# Improved Bounds for the Ramsey Number of Tight Cycles Versus Cliques

# DHRUV MUBAYI<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL 60607, USA (e-mail: mubayi@uic.edu)

Received 3 February 2016; revised 8 February 2016; first published online 8 March 2016

The 3-uniform tight cycle  $C_s^3$  has vertex set  $\mathbb{Z}_s$  and edge set  $\{\{i, i+1, i+2\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_s\}$ . We prove that for every  $s \neq 0 \pmod{3}$  with  $s \geq 16$  or  $s \in \{8, 11, 14\}$  there is a  $c_s > 0$  such that the 3-uniform hypergraph Ramsey number  $r(C_s^3, K_n^3)$  satisfies

$$r(C_s^3, K_n^3) < 2^{c_s n \log n}$$

This answers in a strong form a question of the author and Rödl, who asked for an upper bound of the form  $2^{n^{1+\epsilon_s}}$  for each fixed  $s \ge 4$ , where  $\epsilon_s \to 0$  as  $s \to \infty$  and *n* is sufficiently large. The result is nearly tight as the lower bound is known to be exponential in *n*.

2010 Mathematics subject classification : Primary 05C65 Secondary 05D10

## 1. Introduction

A triple system or 3-graph H with vertex set V(H) is a collection of 3-element subsets of V(H). Write  $K_n^3$  for the complete 3-graph with vertex set of size n. Given 3-graphs F, G, the Ramsey number r(F,G) is the minimum n such that every red/blue colouring of  $K_n^3$  results in a monochromatic red copy of F or a monochromatic blue copy of G. In this paper we consider the 3-graph Ramsey number of cycles versus complete 3-graphs.

For fixed  $s \ge 3$  the graph Ramsey number  $r(C_s, K_n)$  has been extensively studied. The case s = 3 is one of the oldest questions in Ramsey theory and it is known that

$$r(C_3, K_n) = \Theta(n^2 / \log n)$$

(see [1, 9] and [3, 8] for recent improvements). The next case  $r(C_4, K_n)$  seems substantially more difficult. An old open problem of Erdős [6] asks whether there is a positive  $\epsilon$  for which  $r(C_4, K_n) = O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ . The current best upper bound  $r(C_4, K_n) = O(n^2/\log^2 n)$  is an unpublished result of Szemerédi which was re-proved in [4], and the current best lower

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1300138.

bound is  $\Omega(n^{3/2}/\log n)$  from [2]. For longer cycles, the best known bounds can be found in [2, 13], and the order of magnitude of  $r(C_s, K_n)$  is not known for any fixed  $s \ge 4$ .

There are several natural ways to define a cycle in hypergraphs. Here we consider tight cycles. For s > 3, the *tight cycle*  $C_s^3$  is the 3-graph with vertex set  $\mathbb{Z}_s$  (integers modulo s) and edge set

$$\{\{i, i+1, i+2\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_s\}$$

We can view the vertex set of  $C_s^3$  as s points on a circle and the edge set as the s subintervals each containing three consecutive vertices.

The author and Rödl [11] investigated the hypergraph Ramsey number  $r(C_s^3, K_n^3)$  for fixed  $s \ge 5$  and large *n*. When  $s \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  the tight cycle  $C_s^3$  is 3-partite, and in this case it is trivial to observe that  $r(C_s^3, K_n^3)$  grows like a polynomial in *n*. Determining the growth rate of this polynomial appears to be a very difficult problem, and the order of magnitude is not known for any s > 3. When  $s \neq 0 \pmod{3}$  the Ramsey number is exponential in *n* as shown in [11].

**Theorem 1.1 ([11]).** Fix  $s \ge 5$  and  $s \ne 0 \pmod{3}$ . There are positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$2^{c_1 n} < r(C_s^3, K_n^3) < 2^{c_2 n^2 \log n}.$$

Note that when s = 4, the cycle  $C_4^3$  is  $K_4^3$ ; in this case the lower bound was proved much earlier by Erdős and Hajnal [7], and in fact has been improved to  $2^{c_1 n \log n}$  more recently by Conlon, Fox and Sudakov [5].

