Inhibition of gametogenesis by the cestode Ligula intestinalis in roach (Rutilus rutilus) is attenuated under laboratory conditions

ACHIM TRUBIROHA¹*, HANA KROUPOVA^{1,2}, SABRINA N. FRANK^{1,3}, BERND SURES³ and WERNER KLOAS^{1,4}

¹Department of Ecophysiology and Aquaculture, Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Mueggelseedamm 310, D-12587 Berlin, Germany ²Research Institute of Fish Culture and Hydrobiology, Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters,

University of South Bohemia in Ceske Budejovice, Zatisi 728/II, 38925 Vodnany, Czech Republic

³ Department of Applied Zoology/Hydrobiology, University of Duisburg/Essen, Universitaetsstrasse 5, D-45141 Essen, Germany

⁴Department of Endocrinology, Humboldt University Berlin, Invalidenstrasse 42, D-10099 Berlin, Germany

(Received 24 August 2010; revised 29 September 2010; accepted 4 October 2010; first published online 22 November 2010)

SUMMARY

Reproductive parameters of Ligula intestinalis-infected roach (Rutilus rutilus) which were held under long-tem laboratory conditions with unlimited food supply were investigated. Although uninfected and infected roach showed no difference in condition factor and both groups deposited perivisceral fat, the gonadosomatic-index was significantly lower in infected female and male roach. Quantitative histological analysis revealed that gonad development was retarded upon parasitization in both genders. In contrast to the phenotype described in the field, infected females were able to recruit follicles into secondary growth, but a high percentage of secondary growth follicles underwent atresia. In both genders, the histological data corresponded well with reduced expression of pituitary gonadotropins and lowered plasma concentrations of sex steroids, as revealed by real-time RT-PCR and ELISA, respectively. Furthermore, a reduction of vitellogenin mRNA and modulated expression of sex steroid receptors in the liver was demonstrated. Like in the field, there was a significant adverse impact of L. intestinalis on host reproductive physiology which could not be related to parasite burden. Our results show, for the first time, that maintenance under laboratory conditions can not abolish the deleterious effect of L. intestinalis on gametogenesis in roach, and indicate a specific inhibition of host reproduction by endocrine disruption.

Key words: parasite, tapeworm, host fecundity, parasitic castration, endocrine disruption, gonadotropin, sex steroids, oestrogen, androgen, vitellogenin.

INTRODUCTION

Reduction of the host's reproductive capacity is a common outcome of parasitic infections (Poulin, 2007). This phenotypic change may reflect non-adaptive side-effects of infection, defensive host adaptations to mitigate the impact of parasitism, or adaptive manipulations of the parasite to promote its own propagation (Ewald, 1980; Heins and Baker, 2003; Hurd, 2001, 2009; Lafferty and Kuris, 2009). In vertebrates, examples of reduced fecundity are best documented in fishes parasitized by larval cestodes (plerocercoids), namely in three-spined sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus) and in roach (Rutilus rutilus) infected by Schistocephalus solidus and Ligula intestinalis, respectively (Arme, 1997; Heins and Baker, 2008; Heins et al. 2010; Hoole et al. 2010). Both

Parasitology (2011), 138, 648-659. © Cambridge University Press 2010 doi:10.1017/S0031182010001514

parasites are diphyllobothridean cestodes characterized by complex life cycles, involving a freeswimming coracidium, a procercoid in a copepod (first intermediate host), a plerocercoid in fish (second intermediate host), and an adult worm in piscivorous birds (final host) (Dubinina, 1980). Most of the parasite's growth takes place as a plerocercoid in the body cavity of a fish, and plerocercoids can attain a considerable size causing an energetic drain on the host (Dubinina, 1980; Barber et al. 2008). Despite striking similarities between S. solidus and L. intestinalis with regard to life cycle and host involvement, their effects on host reproduction differ. Both are generally considered as parasitic castrators which selectively target host reproductive energy and severely suppress host reproduction (Lafferty and Kuris, 2009). Impacts of S. solidus infection on stickleback reproduction involve delayed gametogenesis (Tierney et al. 1996; Heins and Brown-Peterson, 2010), reduced egg size (Heins and Baker, 2003), or decreased expression of secondary sexual characteristics and reduced courtship behaviour (MacNab et al.

^{*} Corresponding author: Department of Ecophysiology and Aquaculture, Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Mueggelseedamm 301, D-12587 Tel: +49 30 64181 614. Berlin. Germany. Fax: +49 30 64181 799. E-mail: trubiroha@igb-berlin.de

