020) found that median within-session encapsulated belief scores were lower than median pre-IR scores ($p < 0.001$), and Lee and Kwon (2013) found that a reduction in encapsulated beliefs was maintained at 3-month follow-up ($p < 0.001$). Nilsson *et al.* (2012) found that IR led to beneficial shifts in statements about the meaning of memories and images, with significant differences in negative/positive ($p < 0.001$), helplessness/capacity ($p < 0.001$), and unattractiveness/attractiveness ($p < 0.001$) dimensions compared with the control group. Furthermore, Reimer and Moscovitch (2015) found that IR produced positive changes in perceived validity of core beliefs about the self ($p < 0.001$), others ($p = 0.001$), and the world ($p = 0.001$).

Norton and Abbott (2016) investigated the impact of IR following an impromptu public speaking task and found that IR resulted in a moderate yet non-significant decrease in the strength of beliefs from pre-speech to immediately post-IR, but this decrease was not observed at 1-week follow-up. Romano *et al.* (2020a) found that IR was more likely to lead to updating of core beliefs about the self compared with supportive counselling ($p = 0.024$), but there was no significant difference between IR and imaginal exposure. Also, there were no significant differences among groups for updating core beliefs about others. Finally, in a qualitative follow-up study, Romano *et al.* (2020b) interviewed 12 participants to understand their




Figure 4. A, encapsulated belief rating pre- versus within-session; B, encapsulated belief rating pre- versus 1-week follow-up.

perception of memory changes due to IR. Nine participants reported changes in their experience of the memory as a result of IR, including changes in its emotional salience and/or its effect on their lives. Two participants reported that the memory had not come to mind during the follow-up period, and one reported no change in the memory.

Limitations of the studies

There were several limitations to the studies. While findings are promising, sample sizes were small, with the majority of studies consisting of university students or non-treatment-seeking participants (Knutsson *et al.*, 2019; Nilsson *et al.*, 2012; Norton and Abbott, 2016; Reimer and Moscovitch, 2015; Romano *et al.*, 2020a; Romano *et al.*, 2020b). These samples may differ from samples of treatment-seeking individuals in a primary care or hospital setting and were not designed to investigate clinical or sociodemographic moderators. This resulted in a narrow age range, high education levels and risk of selection bias, limiting the strength of the conclusions. Interventions generally had short follow-ups (1 week; Nilsson *et al.*, 2012; Norton and Abbot, 2016; Reimer and Moscovitch, 2015; Takanashi *et al.*, 2020; Wild *et al.*, 2007; Wild *et al.*, 2008). Studies would have benefited from a longer follow-up period to track duration of changes and how they unfolded as stronger effects were anticipated to occur over time (Nilsson *et al.*, 2012; Wild *et al.*, 2008). It is unclear which aspects of IR are most effective, as none of the studies analysed separate components of the procedure. Non-specific factors may play some role in positive outcomes of IR, e.g. imagery interview or support from the therapist. Although not technically a component of IR, the imagery interview may help participants link NSI and earlier memories, leading to a re-appraisal of the image, memory, and the encapsulated belief. Equally, the support of the therapist may contribute to therapeutic changes. The majority of measures used across the studies were single-item measures. Although there was some evidence to suggest that the correlations of the association between the ratings before and after the control session were moderate to strong (Wild *et al.*, 2008), single items are more vulnerable to random measurement errors. The general nature of these measures may not capture more personal changes resulting from IR. Furthermore, unmeasured variables may have significantly contributed to IR’s effectiveness, such as mental imagery ability or the severity of the traumatic experience. Lee and Kwon’s (2013) findings showed that mental imagery ability and the severity of the traumatic

experience did not influence the outcome of IR, but more research is needed. Nilsson *et al.* (2012), Norton and Abbott (2016) and Knutsson *et al.* (2019) gave limited information, meaning that future studies would not be reproducible.

Discussion

We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to synthesise and evaluate the empirical literature on the use of IR for treating individuals with SAD, which extends previous reviews by Arntz (2012) and Morina *et al.* (2017), and to calculate pooled estimates of the effects of IR on imagery, memories and encapsulated beliefs linked to NSI across studies. Our results indicate that IR reduces aspects of imagery, memory, and associated beliefs among individuals with SAD. This analysis adds to the growing body of evidence showing that IR is an effective CBT intervention for various psychological disorders (Holmes *et al.*, 2007).

