A reappraisal of *Masonhalea* (*Parmeliaceae*, *Lecanorales*) based on molecular and morphological data

Matthew P. NELSEN, Arne THELL, Steven D. LEAVITT, Celia J. HAMPTON-MILLER and H. Thorsten LUMBSCH

Abstract: Previous studies have identified a close relationship between the monospecific *Masonhalea* richardsonii and *Tuckermannopsis inermis*. However, formal taxonomic changes were postponed until existing sequence data could be confirmed. Here we validate these data and discuss the transfer of *T. inermis* to *Masonhalea* (made by Lumbsch *et al.* in Thell & Moberg 2011), consider the morphological, anatomical and biogeographic similarities and differences between these two taxa. The two *Masonhalea* species both produce lateral apothecia, marginal pycnidia, a layer of cortical tissue beneath the pycnidial wall and bacillariform conidia.

Key words: cetrarioid, generic concept, lichens, molecular systematics, phylogeny, taxonomy

Accepted for publication on 27 June 2013

Introduction

The species *Masonhalea richardsonii* (Hook.) Kärnefelt is a unique taxon, which forms a foliose, prostrate, cartilaginous thallus, growing unattached and rolling freely, that is, vagrant, on the tundra, where it is spread primarily by wind (Fig. 1A) (Kärnefelt 1977, 1979; Thomson 1984; Kärnefelt *et al.* 1992). The unusual morphology attracted the attention of several lichenologists, resulting in its placement in several other genera, including: Cornicularia, Evernia, Everniopsis, Platysma and Parmelia (Kärnefelt 1977). More recently, this taxon was segregated from Cetraria Ach., and the monotypic genus, Masonhalea Kärnefelt, was established based on several characters, including the presence of large pseudocyphellae, which form irregular patches on the lower surface of the thallus (Kärnefelt 1977). Furthermore, the lateral position of the apothecia in M. richardsonii differs from that of Cetraria, where they appear submarginally on the upper side. In addition, the short cylindrical or bacillariform conidia of M. richardsonii differ from the longer and fusiform, oblong citriform conidia typical of Cetraria s. str. (Thell 1995b). Finally, the secondary chemistry of M. richardsonii is unusual among cetrarioid lichens, being characterized solely by alectoronic acid (Kärnefelt 1977, 1979; Kärnefelt & Thell 1993). Early molecular studies based on ITS and β -tubulin sequence data, together with morphology, identified M. richardsonii as occupying an isolated position among the core of cetrarioid lichens (Thell et al. 2002a, b; Mattsson & Articus 2004). However, subsequent studies that included additional species from the cetrarioid clade (Thell et al. 2009; Nelsen

M. P. Nelsen: Committee on Evolutionary Biology, University of Chicago, 1025 E. 57th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA. Email: mpnelsen@gmail.com

A. Thell: The Biological Museums, Lund University, Box 117, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden.

S. D. Leavitt: M. L. Bean Life Science Museum, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84602, USA.

C. J. Hampton-Miller: University of Alaska Museum, 907 Yukon Drive, Fairbanks, Alaska 99775, USA; and Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, 830 North University, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA.

H. T. Lumbsch, also M. P. Nelsen and S. D. Leavilt: Department of Botany, The Field Museum, 1400 S. Lake Shore Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60605, USA



FIG. 1. A, Masonhalea richardsonii, Canada, Northwest Territories, Artillery Lake. J. W. Thomson & J. A. Larsen 92 (LD-1008288);
B, Masonhalea inermis, USA, Alaska, Seward Peninsula, Nome area, I. Kärnefelt (LD-1035952). Photographs by Patrik Frödén, Lund. Scales: A = 10 mm; B = 1 mm. In colour online.

et al. 2011a) demonstrated a sister group relationship between *M. richardsonii* and *Tuckermannopsis inermis* (Nyl.) Kärnefelt.