2009). There is increasing evidence that the effects of S. solidus infection on host reproduction are variable and correlated with the parasite load (Tierney et al. 1996; Bagamian et al. 2004; Heins and Baker, 2003, 2008; Heins et al. 2010; Heins and Brown-Peterson, 2010). These data are consistent with the hypothesis that simple nutrient depletion is the cause of the parasite's impact on host reproduction (Ewald, 1980; Hurd, 2001, 2009). In fact, Schultz et al. (2006) demonstrated no change in the reproductive effort (the proportion of energy devoted to reproduction) in response to S. solidus-infection in female sticklebacks and consequently concluded that reproductive curtailment is a side-effect of reduced host energy reserves caused by the demands of the parasite. In roach on the other hand, infection by L. intestinalis always seems to prevent host reproduction by inhibiting gonad development at an early stage of gametogenesis (Arme, 1997; Hoole et al. 2010), and it has been shown that parasitization disrupts the endocrine system controlling reproductive function (Kloas et al. 2009; Geraudie et al. 2010; Trubiroha et al. 2010). In particular, both genders of L. intestinalis-infected roach are characterized by a low expression of gonadotropins in the pituitary (Carter et al. 2005; Trubiroha et al. 2009). The gonadotropins, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH), are heterodimeric glycoprotein hormones that consist of a common glycoprotein-hormone α -subunit (α GSU) non-covalently linked to a specific β -subunit (FSH β or LH β) (Levavi-Shivan *et al.* 2010). Both are the key-hormones for reproduction in all vertebrates, regulating gonad development and the synthesis of sex steroids. FSH is considered to play a major stimulatory role during ovarian follicle growth and testicular spermatogenesis while LH is mainly involved in final gamete maturation (Lubzens et al. 2010; Schulz et al. 2010). Congruently, recent studies under laboratory conditions suggest the involvement of FSH rather than LH in mediating effects of parasitization by L. intestinalis early during gonad development in roach (Trubiroha et al. 2009). Further impacts of infection on reproductive physiology in roach comprise, for example, low plasma concentrations of the sex steroids 17β -oestradiol (E2), testosterone (T), and 11-ketotestosterone (11-KT) as well as decreased expression and plasma levels of vitellogenin (VTG), the hepatic precursor of yolk proteins (Geraudie et al. 2010; Trubiroha et al. 2010). The described endocrine disruption appears to become manifested in a density-independent manner (Arme, 1997; Hoole et al. 2010) which indicates adaptive host manipulation by L. intestinalis. Irrespective of these studies on host endocrinology, nothing is known about the role played by environmental factors in the curtailment of roach reproduction following L. intestinalisinfection. Scientists are becoming increasingly aware of the profound effects nutrition can have on the outcome of parasitic infections (Smith, 2007). In this context, laboratory studies allow controlling for variables such as food supply and can provide insights into the mechanisms and the evolutionary significance that underlie host phenotypes observed in the field.

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether long-term maintenance of roach under laboratory conditions with unlimited food supply can modulate or abolish the effects of parasitization by L. intestinalis on the reproductive physiology of its host. We investigated morphological features and reproductive parameters of ad libitum-fed infected and uninfected roach that were held in the laboratory for 2 years. The reproductive parameters studied comprise quantitative histological data on gonad development, plasma levels of the sex steroids E2, T, and 11-KT, as well as the expression of gonadotropin subunits $(\alpha GSU, FSH\beta, LH\beta)$ in the pituitary. Furthermore, the expression of hepatic VTG and of sex steroid receptors was investigated. The results are compared to field data from roach parasitized by L. intestinalis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and sampling

In November/December 2006, roach were collected by electrofishing from Lake Grosser Mueggelsee (52° 260' N, 13° 390' E) (Berlin, Germany). Visual inspection revealed that several fish were parasitized by plerocercoids of L. intestinalis. Immediately after catching, mass and length of a subsample of 1 + and 2+ fish were recorded. The following morphological parameters (females and males pooled; mean±s.D) were used as a basis for comparison of growth after 2 years of maintenance: uninfected n = 12; total length = 10.5 ± 1.0 cm; somatic mass = 8.78 ± 3.0 g; infected n=11; total length = $11 \cdot 2 \pm 1 \cdot 2$ cm; somatic mass = 10.9 ± 4.0 g; parasitization index = $7.5 \pm 4.1\%$ (range 1.0-12.7%). Gonad histology showed that all fish were immature, except for 2 uninfected males that had entered spermatogenesis. Approximately 80 roach collected during this survey, including infected individuals, were transferred to the laboratory for investigation of the effects of L. intestinalis in fish receiving unrestricted food supply, in order to distinguish between direct effects of the tapeworm on gonad maturation and indirect effects caused by limited possibilities of food uptake. Roach were maintained in an aerated 1000 L tank under natural photoperiod and at a constant flow of tap water at 15 ± 2 °C temperature. Fish were fed daily *ad libitum* with commercial trout pellets (DAN-Ex1750, Dana Feed) and living Chaoberus spp. larvae. Roach are classified as singlespawners, and gametogenesis is initiated in summer and proceeds throughout winter (Rinchard and Kestemont, 1996) with spawning occurring in late April/early May at Lake Mueggelsee (personal observation). Investigations of roach held in the laboratory

under the same conditions as described above showed that gametogenesis progressed in parallel to the situation in the field (personal observation). Spontaneous spawning in captivity, however, has not been observed.

After 2 years of maintenance, 59 remaining roach were sacrificed in November 2008. Blood was collected by puncture of the caudal vein using heparinized syringes and was centrifuged to obtain plasma. Liver tissue and pituitaries were removed for gene expression analyses. Tissue and plasma samples were stored at -80 °C until further processing. Upon sampling, fish total length, fish total mass as well as fish somatic mass (eviscerated bodies excluding parasite mass) were measured to the nearest 1 mm and 0.1 g, respectively. Roach gonads and parasites when present were weighed to the nearest 0.01 g using precision scales. The number of parasites per fish was also recorded. Fish condition factor was calculated as $CF = fish somatic mass \times 100/(fish total length)^3$, and the gonadosomatic index as GSI=fish gonad mass/ fish somatic mass×100. Parasitization index was calculated as PI = parasite mass/fish somatic mass \times 100. For histological analysis, samples of roach gonads were preserved in Bouin's fixative (Sigma) for 12 h, dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol and subsequently embedded in paraffin. Samples were sectioned at a thickness of $5\,\mu m$ and stained with haematoxylin-eosin (H&E) or Goldner's modification of the Masson trichrome stain. All experimental procedures were conducted in compliance with the institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals.