The results of our meta-analysis indicate that IR significantly reduces image and memory vividness and distress for up to 1 week post-IR. However, Knutsson *et al.* (2019) and Takanaishi *et al.* (2020) reported little evidence that IR reduces image and memory vividness and distress and vividness, perhaps due to a mismatch between the individual's memory and current imagery. Therefore, more careful consideration and psychoeducation during the preparation phases may increase therapeutic benefits. By contrast, there was no significant reduction in image frequency 1 week following IR. Wild *et al.* (2008) speculated that as participants undergoing IR spend more time thinking about images during treatment, thoughts about the images may also arise more frequently during the subsequent week. However, Knutsson *et al.* (2019) found a 50% decrease in image frequency at 4-week follow-up, suggesting that image frequency may decrease after the week following IR.

Our meta-analysis also indicated that IR significantly reduces negative encapsulated beliefs for up to 1 week post-IR, which is supported by studies employing other measures of encapsulated beliefs such as memory appraisal (Reimer and Moscovitch, 2015), imagery meanings (Nilsson *et al.*, 2016) and core beliefs (Romano *et al.*, 2020a; Romano *et al.*, 2020b). Interestingly, Norton and Abbott (2016) reported that IR does not reduce maladaptive self-beliefs. They posit that CR, which is designed to address verbal cognitive processes, is necessary to achieve changes in maladaptive self-beliefs and also suggest that changing longstanding core self-beliefs requires multiple sessions. However, multiple studies included in this review show that a single session of IR without CR can significantly reduce negative self-beliefs (Knutsson *et al.*, 2019; Nilsson *et al.*, 2012; Reimer and Moscovitch, 2015; Romano *et al.*, 2020a; Romano *et al.*, 2020b). Therefore, together with previous research, our findings suggest that IR is a powerful and efficient method for accessing, addressing and reducing negative beliefs (Arntz, 2012; Arntz and Weertman, 1999; Stopa, 2009).

Limitations

This systematic review has some limitations. First, the number of included studies was small, and each included study tended to have a small (average of 14) number of participants per IR condition. Thus, the relatively large effect sizes found were probably due to studies' within-subjects designs. Second, there was variation among studies in how IR was conducted, with studies differing in when they first elicited imagery, whether they used CR prior to IR, and whether they used a specific memory or a representation of a memory, which could explain the significant heterogeneity among studies found for several outcome measures. However, estimates of the I^2 measure of heterogeneity¹ can be inaccurate when included study sizes are

¹An I^2 value of 0, 25, 50 and 75% indicates no, low, moderate and high heterogeneity among studies, respectively (Higgins *et al.*, 2003).

small (Borenstein *et al.*, 2009) and biased when a small number of studies is included in a meta-analysis (von Hippel, 2015), which can result in over-estimations of the degree of heterogeneity present among studies. Third, search results were confined to studies published or accepted for publication in English peer-reviewed journals. Fourth, inter-rater reliability was not calculated for data selection.

Clinical implications and research recommendations

Our findings support the use of IR as a brief stand-alone treatment that can alleviate patients' negative self-imagery, early traumatic memories, and related beliefs. The potential efficacy of IR is complemented by its efficiency, as only one to two sessions were applied in most of the included studies, indicating that IR may have both clinical and economic advantages.

IR is a unique alternative treatment in that it does not rely on social engagement. This is particularly relevant during the COVID-19 pandemic, during which physical distancing recommendations pose difficulties for treating SAD with CBT, which largely relies on the use of *in vivo* exposure therapy (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2013). Traditional exposure also requires the patient's commitment and motivation to continue therapy and relies on them actively working on their problems between sessions. Indeed, homework non-compliance is considered a main reason for unsuccessful CBT (Helbig and Fehm, 2004), with non-adherence reaching 20–50% (Helbig and Fehm, 2004; Kazantzis *et al.*, 2005). Because it does not require exposure or homework, IR may reduce the drop-out rate, although future research is needed to investigate this possibility.

