Induced resistance against the Asian citrus psyllid, *Diaphorina citri*, by β-aminobutyric acid in citrus

Siddharth Tiwari, Wendy L. Meyer and Lukasz L. Stelinski*

Entomology and Nematology Department, Citrus Research and Education Center, University of Florida, Lake Alfred, FL 33850, USA

Abstract

β-Aminobutyric acid (BABA) is known to induce resistance to microbial pathogens, nematodes and insects in several host plant/pest systems. The present study was undertaken to determine whether a similar effect of BABA occurred against the Asian citrus psyllid, Diaphorina citri Kuwayama, in citrus. A 25mM drench application of BABA significantly reduced the number of eggs/plant as compared with a water control, whereas 200 and 100 mM applications of BABA reduced the numbers of nymphs/plant and adults/plants, respectively. A 5mM foliar application of BABA significantly reduced the number of adults but not eggs or nymphs when compared with a water control treatment. In addition, leaf-dip bioassays using various concentrations (25-500 mM) of BABA indicated no direct toxic effect on 2nd and 5th instar nymphs or adult D. citri. BABA-treated plants were characterized by significantly lower levels of iron, magnesium, phosphorus, sodium, sulfur and zinc as compared with control plants. The expression level of the PR-2 gene (β -1,3-glucanase) in BABA-treated plants that were also damaged by D. citri adult feeding was significantly higher than in plants exposed to BABA, D. citri feeding alone or control plants. Our results indicate the potential for using BABA as a systemic acquired resistance management tool for D. citri.

Keywords: BABA, citrus greening, gene expression, imidacloprid, induced resistance, pathogenesis-related proteins, *PR-2* gene, systemic acquired resistance

(Accepted 17 March 2013; First published online 16 April 2013)

Introduction

Several biotic factors, such as beneficial microorganisms, infection by microbial pathogens or infestation by insect pests (Kessler & Baldwin, 2002; Dicke & Hilker, 2003; Pozo *et al.*, 2004), can induce resistance in plants against diseases and insect pests (van der Ent *et al.*, 2009; Cohen *et al.*, 2010). Induced resistance can also be activated by chemicals such as β -aminobutyric acid (BABA), a non-protein amino acid (Zimmerli *et al.*, 2000). BABA has been documented to induce plant resistance mechanisms in the following host/pest

systems: *Bremia lactucae*, an Oomycete, on lettuce (Cohen *et al.*, 2010, 2011); *Phytophthora infestans* on tomatoes (Cohen *et al.*, 1994); *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Harris), a pea aphid, on legumes (Hodge *et al.*, 2005); *Myzus persicae* (Sulz.), *Brevicoryne brassicae* (L.), *Trichoplusia ni* (Hübner) and *Plutella xylostella* (L.) on several species in the Brassicaceae (Hodge *et al.*, 2006); and *Heterodera avenae* Woll., *Heterodera latipons* and *Meloidogyne* sp., which are plant parasitic nematodes, on wheat and barley (Oka & Cohen, 2001). In addition, BABA is known to induce resistance against abiotic stresses such as drought, heat, salinity and acid rain (Jakab *et al.*, 2001; Liu *et al.*, 2011).

BABA induces resistance in plants through a number of physical and biochemical mechanisms (Cohen, 2002). Accumulation of pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins is one of the responses elicited by the application of BABA to plants (Cohen *et al.*, 1994; Hwang *et al.*, 1997). Expression of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) in plants as a result of BABA

^{*}Author for correspondence Phone: +1 863 956 8851 Fax: +1 863 956 4631 E-mail: stelinski@ufl.edu

application is related to elevated expression levels of the PR-1, PR-2, and PR-5 genes (Jakab et al., 2001). BABA-related elevation of PR protein levels can occur in tomato, pepper and tobacco plants in the absence of biotic stress by a plant pathogen (Cohen et al., 1994; Hwang et al., 1997), whereas in crucifers, PR protein levels were elevated only after the addition of biotic stress of pathogen infection (Zimmerli et al., 2000, 2001; Silue et al., 2002). BABA also increases lignin and callose content in foliar tissues and vascular walls, respectively, which can adversely affect feeding by herbivores (Cohen et al., 1999; Hamiduzzaman et al., 2005). Another physical change imposed by BABA is the alteration of nutrient composition and dry-matter content in the host plant (Hodge et al., 2005). However, little is known about the role of BABA on the level of PR proteins and plant nutrients that are known to influence the development of induced resistance against insect pests.

The Asian citrus psyllid, Diaphorina citri Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Psyllidae), is one of the most economically important citrus pests, which inflicts both direct and indirect damage to citrus trees (Halbert & Manjunath, 2004; Tiwari et al., 2010). Direct damage by D. citri adults and nymphs includes excessive honeydew production causing growth of sooty mold, notched and curled leaves, and death of terminal growth points (Halbert & Manjunath, 2004). Indirect damage results from the ability of D. citri to transmit Candidatus, Liberibacter asiaticus (Las), the presumed causal agent of huanglongbing (HLB), or greening disease, in citrus (Garnier et al., 1984; Jagoueix et al., 1996). Currently, there is no cure for HLB. Management programmes for HLB include aggressive management of D. citri, the use of disease-free planting materials and removal of infected trees (Halbert & Manjunath, 2004).