Histological analysis of gonads

Gonads were analysed under an Axiovert 200 microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a Show View II digital camera (Olympus). Image analysis was performed using AnalySIS software (Soft Imaging Systems). Staging of roach gonads was conducted as described previously (Rinchard and Kestemont, 1996; Nolan et al. 2001). In females, the following stages of ovarian follicles were distinguished: primary growth (vacuole-free cytoplasm), early cortical alveolus (cortical alveoli occupy 1-3 rings in the cytoplasm), late cortical alveolus (cortical alveoli occupy more than 3 rings in the cytoplasm), vitellogenic (appearance of yolk globuli) and atretic. Ovaries were analysed based on the relative frequencies of follicles in the respective stage. Relative frequencies were calculated by dividing the number of follicles in a certain stage by the total number of follicles counted (a minimum of 100 follicles was counted per female). Early and late cortical alveolus, vitellogenic, as well as atretic follicles were summarized to be recruited into secondary growth and the percentage of follicles in secondary growth was calculated by dividing the number of secondary growth follicles by the total number of follicles. Furthermore, the ratio of atretic follicles to secondary growth follicles was calculated. For analysis of testes, pictures of 2 randomly selected fields of vision (200x magnification; area: $23,600 \,\mu m^2$) were taken and the area occupied by cysts containing spermatogonia A or spermatogonia B was measured (no other germ cell stages were present). The area occupied by spermatogonia B is expressed as a percentage of the total area investigated.

Hormone assays

Blood plasma was extracted twice with diethyl ether (Roth) as described previously (Trubiroha *et al.* 2010) and concentrations of E2, T, and 11-KT were determined by specific enzyme-linked immunoassays (Cayman Chemicals) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Absorption at 412 nm was measured in a 96-well plate reader (Infinite M200, Tecan).

RNA extraction and reverse transcription

RNA extraction and reverse transcription was conducted as described previously (Trubiroha et al. 2009, 2010) with minor modifications. Briefly, total RNA from individual pituitary glands was extracted using the RNeasy Mini-Kit (Qiagen) including on-column treatment with DNase I (Qiagen). RNA from liver samples was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen) followed by treatment with DNase I (AmpGrade; Invitrogen). The concentration of total RNA samples was measured by UV absorption using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Products, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and high integrity of the RNA was verified with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. Total RNA of pituitary $(0.3 \,\mu g)$ and liver samples $(1 \,\mu g)$ was reversely transcribed as reported previously (Trubiroha et al. 2009; 2010) using Affinity Script (Agilent) and AMV reverse transcriptase (Finnzymes), respectively.

Gene expression analysis by real-time PCR

Real-time PCR assays were carried out in an Mx3005P qPCR Cycler (Stratagene) using genespecific primers as described previously (Trubiroha *et al.* 2009, 2010). Relative target transcript abundance was calculated by the comparative C_T method (Pfaffl, 2001) and was normalized to the expression of ribosomal protein L8 (rpL8). Expression of target genes is presented as mean±s.D. relative to the expression value of uninfected females.

Statistical analysis

Normally distributed parameters (log transformed) of uninfected and infected roach of the same sex

Table 1. Morphological and parasitological features of the sampled roach

between miected and uniniected roach of the same sex are marked by asterisks.)							
		п	Total length [cm]	Somatic mass [g]	^a CF	Parasite abundance	ьЫ
ф 7	Uninfected Infected Uninfected Infected	26 9 12 12	$\begin{array}{c} 16 \cdot 3 \pm 1 \cdot 0 \\ 18 \cdot 5 \pm 1 \cdot 6 * \\ 15 \cdot 4 \pm 1 \cdot 2 \\ 17 \cdot 7 \pm 1 \cdot 8 * \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34\cdot 3\pm 6\cdot 6\\ 53\cdot 0\pm 18\cdot 7*\\ 29\cdot 6\pm 7\cdot 8\\ 46\cdot 5\pm 17\cdot 4* \end{array}$	0.79 ± 0.06 0.81 ± 0.07 0.79 ± 0.06 0.80 ± 0.10	$ \underbrace{\frac{1.6 \pm 1.1 (1-4)}{1.8 \pm 0.8 (1-3)}} $	$ \begin{array}{c} $

(Data are given as mean \pm s.D. Range is shown in parentheses in the case of parasite abundance and PI. Significant differences between infected and uninfected roach of the same sex are marked by asterisks.)

^a CF, condition factor; ^b PI, parasitization index.

were analysed by Student's *t*-test, whereas not normally distributed data were evaluated using Mann-Whitney *U*-test. The level of statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using the software package SPSS 14.0.

RESULTS

Morphological and parasitological parameters

Summarized data on fish and parasites are given in Table 1. Length and somatic mass of infected female and male roach was significantly higher compared to their uninfected conspecifics (Table 1). No difference in CF was detected between uninfected and infected roach (Table 1) and both groups deposited perivisceral fat. PI of infected roach and number of parasites per fish was not significantly different between male and female roach. GSI was significantly lower in infected roach of both genders (Fig. 1).

Gonad histology

Ovaries of uninfected female roach contained follicles in the primary growth stage and developing follicles in secondary growth. Vitellogenic follicles were the most advanced stages found in the ovaries of uninfected females (Fig. 2). Infected females were also able to recruit follicles into secondary growth with the most advanced follicles observed being in the late cortical alveolus stage. Ovaries of infected females contained a significantly higher percentage of follicles in the primary growth and in the early cortical alveolus stage compared to uninfected conspecifics, whereas no vitellogenic follicles were present (Figs 2 and 4). The relative frequency of follicles which were recruited into secondary growth was significantly higher in uninfected females (uninfected 23.2%, infected 13.2%) (Fig. 5). Both uninfected and infected females contained atretic follicles in their ovaries but the percentage of atretic follicles in relation to follicles in secondary growth was significantly higher in infected females (uninfected 13.6%, infected 33.7%) (Fig. 5). In males, testes of uninfected individuals comprised mainly spermatogonia B (90.8% of testes area) (Figs 3 and 4). In testes of infected males, spermatogonia B were also present but at a significantly



Fig. 1. Gonadosomatic index (GSI) of female and male roach, uninfected and infected by *Ligula intestinalis*. The number of individual samples is given in parentheses. Data are presented as mean \pm s.D. Asterisks indicate significant differences between uninfected and infected roach of the same gender (P < 0.05).

lower percentage (56.4%) of testes area) than in uninfected conspecifics (Figs 3 and 4).