Future research should also address at which point in therapy IR might be most usefully introduced. Core beliefs, potentially encapsulated in images and memories, are not usually appropriate early treatment targets due to how entrenched and rigid they can be (Beck *et al.*, 2005). The therapist risks losing credibility and endangering the therapeutic relationship if they question the validity of core beliefs too early (Beck and Beck, 1995). However, the available evidence base suggests that a single session of IR could result in substantial changes in patients' core beliefs without requiring the scaffolding that is generally considered necessary for such work. Future research would help ascertain for whom, using which variation, and at what stage in therapy IR would be most effective.

To improve the rigor, generalisability, and impact of future studies, larger samples of participants representative of patients in clinical settings could be examined in comparison with a standardised control group, which would help provide stronger conclusions and provide more robust indications of the true effect size of IR. Temporally sequenced longitudinal designs accompanied by follow-up assessments at regular intervals would help examine IR's effectiveness across time. The conclusions of future research could be strengthened by assessing test–re-test reliability on single-item measures, using valid and reliable multiple-item measures such as the Vividness of Visual Imagery Questionnaire (Marks, 1973), or by developing and validating new scales, such as a memory distress scale. Furthermore, as there are multiple factors involved in IR, a component analysis study is needed to clarify the effect of each component and elucidate the underlying mechanism of IR.

Conclusion

This review aimed to explore and synthesise the therapeutic effects of IR on NSI in individuals with SAD; more specifically, the images, memories and beliefs linked to NSI. Reductions in distress and vividness of images and memories were observed, as well as affirmative changes to encapsulated beliefs. Image frequency was the one dimension with opposing results, although given the trajectory of continued improvement in IR conditions, longer follow-ups, as evidenced by Knutsson *et al.* (2019), may have demonstrated more reductions in image

frequency. Preliminary data are promising, but further research, including larger sample sizes, longer follow-ups and point of therapy use are warranted. It is hoped that the findings of this review will increase clinicians' understanding, expectations and confidence in the effectiveness of IR to ensure that evidence-based treatment is being provided to individuals with SAD.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S135246582200008X>

Data availability statement. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, J.L., upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements. None.

Author contributions. **James Lloyd:** Conceptualization (lead), Data curation (lead), Formal analysis (lead), Investigation (lead), Methodology (lead), Project administration (lead), Writing – original draft (lead), Writing – review & editing (lead); **Magda Marczak:** Conceptualization (supporting), Data curation (supporting), Formal analysis (supporting), Methodology (supporting), Project administration (supporting), Supervision (lead), Writing – review & editing (supporting).

Financial support. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors

Conflicts of interest. James Lloyd and Dr Magdalena Marczak have no conflicts of interest with respect to this publication.

Ethics statement. The authors have abided by the Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct as set out by the BABCP and BPS.

References

- Ahn, J. K., & Kwon, J. H. (2018). Modifying negative self-imagery increases the effectiveness of cognitive behaviour therapy for social anxiety disorder: a benchmarking study. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 42, 598–611. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-018-9918-5>
- Altman, D. G. (1990). *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*. CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429258589>
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)*. American Psychiatric Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596.x00diagnosticclassification>
- Arntz, A. (2012). Imagery rescripting as a therapeutic technique: review of clinical trials, basic studies, and research agenda. *Journal of Experimental Psychopathology*, 3, 189–208. <https://doi.org/10.5127/jep.024211>
- Arntz, A., & Weertman, A. (1999). Treatment of childhood memories: theory and practice. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 37, 715–740. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-7967\(98\)00173-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-7967(98)00173-9)
- Ashbaugh, A. R., Fishman, K. N., & Houle-Johnson, S. A. (2019). Intrusive social images in individuals with high and low social anxiety: a multi-method analysis. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 47, 594–610. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465819000043>
- Beck, A. T., Emery, G., & Greenberg, R. L. (2005). *Anxiety Disorders and Phobias: A Cognitive Perspective*. Basic Books.
- Beck, J. S., & Beck, A. T. (1995). *Cognitive Therapy: Basics and Beyond*. New York, USA: Guilford Press.
- Borenstein, M., Cooper, H., Hedges, L., & Valentine, J. (2009). Effect sizes for continuous data. *The Handbook of Research Synthesis and Meta-Analysis*, 2, 221–235.
- Brewin, C. R. (2006). Understanding cognitive behaviour therapy: a retrieval competition account. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 44, 765–784.
- Brewin, C. R., Gregory, J. D., Lipton, M., & Burgess, N. (2010). Intrusive images in psychological disorders: characteristics, neural mechanisms, and treatment implications. *Psychological Review*, 117, 210.
- Chiupka, C. A., Moscovitch, D. A., & Bielak, T. (2012). In vivo activation of anticipatory vs. post-event autobiographical images and memories in social anxiety. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 31, 783–809. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2012.31.8.783>
- Cili, S., & Stopa, L. (2015). The retrieval of self-defining memories is associated with the activation of specific working selves. *Memory*, 23(2), 233–253. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09658211.2014.882955>
- Clark, D. M., Ehlers, A., Hackmann, A., McManus, F., Fennell, M., Grey, N., . . . & Wild, J. (2006). Cognitive therapy versus exposure and applied relaxation in social phobia: a randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 568. <https://doi.org/10.1186/isrctn11178360>
- Clark, D. M., & Wells, A. (1995). A cognitive model of social phobia. In G. Heimberg, M. R. Liebowitz, D. Hope, & F. Scheier (eds), *Social Phobia: Diagnosis, Assessment, and Treatment* (pp. 69–93). New York, USA: Guilford Press.
- Davey, G. (1997). A conditioning model of phobias. *Phobias: A Handbook of Theory, Research and Treatment*, pp. 302–322. Wiley.