Insecticides are currently the most effective management tools for D. citri and associated HLB, but D. citri populations are developing resistance to insecticides (Tiwari et al., 2011a, b, c, 2012a, b). Therefore, it is important to investigate alternative management options that are environmentally friendly and sustainable in the long term. Induced host plant resistance may be an alternative method for managing D. citri. We therefore investigated the role of BABA in inducing resistance against D. citri in citrus. The effects of drench and foliar applications of BABA were measured by the number of eggs, nymphs and adults that were produced on treated plants as compared with untreated plants. In addition, direct exposure bioassays were performed on D. citri adults and nymphs to determine possible direct toxicity of BABA. Levels of various micro- and macronutrients were also compared between control plants and those exposed to BABA. Finally, we investigated whether changes in the expression of the PR-2 gene, an indication of SAR induction, occurred as a result of BABA application alone or in combination with D. citri feeding on plants.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Plants used were 2–3-month-old 'Swingle citrumelo' (*Citrus paradisi* Macf. x *Poncirus trifoliata* L. Raf.), purchased from a commercial nursery. Plants were potted in 6-inchdiameter plastic pots containing a potting mixture of custom citrus mix (Conrad Fafard, Inc., Agawam, MA, USA). Plants were maintained under greenhouse conditions at $27-28^{\circ}C$, 60-65% R.H. and a 14:10 (L:D) photoperiod for 2 weeks prior to the onset of experiments.

D. citri culture

The *D. citri* used in this study were obtained from laboratory colonies continuously reared at the Citrus Research and Education Center, University of Florida, Lake Alfred, FL, USA. The culture was established in 2000 using field populations collected in Polk Co., FL, USA (28.0'N, 81.9'W) prior to the discovery of HLB in the state. It is maintained in a greenhouse at 27–28°C, 60–65% R.H. and a 14:10 (L:D) photoperiod. *D. citri* are maintained on sweet orange [*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck] plants without exposure to insecticides and are confirmed free of the Las bacterium by using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods as described in Tiwari *et al.* (2010).

Effect of BABA drench application on developmental stages of D. citri *and plant nutrients*

The objective of these experiments was to determine whether a soil drench application of BABA (Sigma-Aldrich, Inc., St Louis, MO, USA; purity 97%) affected development of D. citri on citrus. The first experiment was conducted between 6 May 2010 and 3 June 2010. The treatments tested were 25, 50 or 100 mM of BABA dissolved in distilled water compared with a water only control. A second complementary experiment was conducted between 19 August 2010 and 17 September 2010. The treatments compared were 25, 100, 200 or 500 mM of BABA compared with a water control. Each experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design and there were seven and ten replicates during the first and second experiments, respectively. Each BABA concentration was prepared in distilled water, and 25ml of each concentration was applied as a drench to each plant. Plants were allowed to prime for 3 days after drenching under controlled greenhouse conditions. Thereafter, five male and five female D. citri adults of similar age were released onto each plant for 5 days. Each plant was covered with a perforated plastic cup to contain the D. citri adults. After 5 days, all of the adults were removed from each plant, and the number of eggs per plant was counted. Subsequently, the numbers of nymphs and adults produced per plant were counted semiweekly. Plants were observed for signs of phytotoxicity throughout the experiment.

At the termination of the second experiment, eight plants that received either 500 mM of BABA or water control were randomly selected for macro- and micronutrient analysis. Plant tissues were washed, air-dried and ground to pass through a 0.38-mm sieve. A plant tissue sample comprising approximately 3 g plant material was shipped to Waters Agricultural Laboratories, Inc. (Camilla, GA, USA) for nutrient analysis. Phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, sulfur, manganese, iron, copper, zinc, boron, silicon, sodium, molybdenum, nitrate, aluminum and chlorine concentrations were determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy.

For each experiment, the total number of eggs, nymphs and adults per plant were analyzed separately by analysis of variance (ANOVA) (PROC GLM) using BABA concentration as the main effect, followed by Fisher's protected LSD mean separation (SAS, 2004). The level of each nutrient was compared between BABA-treated and control plants using ANOVA, followed by Fisher's protected LSD mean separation (SAS, 2004).

Effect of BABA foliar application on developmental stages of D. citri

The objective of this experiment was to examine the effects of foliar applications of BABA on developmental stages of D. citri. The experiment was conducted twice between 8 March 2011 and 22 April 2011, and between 6 May 2011 and 3 June 2011. Each experiment consisted of the following treatments: 5 mM BABA; $625 \mu l l^{-1}$ of imidacloprid (Provado 1.6 F, Bayer CropScience, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA) (positive control); or water (negative control). Each experiment was arranged as a randomized complete block design with ten replicates of each treatment. Imidacloprid was used at the full (625 µl l⁻¹) recommended label rate against D. citri. BABA and imidacloprid were prepared in distilled water. Twentyfive milliliters of each treatment were applied as a foliar application until runoff using a handheld atomizer (The Bottle Crew, West Bloomfield, MI, USA). Plants were allowed to prime for 3 days after treatment application under controlled greenhouse conditions. Thereafter, five male and five female D. citri adults of similar age were released onto each plant and held for 5 days. Each plant was covered with a perforated plastic cup to contain the adults. Thereafter, all of the adults were removed from each plant, and the number of eggs per plant was counted. Subsequently, the numbers of nymphs and adults produced per plant were counted semiweekly. Plants were observed for any signs of phytotoxicity throughout the experiment. Owing to the lack of a significant effect of time on the main response variables, data from both experiments were pooled to analyze the total number of eggs, nymphs and adults per plant using ANOVA, followed by Fisher's protected LSD mean separation (PROC GLM) (SAS, 2004).

