# State-dependent microstructural white matter changes in drug-naïve patients with first-episode psychosis

# M. H. Serpa<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*, J. Doshi<sup>4</sup>, G. Erus<sup>4</sup>, T. M. Chaim-Avancini<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Cavallet<sup>1,2</sup>, M. T. van de Bilt<sup>2,3</sup>, P. C. Sallet<sup>3</sup>, W. F. Gattaz<sup>3</sup>, C. Davatzikos<sup>4</sup>, G. F. Busatto<sup>1,2</sup> and M. V. Zanetti<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Psychiatric Neuroimaging (LIM-21), Department and Institute of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, University of São Paulo, Centro de Medicina Nuclear, 30 andar, LIM-21, Rua Dr. Ovídio Pires de Campos, s/n, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Center for Interdisciplinary Research on Applied Neurosciences (NAPNA), University of São Paulo, Centro de Medicina Nuclear, 30 andar, LIM-21, Rua Dr. Ovídio Pires de Campos, s/n, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Neuroscience, LIM-27, Department and Institute of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, University of São Paulo, Instituto de Psiquiatria, 30 andar, LIM-27, Rua Dr. Ovídio Pires de Campos, s/n, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>4</sup> Section of Biomedical Image Analysis (SBIA), Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania, 3600 Market St, Suite 380, Philadelphia, PA, USA

**Background.** Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) studies have consistently shown white matter (WM) microstructural abnormalities in schizophrenia. Whether or not such alterations could vary depending on clinical status (i.e. acute psychosis *v*. remission) remains to be investigated.

**Methods.** Twenty-five treatment-naïve first-episode psychosis (FEP) patients and 51 healthy-controls (HC) underwent MRI scanning at baseline. Twenty-one patients were re-scanned as soon as they achieved sustained remission of symptoms; 36 HC were also scanned twice. Rate-of-change maps of longitudinal DTI changes were calculated for in order to examine WM alterations associated with changes in clinical status. We conducted voxelwise analyses of fractional anisotropy (FA) and trace (TR) maps.

**Results.** At baseline, FEP presented reductions of FA in comparison with HC [p < 0.05, false-discovery rate (FDR)corrected] affecting fronto-limbic WM and associative, projective and commissural fasciculi. After symptom remission, patients showed FA increase over time (p < 0.001, uncorrected) in some of the above WM tracts, namely the right anterior thalamic radiation, right uncinate fasciculus/inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, and left inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus. We also found significant correlations between reductions in PANSS scores and FA increases over time (p < 0.05, FDR-corrected).

**Conclusions.** WM changes affecting brain tracts critical to the integration of perceptual information, cognition and emotions are detectable soon after the onset of FEP and may partially reverse in direct relation to the remission of acute psychotic symptoms. Our findings reinforce the view that WM abnormalities in brain tracts are a key neurobiological feature of acute psychotic disorders, and recovery from such WM pathology can lead to amelioration of symptoms.

Received 27 May 2016; Revised 22 March 2017; Accepted 24 March 2017; First published online 22 August 2017

Key words: Antipsychotic, diffusion tensor imaging, disease phase, first-episode psychosis, schizophrenia, white matter.

#### Introduction

Aberrant integration of information in the brain has long been considered a central pathophysiological mechanism to explain the clinical manifestations of schizophrenia (Bleuler, 1911; Kraepelin, 1919), resurging later on as the disconnection hypothesis (Friston, 1998). Consistent with that view, over the past few decades convergent data from *post-mortem* and *in vivo* brain imaging studies have provided compelling evidence that psychosis is associated with white matter (WM) abnormalities in the brain (Ellison-Wright & Bullmore, 2009; Bora *et al.* 2011; Kochunov & Hong, 2014; Mighdoll *et al.* 2015).

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) allows examination of WM fibers by quantifying the diffusion of water in brain tissue and the anisotropy of this diffusion movement (Jones, 2008). Anisotropy measures (such as fractional anisotropy, FA) reflect the degree of water diffusion directionality, whereas diffusivity indices (such as trace, TR, or mean diffusivity, MD) provide

<sup>\*</sup> Address for correspondence: M. H. Serpa, Centro de Medicina Nuclear, 3º andar, LIM-21/Rua Dr. Ovídio Pires de Campos, s/n, Postal code 05403-010, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

<sup>(</sup>Email: mauricio.serpa@hc.fm.usp.br)

an estimate of the displacement of water molecules in a medium (Beaulieu, 2002; Jones, 2008; Jones et al. 2013). Four meta-analyses of DTI studies found schizophrenia spectrum disorders to be consistently associated with FA reductions in fronto-limbic-striatal WM (Ellison-Wright & Bullmore, 2009; Bora et al. 2011; Patel et al. 2011; Yao et al. 2013), specially involving the cingulum bundle, corpus callosum (CC), and inferior fronto-occipital and longitudinal fasciculi (IFOF and ILF, respectively). Similar patterns of DTI alterations have been shown in patients with first-episode psychosis (FEP), i.e. patients presenting any psychotic disorder in its very first episode (Bora et al. 2011; Yao et al. 2013), although to a lesser extent than that observed in patients with chronic schizophrenia. Milder WM abnormalities have also been reported in subjects presenting prodromal psychotic symptoms and/or at increased genetic risk for developing psychosis (Carletti et al. 2012; Katagiri et al. 2015). However, a great variability of findings is observed across different investigations (Samartzis et al. 2014). A number of confounding factors might influence DTI indices and, thus, could at least partly account for this heterogeneity, including illness course/chronicity, substance misuse and previous exposure to antipsychotic (AP) medication (Bora et al. 2011; Ho et al. 2011; Cookey et al. 2014).

Another potential source of bias in the interpretation of the above neuroimaging results is the possibility that some of the brain alterations observed in psychosis are in fact state-dependent and related to a specific illness phase. Psychotic patients most often alternate between acute episodes of prominent positive symptoms and phases of symptom remission (Lieberman et al. 2001). Although structural MRI and DTI findings are commonly interpreted as reflecting static or progressive brain abnormalities (DeLisi, 2008; Olabi et al. 2011; Kochunov & Hong, 2014), some investigations challenge this notion and suggest that at least some of the brain changes seen in acute psychosis are potentially reversible after symptom amelioration. Two longitudinal morphometric MRI studies have reported reversal of volumetric deficits in the superior temporal gyrus of FEP subjects after 1 year of clinical remission (Keshavan et al. 1998; Schaufelberger et al. 2011). More recently, Katagiri et al. (2015) followed-up subjects with prodromal psychotic symptoms and found a region of FA increase over time in the CC of those individuals who did not convert to full-blown psychosis. Also, the longitudinal increase in FA significantly correlated with the improvement in sub-threshold positive symptoms observed at follow-up (Katagiri et al. 2015), suggesting that changes in DTI indices might somehow reflect neurobiological processes related to acute psychosis. In this regard, no DTI study to date has been specifically designed to investigate whether microstructural WM changes are stable or statedependent at the early stages of psychosis, i.e. varying according to the acute *v*. remitted phase of the disorder.

In the present DTI investigation, using a longitudinal design, we studied a group of treatment-naïve patients presenting their first episode of non-affective psychosis. FEP patients were initially evaluated at the acute psychotic phase (medication-free), and were then started on a semi-naturalistic AP treatment and were re-scanned as soon as they achieved 1 month of remission of core psychotic symptoms. We aimed to conduct a voxelwise analysis of anisotropy and diffusivity measures in order to examine the relationship between WM tissue organization changes and variations in the clinical state of patients (acute phase v. recovery phase). We hypothesized that at least some of the DTI abnormalities observed at baseline in the WM of FEP patients are state-dependent, i.e. potentially reversible following symptom remission. We predicted that FEP patients would present regions of reduced anisotropy and/or increased diffusivity in brain WM in relation to HC at baseline, as well as that FEP patients would exhibit a reversal of such initial abnormalities over time. Also, we predicted that reversal of WM abnormalities would be correlated to reduction of symptom scores.

#### Materials and methods

#### Participants and clinical assessment

FEP patients aged 16-50 years were referred to the Institute of Psychiatry, University of São Paulo, after active contact with mental health services from the metropolitan area of Sao Paulo city. At study entrance, a clinical interview and the Structured Clinical Interview (SCID) (First et al. 1995) for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (DSM-IV; American Psychiatry Association, 1994) were carried out for all cases, as well as the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (Saunders et al. 1993) and the South Westminster Questionnaire (Menezes et al. 1996). Handedness was assessed using the Edinburgh inventory (Oldfield, 1971). The clinical interview comprised questions regarding duration of untreated psychosis (DUP), general medical history, including information on previous use of psychotropic medications, and history of head trauma.

Only patients fulfilling DSM-IV criteria for any non-affective FEP enduring less than 6 months (i.e. schizophreniform disorder, brief psychotic disorder, delusional disorder and psychotic disorder not otherwise specified) and free of substance use disorders were included. Patients with psychotic disorders due to a general medical condition or substance-induced psychosis were excluded. Also, patients fulfilling criteria for other DSM-IV disorder (except mild anxiety disorders, such as specific phobia) were excluded.

Healthy volunteers free of mental disorders and with no history of psychotic or mood disorders among first-degree relatives were recruited through advertisement in the local community. HC were also assessed using the same clinical instruments.

Exclusion criteria for both groups were: (a) previous intake of any psychopharmacological drugs other than benzodiazepines; (b) history of substance dependence or abuse; (c) presence any medical disorders that could affect the central nervous system; (d) mental retardation; (e) history of head trauma with loss of consciousness; and (e) contraindications for MRI scanning.

Local ethics committees approved the study, and all subjects provided written informed consent.

#### Study design

On the same day of MRI scanning, FEP subjects were assessed for symptom severity with the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay *et al.* 1987). After that, patients were started on a semi-naturalistic AP treatment regimen based on the recommendations from the International Psychopharmacology Algorithm Project (IPAP; www.ipap.org).

FEP subjects were then clinically evaluated on a weekly basis by an experienced psychiatrist using the PANSS until achieving clinical remission. We adopted the remission criteria proposed by Andreasen *et al.* (2005), with the exception of the length of time. Specifically, patients should present no more than mild symptom severity (PANSS items score <4) in all of the following core items of the PANSS: delusions, conceptual disorganization, hallucinatory behavior, blunted affect, social withdrawal, lack of spontaneity, mannerisms/posturing, and unusual thought content. Once the remission criteria were fulfilled, FEP patients were evaluated every other week until completing a month of sustained remission. At this point, a second MRI scanning session was performed.