As s gets large, the tight cycle  $C_s^3$  becomes sparser, so one might expect that  $r(C_s^3, K_n^3)$  decreases as a function of n (for fixed s). This was asked by Rödl and the author in [11].

**Problem 1.2 ([11]).** Show that for each fixed  $s \ge 4$  there exists  $\epsilon_s$  such that  $\epsilon_s \to 0$  as  $s \to \infty$  and

$$r(C_s^3, K_n^3) < 2^{n^{1+\epsilon_s}}$$

for all sufficiently large n.

In this short note we give an affirmative answer to this problem by proving the following stronger upper bound.

**Theorem 1.3.** Fix a positive integer  $s \neq 0 \pmod{3}$  such that  $s \ge 16$  or  $s \in \{8, 11, 14\}$ . There is a positive constant  $c_s$  such that

$$r(C_s^3, K_n^3) < 2^{c_s n \log n}$$
.

Proving a similar result for small values of s remains an open problem, in particular, for the cases  $s \in \{4, 5, 7, 10, 13\}$ .

# 2. Proof

The proof of Theorem 1.3 has three components. The first is an observation from [11] that the supersaturation phenomenon from extremal hypergraph theory can be applied to hypergraph Ramsey problems. The crucial new observation here is that we can apply this idea to families of hypergraphs rather than just individual ones. The second component is a strengthening of the original proof due to Erdős and Hajnal [7] that  $r(K_4^3 \setminus e, K_n^3) < 2^{cn \log n}$  for some absolute c > 0, where  $K_4^3 \setminus e$  is the triple system with four vertices and three edges (another proof of this upper bound has been given in [5], though that proof is not suitable for our purposes). Finally, the third component is an explicit homomorphism of  $C_8^3$  into a particular 3-graph  $H_6$  on six vertices.

In order to apply the program above to solve Problem 1.2 (and prove Theorem 1.3), we need to find an F for which  $r(F, K_n^3) = 2^{n^{1+o(1)}}$  on the one hand, and  $C_s^3$  can be embedded in a blowup of F on the other hand. We remark that there are no (non 3-partite) 3-graphs F for which the order of magnitude of  $\log r(F, K_n^3)$  is known, and the only (non 3-partite) F for which the bound  $r(F, K_n^3) = 2^{n^{1+o(1)}}$  is known is  $F = K_4^3 \setminus e$ . But tight cycles do not embed into a blowup of  $K_4^3 \setminus e$ . We overcome this problem by finding a (new) family  $\mathcal{F}$  of 3-graphs for which we can prove  $r(\mathcal{F}, K_n^3) = 2^{n^{1+o(1)}}$  and yet embed tight cycles in blowups of each member of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

#### 2.1. Supersaturation

Given a hypergraph H and vertex  $v \in V(H)$ , we say that  $w \in V(H)$  is a *clone* of v if

(1) no edge contains both v and w, and

(2) for any set  $X \subset V(H)$  with  $v, w \notin X, X \cup \{v\} \in H$  if and only if  $X \cup \{w\} \in H$ .

Given a triple system F and a vertex v in F, let F(v) be the triple system obtained from F by adding a new vertex w that is a clone of v. We will use the following result of the author and Rödl.

**Theorem 2.1 ([11]).** Let F be a triple system with f vertices and  $v \in V(F)$ . Then

$$r(F(v), K_n^3) < (r(F, K_n^3))^f + f.$$

A blowup of F is a hypergraph obtained by successively applying the cloning operation. In particular, if each vertex is cloned p-1 times, then denote the obtained blowup as F(p). By applying Theorem 2.1 repeatedly, we obtain the following easy corollary.

**Corollary 2.2 ([11]).** Fix a k-graph F and an integer  $p \ge 2$ . There exists c = c(F, p) such that

$$r(F(p), K_n^3) < (r(F, K_n^3))^c.$$

Given a finite family  $\mathcal{F}$  of 3-graphs, define  $r(\mathcal{F}, K_n^3)$  to be the minimum N such that every red/blue colouring of  $K_N^3$  results in a red copy of some member of  $\mathcal{F}$  or a blue copy of  $K_n^3$ . Also, for a positive integer p, define  $\mathcal{F}(p) = \{F(p) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . By using the pigeonhole principle, a trivial modification of the proof of Corollary 2.2 yields the following extension to finite families.