D. citri toxicity bioassay

The direct toxicity of four concentrations of BABA (25, 100, 200 and 500 mM) on early (second) and late (fifth) instar nymphs, and adults was determined using a Petri-dish bioassay method (Prabhaker et al., 2006; Tiwari et al., 2011b) and compared with water alone (negative control) and one-tenth of the recommended label rate of imidacloprid (positive control). The bioassay arena consisted of 60-mmdiameter plastic disposable Petri-dishes (Fisherbrand, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) containing a 2-3mm thick solidified bed of 1.5% agar solution. Leaf disks (60mm diameter) from fresh citrus leaves were excised, dipped for 30 s in BABA solutions made in water and allowed to air dry in a fume hood for 1 h prior to bioassays. For the negative control treatment, leaf disks were dipped in distilled water alone. For the positive control treatment, leaf disks were dipped in an imidacloprid formulation dissolved in distilled water. After 1 h, leaf disks were placed on agar beds and 20-30 nymphs or adults were transferred into each dish using a camel hair brush. The adults were briefly anesthetized with CO₂ to facilitate handling and transfer. Petri-dishes were wrapped with parafilm (Pechiney Plastic Packaging, Chicago, IL, USA) to prevent the escape of psyllids. Sealed Petri-dishes with nymphs or adults were transferred into a growth chamber (Percival Scientific, Inc., Perry, IA, USA) set at 25±1°C, 50±5% RH and 14:10 (L:D) photoperiod. Each BABA concentration was replicated three times (n=30-45 D. citri per concentration) and the entire experiment was repeated. The mortality of nymphs or adults was assessed 48 h after placement into the growth chamber. Adults found on their side or back that were unable to move when probed with a camel hair brush were considered dead. Nymphs found flaccid, dried, light colored and unable to move when probed with a camel hair brush were considered dead. Percent mortality between treatments was compared separately for each developmental stage using ANOVA (PROC GLM), followed by Fisher's protected LSD mean separation (SAS, 2004).

PR-2 gene (β -1,3-glucanase) expression levels in BABA-treated plants

The expression level of the PR-2 gene was evaluated in citrus after receiving one of the following four treatments: BABA application (500 mM); BABA application (500 mM) on plants exposed to D. citri feeding; plants exposed to D. citri feeding alone; and a control (no exposure of plants to D. citri or BABA). Each treatment was replicated three times. This experiment was conducted using potted 'Swingle' plants under controlled conditions on 18 October 2011. Each potted plant was covered with a perforated plastic cup to contain adults on the plants and to prevent external infestation. In the treatment where plants were exposed to D. citri adults, five pairs of similarly aged D. citri adults were released onto each plant. After 5 days, adults were removed from the plants, and 25 ml of 500 mM BABA solution was applied as a drench to each plant receiving treatments of BABA. Control plants or those receiving *D. citri* alone were treated with 25 ml of water alone. Plants were allowed to prime for 3 days. Thereafter, leaves from each plant were used to evaluate PR-2 gene expression levels. Total RNA was extracted from 200 mg of tissue from each treatment using the RNeasy Mini Kit for plant tissue (Qiagen, MD, USA). The quality and quantity of RNA from each sample was measured on a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer using the A_{260} and the A_{260}/A_{280} ratio to ensure uniform quality and quantity $(100 \text{ ng} \mu l^{-1})$ among all treatments for subsequent real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) analysis. One microliter of RNA sample was used for RT–PCR using β -1,3-glucanase primers (Forward = TTCCACTGCCATCGAAACTG; Reverse=TGTAATCTTGT-TTAAATGAGCCTCTTG) (Francis et al. 2009). RT-PCR reactions were conducted using a Power SYBR Green Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and a temperature cycle consisting of 48°C for 30 min, 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Three biological replicates were used per treatment.

To compare relative expression of the *PR*-2 gene among treatments, we used the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001) by normalizing to expression of the plant cytochrome oxidase (COX) gene (Forward=GTATGCCACGTCGCAT-TCCAGA; Reverse=GCCAAAACTGCTAAGGGCATT) (Li *et al.* 2006), followed by normalization to the treatment giving the lowest gene expression. ANOVA was performed to compare the relative expression of the *PR*-2 gene among plants receiving various treatments (PROC GLM) (SAS, 2004).