In order to control for random effects related to scan acquisition, a subsample of HC with similar characteristics to that of FEP subjects evaluated at follow-up were also scanned a second time, after a follow-up interval close to that of the FEP group.

# Image data acquisition

All subjects underwent MRI scanning using a 1.5 T Siemens Espree system (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany).

The DTI sequence was acquired using cardiac gating, a 12-channel head coil and parallel imaging. DTI was based on an echo-planar image (EPI) acquisition and consisted of one image without diffusion gradient  $(b=0 \text{ s/mm}^2)$  plus diffusion-weighted images (DWI) acquired along 64 non-collinear directions  $(b=1000 \text{ s/} \text{mm}^2)$  using the following parameters: TR = 8000 ms, TE = 110 ms, NEX = 2, FOV = 240 mm, matrix = 120 × 120 pixels, slice thickness = 2.7 mm (no gap between slices), voxel size =  $2.0 \times 2.0 \times 2.7$  mm, resulting in 50 slices covering the whole brain.

The imaging protocol also included a T1 and T2-weighted sequences, and a fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence. An expert neuroradiologist inspected all individual images aiming to identify silent brain lesions and artifacts.

# Processing of neuroimaging data

The diffusion tensor images were reconstructed from the DWI data using multivariate linear fitting (Pierpaoli & Basser, 1996). FA and TR images were computed from the tensor image for each subject. The FA value, which measures the degree of anisotropy of the diffusion at a voxel, is computed from the variance of the three eigenvalues of the diffusion tensor about their means. The TR value, which measures the mean diffusivity, is computed by adding the eigenvalues of the diffusion tensor (Jones, 2008). FA and TR images were then aligned to a common template space via the deformable registration method DRAMMS (Ou et al. 2011), available at https://www. cbica.upenn.edu/sbia/software/dramms/download.html, using a standard DTI template, named EVE (Wakana et al. 2004). Aligned FA and TR images were masked to include only values in WM voxels using the template WM mask, and both maps were smoothed with a 4 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) linear Gaussian filter. Such choice of filter width followed the 'rule of thumb' developed for PET and fMRI studies, which states that the smoothing kernel should be at least 2-3 times the voxel dimension (Worsley et al. 1992). We avoided the use of larger smoothing kernels as these have been shown to reduce sensitivity and specificity to detect localized WM abnormalities, with partial volume effects that produce widespread averaging of DTI measures across different WM bundles and reduce power to differentiate separate anatomical tracts (Smith et al. 2006; Snook et al. 2007; Jones & Cercignani, 2010; Van Hecke et al. 2010).

# Statistical analyses

Voxel-based between-group comparisons were carried out using the General Linear Model (GLM). These comparisons were carried out for: baseline DTI data between the FEP and HC groups; follow-up DTI data between the FEP and the HC that underwent the second scanning session; and rate-of-change maps between the FEP and HC subjects that underwent the second scanning session. The latter maps were generated for each and every subject by voxelwise subtraction of the baseline image values from the follow-up image values, and then division of the results by the baseline image values. The rationale for using relative instead of absolute values lays in the fact that relative values convey information of the magnitude of change, allowing comparability on changes in measures of different natures (for instance, DTI metrics and symptom scores).

Additionally, we run correlational analyses between imaging maps and clinical variables (DUP, total PANSS and PANSS sub-items) at baseline. Also, rateof-change maps were correlated to the following variables: interval between scans, AP load (calculated as proposed by Andreasen *et al.* 2010), and rate of change in PANSS scores across time (i.e. PANSS score at baseline minus PANSS score at follow-up, divided by PANSS score at baseline).

For the voxelwise between-group comparisons of DTI data at baseline and follow-up, we considered significant any clusters that surpassed the statistical threshold of p < 0.05, corrected for multiple comparisons (false-discovery rate, FDR). For the betweengroup comparison of rate-of-change maps, we applied a less rigid threshold of p < 0.001 uncorrected for multiple comparisons for clusters located specifically in WM regions where abnormalities in FEP relative to HC had been detected at baseline. Our choice to apply the latter, less conservative statistical threshold was intended to avoid type II errors in the search for confirmation of the hypothesis of reversal of FA abnormalities in treatment-naïve FEP patients associated with improvement of psychotic symptoms over time. Statistical thresholds of p < 0.001, uncorrected, have been commonly employed in previous voxel-based case-control DTI studies of psychiatric disorders (Ashtari et al. 2007; Schlösser et al. 2007; Szeszko et al. 2008; Gao et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013; Lu et al. 2013; Tha et al. 2013; Cheng et al. 2014; Filippi et al., 2014; Qiu et al. 2014; Spalletta et al. 2014; Lei et al. 2015; Melicher et al. 2015; Itahashi et al. 2015). Finally, we applied the more conservative statistical threshold of p < 0.05, FDR-corrected, in the correlational analyses between imaging maps and clinical variables.

#### Results

#### Demographic and clinical details

A total of 25 FEP patients and 51 HC were evaluated at baseline (see Table 1 for demographic/clinical details). There were no significant between-group differences

regarding gender, age, and handedness. FEP subjects attained less years of education relative to HC, as commonly reported (Goldberg *et al.* 1990).

In total 21 patients and 36 HC completed the follow-up DTI protocol. Two patients presenting poor response to AP treatment failed to achieve remission in up to 6 months, thus they were excluded from the study; other two patients were enrolled in a pilot phase of this study, when longitudinal examination had not been included in the overall study design. A subsample of the original HC group was rescanned, taking into account the demographic characteristics of the subgroup of FEP that completed the follow-up protocol. The subgroups of FEP and HC that completed the follow-up protocol had similar clinical/ demographic characteristics relative to the overall baseline groups (see Table 1). Scanning intervals of FEP and HC groups were not statistically different, although there was a trend towards a narrower interval for HC subjects (p = 0.056). At follow-up, almost half (47.6%) of patients were taking risperidone.

# Baseline imaging findings

At baseline, FEP presented widespread reductions of FA in comparison with HC (p < 0.05, FDR-corrected) affecting mainly: prefrontal WM, uncinate fasciculus (UF), anterior thalamic radiation/anterior limb of internal capsule (ATR/ALIC), corticospinal tract, superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF), IFOF and ILF bilaterally; the left fornix/stria terminalis and cingulum bundle; and the right CC/forceps major and cerebellar WM (see Fig. 1 and Table 2). Conversely, there were no significant TR differences between FEP patients and HC at the corrected p < 0.05 statistical level.

No correlations between FA or TR indices and any of the clinical variables investigated at baseline (DUP and PANSS scores) survived FDR correction for multiple comparisons.

#### Longitudinal DTI findings

No differences in FA or TR were observed in the between-group comparisons of DTI maps at follow-up at the p < 0.05 level, FDR-corrected.

Regarding comparisons of the rate-of-changes maps, the FEP group showed three clusters of FA increase over time at the uncorrected p < 0.001 level of significance, located in the right ATR and UF/IFOF, and in the left IFOF/ILF relative to controls (see Fig. 2 and Table 3). Concerning TR, no significant differences were observed between FEP and HC in the comparison of rate-of-change maps.

The improvement in psychotic symptoms over time was significantly correlated (p < 0.05, FDR-corrected) with FA increases in a vast number of WM tracts (see

	FEP TO	HC T0	Statistical tests (FEP T0 v.	FEP T1	Statistical tests (FEP T0 v.	HC T1
	(n = 25)	(n = 51)	НС Т0)	( <i>n</i> = 21)	FEP T1)	(n = 36)
Age (mean±s.D.)	$26.48 \pm 7.46$	$27.59 \pm 6.26$	t = -0.680, df = 74, $p = 0.498$	$26.67 \pm 6.60$		$26.78 \pm 5.97$
Gender (males; %)	16 (64.0%)	30 (58.8%)	$\chi^2 = 0.188$ , df = 1, p = 0.664	13 (61.9%)		22 (61.1%)
Handedness (right-handed;%)	22 (88.0%)	49 (96.1%)	Fisher's exact test, $p = 0.324$	20 (95.2%)		35 (97.2%)
Years of Education (mean ± s.p.)	$10.96 \pm 3.67$	$12.96 \pm 3.77$	$t = -2.191$ , df = 74, $p = 0.032^*$	$11.29 \pm 3.69$		$13.28 \pm 3.53$
Duration of untreated psychosis (days; median; quartile 1; quartile 2; interquartil range)	30 (14;60); 46			30 (14;60); 46		
Interval between scans (days; median; quartile 1; quartile 2; interquartil range)				72 (50; 110); 60 <sup>a</sup>		55.5 (44; 76); 32 <sup>a</sup>
PANSS P (mean $\pm$ s.D.)	$19.96 \pm 5.50$			$8.81 \pm 1.69$	$t = 8.80$ , df = 20, $p = 0.000^{*, b}$	
PANSS N (mean $\pm$ s.D.)	$17.72 \pm 8.75$			$10.9 \pm 4.62$	$t = 3.77$ , df = 20, $p = 0.001^{*, b}$	
PANSS G (mean $\pm$ s.D.)	$40.04 \pm 11.39$			$22.19 \pm 4.27$	$t = 8.97$ , df = 20, $p = 0.000^{*}$ , b	
PANSS T (mean ± s.p.)	$77.72 \pm 23.31$			$41.9 \pm 8.32$	$t = 8.52, df = 20, p = 0.000^{*, b}$	
Antipsychotic medication at follow-up						
Risperidone				10 (47.6%)		
Olanzapine				5 (23.8%)		
Haloperidol				3 (14.2%)		
Risperidone + Amissulpride				1 (4.8%)		
Amissulpride				1 (4.8%)		
None				1 (4.8%)		

Table 1. Demographic and clinical information for patients with first-episode psychotic (FEP) and healthy controls (HC)

FEP, first-episode psychosis; HC, healthy controls; T0, data at baseline; T1, data at follow-up; s.D., standard deviation; t, t test statistics; min, minimum value; max, maximum value; df, degrees of freedom; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; PANSS P, score for positive symptoms; PANSS N, score for negative symptoms; PANSS G, score for general symptoms; PANSS T, PANSS total score.

\*Significant statistical difference (p < 0.05).

<sup>a</sup> Comparison between FEP and HC at follow-up: Mann–Whitney U test, p = 0.056.

<sup>b</sup> Comparisons between baseline and follow-up of PANSS scores for patients that underwent second MRI scanning (paired *t* test).



