

ON PERFECT POWERS AS SUMS OR DIFFERENCES OF TWO k -GENERALISED PELL NUMBERS

BIJAN KUMAR PATEL  and BIBHU PRASAD TRIPATHY  

(Received 21 October 2024; accepted 16 November 2024)

Abstract

For an integer $k \geq 2$, let $P_n^{(k)}$ be the k -generalised Pell sequence, which starts with $0, \dots, 0, 1$ (k terms), and each term thereafter is given by the recurrence $P_n^{(k)} = 2P_{n-1}^{(k)} + P_{n-2}^{(k)} + \dots + P_{n-k}^{(k)}$. We search for perfect powers, which are sums or differences of two k -generalised Pell numbers.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 11B39; secondary 11D61, 11J86.

Keywords and phrases: k -generalised Pell numbers, linear forms in logarithms, reduction method.

1. Introduction

The Pell sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is the binary recurrence sequence given by

$$P_{n+2} = 2P_{n+1} + P_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

with the initial terms $P_0 = 0$ and $P_1 = 1$.

Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. We consider a generalisation of the Pell sequence known as the k -generalised Pell sequence: $\{P_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq -(k-2)}$ is given by the recurrence

$$P_n^{(k)} = 2P_{n-1}^{(k)} + P_{n-2}^{(k)} + \dots + P_{n-k}^{(k)} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2,$$

with the initial terms $P_{-(k-2)}^{(k)} = P_{-(k-3)}^{(k)} = \dots = P_0^{(k)} = 0$ and $P_1^{(k)} = 1$. We shall refer to $P_n^{(k)}$ as the n th k -generalised Pell number. This generalisation is a family of sequences, with each new choice of k producing a unique sequence. For example, if $k = 2$, we get $P_n^{(2)} = P_n$, the n th Pell number.

There are several studies dealing with Diophantine equations involving perfect powers and Pell numbers. For instance, Pethő [12] studied all perfect powers in the Pell sequence, where he showed that the only positive integer solutions (n, y, s) to

$$P_n = y^s$$

with $s \geq 2$ are $(n, y, s) = (1, 1, s)$ and $(7, 13, 2)$. Later, Aboudja *et al.* [1] extended Pethő's work by considering two Pell numbers and, for $s \geq 2$, studied the Diophantine

equation

$$P_n \pm P_m = y^s. \tag{1.1}$$

In particular, they found all solutions of (1.1) in positive integers (n, m, y, s) under the assumption $n \equiv m \pmod{2}$. This problem is still unsolved for $n \not\equiv m \pmod{2}$. Recently, Şiar *et al.* [14] investigated the Diophantine equation

$$P_n^{(k)} = y^m \tag{1.2}$$

in positive integers n, m with $y, k \geq 2$. They found that (1.2) has only one solution $(n, m, k, y) = (7, 2, 2, 13)$ for $2 \leq y \leq 1000$.

Motivated by these results, we study the Diophantine equation

$$P_n^{(k)} \pm P_m^{(k)} = y^a \tag{1.3}$$

in positive integers n, m, k and a with $y \geq 2, k \geq 3$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$. Our main result is as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *All the solutions of the Diophantine equation (1.3) in positive integers with $2 \leq y \leq 200$ and $k \geq 3$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} P_2^{(k)} + P_2^{(k)} &= 2^2 & \text{for all } k \geq 3, & & P_5^{(k)} + P_2^{(k)} &= 6^2 & \text{for all } k \geq 3, \\ P_3^{(k)} - P_1^{(k)} &= 2^2 & \text{for all } k \geq 3, & & P_4^{(k)} - P_3^{(k)} &= 2^3 & \text{for all } k \geq 3, \\ P_5^{(k)} - P_2^{(k)} &= 2^5 & \text{for all } k \geq 4, & & P_7^{(k)} - P_6^{(k)} &= 12^2 & \text{for all } k \geq 6, \\ P_8^{(k)} - P_5^{(k)} &= 24^2 & \text{for all } k \geq 7, & & P_7^{(3)} + P_2^{(3)} &= 6^3, & P_8^{(4)} + P_5^{(4)} &= 5^4, \\ P_8^{(4)} + P_5^{(4)} &= 25^2, & P_9^{(6)} + P_6^{(6)} &= 41^2, & P_{12}^{(7)} + P_{10}^{(7)} &= 2^{15}, & P_{12}^{(7)} + P_{10}^{(7)} &= 8^5, \\ P_{12}^{(7)} + P_{10}^{(7)} &= 32^3, & P_5^{(3)} - P_1^{(3)} &= 2^5, & P_8^{(3)} - P_5^{(3)} &= 2^9, & P_8^{(3)} - P_5^{(3)} &= 8^3, \\ P_9^{(4)} - P_6^{(4)} &= 38^2, & P_{10}^{(4)} - P_2^{(4)} &= 63^2, & P_{11}^{(6)} - P_7^{(6)} &= 22^3. \end{aligned}$$

