

Assume that, when $a \leq b \leq c$, the inequality

$$h_a + h_b + h_c \leq 9r + ar \left(\sqrt{\frac{a}{c}} - \sqrt{\frac{c}{a}} \right)^2$$

holds.

Let $a = b = 1$ and $c = x$, where $1 \leq x < 2$ (to satisfy the triangle inequality). Then, using $\frac{h_a}{r} = \frac{a+b+c}{a}$ and so on, the inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 3 + \frac{2}{x} + 2(x+1) &\leq 9 + \alpha \left(x - 2 + \frac{1}{x} \right) \\ \Leftrightarrow (2-\alpha) \left(x + \frac{1}{x} \right) &\leq 2(2-\alpha) \\ \Leftrightarrow (2-\alpha) \frac{(x-1)^2}{x} &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all $1 \leq x < 2$, we have $\alpha \geq 2$, and so 2 is the best possible constant.

Acknowledgment: I am in debt to Dr. Gerry Leversha for his help, especially in the writing of this paper.

10.1017/mag.2022.82 © The Authors, 2022

NGUYEN XUAN THO

Hanoi University of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

e-mail: tho.nguyenxuan1@hust.edu.vn

Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Mathematical Association

106.29 An improvement on the Garfunkel-Bankoff inequality*

In a triangle ABC , the semi-perimeter, circumradius and inradius are denoted by s , R and r respectively. In [1] Garfunkel proposed the following inequality as an open problem

$$\tan^2 \frac{A}{2} + \tan^2 \frac{B}{2} + \tan^2 \frac{C}{2} \geq 2 - 8 \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2}. \quad (1)$$

This was first proved by Bankoff in [2], and is known as the Garfunkel-Bankoff inequality. It has received considerable attention from researchers in the field of geometrical inequalities and has motivated a number of papers providing various generalisations and analogue, such as [3] and the references in it.

In this Note, we give a sharpened version of (1), which appears as a corollary to a theorem. The proof of the theorem relies on

$$s^2 \leq 2R^2 + 10Rr - r^2 + 2(R-2r)\sqrt{R(R-2r)}, \quad (2)$$

which is described in [4, 5.10] as ‘the fundamental inequality of a triangle’.

* 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D15, 26D07.

The author was partially supported by the Science Foundation of WeiHai Vocational College under grant No. 2016ky001.

Theorem

$$s^2 \leq \frac{4R^3(4R + r)^2}{16R^3 - 8R^2r + Rr^2 - 2r^3}. \tag{3}$$

Equality holds if, and only if, the triangle is equilateral.

Proof

By (2) it is sufficient to prove

$$2R^2 + 10Rr - r^2 + 2(R - 2r)\sqrt{R(R - r)} \leq \frac{4R^3(4R + r)^2}{16R^3 - 8R^2r + Rr^2 - 2r^3}. \tag{4}$$

Putting $t = \frac{r}{R}$, we have $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and (4) is equivalent to

$$2 + 10t - t^2 + 2(1 - 2t)\sqrt{1 - 2t} \leq \frac{4(4 + t)^2}{16 - 8t + t^2 - 2t^3}.$$

This is true since

$$\begin{aligned} & [4(4 + t)^2 - (2 + 10t - t^2)(16 - 8t + t^2 - 2t^3)]^2 \\ & - [2(1 - 2t)\sqrt{1 - 2t}(16 - 8t + t^2 - 2t^3)]^2 \\ & = 4t^{10} + 44t^9 + 177t^8 + 364t^7 + 504t^6 + 144t^5 - 768t^4 + 256t^3 \\ & = t^3(t^3 + 4t^2 + 8t + 16)(4 + t)^2(1 - 2t)^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is obviously true for $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Corollary

$$\tan^2 \frac{A}{2} + \tan^2 \frac{B}{2} + \tan^2 \frac{C}{2} \geq 2 - 8 \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2} + \frac{1}{4R} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 (R - 2r). \tag{5}$$

Proof: Using the well-known identities

$$\sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2} = \frac{r}{4R}$$

and

$$\tan^2 \frac{A}{2} + \tan^2 \frac{B}{2} + \tan^2 \frac{C}{2} = \frac{(4R + r)^2}{s^2} - 2$$

this is equivalent to (3).

By Euler's inequality $R \geq 2r$, (5) is stronger than (1), and equivalent if, and only if, the triangle is equilateral.

In [5], M. Lukarevski and D. S. Marinescu gave a refinement of Kooi's inequality

$$s^2 \leq \frac{R(4R + r)^2}{2(2R - r)} - \frac{r^2(R - 2r)}{4R}. \tag{6}$$

We point out that (5) is stronger than (6), since $R \geq 2r$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{R(4R+r)^2}{2(2R-r)} - \frac{r^2(R-2r)}{4R} - \frac{4R^3(4R+r)^2}{16R^3 - 8R^2r + Rr^2 - 2r^3} \\ &= \frac{r^3(R-2r)(48R^3 - 8R^2r + 5Rr^2 - 2r^3)}{4R(2R-r)(16R^3 - 8R^2r + Rr^2 - 2r^3)} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to Dr. Gerry Leversha for his careful corrections to and valuable comments on the original version of this paper.

References

1. J. Garfunkel, Problem 825, *CruX Math.* **9**(3)(1983) p. 79.
2. L. Bankoff, Solution of problem 825, *CruX Math.* **10** (5) (1984) p. 168.
3. S. Wu, L. Debnath, Parametrized Garfunkel-Bankoff inequality and improved Finsler-Hadwiger inequality, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**(3)(2010) pp. 331-336.
4. O. Bottema, R. Z. Djordjevic, R. R. Janic, D. S. Mitrinovic, P. M. Vasic, *Geometric inequalities*, Groningen, Wolters-Noordhoff (1969).
5. M. Lukarevski, D. S. Marinescu, A refinement of the Kooi's inequality, Mittenpunkt and applications. *J. Math. Inequal.* **13**(3) (2019) pp. 827-832.

10.1017/mag.2022.83 © The Authors, 2022

WEI-DONG JIANG

*Department of Information Engineering, Weihai Vocational College,
Weihai City 264210, ShanDong province, P. R. CHINA*

e-mail: jackjwd@163.com

Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Mathematical Association

106.30 Threshold functions and the birthday paradox

Our goal is to illustrate the idea of a threshold function in the context of the birthday paradox. We do this by exploring the asymptotics of binomial coefficients.

To begin, we consider the limit definition of the exponential function. It is well known that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{x}{n})^n = e^x$ for any real constant x . But what happens when x grows with n ? For what functions $x = x(n)$ is it the case that $(1 + \frac{x}{n})^n$ behaves asymptotically like e^x ? More generally, given a function x , what adjustment factor $A_n(x)$ is needed so that $(1 + \frac{x}{n})^n \sim A_n(x)e^x$, where we write $f(n) \sim g(n)$ to denote that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 1$?

For fixed x and n , by taking logs and using the Taylor expansion for $\ln(1+z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n &= \exp\left[n \ln\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left[n\left(\frac{x}{n} - \frac{x^2}{2n^2} + \frac{x^3}{3n^3} - \dots\right)\right], \text{ if } 0 \leq x < n, \end{aligned}$$