Expression of gonadotropin subunits in the pituitary of roach

Analysis by real-time RT-PCR revealed significantly lower levels of FSH β mRNA in *L. intestinalis*infected roach of both genders (Fig. 6). In infected females and males, mRNA expression of FSH β reached only 68·1% and 51·9% of levels in uninfected conspecifics, respectively. Parasitization also had a significant negative impact on the levels of LH β and α GSU mRNA in females (60·8% and 72·0%, respectively, of levels in uninfected females), whereas in males no significant difference was detected in the expression of these two genes. Nevertheless, LH β mRNA expression tended to be lower (54·0%; P < 0.06) in infected male roach (Fig. 6).



uninfected



infected

Fig. 2. Histological sections of ovaries of female roach uninfected and infected by *Ligula intestinalis*. Sections were cut at $5 \mu m$ thickness and stained with Goldners modification of Masson's trichrome stain to facilitate distinguishing between the carbohydrate-containing cortical alveoli (blue/green, arrowheads) from the yolk globules (red, arrows). atr, atretic follicle; eca, early cortical alveolus follicle; lca, late cortical alveolus follicle; pg, primary growth follicle; vg, vitellogenic follicle.

Plasma sex steroid levels

Infected females had significantly lower plasma concentrations of E2, T, and 11-KT, reaching only 16·9%, 18·4%, and 35·2% of the levels in uninfected conspecifics, respectively (Fig. 7). In males, only 11-KT was significantly reduced in infected fish (32·9% compared to uninfected males) but there was a trend toward lower levels of E2 (67·8%; P < 0.06) and T (43·1%; P < 0.06).

Expression of VTG and receptors for sex steroids in roach liver

The expression of VTG mRNA in the liver was significantly lower upon parasitization in both genders



uninfected





Fig. 3. Histological sections of testes of male roach uninfected and infected by *Ligula intestinalis*. Sections were cut at $5 \mu m$ thickness and stained by haematoxylin and eosin. mt, mitotic spermatogonia; arrows, spermatogonia B; arrow heads, spermatogonia A.

and reached 5.3% and 2.8% of levels in uninfected females and males, respectively (Fig. 8). Expression of sex steroid receptors was affected in a gender-specific manner by *L. intestinalis* infection. Compared to uninfected conspecifics, oestrogen receptor 1 (Esr1, synonymous to ER α) mRNA was significantly reduced in infected females (reaching 9.0% of levels in uninfected females) but not in males. Oestrogen receptor 2a (Esr2a, synonymous to ER β 2) mRNA expression was significantly higher (167%) in infected males but not in infected females compared to uninfected conspecifics. The liver expression of oestrogen receptor 2b (Esr2b, synonymous to ER β 1) and androgen receptor (AR) mRNA was not significantly different between uninfected and infected roach of both genders.

DISCUSSION

After long-term maintenance under laboratory conditions with unlimited food supply, infected roach of



Fig. 4. Relative frequency of germ cell types in female and male roach uninfected and infected by *Ligula intestinalis*. The number of individual samples is given in parentheses. Data are presented as mean \pm s.D. Asterisks indicate significant differences between uninfected and infected roach regarding the relative frequency of a certain cell type (P < 0.05). Note that vitellogenic follicles were not present (n.p.) in infected females. atr, atretic follicles; eca, early cortical alveolus follicles; lca, late cortical alveolus follicles; pg, primary growth follicles; spgB, spermatogonia B; vg, vitellogenic follicles.



Fig. 5. Effects of parasitization by *Ligula intestinalis* on follicle recruitment into secondary growth and atresia of secondary follicles in female roach. Data are presented as mean \pm s.D. The number of individual samples is given in parentheses. Asterisks indicate significant differences between uninfected and infected individuals (*P*<0.05).

both genders had lower GSI than their uninfected conspecifics. In females, this was a consequence of inhibited gonad development, reduced follicle recruitment into secondary growth and increased follicular atresia, whereas in males, the lower GSI values reflected inhibited spermatogonial proliferation. Although the negative effects of L. *intestinalis*-infection on gonadal growth and development in roach were significant, the present histological data are in contrast to observations under field conditions. Usually oogenesis is arrested in the primary growth stage in infected females (Arme, 1968; Arme and Owen, 1968; Trubiroha *et al.* 2009; Geraudie *et al.* 2010), and in infected males, spermatogonia B are more sparsely observed (occupying only about 20% of the testes area - unpublished data). Under field conditions, the gonads of roach parasitized by L. intestinalis resemble those of hypophysectomized fish. Based on molecular biological investigations, depressed gonadal growth and development in infected fish is thought to be a result of inhibited gonadotropin expression in the pituitary (Carter et al. 2005; Trubiroha et al. 2009). Similarly in the present study, the expression of pituitary gonadotropins was negatively affected by L. intestinalis. Nevertheless, the differences between uninfected and infected individuals in the present study were not as pronounced as under field conditions when mRNA expression of $FSH\beta$ and LH β in infected roach did not exceed 10% and 30%, respectively, of the levels in uninfected conspecifics (Trubiroha et al. 2009). In teleosts, gonadotropins regulate important aspects of gametogenesis via the induction of gonadal E2 and 11-KT, in particular, vitellogenesis in females and spermatogenesis in males (Lubzens et al. 2010; Schulz et al. 2010). Consistent with the low expression of gonadotropins, significantly reduced plasma concentrations of sex steroids, namely E2 in females and 11-KT in males, were detected in infected roach in the present study. Similar observations have been made in bream (Abramis brama) (Hecker and Karbe, 2005) and roach (Geraudie et al. 2010; Trubiroha et al. 2010) under field conditions. Again, the negative effect of parasitization on sex steroids was less severe in the present study compared to previous field observations, where plasma concentrations of E2 in females and 11-KT in males reached only 7-8% of levels in uninfected conspecifics (Trubiroha et al. 2010).