Recently, the genus Masonhalea was expanded to include the species Masonhalea inermis (Nyl.) Lumbsch et al. (Thell & Moberg 2011). This species forms an erect, foliose to subfoliose thallus, which primarily occurs on twigs, but occasionally on soil (Krog 1973; Kärnefelt 1979; Thomson 1984; Kärnefelt et al. 1992; Thell & Moberg 2011). The fatty acids, protolichesterinic and lichesterinic acid, are produced in the medulla of *M. inermis*, both of which occur in a wide range of cetrarioid taxa (Kärnefelt 1979; Kärnefelt & Thell 1993). Masonhalea inermis (Fig. 1B) had previously been considered a form of Cetraria crispa (Ach.) Nyl., a synonym of C. ericetorum Opiz, where it was classified as Cetraria crispa f. inermis Nyl. Krog (1973) elevated it to the species level as Cetraria inermis (Nyl.) Krog. At this time, Krog (1973) noted the production of lateral apothecia by C. inermis and used this to infer a close relationship with Cetraria subalpina Imshaug, another species which she considered to produce lateral apothecia. However, Kärnefelt (1979) characterized the apothecia of C. inermis as marginal on lateral branches, a typical position for cetrarioid lichens, and stated that C. inermis and C. subalpina had few characters in common. Later, however, Kärnefelt et al. (1993) placed these two species together in Tuckermannopsis, based on the absence of a ring structure in the ascus and the production of subglobose ascospores. Subsequently, Thell et al. (2009) demonstrated a distant relationship between these two taxa based on molecular sequence data, and both Thell et al. (2009) and Nelsen et al. (2011a) have instead suggested a close relationship between M. inermis (as T. inermis) and M. richardsonii. The studies of Thell et al. (2009) and Nelsen et al. (2011a) both suggested that M. inermis (as T. inermis) was not closely related to the type species of Tuckermannopsis, T. ciliaris (Ach.) Gyelnik. For taxonomy to reflect phylogeny, it became necessary to either describe a new genus for T. inermis or to place it in Masonhalea. This taxon was then transferred to Masonhalea by Lumbsch et al. (Thell & Moberg 2011); however, co-authors for the new combination were not included in that publication.

Here we highlight anatomical, morphological, chemical and ecological similarities between these two taxa and draw comparisons with variation present in other cetrarioid genera. In addition, we have obtained new DNA sequences from both *M. richardsonii* and *M. inermis* and find that the newly obtained sequences validate the originals, thereby supporting the monophyly of *M. inermis* and *M. richardsonii*.

Materials and Methods

Characterization of phenotype and habitat

A thorough summary of the morphological, anatomical, chemical, ecological, and biogeographical characteristics of these taxa, and other certrarioid genera, was compiled. These data were drawn from numerous publications cited throughout the text and were used to compare and contrast the two *Masonhalea* species, as well as to discuss the variability of these characters in other certarioid genera.

Molecular phylogeny

We also sought to verify the apparent sister relationship between M. inermis and M. richardsonii. New ITS sequences were generated for two species: Masonhalea inermis, USA, Alaska, Copter Peak, Plot 4, C. Hampton-Miller 912 (LD), GenBank Acc. No. JQ361046; Masonhalea richardsonii, USA, Alaska, Steese Highway, S. A. Harris 4597 (F), GenBank Acc. No. JQ361047. Gen-Bank accession numbers for other taxa are included in Nelsen et al. (2011a). All DNA extraction, PCR reaction, purification, cycle-sequencing, precipitation and sequencing protocols follow Leavitt et al. (2011) and Nelsen et al. (2007, 2011b). New ITS sequences were added to the full alignment (ITS, nuLSU, mtSSU, RPB1) of Nelsen et al. (2011a); sequences were manually aligned, and ambiguous regions removed. A maximum likelihood analysis (ML) and Bayesian analysis were conducted in RAxML 7.2.8 (Stamatakis 2006) and MrBayes 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist 2001), respectively. Substitution models and other settings for the analyses followed Nelsen et al. (2011a) and were performed using the CIPRES Science Gateway 3.1 (Miller et al. 2010).

