# Global, regional and local controls on the development of a Triassic carbonate ramp system, Western Balkanides, Bulgaria

# ATHANAS CHATALOV\*

Sofia University 'St Kliment Ohridski', 15 Tsar Osvoboditel Blvd, 1504 Sofia, Bulgaria

(Received 5 January 2016; accepted 1 September 2016; first published online 20 October 2016)

Abstract – The Early to Late Triassic development of a carbonate ramp system in the subtropical belt of the NW Tethys was controlled by the interplay of several global and regional factors: geotectonic setting (slow continuous subsidence on a passive continental margin), antecedent topography (lowgradient relief inherited from preceding depositional regime), climate and oceanography (warm and dry climatic conditions, storm influence), relative sea-level changes (Olenekian to Anisian eustatic rise, middle Anisian to early Carnian sea-level fall), lack of frame-builders (favouring the maintenance of ramp morphology), and carbonate production (abundant formation of lime mud, non-skeletal grains and marine cements, development of diverse biota controlled by biological evolution and environmental conditions). Elevated palaeorelief affected the ramp initialization on a local scale, while autogenic processes largely controlled the formation of peritidal cyclicity during the early stage of ramp retrogradation. Probably fault-driven differential subsidence caused a local distal steepening of the ramp profile in middle-late Anisian time. The generally favourable conditions promoted long-term maintenance of homoclinal ramp morphology and accumulation of carbonate sediments having great maximum thickness (~500 m). Shutdown of the carbonate factory and demise of the ramp system in the early Carnian resulted from relative sea-level fall and subsequent emergence. After a period of subaerial exposure with minor karstification, the deposition of continental quartz arenites suggests the possible effect of the Carnian Pluvial Episode.

Keywords: homoclinal ramp, passive margin, warm climate, sea-level changes, autocyclic control, tectonic steepening, NW Tethys.

#### 1. Introduction

A complex array of different mechanisms and processes controls the growth and demise of marine carbonate depositional systems (Tucker & Wright, 1990; Jones & Desrochers, 1992; Wright & Burchette, 1996; Schlager, 2005; Warrlich, Bosence & Waltham, 2005; Wright & Burgess, 2005; Pomar & Kendall, 2008; Tresch & Strasser, 2011; Williams et al. 2011; Pomar et al. 2012; Wilson, 2012). The major extrinsic factors are geotectonics and climate, which together control eustatic sea level, and also prevent massive siliciclastic supply to depositional basins by determining the hinterland topography, river drainage, weathering, and erosion patterns (Tucker & Wright, 1990, p. 28). Tectonic regime and sea-level oscillations control the size, morphology and thickness of carbonate platforms by modifying base-level changes and accommodation space. Climate, along with geotectonics, is important for marine carbonate sedimentation by regulating temperature, salinity, water circulation, nutrient supply, current regime and wave activity (Tucker & Wright, 1990, p. 31). These environmental conditions (plus oxygenation levels, light penetration, substrate) and biological evolution together control the distribution of biota across the carbonate platform and its contribution to the carbonate factory, i.e. sedimentation rate (Pomar & Hallock, 2008). Consequently, accommodation in carbonate systems has two interrelated components: physical accommodation, i.e. hydrodynamic conditions, and ecological accommodation, i.e. competence to build up (Pomar, 2001a; Pomar & Kendall, 2008). In addition, the chemistry of seawater (Mg/Ca ratio, Ca concentration, carbonate saturation state) strongly influences precipitation of both skeletal and abiotic carbonates (Stanley & Hardie, 1998; Stanley, 2008; Ries, 2010). Recently, Williams et al. (2011) pointed out that stratal geometries of carbonate platforms result from a multitude of interacting controls, including sediment production and transport, differential subsidence effects, antecedent topography and relative sea-level changes.

According to the original definition of Ahr (1973), carbonate ramps are characterized by gentle depositional slopes (normally less than 1°) passing gradually from a shallow high-energy environment to a deeper low-energy environment. Later, Read (1982, 1985) distinguished two types of ramps: homoclinal (with uniform gradient from shoreline to deeper water) and distally steepened (with offshore slope break between the shallow ramp and the basin). The main characteristics of the few modern examples (e.g. Light & Wilson, 1998; Testa & Bosence, 1998; Hine *et al.* 2003; James *et al.* 2004; Gischler & Lomando, 2005;

<sup>\*</sup>Author for correspondence: chatalov@gea.uni-sofia.bg

Riegl et al. 2010) and many ancient counterparts (see summaries in Burchette & Wright, 1992; Wright & Burchette, 1998) have been thoroughly investigated. The general zonation pattern proposed by Burchette & Wright (1992), i.e. inner ramp, mid-ramp, outer ramp and basin depositional zones, has been commonly applied for most carbonate ramp successions. Despite significant progress, there is still a lack of detailed understanding about what processes and controls lead to the formation and maintenance of ramp morphology in contrast to flat-topped platforms and rimmed shelves (Burchette & Wright, 1992; Aurell et al. 1998; Pomar, 2001b; Schlager, 2005; Wright & Burgess, 2005; Pomar & Kendall, 2008; Williams et al. 2011; Pomar et al. 2012). Further problematic issues include the specific controls on ramp formation in different geotectonic settings (Burchette & Wright, 1992; Bosence, 2005) and the distinction between factors that predetermine the configuration of homoclinal versus distally steepened ramps (Burchette & Wright, 1992; Pomar, 2001b; Pomar & Kendall, 2008; Williams et al. 2011). Another key question is how climate influences ramp formation (Read, 1998), for example, why the majority of coolwater carbonates develop into carbonate ramp profiles (e.g. Jones & Desrochers, 1992; Pedley & Carannante, 2006). Mud production, which was especially extensive in tropical ramps and characterized in particular the offshore environments of many early to middle Mesozoic ramps (Burchette & Wright, 1992; Wright & Burchette, 1998; Pomar & Hallock, 2008), is also enigmatic and its origin has been much debated (Aurell et al. 1998; Török, 1998; Pomar & Hallock, 2008; Turpin et al. 2014). Also, there is growing insight into Proterozoic analogues and their comparison to Phanerozoic ramps in terms of size, general evolution, specific lithofacies, cyclicity, and dolomitization patterns (e.g. Sherman, Narbonne & James, 2001; Cozzi, Grotzinger & Allen, 2004; Dibenedetto & Grotzinger, 2005; Zentmyer et al. 2011; Delpomdor, Kant & Préat, 2014; Thomson, Rainbird & Dix, 2014; Adnan et al. 2015).

This paper focuses on the various extrinsic (allogenic) and intrinsic (autogenic) controls on the birth, growth and demise of a Triassic carbonate ramp which existed in the western part of the Tethys Ocean. The study is mainly based on sedimentological data obtained from 20 stratigraphic sections of the ramp succession which is exposed in the Western Balkanides (NW Bulgaria). Additionally, some previous results obtained by the author and other workers are also widely used and interpreted. An attempt is made to distinguish and separately discuss global, regional and local controls on the specific evolution of the ramp system. The autonomous effect and interplay of those factors are analysed in the light of their influence on the sedimentation style, stacking pattern, slope gradient, sediment thickness, facies distribution and biota development. Special emphasis is placed on the new evidence for local distal steepening of the ramp profile, dominant control on the formation of peritidal cyclicity, and the factors that caused demise of the carbonate system.



Figure 1. (Colour online) Exposures of Lower to Upper Triassic marine rocks (Iskar Carbonate Group) in the Western Balkanides (yellow in the inset map) with designated location and number of the studied stratigraphic sections. Arrows indicate the advancing Tethyan transgression towards the end of the Olenekian age and at the onset of the Anisian age (see also Fig. 6).

Ramps were typical carbonate platforms in the Phanerozoic as the Early to Middle Triassic interval was a time of their extensive development worldwide, and especially across the Western Tethys realm and in adjacent Peri-Tethyan basins (Burchette & Wright, 1992; Kiessling, Flügel & Golonka, 2003; Pomar & Hallock, 2008). Therefore, unravelling the controls on Triassic analogues may comprehensively elucidate the general origin and specific evolution of ramp systems. Because carbonate ramps that formed during greenhouse, icehouse and transitional times have apparently distinctive characteristics (Read, 1998), and because the Triassic period was a global greenhouse period (Sellwood & Valdes, 2006; Preto, Kustatscher & Wignall, 2010), this study may contribute to improving our knowledge of ramps formed under warm climatic conditions. Another point of interest is the evidence presented for locally steepened ramp morphology which would allow comparison to other known examples from the Proterozoic and Phanerozoic sedimentary record (e.g. Gawthorpe, 1986; Stanton & Flügel, 1995; Hips, 1998; Pedley, 1998; Sherman, Narbonne & James, 2001; Pomar, Obrador & Westphal, 2002; Cozzi, Grotzinger & Allen, 2004; Dilliard et al. 2010; Martin-Rojas et al. 2012; Thomson, Rainbird & Dix, 2014).

# **2.** Brief synopsis of the Triassic ramp carbonates from the Western Balkanides

The Triassic sedimentary succession exposed in NW Bulgaria (Fig. 1) was assigned to the Balkanide type of Triassic (Ganev, 1974) which follows the classical tripartite subdivision of the Triassic system in the Peri-Tethyan Germanic Basin, i.e. continental Buntsandstein, marine Muschelkalk and continental Keuper.



Figure 2. (Colour online) Palaeogeographical map of the Western Tethys in the early Ladinian age (from Gaetani *et al.* 2000) with inferred location of the Bulgarian carbonate ramp according to the palaeomagnetic data of Muttoni *et al.* (2000). Large arrow indicates the direction of subtropical storms based on Marsaglia & Klein (1983).

The whole succession corresponds to a symmetrical second-order transgressive-regressive (T-R) stratigraphic cycle (sensu Duval, Cramez & Vail, 1998), i.e. defined on the basis of long-term displacements of the shoreline and controlled by a second-order eustatic cycle (Chatalov, 2013). Marine deposition started during the late Early Triassic epoch when a carbonate ramp was progressively formed having gentle inclination to the east/southeast (Čatalov, 1988). The ramp system evolved in the northwestern shelf area of the Tethys Ocean on the passive continental margin of Eurasia (see Chatalov, 2013, and cited references), and palaeomagnetic data obtained by Muttoni et al. (2000) indicate palaeolatitude position of 21–24° N (Fig. 2). Diverse subtidal, intertidal and supratidal carbonate facies were generated without significant terrigenous input in several depositional environments under different hydrodynamic regimes (Fig. 3): tidal flats, inner ramp lagoons and shoals, storm-dominated and fair-weather mid-ramp areas and storm-influenced outer ramp (Assereto & Chatalov, 1983; Tronkov, 1983; Vaptsarova, Chemberski & Chatalov, 1984; Chatalov, 2000b, 2002, 2010, 2013; Chatalov & Vangelov, 2001). The sedimentation produced a nearly 500 m thick succession (Chatalov, 2013), lithostratigraphically referred to as Iskar Carbonate Group (Tronkov, 1981), which consists of limestones and dolostones (Fig. 4) plus a minor amount of siliciclastic rocks in the lowermost part (Chatalov, Stefanov & Vetseva, 2015). Biostratigraphic analysis of carbonate strata outcropping in the western part of the External Balkanides, i.e. Western Balkanides, is mainly based on macrofauna (bivalves, brachiopods, rare ammonoids) and defines a chronostratigraphic range from the upper Olenekian substage to the

643



Figure 3. (Colour online) Stratigraphic–lithologic log of the Iskar Carbonate Group (section 17 in Fig. 1) juxtaposed to the ramp stage or setting and the relative sea-level curve (modified from Chatalov, 2013). Abbreviations: TB – Terebratula Beds, mfz – maximum flooding zone, RDT – retrogradational depositional trend, PDT – progradational depositional trend. Note: Thicknesses of the pre-ramp and post-ramp units are not to scale.



Figure 4. (Colour online) Panoramic view of the Triassic carbonate succession in the southern part of the study area (section 17). Arrows outline two genetic units in terms of sequence stratigraphy (T – transgressive, R – regressive) that correspond to the main stages of changing relative palaeobathymetry, i.e. retrogradational depositional trend and progradational depositional trend, respectively (Chatalov, 2013). Bar indicates the maximum flooding zone (mfz), i.e. outer ramp Terebratula Beds.

lower Carnian substage (Tronkov, 1968*a*, 1969, 1973, 1976; Benatov *et al.* 1999; see also Chatalov, 2013, and cited references).

In a recent synopsis Chatalov (2013) discussed the general characteristics and specific evolution of the carbonate ramp system. Two main stages of ramp development were distinguished on the basis of changing relative palaeobathymetry: deepening, i.e. retrogradational depositional trend, and shallowing, i.e. progradational depositional trend (Fig. 3). Sedimentation during those stages produced two genetic units (transgressive and regressive) in the carbonate succession separated by a maximum flooding zone, so-called Terebratula Beds (Fig. 4). The character of deep water sediments indicates lack of a significant break in slope angle, and hence a close correspondence to the homoclinal ramp model. The calculated average sedimentation rate is similar to other Phanerozoic shallow marine carbonates including those deposited on ramps. The inferred environmental constraints of the ramp depositional system include: normal marine to hypersalinity; warm, wellilluminated water; aerobic and rarely dysaerobic bottom conditions; dominantly soft lime mud substrate; intensive storm activity; temporal seismic influence. The vertical distribution of marine fauna indicates irreversible shifting from Germanic (Peri-Tethyan) affinity to Alpine (Tethyan) affinity during the Anisian age. The carbonate sedimentation was not accompanied by development of microbial build-ups or metazoan reefs.

As regards overall sediment production, the ramp system resembles some Early to Late Triassic carbonate platforms that existed across the Western Tethys realm. Thus, deposition was characterized by intensive formation of peloids and ooids, abundant lime mud production, growth of microbial fabrics, and development of specific biota dominated by mollusks, echinoderms, brachiopods, foraminifers and cyanobacteria (Chatalov, 2013). The best correlation in terms of ramp type, general evolution, depositional settings, environmental parameters, facies distribution and biota diversity is established with respect to the Triassic ramp succession outcropping in the Tizsa Meagunit of southern Hungary (Török, 1998, 2000). Strong similarities to the German, Polish and Spanish Muschelkalk are also clearly outlined, and a parallel can be drawn with other platform carbonates from the NW Tethys shelf area and Peri-Tethys basins, the so-called Alpine realm and Germanic realm, respectively (see Chatalov, 2013, and cited references).

# 3. General and specific controls on carbonate ramp sedimentation

The aforementioned general controls on the development of marine carbonate systems apply to the deposition on carbonate ramps (Burchette & Wright, 1992). Allogenic factors, antecedent topography and some environmental conditions control changes in the accommodation space and accumulation rates as well as the spatial and temporal distribution of ramp facies. Intrinsic processes, i.e. inherent to the depositional system, are related to the biotic and abiotic modes of carbonate production and influence the ramp geometry, sedimentation rate and facies diversity. Like all marine carbonate systems (e.g. Léonide, Floquet & Villier, 2007), ramps are very sensitive to the magnitude and frequency of all controlling factors but their respective responses remain problematic, i.e. the precise differentiation of global, regional and local processes is often uncertain. Moreover, many factors are interdependent and superimposed on each other so that their relative importance and interplay are difficult to estimate in the dynamic carbonate ramp system (Wright & Burchette, 1998). It has also been demonstrated that sedimentation in the shallow ramp, i.e. inner ramp environments, and in the deep ramp, i.e. mid-ramp to outer ramp environments, may have different sets of controlling mechanisms (Kim et al. 2014).

The combined effect of global eustasy (tectonoglacio-eustatism) and subsidence eustatism or (basin-scale or local) is crucial in generating accommodation space for the ramp formation. Thus, rapid base-level rises controlled by eustasy and/or subsidence may lead to drowning, while different magnitudes of sea-level fall may change the ramp profile, subaerially expose the whole ramp or make the ramp evolve into rimmed shelf. Due to the low depositional relief, relative sea-level changes result in abrupt facies shifts between the inner ramp and outer ramp to basinal facies (Burchette & Wright, 1992), and in particular, homoclinal ramps have a linear response (migration of facies belts) to long-term sea-level oscillations (Tucker, Calvet & Hunt, 1993). According to Williams et al. (2011), high-amplitude glacio-eustatic changes tend to create low-gradient ramp systems by distributing sediment accumulation across the whole width of the platform, thereby suppressing progradation and steepening. Both climate and sea-level changes control

the accommodation space, slope gradient, water depth and circulation, facies distribution, stacking patterns, and formation of cyclic successions. Tectonic regime alone may favour the accumulation of thick ramp deposits, with optimal ramp development being promoted by slow subsidence rate, low gradient basement and relatively shallow basinal water depth (Read, 1985; Burchette & Wright, 1992; Bosence, 2005). Rotational subsidence tends to favour ramp formation by increasing the topographic gradient, which leads to enhanced rates of sediment transport and suppressed in situ accumulation (Williams et al. 2011). Likewise, tectonics may ultimately create distally-steepened ramp morphology through differential subsidence associated with synsedimentary active faults (Read, 1985; Burchette & Wright, 1992). Antecedent topography inherited from the preceding depositional or tectonic regime may favour the formation of low-gradient relief, and hence the configuration and size of carbonate ramps (Burchette & Wright, 1992), but may also predetermine the initialization of distal steepening (e.g. Seyedmehdi, George & Tucker, 2016).

Ramp morphology is largely controlled by the rate of sediment input and hydrodynamic material dispersal along the bathymetric gradient, commonly with strong offshore sediment transport (Aurell et al. 1998; Pomar, 2001b; Bádenas et al. 2003; Beavington-Penney, Wright & Racey, 2005; Wright & Burgess, 2005; Williams et al. 2011; Puga-Bernabéu et al. 2014). Carbonate productivity, locus of accumulation and sedimentation rate may change the ramp profile, for example, by favouring the initialization of distal steepening (Burchette & Wright, 1992; Pedley, 1998; Pomar, 2001b; Pomar et al. 2012), or the evolution into another type of carbonate platform which is mostly rimmed shelf (Read, 1985). Because ramps are relatively low-productivity systems, decreased carbonate production may lead to drowning (Burchette & Wright, 1992). Ramp geometries result from a relatively homogeneous accumulation rate along dip (Burchette & Wright, 1992; Read, 1998; Pomar, 2001a, b; Williams et al. 2011) which is due to decreased differentiation of depth-dependent production (Koerschner & Read, 1989; Wright & Faulkner, 1990; Burchette & Wright, 1992), or depth-enhanced carbonate production (Pomar, 2001a, b; Brandano & Corda, 2002; Pomar, Brandano & Westphal, 2004). Minimum capacity in infilling the shallow-water space, i.e. for building above the hydrodynamic equilibrium profile (ecological accommodation), is shown by homoclinal ramps, while physical accommodation prevails in distally steepened ramps (Pomar, 2001a, b; Pomar & Kendall, 2008). Palaeogeographical position and oceanographic regime (tides, storms, wave energy and oceanic currents) can be important for sediment transport by shaping sediment bodies and/or controlling resedimentation dynamics. Carbonate systems with high rates of offshore transport and relatively low production rates tend to drape the underlying topography and thus maintain low-gradient ramp profiles prevent-



Figure 5. (Colour online) Summary diagram of controlling factors on the development of the Triassic ramp depositional system (global and regional controls in blue-filled ovals, local controls in yellow-filled ovals).

ing formation of a steep platform margin (Williams *et al.* 2011).