**Fig. 1.** Baseline comparison between FEP and HC. FA map showing widespread reduced anisotropy in FEP, affecting mostly fronto-limbic WM and long associative, projective and commissural fasciculi (p < 0.05, FDR corrected). Blue color represents reduced FA in patients relative to HC, whereas red-yellow colors represent increased FA in patients relative to HC. Color intensity represents Student's *t* test statistics (i.e. the darker the color, the higher the value of the test). Results are overlaid on axial slices from JHU white matter tractography atlas (Wakana *et al.* 2004). Details for each significant cluster are provided in Table 2. FEP, first-episode psychosis; HC, health controls; FA, fractional anisotropy; WM, white matter; FDR, false-discovery rate.

Fig. 3). As main findings, reductions in total PANSS scores were associated with longitudinal FA increases in the ATR, corticospinal tract, CC, frontotemporal WM, SLF, IFOF/ILF and UF/ILF bilaterally. We also found significant correlations between reductions in PANSS sub-items (positive, negative and general) and increases in FA in such tracts (see online Supplementary Table S1 for detailed information on correlations).

No correlations between improvement in psychotic symptoms over time and TR reduction survived correction for multiple comparisons. Also, there were no significant correlations between either FA or TR indices and AP load at the p < 0.05 level, FDR-corrected.

# Discussion

The present DTI investigation employed a case-control, within-subject design in order to assess WM anisotropy and diffusivity indices in treatment-naïve FEP patients focusing on state-dependent longitudinal changes (i.e. in acute psychosis *v*. sustained remission of core symptoms). In line with our initial prediction, there were significant direct correlations (at a strict p < 0.05 statistical threshold, corrected) between reductions in positive, negative, general and total PANSS scores and longitudinal FA increases in some of the WM tracts known to be critically involved in the pathophysiology of psychotic disorders, such as the SLF, ILF, IFOF, UF,

cingulum bundle, ATR and CC. At a less conservative statistical threshold (p < 0.001, uncorrected), we also observed significant post-treatment reversal of the baseline FA reductions that were detected in FEP patients relative to HC in some of such WM tracts. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first demonstration that some of the WM abnormalities found in untreated FEP are directly associated with the acute phase of the disease and that the remission of outbreak symptoms occurs in parallel with an apparent recovery of such WM microstructural changes.

At baseline, patients with acute FEP showed widespread FA reductions affecting mostly fronto-limbic WM and long associative, projective, and commissural fasciculi. These FA reductions are consistent with the findings of previous DTI studies in FEP (Bora *et al.* 2011; Yao *et al.* 2013). Such findings provided us with a solid basis from which to investigate reversal of abnormal DTI indices after symptom remission in psychosis and correlations of rates of change in those indices *v*. the degree of clinical improvement.

All of the WM tracts where our FEP patients exhibited FA increases after clinical remission (left IFOF/ILF, right ATR, and right UF/IFOF) have been previously shown to be implicated in psychosis (Bora *et al.* 2011; Yao *et al.* 2013). However, this is the first time that WM changes in these brain structures are shown to be specifically linked to switching from acute psychosis to remission.

Tabl	e 2.	Between	group	comparisons	of E	DTI	maps at	basel	ine
------	------	---------	-------	-------------	------	-----	---------	-------	-----