Results

We briefly highlight the similarities and differences between the *Masonhalea* species, and note the variability of these characters in other cetrarioid genera.

Growth form

One of the most noticeable differences between M. inermis and M. richardsonii is their growth form. Whereas both have foliose thalli with weakly channelled lobes, M. richardsonii produces prostrate, unattached thalli with irregularly to weakly dichotomously branching lobes, and M. inermis is characterized by an erect, foliose thallus that is attached to its substratum and produces unbranched to weakly branched lobes (Krog 1973; Kärnefelt 1977, 1979; Thomson 1984; Kärnefelt et al. 1992). Macroscopic growth form is known to be quite variable within the cetrarioid core. In some genera, such as Cetraria s. str. (Kärnefelt 1979) and Nephromopsis (Randlane et al. 1995; Randlane & Saag 1998), growth form is conserved; in other genera, such as Cetrariella (Kärnefelt et al. 1992) and Kaernefeltia (Thell & Goward 1996) species vary greatly in their vegetative morphology. Furthermore, the species Cetraria aculeata varies from fruticose to foliose, depending on its habit (attached vs. vagrant) (Pérez-Ortega et al. 2012). Consequently, macroscopic differences in thallus morphology are known within other genera, and even species within the cetrarioid core.

Upper cortex

The two Masonhalea species also possess different types of upper cortex. Masonhalea inermis produces a single-layered upper cortex composed of paraplectenchyma (Kärnefelt et al. 1992, 1993), whereas M. richardsonii has a bi-layered upper cortex, composed of a layer of pachydermatous paraplectenchyma produced above a layer of prosoplectenchyma (Kärnefelt 1977; Kärnefelt et al. 1992). The production of a bi-layered upper cortex is not restricted to M. richardsonii as several other cetrarioid species are known to produce a bi-layered upper cortex (Kärnefelt et al. 1992, 1993). We speculate that the thickened, and dense, bi-layered arrangement of cortical hyphae in M. richardsonii may be related to its vagrant lifestyle. For instance, a thickened cortex in Cetraria aculeata has been viewed as a response to its vagrant lifestyle (Pérez-Ortega *et al.* 2012). Although the number of cortical layers is conserved in some cetrarioid genera, such as *Allocetraria* (Thell *et al.* 1995*a*), *Tuckermannopsis* (Kärnefelt & Thell 2001) and *Vulpicida* (Mattsson 1993), it is known to be variable in others. For example, the genus *Cetraria* s. str. is composed of species with a uni-, bi- or even tri-layered cortex, and the number of cortical layers is even known to be variable within the species *C. aculeata* (Kärnefelt *et al.* 1992; Pérez-Ortega *et al.* 2012).

Pseudocyphellae

Both Masonhalea species bear conspicuous, confluent pseudocyphellae on the lower surface, albeit of different shapes and in different locations. In M. richardsonii they form irregular patches or areas, whereas in M. *inermis* they appear as a continuous line on a flat border along the margin (Fig. 1A). Some variation in the presence or absence of pseudocyphellae, as well as location, is known among other cetrarioid genera. The genus Cetreliopsis, which appears embedded in a paraphyletic Nephromopsis (supplementary Fig. 1; Thell et al. 2009; Nelsen et al. 2011a), forms pseudocyphellae on both surfaces, while Nephromopsis has pseudocyphellae solely on the lower cortex (Randlane et al. 1995; Randlane & Saag 1998). The genus Allocetraria also exhibits variability with A. flavonigrescens lacking pseudocyphellae, and A. ambigua and A. sinensis developing them marginally (Thell et al. 1995a). Finally, in the genus Cetraria, pseudocyphellae are situated on the lower cortex, but C. islandica is known to also produce marginal pseudocyphellae.