Last but not least, the absence of metazoan and other framework-builders capable of building steep platform margins may favour the configuration and maintenance of ramp morphology (e.g. Wright & Faulkner, 1990; Burchette & Wright, 1992; Hips, 1998; Török, 1998; Beavington-Penney, Wright & Racey, 2005; Bakhtiar, Taheri & Vaziri-Moghaddam, 2011; Shao *et al.* 2011; Wilson *et al.* 2012; Kietzmann *et al.* 2014). Therefore, the development of carbonate ramps may be crucially influenced by biological evolution related to mass extinction, biota recovery and diversification. Such dependence was particularly well demonstrated during some time intervals of the Phanerozoic with predominant ramp development (Burchette & Wright, 1992).

In historical perspective, various aspects of coupled allogenic and autogenic controls on the carbonate ramp sedimentation were discussed in numerous case studies (e.g. Markello & Read, 1981; Aigner, 1985; Gawthorpe, 1986; Calvet, Tucker & Helton, 1990; Wright & Faulkner, 1990; Rüffer, 1995; Elrick, 1996; Pedley, 1998; Rosales, 1999; Pomar, 2001a; Brandano & Corda, 2002; Bádenas et al. 2003), and some recent researches have greatly improved our knowledge about Phanerozoic examples of different ages (Martin-Rojas et al. 2012; Pomar et al. 2012; Chow et al. 2013; Laya, Tucker & Perez-Huerta, 2013; Brigaud et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2014; Puga-Bernabéu et al. 2014). The most systematic and comprehensive reviews of the controlling factors on Triassic ramp systems from the Western Tethys realm were presented by Hips (1998) and Török (1998).

#### 4. Controls on the Triassic carbonate ramp system

# 4.a. Global and regional controls

The Triassic carbonate ramp of the Western Balkanides evolved under the influence of several controlling factors of global or regional significance: geotectonic setting, antecedent topography, climate and oceanographic regime, relative sea-level changes, lack of frame-builders, and carbonate production (Fig. 5). Their interplay favoured the onset of marine carbonate sedimentation, the configuration of a homoclinal ramp, long-term maintenance of the ramp morphology, and final demise of the carbonate depositional system.

#### 4.a.1. Geotectonic setting

A broad belt of carbonate platforms developed across the NW Tethys shelf area during the Triassic period (Marcoux et al. 1993; Michalík, 1994; Haas et al. 1995; Gaetani et al. 2000a; Golonka, 2007). The widespread formation of carbonate ramps has been proved on the basis of well-investigated outcrops in the Northern Calcareous Alps (Rüffer, 1995; Rüffer & Zamparelli, 1997), the Southern Alps (Senowbari-Daryan et al. 1993; Zühlke, 2000), the Western Carpathians (Michalík et al. 1992; Rychliński & Szulc, 2005), several regions of Hungary (Haas & Budai, 1995; Hips, 1998; Török, 1998), and other countries in Europe (see summary by Kovács et al. 2011). Tectonic regime of passive continental margin has been suggested for some areas and time intervals with ramp sedimentation (Rüffer & Zamparelli, 1997; Török, 1998; Mandl, 2000; Kovács et al. 2011; Haas, Budai & Raucsik, 2012).

During most of the Triassic period Bulgaria was part of the Tethyan passive continental margin of Eurasia (see Chatalov, 2013, and cited references). The regional tectonic regime strongly favoured the development of a gently sloping ramp environment (Read, 1982; Burchette & Wright, 1992; Bosence, 2005) because passive margin basins are considered to be the major site of carbonate platform sedimentation (Read, 1982; Tucker & Wright, 1990, p. 38; Einsele, 2000, p. 138). Passive continental margins facilitate ramp formation by having slow, relatively uniform subsidence rates, low-relief initial bathymetry for the carbonate to drape, and usually minimal influence of regional tectonics such as lack of large-scale fault influence (Williams et al. 2011). The absence of significant siliciclastic input from the low-relief hinterland during the early development of the passive margin further promotes widespread carbonate deposition (Burchette & Wright, 1992; Bosence, 2005). The particular geotectonic setting can also explain the relatively great thickness of accumulated Triassic carbonates (~500 m) because vertical accretion characterizes ramps formed on passive margins (Burchette & Wright, 1992). Moreover, the calculated average sedimentation rate for the ramp succession (Chatalov, 2013) is in conformity with the passive margin setting, showing that the carbonate sedimentation was able to keep up with the subsidence rate in order to maintain the ramp morphology. It is noteworthy that some tectonic activity related to an Early Triassic embryonic rifting in this part of the Western Tethys (see Chatalov, 2013, and cited references) probably conduced to the west/northwestward advancing transgression (Chemberski et al. 1996) along with the ramp initialization. Similarly, westward propagation of the Tethys rift system provided avenues for Triassic transgressions of the Tethys Sea onto Europe (Ziegler, 1988; Ziegler & Stampfli, 2001).

647

The regional influence of tectonics as a major control on the Late Triassic demise of the Bulgarian carbonate ramp is controversial. According to Zagorchev & Budurov (2007), intensive tectonic activity during the early Carnian subage promoted breakdown of the carbonate platform as a result of differential uplift. The latter was related to the early Cimmerian geodynamics, the so-called Eo-Cimmerian orogenic event, which occurred in adjacent Tethyan domains coevally with the final closure of the Paleotethys Ocean (Sengor, Yılmaz & Sungurlu, 1984). However, the timing of recognized early Cimmerian structures in the Western Balkanides has been unambiguously proved to postdate the marine carbonate deposition, being concomitant with the subsequent accumulation of continental red-bed siliciclastics during the Late Triassic (Tronkov, 1963). Therefore, while the hypothesis for some tectonic control on the early Carnian ramp demise cannot be ruled out (see Hornung & Brandner, 2005; Hornung et al. 2007; Hornung, Krystyn & Brandner, 2007; Martin-Rojas et al. 2012), there is evidence that another extrinsic factor was more important for the termination of marine carbonate sedimentation (Section 4.a.4 below).

#### 4.a.2. Antecedent topography

Towards the close of the Early Triassic epoch a low-gradient topography developed across the study area as a result of prolonged continental and subsequent marginal-marine sedimentation (Tronkov, 1966; Mader & Catalov, 1992a; Chemberski et al. 1996; Zagorchev & Dabovski, 2009). The general fining-upward trend in the Lower Triassic strata of the Petrohan Terrigenous Group and the overlying Svidol Formation (Fig. 3) reflects extensive formation of alluvial braidplain and floodplain systems (Mader & Catalov, 1992a) and later transition to a coastal marine sandy-muddy flat (Chatalov, Stefanov & Vetseva, 2015), thus implying advanced peneplanation of the palaeorelief. Such a pattern of antecedent topography is also inferred from the vast area of fluvial-dominated siliciclastic deposition during the Early Triassic epoch as is illustrated by proposed palaeogeographical reconstructions for Bulgaria (Vaptsarova, Chemberski & Chatalov, 1984; Chemberski et al. 1996; Zagorchev & Budurov, 1997) and Europe (Gaetani et al. 2000b). Other evidence comes from the widespread development of tidal flat environment across the ramp at the time of its initialization, i.e. Spathian subage, which is compatible with the absence of significant topographic relief (cf. Sumner & Beukes, 2006).

The flat basement inherited from the preceding depositional regime was an important regional control on the configuration of extensive ramp setting by allowing the accumulated carbonates to drape the low-gradient antecedent relief. Therefore, the gentle palaeotopography combined with the quiescent tectonic regime was a major prerequisite that favoured the formation of homoclinal ramp morphology. Because the upper Olenekian to middle Anisian peritidal



Figure 6. (Colour online) Correlation of selected stratigraphic–lithologic logs along a NW–SE transect across the Western Balkanides. The decreasing total thickness of the upper Olenekian – lower Anisian peritidal succession (Mogilata Fm) in NW direction and the early Anisian age of the basal marine strata (Edivetar Fm) in the Belogradchik region are explained by Tethyan transgression advancing from the east/southeast (see also Fig. 1). Some characteristics of the pre-ramp basement and overlying subtidal deposits in sections 1 and 2 suggest local control of the antecedent topography at the time of ramp initialization. Note: thicknesses of the Petrohan Terrigenous Group are not to scale.

succession (Opletnya Mb of Mogilata Fm) deposited during the early stage of ramp retrogradation has maximum and persistent thickness in the southern part of the Western Balkanides (Figs 1, 3), it can be presumed that greatly variable topographic gradients (highs and/or depressions) did not influence the gross carbonate stratal geometry. However, in the rest exposures to the northwest the same peritidal deposits display progressively smaller total thicknesses (Fig. 6) and fewer peritidal cycles (see also Section 4.b.2 below). Furthermore, the basal marine strata in the northwesternmost part of the study area have early Anisian age (Tronkov, 1973, 1976; Muttoni et al. 2000). These facts can be explained with a Tethyan transgression advancing from the east/southeast direction (Section 4.a.4 below) towards the end of the Olenekian age and at the beginning of the Anisian age.

#### 4.a.3. Climate and oceanographic regime

The onset of the Mesozoic era was marked by extreme 'hothouse' conditions that existed during the Early Triassic epoch while mainly greenhouse conditions persisted until the Middle Jurassic epoch (Holz, 2015). The Bulgarian carbonate ramp was located in the subtropical belt (20–30° N) of the NW Tethys, and semi-arid to arid climate prevailed in those palaeolatitudes during most of the Triassic period (Sellwood & Valdes, 2006; Feist-Burkhardt *et al.* 2008; Preto, Kustatscher & Wignall, 2010; Franz *et al.* 2015). Triassic case studies from the Western Tethys realm based on different proxies also attest to such a climatic regime which was presumably interrupted by short humid pulses (e.g. Hips, 1998; Török, 1998; Rychliński & Szulc, 2005; Stefani, Furin & Gianolla, 2010; Haas, Budai & Raucsik, 2012).



Figure 7. (Colour online) Compositional and textural rock characteristics attesting to deposition in the non-equatorial tropics under warm, dry climate. (a) Dasycladacean alga (i.e. Photozoan association). Toshkovdol Fm, section 4. (b) Calcified *Girvanella* filaments forming hemispheroid of microstromatolite. Mogilata Fm, section 17. (c) Well-preserved peloids in peloidal packstone. Toshkovdol Fm, section 2. (d) Aggregate grain (lump) consisting of peloids and micritized ooids. Toshkovdol Fm, section 4. (e) Dolomite pseudomorphs after rosettes of calcium sulphate in peritidal dolomudstone. Mogilata Fm, section 17. (f) Large grapestone intraclast representing cluster of bound peloids. Toshkovdol Fm, section 4. (g) Acicular marine cement filling the whole pore space in ooidal grainstone. Growth competition between cement fringes on adjacent ooids produced polygonal sutures and hourglass forms (arrows). Toshkovdol Fm, section 1. (h) Originally aragonitic ooids with locally developed brickwork fabrics (arrow). Mogilata Fm, section 19. (i) Ooidal–peloidal grainstone showing different ooid primary mineralogies. The radial ooids were originally calcitic (C) while the shrunken ooids were both aragonitic (A) and bimineralic (B). Toshkovdol Fm, section 2. (j) Bimineralic ooid with inner brickwork layers (originally aragonitic) and outer micritic/microsparitic layers (originally calcitic). Mogilata Fm, section 16. Scale bar in all microphotographs is 0.3 mm across. Note: the Toshkovdol Fm from sections 1, 2 and 4 is a non-dolomitized chronostratigraphic and facies equivalent of the Milanovo Fm (see Fig. 10).

Sedimentological analysis of the Triassic marine succession in the Western Balkanides unequivocally indicates warm, dry climatic conditions during the ramp development. The most important evidence includes the presence of penecontemporaneous dolomites with desiccation cracks, tepee structures, flat-pebble layers, fenestral fabrics, spar-filled former sulphate nodules and pseudomorphs after evaporites (Figs 7e, 12a, b). Moreover, the low siliciclastic input accompanying the carbonate deposition (see lithology in Figs 3, 4) implies pronounced aridity of the hinterland. Other textural and compositional characteristics of the rocks (Fig. 10, further below) are typical for warm-water tropical carbonates deposited in the non-equatorial (see Wilson, 2012) tropics: fossil assemblage with elements of the Photozoan asociation, e.g. green algae (Fig. 7a) and rare scleractinian corals; cyanobacteria (Fig. 7b) and microbial laminites (Fig. 12b); non-skeletal grain association dominated by ooids and well-preserved peloids (Fig. 7c, i) plus other coated grains (oncoids, cortoids) and various types of aggregate grains (Fig. 7d, f); abundant lime mud and marine phreatic cements (Fig. 7g); aragonite and high-Mg calcite primary mineralogies of abiotic carbonates (Fig. 7h-j). The overall warm and dry climatic regime favoured the shallow water carbonate sedimentation and this relationship is well illustrated by the global latitudinal distribution of carbonate platforms in Triassic times (Kiessling, Flügel & Golonka, 2003; Philip, 2003; Golonka, 2007).

The windward palaeoceanographic position of the ramp was favourable for the influence of subtropical storms (Fig. 2) which considerably affected the style of carbonate deposition (Chatalov & Vangelov, 2001; Chatalov, 2010, 2013). The recognized Pelsonian proximal and distal tempestites (Fig. 10, further below) were formerly interpreted as products of common winter storms and occasional hurricanes on the basis of the assumed palaeogeographic position in the belt of 25-45° N (Chatalov & Vangelov, 2001), i.e. according to the palaeolatitudinal zonation of Marsaglia & Klein (1983). However, the palaeomagnetic data published by Muttoni *et al.* (2000) indicate a position in the belt of 5–25° N, and therefore only occasional winter storms (one or two per year) and hurricanes (once in 3000 years) can be predicted (Marsaglia & Klein, 1983). The oceanographic regime of storm influence was important for sediment redistribution across the ramp by favouring offshore transport towards deep ramp areas through storm-generated bottom currents. This conclusion is based on the following observations (cf. Aurell et al. 1998; Török, 1998; Pérez-López & Pérez-Valera, 2012; Kietzmann et al. 2014): (1) large volume of storm deposits and particularly thin-bedded distal tempestites (Fig. 8a, b), (2) coarse-grained character of the storm layers compared to the associated fair-weather lithofacies, i.e. calcimudstones and bioclastic wackestones, (3) presence of shallow water grains such as ooids, ooidal intraclasts (Fig. 8c) and reworked oncoids (Fig. 8d) in the proximal tempestites, (4) variable amount of resedimented bioclasts from shallow to deep ramp areas, for example, reworked crinoid ossicles (see Chatalov, 2013) or gastropod shells with darker micrite infill than the surrounding matrix (Fig. 8e), and (5) presence of terrigenous quartz silt and mica flakes in the distal tempestites (Fig. 8f). The inferred increased rates of offshore transport with probably significant amounts of lime mud promoted maintenance of a lowgradient ramp profile by draping the flat palaeotopography and preventing the basin-scale development of steep platform margin. The interpretation of substantial storm influence is in conformity with numerous studies on Triassic ramp carbonates from other localities in the Western Tethys shelf area and the Peri-Tethyan Germanic Basin (Aigner, 1985; Michalík et al. 1992; Hips, 1998; Török, 1998; Rychliński & Szulc, 2005; Knaust & Costamagna, 2012; Pérez-López & Pérez-Valera, 2012).

The uppermost part of the ramp succession consists of lower Carnian peritidal strata (Rusinovdel Fm) which are covered by Upper Triassic continental red beds (Moesian Group) (Fig. 3). The abrupt lithological and facies contact between the two units (Fig. 9a) implies that the Late Triassic demise of the shallow ramp system may have been caused by intensive siliciclastic input. Temporary shutdown or termination of carbonate platforms across the Western Tethys shelf occurred in the late Julian subage along with reduced carbonate productivity, increased nutrient supply, reef crisis, biotic turnover, freshwater influx and enhanced siliciclastic deposition (Simms, Ruffell & Johnson, 1995; Hornung & Brandner, 2005; Keim, Spötl & Brandner, 2006; Sýkora, Siblík & Soták, 2011; Escudero-Mozo et al. 2014). The latter was also recorded in adjacent marginal marine, deep marine and continental environments (Rigo et al. 2007; Kozur & Bachmann, 2010; Roghi et al. 2010; Haas, Budai & Raucsik, 2012) and was controlled by a humid climate perturbation known as the 'Carnian Pluvial Episode' or under other names (Ruffell, Simms & Wignall, 2015, and references therein). The middle Carnian decline or demise of marine carbonate systems has been commonly explained by enhanced weathering, development of large fluvial systems and significant siliciclastic input which suffocated the carbonate factory (Hornung & Brandner, 2005; Hornung, Krystyn & Brandner, 2007; Gattolin et al. 2015) and led to the so-called 'Carnian Crisis' (Hornung et al. 2007). While some researchers claim that the Carnian Pluvial Episode had only regional character, there is growing evidence for its global nature that affected vast areas of the northern hemisphere spanning from tropical to high latitudes (e.g. Hornung, Krystyn & Brandner, 2007; Arche & López-Gómez, 2014; Nakada et al. 2014; Ruffell, Simms & Wignall, 2015). Consequently, fundamental impact of the middle Carnian humid climate phase/episode on the demise of the Bulgarian carbonate ramp is a possible scenario considering its Tethyan-wide manifestation and effects. Moreover, the base of the Moesian Group in most outcrops comprises quartz arenites (Fig. 9a) having





Figure 8. (Colour online) Anisian storm deposits in the Zimevitsa Mb of Babina Fm. (a) Thick bed of proximal tempestite having 'matrix' of monomict pebbles derived from fair-weather calcimudstones and containing outsized clasts (arrows) cannibalized from another tempestite. Outcrop between sections 16 and 17. Scale: hammer is 33 cm long. (b) Thin-bedded alternation of fair-weather nodular calcimudstones and coarse-grained distal tempestites (T) with locally developed gutter casts (arrows). Section 17. Scale: marker pen (encircled) is 13.5 cm long. (c) Redeposited radial ooids and ooidal intraclasts in proximal tempestite (sh – spar-filled shelter pore). Section 17. (d) Reworked oncoid in proximal tempestite. Section 16. (e) Gastropod shells with darker micrite infill than the surrounding matrix in proximal tempestite. Section 7. (f) Silt-sized quartz grains (green arrows) and mica flakes (red arrows) in distal tempestite. Section 17. Scale bar in all microphotographs is 0.3 mm across.

thickness up to several metres, and this compositional type of sandstones may record extreme conditions of formation including warm and humid climate (Suttner, Basu & Mack, 1981; Avigad *et al.* 2005; Van de Kamp, 2010; Garzanti *et al.* 2013). Preliminary micropetrographic observations (Chatalov, unpub. data)

have shown that these rocks greatly resemble firstcycle quartz arenites (i.e. with high compositional but low textural maturity), and hence, their formation may have been related to increased humidity, i.e. the Carnian Pluvial Episode. Nevertheless, other sedimentological evidence reveals the prime importance of a different



Figure 9. (Colour online) Subaerial unconformity between lower Carnian marine strata (Iskar Carbonate Group) and Upper Triassic continental deposits (Moesian Group). (a) Karstified exposure surface developed on peritidal dolomudstones (dm) and covered by quartz arenites (qa). The latter have high compositional but low textural maturity (Chatalov, unpub. data) and their deposition was probably related to the effect of the 'Carnian Pluvial Episode'. Section 7. (b) Paleodoline (palaeosinkhole) with siliciclastic infill (S) reflecting formation of epikarst morphology on the carbonate substrate (C) before the onset of continental sedimentation. Outcrop north of section 16.

extrinsic control that caused termination of the marine carbonate sedimentation (Section 4.a.4 below).