Results

Effect of BABA drench applications on developmental stages of D. citri and plant nutrients

In the first experiment, BABA significantly affected the mean numbers of eggs laid (F=6.76; df=3, 36; P=0.0010),



Fig. 1. Mean number of *D. citri* eggs (A), nymphs (B) and adults (C) produced per citrus plant, following drench application of BABA during spring 2010.

nymphs (F=3.73; df=3, 36; P=0.0196) and adults produced (F=5.39; df=3, 36; P=0.0036) per plant (fig. 1a–c). The mean numbers of eggs (fig. 1a), nymphs (fig. 1b) and adults (fig. 1c) on plants receiving 25 mM BABA were significantly reduced by over 50%, 35% and 42%, respectively, compared with control plants. In the second experiment, BABA significantly affected the mean numbers of eggs laid (F=3.51; df=4, 30; P=0.0182), nymphs (F=3.39; df=4, 30; P=0.0212) and adults produced (F=2.87; df=4, 30; P=0.0400) per plant (fig. 2a–c). The mean number of eggs laid per plant was significantly reduced by over 72% on plants receiving 25 mM BABA compared with control plants (fig. 2a). The mean numbers of nymphs and adults were reduced by over 60% and 84% on plants receiving 100 and 200 mM BABA, respectively, when

compared with control plants (fig. 2b, c). During both experiments, the control plants had significantly higher numbers of eggs, nymphs and adults per plant when compared with the highest concentrations of BABA tested (figs 1a–c and 2a–c).

Nutrient analysis revealed that levels of iron (F=9.01; df: 1,14; P=0.0095), magnesium (F=5.03; df: 1,14; P=0.0416), phosphorus (F=29.07; df: 1,14; P<0.0001), sodium (F=13.10; df: 1,14; P=0.0028), sulfur (F=7.78; df: 1,14; P=0.0145) and zinc (F=12.71; df: 1,14; P=0.0031) were significantly higher in untreated control plants compared with BABA-treated plants (table 1). No significant difference was found in levels of aluminum, boron, calcium, chloride, copper, manganese, molybdenum, potassium and silica



596

Fig. 2. Mean number of *D. citri* eggs (A), nymphs (B) and adults (C) produced per citrus plant, following drench application of BABA during summer 2010.

between untreated control and BABA-treated plants (P > 0.05) (table 1).

Effect of BABA foliar applications on developmental stages of D. citri

Treatments involving foliar applications of BABA, imidacloprid (full recommended rate) and water alone were found to significantly affect the mean numbers of eggs (F=11.09; df=2, 57; P<0.0001), nymphs (F=12.66; df=2, 57; P<0.0001) and adults (F=15.19; df=2, 57; P<0.0001) per plant (fig. 3). A 5mM BABA alone treatment significantly reduced the number of adults, but not eggs or nymphs when compared with the control treatment. BABA treatments reduced egg, nymph and adult production by 24%, 33% and 60%, respectively, when compared with the control. The imidacloprid

Table	1.	Levels	of	major	macro-	and	micronutrients	for
BABA	-tre	ated (50	0 mN	/I) and 1	untreated	contro	ol citrus plants.	

Nutrient (unit)	Mean±SEM				
	Control	BABA-treated			
Aluminum (ppm)	$18.0 \pm 2.4a$	15.6±0.7a			
Boron (ppm)	$15.9 \pm 2.7a$	$13.5 \pm 2.2a$			
Calcium (%)	$1.5 \pm 0.1a$	1.3±0.1a			
Chloride (%)	$0.4 \pm 0.1a$	$0.3 \pm 0.07a$			
Copper (ppm)	$13.4 \pm 2.6a$	$12.1 \pm 2.2a$			
Iron (ppm)	$163.3 \pm 20.8a$	$96.8 \pm 7.5b$			
Magnesium (%)	$0.4 \pm 0.02a$	$0.4 \pm 0.02b$			
Manganese (ppm)	$27.01 \pm 2.5a$	$24.2 \pm 5.8a$			
Molybdenum (ppm)	$4.4 \pm 0.6a$	6.7±1.3a			
Phosphorus (%)	$0.3 \pm 0.01a$	$0.2 \pm 0.02b$			
Potassium (%)	$2.6 \pm 0.3a$	$2.1 \pm 0.2a$			
Silica (ppm)	$350.8 \pm 38.5a$	276.6±34.7a			
Sodium (%)	$0.2 \pm 0.02a$	$0.2 \pm 0.01 b$			
Sulfur (%)	$0.4 \pm 0.03a$	$0.3 \pm 0.02b$			
Zinc (ppm)	$36.5 \pm 2.4a$	$26.5 \pm 1.4 b$			

Mean values for each nutrient followed by the same letter within a row are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

positive control reduced egg, nymph and adult production by 96%, 96% and 83%, respectively, when compared with water alone (negative control).

D. citri toxicity bioassay

BABA treatments did not cause mortality of *D. citri* as compared with the control (table 2). Mortality of 2nd instar (F=362.17; df=5, 37; P<0.0001), 5th instar (F=543.85; df=5, 37; P<0.0001) and adult (F=827.24; df=5, 37; P<0.0001). *D. citri* was significantly greater in treatments receiving imidacloprid (positive control) as compared with the BABA or water (negative control) treatments (table 2).