Ascomata

Both *Masonhalea* species bear apothecia in a lateral position. This is quite rare among cetrarioid lichens which usually have submarginal apothecia, most commonly laminal on the upper side along the margins, or marginally on the lower side but later turning upwards in nephromoid apothecia (*Nephromopsis*) (Kärnefelt *et al.* 1992), suggesting that the lateral position of the apothecia is a synapomorphy of Masonhalea. The two Masonhalea species differ in the number of exciple layers present in their apothecia (Kärnefelt et al. 1992). Differences in this character are also known to occur within, for example, the genera Cetraria s. str. and Nephromopsis (Kärnefelt et al. 1992; Randlane et al. 1995; Randlane & Saag 1998).

Hamathecium, asci and ascospores

The two Masonhalea species form short, straight and nearly unbranched paraphyses, which are thickened towards the tips (Thell et al. 1995b). This type of paraphysis is shared with a wide range of other cetrarioid taxa including Allocetraria and Tuckermannopsis (Thell et al. 1995b). Both Masonhalea species produce asci of the Lecanora-type (Thell et al. 1995b); this ascus type is widespread among cetrarioid lichens, with numerous species also producing the Cetrariatype of ascus, characterized by a large tholus with an amyloid ring (Thell et al. 1995b). Masonhalea inermis possesses the Tuckermannopsis-form of the Lecanora-type ascus, which is characterized by globose ascospores arranged uniseriately in a cylindrical ascus with a small tholus, broad axial body and a broad ocular chamber (Kärnefelt et al. 1992; Thell et al. 1995b). In contrast, asci of M. richardsonii are categorized as the Nephromopsis-form of the Lecanora-type, containing oblong-obovate ascospores (not arranged uniseriately), which are produced in narrowly clavate asci with a smaller axial body and a conical ocular chamber with a narrow beak (Kärnefelt et al. 1992; Thell et al. 1995b). Ascospore shape also generally appears conserved among species within individual genera in the cetrarioid core (Mattsson 1993). However, differences in ascospore shape among closely related cetrarioid species are known to occur in Nephromopsis, where most species have oblong-obovate ascospores, while a small number of taxa, such as N. komarovii and N. nephromoides, have ellipsoid ascospores (Randlane et al. 1995; Randlane & Saag 1998). Furthermore, the genus Cetre*liopsis*, which is closely related to or part of Nephromopsis (supplementary Fig. 1; Thell et al. 2009; Nelsen et al. 2011a), is characterized by ellipsoid ascospores (Randlane et al. 1995; Randlane & Saag 1998). Kärnefelt et al. (1992) have suggested that spore arrangement, size of the axial body and ascospore shape are often correlated, though this has not been tested in a quantitative framework. If the evolution of these three characters is indeed correlated, it may not be surprising that M. inermis and M. richardsonii differ in these three characters.

Pycnidia and conidia

Both Masonhalea species are characterized by 1-layered, raised, marginal pycnidia, with a layer of cortical tissue beneath the pycnidial wall (Kärnefelt et al. 1992). Several other cetrarioid genera, such as Cetraria, Nephromopsis and Tuckermannopsis, include species with similar pycnidia (Kärnefelt et al. 1992). However, both Masonhalea species form bacillariform conidia (Kärnefelt et al. 1993; Thell 1995b), which are quite rare in the cetrarioid core (Thell 1995b). Together, this combination of pycnidia and conidia appears unique in the cetrarioid core.