### 4.a.4. Relative sea-level changes

The slow continuous subsidence, low-gradient antecedent topography and stable climatic conditions imply that relative sea-level changes were a major control on the evolution of the carbonate ramp system. The time of ramp initialization and subsequent deepening (Fig. 3) corresponds to the global Olenekian to Anisian sea-level rise (Haq, Hardenbol & Vail, 1987) and this eustatic signal is well documented across the Western Tethys realm where extensive shallow shelves were flooded (Gianolla & Jaquin, 1998; Gaetani et al. 2000a; Kiessling, Flügel & Golonka, 2003; Golonka, 2007). The aforesaid transgression in the west/northwest direction (Fig. 1) is also manifested on a wider regional scale as the Tethys waters advanced from the east/southeast (Chemberski et al. 1996; Gaetani et al. 2000a, b; Feist-Burkhardt et al. 2008; Bourquin et al. 2011). Similarly to other Triassic ramps (e.g. Calvet, Tucker & Helton, 1990; Aigner & Bachmann, 1992; Hips, 1998; Török, 1998; Rychliński & Szulc, 2005; Escudero-Mozo et al. 2014), the Bulgarian carbonate ramp was a low-relief system which deepened gradually as a response to long-term sea-level rise. From the Pelsonian subage onwards the regressive character of carbonate sedimentation, i.e. progradational depositional trend (Fig. 3), reflects a relative sea-level fall, and therefore the entire ramp succession suggests a close relationship to a second-order T-R eustatic cycle (cf. Aigner & Bachmann, 1992; Török, 1998).

The above-mentioned abrupt lithological and facies contact between Upper Triassic peritidal dolostones and overlying continental siliciclastics represents a sharp discontinuity surface (Clari, Della Pierre & Martire, 1995; Hillgärtner, 1998; Sattler *et al.* 2005). To be

more precise, it is interpreted as an exposure surface showing in section view depressions with maximum amplitude of several decimetres, steep or gentle sides, and subplanar to uneven bases (Fig. 9a). In plan view, distinct palaeodolines (palaeosinkholes) having depths to about 1 m and siliciclastic infill are recognized in some outcrops (Fig. 9b). These dissolution features reflect formation of minor epikarst morphology on the carbonate substrate, and therefore, imply moderate subaerial exposure with development of near-surface karst processes (Esteban & Klappa, 1983). The fine-grained parent material of the subaerially exposed peritidal deposits probably facilitated early consolidation while the low topographic relief of the exposure surface provided favourable conditions for karst formation (cf. Chow & Wendte, 2011). The explicit evidence for long-term regression since the Pelsonian subage and termination of the carbonate production in the Julian subage suggests that a relative sea-level fall caused subaerial emergence of the carbonate seafloor and consequent demise of the ramp. Moreover, the development of palaeokarst features indicates that the presumably climate-related initial continental deposition (Section 4.a.3 above) was not a direct result of progradation of siliciclastic systems under normal regressive conditions but postdated the shutdown of the carbonate factory after some period of subaerial exposure and non-deposition. The relatively poor development of karst morphology may have been controlled by short duration of the emergence and/or semi-arid climate conditions. A sea-level fall in the late Julian subage has been inferred from other studies of Western Tethys and Peri-Tethyan carbonate successions, for example, in the Northern Calcareous Alps (Mandl, 2000; Haas et al. 2010), Dolomites (De Zanche et al. 1993; Keim, Spötl & Brandner, 2006), Iberia (Arche & López-Gómez, 2014), Balearic Islands (Escudero-Mozo et al. 2014), Balaton Highland (Nagy, 1999) and Central European Basin

(Kozur & Bachmann, 2010). According to Arche & López-Gómez (2014) the change from marine sedimentation during the early Carnian subage to continental sedimentation during the middle Carnian in many European basins can only be explained by a rapid sealevel drop coeval with the short-lived pluvial event. In Minorca Island, this sea-level fall resulted in emersion, karstification and erosion of a carbonate ramp, and its eustatic origin is further suggested by the widespread demise of carbonate systems across the Western Tethys and the Germanic Basin (Escudero-Mozo et al. 2014). A recent hypothesis by Berra (2012) postulated that global cooling in the early Carnian subage caused a shift of the poleward boundary of the arid belt toward the equator and triggered a sea-level fall by increased storage of fresh water in continental settings and change in seawater density.

The influence of relative sea-level changes on the formation of third-order sedimentary cycles in the Bulgarian carbonate ramp is debatable. For example, five mesocycles of 'allogenic origin', corresponding to the third-order cycles (0.5-3 Ma) of Vail et al. (1991) and to the 'large-scale sequences' of Strasser et al. (1999), have been distinguished in the Olenekian-Anisian peritidal succession, i.e. Opletnya Mb of Mogilata Fm (Fig. 3), by Ajdanlijski, Strasser & Tronkov (2004). Each mesocycle consists of four submesocycles which in turn include four to five elementary cycles. According to Ajdanlijski, Strasser & Tronkov (2004), the elementary cycles have different thicknesses and are dominated by different lithofacies (allochemic limestones, micritic limestones and dolostones) in the lower, middle and upper part of each mesocycle, respectively. In general, third-order sequences are depositional cycles bounded by exposure surfaces and consist of lowstand, transgressive and highstand systems tracts (Hag, Hardenbol & Vail, 1987; Vail et al. 1991). While their origin has been related to both climatic and tectonic influences (Vail et al. 1991; Strasser et al. 2000), orbitally forced climate changes have recently been demonstrated to be the main control via glacioeustasy, thermoeustasy and/or other processes (Boulila et al. 2011). New field observations in the study area (Section 4.b.2 below) have shown that the individual thicknesses, intracycle lithofacies distribution and stacking patterns of the elementary cycles (sensu Ajdanlijski, Strasser & Tronkov, 2004) do not outline any particular trend upsection (Fig. 13, further below), and hence these cycles cannot be grouped into third-order depositional sequences (cf. Tucker & Garland, 2010; Zhang et al. 2015). Furthermore, boundary surfaces indicated by long-term subaerial exposure (i.e. karstification, pedogenesis, brecciation, black pebbles) have not been recognized as separating the presumed mesocycles. To sum up, eustatically controlled third-order depositional sequences like those known from other Triassic Western Tethys and Peri-Tethys basins (Calvet, Tucker & Helton, 1990; Aigner & Bachmann, 1992; Rüffer, 1995; Gianolla & Jaquin, 1998; Haas & Budai, 1999; Szulc, 2000; Török, 2000; Zühlke, 2000;

Jaglarz & Szulc, 2003; Götz & Török, 2008) cannot be detected convincingly in the whole marine part of the second-order T-R stratigraphic cycle from the Western Balkanides.

#### 4.a.5. Lack of frame-builders

The general absence of frame-building taxa in the Triassic ramp setting (Section 4.a.6 below) promoted production of mainly loose carbonate deposits including skeletal components (Fig. 10) not organically bound during deposition, i.e. easily prone to remobilization and transport (cf. Török, 1998; Beavington-Penney, Wright & Racey, 2005; Pérez-López & Pérez-Valera, 2012; Bassi et al. 2013). Some in situ or redeposited concentrations of crinoids, bivalves, brachiopods and dasycladaceans (Chatalov, 2002, 2010, 2013) suggest formation of small isolated skeletal banks but not reefs proper. Therefore, microbial- or metazoan-dominated mounds and reefs such as those known from other Triassic carbonate ramps of the Western Tethys realm (Senowbari-Daryan et al. 1993; Calvet & Tucker, 1995; Hips, 2007; Mercedes-Martín, Arenas & Salas, 2014) were not constructed.

The absence of metazoan frame-builders is an important control on maintaining the ramp morphology, and therefore the development of carbonate ramps may be crucially influenced by biological evolution. The delayed post-Permian recovery of carbonate-producing organisms in marine systems until the Middle Triassic epoch (Chen & Benton, 2012) was a consequence of several extrinsic (i.e. physical environment) and/or intrinsic (i.e. ecosystem dynamics) processes (Chen & Benton, 2012; Dineen, Fraiser & Sheehan, 2014; Woods, 2014; Wei et al. 2015, and references therein). This recovery was characterized by slow reestablishment of metazoan frame-building communities in Anisian time while further diversification and proliferation occurred during the Ladinian age and especially during the Late Triassic epoch (Stanley, 1988; Flügel, 2002; Bernecker, 2005; Pruss & Bottjer, 2005; Kiessling, 2010). Consequently, the global lacking or reduced activity of metazoan reef-builders was a major positive factor for the development of Early Triassic and early Middle Triassic carbonate ramps worldwide (Burchette & Wright, 1992), and in particular across the Western Tethys shelves (Calvet, Tucker & Helton, 1990; Michalík et al. 1992; Senowbari-Daryan et al. 1993; Rüffer & Zamparelli, 1997; Hips, 1998; Török, 1998; Zühlke, 2000; Rychliński & Szulc, 2005; Feist-Burkhardt et al. 2008).

It is clear, however, that biological evolution alone cannot explain the absence of frame-builders in this particular case, i.e. from the late Olenekian subage to the early Carnian subage. Thus, unfavourable environmental conditions must also have hampered the development of reef communities and formation of build-ups (cf. Török, 1998), which was conducive to the maintenance of ramp morphology. The overall paucity or lack of metazoan and other reef builders that reappeared

STRATIGRAPHY		LITHOLOGY		TEXTURAL COMPONENTS AND SPECIFIC FEATURES	DEPOSITIONAL ENVIRONMENT
Carnian	Rusinovdel Fm			r	inner ramp (peritidal)
Ladinian	Milanovo Fm (Toshkovdol Fm)			l laminites	inner ramp (shoal, near-shoal)
	Zgorigrad Mb Babina Fm 👜 Zimevitsa Mb			ooids intraclasts marine cements - olomitic microbial tempes	mid-ramp (fair-weather) outer ramp (storm-influenced) mid-ramp (storm-influenced)
Anisian	Lakatnik Mb				inner ramp (shoal, near-shoal)
Olenekian	Mogilata Fm Opletnya Mb			peritidal cycles	inner ramp (peritidal)
	Svidol Fm		pin		coastal marine flat

Figure 10. (Colour online) Vertical occurrence of some skeletal and non-skeletal carbonate components plus other specific features in the ramp succession. Symbols: thick line – abundant; thin line – common; dashed line – rare; TB – Terebratula Beds. Note: Dasycladaceans occur only in the Toshkovdol Fm (see Fig. 7).

globally during the Anisian age (sponges, bryozoans, corals, *Tubiphytes*, red algae) was most likely controlled by the abundant production of lime mud (i.e. soft muddy substrate) and high-stress environment (e.g. variable salinity and oxygenation, intense storm activity) that were not favourable for massive colonization and construction of build-ups (Chatalov, 2013).

## 4.a.6. Carbonate production

Lime mud was a volumetrically significant component of the ramp depositional system (Fig. 10). Mud production was most abundant in the peritidal environments (tidal flats, lagoons) and mid-ramp to outer ramp areas (Chatalov, 2000b, 2013; Chatalov & Vangelov, 2001). Although physicochemical precipitation was a presumably important mechanism at least during the late Olenekian subage (Chatalov, 2007), other possible sources include mechanical breakdown of skeletal grains, bioerosion, disintegration of algal skeletons, and biologically induced or controlled precipitation (Flügel, 2004, p. 81; Gischler et al. 2013, and references therein). Among these, the contribution of mud producers such as decaying calcareous algae, commonly cited in the literature, must have been quite limited on account of their paucity in the ramp setting (Chatalov, 2007). Lime mud was a major textural constituent of Tethyan carbonate platforms during the Triassic period (Kiessling, Flügel & Golonka, 2003), and in particular of carbonate ramps developed across the Western Tethys and in Peri-Tethyan basins (e.g. Aigner, 1985; Calvet, Tucker & Helton, 1990; Michalík et al. 1992; Rüffer & Zamparelli, 1997; Török, 1998; Borkhataria, Aigner & Pipping, 2006; Pérez-Valera & Pérez-López, 2008; Knaust & Costamagna, 2012). According to Pomar & Hallock (2008), the origin of lime mud in the

Triassic epicontinental seas could be related to increased alkalinity of seawater induced by enhanced photosynthesis of phytoplankton and photosynthetic bacteria in the neritic zone, the so-called neritic limemud factory. The unusual seawater chemistry, e.g. higher levels of calcium carbonate supersaturation, and the decreased role of organisms during the Early Triassic epoch may have been major controls on the massive precipitation of fine-grained carbonate in the water column (Payne *et al.* 2006; Woods, 2014).

The high-energy inner ramp environments were dominated by formation of ooids and intraclasts, while peloids, aggregate grains and mainly Girvanella oncoids were generated in inner to mid-ramp areas with low to moderate hydrodynamics (Chatalov, 2000b, 2002, 2013). Ooids showing diverse morphology and cortical fabrics were restricted to fringing and barrier shoal facies (Fig. 10; Chatalov, 2002, 2005b), and waveagitated sectors of the shoal complexes were characterized by intensive precipitation of marine phreatic cements (Chatalov, 2000a). Detailed studies on the primary mineralogy of ooids and micrites have outlined an irreversible transition from aragonite-dominated precursors in the late Olenekian - middle Anisian interval to calcite-dominated precursors in the late Anisian - early Carnian interval (Chatalov, 2005a, b, 2007). This temporal shift during the time of a global 'aragonite sea' (Sandberg, 1983; Stanley & Hardie, 1998) was probably controlled by the major-ion chemistry of seawater, i.e. progressive decrease of the Mg/Ca ratio during that interval of the Triassic period when the ramp carbonates were formed (e.g. Stanley & Hardie, 1998; Demicco et al. 2005). It is noteworthy, however, that the recognized mineralogical change of abiotic precipitation did not influence the source, type and spatial distribution of non-skeletal carbonate in the ramp depositional system.

The main living carbonate producers during the ramp evolution comprise bivalves, crinoids, brachiopods, gastropods and foraminifers, and to lesser extent ostracods, cyanobacteria, green algae (dasycladaceans) and echinoids, while red algae (solenoporaceans), ammonoids, bryozoans and scleractinian corals show very limited occcurrence (Tronkov, 1968a, 1969, 1973, 1976; Benatov et al. 1999; Chatalov, 2000b, 2002, 2010, 2013; Benatov, 2001). The vertical distribution of biota in the Triassic ramp succession (Fig. 10) largely resulted from environmental controls (temperature, salinity, light, oxygenation, bathymetry, substrate, water circulation) and was closely related to the deposition of particular facies. For example, the most fossil-rich strata (Babina Fm) were deposited in mid-ramp to outer ramp settings characterized by low to moderate hydrodynamics, dominantly oxygenated bottom regime, well-illuminated water, normal salinity and soft lime mud substrate (Chatalov & Vangelov, 2001; Chatalov, 2010, 2013). Contrariwise, the poor and lowdiversity fossil assemblage in the peritidal strata (Svidol Fm, Opletnya Mb of Mogilata Fm, Rusinovdel Fm) reflects unfavourable environmental conditions including increased salinity, elevated temperature and episodic subaerial exposure (Chatalov, 2000b) as the intertidal/supratidal deposits were exclusively dominated by cyanobacteria, i.e. forming dolomitized microbial laminites. The inner ramp shoal settings (Lakatnik Mb of Mogilata Fm, Milanovo Fm, Toshkovdol Fm) were typified by low amounts of high-diversity biota due to intensive hydrodynamics at the seafloor and the mobile character of the shoals (Chatalov, 2002, 2010). In particular, dasycladaceans flourished only locally in protected, near-shoal areas where ooid formation was not favoured (Toshkovdol Fm). However, apart from the regional environmental controls the temporal distribution of fauna and flora in the ramp system was apparently related to global biotic effects. Thus, the vertical occurrence of skeletal components indicates the crucial influence of biological evolution, i.e. different modes of recovery of organism groups after the end-Permian mass extinction (Aguirre & Riding, 2005; Chen, Kaiho & George, 2005; Nützel, 2005; Twitchett & Oji, 2005; Posenato, 2008; Payne et al. 2011; Song et al. 2011; Chen & Benton, 2012; Crasquin & Forel, 2013; Dineen, Fraiser & Sheehan, 2014, among many others). For example, representatives of some clades appear in the upper Olenekian strata (bivalves, gastropods, crinoids, foraminifers, ostracods and very rare ammonoids), which is compatible with their progressive Early Triassic recovery, especially during the Spathian subage (Chen & Benton, 2012; Wei et al. 2015). Among them bivalves are the most abundant fossils because bivalves were the dominant shelled marine invertebrates in benthic communities during the Early Triassic epoch (Fraiser & Bottjer, 2007). In comparison, dasycladacean algae reappeared in the Anisian age, reaching highest diversity during the Ladinian age (Aguirre & Riding, 2005), and in the studied succession green algae occur exclusively in the near-shoal facies deposited around the Anisian–Ladinian boundary (Chatalov, 2002). The lack of brachiopod fauna in Lower Triassic strata of the Western Balkandies conforms to the extreme rarity of Early Triassic brachiopods in the Western Tethys realm (e.g. Chen, Kaiho & George, 2005; Posenato, Holmer & Prinoth, 2014) despite their accelerated recovery and global dispersal during the Olenekian age (Chen, Kaiho & George, 2005; Chen et al. 2015). Therefore, the abundance of diverse brachiopods in the Middle Triassic ramp deposits (Tronkov, 1973; Benatov et al. 1999; Benatov, 2001) reflects their global radiation during the Anisian age (Chen, Kaiho & George, 2005) including the Western Tethys (e.g. Torti & Angiolini, 1997; Pálfy, 2003).