We briefly describe our method before going into more detail. We first obtain an upper bound for n and m in terms of k by applying Matveev’s result on linear forms in logarithms [11]. When k is small, we may address our problem computationally by reducing the range of possible values using a result of Dujella and Pethö [8]. When k is large, the dominant root of the k -generalised Pell sequence is exponentially close to ϕ^2 where $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ (see [4, Lemma 2]), so we apply this estimate in our computations to complete the proof.

2. Auxiliary results

This section is devoted to gathering several definitions, notation, properties and results that will be used in the rest of this study.

2.1. Linear forms in logarithms. Let γ be an algebraic number of degree d with minimal primitive polynomial

$$f(Y) := b_0Y^d + b_1Y^{d-1} + \dots + b_d = b_0 \prod_{j=1}^d (Y - \gamma^{(j)}) \in \mathbb{Z}[Y],$$

where the b_j are relatively prime integers, with $b_0 > 0$, and the $\gamma^{(j)}$ are the conjugates of γ . Then, the logarithmic height of γ is given by

$$h(\gamma) = \frac{1}{d} \left(\log b_0 + \sum_{j=1}^d \log(\max\{|\gamma^{(j)}|, 1\}) \right).$$

THEOREM 2.1 (Matveev [11]; see also [7, Theorem 9.4]). *Let η_1, \dots, η_s be positive real algebraic numbers in a real algebraic number field \mathbb{L} of degree $d_{\mathbb{L}}$. Let a_1, \dots, a_s be nonzero integers such that*

$$\Lambda := \eta_1^{a_1} \cdots \eta_s^{a_s} - 1 \neq 0.$$

Then,

$$\log |\Lambda| \geq -1.4 \cdot 30^{s+3} \cdot s^{4.5} \cdot d_{\mathbb{L}}^2 (1 + \log d_{\mathbb{L}})(1 + \log D) \cdot B_1 \cdots B_s,$$

where

$$D \geq \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_s|\}$$

and

$$B_j \geq \max\{d_{\mathbb{L}} h(\eta_j), |\log \eta_j|, 0.16\} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, s.$$

2.2. The reduction method. Our next tool is a version of the reduction method of Baker and Davenport (see [2]). Here, we use a slight variant of the version given by Dujella and Pethö (see [8]). For a real number x , we write $\|x\|$ for the distance from x to the nearest integer.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let M be a positive integer, p/q be a convergent of the continued fraction of the irrational τ such that $q > 6M$, and A, B, μ be some real numbers with $A > 0$ and $B > 1$. Furthermore, let*

$$\epsilon := \|\mu q\| - M \cdot \|\tau q\|.$$

If $\epsilon > 0$, then there is no solution to the inequality

$$0 < |\mu\tau - \nu + \mu| < AB^{-w}$$

in positive integers u, ν and w with

$$u \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad w \geq \frac{\log(Aq/\epsilon)}{\log B}.$$

2.3. Properties of the k -generalised Pell sequence. The characteristic polynomial of the k -generalised Pell sequence is

$$\Phi_k(x) = x^k - 2x^{k-1} - x^{k-2} - \cdots - x - 1.$$

This polynomial is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and it has one positive real root $\gamma := \gamma(k)$ which is located between $\phi^2(1 - \phi^{-k})$ and ϕ^2 and lies outside the unit circle (see [6]). The other roots all lie inside the unit circle. To simplify the notation, we will omit the dependence of γ on k whenever no confusion may arise.