PR-2 gene (β -1,3-glucanase) expression levels in BABA-treated plants

The objective of this experiment was to determine whether exposure of citrus plants to *D. citri* adult feeding alone or in combination with BABA treatment induces expression of the *PR*-2 gene (fig. 4). Significant variation in the expression level of the *PR*-2 gene was observed among treatments (F=6.84; df=3, 20; P=0.0024). Significantly higher expression of *PR*-2 was observed in plants exposed to 500 mM of BABA in combination with *D. citri* adult feeding when compared with the control or other treatments tested.

Discussion

The current results demonstrate that treating citrus plants with BABA can negatively impact all three developmental stages of *D. citri*. During the first experiment, drench applications with as little as 25mM of BABA significantly reduced the number of eggs, nymphs and adults per plant. However, during the second drench application experiment, 25mM of BABA significantly reduced the number of eggs, while 100 and 200mM of BABA reduced the number of adults and nymphs surviving, respectively, compared with the control treatment. Foliar application of BABA was more effective than drench application, with respect to reducing the



Fig. 3. Mean number of *D. citri* eggs (A), nymphs (B) and adults (C) produced per citrus plant, following foliar application of BABA. BABA was applied at the concentration of 5 mM and imidacloprid was applied at the recommended label rate of $625 \,\mu$ ll⁻¹.

number of adults with 5 mM of BABA. Our results indicate that the application method affects the impact of BABA on development of *D. citri*.

The mechanism(s) of BABA-induced resistance in plants against insects are not well understood in general. Therefore, we sought to determine whether BABA was directly toxic to *D. citri*. Our results proved no direct toxicity of BABA against any of the developmental stages of *D. citri* tested suggesting an

induced resistance response by citrus. The lack of acute toxicity found in this study has also been reported for BABA in other insect species such as aphids, *A. pisum* and *M. persicae* (Hodge *et al.*, 2005), and plant pathogenic nematodes, *H. avenae*, *H. lapitons* and *Meloidogyne* sp. (Oka & Cohen, 2001). The deleterious effects of BABA on development of *D. citri* might be related to decreased nutritional quality of host plants given significantly lower levels of iron, magnesium,

Table 2. Mean percent mortality of three developmental stages of Asian citrus psyllid, D. citri, following direct contact with BABA or imidacloprid.

Developmental life stage	Mean (±SEM) percent mortality					
	Imidacloprid	0 mM	25 mM	100 mM	200 mM	500 mM
Second instar nymph	87.7±0.7a	$3.1 \pm 0.9b$	$4.4 \pm 1.5b$	2.5±1.3b	$3.1 \pm 0.9b$	3.8±1.3b
Fifth instar nymph	93.0±3.9a	$2.5 \pm 1.0b$	$1.3 \pm 0.8b$	$1.3 \pm 0.8b$	$2.5 \pm 1.0b$	$2.5 \pm 1.0b$
Adult	$94.1 \pm 3.0a$	$2.9 \pm 0.9b$	$1.5 \pm 0.7b$	$1.3 \pm 0.8b$	$0.6 \pm 0.6b$	$0.6 \pm 0.6b$

Mean mortality percentage values followed by the same letter within each developmental stage (row) are not significantly different (P<0.05).



Fig. 4. Relative expression levels of the *PR*-2 gene in plants exposed to BABA alone (500 mM); BABA (500 mM) and *D. citri* feeding; *D. citri* feeding alone; and control (no exposure of plants to *D. citri* or BABA). *C_i* values obtained from real-time RT–PCR were first normalized to the reference gene, plant COX, followed by normalization to the treatment giving the lowest gene expression using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. Values sharing the same letter are not significantly different (*P*<0.05; Fisher's protected LSD).

phosphorus, sodium, sulfur and zinc in BABA-treated plants as compared with control plants. BABA-treated Vicia faba L. plants exhibit altered dry-matter content and C, N and H content of leaves, which detrimentally impacts production of A. pisum (Hodge et al., 2006). It has been speculated that BABA blocks translocation of nutrients from plant cells to fungal cells, thereby reducing fungal infection (Steiner & Schönbeck, 1997). Similarly, certain non-protein amino acids cause nitrogen to be stored in a form that is metabolically unavailable to herbivores (Huang et al., 2011). The results of this study suggest that lower levels of certain macro- and micronutrients as a result of BABA treatment may be responsible for reduced numbers of D. citri nymphs and adults. Las-infected citrus was characterized by lower levels of nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur, zinc and iron, which are known to have negative effects on host selection behavior of D. citri (Mann et al., 2012). Several other studies have shown that the growth and development of phytophagous insects depend largely on concentrations of various micronutrients and their relative ratios (Clancy et al., 1988; Clancy & King, 1993; Beanland et al., 2003). Therefore, it is possible that reduced fitness of D. citri on BABA-treated plants as a result of SAR induction could supplement the need for insecticide application if population levels are sufficiently reduced. It is

also possible that this could result in the need for fewer annual insecticide applications. This may be especially important given the recent emergence of insecticide resistance in populations of *D. citri* in the U.S. (Tiwari *et al.*, 2011*a*).