The widespread global occurrence of so-called 'anachronistic facies' in Lower Triassic carbonate strata (e.g. Deng *et al.* 2015) is generally explained by specific environmental conditions and biotic controls after the end-Permian mass extinction as well as uniformitarian sedimentologic factors (Woods, 2014). Such deposits occur in the Olenekian part of Mogilata Fm (Fig. 10) including vermicular limestones, microbially coated grains (see Woods, 2013), subtidal mud-chip

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756816000923 Published online by Cambridge University Press



Figure 11. (Colour online) 'Anachronistic facies' in the upper Olenekian strata of Mogilata Fm (Opletnya Mb). (a) Vermicular limestone. Section 5. (b) Concentric and homogeneous micrite ooids interpreted to have formed from microbially mediated precipitation of fine-grained CaCO<sub>3</sub> (see Woods, 2013). Section 17. Scale bar is 0.3 mm across. (c) Subtidal flat-pebble conglomerate (fpc) grading into mud-chip facies (mcf). Outcrop between sections 16 and 17.

facies (*sensu* Pruss, Corsetti & Bottjer, 2005) and flatpebble conglomerates (Fig. 11a, b, c). According to Woods (2013, 2014), the unusual Early Triassic seawater chemistry, enhanced primary productivity, warm climate and limited development of benthic communities favoured the abiotic and microbial precipitation of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, rapid lithification on the seafloor, and decrease in bioturbation depth and intensity.

### 4.b. Local controls

The Triassic carbonate ramp system was also influenced by local factors (Fig. 5), i.e. manifested in some areas of the ramp during different stages of its evolution. Thus, locally elevated palaeorelief affected the ramp initialization while autogenic processes largely controlled the formation of peritidal cyclicity during the early stage of ramp retrogradation. Moreover, syndepositional tectonics was an important control on a local scale during the late stage of ramp progradation.

#### 4.b.1. Antecedent topography

The basal carbonate deposits in exposures from the northwesternmost part of the study area, i.e. Belograd-

chik region (Fig. 1), are lithostratigraphically referred to as Edivetar Fm (Fig. 6). This unit has relatively small thickness ( $\sim 18$  m) and consists of predominant sandy bioclastic limestones and subordinate calcareous sandstones having early Anisian age (Tronkov, 1973, 1976; Muttoni et al. 2000). As mentioned above, the younger age of these lowermost marine strata in the Triassic succession can be explained by advancing Tethyan transgression from the east/southeast (Section 4.a.4 above). During the Early Triassic epoch the Belogradchik region was a dryland (Tronkov, 1968b) characterized by fluvial gravel-dominated deposition in arid to semiarid climate (Mader & Čatalov, 1992b). Several lines of evidence indicate additional local control of the antecedent topography at the time of ramp initialization. For example, the direct development of shallow subtidal setting with normal marine salinity and predominantly high-energy conditions (Chatalov, 2010) is in contrast to the rest ramp areas where an extensive, low-energy carbonate tidal flat with elevated salinity of seawater (Chatalov, 2000b) was formed diachronously. The accumulation of relatively thin inner ramp deposits, i.e. Edivetar Fm, and the lack of marginal-marine facies both suggest rapid transgression across an uneven

palaeorelief. The local influence of a remnant dryland, and hence, the existence of rugged antecedent topography, is likewise inferred from the intensive supply of immature siliciclastics concurrently with the initial carbonate deposition (Chatalov, 2010). Also, great variations in the thicknesses (30–300 m) and the grain size (sandstones v. breccia-conglomerates) of the Lower Triassic strata, i.e. Petrohan Terrigenous Group, forming the pre-ramp basement in close localities of the Belogradchik region (sections 1 and 2 in Fig. 6), imply lack of advanced peneplenation at the onset of the Middle Triassic epoch.

#### 4.b.2. Autocyclic processes

The aforementioned elementary cycles distinguished by Ajdanlijski, Strasser & Tronkov (2004) approximately correspond to the peritidal cycles described by Chatalov (2000b). These metre-scale asymmetric cycles in the Olenekian part of Opletnya Mb (Fig. 10) show an invariably shallowing-upward trend, i.e. transition from subtidal to intertidal (Fig. 12a) and locally supratidal facies (Chatalov, 2000b). The subtidal facies include decimetre-thick, commonly cross-bedded basal lags of intraclastic, ooidal or bioclastic grainstones, packstones or rudstones, and overlying metrethick bedsets of mostly laminated calcimudstones and bioclastic wackestones. The intertidal/supratidal facies is represented by massive or laminated dolomudstones and microbial dolobindstones with desiccation cracks, tepee structures, bird's-eye fenestrae and spar-filled nodules (Fig. 12b). Dolomitic flat-pebble conglomerates (dolorudstones) occur in the topmost part of only a few cycles and probably indicate formation in a supratidal setting. The transgressive basal lags have an erosional lower bed surface and commonly contain intraclasts derived from penecontemporaneously dolomitized intertidal/supratidal facies of the underlying cycle (Fig. 12c). The cycle boundaries correspond to subplanar discontinuity surfaces produced by erosion related to marine flooding (i.e. Bádenas, Aurell & Bosence, 2010). Thicknesses of the individual peritidal cycles vary widely from 0.90 m to 8.30 m.

The formation of small-scale shallowing-upward sequences in peritidal settings can result from allocyclic (allogenic) and/or autocyclic (autogenic) processes (Strasser, 1991; Tresch & Strasser, 2011). Allocyclic controls are independent of the depositional environment and include orbitally driven eustatic sealevel fluctuations (Goldhammer, Dunn & Hardie, 1987; Strasser et al. 1999; Fischer et al. 2004), or repeated synsedimentary fault movements (Cisne, 1986; Bosence et al. 2009; De Benedictis, Bosence & Waltham, 2007), that generate changes in the accommodation space for the cycles to form. Autocyclic processes operating within the sedimentary basin involve progradation and aggradation of sedimentary bodies (tidal flats, shoals, delta lobes), or lateral migration of tidal channels (Ginsburg, 1971; Satterley, 1996; Burgess, 2006; Pratt, 2010), without being related to extrinsic factors such as tectonics and eustatic sea-level changes. The relative influence of allocyclic and autocyclic processes is difficult to estimate as they commonly interact (Strasser, 1991; Lehrmann & Goldhammer, 1999; Burgess, 2006; Bosence *et al.* 2009; Tucker & Garland, 2010; Tresch & Strasser, 2011; Hill *et al.* 2012; Laya, Tucker & Perez-Huerta, 2013).

The origin of the metre-scale cyclicity can best be studied in the southern part of the Western Balkanides (Fig. 1) where the well-exposed Olenekian–Anisian peritidal succession shows maximum and persistent thickness (Figs 3, 4, 6). Field data collected from eight sections of Opletnya Mb allow tracing of the cycle numbers, boundaries, thicknesses and stacking patterns as well as the intracycle facies distributions and lateral facies heterogeneity (Fig. 13). A tectonic origin of the peritidal cycles should be considered unlikely given the regional setting of the passive continental margin, the lack of evidence for repeated fault movements, the relatively large area with cyclic peritidal sedimentation, and the absence of cycle-type variability and great thickness variations of the studied sections (e.g. Bosence et al. 2009; Bádenas, Aurell & Bosence, 2010; Spalluto, 2012; Amodio, Ferreri & D'Argenio, 2013; Olivier et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2015). Although the influence of low-amplitude, high-frequency eustatic oscillations during the Olenekian cannot be ruled out (see Lehrmann *et al.* 2001), there are clear implications for dominant autocyclic control on the formation of the peritidal cycles (assuming constant subsidence rate). Most important is the lack of evidence for subaerial exposure, erosion or vadose diagenesis in the subtidal facies (i.e. reflecting sea-level fall), which suggests that eustatic sea-level fluctuations played a minor role in the development of peritidal cyclicity (Strasser, 1991; Lehrmann & Goldhammer, 1999; Burgess, 2006). In contrast, short-lived subaerial exposure is indicated only by the intertidal and supratidal facies forming the cycle tops which are bounded by the flooding surface of the next cycle. Moreover, the lack of supratidal facies directly superimposed on subtidal facies, i.e. indicative of abrupt shallowing, or muddy lagoonal deposits overlying directly intertidal/supratidal facies, i.e. indicative of abrupt deepening, is not consistent with the assumption of overriding eustatic control (Pomoni-Papaioannou, 2008; Spalluto, 2012). Other features of the studied sections that likewise imply a dominant autocyclic mechanism include the different numbers of cycles and great variability in the cycle thicknesses (Fig. 12d). Also, common lateral facies heterogeneity is revealed by the highly variable thickness ratio between the subtidal and intertidal/supratidal facies as well as between the transgressive basal lags and lagoonal mudstones/wackestones (Fig. 13). Taken together, these characteristics result in generally very poor correlation of the peritidal cycles even over short distances of several kilometres. Other evidence against a prevailing eustatic control is the absence of ordered hierarchical organization of the cycles, such as distinctive upward trends of decreasing or increasing



Figure 12. (Colour online) Peritidal cyclicity in the Opletnya Mb of Mogilata Fm. (a) Shallowing-upward cycle (reverse triangle) consisting of cross-bedded transgressive basal lag (bl), laminated subtidal calcimudstones (cm) and thick intertidal/supratidal dolomite cap (dc). Elements of the underlying and overlying cycles are also visible. Cycle boundaries represent subplanar discontinuity surfaces produced by erosion related to marine flooding. Section 17. (b) Intertidal/supratidal facies including massive dolomudstone (mdm), thin layer of flat-pebble dolorudstone (fpd), and laminated microbial dolobindstone (ldb) with desiccation cracks (short arrows) and tepee structure (long arrow). Section 17. (c) Fresh rock surface of rudstone basal lag with pebble-sized intraclasts (pale yellow) derived from penecontemporaneously dolomitized intertidal/supratidal facies of the underlying cycle. Scale: coin diameter is 20 mm. Section 15. (d) Peritidal cycles showing great variability in their thicknesses, disordered stacking pattern, and variable thickness ratio between the subtidal limestone facies (grey) and the intertidal/supratidal dolomitic facies (pale yellow). Scale: height of the outcrop is 15 m. Section 16.

cycle thicknesses, and thinning or thickening intracycle bed thicknesses (Section 4.a.4 above). During greenhouse times such as the Triassic period, autocyclic processes would be expected to override the reduced forcing potential of high-frequency low-amplitude eustasy, resulting in relatively high levels of randomness in the facies occurrence and in the cycle stacking patterns (Lehrmann & Goldhammer, 1999). However, the simple operation of autocyclic processes (i.e. shoreline progradation) to produce a disordered stacking pattern (Lehrmann & Goldhammer, 1999) was challenged by Burgess (2006) who demonstrated through forward modelling that changes in stochastic processes such as carbonate production rate and sediment transport direction are necessary to generate random stratal patterns. Therefore, other factors inherent to the depositional system, for example, carbonate productivity, accumulation rate, depositional topography and hydrodynamic conditions (Strasser et al. 1999; Burgess, 2006; Bádenas, Aurell & Bosence, 2010; Yang & Lehrmann, 2014), may also have contributed to the variable cycle thicknesses, lateral facies heterogeneity and disordered stacking pattern in the studied peritidal succession.

The autocyclic mechanism is considered herein as having been effective on a local scale because the occurrence of peritidal cyclicity is not known from Olenekian shallow marine carbonate successions outcropping in other regions of Bulgaria, e.g. exposures and boreholes from the adjacent Moesian Platform, Central Balkanides, and western Srednogorie Zone (Fig. 1, inset), even within strata of the same lithostratigraphic unit (Mogilata Fm). Also, the absence of such documented cyclicity on a broader regional scale, i.e. in platform carbonates deposited around the Olenekian– Anisian boundary across the NW Tethys shelf area, further supports the hypothesis for dominant autogenic controls on the formation of peritidal cycles during the early stage of ramp retrogradation.

### Controls on development of a Triassic carbonate ramp system



Figure 13. (Colour online) Shallowing-upward peritidal cycles in eight sections of Mogilata Fm (Opletnya Mb) from the southern part of the Western Balkanides. The different numbers of cycles, great variability in the cycle thicknesses, absence of ordered hierarchical organization, lateral facies heterogeneity, and very poor correlation of the cycles even over short distances (see also Fig. 1) plus some other characteristics (see text) suggest dominant autogenic control on the formation of the metre-scale cyclicity. The absence of any trend upsection and boundary surfaces indicated by long-term subaerial exposure rules out grouping of the cycles into third-order depositional sequences as suggested by Ajdanlijski, Strasser & Tronkov (2004).

# 4.b.3. Syndepositional tectonics

A recent study of the Anisian mid-ramp to outer ramp deposits (Babina Fm) from the southern part of the Western Balkanides suggested close correspondence to homoclinal morphology of the Triassic carbonate ramp (Chatalov, 2013). However, new field data reveal that the upper Zgorigrad Mb of the same formation (Fig. 3) exposed in the Vratsa region (Fig. 1) shows significant differences in terms of total thickness, stratification pattern, sedimentary structures, lithology and depositional textures compared to the rest studied sections (Table 1; Fig. 14). For example, the Zgorigrad Mb is much thicker, being characterized by Table 1. Comparative stratigraphic and sedimentological characteristics of the Zgorigrad Mb from two regions in the Western Balkanides (data on the southern exposures are from Chatalov, 2013).

Zgorigrad Member	Southern area sections 10, 12, 13, 16–18, 20	Vratsa region section 8
Total thickness	~45 m	~110 m
Upper boundary	gradational	abrupt
Bed thickness	medium to thick	variable (from thin to thick)
Vertical change in bed thickness	increasing upsection	no vertical trend
Depositional structures	massive, cross-bedding in the upper part	heterolithic bedding, massive
Other structures	dolomottling, rare burrows	nodular bedding (dominant), slump, slide (rare)
Depositional textures	wackestones and packstones, grainstones in the upper part	mudstones and wackestones, rare packstones
Allochems	bioclasts and oncoids plus peloids, intraclasts and ooids in the upper part	bioclasts, some peloids
Biota	abundant and diverse benthic fauna plus cyanobacteria (e.g. <i>Girvanella</i> )	scarce benthic fauna and ammonites
Siliciclastic admixtures	low amount	varying from low amount to abundant in marly matrix, layers and laminae
Dolomitization	selective after marly mottles and non-selective in the upper part	selective after marly matrix, layers and laminae
Non-carbonate diagenetic products	chert nodules only in the upper part	abundant chert nodules
Bottom hydrodynamics	increasing upsection from low to high	generally low
Evidence for storm-influenced deposition	none	none
Evidence for gravity-driven deformation and transport	none	numerous
Ramp zone	upsection transition from mid-ramp to inner ramp	mid-outer ramp (slope)
Ramp model	homoclinal	distally steepened

variable bed thicknesses (Fig. 15a) and dominant lithofacies of calcimudstones and bioclastic wackestones (cf. Chatalov, 2013). The most distinctive lithological feature is abundance of clay-sized siliciclastics forming marly rock matrix and intrabed layers or laminae that are dolomitized to various degrees. Typical sedimentary structures include nodular bedding, i.e. limestone nodules in marly matrix, and heterolithic bedding, i.e. alternating limestone and marly layers, while slumps (Fig. 15b) and slides (Fig. 15c) are only locally observed. The interpretation of gravity slides v. erosional channels is based on the similar lithology, structure and microfabric of the carbonate beds (nodular calcimudstones) on both sides of the slide surface (Pedley, Cugno & Grasso, 1992; Knaust, 2000; Sherman, Narbonne & James, 2001; Zonneveld et al. 2010). The uppermost part of Zgorigrad Mb consists of dolomitic ribbon rocks, i.e. laminar arrangement of calcimudstones and dolomitized marlstones, showing abrupt boundary with the overlying thick-bedded shoal facies of Milanovo Fm. In many nodular beds the carbonate nodules 'float' within the marly matrix, having sharp contacts, smaller size, higher degree of roundness and sphericity, and better sorting (Fig. 15d), compared to adjacent sectors of the rock which display compact arrangement and common coalescence of nodules (Fig. 15e). Elsewhere, poorly sorted limestone intraclasts also 'float' within marly matrix, showing pebble size, flat to irregular shape, rounded edges, subparallel orientation of their long axes to the bedding planes, and monomict composition (Fig. 15f). All intrabed sectors with such intraclasts grade from underlying heterolithic limestone-marl layers that have undergone in situ brecciation resulting in the formation of closely spaced limestone flat pebbles. Similarly, most dolomitic laminae in the ribbon rocks contain variously rounded limestone intraclasts with diverse shapes and sizes while the limestone laminae are locally cracked and/or brecciated (Fig. 15g).

The described strata of Zgorigrad Mb in the Vratsa region are interpreted as mid-outer ramp deposits on the basis of the following characteristics: abundance of lime mud and fine-grained siliciclastics, dominant nodular bedding, scarce bioturbation, low amount of benthic fauna with absence of phototrophic organisms, presence of ammonites (Tronkov, 1976), prevailing mud-supported fabrics, and lack of depositional structures and textures indicating high-energy conditions or tidal influence. Nodular limestones appear to be particularly common in deeper-marine and slope settings (Flügel, 2004, p. 200) and are a widespread deepwater facies in the Triassic successions of the Western Tethys realm (Preto et al. 2005). Although heterolithic carbonates are formed in various depositional environments, i.e. from peritidal to outer ramp, in this case low-energy deep subtidal setting (cf. Markello & Read, 1981; Sherman, Narbonne & James, 2001; Chen et al. 2009; Dilliard et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2014; Bayet-Goll et al. 2015; Gomez & Astini, 2015) is suggested by the dominant mudstone fabrics, high clay content, rare skeletal remains, and lack of evidence for wave reworking, current activity or subaerial exposure. Moreover, the dolomitic ribbon rocks are associated with slumps making deposition in shallow water less probable (cf. Sherman, Narbonne & James, 2001; Knight & Boyce, 2009; Turner, 2009; Gomez & Astini, 2015). The mid-outer ramp environment was characterized by fair-weather sedimentation as is indicated



Figure 14. (Colour online) Stratigraphic–lithologic logs of the Zgorigrad Mb in section 8 from the Vratsa region (left) and section 17 from the southern area (right). The former contains explicit evidence for local distal steepening of the carbonate ramp as a result of syndepositional tectonism (see Table 1).

by the absence of storm-related features (e.g. graded sheets, coquina beds, gutter casts, hummocky crosslamination, sharp erosional bases) in the rocks of Zgorigrad Mb from all studied sections in the Western Balkanides (Chatalov, 2013). Low hydrodynamics prevailed on the seafloor as deposition took place mainly from suspension settling of lime mud and clay-sized siliciclastics below fair-weather wave base. Reduced sedimentation rates are suggested in particular by the formation of limestone nodules (Flügel, 2004, p. 201).