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		MNI coordinates				
Left hemisphere $p < 0.05$ (FDR corrected)           Projective fibers         corrected)           Left anterior thalamic radiation         -6         -4         8         61         -4289           Left anterior thalamic radiation         -28         -34         4         8         -4.139           Left corticospinal tract         -6         -6         -6         -38         3         -3.687           Left formix/Stria terminalis         -30         -24         -8         82         -5.096           Left ingulum         -8         34         14         19         -3.933           Left cingulum         -12         -62         46         15         -3.933           Left cingulum (hippocampus)         -24         -26         -20         3         -3.854           Left middle frontal gyrus WM         -34         24         36         18         -4.046           Left middle frontal gyrus WM         -34         24         36         18         -4.046           Left middle frontal gyrus WM         -30         0         42         8         -4.057           Right inferior concipital fasciculus/superior tongitudinal fasciculus/superior tongitudinal fasciculus/supreior tongitudinal fasciculus/supreior tongitudinal	Anatomical location	x	Ŷ	z	Ν	t
Projective fibers       -4       8       61       -4.289         Left anterior thalamic radiation       -28       -34       4       8       4.139         Left corticospinal tract       -6       -36       -38       3       -3.687         Associative fibers       -       -24       -8       8.2       -5.096         Left foringulum       -30       -52       14       47       -4.732         Left cingulum       -8       34       14       19       -3.993         Left cingulum       -8       34       14       19       -3.933         Left cingulum       -12       -62       46       15       -3.933         Left ingulum (hippocampus)       -24       -26       -20       3       -3.834         Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus       -46       -24       -16       2       -3.666         Left midel frontal gyrus WM       -34       24       36       18       -4.046         Left midel frontal gyrus WM       -30       0       42       8       -4.038         Right inferior corebellar peduncle       16       -26       -38       42       -6.019         Right inferior ronto-occipital fasciculus/superio	Left hemisphere				p < 0.05 (FDR corrected)	
Left anterior thalamic radiation       -6       -4       8       61       -4289         Left anterior thalamic radiation       -28       -34       4       8       -4.139         Left corticospinal tract       -6       -36       -38       3       -3.687         Associative fibers	Projective fibers					
Left anterior thalamic radiation $-28$ $-34$ $4$ $8$ $-4.139$ Left corticospinal tract $-6$ $-36$ $-38$ $3$ $-3.67$ Associative fibers $-52$ $14$ $47$ $-4.732$ Left fornix/Stria terminalis $-30$ $-24$ $-8$ $82$ $-5.096$ Left Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-30$ $-52$ $14$ $47$ $-4.732$ Left cingulum $-8$ $34$ $14$ $19$ $-3.993$ $-52$ $14$ $47$ $-3.933$ Left superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $-48$ $10$ $12$ $7$ $-3.564$ Left uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-24$ $-26$ $-20$ $3$ $-3.834$ Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-24$ $-26$ $-20$ $3$ $-3.834$ Left indidle frontal gyrus WM $-34$ $24$ $36$ $18$ $-4.046$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-34$ $24$ $36$ $18$ $-4.046$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.043$ Right metrior limb of internal capsule/anterior thalamic radiation $10$ $0$ $0$ $26$ $-6.019$ Right inferior creebellar peduncle $16$ $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $38$ $-46$ <td>Left anterior thalamic radiation</td> <td>-6</td> <td>-4</td> <td>8</td> <td>61</td> <td>-4.289</td>	Left anterior thalamic radiation	-6	-4	8	61	-4.289
Left corticospinal tract       -6       -36       -38       3       -3.687         Associative fibers       -30       -24       -8       82       -5.096         Left fornix/Stria terminalis       -30       -52       14       47       -4.732         Left cingulum       -8       34       14       19       -3.993         Left cingulum       -12       5       -3.666         Left cingulum (hippocampus)       -22       10       -12       5       -3.666         Left niculum (hippocampus)       -24       -26       -20       3       -3.84         Left middle frontal fasciculus (temporal part)       -48       10       12       7       -3.600         Lobar WM       -24       -26       -20       3       -3.800         Lobar WM       -4       -4       -4       -4       -4.046         Left middle frontal gyrus WM       -30       0       42       8       -4.046         Left middle frontal gyrus WM       -30       0       42       -6.019       Right inferior cerebellar peduncle       16       -26       -38       42       -6.019         Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus       34	Left anterior thalamic radiation	-28	-34	4	8	-4.139
Associative fibers       -30       -24      8       82       -5.096         Left fromix/Stria terminalis       -30       -52       14       47       -4.732         Left cingulum       -12       -62       46       15       -3.993         Left cingulum       -12       -62       46       15       -3.933         Left superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part)       -48       10       12       7       -3.666         Left cingulum (hippocampus)       -24       -26       -20       3       -3.834         Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus       -24       -26       -20       3       -3.804         Lobar WM       -6       -6       58       17       -4.098         Left middle frontal gyrus WM       -30       0       42       8       -4.063         Right hemisphere       Projective fibers       7       -4.054       -4.054         Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus       34       -46       16       115       -4.039         Right inferior creebellar peduncle       16       -26       -38       42       -6.019         Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus       34	Left corticospinal tract	-6	-36	-38	3	-3.687
Left fornix/Stria terminalis $-30$ $-24$ $-8$ $82$ $-5.096$ Left Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-30$ $-52$ $14$ $47$ $-4.732$ Left cingulum $-8$ $34$ $14$ $19$ $-3.993$ Left cingulum $-12$ $-62$ $46$ $15$ $-3.933$ Left superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $-48$ $10$ $12$ $7$ $-3.564$ Left uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-22$ $-26$ $-20$ $3$ $-3.834$ Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-24$ $-26$ $-20$ $3$ $-3.834$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-34$ $24$ $36$ $18$ $-4.046$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Right inferior cerebellar peduncle $16$ $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right inferior fonto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right inferior fonto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $4.433$ Right inferior fonto-occipital fasciculus $38$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right inferior fonto-occipital fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.927$ Right inferior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$	Associative fibers					
Left Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-30$ $-52$ $14$ $47$ $-4.732$ Left cingulum $-8$ $34$ $14$ $19$ $-3.993$ Left cingulum (hippocampus) $-48$ $10$ $12$ $7$ $-3.564$ Left nogitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $-48$ $10$ $12$ $7$ $-3.666$ Left cingulum (hippocampus) $-24$ $-26$ $-20$ $3$ $-3.834$ Left nogitudinal fasciculus $-46$ $-24$ $-16$ $2$ $-3.600$ Cobar WM $-46$ $-24$ $-66$ $58$ $17$ $-4.098$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-34$ $24$ $36$ $18$ $-4.046$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Right netrior longitudinal capsule/anterior thalamic radiation $10$ $0$ $0$ $26$ $-4.015$ Right inferior cerebellar peduncle $16$ $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ R	Left fornix/Stria terminalis	-30	-24	$^{-8}$	82	-5.096
Left cingulum83414193.993Left cingulum-12-624615-3.933Left superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part)-4810127-3.564Left uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus-2210-125-3.666Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus-24-26-203-3.834Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus-46-24-162-3.600Lobar WM-46-6-65817-4.098Left middle frontal gyrus WM-6-6-65817-4.098Left middle frontal gyrus WM-300428-4.063Right hemisphereProjective fibers7-4.054-4.053Right inferior cerebellar peduncle16-26-3842-6.019Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus34-4616115-4.433Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus32-20-643-4.984Right superior longitudinal fasciculus38-4-229-3.9131Right superior longitudinal fasciculus38-4-229-3.927Right superior longitudinal fasciculus38-4-229-3.927Right superior longitudinal fasciculus38-4-229-3.9131Right superior longitudinal fasciculus38-4 <t< td=""><td>Left Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus</td><td>-30</td><td>-52</td><td>14</td><td>47</td><td>-4.732</td></t<>	Left Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus	-30	-52	14	47	-4.732
Left cingulum $-12$ $-62$ $46$ $15$ $-3,933$ Left superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $-48$ $10$ $12$ $7$ $-3,564$ Left uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-22$ $10$ $-12$ $5$ $-3,666$ Left ringulum (hippocampus) $-24$ $-26$ $-20$ $3$ $-3,834$ Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-46$ $-24$ $-16$ $2$ $-3,600$ Lobar WM $-46$ $-24$ $-16$ $2$ $-3,600$ Lobar WM $-46$ $-24$ $36$ $18$ $-4.046$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-34$ $24$ $36$ $18$ $-4.046$ Left plaxtapositional Lobule WM $-6$ $-6$ $58$ $17$ $-4.098$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.003$ Right nemisphere $Projective fibersProjective fibers16-26-3842-6.019Right anterior limb of internal capsule/anterior thalamic radiation100026-4.015Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus34-4616115-4.433Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus34-4616115-4.433Right inferior fonto-occipital fasciculus38-4-229-3.927Right superior longitudinal fasciculus36-52-22-3.661$	Left cingulum	-8	34	14	19	-3.993
Left superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $-48$ 10127 $-3.564$ Left uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-22$ $10$ $-12$ 5 $-3.666$ Left ringulum (hippocampus) $-24$ $-26$ $-20$ 3 $-3.834$ Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-24$ $-26$ $-20$ 3 $-3.834$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-46$ $-24$ $-16$ 2 $-3.600$ Lobar WM $-66$ $-66$ $58$ $17$ $-4.098$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-34$ $24$ $36$ $18$ $-4.046$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Right hemisphereProjective fibers $7$ $-4.054$ $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right inferior cerebellar peduncle $16$ $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ $-4.054$ Associative fibers $7$ $-4.054$ $-24$ $-26$ $7$ $-4.054$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.927$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $56$ $-52$ $-22$ $2$ $-3.661$ Commissural fibers $7$ $-4.044$ $7$ $-3.927$ Right forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$	Left cingulum	-12	-62	46	15	-3.933
Left uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-22$ $10$ $-12$ $5$ $-3.666$ Left cingulum (hippocampus) $-24$ $-26$ $-20$ $3$ $-3.834$ Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-46$ $-24$ $-16$ $2$ $-3.600$ Lobar WM $-46$ $-24$ $-6$ $28$ $-3.600$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-34$ $24$ $36$ $18$ $-4.046$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-6$ $-6$ $58$ $17$ $-4.098$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Right hemisphere $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Projective fibers $Right inferior creebellar peduncle16-26-3842-6.019Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus34-4616115-4.433Associative fibersRight inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus32-20-643-4.984Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus32-20-643-4.984Right superior longitudinal fasciculus38-4-229-3.927Right superior longitudinal fasciculus56-52-222-3.661Commissural fibersRight corebellum WM10-54-306-4.055Right forcelose major22-7687$	Left superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part)	-48	10	12	7	-3.564
Left cingulum (hippocampus) $-24$ $-26$ $-20$ $3$ $-3.834$ Left niferior longitudinal fasciculus $-46$ $-24$ $-16$ $2$ $-3.600$ Lobar WM $-6$ $-6$ $58$ $17$ $-4.098$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-6$ $-6$ $58$ $17$ $-4.098$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Right nemisphere $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Projective fibersright anterior limb of internal capsule/anterior thalamic radiation $10$ $0$ $0$ $26$ $-4.015$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right uncinate fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right uncinate fasciculus/interior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $56$ $-52$ $-2$ $2$ $-3.661$ Commissural fibers $-21$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.055$ Right fortal orbital W	Left uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus	-22	10	-12	5	-3.666
Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus $-46$ $-24$ $-16$ $2$ $-3.600$ Lobar WMLeft middle frontal gyrus WM $-34$ $24$ $36$ $18$ $-4.046$ Left juxtapositional Lobule WM $-6$ $-6$ $58$ $17$ $-4.098$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Right formisphere $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Projective fibers $16$ $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right inferior cerebellar peduncle $16$ $-24$ $60$ $7$ $-4.054$ Associative fibers $16$ $-24$ $60$ $7$ $-4.054$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $42$ $16$ $14$ $7$ $-3.927$ Right forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.055$ Right forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.045$ </td <td>Left cingulum (hippocampus)</td> <td>-24</td> <td>-26</td> <td>-20</td> <td>3</td> <td>-3.834</td>	Left cingulum (hippocampus)	-24	-26	-20	3	-3.834
Lobar WM       -34       24       36       18       -4.046         Left middle frontal gyrus WM       -6       -6       58       17       -4.098         Left middle frontal gyrus WM       -30       0       42       8       -4.063         Right hemisphere       -30       0       42       8       -4.063         Projective fibers       -6       -6       -38       42       -6.019         Right inferior cerebellar peduncle       16       -26       -38       42       -6.019         Right corticospinal tract/precentral gyrus WM       16       -24       60       7       -4.054         Associative fibers	Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus	-46	-24	-16	2	-3.600
Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-34$ $24$ $36$ $18$ $-4.046$ Left juxtapositional Lobule WM $-6$ $-6$ $-58$ $17$ $-4.098$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Right hemisphere $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Projective fibers $16$ $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right inferior cerebellar peduncle $16$ $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right anterior limb of internal capsule/anterior thalamic radiation $10$ $0$ $0$ $26$ $-4.015$ Right inferior recording tract/precentral gyrus WM $16$ $-24$ $60$ $7$ $-4.054$ Associative fibers $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $42$ $16$ $14$ $7$ $-3.927$ Right forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right frontal orbital WM $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.968$ Right forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ </td <td>Lobar WM</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Lobar WM					
Left Juxtapositional Lobule WM $-6$ $-6$ $-6$ $-58$ $17$ $-4.098$ Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Right hemisphereProjective fibers $16$ $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right inferior cerebellar peduncle $16$ $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right anterior limb of internal capsule/anterior thalamic radiation $10$ $0$ $26$ $-4.015$ Right corticospinal tract/precentral gyrus WM $16$ $-24$ $60$ $7$ $-4.054$ Associative fibers $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $42$ $16$ $14$ $7$ $-3.927$ Right forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right frontal orbital WM $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right forceps major $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right fortal orbital WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.606$ Right fortal orbital WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.998$ <t< td=""><td>Left middle frontal gyrus WM</td><td>-34</td><td>24</td><td>36</td><td>18</td><td>-4.046</td></t<>	Left middle frontal gyrus WM	-34	24	36	18	-4.046
Left middle frontal gyrus WM $-30$ $0$ $42$ $8$ $-4.063$ Right hemisphereProjective fibersRight inferior cerebellar peduncle $16$ $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right anterior limb of internal capsule/anterior thalamic radiation $10$ $0$ $0$ $26$ $-4.015$ Right corticospinal tract/precentral gyrus WM $16$ $-24$ $60$ $7$ $-4.054$ Associative fibers $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.931$ Right uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.927$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $42$ $16$ $14$ $7$ $-3.927$ Right forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right frontal orbital WM $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.065$ Right forceps lealue WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal orbital WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior longi	Left Juxtapositional Lobule WM	-6	-6	58	17	-4.098
Right hemisphereProjective fibersRight inferior cerebellar peduncle16 $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right inferior cerebellar peduncle16 $-24$ $60$ 7 $-4.054$ Right corticospinal tract/precentral gyrus WM16 $-24$ $60$ 7 $-4.054$ Associative fibers7 $-4.054$ $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.927$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $42$ $16$ $14$ $7$ $-3.927$ Right forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM10 $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right frontal orbital WM $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right forcepellum WM40 $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right forcebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right forcebellum WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.696$ <	Left middle frontal gyrus WM	-30	0	42	8	-4.063
Projective fibersRight inferior cerebellar peduncle16 $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right anterior limb of internal capsule/anterior thalamic radiation1000 $26$ $-4.015$ Right corticospinal tract/precentral gyrus WM16 $-24$ $60$ 7 $-4.054$ Associative fibers32 $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $42$ $16$ $14$ $7$ $-3.927$ Right forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM10 $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-44.065$ Right frontal orbital WM $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right occipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.751$ Right superior forntal ary wM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.696$	Right hemisphere					
Right inferior cerebellar peduncle16 $-26$ $-38$ $42$ $-6.019$ Right anterior limb of internal capsule/anterior thalamic radiation100026 $-4.015$ Right corticospinal tract/precentral gyrus WM16 $-24$ 607 $-4.054$ Associative fibers34 $-46$ 16115 $-4.433$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus32 $-20$ $-6$ 43 $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus46 $-50$ 3626 $-4.443$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus38 $-4$ $-22$ 9 $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part)4216147 $-3.927$ Right forceps major22 $-76$ 87 $-4.044$ Lobar WM10 $-54$ $-30$ 6 $-4.405$ Right forceps lum WM288 $-14$ 7 $-3.696$ Right forceps lum WM40 $-66$ $-34$ 2 $-3.606$ Right cerebellum WM40 $-66$ $-34$ 2 $-3.696$ Right cocipital lobe WM54 $-60$ 302 $-3.968$ Right superior frontal orbital gyrus WM24656 $-2.3751$	Projective fibers					
NoteN	Right inferior cerebellar peduncle	16	-26	-38	42	-6.019
NoteN	Right anterior limb of internal capsule/anterior thalamic radiation	10	0	0	26	-4.015
Associative fibersRight inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $46$ $-50$ $36$ $26$ $-4.443$ Right uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $42$ $16$ $14$ $7$ $-3.927$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $56$ $-52$ $-2$ $2$ $-3.661$ Commissural fibers $7$ $-4.044$ $-4.044$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right cocipital lobe WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.065$ Right occipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal gyrus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3.751$	Right corticospinal tract/precentral gyrus WM	16	-24	60	7	-4.054
Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus $34$ $-46$ $16$ $115$ $-4.433$ Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $46$ $-50$ $36$ $26$ $-4.443$ Right uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $42$ $16$ $14$ $7$ $-3.927$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $56$ $-52$ $-2$ $2$ $-3.661$ Commissural fibers $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right occipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal symus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3.751$	Associative fibers					
Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus $32$ $-20$ $-6$ $43$ $-4.984$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $46$ $-50$ $36$ $26$ $-4.443$ Right uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $42$ $16$ $14$ $7$ $-3.927$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $56$ $-52$ $-2$ $2$ $-3.661$ Commissural fibers $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right cocipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal symus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3.751$	Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/superior longitudinal fasciculus	34	-46	16	115	-4.433
Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $46$ $-50$ $36$ $26$ $-4.443$ Right uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus $38$ $-4$ $-22$ $9$ $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) $42$ $16$ $14$ $7$ $-3.927$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $56$ $-52$ $-2$ $2$ $-3.661$ Commissural fibers $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right cocipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal symus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3.751$	Right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus	32	-20	-6	43	-4.984
Right unclease38 $-4$ $-22$ 9 $-3.931$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part)4216147 $-3.927$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus56 $-52$ $-2$ 2 $-3.661$ Commissural fibers22 $-76$ 87 $-4.044$ Lobar WM10 $-54$ $-30$ 6 $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM10 $-54$ $-30$ 6 $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM288 $-14$ 7 $-3.696$ Right cerebellum WM40 $-66$ $-34$ 2 $-3.605$ Right cerebellum WM54 $-60$ 302 $-3.968$ Right occipital lobe WM54 $-60$ 302 $-3.968$ Right superior frontal symus WM246562 $-3.751$	Right superior longitudinal fasciculus	46	-50	36	26	-4.443
Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part)4216147 $-3.927$ Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $56$ $-52$ $-2$ $2$ $-3.661$ Commissural fibers $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right cerebellum WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right occipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal syrus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3.751$	Right uncinate fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus	38	-4	-22	9	-3.931
Right superior longitudinal fasciculus $56$ $-52$ $-2$ $2$ $-3.661$ Commissural fibersRight forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM10 $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right cerebellum WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right occipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal syrus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3.751$	Right superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part)	42	16	14	7	-3.927
Commissural fibersRight forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM10 $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right cerebellum WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.696$ Right occipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Bight superior frontal syrus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3.751$	Right superior longitudinal fasciculus	56	-52	-2	2	-3.661
Right forceps major $22$ $-76$ $8$ $7$ $-4.044$ Lobar WM10 $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM28 $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right cerebellum WM40 $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right occipital lobe WM54 $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal syrus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3.751$	Commissural fibers					
Lobar WM10 $-54$ $-30$ 6 $-4.065$ Right cerebellum WM288 $-14$ 7 $-3.696$ Right cerebellum WM40 $-66$ $-34$ 2 $-3.605$ Right occipital lobe WM54 $-60$ 302 $-3.968$ Bight superior frontal syrus WM246562 $-3.751$	Right forceps major	22	-76	8	7	-4.044
Right cerebellum WM $10$ $-54$ $-30$ $6$ $-4.065$ Right frontal orbital WM $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right occipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal syrus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3.751$	Lobar WM					
Right frontal orbital WM $28$ $8$ $-14$ $7$ $-3.696$ Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right occipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal syrus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3751$	Right cerebellum WM	10	-54	-30	6	-4.065
Right cerebellum WM $40$ $-66$ $-34$ $2$ $-3.605$ Right occipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal syrus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3.751$	Right frontal orbital WM	28	8	-14	7	-3.696
Right occipital lobe WM $54$ $-60$ $30$ $2$ $-3.968$ Right superior frontal syrus WM $24$ $6$ $56$ $2$ $-3.751$	Right cerebellum WM	40	-66	-34	2	-3.605
Right superior frontal syrus WM $24 = 6 = 56 = 2 = -3751$	Right occipital lobe WM	54	-60	30	2	-3.968
	Right superior frontal gyrus WM	24	6	56	2	-3.751