Secondary chemistry

Masonhalea richardsonii and M. inermis differ 6in their medullary secondary chemistry, with M. richardsonii producing the depsidone alectoronic acid, and M. inermis developing the fatty acids protolichesterinic and lichesterinic acids (Kärnefelt et al. 1992). The production of protolichesterinic and lichesterinic acids is quite widespread in the cetrarioid core (Kärnefelt & Thell 1993). Though less common, alectoronic acid is also known from a range of cetrarioid genera (Kärnefelt & Thell 1993), including Cetrariella (Kärnefelt et al. 1992; Thell 1995a; Kärnefelt & Thell 2000; Rico et al. 2005), Nephromopsis (Randlane et al. 1995) and Tuckermannopsis (Kärnefelt & Thell 2001). Interestingly, the species Cetrariella commixta is known to be variable with respect to the presence or absence of alectoronic acid (Kärnefelt et al. 1992; Thell 1995a); consequently, the production of alectoronic acid is not constant

in the genus *Cetrariella* (Kärnefelt & Thell 2000), or even the species *C. commixta* (Rico *et al.* 2005). Somewhat similarly, the species *Nephromopsis pallescens* is known to develop either alectoronic acid or protolichesterinic and lichesterinic acids in the medulla, or occasionally, both sets of substances (Randlane *et al.* 1995). Finally, within the genus *Tuckermannopsis, T. americana* produces alectoronic acid (Thell 1998; Kärnefelt & Thell 2001), while the closely related *T. orbata* is characterized by protolichesterinic acid (Kärnefelt & Thell 2001).

Ecology and distribution

Both Masonhalea species share a similar northern Beringian distribution, although the distribution range of M. inermis is smaller, except for an isolated occurrence in northern Norway (Krog 1973; Kärnefelt 1977, 1979; Tønsberg & Elvebakk 1993). Despite this similarity, the two species differ ecologically, with M. richardsonii occurring vagrant on soil, and M. inermis instead occurring mostly on twigs, but occasionally also on soil (Krog 1973; Kärnefelt 1977, 1979; Thomson 1984; Thell & Moberg 2011). A number of other cetrarioid genera, such as Allocetraria (Thell et al. 1995a), Arctocetraria (Kärnefelt 1979; Kärnefelt et al. 1993) and Vulpicida (Mattsson 1993; Mattsson & Lai 1993), also include both corticolous and terricolous species or individual species that occur in both habitats.

A summary of the morphological, anatomical, chemical and ecological characteristics of the two *Masonhalea* species is provided in Table 1.

Phylogeny

The final concatenated alignment consisted of 2628 unambiguously aligned characters, and the topology recovered (Appendix Fig. A1) is consistent with that in fig. 2 of Nelsen *et al.* (2011*a*). The newly generated ITS sequences are strongly supported as sister to the earlier *M. inermis* and *M. richardsonii* sequences, thereby confirming the original sequences. Subsequent sequencing of the mtSSU, nuLSU and RPB1 loci (S. D Leavitt & H. T. Lumbsch, unpublished data) of the recently collected *M. inermis* specimen produced sequences nearly identical to those used in Thell *et al.* (2009) and Nelsen *et al.* (2011*a*), thereby confirming their identity. As in Thell *et al.* (2009) and Nelsen *et al.* (2011*a*), *M. inermis* is strongly supported as being sister to *M. richardsonii* (Appendix Fig. A1).

Taxonomy

We previously considered transferring T. inermis to Masonhalea, and indeed proposed this combination in the original Nelsen et al. (2011a) submission. Ultimately, we refrained from making this change until our results could be validated with new DNA sequences (Nelsen et al. 2011a). However, this change was included and printed in Thell & Moberg (2011). Thus, the publication of the name *Masonhalea inermis* (Nyl.) Lumbsch et al. in Thell & Moberg (2011) is the valid combination according to article 33:7 of the International Botanical Code (McNeill et al. 2006), not Nelsen et al. (2011a) as printed in Thell & Moberg (2011). We correct the authors of this combination below, following a brief summary of the revised circumscription of the genus Masonhalea, which largely adheres to that of Thell & Moberg (2011).

Masonhalea Kärnefelt

Bot. Notiser 130: 101-102 (1977);-type: Masonhalea richardsonii (Hook.) Kärnefelt.

Thallus foliose to subfoliose, upper surface brown, lower surface whitish to brown, sometimes prostrate, unbranched to weakly dichotomously branching. *Pseudocyphellae* present on the lower surface; occurring in patches, or as a continuous line along the margin.