- Davey, G. C. (1989). UCS revaluation and conditioning models of acquired fears. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 27, 521–528.
- Dryman, M. T., Gardner, S., Weeks, J. W., & Heimberg, R. G. (2016). Social anxiety disorder and quality of life: how fears of negative and positive evaluation relate to specific domains of life satisfaction. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 38, 1–8.
- Hackmann, A., Clark, D. M., & McManus, F. (2000). Recurrent images and early memories in social phobia. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 38, 601–610. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-7967\(99\)00161-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-7967(99)00161-8)
- Helbig, S., & Fehm, L. (2004). Problems with homework in CBT: rare exception or rather frequent? *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 32, 291. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1352465804001365>
- Higgins, J. P., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J., & Altman, D. G. (2003). Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*, 327, 557–560. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557>
- Hirsch, C., Meynen, T., & Clark, D. (2004). Negative self-imagery in social anxiety contaminates social interactions. *Memory*, 12, 496–506.
- Hofmann, S. G. (2007). Cognitive factors that maintain social anxiety disorder: a comprehensive model and its treatment implications. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 36, 193–209. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16506070701421313>
- Holmes, E. A., Arntz, A., & Smucker, M. R. (2007). Imagery rescripting in cognitive behaviour therapy: images, treatment techniques and outcomes. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 38, 297–305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2007.10.007>
- Hyett, M. P., Bank, S. R., Lipp, O. V., Erceg-Hurn, D. M., Alvares, G. A., Maclaine, E., . . . & McEvoy, P. M. (2018). Attenuated psychophysiological reactivity following single-session group imagery rescripting versus verbal restructuring in social anxiety disorder: results from a randomised controlled trial. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 87, 340–349. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000493897>
- Kazantzis, N., Lampropoulos, G. K., & Deane, F. P. (2005). A national survey of practicing psychologists' use and attitudes toward homework in psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 73, 742. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006x.73.4.742>
- Kessler, R. C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K. R., & Walters, E. E. (2005). Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62, 593–602. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593>
- Kmet, L. M., Cook, L. S., & Lee, R. C. (2004). *Standard quality assessment criteria for evaluating primary research papers from a variety of fields*. Edmonton: Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research (AHFMR). <https://doi.org/10.7939/R37M04F16>
- Knutsson, J., Nilsson, J. E., Eriksson, Å., & Järild, L. (2019). Imagery rescripting and exposure in social anxiety: a randomized trial comparing treatment techniques. *Journal of Contemporary Psychotherapy*, 50, 233–240.
- Lee, S. W., & Kwon, J. H. (2013). The efficacy of imagery rescripting (IR) for social phobia: a randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 44, 351–360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2013.03.001>
- Liebowitz, M. R. (1987). Social phobia. *Modern Problems of Pharmacopsychiatry*, 22, 141–173. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000414022>
- Marks, D. F. (1973). Visual imagery differences in the recall of pictures. *British Journal of Psychology*, 64(1), 17–24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8295.1973.tb01322.x>
- McEvoy, P. M., & Saulsman, L. M. (2014). Imagery-enhanced cognitive behavioural group therapy for social anxiety disorder: a pilot study. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 55, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2014.01.006>
- Mineka, S., & Zinbarg, R. (2006). A contemporary learning theory perspective on the etiology of anxiety disorders: it's not what you thought it was. *American Psychologist*, 61, 10.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & Prisma Group. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6, e1000097.
- Morina, N., Lancee, J., & Arntz, A. (2017). Imagery rescripting as a clinical intervention for aversive memories: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 55, 6–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2016.11.003>
- Moscovitch, D. A., Gavric, D. L., Merrifield, C., Bielak, T., & Moscovitch, M. (2011). Retrieval properties of negative vs. positive mental images and autobiographical memories in social anxiety: outcomes with a new measure. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 49, 505–517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2011.05.009>
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2013). *Mental health*. London, UK: NICE.
- Ng, A. S., Abbott, M. J., & Hunt, C. (2014). The effect of self-imagery on symptoms and processes in social anxiety: a systematic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 34, 620–633.
- Nilsson, J. E., Lundh, L. G., & Viborg, G. (2012). Imagery rescripting of early memories in social anxiety disorder: an experimental study. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 50, 387–392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2012.03.004>
- Norton, A. R., & Abbott, M. J. (2016). The efficacy of imagery rescripting compared to cognitive restructuring for social anxiety disorder. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 40, 18–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2016.03.009>
- Patel, A., Knapp, M., Henderson, J., & Baldwin, D. (2002). The economic consequences of social phobia. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 68, 221–233. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0327\(00\)00323-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0327(00)00323-2)