Figure 15. (Colour online) Macroscopic characteristics of Zgorigrad Mb in the Vratsa region (section 8) suggesting distal steepening of the ramp profile and gravity-driven transport. (a) Outcrop of the unit showing variable bed thicknesses (MIFm – Milanovo Fm; ZmMb – Zimevitsa Mb). (b) Slump in dolomitic ribbon rocks. Scale: hammer is 31 cm long. (c) Slide developed between two beds of nodular limestones (slide surface shown by hammer). (d) Redeposited limestone nodules 'floating' in marly matrix. (e) Nodular bedding with compact arrangement and common coalescence of *in situ* grown limestone nodules. (f) Intrabed transition between autobrecciated limestone layers (arrows) alternating with marly layers and pebble-sized limestone intraclasts 'floating' in marly matrix. The elongated intraclasts show subparallel orientation to the bedding direction (indicated by double-ended arrow). (g) Ribbon rocks with intraclasts (arrows) derived from the calcimudstone laminae and embedded in the dolomitic laminae.

The Anisian mid-outer ramp facies outcropping in the Vratsa region contain macroscopic evidence for distal steepening of the ramp profile, for example, the occurrence of slumps and slides such as those described from slope deposits of ancient distally steepened ramps (Gawthorpe, 1986; Pedley, Cugno & Grasso, 1992; Elrick, 1996; Hips, 1998; Sherman, Narbonne & James, 2001; Drzewiecki & Simó, 2002; Cozzi, Grotzinger & Allen, 2004; Decarlis & Lualdi, 2009; Dilliard *et al.* 2010; Zonneveld *et al.* 2010; Martin-Rojas *et al.* 2012; Delpomdor, Kant & Préat, 2014). Also, the intrabed gradation between isolated limestone nodules

in marly matrix and compact nodular structure implies reworking of early lithified nodules that may be due to oversteepened slope. Although redeposition of carbonate nodules has been attributed to wave reworking, storm-induced and other currents (Mount & Kidder, 1993; Kim & Lee, 1996; Myrow et al. 2004; Bertok et al. 2011), transport by sediment gravity flows has been documented by several authors (Föhlisch & Voigt, 2001; Preto *et al.* 2005; Whalen & Beatty, 2008; Dilliard et al. 2010; Ferry et al. 2015). The rounded limestone pebbles 'floating' in marly matrix likewise suggest downslope movement of semi-consolidated sediments as a result of slope failure. This conclusion is supported by the observed upward transition from undisturbed and/or brecciated heterolithic layers. Apparently, the gravity-driven transport was preceded by early diagenetic autobrecciation or autoconglomeration, i.e. in situ fragmentation of carbonate layers in the limestone-marl couplets (or limestonedolomite couplets in the ribbon rocks) and subsequent rounding of the generated intraclasts (Kwon et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2009). Similar intraformational breccias/conglomerates commonly associated with ribbon carbonates and/or slumps and slides have been reported from slope facies of many ancient distally steepened ramps (Gawthorpe, 1986; Elrick, 1996; Sherman, Narbonne & James, 2001; Drzewiecki & Simó, 2002; Mukhopadhyay & Chaudhuri, 2003; Cozzi, Grotzinger & Allen, 2004; Dilliard et al. 2010; Zonneveld et al. 2010; Martin, Montañez & Bishop, 2012; Martin-Rojas et al. 2012; Delpomdor, Kant & Préat, 2014; Thomson, Rainbird & Dix, 2014). To sum up, the lack of any evidence for tidal, wave or storm reworking in the strata of Zgorigrad Mb reinforces the interpretation of gravityinfluenced transport as a result of slope instability, i.e. distal steepening of the ramp profile. Because the slope angle was dependent on the sediment fabric (Kenter, 1990), the predominantly mud-rich, cohesive deposits maintained low angles of repose, i.e. with values below 15° for mud-supported fabrics and below 5° for pure muds. It should be noted that the initial deformation of heterolithic and nodular layers and the formation of slumps and slides may have been triggered by an external process, for example, seismic shocks (Montenat et al. 2007) predating the gravity-driven mass movement (Pedley, Cugno & Grasso, 1992; Föhlisch & Voigt, 2001; Kullberg et al. 2001; Drzewiecki & Simó, 2002; Dilliard et al. 2010; Bertok et al. 2011). However, this assumption is difficult to prove because seismites have no specific morphological features and proposed diagnostic criteria in the literature for recognition of a seismic trigger seem unreliable due to a number of limitations (Owen, Moretti & Alfaro, 2011; Moretti & van Loon, 2014).

Distal steepening of carbonate ramps may be caused by antecedent topography, syndepositional tectonics (differential subsidence, extensional faulting), or different sedimentation rates between shallow-water and deep-water areas (Read, 1985; Burchette & Wright, 1992; Pomar, 2001*b*; Pomar & Kendall, 2008). In this case, the first possibility should be ruled out in view of the low-gradient relief developed at the time of ramp initialization and the persistent thickness of carbonate strata below Zgorigrad Mb across the whole southern part of the study area (Table 1; Figs 6, 14). In the second place, there is no explicit evidence for higher sedimentation rate in the shallow ramp (e.g. reefdominated shelf edge), and moreover, such potential control on oversteepening would have been manifested on a basin-wide scale. Therefore, the local initialization of a ramp slope must have been caused by synsedimentary tectonics similarly to other known examples from the geological record (Gawthorpe, 1986; Gómez-Pérez, Fernández-Mendiola & García-Mondéjar, 1998; Rosales, 1999; Drzewiecki & Simó, 2002; Playton & Kerans, 2002; Cozzi, Grotzinger & Allen, 2004; Bover-Arnal et al. 2010; Dilliard et al. 2010; Martin-Rojas et al. 2012; Delpomdor, Kant & Préat, 2014). The great lateral thickness variations and lithofacies change in the Zgorigrad Mb between the two regions considered (Table 1; Fig. 14) suggests that syndepositional tectonics created differential accommodation space across the ramp during a time of relative sealevel fall (Fig. 3). Enhanced subsidence rate that was probably fault-controlled may, in particular, explain the increased accommodation space in the Vratsa region and the local configuration of distally steepened ramp morphology (Fig. 16). Thus, in terms of genetic classification on the basis of basinal setting and tectonic control (Bosence, 2005), the Triassic carbonate ramp of the Western Balkanides is clearly defined as a subsiding margin-type platform with homoclinal geometry but shows, on a local scale, the characteristics of a faultblock-type platform with steeper-margined profile (cf. Martin-Rojas et al. 2012).

#### 5. Summary and conclusions

Various global and regional factors controlled the Early to Late Triassic development of a carbonate ramp on the subtropical shelf area of the NW Tethys. Geotectonic setting, antecedent topography, climate and oceanographic regime, relative sea-level changes, lack of frame-builders and carbonate production acted as independent or superimposed controls. The late Olenekian initialization and subsequent growth of the ramp system were favoured by slow uniform subsidence on a passive continental margin, gentle relief inherited from extensive fluvial and marginal-marine deposition during the Early Triassic epoch, stable warm and dry climatic conditions with significant influence by subtropical storms, west/northwestward Tethyan transgression along with eustatic sea-level rise, and absence of metazoan reef-builders. The interplay of these extrinsic and intrinsic controls promoted long-term maintenance of a homoclinal ramp morphology and accumulation of relatively thick carbonate strata (~500 m). The sedimentation was characterized by abundant lime mud production, intensive formation of coated grains, intraclasts and peloids, and precipitation of marine phreatic



Figure 16. (Colour online) Depositional model for the Zgorigrad Mb in section 8 showing locally developed distal steepening of the ramp profile as an effect of synsedimentary tectonics.

cements. The development and distribution of diverse carbonate-secreting organisms was controlled by environmental conditions and global biotic effects after the end-Permian mass extinction. A specific feature was the deposition of Early Triassic 'anachronistic facies' as a consequence of several interacting factors. From the Pelsonian subage onwards, a relative sea-level fall led to progressive shallowing and final demise of the ramp system during the Julian subage. After a period of subaerial exposure and minor karstification the deposition of continental first-cycle quartz arenites suggests possible impact of the Carnian Pluvial Episode.

The carbonate ramp system was also influenced by local factors such as remnant uplifted relief which prevented the basin-wide development of tidal flat environment at the time of ramp initialization. Autocyclic processes and presumably other factors inherent to the depositional system largely controlled the formation of peritidal cycles with disordered stacking pattern during the Olenekian age, although the concomitant influence of high-frequency eustatic oscillations cannot be ruled out. Distal steepening of the ramp profile on a local scale was caused by syndepositional tectonics in Pelsonian–Illyrian time. The configuration of a ramp slope resulted from probably fault-driven differential subsidence which created additional accommodation space, thus overriding the relative sea-level fall.

The upper Olenekian to lower Carnian marine succession of the Western Balkanides more or less resembles other ramp carbonates deposited along a broad belt on the NW Tethys shelf area during the Triassic period. Some comparable characteristics include sediment thickness, stacking pattern, lithofacies types, sedimentary structures and fossil content, thus suggesting great similarities with respect to the ramp model, general evolution of the ramp system, sedimentation rate, depositional settings, environmental constraints, source of carbonate, and biota development. These similarities can be explained by the close palaeogeographic position of the carbonate ramps but also by analogous global and regional controlling factors.

This study has presented a comprehensive and systematic analysis of the complex array of different mechanisms and processes that may control the birth, growth and demise of carbonate ramps. It shows that only the interplay of various allogenic and autogenic factors can exercise control over the origin and development of ramp systems by regulating their geometry, size, sedimentation style and other depositional characteristics. Because the studied Triassic carbonate ramp evolved in a semi-arid to arid subtropical climate it may be regarded as a typical example of greenhouse storm-influenced ramps. The results obtained demonstrate that factors intrinsic to the depositional system may have dominant control over the formation of shallowing-upward peritidal cycles on carbonate ramps during greenhouse periods.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Y. Stefanov (Faculty of Geology and Geography, Sofia University 'St Kliment Ohridski') for taking part in several field trips and for useful discussions on some problems of this study. The critical remarks and suggestions of two anonymous reviewers are gratefully acknowledged.

# **Declaration of interest.**

The author declares no conflict of interest related to this article.

#### References

- ADNAN, A., SHUKLA, U. K., VERMA, A. & SHUKLA, T. 2015. Lithofacies of transgressive–regressive sequence on a carbonate ramp in Vindhyan basin (Proterozoic): a case of tidal-flat origin from central India. *Arabian Journal* of Geosciences 8, 6985–7001.
- AGUIRRE, J. & RIDING, R. 2005. Dasycladacean algal biodiversity compared with global variations in temperature and sea level over the past 350 Ma. *Palaios* **20**, 581–8.
- AHR, W. M. 1973. The carbonate ramp: an alternative to the shelf model. *Transactions of the Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies* **23**, 221–5.
- AIGNER, T. 1985. Storm Depositional Systems: Dynamic Stratigraphy in Modern and Ancient Shallow Marine Sequences. Lecture Notes in the Earth Sciences 3. Berlin: Springer, 174 pp.
- AIGNER, T. & BACHMANN, G. 1992. Sequence-stratigraphic framework of the German Triassic. *Sedimentary Geology* 80, 115–35.
- AJDANLIJSKI, G., STRASSER, A. & TRONKOV, D. 2004. Route VII;. Cyclicity in the Lower Triassic Series between Opletnya railway station and Sfrazhen hamlet. In *Geological Routes in the Northern Part of Iskar Gorge. Guide of Field Geological Training* (ed. D. Sinnyovsky), pp. 90–101. Sofia: Vanio Nedkov Publishing House.
- AMODIO, S., FERRERI, V. & D'ARGENIO, B. 2013. Cyclostratigraphic and chronostratigraphic correlations in the Barremian–Aptian shallow marine carbonates of the central-southern Appenines. *Cretaceous Research* 44, 132–56.
- ARCHE, A. & LÓPEZ-GÓMEZ, J. 2014. The Carnian Pluvial Event in Western Europe: new data from Iberia and correlation with the Western Neotethys and Eastern North America–NW Africa regions. *Earth-Science Reviews* 128, 196–231.
- ASSERETTO, R. & CHATALOV, G. 1983. Mogila Formation (Lower–Middle Triassic) and lithostratigraphic markers in the Triassic system of Northwestern Bulgaria. *Geologica Balcanica* 13, 29–36 (in Russian with English summary).
- AURELL, M., BÁDENAS, B., BOSENCE, D. W. J. & WALTHAM, D. A. 1998. Carbonate production and offshore transport on a Late Jurassic carbonate ramp (Kimmeridgian, Iberian basin, NE Spain): evidence from outcrops and computer modeling. In *Carbonate Ramps* (eds V. P. Wright & T. P. Burchette), pp. 137–61. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 149.
- AVIGAD, D., SANDLER, A., KOLODNER, K., STERN, R. J., MCWILLIAMS, M., MILLER, N. & BEYTH, M. 2005. Mass-production of Cambro-Ordovician quartzrich sandstone as a consequence of chemical weathering of Pan-African terranes: environmental implications. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 240, 818–26.
- BÁDENAS, B., AURELL, M. & BOSENCE, D. 2010. Continuity and facies heterogeneities of shallow carbonate ramp cycles (Sinemurian, Lower Jurassic, North-east Spain). *Sedimentology* 57, 1021–48.
- BÁDENAS, B., AURELL, M., RODRÍGUEZ-TOVAR, F. J. & PARDO-IGÚZQUIZA, E. 2003. Sequence stratigraphy and bedding rhythms of an outer ramp limestone succession (Late Kimmeridgian, Northeast Spain). Sedimentary Geology 161, 153–74.
- BAKHTIAR, H. A., TAHERI, A. & VAZIRI-MOGHADDAM, H. 2011. Maastrichtian facies succession and sea-level history of the Hossein-Abad, Neyriz area, Zagros Basin. *Historical Biology* 23, 145–53.

- BASSI, D., NEBELSICK, J. H., PUGA-BERNABÉU, Á. & LUCIANI, V. 2013. Middle Eocene *Nummulites* and their offshore redeposition: a case study from the Middle Eocene of the Venetian area, northeastern Italy. *Sedimentary Geology* 297, 1–15.
- BAYET-GOLL, A., CHEN, J., MOUSSAVI-HARAMI, R. & MAHBOUBI, A. 2015. Depositional processes of ribbon carbonates in Middle Cambrian of Iran (Deh-Sufiyan Formation, Central Alborz). *Facies* **61**, 1–18.
- BEAVINGTON-PENNEY, S. J., WRIGHT, V. P. & RACEY, A. 2005. Sediment production and dispersal on foraminiferadominated early Tertiary ramps: the Eocene El Garia Formation, Tunisia. *Sedimentology* 52, 537–69.
- BENATOV, S. 2001. Brachiopod biostratigraphy of the Middle Triassic in Bulgaria and comparison with elsewhere in Europe. In *Brachiopods Past and Present* (eds C. H. C. Brunton, L. R. M. Cocks & S. L. Long), pp. 384–93. London: Taylor and Francis.
- BENATOV, S., BUDUROV, K., TRIFONOVA, E. & PETRUNOVA, L. 1999. Parallel biostratigraphy on micro- and megafauna and new data about the age of the Babino Formation (Middle Triassic) in the Iskur river gorge, Western Stara Planina Mountain. *Geologica Balcanica* 29, 31–8.
- BERNECKER, M. 2005. Late Triassic reefs from the Northwest and South Tethys: distribution, setting, and biotic composition. *Facies* **51**, 442–53.
- BERRA, F. 2012. Sea-level fall, carbonate production, rainy days: how do they relate? Insight from Triassic carbonate platforms (Western Tethys, Southern Alps, Italy). *Geology* 40, 271–4.
- BERTOK, C., MARTIRE, L., PEROTTI, E., D'ATRI, A. & PIANA, F. 2011. Middle–Late Jurassic syndepositional tectonics recorded in the Ligurian Briançonnais succession (Marguareis–Mongioie area, Ligurian Alps, NW Italy). Swiss Journal of Geosciences 104, 237–55.
- BORKHATARIA, R., AIGNER, T. & PIPPING, K. 2006. An unusual, muddy, epeiric carbonate reservoir: the Lower Muschelkalk (Middle Triassic) of the Netherlands. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* **90**, 61–89.
- BOSENCE, D. 2005. A genetic classification of carbonate platforms based on their basinal and tectonic settings in the Cenozoic. *Sedimentary Geology* **175**, 49–72.
- BOSENCE, D. *et al.* (12 co-authors). 2009. A dominant tectonic signal in high-frequency, peritidal carbonate cycles? A regional analysis of Liassic platforms from Western Tethys. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 79, 389–415.
- BOULILA, S., GALBRUN, B., MILLER, K. G., PEKAR, S. F., BROWNING, J. V., LASKAR, J. & WRIGHT, J. D. 2011. On the origin of Cenozoic and Mesozoic 'third-order' eustatic sequences. *Earth-Science Reviews* 109, 94–112.
- BOURQUIN, S., BERCOVICI, A., LÓPEZ-GÓMEZ, J., DÍEZ, J. B., BROUTIN, J., RONCHI, A., DURAND, M., ARCHE, A., LINOL, B. & AMOUR, F. 2011. The Permian–Triassic transition and the onset of Mesozoic sedimentation at the northwestern peri-Tethyan domain scale: palaeogeographic maps and geodynamic implications. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 299, 265–80.
- BOVER-ARNAL, T., MORENO-BEDMAR, J. A., SALAS, R., SKELTON, P. W., BITZER, K. & GILI, E. 2010. Sedimentary evolution of an Aptian syn-rift carbonate system (Maestrat Basin, E Spain): effects of accommodation and environmental change. *Geologica Acta* 8, 249–80.
- BRANDANO, M. & CORDA, L. 2002. Nutrients, sea level and tectonics: constraints for the facies architecture of a Miocene carbonate ramp in central Italy. *Terra Nova* 14, 257–62.