Ascomata zeorine, apothecia formed laterally on margins, asci cylindrical to narrowly clavate with an axial body. Ascospores hyaline, globose to oblong-ovate, $3.5-6.5 \times 3-6 \mu m$. *Photobiont* trebouxioid.

Pycnidia marginal. Conidia bacillariform.

	M. inermis	M. richardsonii
Thallus	Foliose to subfoliose, upper surface brown, with pale lower surface, unbranched to weakly branched lobes	Foliose, upper surface brown, with whitish or brown lower surface, prostrate, irregu- larly to weakly dichotomously branching
Substratum	Shrubs, attached	Soil, unattached
Upper Cortex	Uni-layered, paraplectenchymatous	Bi-layered, layer of pachydermatous paraplectenchyma over a prosoplecten- chymatous layer
Pseudocyphellae	Present, formed marginally on lower surface in a linear fashion	Present, formed on lower surface in irregular patches
Ascomata	Regularly produced, formed laterally on margins; exciple 1–2-layered	Irregularly produced, formed laterally on margins; exciple 3-layered
Paraphyses	Short, straight, nearly unbranched, thickened near tips	Short, straight, nearly unbranched, thickened near tips
Asci	Lecanora-type, with amyloid plug and lacking amyloid structures in tholus. <i>Tuckermannopsis</i> -form with cylindrical ascus with small tholus, broad axial body and ocular chamber, and ascospores arranged uniseriately	Lecanora-type, with amyloid plug and lacking amyloid structures in tholus. Nephromopsis-form with narrowly clavate ascus with smaller axial body, conical ocular chamber with a narrow beak, and ascospores not arranged uniseriately
Ascospores	Globose, $3 \cdot 5 - 5 \cdot 0 \times 3 \cdot 5 - 6 \cdot 0 \ \mu m$	Oblong-ovate, $6{\cdot}0{-}6{\cdot}5\times3{-}6\mu m$
Pycnidia	1-layered, raised, marginal pycnidia, with a layer of cortical tissue beneath the pycnidial wall	1-layered, raised, marginal pycnidia, with a layer of cortical tissue beneath the pycnidial wall
Conidia	Bacillariform	Bacillariform
Secondary chemistry	Protolichesterinic and lichesterinic acids	Alectoronic acid
Distribution	Beringian, and N. Norway	Beringian

 TABLE 1. Summary of the numerous morphological, anatomical, chemical and ecological characteristics of the two
 Masonhalea species. Data are derived from literature sources cited throughout the manuscript.

Chemistry. Alectoronic or lichesterinic and protolichesterinic acids in the medulla.

Substratum. Growing attached on shrubs or unattached on soil.

Distribution. Beringia and northern Norway.

Masonhalea inermis (Nyl.) Lumbsch, M. Nelsen & A. Thell

In Thell & Moberg (eds), Nordic Lichen Flora 4: 67 (2011) as Masonhalea inermis (Nyl.) Lumbsch et al.

Cetraria crispa f. inermis Nyl., Bull. Soc. Linn. Normandie 4, 1: 214 (1887);—Cetraria tenuifolia var. inermis (Nyl.) Räsänen, Kuopion Luonnon Ystäväin Yhdistyksen Julkaisuja, ser. B, 2(6): 23 (1952);—Cetraria inermis (Nyl.) Krog, Bryologist 76: 299 (1973);—Tuckermannopsis inermis (Nyl.) Kärnefelt, Bryologist 96: 403 (1993).