For an integer $k \geq 2$, the Binet formula for $P_n^{(k)}$ found in [6] is

$$P_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^k g_k(\gamma_i)\gamma_i^n, \tag{2.1}$$

where the γ_i are the roots of the characteristic polynomial $\Phi_k(x)$ and the function g_k is given by

$$g_k(z) := \frac{z - 1}{(k + 1)z^2 - 3kz + k - 1}.$$

It is also shown in [6, Theorem 3.1] that the roots located inside the unit circle have minimal influence on (2.1), giving the approximation

$$|P_n^{(k)} - g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n| < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2 - k. \tag{2.2}$$

Furthermore, from [6, Theorem 3.1],

$$\gamma^{n-2} \leq P_n^{(k)} \leq \gamma^{n-1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

LEMMA 2.3 [4, Lemma 1]. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Then,*

$$0.276 < g_k(\gamma) < 0.5 \quad \text{and} \quad |g_k(\gamma_i)| < 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq k.$$

Furthermore, the logarithmic height of $g_k(\gamma)$ satisfies

$$h(g_k(\gamma)) < 4k \log \phi + k \log(k + 1) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 2. \tag{2.3}$$

LEMMA 2.4 [3, Lemma 2.2]; see also [4, Lemma 2]. *If $k \geq 30$ and $n \geq 1$ are integers such that $n < \phi^{k/2}$, then*

$$g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n = \frac{\phi^{2n}}{\phi + 2}(1 + \xi) \quad \text{where} \quad |\xi| < \frac{4}{\phi^{k/2}}.$$

2.4. Useful lemmas. We conclude this section by recalling two lemmas that we will need.

LEMMA 2.5 [5, Lemma 8]. *For any nonzero real number x :*

- (a) $0 < x < |e^x - 1|$;
- (b) *if $x < 0$ and $|e^x - 1| < 1/2$, then $|x| < 2|e^x - 1|$.*

LEMMA 2.6 [13, Lemma 7]. *If $m \geq 1$, $S \geq (4m^2)^m$ and $x/(\log x)^m < S$, then $x < 2^m S(\log S)^m$.*

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. Preliminary considerations. We begin our analysis of (1.3) for $1 \leq n \leq k + 1$. In this case, it is known that $P_n^{(k)} = F_{2n-1}$, and thus (1.3) becomes

$$F_{2n-1} \pm F_{2m-1} = y^a,$$

which has no solution for $1 \leq m \leq n$ according to the results of [9] and [10]. From now on, we assume that $n \geq k + 2$ and $k \geq 3$.

Let us now get an initial relation between *a* and *n*. Combining (1.3) with the fact $\phi^2(1 - \phi^{-3}) < \gamma(k) < \phi^2$ for all $k \geq 3$, we have

$$y^a \leq \gamma^{n-1} \pm \gamma^{m-1} < \phi^{2(n-1)} \pm \phi^{2(m-1)} = \phi^{2(n-1)}(1 \pm \phi^{2(m-n)}) < 2 \cdot \phi^{2(n-1)}.$$

We deduce that

$$a < \left(\frac{\log 2}{\log y}\right) + 2(n - 1)\left(\frac{\log \phi}{\log y}\right),$$

which leads to

$$a < 1.4n - 0.4 < 1.4n \quad \text{for } n \geq 5. \tag{3.1}$$

3.2. Bounding *n* in terms of *k*. In this subsection, we will bound *n* in terms of *k* by proving the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *If (n, m, k, y, a) is a solution of (1.3) with k ≥ 3 and n ≥ m ≥ 1, then*

$$n < 9.67 \times 10^{29} k^9 \log^5 k.$$

PROOF. By using (1.3), (2.2) and taking absolute values, we obtain

$$|y^a - g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n| < \frac{1}{2} \pm P_m^{(k)} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \gamma^{m-1}.$$