- BRIGAUD, B., VINCENT, B., CARPENTIER, C., ROBIN, C., GUILLOCHEAU, F., YVEN, B. & HURET, E. 2014. Growth and demise of the Jurassic carbonate platform in the intracratonic Paris Basin (France): interplay of climate change, eustasy and tectonics. *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 53, 3–29.
- BURCHETTE, T. P. & WRIGHT, V. P. 1992. Carbonate ramp depositional systems. *Sedimentary Geology* **79**, 3–57.
- BURGESS, P. 2006. The signal and the noise: forward modeling of allocyclic and autocyclic processes influencing peritidal carbonate stacking patterns. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* **76**, 962–77.
- CALVET, F. & TUCKER, M. E. 1995. Mud-mounds with reefal caps in the upper Muschelkalk (Triassic), eastern Spain. In *Carbonate Mud-mounds: Their Origin and Evolution* (eds C. L. V. Monty *et al.*), pp. 311–33. International Association of Sedimentologists, Special Publication no. 23.
- CALVET, F., TUCKER, M. E. & HELTON, J. M. 1990. Middle Triassic carbonate ramp systems in the Catalan Basin, northeast Spain: facies, system tracts, sequences and controls. In *Carbonate Platforms: Facies, Sequences and Evolution* (eds M. E. Tucker *et al.*), pp. 79–108. International Association of Sedimentologists, Special Publication no. 9.
- CATALOV, G. 1988. Ladinian-Karnian terrigenous invasion and the bifurcation of the Triassic carbonate platform in Bulgaria. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie bulgare des Sciences* **41**, 99–102.
- CHATALOV, A. 2000*a*. Marine phreatic cements in the Triassic limestones from the Western Balkanides. *Geologica Balcanica* **30**, 33–48.
- CHATALOV, A. 2000b. The Mogila Formation (Spathian– Anisian) in the Western Balkanides of Bulgaria – ancient counterpart of an arid peritidal complex. In *Epicontinental Triassic* (eds G. H. Bachmann & I. Lerche), *Zentralblatt für Geologie und Paläontologie* Teil I, 9–10, 1123–1135.
- CHATALOV, A. 2002. Inner ramp carbonate shoals from the Middle Triassic in Northwestern Bulgaria. *Review of the Bulgarian Geological Society* **63**, 3–20 (in Bulgarian with English summary).
- CHATALOV, A. 2005a. Aragonitic-calcitic ooids from Lower to Middle Triassic peritidal sediments in the Western Balkanides, Bulgaria. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen 237, 87–110.
- CHATALOV, A. 2005b. Monomineralic carbonate ooid types in the Triassic sediments from Northwestern Bulgaria. *Geologica Balcanica* **35**, 63–91.
- CHATALOV, A. 2007. Physicochemical precipitation of finegrained carbonate in seawater – an example of Triassic marine micrites from the Western Balkanides, Bulgaria. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen* 243, 149–67.
- CHATALOV, A. 2010. Depositional environment of the Middle Triassic carbonate rocks from the Granitovo strip, Northwestern Bulgaria. *Review of the Bulgarian Geological Society* **71**, 83–111 (in Bulgarian with English summary).
- CHATALOV, A. 2013. A Triassic homoclinal ramp from the Western Tethyan realm, Western Balkanides, Bulgaria: integrated insight with special emphasis on the Anisian outer to inner ramp facies transition. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* **386**, 34–58.
- CHATALOV, A., STEFANOV, Y. & VETSEVA, M. 2015. The Röt-type facies of the Western Balkanides revisited: depositional environments and regional correlation. *Abstracts 31st IAS Meeting of Sedimentology, 22–25 June,*

*Krakow*, p. 115. International Association of Sedimentologists.

- CHATALOV, A. & VANGELOV, D. 2001. Storm-generated deposits in the Anisian (Pelsonian) limestones from the Western Balkanides. *Review of the Bulgarian Geological Society* **62**, 11–23.
- CHEMBERSKI, H., RANKOVA, T., ANTOVA, N. & NIKOLOV, G. 1996. The Triassic system in Bulgaria – composition, sedimentary environments and geodynamic events. *Review of the Bulgarian Geological Society* 57, 1–18 (in Bulgarian with English summary).
- CHEN, J., CHOUGH, S. K., CHUN, S. S. & HAN, Z. 2009. Limestone pseudoconglomerates in the Late Cambrian Gushan and Chaomidian Formations (Shandong Province, China): soft-sediment deformation induced by storm-wave loading. *Sedimentology* 56, 1174–95.
- CHEN, J., TONG, J., SONG, H., LUO, M., HUANG, Y. & XIANG, Y. 2015. Recovery pattern of brachiopods after the Permian–Triassic crisis in South China. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **433**, 91–105.
- CHEN, Z. Q. & BENTON, M. J. 2012. The timing and pattern of biotic recovery following the end-Permian mass extinction. *Nature Geoscience* **5**, 375–83.
- CHEN, Z. Q., KAIHO, K. & GEORGE, A. G. 2005. Early Triassic recovery of the brachiopod faunas from the end-Permian mass extinction: a global review. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 224, 270–90.
- CHOW, N., GEORGE, A. D., TRINAJSTIC, K. M. & CHEN, Z.-Q. 2013. Stratal architecture and platform evolution of an early Frasnian syn-tectonic carbonate platform, Canning Basin, Australia. *Sedimentology* **60**, 1583–620.
- CHOW, N. & WENDTE, J. 2011. Palaeosols and palaeokarst beneath subaerial unconformities in an Upper Devonian isolated reef complex (Judy Creek), Swan Hills Formation, west-central Alberta, Canada. *Sedimentology* 58, 960–93.
- CISNE, J. L. 1986. Earthquakes recorded stratigraphically on carbonate platforms. *Nature* **323**, 320–2.
- CLARI, P. A., DELLA PIERRE, F. & MARTIRE, L. 1995. Discontinuities in carbonate successions: identification, interpretation and classification of some Italian examples. *Sedimentary Geology* **100**, 97–121.
- COZZI, A., GROTZINGER, J. P. & ALLEN, P. A. 2004. Evolution of a terminal Neoproterozoic carbonate ramp system (Buah Formation, Sultanate of Oman): effects of basement paleotopography. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **116**, 1367–84.
- CRASQUIN, S. & FOREL, M.-B. 2013. Ostracods (Crustacea) through the Permian–Triassic events. *Earth-Science Re*views 137, 52–64.
- DE BENEDICTIS, D., BOSENCE, D. W. J. & WALTHAM, D. A. 2007. Tectonic control of peritidal carbonate parasequence formation: an investigation using forward tectono-stratigraphic modelling. *Sedimentology* 54, 587–605.
- DECARLIS, A. & LUALDI, A. 2009. A sequence stratigraphic approach to a Middle Triassic shelf-slope complex of the Ligurian Alps (Ligurian Briançonnais, Monte Carmo-Rialto unit, Italy). *Facies* 55, 267–90.
- DELPOMDOR, F., KANT, F. & PRÉAT, R. 2014. Neoproterozoic uppermost Haut-Shiloango Subgroup (West Congo Supergroup, Democratic Republic of Congo): misinterpreted stromatolites and implications for sea-level fluctuations before the onset of the Marinoan glaciation. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* **90**, 49–63.
- DEMICCO, R. V., LOWENSTEIN, T. K., HARDIE, L. A. & SPENCER, R. J. 2005. Model of seawater composition for the Phanerozoic. *Geology* 33, 877–80.

- DENG, B., WANG, Y., WOODS, A., LI, G. & LIAO, W. 2015. Lower Triassic anachronistic facies capping the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau seamount: implications for the extension of extraordinary oceanic conditions deep into the interior Tethys Ocean. *Global and Planetary Change* 132, 31–8.
- DE ZANCHE, V., GIANOLLA, P., MIETTO, P., SIORPAES, C. & VAIL, P. R. 1993. Triassic sequence stratigraphy in the Dolomites (Italy). *Memorie di Scienze Geologiche* **45**, 1–27.
- DIBENEDETTO, S. & GROTZINGER, J. 2005. Geomorphic evolution of a storm-dominated carbonate ramp (c. 549 Ma), Nama Group, Namibia. *Geological Magazine* **142**, 583– 604.
- DILLIARD, K. A., POPE, M. C., CONIGLIO, M., HASIOTIS, S. T. & LIEBERMAN, B. S. 2010. Active synsedimentary tectonism on a mixed carbonate–siliciclastic continental margin: third-order sequence stratigraphy of a ramp to basin transition, lower Sekwi Formation, Selwyn Basin, Northwest Territories, Canada. Sedimentology 57, 513– 42.
- DINEEN, A. A., FRAISER, M. L. & SHEEHAN, P. M. 2014. Quantifying functional diversity in pre- and post-extinction paleocommunities: a test of ecological restructuring after the end-Permian mass extinction. *Earth-Science Reviews* **136**, 339–49.
- DRZEWIECKI, P. A. & SIMÓ, J. A. 2002. Depositional processes, triggering mechanisms and sediment composition of carbonate gravity flow deposits: examples from the Late Cretaceous of the south-central Pyrenees, Spain. Sedimentary Geology 146, 155–89.
- DUVAL, B. C., CRAMEZ, C. & VAIL, P. R. 1998. Stratigraphic cycles and major marine source rocks. In *Mesozoic and Cenozoic Sequence Stratigraphy of European Basins* (eds P.-C.h. de Graciansky *et al.*), pp. 43–52. SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology), Special Publication no. 60.
- EINSELE, G. 2000. Sedimentary Basins: Evolution, Facies, and Sediment Budget, 2nd edn. Berlin: Springer, 792 pp.
- ELRICK, M. 1996. Sequence stratigraphy and platform evolution of Lower–Middle Devonian carbonates, eastern Great Basin. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 108, 392–416.
- ESCUDERO-MOZO, M. J., MARTÍN-CHIVELET, J., GOY, A. & LÓPEZ-GÓMEZ, J. 2014. Middle-Upper Triassic carbonate platforms in Minorca (Balearic islands): implications for Western Tethys correlations. *Sedimentary Geology* 310, 41–58.
- ESTEBAN, M. & KLAPPA, C. F. 1983. Subaerial exposure environments. In *Carbonate Depositional Environments* (eds P. A. Scholle, D. G. Bebout & C. H. Moore), pp. 1–54. American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir no. 33.
- FEIST-BURKHARDT, S. et al. (16 co-authors). 2008. Triassic. In *The Geology of Central Europe* (ed. T. Mc-Cann), pp. 749–821. London: Geological Society of London.
- FERRY, S., GROSHENY, D., BACKERT, N. & ATROPS, F. 2015. The base-of-slope carbonate breccia system of Céüse (Tithonian, S-E France): occurrence of progradational stratification in the head plug of coarse granular flow deposits. *Sedimentary Geology* **317**, 71–86.
- FISCHER, A. G., D'ARGENIO, B., SILVA, I. P., WEISSERT, H. & FERRERI, V. 2004. Cyclostratigraphic approach to Earth's history: an introduction. In *Cyclostratigraphy: Approaches and Case Histories* (eds B. D'Argenio, A. G. Fischer, I. P. Silva, H. Weiser & V. Ferreri),

pp. 5–16. SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology), Special Publication no. 81.

- FLÜGEL, E. 2002. Triassic reef patterns. In *Phanerozoic Reef Patterns* (eds W. Kiessling, E. Flügel & J. Golonka), pp. 391–463. SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology), Special Publication no. 72.
- FLÜGEL, E. 2004. Microfacies of Carbonate Rocks. Analysis, Interpretation and Application. Berlin: Springer, 976 pp.
- FÖHLISCH, K. & VOIGT, T. 2001. Synsedimentary deformation in the Lower Muschelkalk of the Germanic Basin. In *Particulate Gravity Currents* (eds W. D. McCaffrey, B. C. Kneller & J. Peakall), pp. 279–97. International Association of Sedimentologists, Special Publication no. 31.
- FRAISER, M. L. & BOTTJER, D. J. 2007. When bivalves took over the world. *Paleobiology* 33, 397–413.
- FRANZ, M., KAISER, S. I., FISCHER, J., HEUNISCH, C., KUSTATSCHER, E., LUPPOLD, F. W., BERNER, U. & RÖHLING, H.-G. 2015. Eustatic and climatic control on the Upper Muschelkalk Sea (late Anisian/Ladinian) in the Central European Basin. *Global and Planetary Change* 135, 1–27.
- GAETANI, M. et al. (35 co-authors). 2000a. Early Ladinian (238–235 Ma). In Atlas Peri-Tethys, Paleogeographical Maps (eds J. Dercourt et al.). CCGM/CGMW, Paris (map 5).
- GAETANI, M. et al. (17 co-authors). 2000b. Olenekian (245– 243 Ma). In Atlas Peri-Tethys, Paleogeographical Maps (eds J. Dercourt et al.), map 4. Paris: CCGM/CGMW.
- GANEV, M. 1974. Stand der Kenntnisse über die Stratigraphie der Trias Bulgariens. Die Stratigraphie der alpin-mediterranean Trias: simposium. Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Schriftenreihe der Erdwissen-schaftlichen Kommission 2, 93–6.
- GARZANTI, E., PADOAN, M., ANDÒ, S., RESENTINI, A., VEZZOLI, G. & LUSTRINO, M. 2013. Weathering and relative durability of detrital minerals in equatorial climate: sand petrology and geochemistry in the East African Rift. *Journal of Geology* 121, 547–80.
- GATTOLIN, G., PRETO, N., BREDA, A., FRANCESCHI, M., ISOTTON, M. & GIANOLLA, P. 2015. Sequence stratigraphy after the demise of a high-relief carbonate platform (Carnian of the Dolomites): sea-level and climate disentangled. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 423, 1–17.
- GAWTHORPE, R. L. 1986. Sedimentation during carbonate ramp-to-slope evolution in a tectonically active area: Bowland Basin (Dinantian), northern England. *Sedimentology* 33, 185–206.
- GIANOLLA, P. & JAQUIN, T. 1998. Triassic sequence stratigraphic framework of Western European basins. In *Mesozoic and Cenozoic Sequence Stratigraphy of European Basins* (eds P.-C.h. de Graciansky *et al.*), pp. 643–50. SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology), Special Publication no. 60.
- GINSBURG, R. N. 1971. Landward movement of carbonate mud: new model for regressive cycles in carbonates. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Annual Meeting, Abstracts with Programs* 55, 340 pp.
- GISCHLER, É., DIETRICH, S., HARRIS, D., WEBSTER, J. M. & GINSBURG, R. N. 2013. A comparative study of modern carbonate mud in reefs and carbonate platforms: mostly biogenic, some precipitated. *Sedimentary Geology* 292, 36–55.
- GISCHLER, E. & LOMANDO, A. J. 2005. Offshore sedimentary facies of a modern carbonate ramp, Kuwait northwestern Arabian–Persian Gulf. *Facies* **50**, 443–62.

- GOLDHAMMER, R. K., DUNN, P. A. & HARDIE, L. A. 1987. High frequency glacio-esutatic sea-level oscillations with Milankovitch characteristics recorded in Middle Triassic platform carbonates in northern Italy. *American Journal of Science* 287, 853–92.
- GOLONKA, J. 2007. Phanerozoic paleoenvironment and paleolithofacies maps. Mesozoic. *Geologia* **33**, 211–64.
- GOMEZ, F. J. & ASTINI, R. A. 2015. Sedimentology and sequence stratigraphy from a mixed (carbonate– siliciclastic) rift to passive margin transition: the Early to Middle Cambrian of the Argentine Precordillera. *Sedimentary Geology* **316**, 39–61.
- GÓMEZ-PÉREZ, I., FERNÁNDEZ-MENDIOLA, P. A. & GARCÍA-MONDÉJAR, J. 1998. Constructional dynamics for a Lower Cretaceous carbonate ramp (Gorbea Massif, north Iberia). In *Carbonate Ramps* (eds V. P. Wright & T. P. Burchette), pp. 229–52. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 149.
- GÖTZ, A. E. & TÖRÖK, Á. 2008. Correlation of Tethyan and Peri-Tethyan long-term and high-frequency eustatic signals (Anisian, Middle Triassic). *Geologica Carpathica* 59, 307–17.
- HAAS, J. & BUDAI, T. 1995. Upper Permian–Triassic facies zones in the Transdanubian Range. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia* 101, 249–66.
- HAAS, J. & BUDAI, T. 1999. Triassic sequence stratigraphy of the Transdanubian Range (Hungary). *Geologica Carpathica* 50, 459–75.
- HAAS, J., BUDAI, T. & RAUCSIK, B. 2012. Climatic controls on sedimentary environments in the Triassic of the Transdanubian Range (Western Hungary). *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 353–355, 31–44.
- HAAS, J., KOVACS, S., KRYSTYN, L. & LEIN, R. 1995. Significance of Late Permian–Triassic facies zones in terrane reconstruction in the Alpine–North Pannonian domain. *Tectonophysics* 242, 19–40.
- HAAS, J., PIROS, O., BUDAI, T., GÖRÖG, Á., MANDL, G. W. & LOBITZER, H. 2010. Transition between the massive reef-backreef and cyclic lagoon facies of the Dachstein Limestone in the southern part of the Dachstein Plateau, Northern Calcareous Alps, Upper Austria and Styria. *Abhandlungen der Geologischen Bundesanstalt* 65, 35– 56.
- HAQ, B. U., HARDENBOL, J. & VAIL, P. R. 1987. Chronology of fluctuating sea levels since the Triassic – 250 million years ago to present. *Science* 235, 1156–67.
- HILL, J., WOOD, R., CURTIS, A. & TETZLAFF, D. M. 2012. Preservation of forcing signals in shallow water carbonate sediments. *Sedimentary Geology* 275–276, 79–92.
- HILLGÄRTNER, H. 1998. Discontinuity surfaces on a shallowmarine carbonate platform (Berriasian, Valanginian, France and Switzerland). *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 68, 1093–108.
- HINE, A. C., BROOKS, G. R., DAVIS, R. A. JR, DUNCAN, D. S., LOCKER, S. D., TWICHELL, D. C. & GELFENBAUM, G. 2003. The west-central Florida inner shelf and coastal system: a geologic conceptual overview and introduction to the special issue. *Marine Geology* 200, 1–17.
- HIPS, K. 1998. Lower Triassic storm-dominated ramp sequnce in northern Hungary: an example of evolution from homoclinal through distally steepened ramp to Middle Triassic flat-topped platform. In *Carbonate Ramps* (eds V. P. Wright & T. P. Burchette), pp. 315–38. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 149.
- HIPS, K. 2007. Facies pattern of western Tethyan Middle Triassic black carbonates: the example of Gutenstein Formation in Silica Nappe, Carpathians, Hungary, and

its correlation to formation of adjoining areas. *Sediment-ary Geology* **194**, 99–114.