FA: fractional anisotropy; FEP: first-episode psychosis; HC: Healthy Controls; WM: white matter; FDR: false discovery rate; *N*: number of significant voxels in each anatomical region; MNI coordinates represents location of peak voxel; t: *t* test statistics. For the sake of clarity, near clusters located in the same WM tract/region were merged to the most significant cluster.

The ATR is part of the ALIC, and it carries axonal fibers from thalamic nuclei to the prefrontal (PFC) and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), being involved in executive functioning and memory (Mamah *et al.* 2010). Schizophrenia patients have significant impairments of memory and executive performance and longitudinal studies have demonstrated that such

deficits are further impaired during the acute phase of the illness (Bates *et al.* 2004; Klingberg *et al.* 2008). Neuroimaging studies have shown structural and microstructural abnormalities in the ATR/ALIC of schizophrenia patients, which correlated with worse performance in executive and memory tests (Levitt *et al.* 2010; 2012; Mamah *et al.* 2010). Also,



**Fig. 2.** Follow-up comparison between FEP and HC. FA rate-of-change map showing FA increase over time in patients, specifically in the right anterior thalamic radiation, right uncinate fasciculus/inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, and left inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus (p < 0.001, uncorrected). Blue color represents reduced FA rate-of-change in patients relative to HC, whereas red-yellow colors represent increased FA rate-of-change in patients relative to HC. Color intensity represents Student's *t* test statistics (i.e. the darker the color, the higher the value of the test). Results are overlaid on axial slices from JHU white matter tractography atlas (Wakana *et al.* 2004). Details for each significant cluster are provided in Table 3. FEP, first-episode psychosis; HC, health controls; FA, fractional anisotropy; FDR, false-discovery rate.

Tab	le 3	3.	Between-groups	comparisons	of DTI	rate-of-changes	maps
-----	------	----	----------------	-------------	--------	-----------------	------

	MNI co	ordinates					
FA rate-of-change (FEP>HC)							
Anatomical location	x	у	z	Ν	t		
Left hemisphere	p > 0.001 (uncorrected)*						
Associative fibers							
Left Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/inferior longitudinal fasciculus	-32	-46	6	12	4.726		
Right hemisphere							
Projective fibers							
Right anterior thalamic radiation	12	-2	6	16	4.343		
Associative fibers							
Right uncinate fasciculus/inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus	26	14	-8	12	4.579		

FA, fractional anisotropy; FEP, first-episode psychosis; HC, Healthy Controls; WM, white matter; FDR, false-discovery rate; *N*, number of significant voxels in each anatomical region; MNI coordinates represents location of peak voxel; *t*, *t* test statistics.

\* p (FDR-corrected) = 0.29.

schizophrenia patients present disturbed structural and functional connectivity between frontal cortex and thalamus (Marenco *et al.* 2012; Kubota *et al.* 2013; Anticevic *et al.* 2014; Wagner *et al.* 2015), and such abnormality seems to affect working memory performance (Marenco *et al.* 2012). Besides, a recent investigation has shown that measures of FA in ATR are correlated to positive symptoms scores (Ebdrup *et al.* 2016).

The associative WM tracts in which increases in FA were observed over time (IFOF, UF, and IFL) connect

frontal, temporal, parietal and occipital areas, and they have been implicated in several cognitive functions, such as visuospatial processing, emotional regulation, memory and language (Catani & Thiebaut de Schotten, 2008; Ćurčić-Blake *et al.* 2015). Structural and functional connectivity of these networks have consistently been shown to be impaired in schizophrenia (Allen *et al.* 2012; Ćurčić-Blake *et al.* 2013). For instance, reduced FA in the left IFOF, UF and ATR has been associated with auditory verbal hallucinations in schizophrenia patients (Ćurčić-Blake *et al.* 



**Fig. 3.** Correlation analyses between changes in FA and total PANSS scores. FA map showing clusters of negative correlation between the rates of changes in FA and total PANSS over time in FEP (p < 0.05, FDR corrected). Blue color represents negative correlations between the rate of symptoms reduction and the rate of FA increasing over time, whereas red-yellow colors represent positive correlations. Color intensity represents the Sperman's rho coefficient statistics (i.e. the darker the color, the higher the value of the test). Results are overlaid on axial slices from JHU white matter tractography atlas (Wakana *et al.* 2004). Details for each significant cluster are provided in online Supplementary Material/Table S1. FEP, first-episode psychosis; FA, fractional anisotropy; PANSS, positive and negative symptoms scale; FDR, false-discovery rate.

2015; Oestreich *et al.* 2015) and microstructural abnormalities in the left UF have been linked to negative symptoms (Kitis *et al.* 2012; Lei *et al.* 2015). Interestingly to this regard, reductions in the negative PANSS sub-scores during follow-up were significantly correlated to FA increases over time in the UF/IFOF in the present investigation.

In general terms, the significant correlations observed between symptom reductions and FA increases over time in the present study corroborate our hypothesis that the observed DTI changes reflect WM abnormalities underlying the emergence of psychotic symptoms. It is important to highlight that no correlation was found in the opposite direction, i.e. FA increase over time was invariably related to symptom reductions. Also, the WM locations where we observed increased FA longitudinally have been also correlated with decreasing PANSS scores in our study. Moreover, the fact that no significant correlations were found between the longitudinal changes in FA and AP load during follow-up further suggests that the observed FA increases were primarily related to clinical improvement rather than to the exposure to AP medication.

Up until now, few longitudinal studies investigated the effects of AP treatment in the DTI indices of FEP patients, all employing fixed follow-up intervals (Wang *et al.* 2013; Reis Marques *et al.* 2014; Szeszko *et al.* 2014; Ebdrup *et al.* 2016; Zeng *et al.* 2016). The results of those studies were highly heterogeneous with regard to both the direction (i.e. FA increase or

decrease) and topographical location of the findings in the brain. For instance, two studies reported 6 or 12 weeks of AP treatment to be associated with FA reductions over time (Wang et al. 2013; Szeszko et al. 2014), whereas two other investigations found FA increases after 6 or 12 weeks of AP exposure (Reis Marques et al. 2014; Ebdrup et al. 2016). A third study (Zeng et al. 2016) failed to find differences in FEP patients relative to controls after an 8-week trial of AP. In four out of those five investigations, no significant correlations between pre- v. post-treatment FA changes and symptom reduction in FEP patients was observed (Wang et al. 2013; Szeszko et al. 2014; Reis Marques et al. 2014; Ebdrup et al. 2016). Interestingly, Zeng et al. (2016) observed a significant negative correlation between longitudinal changes in FA values and change in positive symptoms over time. It is important to notice that such studies were designed to evaluate DTI changes specifically related to AP exposure, in which FEP patients were re-scanned after a fixed follow-up period, regardless of their clinical status at the time of the second scanning session (i.e. with not all patients having achieved remission). Thus, these investigations may have lacked sensitivity to detect state-dependent DTI changes specifically related to the amelioration of psychotic symptoms, as investigated herein. There are other limitations that should be weighted in the interpretation of the results of such studies: three of them enrolled FEP patients who had been previously exposed to AP or other psychotropic medications and/or subjects with comorbid substance misuse (Szeszko *et al.* 2014; Reis Marques *et al.* 2014; Ebdrup *et al.* 2016; Zeng *et al.* 2016); in Reis Marques *et al.* (2014), HC were scanned only at baseline. Also, in three of those previous studies, some of the evaluated patients had a history of psychotic symptoms for more than a year by the time of the first scanning session (Szeszko *et al.* 2014; Ebdrup *et al.* 2016; Zeng *et al.* 2016), thus possibly confounding the results due to the effects of illness chronicity.