Dividing both sides of this inequality by $g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n$ and using $g_k(\gamma) > 0.276$, we obtain

$$|y^a \gamma^{-n} (g_k(\gamma))^{-1} - 1| < \frac{1.82}{\gamma^n} + \frac{1.82}{\gamma^{n-m}} < \frac{3.64}{\gamma^{n-m}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let

$$\Lambda_1 := y^a \gamma^{-n} (g_k(\gamma))^{-1} - 1. \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.2),

$$|\Lambda_1| < 3.64 \cdot \gamma^{-(n-m)}. \tag{3.4}$$

If $\Lambda_1 = 0$, then $g_k(\gamma) = y^a \gamma^{-n}$, which implies that $g_k(\gamma)$ is an algebraic integer, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$. To apply Theorem 2.1 to Λ_1 given by (3.3), we take the parameters

$$\eta_1 := y, \quad \eta_2 := \gamma, \quad \eta_3 := g_k(\gamma), \quad a_1 := a, \quad a_2 := -n, \quad a_3 := -1.$$

Note that the algebraic numbers η_1, η_2, η_3 belong to the field $\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$, so we can take $d_{\mathbb{L}} = [\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{Q}] \leq k$. Since $h(\eta_1) = \log y$, we find $h(\eta_2) = (\log \gamma)/k < (2 \log \phi)/k$ and $h(\eta_3) < 4k \log \phi + k \log(k + 1) < 5k \log k$ for all $k \geq 3$. So it follows that $B_1 := k \log y$, $B_2 := 2 \log \phi$ and $B_3 := 5k^2 \log k$. In addition, by (3.1), we can take $D := 1.4n$. Then, by Theorem 2.1,

$$\log |\Lambda_1| > -1.432 \times 10^{11} (k)^2 (1 + \log k) (1 + \log 1.4n) (k \log y) (2 \log \phi) (5k^2 \log k). \tag{3.5}$$

Combining the inequality (3.5) with (3.4) gives

$$(n - m) \log \gamma - \log 3.64 < 6.9 \times 10^{11} k^5 (1 + \log 1.4n) (1 + \log k) (\log y) (\log k).$$

Using the facts $1 + \log k < 2 \log k$ for all $k \geq 3$ and $1 + \log 1.4n < 1.9 \log n$ for all $n \geq 5$, we obtain

$$(n - m) \log \gamma < 2.64 \times 10^{12} k^5 \log^2 k \log n \log y.$$

Now from (1.3),

$$|y^a - g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n(1 \pm \gamma^{m-n})| \leq |P_n^{(k)} - g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n| \pm |P_m^{(k)} - g_k(\gamma)\gamma^m| \leq 1.$$

Dividing both sides of the above inequality by $g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n(1 \pm \gamma^{m-n})$ yields

$$|y^a \gamma^{-n} (g_k(\gamma)(1 \pm \gamma^{m-n}))^{-1} - 1| < \frac{1.82}{\gamma^n}, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\Lambda_2 := y^a \gamma^{-n} (g_k(\gamma)(1 \pm \gamma^{m-n}))^{-1} - 1.$$

For the left-hand side, we apply Theorem 2.1 with the parameters

$$\eta_1 := y, \quad \eta_2 := \gamma, \quad \eta_3 := (g_k(\gamma))(1 \pm \gamma^{m-n}), \quad a_1 := a, \quad a_2 := -n, \quad a_3 := -1.$$

As before, $\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ contains η_1, η_2, η_3 and has degree k . Here, $\Lambda_2 \neq 0$. Indeed, if it were zero, we would get

$$y^a = g_k(\gamma)(\gamma^{n-1} \pm \gamma^{m-1}).$$

Conjugating this relation by an automorphism σ of the Galois group of $\Phi_k(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} such that $\sigma(\gamma) = \gamma^{(i)}$ for some $i > 1$ and then taking absolute values,