- HOLZ, M. 2015. Mesozoic paleogeography and paleoclimates: a discussion of the diverse greenhouse and hothouse conditions of an alien world. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 61, 91–107.
- HORNUNG, T. & BRANDNER, R. 2005. Biostratigraphy of the Reingraben Turnover (Hallstatt Facies Belt): local black shale events controlled by the regional tectonics, climatic change and plate tectonics. *Facies* **51**, 460–79.
- HORNUNG, T., BRANDNER, R., KRYSTYN, L., JOACHIMSKI, M. M. & KEIM, L. 2007. Multistratigraphic constraints on the NW Tethyan 'Carnian Crisis'. In *The Global Triassic* (eds S. G. Lucas & J. A. Spielman), pp. 59– 67. New Mexico Museum of Natural History & Science Bulletin no. 41.
- HORNUNG, T., KRYSTYN, L. & BRANDNER, R. 2007. A Tethys-wide mid-Carnian (Upper Triassic) carbonate productivity decline: evidence for the Alpine Reingraben Event from Spiti (Indian Himalaya)? *Journal* of Asian Earth Sciences **30**, 285–302.
- JAGLARZ, P. & SZULC, J. 2003. Middle Triassic evolution of the Tatricum sedimentary basin: an attempt of sequence stratigraphy to the Wierchowa Unit in the Polish Tatra Mts. Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae 73, 169– 82.
- JAMES, N. P., BONE, Y., KYSER, T. K., DIX, G. R. & COLLINS, L. B. 2004. Carbonate sedimentation on a tropical oceanic ramp: northwestern Australia. *Sedimentology* 51, 1–27.
- JONES, B. & DESROCHERS, A. 1992. Shallow platform carbonates. In *Facies Models: Response to Sea Level Change* (eds R. G. Walker & N. P. James), pp. 277–302. Geological Association of Canada.
- KEIM, L., SPÖTL, C. & BRANDNER, R. 2006. The aftermath of the Carnian carbonate platform demise: a basinal perspective (Dolomites, Southern Alps). *Sedimentology* 53, 361–86.
- KENTER, J. A. M. 1990. Carbonate platform flanks: slope angle and sediment fabric. *Sedimentology* 37, 777–94.
- KIESSLING, W. 2010. Reef expansion during the Triassic: spread of photosymbiosis balancing climatic cooling. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 290, 11–19.
- KIESSLING, W., FLÜGEL, E. & GOLONKA, J. 2003. Patterns of Phanerozoic carbonate platform sedimentation. *Lethaia* 36, 195–225.
- KIETZMANN, D. A., PALMA, R. M., RICCARDI, A. C., MARTÍN-CHIVELET, J. & LÓPEZ-GÓMEZ, J. 2014. Sedimentology and sequence stratigraphy of a Tithonian–Valanginian carbonate ramp (Vaca Muerta Formation): a misunderstood exceptional source rock in the Southern Mendoza area of the Neuquén Basin, Argentina. Sedimentary Geology 302, 64–86.
- KIM, J. C. & LEE, Y. I. 1996. Marine diagenesis of Lower Ordovician carbonate sediments (Dumugol Formation), Korea: cementation in a calcite sea. *Sedimentary Geology* 105, 241–57.
- KIM, Y.-H. G., RHEE, C. W., WOO, J. & PARK, T.-Y. S. 2014. Depositional systems of the Lower Ordovician Mungok Formation in Yeongwol, Korea: implications for the carbonate ramp facies development. *Geosciences Journal* 18, 397–417.
- KNAUST, D. 2000. Signatures of tectonically controlled sedimentation in Lower Muschelkalk carbonates (Middle Triassic) of the Germanic Basin. In *Epicontinental Triassic* (eds G. H. Bachmann & I. Lerche), *Zentralblatt für Geologie und Paläontologie* Teil I, **9–10**, 893–924.

- KNAUST, D. & COSTAMAGNA, L. G. 2012. Ichnology and sedimentology of the Triassic carbonates of North-west Sardinia, Italy. *Sedimentology* **59**, 1190–207.
- KNIGHT, I. & BOYCE, W. D. 2009. The Reluctant Head Formation, Goose Arm thrust stack, Newfoundland Humber zone: new observations on the stratigraphy, biostratigraphy and implications for the evolution of the Cambrian–Ordovician shelf. Current Research: Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Report 09–1, 183–202.
- KOERSCHNER, W. F. I. & READ, J. F. 1989. Field and modelling of Cambrian cycles, Virginia Appalachians. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 59, 654–87.
- KOVÁCS, S. *et al.* (14 co-authors). 2011. Triassic evolution of the tectonostratigraphic units of the Circum-Pannonian Region. *Jahrbuch der Geologischen Bundesanstalt* 151, 199–280.
- KOZUR, H. W. & BACHMANN, G. H. 2010. The Middle Carnian Wet Intermezzo of the Stuttgart Formation (Schilfsandstein), Germanic Basin. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 290, 107–19.
- KULLBERG, J. C., OLORIZ, F., MARQUES, B., CAETANO, P. S. & ROCHA, R. B. 2001. Flat-pebble conglomerates: a local marker for Early Jurassic seismicity related to synrift tectonics in the Sesimbra area (Lusitanian Basin, Portugal). Sedimentary Geology 139, 49–70.
- KWON, Y. K., CHOUGH, S. K., CHOI, D. K. & LEE, D. J. 2002. Origin of limestone conglomerates in the Choson Supergroup (Cambro-Ordovician), mid-east Korea. *Sedimentary Geology* 146, 265–83.
- LAYA, J. C., TUCKER, M. E. & PEREZ-HUERTA, A. 2013. Metre-scale cyclicity in Permian ramp carbonates of equatorial Pangea (Venezuelan Andes): implications for sedimentation under tropical Pangea conditions. *Sedimentary Geology* 292, 15–35.
- LEHRMANN, D. J. & GOLDHAMMER, R. K. 1999. Secular variation in parasequence and facies stacking patterns of platform carbonates: a guide to application of stacking-patterns analysis in strata of diverse ages and settings. In Advances in Carbonate Sequence Stratigraphy: Application to Reservoirs, Outcrops and Models (eds P. M. Harris, A. H. Saller & J. A. Simo), pp. 187–226. SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology), Special Publication no. 63.
- LEHRMANN, D. J., YANG, W., WEI, J. Y., YU, Y. Y. & XIAO, J. F. 2001. Lower Triassic peritidal cyclic limestone: an example of anachronistic carbonate facies from the Great Bank of Guizhou, Nanpanjiang Basin, Guizhou Province, South China. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **173**, 103–23.
- LÉONIDE, P., FLOQUET, M. & VILLIER, L. 2007. Interaction of tectonics, eustasy, climate and carbonate production on the sedimentary evolution of an early/middle Jurassic extensional basin (Southern Provence Sub-basin, SE France). *Basin Research* 19, 125–52.
- LIGHT, J. L. & WILSON, J. B. 1998. Cool-water carbonate deposition on the West Shetland Shelf: a modern distally steepened ramp. In *Carbonate Ramps* (eds V. P. Wright & T. P. Burchette), pp. 73–105. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 149.
- MADER, D. & CATALOV, G. 1992a. Iskar valley (Bulgaria): Buntsandstein. In Evolution of Paleoecology and Paleoenvironment of Permian and Triassic Fluvial Basins in Europe. Volume 2: Southeastern Europe and Index (ed. D. Mader), pp. 919–98. Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer.
- MADER, D. & ČATALOV, G. 1992b. Vitoša Mountains and Belogradčik Anticlinorium (Bulgaria): Buntsandstein. In Evolution of Paleoecology and Paleoenvironment of

Permian and Triassic Fluvial Basins in Europe. Volume 2: Southeastern Europe and Index (ed. D. Mader), pp. 999–1040. Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer.

- MANDL, G. W. 2000. The Alpine sector of the Tethyan shelf: examples of Triassic to Jurassic sedimentation and deformation from the Northern Calcareous Alps. *Mitteilungen der Österreichischen Geologischen Gesellschaft* 92, 61–77.
- MARCOUX, J. et al. (11 co-authors). 1993. Late Anisian palaeoenvironments (237–234 Ma). In Atlas Tethys Palaeoenvironmental Maps (eds J. Dercourt, L. E. Ricou & B. Vrielynck). Rueil-Malmaison: BEICIP-FRANLAB.
- MARKELLO, J. R. & READ, J. F. 1981. Carbonate ramp-todeeper shale shelf transitions of an Upper Cambrian intrashelf basin, Nolichucky Formation, southwest Virginia Appalachians. *Sedimentology* 28, 573–97.
- MARSAGLIA, K. M. & KLEIN, G. D. 1983. The paleogeography of Paleozoic and Mesozoic storm depositional systems. *Journal of Geology* **91**, 117–42.
- MARTIN, L. G., MONTAÑEZ, I. P. & BISHOP, J. W. 2012. A paleotropical carbonate-dominated archive of Carboniferous icehouse dynamics, Bird Spring Fm., Southern Great Basin, USA. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **329–330**, 64–82.
- MARTIN-ROJAS, I., SOMMA, R., DELGADO, F., ESTÉVEZ, A., IANNACE, A. & ZAMPARELLI, V. 2012. The Triassic platform of the Gador-Turon unit (Alpujarride Complex, Betic Cordillera, S Spain): climate vs. tectonic factors in controlling platform architecture. *Facies* 58, 297–323.
- MERCEDES-MARTÍN, R., ARENAS, C. & SALAS, R. 2014. Diversity and factors controlling widespread occurrence of syn-rift Ladinian microbialites in the western Tethys (Triassic Catalan Basin, NE Spain). Sedimentary Geology 313, 68–90.
- MICHALÍK, J. 1994. Notes on the paleogeography and paleotectonics of the Western Carpathian area during the Mesozoic. *Mitteilungen der Österreichischen Geologischen Gesellschaft* **86**, 101–10.
- MICHALÍK, J., MASARYK, P., LINTNEROVÁ, O., PAPŠOVÁ, J., JENDREJÁKOVÁ, O. & REHÁKOVÁ, D. 1992. Sedimentology and facies of a storm dominated Middle Triassic carbonate ramp (Vysoká Formation, Malé Karpaty Mts., Western Carpathians). *Geologica Carpathica* 43, 213– 30.
- MONTENAT, C., BARRIER, P., OTT D'ESTEVOU, P. & HIBSCH, C. 2007. Seismites: an attempt at critical analysis and classification. *Sedimentary Geology* **196**, 5–30.
- MORETTI, M. & VAN LOON, A. J. 2014. Restrictions to the application of 'diagnostic' criteria for recognizing ancient seismites. *Journal of Palaeogeography* 3, 162–73.
- MOUNT, J. F. & KIDDER, D. 1993. Conbined flow origin of edgewise intraclast conglomerates: Sellick Hill Formation (Lower Cambrian), South Australia. *Sedimentology* 40, 315–29.
- MUKHOPADHYAY, J. & CHAUDHURI, A. K. 2003. Shallow to deep-water deposition in a cratonic basin: an example from the Proterozoic Penganga Group, Pranhita– Godavari Valley, India. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* 21, 613–22.
- MUTTONI, G., GAETANI, M., BUDUROV, K., ZAGORCHEV, I., TRIFONOVA, E., IVANOVA, D., PETROUNOVA, L. & LOWRIE, W. 2000. Middle Triassic paleomagnetic data from northern Bulgaria: constraints on Tethyan magnetostratigraphy and paleogeography. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 160, 223–37.
- MYROW, P. M., TICE, L., ARCHULETA, B., CLARK, B., TAYLOR, J. F. & RIPPERDAN, R. L. 2004. Flat-pebble

conglomerate: its multiple origins and relationship to metre-scale depositional cycles. *Sedimentology* **51**, 973–96.

- NAGY, Z. R. 1999. Platform-basin transition and depositional models for the Upper Triassic (Carnian) Sándorhegy Limestone, Balaton Highland, Hungary. Acta Geologica Hungarica 42, 267–99.
- NAKADA, R., OGAWA, K., SUZUKI, N., TAKAHASHI, S. & TAKAHASHI, Y. 2014. Late Triassic compositional changes of aeolian dusts in the pelagic Panthalassa: response to the continental climatic change. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **393**, 61–75.
- NÜTZEL, A. 2005. Recovery of gastropods in the Early Triassic. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* **4**, 501–15.
- OLIVIER, N., BRAYARD, A., VENNIN, E., ESCARGUEL, G., FARA, E., BYLUND, K. G., JENKS, J. F., CARAVACA, G. & STEPHEN, D. A. 2015. Evolution of depositional settings in the Torrey area during the Smithian (Early Triassic, Utah, USA) and their significance for the biotic recovery. *Geological Journal*. published online 21 February 2015. doi: 10.1002/gj.2663.
- OWEN, G., MORETTI, M. & ALFARO, P. 2011. Recognising triggers for soft-sediment deformation: current understanding and future directions. *Sedimentary Geology* 235, 133–40.
- PÁLFY, J. 2003. The Pelsonian brachiopod fauna of the Balaton Highland. In *The Pelsonian Substage on the Balaton Highland (Middle Triassic, Hungary)* (ed. A. Vörös). *Geologica Hungarica, Series Palaeontologica* 55, 139–58.
- PAYNE, J. L., LEHRMANN, D. J., JIAYONG, W. & KNOLL, A. H. 2006. The pattern and timing of biotic recovery from the End-Permian extinction on the Great Bank of Guizhou, Guizhou Province, China. *Palaios* 21, 63–85.
- PAYNE, J. L., SUMMERS, M., REGO, B. L., ALTINER, D., WEI, J., YU, M. & LEHRMANN, D. J. 2011. Early and Middle Triassic trends in diversity, evenness, and size of foraminifers on a carbonate platform in South China: implications for tempo and mode of biotic recovery from the end-Permian mass extinction. *Paleobiology* 37, 409– 25.
- PEDLEY, M. 1998. A review of sediment distributions and processes in Oligo-Miocene ramps of Southern Italy and Malta (Mediterranean divide). In *Carbonate Ramps* (eds V. P. Wright & T. P. Burchette), pp. 163–80. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 149.
- PEDLEY, M. & CARANNANTE, G. 2006. Cool-water carbonate ramps: a review. In *Cool-water Carbonates: Depositional Systems and Paleoenvironmental Controls* (eds M. Pedley & G. Carannante), pp. 1–9. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 255.
- PEDLEY, H. M., CUGNO, G. & GRASSO, M. 1992. Gravity slide and resedimentation processes in a Miocene carbonate ramp, Hyblean Plateau, southeastern Sicily. *Sediment*ary Geology **79**, 189–202.
- PÉREZ-LÓPEZ, A. & PÉREZ-VALERA, F. 2012. Tempestite facies models for the epicontinental Triassic carbonates of the Betic Cordillera (southern Spain). *Sedimentology* 59, 646–78.
- PÉREZ-VALERA, F. & PÉREZ-LÓPEZ, A. 2008. Stratigraphy and sedimentology of Muschelkalk carbonates of the Southern Iberian Continental Palaeomargin (Siles and Cehegín Formations, Southern Spain). *Facies* 54, 61– 87.
- PHILIP, J. 2003. Peri-Tethyan neritic carbonate areas: distribution through time and driving factors. *Pa-laeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 196, 19–37.

- PLAYTON, T. E. & KERANS, C. 2002. Slope and toe-of-slope deposits shed from a late Wolfcampian tectonically active carbonate ramp margin. *Transactions of the Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies* 52, 811–20.
- POMAR, L. 2001a. Ecological control of sedimentary accommodation: evolution from carbonate ramp to rimmed shelf, Upper Miocene, Balearic Islands. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 175, 249–72.
- POMAR, L. 2001b. Types of carbonate platforms: a genetic approach. *Basin Research* 13, 313–34.
- POMAR, L., BASSANT, P., BRANDANO, M., RUCHONNET, C. & JANSON, X. 2012. Impact of carbonate producing biota on platform architecture: insights from Miocene examples of the Mediterranean region. *Earth-Science Reviews* 113, 186–211.
- POMAR, L., BRANDANO, M. & WESTPHAL, H. 2004. Environmental factors influencing skeletal-grain sediment associations: a critical review of Miocene examples from the Western-Mediterranean. *Sedimentology* 51, 627–51.
- POMAR, L. & HALLOCK, P. 2008. Carbonate factories: a conundrum in sedimentary geology. *Earth-Science Re*views 87, 134–69.
- POMAR, L. & KENDALL, C. G. S. C. 2008. Architecture of carbonate platforms: a response to hydrodynamics and evolving ecology. In *Controls on Carbonate Platform* and Reef Development (eds J. Lukasik & A. Simo), pp. 187–216. SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology), Special Publication no. 89.
- POMAR, L., OBRADOR, A. & WESTPHAL, H. 2002. Sub-wavebase cross-bedded grainstones on a distally steepened carbonate ramp, Upper Miocene, Menorca, Spain. *Sedimentology* 49, 139–69.
- POMONI-PAPAIOANNOU, F. 2008. Facies analysis of Lofer cycles (Upper Triassic) in the Argolis Peninsula (Greece). Sedimentary Geology 208, 79–87.
- POSENATO, R. 2008. Patterns of bivalve biodiversity from Early to Middle Triassic in the Southern Alps (Italy): regional vs. global events. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 261, 145–59.
- POSENATO, R., HOLMER, L. E. & PRINOTH, H. 2014. Adaptive strategies and environmental significance of lingulid brachiopods across the late Permian extinction. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **399**, 373–84.
- PRATT, B. R. 2010. Peritidal carbonates. In *Facies Models 4* (eds N. P. James & R. W. Dalrymple), pp. 401–20. St John's: Geological Association of Canada.
- PRETO, N., KUSTATSCHER, E. & WIGNALL, P. B. 2010. Triassic climates – state of the art and perspectives. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 290, 1–10.
- PRETO, N., SPÖTL, C., MIETTO, P., GIANOLLA, P., RIVA, A. & MANFRIN, S. 2005. Aragonite dissolution, sedimentation rates and carbon isotopes in deep-water hemipelagites (Livinallongo Formation, Middle Triassic, northern Italy). Sedimentary Geology 181, 173–94.
- PRUSS, S. B. & BOTTJER, D. J. 2005. The reorganization of reef communities following the end-Permian mass extinction. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 4, 553–68.
- PRUSS, S. B., CORSETTI, F. & BOTTJER, D. J. 2005. The unusual sedimentary rock record of the Early Triassic: a case study from the southwestern United States. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 222, 33–52.
- PUGA-BERNABÉU, Á., MARTÍN, J. M., BRAGA, J. C. & AGUIRRE, J. 2014. Offshore remobilization processes and deposits in low-energy temperate-water carbonateramp systems: examples from the Neogene basins of the

Betic Cordillera (SE Spain). *Sedimentary Geology* **304**, 11–27.