Brain anisotropy indices mostly reflect myelination and axonal membrane integrity, indirectly representing the degree of fiber density and tissue organization within WM tracts (Beaulieu, 2002; Concha et al. 2010; Jones et al. 2013). Post-mortem studies suggest that schizophrenia is associated with myelin/oligodendrocyte abnormalities (Takahashi et al. 2011), which are believed to result either from disturbed neurodevelopmental processes or as a consequence of inflammation associated with acute psychosis (Najjar & Pearlman, 2015). Oligodendroglia has a vast number of progenitor cells that can repair damaged myelin sheaths (Bartzokis, 2012). Animal studies suggest that AP can restore myelin (Xiao et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2012) as well as produce inhibitory effects on activated microglia (Monji et al. 2013). AP seem to promote myelination through inhibition of the glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3) enzyme (Bartzokis, 2012). Thus, one can hypothesize that the increases in FA observed after symptom remission in our psychosis patients could reflect myelin healing promoted by such medications, which might restore structural as well as functional connectivity in the affected WM tracts. In agreement with such reasoning, a longitudinal DTI study evaluating multiple sclerosis patients showed that the remyelination after acute lesions is mainly characterized by FA increase and stable diffusivity indices (Fox et al. 2011).

Overall, our results suggest that anisotropy abnormalities are more pronounced in acute psychosis than diffusivity changes. Few studies have assessed diffusivity measures in FEP (Ruef et al. 2012; Lee et al. 2013; Filippi et al. 2014; Zeng et al. 2016). Lee et al. (2013) reported widespread TR increases across the whole cerebral WM; Ruef et al. (2012) found a trend towards increased MD in the right SLF and middle cerebellar peduncle; and Filippi et al. (2014) observed increased MD bilaterally in the fornix and thalamic radiation at the same time that several other brain areas displayed reduced MD; Zeng et al. (2016) found increased MD in bilateral ATR, left IFOF and right IFL, forceps major and forceps minor. In our study, no region of between-group differences in TR survived correction for multiple comparisons. Also, no correlation between TR maps and clinical variables survived correction for multiple comparisons. In three out of four previous investigations (Ruef *et al.* 2012; Lee *et al.* 2013; Zeng *et al.* 2016), there were no correlations between diffusivity indexes and symptom scores; only Filippi *et al.* (2014) found inverse correlations between MD and symptoms. Such heterogeneity in the investigation of diffusivity indexes in FEP might be partially explained by lower sensitivity of MD to pathological damage in comparison to FA, as reported in patients with multiple sclerosis (Sbardella *et al.* 2013).

It is possible that not only state-dependent, but also trait-based pathological WM findings are present in psychotic disorders. This is supported by findings of FA reductions in individuals at genetic risk for schizophrenia (Skudlarski et al. 2013). We have attempted to evaluate such possibility by carrying out a crosssectional comparison between remitted FEP patients and the HC group at follow-up. No differences in FA or TR were observed even at the uncorrected p < 0.001 level of significance in this analysis, suggesting that there were no residual DTI abnormalities after symptom remission in the FEP group. Nevertheless, caution must be exercised when interpreting such negative finding, given that a smaller number of FEP individuals were available for the cross-sectional between-group comparison carried out at follow-up.

Progression of volumetric and DTI brain changes has been documented after the onset of both schizophrenia and affective psychoses, particularly in those patients with a non-remitting course of illness (Mori et al. 2007; Hulshoff Pol & Kahn, 2008; Olabi et al. 2011; Rosa et al. 2015; Sun et al. 2016). Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain such findings, including progression of neurodevelopmental injuries, disturbed neuroplasticity with increased inflammation and impaired resilience to cellular insults (Haroutunian et al. 2014; Najjar & Pearlman, 2015). Interesting to this regard, one large investigation combining resting-state functional MRI and molecular genetics suggested that genes implicated in NMDArelated long-term potentiation, protein kinase A (PKA) signaling, immune response/ inflammation, synaptogenesis and axon guidance mediate the altered functional connectivity observed in schizophrenia and psychotic bipolar disorder (Meda et al. 2014). Dynamic changes in these cellular systems might underlie both our finding of state-dependent FA changes that significantly correlated with symptom improvement in FEP patients, and the findings of progressive WM abnormalities that have been observed in psychosis patients with a chronic/recurrent course of illness in previous studies. In this sense, an apparent recovery of microstructural WM damage as suggested by the findings presented herein does not challenge the notion of progressive neuropathological mechanisms in schizophrenia of poorer prognosis; instead, our results only shed light on another likely process, namely the acuteness of clinical symptoms reflecting the acuteness of tissue damage (which generally occurs in other chronic brain diseases such as multiple sclerosis, for instance).

The present investigation evaluated an enriched sample of treatment-naïve FEP patients with relatively short illness duration (DUP of up to 6 months) and free of substance use disorders. Hence, we could avoid DTI alterations related to chronicity, previous AP exposure and drug misuse. Also, patients were clinically assessed at a weekly basis until they reached a fully sustained remission, thus avoiding the risk of considering a transient clinical improvement as a sustained positive clinical response. Finally, a significant proportion of HC were also evaluated longitudinally, enabling us to control our results for variations over time not strictly related to psychosis.

Nevertheless, some limitations of our study need to be addressed. The relatively small size of the study groups increases the risks of both type I and type II statistical errors. For instance, perhaps due to the limited sample size, longitudinal between-group differences in FA were observed only at the uncorrected p<0.001 statistical level. Although we had a clear hypothesis of FA changes associated with symptom improvement over time in FEP, the interpretation of findings significant only at an uncorrected level of statistical significance clearly warrants caution. The imperious need for caution as regards to overinterpreting positive findings in neuroimaging studies have been recently highlighted (Eklund et al. 2016). However, the relevance of reporting such findings even at a less rigid level of significance is based on the following facts: the WM tracts where we found FA increases in FEP subjects over time at this statistical threshold were among the WM regions where we had detected significant baseline FA reductions in FEP relative to HC at a strict, FDR-corrected p < 0.05 level of significance; and the same WM tracts also displayed significant correlations between FA changes and symptom improvement over time, at the same rigid statistical threshold. Moreover, the negative results of the cross-sectional comparison between remitted FEP patients v. HC at follow-up, even at the uncorrected p < 0.001 level, further confirm the hypothesis of statedependent DTI changes in FEP. A second limitation of our study relates to the protocol used for the acquisition of DTI data, as we acquired only one reference 'B0' (non-diffusion) image. Although this is a standard strategy (Mukherjee et al. 2008), it has been recently

advised that 1/8th to 1/10th of images during DTI acquisitions should be B0 scans, as this provides greater accuracy in the estimation of tensors and FA values (Nir et al. 2013; Lim et al. 2014). Finally, we chose to report only TR values rather than other diffusivity indices such as radial diffusivity and axial diffusivity. Although all diffusion parameters are thought to be overall sensitive to tissue properties such as myelination, axonal orientation and axonal density, no DTI measure can be taken as more specific to a given property (Alexander et al. 2007; Jones et al. 2013). Studies using synthetic models of crossing fibers have shown that pathological changes to the WM microstructure may result in unpredictable changes to AD and RD measurements, unrelated to the underlying original tissue organization, thus suggesting that such diffusivity indices may not always be reliable (Wheeler-Kingshott & Cercignani, 2009).

In conclusion, the findings reported in this study provide direct evidence that WM changes affecting brain areas/tracts critical to the integration of perceptual information, cognition and emotions are detectable soon after the onset of FEP and may partially reverse in direct relation to the remission of acute symptoms. Such neuroimaging results reinforce the view that WM abnormalities in large brain tracts are a key state-related neurobiological feature of acute psychotic disorders, deserving to be more closely targeted in future studies investigating the causes and brain mechanisms underlying such disorders.

# **Supplementary Material**

The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717001015

#### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Fabio L. Duran for his technical support on spatial localization of our findings. We acknowledge Silvia de Vicentiis for her support on the evaluation of healthy controls. We thank all of the patients and healthy controls that participated in this investigation. This study received financial support from the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, Brazil). M.H. Serpa receives a research scholarship from the Centro de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES, Brazil).

#### References

Alexander A, Lee J, Lazar M, Field A (2007). Diffusion tensor imaging of the brain. *Neurotherapeutics* 4, 316–329. Allen P, Modinos G, Hubl D, Shields G, Cachia A, Jardri R, Thomas P, Woodward T, Shotbolt P, Plaze M, Hoffman R (2012). Neuroimaging auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia: from neuroanatomy to neurochemistry and beyond. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **38**, 695–703.

American Psychiatric Association (1994). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV), 4th edn., text revision. American Psychiatric Association: Washington.

Andreasen N, Carpenter W, Kane J, Lasser R, Marder S, Weinberger D (2005). Remission in schizophrenia: proposed criteria and rationale for consensus. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 162, 441–449.

Andreasen N, Pressler M, Nopoulos P, Miller D, Ho B (2010). Antipsychotic dose equivalents and dose-years: a standardized method for comparing exposure to different drugs. *Biological Psychiatry* 67, 255–262.

Anticevic A, Cole M, Repovs G, Murray J, Brumbaugh M, Winkler A, Savic A, Krystal J, Pearlson G, Glahn D (2014). Characterizing thalamo-cortical disturbances in schizophrenia and bipolar illness. *Cerebral Cortex* 24, 3116– 3130.

Ashtari M, Cottone J, Ardekani B, Cervellione K, Szeszko P, Wu J, Chen S, Kumra S (2007). Disruption of white matter integrity in the inferior longitudinal fasciculus in adolescents with schizophrenia as revealed by fiber tractography. Archives of General Psychiatry 64, 1270–1280.

**Bartzokis G** (2012). Neuroglialpharmacology: myelination as a shared mechanism of action of psychotropic treatments. *Neuropharmacology* **62**, 2137–2153.

Bates A, Liddle P, Kiehl K, Ngan ET (2004). State dependent changes in error monitoring in schizophrenia. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 38, 347–356.

**Beaulieu C** (2002). The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system – a technical review. *NMR in Biomedicine* **15**, 435–455.

Bleuler E (1911). Dementia Praecox or the Group of Schizophrenias. International Universities Press: New York.

Bora E, Fornito A, Radua J, Walterfang M, Seal M, Wood S, Yücel M, Velakoulis D, Pantelis C (2011). Neuroanatomical abnormalities in schizophrenia: a multimodal voxelwise meta-analysis and meta-regression analysis. *Schizophrenia Research* 127, 46–57.