$$y^a = |g_k(\gamma^{(i)})||\gamma^{(i)n-1} \pm \gamma^{(i)m-1}| < 2,$$

which is impossible. Hence, $\Lambda_2 \neq 0$. Since $h(\eta_1) = \log y$ and $h(\eta_2) = (\log \gamma)/k < (2 \log \phi)/k$, it follows that $B_1 := k \log y$ and $B_2 := 2 \log \phi$. Therefore, by the estimate (2.3) and the properties of the logarithmic height, it follows that for all $k \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\eta_3) &< h(g_k(\gamma)) + h(1 \pm \gamma^{m-n}) \\ &< 4k \log \phi + k \log(k + 1) + |m - n|h(\gamma) + \log 2 \\ &< 5k \log k + (n - m) \log \gamma + \log 2 \\ &< 2.65 \times 10^{12} k^5 (\log n)(\log y)(\log^2 k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain $B_3 := 2.65 \times 10^{12} k^6 (\log n)(\log y)(\log^2 k)$. In addition, by (3.1), we can take $D := 1.4n$. Then, by Theorem 2.1,

$$-\log |\Lambda_2| < 3.66 \times 10^{23} k^9 (1 + \log k)(1 + \log 1.4n)(\log^2 k)(\log n)(\log^2 y). \tag{3.7}$$

Combining the inequality (3.7) with (3.6) gives

$$n \log \gamma - \log 1.82 < 3.66 \times 10^{23} k^9 (1 + \log k)(1 + \log 1.4n)(\log^2 k)(\log n)(\log^2 y).$$

Using the facts $1 + \log k < 2 \log k$ for all $k \geq 3$, $1 + \log 1.4n < 1.9 \log n$ for all $n \geq 5$ and $y \leq 200$, it follows that

$$n < 5.9 \times 10^{25} k^9 \log^3 k \log^2 n.$$

This leads to

$$\frac{n}{\log^2 n} < 5.9 \times 10^{25} k^9 \log^3 k. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, putting $S := 5.9 \times 10^{25} k^9 \log^3 k$ and using Lemma 2.6 in (3.8), and noting that $59.33 + 9 \log k + 3 \log(\log k) < 64 \log k$ for all $k \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} n &< 4(5.9 \times 10^{25} k^9 \log^3 k)(\log(5.9 \times 10^{25} k^9 \log^3 k))^2 \\ &< (2.36 \times 10^{26} k^9 \log^3 k)(59.33 + 9 \log k + 3 \log(\log k))^2 \\ &< 9.67 \times 10^{29} k^9 \log^5 k. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

3.3. The case when $3 \leq k \leq 570$. In the previous section, we obtained a very large upper bound of n . We apply Lemma 2.2 to reduce the upper bound by means of the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *If (n, m, k, y, a) is an integer solution of (1.3) with $3 \leq k \leq 570$ and $n \geq k + 2$, then $n \leq 314$.*

PROOF. To apply Lemma 2.2, we define

$$\Gamma_1 := a \log y - n \log \gamma - \log g_k(\gamma). \tag{3.9}$$

Then, $e^{\Gamma_1} - 1 := \Lambda_1$, where Λ_1 is defined by (3.3). Therefore, (3.4) implies that

$$|e^{\Gamma_1} - 1| < \frac{3.64}{\gamma^{-(n-m)}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Note that $\Gamma_1 \neq 0$. Thus, we distinguish the following cases. If $\Gamma_1 > 0$, then we can apply Lemma 2.5(a) to obtain

$$0 < \Gamma_1 < e^{\Gamma_1} - 1 < 3.64 \cdot \gamma^{-(n-m)}.$$

If $\Gamma_1 < 0$, then from (3.10), $|e^{\Gamma_1} - 1| < 1/2$ and therefore, $e^{|\Gamma_1|} < 2$. Thus, by Lemma 2.5(b),

$$0 < |\Gamma_1| \leq e^{|\Gamma_1|} - 1 = e^{|\Gamma_1|} |e^{\Gamma_1} - 1| < 7.28 \cdot \gamma^{-(n-m)}.$$

So in both cases,

$$0 < |\Gamma_1| < 7.28 \cdot \gamma^{-(n-m)}. \tag{3.11}$$

Inserting (3.9) into (3.11) and dividing both sides by $\log \gamma$,

$$\left| a \left(\frac{\log y}{\log \gamma} \right) - n + \frac{\log g_k(\gamma)}{\log \gamma} \right| < 10.51 \cdot \gamma^{-(n-m)}. \tag{3.12}$$