- READ, J. F. 1982. Carbonate platforms of passive (extensional) continental margins types, characteristics and evolution. *Tectonophysics* 81, 195–212.
- READ, J. F. 1985. Carbonate platform facies models. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin 69, 1–21.
- READ, J. F. 1998. Phanerozoic carbonate ramps from greenhouse, transitional and ice-house worlds: clues from field and modelling studies. In *Carbonate Ramps* (eds V. P. Wright & T. P. Burchette), pp. 107–36. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 149.
- RIEGL, B., POIRIEZ, A., JANSON, X. & BERGMAN, K. L. 2010. The gulf: facies belts, physical, chemical, and biological parameters of sedimentation on a carbonate ramp. In Carbonate Depositional Systems: Assessing Dimensions and Controlling Parameters. The Bahamas, Belize and the Persian/Arabian Gulf (eds H. Westphal, B. Riegl & G. P. Eberli), pp. 145–213. Berlin: Springer.
- RIES, J. 2010. Review: geological and experimental evidence for secular variation in seawater Mg/Ca (calcitearagonite seas) and its effects on marine biological calcification. *Biogeosciences* 7, 2795–849.
- RIGO, M., PRETO, N., ROGHI, G., TATEO, F. & MIETTO, P. 2007. A rise in the carbonate compensation depth of western Tethys in the Carnian (Late Triassic): deep-water evidence for the Carnian Pluvial Event. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* **246**, 188–205.
- ROGHI, G., GIANOLLA, P., MINARELLI, L., PILATI, C. & PRETO, N. 2010. Palynological correlation of Carnian humid pulses throughout western Tethys. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 290, 89–106.
- ROSALES, I. 1999. Controls on carbonate-platform evolution on active fault blocks: the Lower Cretaceous Castro Urdiales Platform (Aptian-Albian, Northern Spain). *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 69, 447–65.
- RUFFELL, A., SIMMS, M. J. & WIGNALL, P. B. 2015. The Carnian Humid Episode of the late Triassic: a review. *Geological Magazine* **153**, 271–84.
- RÜFFER, T. 1995. Entwicklung einer Karbonat-Plattform: Fazies, Kontrollfaktoren und Sequenzstratigraphie in der Mitteltrias der westlichen Nördlichen Kalkalpen (Tirol, Bayern). *GAEA Heidelbergensis* 1, 1–288.
- RÜFFER, T. & ZAMPARELLI, V. 1997. Facies and biota of Anisian to Carnian carbonate platforms in the Northern Calcareous Alps (Tyrol and Bavaria). *Facies* 37, 115–36.
- RYCHLIŃSKI, T. & SZULC, J. 2005. Facies and sedimentary environments of the Upper Scythian–Carnian succession from the Belanské Tatry Mts., Slovakia. *Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae* **75**, 155–69.
- SANDBERG, P. A. 1983. An oscillating trend in Phanerozoic nonskeletal carbonate mineralogy. *Nature* 305, 19–22.
- SATTERLEY, A. K. 1996. The interpretation of cyclic successions of the Middle and Upper Triassic of the Northern and Southern Alps. *Earth-Science Reviews* **40**, 181–207.
- SATTLER, U., IMMENHAUSER, A., HILLGÄRTNER, H. & ESTEBAN, M. 2005. Characterization, lateral variability and lateral extent of discontinuity surfaces on a carbonate platform (Barremian to Lower Aptian, Oman). *Sedimentology* **52**, 339–61.
- SCHLAGER, W. 2005. Carbonate Sedimentology and Sequence Stratigraphy. SEPM Concepts in Sedimentology 8. Tulsa, OK: SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology), 200 pp.
- SELLWOOD, B. W. & VALDES, P. J. 2006. Mesozoic climates: general circulation models and the rock record. *Sedimentary Geology* **190**, 269–87.

- ŞENGOR, A. M. C., YILMAZ, Y. & SUNGURLU, O. 1984. Tectonics of the Mediterranean Cimmerides: nature and evolution of the western termination of Paleo-Tethys. In *Geological Evolution of the Eastern Mediterranean* (eds J. E. Dixon & A. H. F. Robertson), pp. 77–112. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 17.
- SENOWBARI-DARYAN, B., ZÜHLKE, R., BECHSTÄDT, T. & FLÜGEL, E. 1993. Anisian (Middle Triassic) buildups of the Northern Dolomites (Italy): the recovery of reef communities after the Permian/Triassic crisis. *Facies* 28, 181–256.
- SEYEDMEHDI, Z., GEORGE, A. D. & TUCKER, M. E. 2016. Sequence development of a latest Devonian–Tournaisian distally-steepened mixed carbonate–siliciclastic ramp, Canning Basin, Australia. Sedimentary Geology 333, 164–83.
- SHAO, L., WANG, D., CAI, H., WANG, H., LU, J. & ZHANG, P. 2011. Ramp facies in an intracratonic basin: a case study from the Upper Devonian and Lower Carboniferous in central Hunan, southern China. *Geoscience Frontiers* 2, 409–19.
- SHERMAN, A., NARBONNE, G. & JAMES, N. 2001. Anatomy of a cyclically packaged Mesoproterozoic carbonate ramp in northern Canada. *Sedimentary Geology* 139, 171– 203.
- SIMMS, M. J., RUFFELL, A. H. & JOHNSON, L. A. 1995. Biotic and climatic changes in the Carnian (Triassic) of Europe and adjacent areas. In *In the Shadow of the Dinosaurs. Early Mesozoic Tetrapods* (eds N. C. Fraser & H. D. Sues), pp. 352–65. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- SONG, H. *et al.* (12 co-authors). 2011. Recovery tempo and pattern of marine ecosystems after the end-Permian mass extinction. *Geology* **39**, 739–42.
- SPALLUTO, L. 2012. Facies evolution and sequence chronostratigraphy of a 'mid'-Cretaceous shallow-water carbonate succession of the Apulian Carbonate Platform from the northern Murge area (Apulia, southern Italy). *Facies* 58, 17–36.
- STANLEY, G. D. JR. 1988. The history of early Mesozoic reef communities: a three-step process. *Palaios* 3, 170–83.
- STANLEY, S. M. 2008. Effects of global seawater chemistry on biomineralization: past, present, and future. *Chemical Reviews* 108, 4483–98.
- STANLEY, S. M. & HARDIE, L. A. 1998. Secular oscillations in the carbonate mineralogy of reef-building and sediment-producing organisms driven by tectonically forced shifts in seawater chemistry. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 144, 3–19.
- STANTON, R. J. JR & FLÜGEL, E. 1995. An accretionally distally steepened ramp at an intrashelf basin: an alternative explanation for the Upper Triassic Steinplatte 'reef' (Northern Calcareous Alps, Austria). Sedimentary Geology 95, 269–86.
- STEFANI, M., FURIN, S. & GIANOLLA, P. 2010. The changing climate framework and depositional dynamics of Triassic carbonate platforms from the Dolomites. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 290, 43–57.
- STRASSER, A. 1991. Lagoonal-peritidal sequences in carbonate environments: autocyclic and allocyclic processes. In Cycles and Events in Stratigraphy (eds G. Einsele, W. Ricken & A. Seilacher), pp. 709–21. Berlin: Springer.
- STRASSER, A., HILLGÄRTNER, H., HUG, W. & PITTET, B. 2000. Third-order depositional sequences reflecting Milankovitch cyclicity. *Terra Nova* 12, 303–11.
- STRASSER, A., PITTET, B., HILLGÄRTNER, H. & PASQUIER, J.-B. 1999. Depositional sequences in shallow carbonate

dominated sedimentary systems: concepts for a high resolution analysis. *Sedimentary Geology* **128**, 201–21.

- SUMNER, D. & BEUKES, N. 2006. Sequence stratigrapic development of the Neoarchean Transvaal carbonate platform, Kaapvaal Craton, South Africa. South African Journal of Geology 109, 11–22.
- SUTTNER, L., BASU, A. & MACK, G. 1981. Climate and the origin of quartz arenites. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 51, 1235–46.
- SÝKORA, M., SIBLÍK, M. & SOTÁK, J. 2011. Siliciclastics in the Upper Triassic dolomite formations of the Krížna Unit (Malá Fatra Mountains, Western Carpathians): constraints for the Carnian Pluvial Event in the Fatric Basin. *Geologica Carpathica* 62, 121–38.
- SZULC, J. 2000. Middle Triassic evolution of the northern Peri-Tethys area as influenced by early opening of the Tethys ocean. *Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae* 70, 1–48.
- TESTA, V. & BOSENCE, D. W. J. 1998. Carbonate-siliciclastic sedimentation on a high-energy, ocean-facing, tropical ramp, NE Brazil. In *Carbonate Ramps* (eds V. P. Wright & T. P. Burchette), pp. 55–71. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 149.
- THOMSON, D., RAINBIRD, R. H. & DIX, G. 2014. Architecture of a Neoproterozoic intracratonic carbonate ramp succession: Wynniatt Formation, Amundsen Basin, Arctic Canada. *Sedimentary Geology* **299**, 119–38.
- TÖRÖK, Á. 1998. Controls on development of Mid-Triassic ramps: examples from southern Hungary. In *Carbonate Ramps* (eds V. P. Wright & T. P. Burchette), pp. 339–67. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 149.
- TÖRÖK, Á. 2000. Muschelkalk carbonates in southern Hungary: an overview and comparison to German Muschelkalk. In *Epicontinental Triassic* (eds G. H. Bachmann & I. Lerche), *Zentralblatt für Geologie und Paläontologie* Teil I, 9-10, 1085–1103.
- TORTI, V. & ANGIOLINI, L. 1997. Middle Triassic brachiopods from Val Parina, Bergamasc Alps, Italy. *Rivista Italiana* di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia 103, 149–72.
- TRESCH, J. & STRASSER, A. 2011. Allogenic and autogenic processes combined in the formation of shallow-water carbonate sequences (Middle Berriasian, Swiss and French Jura Mountains). *Swiss Journal of Geosciences* 104, 299–322.
- TRONKOV, D. 1963. Charakter des altkimmerischen Stockwerkbaus, Typ und Zeit der altkimmerischen tektonischen Bewegungen in Nordwest Bulgarian. *Travaux sur la Géologie de Bulgarie, Série Stratigraphie et Tectonique* 5, 171–96 (in Bulgarian with Russian and German summaries).
- TRONKOV, D. 1966. Ein Fall gut ausgedrückten vortriassischen Paläoreliefs in Bulgarien. Bulletin of the 'Strašimir Dimitrov' Institute of Geology 15, 159–68 (in Bulgarian with German summary).
- TRONKOV, D. 1968a. Die Grenze untere Trias mittlere Trias in Bulgarien. *Bulletin of the Geological Institute, Series Paleontology* **17**, 113–31 (in Bulgarian with German summary).
- TRONKOV, D. 1968b. Über die Paläogeographie der Trias in Bulgarien (untertriadisches Festland von Belogradchik). Comptes rendus de l'Académie bulgare des Sciences 21, 363–6.
- TRONKOV, D. 1969. Neue Angaben über das Alter der bunten Gesteine des 'Räts' (obere Trias) in Bulgarien. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie bulgare des Sciences* **22**, 1169– 72.

- TRONKOV, D. 1973. Grundlagen der Stratigraphie der Trias im Belogradčik-Antiklinorium (Nordwest Bulgarien). Bulletin of the Geological Institute, Series Stratigraphy and Lithology 22, 73–98 (in Bulgarian with Russian and German summaries).
- TRONKOV, D. 1976. Triassische Ammoniten-Sukzessionen im Westlichen Balkangebirge in Bulgarien. Comptes rendus de l'Académie bulgare des Sciences 29, 1325–8.
- TRONKOV, D. 1981. Stratigraphy of the Triassic system in part of the West Srednogorie (West Bulgaria). *Geologica Balcanica* 11, 3–20 (in Russian with English summary).
- TRONKOV, D. 1983. Mogila Formation (Lower-Middle Triassic) in the Iskar River valley and Vratsa Balkan (Western Stara planina). *Geologica Balcanica* 13, 37–52 (in Russian with English summary).
- TUCKER, M. E., CALVET, F. & HUNT, D. 1993. Sequence stratigraphy of carbonate ramps: system tracts, models and application to the Muschelkalk carbonate platform of eastern Spain. In Sequence Stratigraphy and Facies Associations (eds H. W. Posamentier et al.), pp. 397–415. International Association of Sedimentologists, Special Publication no. 18.
- TUCKER, M. & GARLAND, J. 2010. High-frequency cycles and their sequence stratigraphic context: orbital forcing and tectonic controls on Devonian cyclicity, Belgium. *Geologica Belgica* 13, 213–40.
- TUCKER, M. E. & WRIGHT, V. P. 1990. Carbonate sedimentology. Oxford: Blackwell, 482 pp.
- TURNER, E. C. 2009. Mesoproterozoic carbonate systems in the Borden Basin, Nunavut. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 46, 915–938.
- TURPIN, M., GRESSIER, V., BAHAMONDE, J. R. & IMMENHAUSER, A. 2014. Component-specific petrographic and geochemical characterization of finegrained carbonates along Carboniferous and Jurassic platform-to-basin transects. *Sedimentary Geology* **300**, 62–85.
- TWITCHETT, R. J. & OJI, T. 2005. Early Triassic recovery of echinoderms. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* **4**, 531–42.
- VAIL, P. R., AUDEMARD, F., BOWMAN, S. A., EISNER, P. N. & PEREZ-CRUZ, C. 1991. The stratigraphic signatures of tectonics, eustasy and sedimentology – an overview. In Cycles and Events in Stratigraphy (eds G. Einsele, W. Ricken & A. Seilacher), pp. 617–59. Berlin: Springer.
- VAN DE KAMP, P. C. 2010. Quartz sand and associated muds derived from felsic plutonic rocks in glacial to tropical humid climates. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 80, 895–918.
- VAPTSAROVA, A., CHEMBERSKI, H. & CHATALOV, G. 1984. Facies and evolution of sedimentary environments during the Triassic period in Bulgaria. *Geologica Balcanica* 14, 57–76 (in Russian with English summary).
- WARRLICH, G., BOSENCE, D. & WALTHAM, D. 2005. 3D and 4D controls on carbonate depositional systems: sedimentological and sequence stratigraphic analysis of an attached carbonate platform and atoll (Miocene, Níjar Basin, SE Spain). Sedimentology 52, 363–89.
- WEI, H., SHEN, J., SCHOEPFER, S. D., KRYSTYN, L., RICHOZ, S. & ALGEO, T. J. 2015. Environmental controls on marine ecosystem recovery following mass extinctions, with an example from the Early Triassic. *Earth-Science Reviews* 149, 108–35.
- WHALEN, M. T. & BEATTY, T. W. 2008. Kamishak Formation, Puale Bay. In *Bristol Bay–Alaska Peninsula Region, Overview of 2004–2007 Geologic Research* (eds R. R. Reifenstuhl & P. L. Decker), pp. 105–29. Alaska Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys, Report of Investigation 2008-1G.

- WILLIAMS, H. D., BURGESS, P. M., WRIGHT, V. P., DELLA PORTA, G. & GRANJEON, D. 2011. Investigating carbonate platform types: multiple controls and a continuum of geometries. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 81, 18– 37.
- WILSON, M. E. J. 2012. Equatorial carbonates: an earth systems approach. *Sedimentology* 59, 1–31.
- WILSON, M. E. J., CHAMBERS, J. L. C., MANNING, C. & NAS, D. S. 2012. Spatio-temporal evolution of a Tertiary carbonate platform margin and adjacent basinal deposits. *Sedimentary Geology* 271–272, 1–27.
- WOODS, A. D. 2013. Microbial ooids and cortoids from the Lower Triassic (Spathian) Virgin Limestone, Nevada, USA: evidence for an Early Triassic microbial bloom in shallow depositional environments. *Global and Planetary Change* **105**, 91–101.
- WOODS, A. D. 2014. Assessing Early Triassic palaeoceanographic conditions via unusual sedimentary fabrics and features. *Earth-Science Reviews* 137, 6–18.
- WRIGHT, V. P. & BURCHETTE, T. P. 1996. Shallowwater carbonate environments. In Sedimentary Environments: Processes, Facies and Stratigraphy, 3rd edn (ed. H. G. Reading), pp. 325–94. Oxford: Blackwell Science.
- WRIGHT, V. P. & BURCHETTE, T. P. 1998. Carbonate ramps: an introduction. In *Carbonate Ramps* (eds V. P. Wright & T. P. Burchette), pp. 1–5. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 149.
- WRIGHT, V. P. & BURGESS, P. M. 2005. The carbonate factory continuum, facies mosaics and microfacies: an appraisal of some of the key concepts underpinning carbonate sedimentology. *Facies* 51, 17–23.
- WRIGHT, V. P. & FAULKNER, T. J. 1990. Sediment dynamics of Early Carboniferous ramps: a proposal. *Geological Journal* 25, 139–44.
- YANG, W. & LEHRMANN, D. J. 2014. Peritidal carbonate cycles induced by carbonate productivity variations: a conceptual model for an isolated Early Triassic green-

house platform in South China. *Journal of Palaeogeo*graphy **3**, 115–26.

- ZAGORCHEV, I. & BUDUROV, K. 1997. Outline of the Triassic paleogeography of Bulgaria. *Albertiana* **19**, 12–24.
- ZAGORCHEV, I. & BUDUROV, K. 2007. Stratigraphic problems of the Moesian Group (Upper Triassic, peri-Tethyan type), Bulgaria. *Geologica Balcanica* **36**, 31–53.
- ZAGORCHEV, I. & DABOVSKI, H. 2009. Triassic geology. In Geology of Bulgaria. Volume II. Part 5. Mesozoic geology (eds I. Zagorchev et al.), pp. 39–130. Sofia: Prof. Marin Drinov Academic Publishing House (in Bulgarian with English summary).
- ZENTMYER, R. A., PUFAHL, P. K., JAMES, N. P. & HIATT, E. E. 2011. Dolomitization on an evaporitic Paleoproterozoic ramp: widespread synsedimentary dolomite in the Denault Formation, Labrador Trough, Canada. *Sedimentary Geology* 238, 116–32.
- ZHANG, Y., CHEN, D., ZHOU, X., GUO, Z., WEI, W. & MUTTI, M. 2015. Depositional facies and stratal cyclicity of dolomites in the Lower Qiulitag Group (Upper Cambrian) in northwestern Tarim Basin, NW China. *Facies* 61, 1–24.
- ZIEGLER, P. A. 1988. Evolution of the Arctic–North Atlantic and the Western Tethys. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir* 43, 198 pp.
- ZIEGLER, P. A. & STAMPFLI, G. M. 2001. Late Paleozoic– Early Mesozoic plate boundary reorganization: collapse of the Variscan orogen and opening of Neotethys. *Natura Bresciana, Annali del Museo Civico di Scienze Naturali* 25, 17–34.
- ZONNEVELD, J. P., BEATTY, T. W., WILLIFORD, K. H., ORCHARD, M. J. & MCROBERTS, C. R. 2010. Stratigraphy and sedimentology of the lower Black Bear Ridge section, British Columbia: candidate for the base-Norian GSSP. *Stratigraphy* 7, 61–82.
- ZÜHLKE, R. 2000. Fazies, hochauflösende Sequenzstratigraphie und Beckenentwicklung im Anis (Mittlere Trias) der Dolomiten (Südalpin, Italien). *GAEA Heidelbergensis* 6, 1–368.