In general, the expression of gonadotropin subunits in the pituitary and concentrations of sex steroids in plasma correlated well with the histological data, which showed a more progressed stage of gametogenesis in infected roach kept under long-term laboratory conditions compared to field observations.



Fig. 6. Pituitary mRNA expression of follicle-stimulating hormone β -subunit (FSH β), luteinizing hormone β -subunit (LH β), and glycoprotein-hormone α -subunit (α GSU) in uninfected and *Ligula intestinalis*-infected female and male roach. Data are presented as mean ± s.p. The number of individual samples is given in parentheses. Asterisks indicate significant differences between uninfected and infected roach of the same gender (P < 0.05).

Interestingly, the recruitment as well as the maintenance of secondary growth follicles was impaired in females infected by L. intestinalis in the present study. This might be a consequence of the lower expression of FSH β and LH β in these individuals, since FSH plays a key role in follicle recruitment, and gonadotropins in general constitute important antiapoptotic/anti-atretic factors in the ovary (Wood and Van Der Kraak, 2002; Lubzens et al. 2010). It should be noted here that mature oocytes and sperm have been reported recently in a small number of roach infected by L. intestinalis during the spawning season, even under field conditions (Geraudie et al. 2010). The reason for this exceptional observation remains unknown but these data together with the present results show that the phenotype of L. intestinalisinfected roach with regard to reproductive physiology can vary under certain circumstances.

Investigations on gene expression in the liver demonstrated significantly lower mRNA levels of VTG in parasitized roach of both genders compared to uninfected conspecifics. In infected females, this is most probably a result of their lower E2 plasma concentrations, since in oviparous vertebrates hepatic VTG synthesis is induced by oestrogens (Wahli, 1988). Our findings are in agreement with field data for female bream (Hecker and Karbe, 2005) and



Fig. 7. Plasma levels of 17β -oestradiol (E2), testosterone (T), and 11-ketotestosterone (11-KT) in uninfected and *Ligula intestinalis*-infected female and male roach. Data are presented as mean ± s.D. The number of individual samples is given in parentheses. Asterisks indicate significant differences between uninfected and infected roach of the same gender (P < 0.05).

roach (Geraudie et al. 2010; Trubiroha et al. 2010). Similar to previous findings in the field (Trubiroha et al. 2010), we measured considerably lower levels of liver VTG mRNA in infected male roach in the present study. Interestingly, plasma E2 concentrations were not significantly lower in infected compared to uninfected males after long-term maintenance under laboratory conditions. This indicates an effect of L. intestinalis on liver VTG mRNA expression in males which is probably not related to oestrogen levels. Lower VTG mRNA in infected males could, nevertheless, also be a consequence of their reduced plasma concentrations of androgens, since VTG induction by exposure to low concentrations of methyltestosterone has been demonstrated in male zebrafish (Danio rerio) (Andersen et al. 2006). Furthermore, VTG has been shown to be involved in immune function in fish (Li et al. 2008) and it would be interesting to address whether reduced VTG expression in infected roach is potentially associated with immune interactions between L. intestinalis and its host.

Oestrogen-induced synthesis of hepatic VTG in oviparous vertebrates is mediated via nuclear oestrogen receptors. Recent studies in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) (Leanos-Castaneda and Van Der Kraak, 2007) and goldfish (Carassius auratus auratus) (Nelson and Habibi, 2010) showed that the Esr2 subtypes are crucial for vitellogenesis in fishes,



Fig. 8. Liver mRNA expression of oestrogen receptors (Esr1, Esr2a, and Esr2b), androgen receptor (AR), and vitellogenin (VTG) in uninfected and *Ligula intestinalis*-infected female and male roach. Data are presented as mean \pm s.D. The number of individual samples is given in parentheses. Asterisks indicate significant differences between uninfected and infected roach of the same gender (P < 0.05).

with Esr1 being auto-induced via Esr2 to sensitize the liver to further stimulation of VTG synthesis by oestrogens. Auto-induction of Esr1 mRNA has been consistently documented in teleosts, including roach, whereas the regulation of Esr2 subtypes by oestrogens is more complex and may depend on species, sex, and reproductive stage (Menuet et al. 2004; Filby and Tyler, 2005; Katsu et al. 2007; Lange et al. 2008). Thus, in accordance with a previous field investigation (Trubiroha et al. 2010), the lower mRNA expression of hepatic Esr1 in infected female roach in the present study is likely to be a result of their lower plasma E2 compared to uninfected conspecifics. In contrast to Esr1 mRNA, the expression of Esr2a, Esr2b, and AR differed in some cases from the situation described in the field where hepatic Esr2a mRNA in both genders (and Esr2b mRNA in males) was higher in infected individuals and AR mRNA was down-regulated in response to parasitism in females (Trubiroha et al. 2010). Nevertheless, we detected a higher expression of Esr2a in infected males also in the present study. Whether this is a result of changes in plasma sex steroids upon infection or a response to other parasite factors remains yet unknown.