With

$$\tau = \tau(k) := \frac{\log y}{\log \gamma}, \quad \mu = \mu(k) := \frac{\log g_k(\gamma)}{\log \gamma}, \quad A := 10.51 \quad \text{and} \quad B := \gamma,$$

the inequality (3.12) yields

$$0 < |a\tau - n + \mu| < A \cdot B^{-(n-m)}.$$

Note that τ is an irrational number. We take $M_k := \lfloor 9.67 \times 10^{29} k^9 \log^5 k \rfloor$ which is an upper bound on n . Then, by Lemma 2.2, for each $k \in [3, 570]$,

$$n - m < \frac{\log(Aq/\epsilon)}{\log B},$$

where $q = q(k) > 6M_k$ is a denominator of a convergent of the continued fraction of τ with $\epsilon = \epsilon(k) := \|\mu q\| - M_k \|\tau q\| > 0$. A computer search with Mathematica found that for $k \in [3, 530]$, the maximum value of $\log(Aq/\epsilon)/\log B$ is < 233 .

Assuming $1 \leq n - m \leq 232$, we consider

$$\Gamma_2 := a \log y - n \log \gamma - \log \mu(k, n - m),$$

where $\mu(k, n - m) := g_k(\gamma)(1 \pm \gamma^{n-m})$. Therefore, (3.6) can be written as

$$|e^{\Gamma_2} - 1| < \frac{1.82}{\gamma^n}.$$

In this case, $\Gamma_2 \neq 0$. If $\Gamma_2 > 0$, we apply Lemma 2.5(a) to obtain $|\Gamma_2| < 1.82 \cdot \gamma^{-n}$. If $\Gamma_2 < 0$, then $|e^{\Gamma_2} - 1| < 1/2$ for all $n \geq 2$. Thus, by Lemma 2.5(b), $|\Gamma_2| < 2|e^{\Gamma_1} - 1| < 3.64 \cdot \gamma^{-n}$. In any case,

$$0 < |\Gamma_2| < 3.64 \cdot \gamma^{-n}. \tag{3.13}$$

Replacing Γ_2 in (3.13) by its formula and dividing through by $\log \gamma$ yields

$$0 < |a\tau - n + \mu| < A \cdot B^{-n}, \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\tau = \tau(k) := \frac{\log y}{\log \gamma}, \quad \mu = \mu(k) := -\frac{\log \mu(k, n - m)}{\log \gamma}, \quad A := 5.26 \quad \text{and} \quad B := \gamma.$$

Here, we put $M_k := \lfloor 9.67 \times 10^{29} k^9 \log^5 k \rfloor$ and as we explained before, we apply Lemma 2.2 to inequality (3.14) to obtain an upper bound on n . Indeed, with the help of MATHEMATICA, we find that if $k \in [3, 570]$ and $n - m \in [1, 232]$, then the maximum value of $\log(Aq/\epsilon)/\log B$ is < 315 . □

3.4. The case when $k > 570$. In this subsection, our goal is to prove the following lemma which shows that there are no solutions when $k > 570$ and $n \geq k + 2$.

LEMMA 3.3. *The Diophantine equation (1.3) has no solution for $n \geq k + 2$ and $k > 570$.*

PROOF. After Lemma 3.1, for $k > 570$,

$$n < 9.67 \times 10^{29} k^9 \log^5 k < \phi^{k/2}.$$

It follows from (1.3) and (2.2) that

$$|y^a - g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n - g_k(\gamma)\gamma^m| = |P_n^{(k)} - g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n| \pm |P_m^{(k)} - g_k(\gamma)\gamma^m| < 1.$$

The above inequality together with Lemma 2.4 give

$$\left| y^a - \frac{\phi^{2n}}{\phi+2}(1+\xi) - \frac{\phi^{2m}}{\phi+2}(1+\xi) \right| < 1.$$

This implies that

$$\left| y^a - \frac{\phi^{2n}}{\phi+2} - \frac{\phi^{2m}}{\phi+2} \right| < 1 + \frac{\phi^{2n}}{\phi+2} \cdot \frac{4}{\phi^{k/2}} + \frac{\phi^{2m}}{\phi+2} \cdot \frac{4}{\phi^{k/2}}.$$