**Corollary 2.3.** Fix a finite family  $\mathcal{F}$  of k-graphs and an integer  $p \ge 2$ . There exists  $c = c(\mathcal{F}, p)$  such that

$$r(\mathcal{F}(p), K_n^3) < (r(\mathcal{F}, K_n^3))^c.$$

# 2.2. The triple systems $H_5$ and $H_6$

Let  $H_5$  be the 3-graph with vertex set  $\{a, b, u, v, w\}$  and edge set  $\{abu, abv, abw, auv, buw\}$ and  $H_6$  the 3-graph with vertex set  $\{a, b, u, v, w, x\}$  and edge set  $\{abu, abv, abw, abx, auv, bwx\}$ . We will need the following result of Spencer [12]. Suppose H is an N-vertex triple system with average degree at most D. Then H has an independent set of size at least  $(2/3)N/D^{1/2}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** There is an absolute positive constant c such that

$$r({K_4^3, H_5, H_6}, K_n^3) < 2^{cn \log n}$$

**Proof.** Suppose that *H* is an *N*-vertex triple system with no independent set of size *n*. We will show that *H* contains a copy of some member of  $\{K_4^3, H_5, H_6\}$  as long as  $N \ge 4^n (n!)^2$ . By interpreting the edges of *H* as the red edges in a red/blue colouring of  $K_N^3$ , this proves the theorem.

We proceed by induction on *n*. The result is clearly true for n = 1. Suppose it holds for n - 1 and let us show it for *n*. If every pair of vertices in *H* lies in at most  $d = 4^{n-1}(n-1)!^2$  edges, then the number of edges of *H* is at most  $\binom{N}{2}d/3$  and the average degree of *H* is at most

$$D = \frac{\binom{N}{2}d}{N} = \frac{d(N-1)}{2}.$$

Therefore, by [12], H has an independent set of size at least

$$\frac{2}{3}\frac{N}{D^{1/2}} = \frac{2}{3}\frac{N}{(d(N-1)/2)^{1/2}} > \frac{2}{3}\frac{N^{1/2}}{d^{1/2}} \ge \frac{2}{3}\frac{2^n n!}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!} > n$$

a contradiction.

We may therefore assume that there is pair of vertices a, b in H that lie in at least d + 1 edges. Consider the set  $N(a, b) = \{x : abx \in H\}$ . Since |N(a, b)| > d, by induction, N(a, b) contains a copy of some member of  $\{K_4^3, H_5, H_6\}$  or an independent set S of size at least n-1. We may assume the latter, otherwise we are done. Now we may use a or b to enlarge the independent set S by one. If we succeed, then we obtain an independent set of size n, which is a contradiction, so we may assume that there is an edge of the form auv and an edge of the form bwx where  $u, v, w, x \in S$ . Let  $t = |\{u, v\} \cap \{w, x\}|$ . If t = 2, then a, b, u, v forms a copy of  $K_4^3$ , if t = 3, then we get a copy of  $H_5$  and if t = 4, then a, b, u, v, w, x forms a copy of  $H_6$ .

Using Theorem 2.4, Corollary 2.3, and the fact that  $H_6$  is a subhypergraph of  $K_4^3(2)$  and a subhypergraph of  $H_5(2)$ , we obtain the following.

**Corollary 2.5.** There is an absolute positive constant c such that

$$r(H_6, K_n^3) < 2^{cn \log n}.$$

**2.3. Embedding cycles Proof Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We will show that for all  $s \ge 5$ ,

(1)  $C_8^3 \subset H_6(2)$ , (2)  $C_{s+3}^3 \subset C_s^3(2)$ , and (3)  $C_{2s}^3 \subset C_s^3(2)$ .