Even the highest concentration of BABA (500 mM) tested in the present study caused no phytotoxic effects to citrus plants. The lack of phytotoxicity observed with citrus is similar to that seen with pea (Pisum sativa), broad bean (Vicia faba var. major), runner bean (Phaseolus coccineus), red clover (Trifolium pratense) and alfalfa (Medicago sativa) (Hodge et al., 2005). However, BABA does cause necrosis in tobacco (Cohen & Gisi, 1994; Siegrist et al., 2000). The application technique can influence phytotoxicity caused by BABA (Cohen, 1994; Cohen & Gisi, 1994). BABA concentrations as low as 1 and 10mM were found to cause necrotic lesions on tobacco when applied as foliar sprays (Cohen, 1994; Siegrist et al., 2000). However, tomato plants tolerate higher concentrations of BABA when applied as a soil drench (Cohen & Gisi, 1994), because they systemically acquire only part of the applied volume. Citrus appears to tolerate BABA applications better than tobacco due to a lack of phytotoxicity after both drench and foliar applications.

Mechanisms for BABA-induced resistance in plants vary among plant families and pest/pathogen types (Cohen, 2002; Marcucci et al., 2010). In grapes such as Vitis vinifera, BABAinduced resistance is mediated by the phenylpropanoid and jasmonic acid pathways (Hamiduzzaman et al., 2005; Slaughter et al., 2008). BABA is also known to induce resistance through enhanced expression of systemically acquired resistance (SAR) genes, which code for pathogenicity-related (PR) proteins (Cohen et al., 1994; Hwang et al., 1997; Hamiduzzaman et al., 2005). BABA-induced resistance in pepper, Capsicum annuum, occurs through the accumulation of PR proteins, such as β -1,3-glucanase, chitinase isoforms and other salicylic acid-dependent PR proteins (Hwang et al., 1997). BABA-induced resistance is also regulated by the plant hormone, salicylic acid and the defense regulatory protein, NPR1 (Zimmerli et al., 2000; Ton et al., 2005). In citrus, SAR induction was explained by high expression of the PR-2 gene, which in turn relates to a higher resistance of citrus against canker (Francis et al., 2009). The results of the present study show that the PR-2 gene was up-regulated by more than 150-fold in citrus treated with BABA in combination with D. citri adult feeding compared with the control or citrus treated with BABA or D. citri feeding alone. These results suggest that PR proteins, or at least one PR protein in citrus, accumulates as a result of the combined effect of BABA and D. citri feeding. Our results corroborate findings of another study, where green peach aphid, M. persicae, feeding resulted in the activation of defense-related genes, including PR-1 and

BGL2 in *Arabidopsis* (Moran & Thompson, 2001). Lack of elevated *PR*-2 gene expression in BABA treatment could have occurred because the concentration of BABA tested may have not been sufficiently high to cause gene expression. Alternatively, the interval between BABA treatment and our assay may have not been sufficiently long to cause *PR*-2 gene upregulation. Therefore, optimizing the concentration and priming period of BABA is needed to further elucidate the mechanisms imparting induced resistance in citrus after treatment with BABA. Also, future investigation of the involvement of the jasmonic acid pathway in SAR in citrus is warranted, particularly as pertaining to induced resistance against *D. citri*.

Our results show that all three developmental stages of D. citri were negatively impacted by BABA through induction of host-plant resistance in citrus. These results suggest an additional viable alternative tool for current D. citri management programmes that heavily rely on insecticides. The synergistic effect of BABA mixed with imidacloprid or other commonly used insecticides requires investigation for the potential use of BABA as a tank-mix with other insecticides for managing *D. citri*. BABA may also be useful as a tank-mix with other pesticides, given its known synergistic effects with various plant activators and fungicides (Zhang et al., 2001; Cohen, 2002). Additional investigations are needed to optimize a cost-effective and efficacious dosage of BABA for management of D. citri under field conditions. The effects of BABA should also be investigated on other insect pests or diseases of citrus and/or other crops. The current results also suggest the potential for investigating other commercially available SAR-inducing products, such as 2,6-dichloroisonicotinic acid, benzothiadiazole, inorganic salts and salicylic acid, which may induce resistance in citrus against insect pests and pathogens. All the above-mentioned products are known to induce resistance in plants against various pests and pathogens (Edreva, 2004).

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by a grant from the USDA and Citrus Research and Development Foundation to L.L.S. We acknowledge A. Hoyte, Y. Cruz-Plemons, D. Diaz, M. Flores and S. Holladay for technical assistance.

References

- Beanland, L., Phelan, P.L. & Salminen, S. (2003) Micronutrient interactions on soybean growth and the developmental performance of three insect herbivores. *Environmental Entomology* 32, 641–651.
- Clancy, K.M. & King, R.M. (1993) Defining the western spruce budworm's nutritional niche with response surface methodology. *Ecology* 74, 442–454.
- Clancy, K.M., Wagner, M.R. & Tinus, R.W. (1988) Variation in host foliage nutrient concentrations in relation to western spruce budworm herbivory. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 18, 530–539.
- Cohen, Y. (1994) 3-Aminobutyric acid induces systemic resistance against Peronospora tabacina. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology 44, 273–288.
- Cohen, Y. (2002) β-Aminobutyric acid-induced resistance against plant pathogens. *Plant Disease* 86, 448–457.
- Cohen, Y. & Gisi, U. (1994) Systemic translocation of ¹⁴C-DL-3aminobutyric acid in tomato plants in relation to induced

resistance against *Phytophthora infestans*. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* **45**, 441–456.