Summary

Macroscopically, *M. inermis* and *M. richardsonii* appear quite distinct from one another. However, upon closer examination, several morphological and anatomical similarities can be seen. Furthermore, many of the differences between *M. inermis* and *M. richardsonii* appear minor when considered in the context of the vagrant habit of *M. richardsonii* and when compared with differences included in other genera. Most of the differences observed between these two species exist among species in other cetrarioid core genera. These two species are not found on short branches, suggesting they have been separated for some time, and/or undergone a substantial amount of molecular evolution. Consequently, these two species should be expected to have accumulated a number of differences. They appear to share a unique suite of morphological and anatomical character states among the cetrarioid core, which include the production of lateral apothecia, and bacillariform conidia formed in marginal pycnidia with a layer of cortical tissue beneath the pycnidial wall (Kärnefelt *et al.* 1992).

This work resulted from the Encyclopedia of Life (EOL) *Parmeliaceae* meeting in Chicago, May 2010. We thank Teuvo Ahti, Irwin Brodo and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments, which improved the manuscript. Matthew Nelsen was supported by the Brown Family Fellowship through the Field Museum. Funding was provided by the University of Chicago (to MPN) and the National Science Foundation ("Hidden diversity in parmelioid lichens", DEB-0949147 to HTL). All molecular work was performed in the Pritzker Laboratory for Molecular Systematics and Evolution at the Field Museum. S. A. Harris and the ABLS Lichen Exchange are thanked for providing a lichen collection.

References

- Huelsenbeck, J. P. & Ronquist, F. (2001) MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 17: 754–755.
- Kärnefelt, I. (1977) Masonhalea, a new lichen genus in the Parmeliaceae. Botaniska Notiser 130: 101–107.
- Kärnefelt, I. (1979) The brown fruticose species of *Cetraria. Opera Botanica* **46:** 1–150.
- Kärnefelt, I. & Thell, A. (1993) Chemical evolution in cetrarioid lichens. *Bibliotheca Lichenologica* 53: 115– 127.
- Kärnefelt, I. & Thell, A. (2000) On the systematic position of the genus *Cetrariella (Parmeliaceae: Ascomy*cotina) indicated by ITS rDNA data. *Bibliotheca Lichenologica* 75: 27–32.
- Kärnefelt, I. & Thell, A. (2001) Delimitation of the genus *Tuckermannopsis* Gyeln. (Ascomycotina: *Parmeliaceae*) based on morphology and DNA sequences. *Bibliotheca Lichenologica* 78: 193–209.
- Kärnefelt, I., Mattsson, J.-E. & Thell, A. (1992) Evolution and phylogeny of cetrarioid lichens. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **183**: 113–160.
- Kärnefelt, I., Mattsson, J.-E. & Thell, A. (1993) The lichen genera Arctocetraria, Cetraria and Cetrariella (Parmeliaceae) and their presumed evolutionary affinities. Bryologist 96: 394–404.
- Krog, H. (1973) Cetraria inermis (Nyl.) Krog, a new lichen species in the amphi-Beringian flora element. Bryologist 76: 299–300.
- Leavitt, S. D., Johnson, L. A., St. Clair, L. L. (2011) Species delimitation and evolution in morphologically and chemically diverse communities of the lichen-forming genus *Xanthoparmelia (Parmeliaceae,* Ascomycota) in western North America. *American Journal of Botany* 98: 1–14.