- Rapee, R. M., & Heimberg, R. G. (1997). A cognitive-behavioral model of anxiety in social phobia. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 35, 741–756. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-7967\(97\)00022-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-7967(97)00022-3)
- Reimer, S. G., & Moscovitch, D. A. (2015). The impact of imagery rescripting on memory appraisals and core beliefs in social anxiety disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 75, 48–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2015.10.007>
- Romano, M., Hudd, T., Huppert, J. D., Reimer, S. G., & Moscovitch, D. A. (2020b). Imagery rescripting of painful memories in social anxiety disorder: a qualitative analysis of needs fulfillment and memory updating. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 45, 1–16.
- Romano, M., Moscovitch, D. A., Huppert, J. D., Reimer, S. G., & Moscovitch, M. (2020a). The effects of imagery rescripting on memory outcomes in social anxiety disorder. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 69, 102169.
- Stein, M. B., & Stein, D. J. (2008). Social anxiety disorder. *The Lancet*, 371, 1115–1125. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(08\)60488-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(08)60488-2)
- Stopa, L. (ed) (2009). *Imagery and the Threatened self: Perspectives on Mental Imagery and the Self in Cognitive Therapy*. Routledge.
- Stopa, L. (2011). Imagery rescripting across disorders: a practical guide. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2011.05.001>
- Takanashi, R., Yoshinaga, N., Oshiro, K., Matsuki, S., Tanaka, M., Ibuki, H., . . . & Shimizu, E. (2020). Patients' perspectives on imagery rescripting for aversive memories in social anxiety disorder. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 48, 229–242. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1352465819000493>
- von Hippel, P. T. (2015). The heterogeneity statistic I^2 can be biased in small meta-analyses. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 15, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-015-0024-z>
- Wang, P. S., Berglund, P., Olfson, M., Pincus, H. A., Wells, K. B., & Kessler, R. C. (2005). Failure and delay in initial treatment contact after first onset of mental disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62, 603–613. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.603>
- Watson, D., Clark, L. A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: the PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 1063. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.54.6.1063>
- Wild, J., Hackmann, A., & Clark, D. M. (2007). When the present visits the past: updating traumatic memories in social phobia. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 38, 386–401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2007.07.003>
- Wild, J., Hackmann, A., & Clark, D. M. (2008). Rescripting early memories linked to negative images in social phobia: a pilot study. *Behavior Therapy*, 39, 47–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2007.04.003>
- Wong, Q. J., Gregory, B., Norton, A. R., Shikatani, B., Boulton, K. A., Torok, M., . . . & Antony, M. M. (2021). Psychometric properties of the Self-Beliefs related to Social Anxiety (SBSA) scale in a sample of individuals with social anxiety disorder. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 78, 102365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2021.102365>