- Cohen, Y., Niderman, T., Mösinger, E. & Fluhr, R. (1994) β-Aminobutyric acid induces the accumulation of pathogenesis-related proteins in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) plants and resistance to late blight infection caused by *Phytophthora infestans. Plant Physiology* **104**, 59–66.
- Cohen, Y., Reuveni, M. & Baider, A. (1999) Local and systemic activity of BABA (DL-3-aminobutyric acid) against *Plasmopara viticola* in grapevines. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **105**, 351–361.
- Cohen, Y., Rubin, A.E. & Kilfin, G. (2010) Mechanisms of induced resistance in lettuce against *Bremia lactucae* by DL-β-aminobutyric acid (BABA). *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **126**, 553–573.
- Cohen, Y., Rubin, A.E. & Vaknin, M. (2011) Post infection application of DL-β-amino-butyric acid (BABA) induces multiple forms of resistance against *Bremia lactucae*. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **130**, 13–27.
- Dicke, M. & Hilker, M. (2003) Induced plant defenses: from molecular biology to evolutionary ecology. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 4, 3–14.
- Edreva, A. (2004) A novel strategy for plant protection: induced resistance. *Journal of Cell and Molecular Biology* 3, 61–69.
- Francis, M.I., Redondo, A., Burns, J.K. & Graham, J.H. (2009) Soil application of imidacloprid and related SAR-inducing compounds produces effective and persistent control of citrus canker. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **124**, 283–292.
- Garnier, M., Danel, N. & Bové, J.M. (1984) The organism is a gram-negative bacterium. pp. 115–124 in Garnsey, S.M., Timmer, L.W. & Dodds, J.A. (Eds) Proceedings of 9th Conference of the International Organization of Citrus Virologist. Riverside, University of California.
- Halbert, S.E. & Manjunath, K.L. (2004) Asian citrus psyllids (Sternorrhyncha: Psyllidae) and greening disease of citrus: a literature review and assessment of risk in Florida. *Florida Entomologist* 87, 330–353.
- Hamiduzzaman, M.Md., Jakab, G., Barnavon, L., Neuhaus, J-M.
 & Mauch-Mani, B. (2005) β-Aminobutyric acid-induced resistance against downy mildew in grapevine acts through the potentiation of callose formation and jasmonic acid signaling. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 18, 819–829.
- Hodge, S., Thompson, G.A. & Powell, G. (2005) Application of DL-β-aminobutyric acid (BABA) as a root drench to legumes inhibits the growth and reproduction of the pea aphid *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 95, 449–455.
- **Hodge, S., Pope, T.W., Holaschke, M. & Powell, G.** (2006) The effect of β-aminobutyric acid on the growth of herbivorous insects feeding on brassicaceae. *Annals of Applied Biology* **148**, 223–229.
- Huang, T., Jander, G. & de Vos, M. (2011) Non-protein amino acids in plant defense against insect herbivores: representative cases and opportunities for further functional analysis. *Phytochemistry* 72, 1531–1537.
- Hwang, B.K., Sunwoo, J.Y., Kim, Y.J. & Kim, B.S. (1997) Accumulation of β-1,3-glucanase and chitinase isoforms, and salicylic acid in the DL-β-amino-n-butyric acid-induced resistance response of pepper stems to *Phytophthora capsici*. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* **51**, 305–322.
- Jagoueix, S., Bové, J.M. & Garnier, M. (1996) PCR detection of the two *Candidatus liberibacter* species associated with greening disease of citrus. *Molecular and Cellular Probes* 10, 43–50.