Carletti F, Woolley J, Bhattacharyya S, Perez-Iglesias R, Fusar-Poli P, Valmaggia L, Broome M, Bramon E, Johns L, Giampietro V, Williams S, Barker G, McGuire P (2012). Alterations in white matter evident before the onset of psychosis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **38**, 1170–1179.

Catani M, Thiebaut de Schotten M (2008). A diffusion tensor imaging tractography atlas for virtual in vivo dissections. *Cortex* 44, 1105–1132.

Cheng Y, Xu J, Yu H, Nie B, Li N, Luo C, Li H, Liu F, Bai Y, Shan B, Xu L, Xu X (2014). Delineation of early and later adult onset depression by diffusion tensor imaging. *PLoS ONE* 9, e112307.

Concha L, Livy D, Beaulieu C, Wheatley B, Gross D (2010). In vivo diffusion tensor imaging and histopathology of the fimbria-fornix in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Journal of Neuroscience* **30**, 996–1002. **Cookey J, Bernier D, Tibbo P** (2014). White matter changes in early phase schizophrenia and cannabis use: an update and systematic review of diffusion tensor imaging studies. *Schizophrenia Research* **156**, 137–142.

Ćurčić-Blake B, Liemburg E, Vercammen A, Swart M, Knegtering H, Bruggeman R, Aleman A (2013). When Broca goes uninformed: reduced information flow to Broca's area in schizophrenia patients with auditory hallucinations. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **39**, 1087–1095.

**Ćurčić-Blake B, Nanetti L, van der Meer L, Cerliani L, Renken R, Pijnenborg G, Aleman A** (2015). Not on speaking terms: hallucinations and structural network disconnectivity in schizophrenia. *Brain Structure & Function* **220**, 407–418.

**DeLisi L** (2008). The concept of progressive brain change in schizophrenia: implications for understanding schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **34**, 312–321.

Ebdrup B, Raghava J, Nielsen M, Rostrup E, Glenthøj B (2016). Frontal fasciculi and psychotic symptoms in antipsychotic-naive patients with schizophrenia before and after 6 weeks of selective dopamine D2/3 receptor blockade. *Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience* **41**, 133–141.

Eklund A, Nichols T, Knutsson H (2016). Cluster failure: why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated falsepositive rates. Proceedings of National Academy of Science of the United States of America 113, 7900–7905.

Ellison-Wright I, Bullmore E (2009). Meta-analysis of diffusion tensor imaging studies in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research* **108**, 3–10.

Filippi M, Canu E, Gasparotti R, Agosta F, Valsecchi P, Lodoli G, Galluzzo A, Comi G, Sacchetti E (2014). Patterns of brain structural changes in first-contact, antipsychotic drug-naive patients with schizophrenia. *American Journal of Neuroradiology* 35, 30–37.

First M, Spitzer R, Gibbon M, Williams J (1995). Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders, Patient Edition (SCID-I/P). Biometrics Research, New York State Psychiatry Institute: New York.

Fox R, Cronin T, Lin J, Wang X, Sakaie K, Ontaneda D, Mahmoud S, Lowe M, Phillips M (2011). Measuring myelin repair and axonal loss with diffusion tensor imaging. *American Journal of Neuroradiology* **32**, 85–91.

Friston K (1998). The disconnection hypothesis. Schizophrenia Research 30(2), 115–125.

Gao W, Jiao Q, Qi R, Zhong Y, Lu D, Xiao Q, Lu S, Xu C, Zhang Y, Liu X, Yang F, Lu G, Su L (2013). Combined analyses of gray matter voxel-based morphometry and white matter tract-based spatial statistics in pediatric bipolar mania. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **150**, 70–76.

Goldberg T, Ragland J, Torrey E, Gold J, Bigelow L, Weinberger D (1990). Neuropsychological assessment of monozygotic twins discordant for schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 47, 1066–1072.

Haroutunian V, Katsel P, Roussos P, Davis KL, Altshuler LL, Bartzokis G (2014). Myelination, oligodendrocytes, and serious mental illness. *Glia* 62, 1856–1877.

Ho B, Andreasen N, Ziebell S, Pierson R, Magnotta V (2011). Long-term antipsychotic treatment and brain volumes: a longitudinal study of first-episode schizophrenia. *Archives* of *General Psychiatry* 68, 128–137. Hulshoff Pol H, Kahn R (2008). What happens after the first episode? A review of progressive brain changes in chronically ill patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **34**, 354–366.

Itahashi T, Yamada T, Nakamura M, Watanabe H, Yamagata B, Jimbo D, Shioda S, Kuroda M, Toriizuka K, Kato N, Hashimoto R (2015). Linked alterations in gray and white matter morphology in adults with high-functioning autism spectrum disorder: a multimodal brain imaging study. *NeuroImage: Clinical* 7, 155–169.

Jones D (2008). Studying connections in the living human brain with diffusion MRI. *Cortex* 44, 936–952.

Jones D, Cercignani M (2010). Twenty-five pitfalls in the analysis of diffusion MRI data. *NMR Biomedicine* **23**, 803–820.

Jones D, Knösche T, Turner R (2013). White matter integrity, fiber count, and other fallacies: the do's and don'ts of diffusion MRI. *Neuroimage* **73**, 239–254.

Katagiri N, Pantelis C, Nemoto T, Zalesky A, Hori M, Shimoji K, Saito J, Ito S, Dwyer D, Fukunaga I, Morita K, Tsujino N, Yamaguchi T, Shiraga N, Aoki S, Mizuno M (2015). A longitudinal study investigating sub-threshold symptoms and white matter changes in individuals with an 'at risk mental state' (ARMS). *Schizophrenia Research* **162**, 7–13.

Kay S, Fiszbein A, Opler L (1987). The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 13, 261–276.

Keshavan M, Haas G, Kahn C, Aguilar E, Dick E, Schooler N, Sweeney J, Pettegrew J (1998). Superior temporal gyrus and the course of early schizophrenia: progressive, static, or reversible? *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **32**, 161–167.

Kitis O, Ozalay O, Zengin E, Haznedaroglu D, Eker M, Yalvac D, Oguz K, Coburn K, Gonul A (2012). Reduced left uncinate fasciculus fractional anisotropy in deficit schizophrenia but not in non-deficit schizophrenia. *Psychiatry & Clinical Neuroscience* **66**, 34–43.

Klingberg S, Wittorf A, Sickinger S, Buchkremer G, Wiedemann G (2008). Course of cognitive functioning during the stabilization phase of schizophrenia. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 42, 259–267.

Kochunov P, Hong L (2014). Neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative models of schizophrenia: white matter at the center stage. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **40**, 721–728.

Kraepelin E (1919). Morbidity anatomy. In *Dementia Praecox and Paraphrenia* (ed. G. M. Robertson), pp. 213–223. E. & S. Livingstone: Edinburgh.

Kubota M, Miyata J, Sasamoto A, Sugihara G, Yoshida H, Kawada R, Fujimoto S, Tanaka Y, Sawamoto N, Fukuyama H, Takahashi H, Murai T (2013).
Thalamocortical disconnection in the orbitofrontal region associated with cortical thinning in schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry* 70, 12–21.

Lee S, Kubicki M, Asami T, Seidman L, Goldstein J, Mesholam-Gately R, McCarley R, Shenton M (2013). Extensive white matter abnormalities in patients with firstepisode schizophrenia: a diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) study. *Schizophrenia Research* 143, 231–238.

Lei W, Li N, Deng W, Li M, Huang C, Ma X, Wang Q, Guo W, Li Y, Jiang L, Zhou Y, Hu X, McAlonan G, Li T (2015).

White matter alterations in first episode treatment-naïve patients with deficit schizophrenia: a combined VBM and DTI study. *Scientific Reports* **5**, 12994.

Levitt J, Alvarado J, Nestor P, Rosow L, Pelavin P, McCarley R, Kubicki M, Shenton M (2012). Fractional anisotropy and radial diffusivity: diffusion measures of white matter abnormalities in the anterior limb of the internal capsule in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research* **136**, 55–62.

Levitt J, Kubicki M, Nestor P, Ersner-Hershfield H, Westin C, Alvarado J, Kikinis R, Jolesz F, McCarley R, Shenton M (2010). A diffusion tensor imaging study of the anterior limb of the internal capsule in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research* 184, 143–150.

Li W, Li Q, Zhu J, Qin Y, Zheng Y, Chang H, Zhang D, Wang H, Wang L, Wang Y, Wang W (2013). White matter impairment in chronic heroin dependence: a quantitative DTI study. *Brain Research* **1531**, 58–64.

Lieberman J, Perkins D, Belger A, Chakos M, Jarskog F, Boteva K, Gilmore J (2001). The early stages of schizophrenia: speculations on pathogenesis, pathophysiology, and therapeutic approaches. *Biological Psychiatry* **50**, 884–897.

Lim J, Park Y, Jang J, Park S, Kim S, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2014). Differential white matter connectivity in early mild cognitive impairment according to CSF biomarkers. *PLoS ONE* 9, e91400.

Lu S, Wei Z, Gao W, Wu W, Liao M, Zhang Y, Li W, Li Z, Li L (2013). White matter integrity alterations in young healthy adults reporting childhood trauma: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* **47**, 1183–1190.

Mamah D, Conturo TE, Harms MP, Akbudak E, Wang L, McMichael AR, Gado MH, Barch DM, Csernansky JG (2010). Anterior thalamic radiation integrity in schizophrenia: a diffusion-tensor imaging study. *Psychiatry Research* **30**, 144–150.

Marenco S, Stein J, Savostyanova A, Sambataro F, Tan H, Goldman A, Verchinski B, Barnett A, Dickinson D, Apud J, Callicott J, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Weinberger D (2012). Investigation of anatomical thalamo-cortical connectivity and FMRI activation in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **37**, 499–507.

Meda SA, Ruaño G, Windemuth A, O'Neil K, Berwise C, Dunn SM, Boccaccio LE, Narayanan B, Kocherla M, Sprooten E, Keshavan MS, Tamminga CA, Sweeney JA, Clementz BA, Calhoun VD, Pearlson GD (2014). Multivariate analysis reveals genetic associations of the resting default mode network in psychotic bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Proceedings of National Academy of Science* of the United States of America **111**, E2066–E2075.

Melicher T, Horacek J, Hlinka J, Spaniel F, Tintera J, Ibrahim I, Mikolas P, Novak T, Mohr P, Hoschl C (2015). White matter changes in first episode psychosis and their relation to the size of sample studied: a DTI study. *Schizophrenia Research* **162**, 22–28.