We will apply (1)-(3) together with Corollaries 2.2 and 2.5. Using (1), we obtain

$$r(C_8^3, K_n^3) \leq r(H_6(2), K_n^3)) < (r(H_6, K_n^3))^{O(1)} < 2^{O(n \log n)}.$$

Using (2) repeatedly, we obtain for all  $s \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and  $s \ge 11$  that

$$r(C_s, K_n^3) \leq r(C_8(2^{(s-8)/3}), K_n^3) < (r(C_8, K_n^3))^{O(1)} < 2^{O(n\log n)}$$

Using (3) for  $s = 16 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , we obtain

$$r(C_{16}, K_n^3) \leq r(C_8(2), K_n^3) < (r(C_8, K_n^3))^{O(1)} < 2^{O(n \log n)}$$

Finally, applying (2) again will finish the proof for all  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $s \ge 16$ .

We now turn to the proofs of (1)–(3). Recall that  $H_6 = \{abu, abv, abw, abx, auv, bwx\}$ . Showing that  $C_8^3 \subset H_6(2)$  is equivalent to producing a homomorphism  $\phi$  from  $C_8^3$  to  $H_6$  where every vertex of  $H_6$  has at most two pre-images. Define  $\phi$  as follows:

$$\phi(1) = u,$$
  

$$\phi(2) = v,$$
  

$$\phi(8) = \phi(3) = a,$$
  

$$\phi(7) = \phi(4) = b,$$
  

$$\phi(5) = x,$$
  

$$\phi(6) = w.$$

It is easy to check that for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_8$  we have  $\phi(i)\phi(i+1)\phi(i+2) \in H_6$ . Next we show that (2) holds by producing  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_{s+3} \to \mathbb{Z}_s$  as follows: let  $\phi(i) = i$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., s\}$ ,  $\phi(s+1) = s - 2$ ,  $\phi(s+2) = s - 1$  and  $\phi(s+3) = s$ . It is easy to check that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from  $V(C_{s+3}^3)$  to  $V(C_s^3)$ . Finally, (3) holds due to  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_{2s} \to \mathbb{Z}_s$  as follows: let  $\phi(i) = \phi(s+i) = i$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., s\}$ .

# Acknowledgement

I would like to thank a referee for helpful comments, and the editors for pointing out some minor misprints.

### D. Mubayi

## References

- Ajtai, M., Komlós, J. and Szemerédi, E. (1980) A note on Ramsey numbers. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 29 354–360.
- [2] Bohman, T. and Keevash, P. (2010) The early evolution of the *H*-free process. *Invent. Math.* 181 291–336.
- [3] Bohman, T. and Keevash, P. (2013) Dynamic concentration of the triangle-free process. *The Seventh European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications*, (P. Norm. ed.), vol. 16, CRM Series, pp. 489–495.
- [4] Caro, Y., Li, Y., Rousseau, C. and Zhang, Y. (2000) Asymptotic bounds for some bipartite graph: Complete graph Ramsey numbers. *Discrete Math.* 220 51–56.
- [5] Conlon, D., Fox, J. and Sudakov, B. (2010) Hypergraph Ramsey numbers. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 247–266.
- [6] Erdős, P. (1984) Extremal problems in number theory, combinatorics and geometry. In Proc. International Congress of Mathematicians, PWN, Warsaw, pp. 51–70.
- [7] Erdős, P. and Hajnal, A. (1972) On Ramsey like theorems, problems and results. In Combinatorics: Proc. Conference Combinatorial Mathematics, IMA, Southend-on-Sea, pp. 123– 140.
- [8] Fiz Pontiveros, G., Griffiths, S. and Morris, R. The triangle-free process and R(3,k). arXiv:1302.6279
- [9] Kim, J. H. (1995) The Ramsey number R(3,t) has order of magnitude  $t^2/\log t$ . Random Struct. Alg. 7 173–207.
- [10] Kostochka, A., Mubayi, D. and Verstraëte, J. (2014) On independent sets in hypergraphs. *Random Struct. Alg.* 44 224–239.
- [11] Mubayi, D. and Rödl, V. (2016) Hypergraph Ramsey numbers: Tight cycles versus cliques. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 48 127–134.
- [12] Spencer, J. (1972) Turán theorem for k-graphs. Discrete Math. 2 183-186.
- [13] Sudakov, B. (2005) A new lower bound for a Ramsey-type problem. Combinatorica 25 487–498.