- Jakab, G., Cottier, V., Toquin, V., Rigoli, G., Zimmerli, L., Métraux, J.-P. & Mauch-Mani, B. (2001) β-aminobutyric acid-induced resistance in plants. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 107, 29–37.
- Kessler, A. & Baldwin, I.T. (2002) Plant responses to insect herbivory: the emerging molecular analysis. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 53, 299–328.
- Li, W.B., Hartung, J.S. & Levy, L. (2006) Quantitative real-time PCR for detection and identification of *Candidatus Liberibacter* species associated with citrus huanglongbing. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 66, 104–115.
- Liu, T., Jiang, X., Shi, W., Chen, J., Pei, Z. & Zheng, H. (2011) Comparative proteomic analysis of differentially expressed proteins in β-aminobutyric acid enhanced *Arabidopsis thaliana* tolerance to simulated acid rain. *Proteomics* 11, 2079–2094.
- **Livak, K.J. & Schmittgen, T.D.** (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. *Methods* **25**, 402–408.
- Mann, R.S., Ali, J.G., Hermann, S.L., Tiwari, S., Pelz-Stelinski, K.S., Alborn, H.S. & Stelinski, L.L. (2012) Induced release of a plant-defense volatile 'Deceptively' attracts insect vectors to plants infected with a bacterial pathogen. *PLoS Pathogens* 8, e1002610. doi:10.1371/journal. ppat.1002610.
- Marcucci, E., Aleandri, M.P., Chilosi, G. & Magro, P. (2010) Induced resistance by β-aminobutyric acid in artichoke against white mould caused by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Journal of Phytopathology* **158**, 659–667.
- Moran, P.J. & Thompson, G.A. (2001) Molecular responses to aphid feeding in Arabidopsis in relation to plant defense pathways. *Plant Physiology* **125**, 1074–1085.
- Oka, Y. & Cohen, Y. (2001) Induced resistance to cyst and rootknot nematodes in cereals by DL-beta-amino-N-butyric acid. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 107, 219–227.
- Pozo, M.J., Van Loon, L.C. & Pieterse, C.M.J. (2004) Jasmonates signals in plant–microbe interactions. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 23, 211–222.
- Prabhaker, N., Castle, S.J., Byrne, F.J., Henneberry, T.J. & Toscano, N.C. (2006) Establishment of baseline susceptibility data to various insecticides for glassy-winged sharpshooter, *Homalodisca coagulata* Say (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) by comparative bioassay techniques. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 99, 141–154.
- SAS Institute (2004) SAS Users Guide. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Siegrist, J., Orober, M. & Buchenauer, H. (2000) β-Aminobutyric acid-mediated enhancement of resistance in tobacco to tobacco mosaic virus depends on the accumulation of salicylic acid. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 56, 95–106.
- Silue, D., Pajot, E. & Cohen, Y. (2002) Induction of resistance to downy mildew (*Peronospora parasitica*) in cauliflower by DL-β-amino-*n*-butanoic acid (BABA). *Plant Pathology* 51, 97–102.

- Slaughter, A.R., Hamiduzzaman, M.Md., Gindro, K., Neuhaus, J.-M. & Mauch-Mani, B. (2008) Beta-aminobutyric acid-induced resistance in grapevine against downy mildew: involvement of pterostilbene. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **122**, 185–195.
- Steiner, U. & Schönbeck, F. (1997) Induced resistance. pp. 272–297 in Hartleb, H., Heitefuss, R. & Hopp, H.H. (Eds) Resistance of Crop Plants Against Fungi. Lubeck, Ulm, Gustav Fischer, Jena, Stuttgart.
- Tiwari, S., Lewis-Rosenblum, H., Pelz-Stelinski, K. & Stelinski, L.L. (2010) Incidence of *Candidatus Liberibacter* asiaticus infection in abandoned citrus occurring in proximity to commercially managed groves. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **103**, 1972–1978.
- Tiwari, S., Mann, R.S., Rogers, M.E. & Stelinski, L.L. (2011a) Insecticide resistance in field populations of Asian citrus psyllid in Florida. *Pest Management Science* 67, 1258–1268.
- Tiwari, S., Pelz-Stelinski, K. & Stelinski, L.L. (2011b) Effect of Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus infection on susceptibility of Asian citrus psyllid, Diaphorina citri, to selected insecticides. Pest Management Science 67, 94–99.
- Tiwari, S., Gondhalekar, A.D., Mann, R.S., Scharf, M.E. & Stelinski, L.L. (2011c) Characterization of five CYP4 genes from Asian citrus psyllid and their expression levels in *Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus* infected and uninfected psyllids. *Insect Molecular Biology* 20, 733–744.
- Tiwari, S., Stelinski, L.L. & Rogers, M.E. (2012a) Biochemical basis of organophosphate and carbamate resistance in Asian citrus psyllid. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 105, 540–548.
- Tiwari, S., Clayson, P.J., Kuhns, E.H. & Stelinski, L.L. (2012b) Effect of buprofezin and diflubenzuron on various developmental stages of Asian citrus psyllid, *Diaphorina citri*. *Pest Management Science* 68, 1405–1412.
- Ton, J., Jakab, G., Toquin, V., Flors, V., Lavicoli, A., Maeder, M. N., Metraux, J.-P. & Mauch-Mani, B. (2005) Dissecting the b-aminobutyric acid-induced priming phenomenon in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 17, 987–999.
- van der Ent, S., Koornneef, A., Jurriaan, T. & Pieterse, C.M.J. (2009) Induced resistance – orchestrating defence mechanisms through crosstalk and priming. *Annual Plant Reviews* 34, 334–370.
- Zhang, S., Reddy, M.S., Kokalis-Burelle, N., Wells, L.W., Nightengale, S.P. & Kloepper, J.W. (2001) Lack of induced systemic resistance in peanut to late leaf spot disease by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and chemical elicitors. *Plant Disease* 85, 879–884.
- Zimmerli, L., Jakab, G., Métraux, J.P. & Mauch-Mani, B. (2000) Potentiation of pathogen-specific defense mechanisms in *Arabidopsis* by β-aminobutyric acid. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.* 97, 12920–12925.
- Zimmerli, L., Métraux, J.P. & Mauch-Mani, B. (2001) Betaaminobutyric acid-induced protection of *Arabidopsis* against necrotrophic fungus *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plant Physiology* **126**, 517–523.