Menezes P, Johnson S, Thornicroft G, Marshall J, Prosser D, Bebbington P, Kuipers E (1996). Drug and alcohol problems among individuals with severe mental illness in south London. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **168**, 612–619. Mighdoll M, Tao R, Kleinman J, Hyde T (2015). Myelin, myelin-related disorders, and psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research* **161**, 85–93.

Monji A, Kato T, Mizoguchi Y, Horikawa H, Seki Y, Kasai M, Yamauchi Y, Yamada S, Kanba S (2013). Neuroinflammation in schizophrenia especially focused on the role of microglia. *Progress in Neuro-psychopharmacology* & *Biological Psychiatry* **42**, 115–121.

- Mori T, Ohnishi T, Hashimoto R, Nemoto K, Moriguchi Y, Noguchi H, Nakabayashi T, Hori H, Harada S, Saitoh O, Matsuda H, Kunugi H (2007). Progressive changes of white matter integrity in schizophrenia revealed by diffusion tensor imaging. *Psychiatry Research* **154**, 133–145.
- Mukherjee P, Chung S, Berman J, Hess C, Henry R (2008). Diffusion tensor MR imaging and fiber tractography: technical considerations. *American Journal of Neuroradiology* 29, 843–852.
- Najjar S, Pearlman D (2015). Neuroinflammation and white matter pathology in schizophrenia: systematic review. *Schizophrenia Research* **161**, 102–112.

Nir T, Jahanshad N, Villalon-Reina J, Toga A, Jack C, Weiner M, Thompson P, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (2013). Effectiveness of regional DTI measures in distinguishing Alzheimer's disease, MCI, and normal aging. *Neuroimage: Clinical* **3**, 180–195.

- Oestreich L, McCarthy-Jones S, Australian Schizophrenia Research Bank, Whitford T (2015). Decreased integrity of the fronto-temporal fibers of the left inferior occipito-frontal fasciculus associated with auditory verbal hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Brain Imaging & Behavior* **10**, 445–454.
- Olabi B, Ellison-Wright I, McIntosh A, Wood S, Bullmore E, Lawrie S (2011). Are there progressive brain changes in schizophrenia? A meta-analysis of structural magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Biological Psychiatry* **70**, 88–96.
- **Oldfield R** (1971). The assessment and analysis of handedness: the Edinburgh inventory. *Neuropsychologia* **9**, 97–113.
- **Ou Y, Sotiras A, Paragios N, Davatzikos C** (2011). DRAMMS: deformable registration via attribute matching and mutual-saliency weighting. *Medical Image Analysis* **15**, 622–639.
- Patel S, Mahon K, Wellington R, Zhang J, Chaplin W, Szeszko P (2011). A meta-analysis of diffusion tensor imaging studies of the corpus callosum in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research* **129**, 149–155.

**Pierpaoli C, Basser P** (1996). Toward a quantitative assessment of diffusion anisotropy. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **36**, 893–906.

- Qiu C, Zhu C, Zhang J, Nie X, Feng Y, Meng Y, Wu R, Huang X, Zhang W, Gong Q (2014). Diffusion tensor imaging studies on Chinese patients with social anxiety disorder. *BioMed Research International* **2014**, 860658.
- Reis Marques T, Taylor H, Chaddock C, Dell'acqua F, Handley R, Reinders A, Mondelli V, Bonaccorso S, Diforti M, Simmons A, David A, Murray R, Pariante C, Kapur S, Dazzan P (2014). White matter integrity as a predictor of response to treatment in first episode psychosis. Brain 137, 172–182.

- Rosa P, Zanetti M, Duran F, Santos L, Menezes P, Scazufca M, Murray R, Busatto G, Schaufelberger M (2015). What determines continuing grey matter changes in first-episode schizophrenia and affective psychosis? *Psychological Medicine* 45, 817–828.
- Ruef A, Curtis L, Moy G, Bessero S, Badan Bâ M, Lazeyras F, Lövblad K, Haller S, Malafosse A, Giannakopoulos P, Merlo M (2012). Magnetic resonance imaging correlates of first-episode psychosis in young adult male patients: combined analysis of grey and white matter. *Journal of Psychiatry Neuroscience* **37**, 305–312.
- Samartzis L, Dima D, Fusar-Poli P, Kyriakopoulos M (2014). White matter alterations in early stages of schizophrenia: a systematic review of diffusion tensor imaging studies. *Journal of Neuroimaging* **24**, 101–110.
- Saunders J, Aasland O, Babor T, de la Fuente J, Grant M (1993). Development of the alcohol use disorders identification test (AUDIT): WHO collaborative project on early detection of persons with harmful alcohol consumption – II. Addiction 88, 791–804.
- Sbardella E, Tona F, Petsas N, Pantano P (2013). DTI measurements in multiple sclerosis: evaluation of brain damage and clinical implications. *Multiple Sclerosis International* **2013**, 671730.
- Schaufelberger M, Lappin J, Duran F, Rosa P, Uchida R, Santos L, Murray R, McGuire P, Scazufca M, Menezes P, Busatto G (2011). Lack of progression of brain abnormalities in first-episode psychosis: a longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging study. *Psychological Medicine* **41**, 1677–1689.
- Schlösser R, Nenadic I, Wagner G, Güllmar D, von Consbruch K, Köhler S, Schultz C, Koch K, Fitzek C, Matthews P, Reichenbach J, Sauer H (2007). White matter abnormalities and brain activation in schizophrenia: a combined DTI and fMRI study. *Schizophrenia Research* 89, 1–11.
- Skudlarski P, Schretlen D, Thaker G, Stevens M, Keshavan M, Sweeney J, Tamminga C, Clementz B, O'Neil K, Pearlson G (2013). Diffusion tensor imaging white matter endophenotypes in patients with schizophrenia or psychotic bipolar disorder and their relatives. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **170**, 886–898.
- Smith S, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, Rueckert D, Nichols T, Mackay C, Watkins K, Ciccarelli O, Cader M, Matthews P, Behrens T (2006). Tract-based spatial statistics: voxelwise analysis of multi-subject diffusion data. *Neuroimage* 31, 1487–1505.
- Snook L, Plewes C, Beaulieu C (2007). Voxel based versus region of interest analysis in diffusion tensor imaging of neurodevelopment. *Neuroimage* 34, 243–252.
- Spalletta G, Piras F, Fagioli S, Caltagirone C, Piras F (2014). Brain microstructural changes and cognitive correlates in patients with pure obsessive compulsive disorder. *Brain and Behavior* **4**, 261–277.
- Sun Y, Chen Y, Lee R, Bezerianos A, Collinson SL, Sim K (2016). Disruption of brain anatomical networks in schizophrenia: a longitudinal, diffusion tensor imaging based study. *Schizophrenia Research* **171**, 149–157.
- Szeszko P, Robinson D, Ashtari M, Vogel J, Betensky J, Sevy S, Ardekani B, Lencz T, Malhotra A, McCormack J,

Miller R, Lim K, Gunduz-Bruce H, Kane J, Bilder R (2008). Clinical and neuropsychological correlates of white matter abnormalities in recent onset schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **33**, 976–984.

Szeszko P, Robinson D, Ikuta T, Peters B, Gallego J, Kane J, Malhotra A (2014). White matter changes associated with antipsychotic treatment in first-episode psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **39**, 1324–1331.

Takahashi N, Sakurai T, Davis K, Buxbaum J (2011). Linking oligodendrocyte and myelin dysfunction to neurocircuitry abnormalities in schizophrenia. *Progress in Neurobiology* **93**, 13–24.

Tha K, Terae S, Nakagawa S, Inoue T, Kitagawa N, Kako Y, Nakato Y, Akter Popy K, Fujima N, Zaitsu Y, Yoshida D, Ito YM, Miyamoto T, Koyama T, Shirato H (2013). Impaired integrity of the brain parenchyma in nongeriatric patients with major depressive disorder revealed by diffusion tensor imaging. *Psychiatry Research* **212**, 208–215.

Van Hecke W, Leemans A, De Backer S, Jeurissen B, Parizel P, Sijbers J (2010). Comparing isotropic and anisotropic smoothing for voxel-based DTI analyses: a simulation study. *Human Brain Mapping* **31**, 98–114.

Wagner G, De la Cruz F, Schachtzabel C, Güllmar D, Schultz C, Schlösser R, Bär K, Koch K (2015). Structural and functional dysconnectivity of the fronto-thalamic system in schizophrenia: a DCM-DTI study. *Cortex* 66, 35–45.

Wakana S, Jiang H, Nagae-Poetcher L, van Zijl P, Mori S (2004). Fiber tract-based atlas of human white matter anatomy. *Radiology* 230, 77–87. Wang Q, Cheung C, Deng W, Li M, Huang C, Ma X, Wang Y, Jiang L, Sham P, Collier D, Gong Q, Chua S, McAlonan G, Li T (2013). White-matter microstructure in previously drug-naive patients with schizophrenia after 6 weeks of treatment. *Psychological Medicine* **43**, 2301–2309.

Wheeler-Kingshott C, Cercignani M (2009). About 'axial' and 'radial' diffusivities. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **61**, 1255–1260.

Worsley KJ, Evans AC, Marrett S, Neelin P (1992). A threedimensional statistical analysis for CBF activation studies in human brain. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* 12, 900–918.

Xiao L, Xu H, Zhang Y, Wei Z, He J, Jiang W, Li X, Dyck L, Devon R, Deng Y, Li X (2008). Quetiapine facilitates oligodendrocyte development and prevents mice from myelin breakdown and behavioral changes. *Molecular Psychiatry* 13, 697–708.

Yao L, Lui S, Liao Y, Du M, Hu N, Thomas J, Gong Q (2013). White matter deficits in first episode schizophrenia: an activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis. *Progress in Neuro-psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry* **45**, 100–106.

Zeng B, Ardekani B, Tang Y, Zhang T, Zhao S, Cui H, Fan X, Zhuo K, Li C, Xu Y, Goff D, Wang J (2016). Abnormal white matter microstructure in drug-naive first episode schizophrenia patients before and after eight weeks of antipsychotic treatment. *Schizophrenia Research* **172**, 1–8.

Zhang Y, Zhang H, Wang L, Jiang W, Xu H, Xiao L, Bi X, Wang J, Zhu S, Zhang R, He J, Tan Q, Zhang D, Kong J, Li X (2012). Quetiapine enhances oligodendrocyte regeneration and myelin repair after cuprizone-induced demyelination. *Schizophrenia Research* **138**, 8–17.