Differences in the outcome of parasitism on host reproduction between field and laboratory studies

have been reported in several host-parasite systems, including the three-spined stickleback infected by plerocercoids of S. solidus (Candolin and Voigt, 2001; Barber and Svensson, 2003). Under favourable laboratory conditions, no effect of S. solidus on reproduction in male sticklebacks was observed (Candolin and Voigt, 2001). In experimentally infected females, Barber and Svensson (2003) even reported an increase in GSI compared to uninfected conspecifics. On the other hand, we demonstrate here for the first time that long-term maintenance under laboratory conditions with unlimited food access can attenuate but not abolish the negative impact of parasitization by L. intestinalis on reproductive physiology in roach. In the present study, CF did not differ significantly between infected and uninfected individuals and perivisceral fat was abundant in both groups, showing that long-term energy reserves were deposited. As described for the three-spined stickleback infected by S. solidus, reproductive parameters of the host should be negatively related to parasite burden if inhibition of gametogenesis is a simple side effect of nutrient deprivation (Hurd, 2001; Hall et al. 2007; Heins and Baker, 2008). In roach parasitized by L. intestinalis however, even small plerocercoids that are unlikely to pose significant energetic demands cause an arrest of host gametogenesis (Arme, 1968; Arme and Owen, 1968). Consistent with previous investigations (Arme, 1968; Arme and Owen, 1968; Trubiroha et al. 2009), no negative correlation was detected between PI and any of the reproductive parameters in roach after long-term maintenance under laboratory conditions (data not shown). Therefore, it is concluded that the observed impact of L. intestinalis on roach gametogenesis is not due to energetic drains upon parasitization but reflects a specific strategy of the parasite, which is manipulating the allocation pattern of host energy away from reproduction (Hurd, 2001, 2009; Lafferty and Kuris, 2009). Such a strategy seems advantageous for the parasite because the high energy costs required for reproduction of roach might then be available for the growing plerocercoid. This would concomitantly minimize the harm exerted on host somatic tissues due to general nutritional drains, thereby avoiding a decrease in host viability (Hurd, 2001; Ebert et al. 2004; Lafferty and Kuris, 2009). In addition, preventing host reproduction may provide the temporal storage of energy reallocated from reproduction already during early infection before the parasite can fully exploit these resources. If the energy liberated from reproduction is higher than the demands of the parasite plus the energetic costs for the host in mounting an immune defence, this in turn can lead to enhanced growth (gigantism) of the infected host under certain circumstances (Ebert et al. 2004; Hall et al. 2007). In the present study, data relevant in this context were not collected and therefore no conclusions about the influence of L. intestinalis on the growth of the investigated roach can be drawn.

Gametogenesis in fish is triggered by body energy stores, and the pituitary gonadotropins as well as gonadal steroidogenesis are influenced by metabolic hormones (e.g. Campbell et al. 2006). Given the large biomass L. intestinalis can attain relative to the host, it seems possible that the reproductive dysfunction of infected roach under field conditions is in part mediated by energetic drains, acting in concert with the specific endocrine-disrupting effects of the parasite. Thus, unlimited food supply was possibly a predominant factor for the more advanced gonadal development of infected roach in the laboratory as compared to the field. Notwithstanding the experimental feeding regime, changes in additional confounding variables under laboratory conditions are potentially involved. For example, roach are known to release steroid hormones into the water (Lower et al. 2004) and the action of pheromones in fishes is not restricted only to the final reproductive events such as synchronization of spawning (Van Weerd et al. 1991; Huertas et al. 2006). Fishes infected with L. intestinalis do not exhibit normal shoaling patterns (Orr, 1966; Loot et al. 2001) and thus, pheromonal stimuli that would normally be received within the group-shoaling situation are probably absent from parasitized individuals. Under the present conditions, i.e. simultaneous maintenance of infected and uninfected fish in one tank, infected roach could also inevitably receive pheromonal stimuli from their uninfected conspecifics, which in turn might stimulate gametogenesis in parasitized individuals to some degree. However, nothing is known about the responsiveness of infected roach towards pheromonal/ hormonal stimuli and further studies involving exposure experiments would help to get a better picture of the state of the endocrine system in roach parasitized by L. intestinalis.