Dividing both sides of the above inequality by $\phi^{2n}/(\phi+2)$,

$$\left| \frac{y^a(\phi+2)}{\phi^{2n}} - 1 - \phi^{2(m-n)} \right| < \frac{\phi+2}{\phi^{2n}} + \frac{4}{\phi^{k/2}} + \frac{4 \cdot \phi^{2(m-n)}}{\phi^{k/2}}. \quad (3.15)$$

Since $n \geq k+2$ and $n \geq m$, (3.15) becomes

$$\left| 1 + \phi^{2(m-n)} - \frac{y^a(\phi+2)}{\phi^{2n}} \right| < \frac{11.618}{\phi^{k/2}}.$$

However, the above inequality is impossible for all $y \in [2, 200]$ and $k > 570$. \square

3.5. The final computation. As a result of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, if (n, m, k, y, a) is a solution of the Diophantine equation (1.3), then

$$3 \leq k \leq 570, \quad k+2 \leq n \leq 314 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq m \leq n.$$

We checked this range using MATHEMATICA to conclude that all the solutions to the Diophantine equation (1.3) are listed in the statement of Theorem 1.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for useful comments.

References

- [1] H. Aboudja, M. Hernane, S. E. Rihane and A. Togbé, 'On perfect powers that are sums of two Pell numbers', *Period. Math. Hungar.* **82** (2021), 11–15.
- [2] A. Baker and H. Davenport, 'The equations $3x^2 - 2 = y^2$ and $8x^2 - 7 = z^2$ ', *Q. J. Math. Oxf. Ser. (2)* **20** (1969), 129–137.
- [3] J. J. Bravo and J. L. Herrera, 'Fibonacci numbers in generalized Pell sequences', *Math. Slovaca* **70**(5) (2020), 1057–1068.
- [4] J. J. Bravo and J. L. Herrera, 'Repdigits in generalized Pell sequences', *Arch. Math. (Brno)* **56**(4) (2020), 249–262.
- [5] J. J. Bravo, J. L. Herrera and F. Luca, 'Common values of generalized Fibonacci and Pell sequences', *J. Number Theory* **226** (2021), 51–71.
- [6] J. J. Bravo, J. L. Herrera and F. Luca, 'On a generalization of the Pell sequence', *Math. Bohem.* **146**(2) (2021), 199–213.

- [7] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte and S. Siksek, 'Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations. I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers', *Ann. of Math. (2)* **163**(3) (2006), 969–1018.
- [8] A. Dujella and A. Pethö, 'A generalization of a theorem of Baker and Davenport', *Quart. J. Math. Oxf. Ser. (2)* **49**(195) (1998), 291–306.
- [9] S. Kebli, O. Kihel, J. Larone and F. Luca, 'On the nonnegative integer solutions to the equation $F_n \pm F_m = y^a$ ', *J. Number Theory* **220** (2021), 107–127.
- [10] O. Kihel and J. Larone, 'On the nonnegative integer solutions of the equation $F_n \pm F_m = y^a$ ', *Quaest. Math.* **44**(8) (2021), 1133–1139.
- [11] E. M. Matveev, 'An explicit lower bound for a homogeneous rational linear form in the logarithms of algebraic numbers II', *Izv. Mat.* **64**(6) (2000), 1217–1269.
- [12] A. Pethö, 'The Pell sequence contains only trivial perfect powers', *Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai* **60** (1991), 561–568.
- [13] S. G. Sanchez and F. Luca, 'Linear combinations of factorials and S -units in a binary recurrence sequence', *Ann. Math. Qué.* **38** (2014), 169–188.
- [14] Z. Şiar, R. Keskin and E. S. Oztas, 'On perfect powers in k -generalized Pell sequence', *Math. Bohem.* **148**(4), (2023), 507–518.

BIJAN KUMAR PATEL, P. G. Department of Mathematics,
Government Women's College, Sambalpur University,
Sundargarh 770001, Odisha, India
e-mail: iiit.bijan@gmail.com

BIBHU PRASAD TRIPATHY, Department of Mathematics,
School of Applied Sciences, KIIT University, Bhubaneswar 751024, Odisha, India
e-mail: bptbibhu@gmail.com