In summary, we characterized for the first time the influence of L. intestinalis on reproductive physiology of roach kept for a long time under laboratory conditions with unlimited food supply. Effects of parasitism involved inhibited gametogenesis in both genders of roach, accompanied by reduced expression of pituitary gonadotropin subunits and lowered plasma concentrations of sex steroids. Furthermore, a strong negative impact of parasitization in both genders on hepatic VTG mRNA was observed. In general, the effect of L. intestinalis on reproductive physiology appeared attenuated under laboratory conditions compared to the phenotype found in the wild. Still, there was a clear deleterious impact of parasitization on host gametogenesis despite the fact that infected individuals were apparently in a good condition as indicated by CF and the deposition of perivisceral fat. Our observation is in contrast to studies on the related stickleback-S. solidus hostparasite system, where the negative impact of parasitism on host reproduction has been documented to be a side-effect of nutritional drains and can become abolished under favourable conditions. It is concluded here that arrested gametogenesis in roach upon infection by L. *intestinalis* in the wild is mediated only in part by environmental factors or nutritional drains of parasitism. The present results support the hypothesis that the cestode L. *intestinalis* selectively inhibits roach reproduction via endocrine disruption.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was supported by CEFIC via Grant EMSG 42. H. K. received a Post-doctoral Fellowship of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. Technical support by Matthias Kunow and Eric Eckmann is gratefully acknowledged. We would also like to thank anonymous reviewers for valuable comments on a former version of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Andersen, L., Goto-Kazeto, R., Trant, J. M., Nash, J. P., Korsgaard, B. and Bjerregaard, P. (2006). Short-term exposure to low concentrations of the synthetic androgen methyltestosterone affects vitellogenin and steroid levels in adult male zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Aquatic Toxicology 76, 343–352.
- Arme, C. (1968). Effects of plerocercoid larva of a pseudophyllidean cestode *Ligula intestinalis* on pituitary gland and gonads of its host. *Biological Bulletin* 134, 15–25.
- Arme, C. (1997). Ligula intestinalis: interactions with the pituitary-gonadal axis of its fish host. Journal of Helminthology 71, 83–84.
- Arme, C. and Owen, R. W. (1968). Occurrence and pathology of *Ligula intestinalis* infections in British fishes. *Journal of Parasitology* 54, 272–280.
- Bagamian, K. H., Heins, D. C. and Baker, J. A. (2004). Body condition and reproductive capacity of three-spined stickleback infected with the cestode *Schistocephalus solidus. Journal of Fish Biology* 64, 1568–1576.
- Barber, I. and Svensson, P. A. (2003). Effects of experimental *Schistocephalus solidus* infections on growth, morphology and sexual development of female three-spined sticklebacks, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. *Parasitology* 126, 359–367.
- Barber, I., Wright, H. A., Arnott, S. A. and Wootton, R. J. (2008). Growth and energetics in the stickleback-*Schistocephalus* host-parasite system: a review of experimental infection studies. *Behaviour* 145, 647–668.
- Campbell, B., Dickey, J., Beckman, B., Young, G., Pierce, A., Fukada, H. and Swanson, P. (2006). Previtellogenic oocyte growth in salmon: relationships among body growth, plasma insulin-like growth factor-1, estradiol-17beta, follicle-stimulating hormone and expression of ovarian genes for insulin-like growth factors, steroidogenic-acute regulatory protein and receptors for gonadotropins, growth hormone, and somatolactin. *Biology of Reproduction* **75**, 34–44.

Candolin, U. and Voigt, H. R. (2001). No effect of a parasite on reproduction in stickleback males: a laboratory artefact? *Parasitology* **122**, 457–464.

Carter, V., Pierce, R., Dufour, S., Arme, C. and Hoole, D. (2005). The tapeworm *Ligula intestinalis* (Cestoda: Pseudophyllidea) inhibits LH expression and puberty in its teleost host, *Rutilus rutilus. Reproduction* 130, 939–945.

Dubinina, M. N. (1980). *Tapeworms (Cestoda, Ligulidae)* of the Fauna of the U.S.S.R. Amerind Publishing Co., New Delhi, India.

Ebert, D., Carius, H. J., Little, T. and Decaestecker, E. (2004). The evolution of virulence when parasites cause host castration and gigantism. *American Naturalist* **164**, 19–32.

Ewald, P. W. (1980). Evolutionary biology and the treatment of signs and symptoms of infectious disease. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 86, 169–176.

Filby, A. L. and Tyler, C. R. (2005). Molecular characterization of estrogen receptors 1, 2a, and 2b and their tissue and ontogenic expression profiles in fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Biology of Reproduction* 73, 648–662.

Geraudie, P., Boulange-Lecomte, C., Gerbron, M., Hinfray, N., Brion, F. and Minier, C. (2010).
Endocrine effects of the tapeworm *Ligula intestinalis* in its teleost host, the roach (*Rutilus rutilus*). *Parasitology* 137, 697–704.

Hall, S. R., Becker, C. and Caceres, C. E. (2007). Parasitic castration: a perspective from a model of dynamic energy budgets. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 47, 295–309.

Hecker, M. and Karbe, L. (2005). Parasitism in fish – an endocrine modulator of ecological relevance? *Aquatic Toxicology* 72, 195–207.

Heins, D. C. and Baker, J. A. (2003). Reduction of egg size in natural populations of threespine stickleback infected with a cestode macroparasite. *Journal of Parasitology* 89, 1–6.

Heins, D. C. and Baker, J. A. (2008). The stickleback-Schistocephalus host-parasite system as a model for understanding the effect of a macroparasite on host reproduction. Behaviour 145, 625–645.

Heins, D. C. and Brown-Peterson, N. J. (2010). Influence of the pseudophyllidean cestode *Schistocephalus solidus* on oocyte development in the threespine stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. *Parasitology* 137, 1151–1158.

Heins, D. C., Baker, J. A., Toups, M. A. and Birden, E. L. (2010). Evolutionary significance of fecundity reduction in threespine stickleback infected by the diphyllobothriidean cestode *Schistocephalus solidus*. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 100, 835–846.

Hoole, D., Carter, V. and Dufour, S. (2010). Ligula intestinalis (Cestoda: Pseudophyllidea): an ideal fish-metazoan parasite model? *Parasitology* 137, 425–438.

Huertas, M., Scott, A. P., Hubbard, P. C., Canario, A. V. and Cerda, J. (2006). Sexually mature European eels (*Anguilla anguilla* L.) stimulate gonadal development of neighbouring males: possible involvement of chemical communication. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 147, 304–313. Hurd, H. (2009). Evolutionary drivers of parasite-induced changes in insect life-history traits: From theory to underlying mechanisms. *Advances in Parasitology* 68, 85–110.

Katsu, Y., Lange, A., Urushitani, H., Ichikawa, R., Paull, G. C., Cahill, L. L., Jobling, S., Tyler, C. R. and Iguchi, T. (2007). Functional associations between two estrogen receptors, environmental estrogens, and sexual disruption in the roach (*Rutilus rutilus*). Environmental Science and Technology 41, 3368–3374.

Kloas, W., Urbatzka, R., Opitz, R., Wuertz, S., Behrends, T., Hermelink, B., Hofmann, F., Jagnytsch, O., Kroupova, H., Lorenz, C., Neumann, N., Pietsch, C., Trubiroha, A., Van Ballegooy, C., Wiedemann, C. and Lutz, I. (2009). Endocrine disruption in aquatic vertebrates. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1163, 187-200.

Lafferty, K. D. and Kuris, A. M. (2009). Parasitic castration: the evolution and ecology of body snatchers. *Trends in Parasitology* 25, 564–572.

Lange, A., Katsu, Y., Ichikawa, R., Paull, G. C., Chidgey, L. L., Coe, T. S., Iguchi, T. and Tyler, C. R. (2008). Altered sexual development in roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) exposed to environmental concentrations of the pharmaceutical 17alpha-ethinylestradiol and associated expression dynamics of aromatases and estrogen receptors. *Toxicological Sciences* **106**, 113–123.

Leanos-Castaneda, O. and Van De Kraak, G. (2007). Functional characterization of estrogen receptor subtypes, ERalpha and ERbeta, mediating vitellogenin production in the liver of rainbow trout. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* **224**, 116–125.

Levavi-Sivan, B., Bogerd, J., Mananos, E. L., Gomez, A. and Lareyre, J. J. (2010). Perspectives on fish gonadotropins and their receptors. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **165**, 412–437.

Li, Z., Zhang, S. and Liu, Q. (2008). Vitellogenin functions as a multivalent pattern recognition receptor with an opsonic activity. *PLoS ONE* **3**, e1940.

Loot, G., Brosse, S., Lek, S. and Guegan, J. F. (2001). Behaviour of roach (*Rutilus rutilus* L.) altered by *Ligula intestinalis* (Cestoda: Pseudophyllidea): a field demonstration. *Freshwater Biology* **46**, 1219–1227.

Lower, N., Scott, A. P. and Moore, A. (2004). Release of sex steroids into the water by roach. *Journal of Fish Biology* 64, 16–33.

Lubzens, E., Young, G., Bobe, J. and Cerda, J. (2010). Oogenesis in teleosts: how eggs are formed. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **165**, 367–389.

MacNab, V., Katsiadaki, I. and Barber, I. (2009). Reproductive potential of *Schistocephalus solidus*infected male three-spined stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus* from two UK populations. *Journal of Fish Biology* **75**, 2095–2107.

Menuet, A., Le Page, Y., Torres, O., Kern, L., Kah, O. and Pakdel, F. (2004). Analysis of the estrogen regulation of the zebrafish estrogen receptor (ER) reveals distinct effects of ERalpha, ERbeta1 and ERbeta2. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* **32**, 975–986. Nelson, E. R. and Habibi, H. R. (2010). Functional significance of nuclear estrogen receptor subtypes in the liver of goldfish. *Endocrinology* **151**, 1668–1676.

Nolan, M., Jobling, S., Brighty, G., Sumpter, J. P. and Tyler, C. R. (2001). A histological description of intersexuality in the roach. *Journal of Fish Biology* 58, 160–176.

- Orr, T. S. C. (1966). Spawning behaviour of rudd Scardinius erythrophthalmus infested with plerocercoids of Ligula intestinalis. Nature, London 212, 736.
- Pfaffl, M. W. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research* 29, e45.
- **Poulin, R.** (2007). Evolutionary Ecology of Parasites. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA.
- Rinchard, J. and Kestemont, P. (1996). Comparative study of reproductive biology in single- and multiplespawner cyprinid fish. 1. Morphological and histological features. *Journal of Fish Biology* **49**, 883–894.
- Schulz, R. W., de Franca, L. R., Lareyre, J-J., LeGac, F., Chiarini-Garcia, H., Nobrega, R. H. and Miura, T. (2010). Spermatogenesis in fish. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 165, 390–411.
- Schultz, E. T., Topper, M. and Heins, D. C. (2006). Decreased reproductive investment of female threespine stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus* infected with the cestode *Schistocephalus solidus*: parasite adaptation, host adaptation, or side effect? *Oikos* 114, 303–310.

- Smith, V. (2007). Host resource supplies influence the dynamics and outcome of infectious disease. *Integrative* and Comparative Biology 47, 310–316.
- Tierney, J. F., Huntingford, F. A. and Crompton, D. W. T. (1996). Body condition and reproductive status in sticklebacks exposed to a single wave of *Schistocephalus solidus* infection. *Journal of Fish Biology* 49, 483–493.
- Trubiroha, A., Kroupova, H., Wuertz, S., Frank, S. N., Sures, B. and Kloas, W. (2010). Naturally-induced endocrine disruption by the parasite *Ligula intestinalis* (Cestoda) in roach (*Rutilus rutilus*). *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **166**, 234–240.
- Trubiroha, A., Wuertz, S., Frank, S. N., Sures, B. and Kloas, W. (2009). Expression of gonadotropin subunits in roach (*Rutilus rutilus*, Cyprinidae) infected with plerocercoids of the tapeworm *Ligula intestinalis* (Cestoda). *International Journal for Parasitology* 39, 1465–1473.
- Van Weerd, J. H., Sukkel, M., Bongers, A. B., Van der Does, H. M., Steynis, E. and Richter, C. J. (1991).
 Stimulation of gonadal development by sexual interaction of pubertal African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*. *Physiology and Behaviour* 49, 217–223.
- Wahli, W. (1988). Evolution and expression of vitellogenin genes. *Trends in Genetics* **4**, 227–232.
- Wood, A. W. and Van der Kraak, G. (2002). Inhibition of apoptosis in vitellogenic ovarian follicles of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) by salmon gonadotropin, epidermal growth factor, and 17beta-estradiol. *Molecular Reproduction and Development* **61**, 511–518.