- Mattsson, J.-E. (1993) A monograph of the genus Vulpicida. Opera Botanica 119: 1–61.
- Mattsson, J. -E. & Articus, K. (2004) The monophyletic groups of cetrarioid lichens. Symbolae Botanicae Upsalienses 34: 237–244.
- Mattsson, J.-E. & Lai, M. J. (1993) Vulpicida, a new genus in Parmeliaceae (lichenized Ascomycetes). Mycotaxon 46: 425–428.
- McNeill, J., Barrie, F. R., Burdet, H. M., Demoulin, V., Hawksworth. D. L., Marhold, K., Nicolson, D. H., Prado, J., Silva, P. C., Skog, J. E., et al. (eds) (2006) International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Vienna Code) adopted by the Seventeenth International Botanical Congress Vienna, Austria, July 2005. [Regnum Vegetabile no. 146.] Ruggell: A. R. Ganter Verlag.
- Miller, M. A., Pfeiffer, W. & Schwartz, T. (2010) Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (BC), 14 November 2010, New Orleans, Louisiana, pp 1–8.
- Nelsen, M. P., Lücking, R., Umaña, L., Trest, M. T., Will-Wolf, S., Chaves, J. L. & Gargas, A. (2007) Multiclavula ichthyiformis (Fungi: Basidiomycota: Cantharellales: Clavulinaceae), a remarkable new basidiolichen from Costa Rica. American Journal of Botany 94: 1289–1296.
- Nelsen, M. P., Chavez, N., Sackett-Hermann, E., Thell, A., Randlane, T., Divakar, P. K., Rico, V. J. & Lumbsch, H. T. (2011*a*) The cetrarioid core group revisited (*Lecanorales: Parmeliaceae*). *Lichenologist* 43: 537–551.
- Nelsen, M. P., Lücking, R., Mbatchou, J. S., Andrew, C. J., Spielmann, A. A. & Lumbsch, H. T. (2011b) New insights into relationships of lichen-forming Dothideomycetes. *Fungal Diversity* 51: 155–162.
- Pérez-Ortega, S., Fernández-Mendoza, F., Raggio, J., Vivas, M., Ascaso, C., Sancho, L. G., Printzen, C. & de los Ríos, A. (2012) Extreme phenotypic variation in *Cetraria aculeata* (lichenized Ascomycota): adaptation or incidental modification. *Annals of Botany* 109: 1133–1148.
- Randlane, T. & Saag, A. (1998) Synopsis of the genus Nephromopsis (fam. Parmeliaceae, lichenized Ascomycota). Cryptogamie, Bryologie-Lichénologie 19: 175– 191.
- Randlane, T., Thell, A. & Saag, A. (1995) New data about the genera *Cetrariopsis*, *Cetreliopsis* and *Neph*romopsis (fam. Parmeliaceae, lichenized Ascomycotina). Cryptogamie, Bryologie-Lichénologie 16: 35–60.
- Rico, V. J., van den Boom, P. P. G. & Barrasa, J. M. (2005) Morphology, chemistry and distribution of *Melanelia sorediella (Parmeliaceae)* and similar species in the Iberian Peninsula. *Lichenologist* 37: 199– 215.
- Stamatakis, A. (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 22: 2688–2690.
- Thell, A. (1995a) A new position of the Cetraria commixta group in Melanelia (Ascomycotina, Parmeliaceae). Nova Hedwigia 60: 407–422.

- Thell, A. (1995b) Pycnoconidial types in cetrarioid lichens. Cryptogamie, Bryologie-Lichénologie 16: 247– 256.
- Thell, A. (1998) Phylogenetic relationships of some cetrarioid species in British Columbia with notes on *Tuckermannopsis*. Folia Cryptogamic Estonica **32**: 113–122.
- Thell, A. & Goward, T. (1996) The new cetrarioid genus Kaernefeltia and related groups in the Parmeliaceae (lichenized Ascomycotina). Bryologist 99: 125–136.
- Thell, A. & Moberg, R. (2011) Nordic Lichen Flora Volume 4 – Parmeliaceae. Uppsala: The Nordic Lichen Society, Uppsala University.
- Thell, A., Randlane, T., Kärnefelt, I., Gao, X.-Q. & Saag, A. (1995a) The lichen genus Allocetraria (Ascomycotina, Parmeliaceae). In Flechten Follmann. Contributions to Lichenology in Honour of Gerhard Follmann (J. A. Daniels, M. Schulz & J. Peine, eds): 353–370. Cologne: Botanical Institute, University of Cologne.
- Thell, A., Mattsson, J.-E. & Kärnefelt, I. (1995b) Lecanoralean ascus types in the lichenized families Alectoriaceae and Parmeliaceae. Cryptogamic Botany 5: 120–127.

- Thell, A., Feuerer, T., Kärnefelt, I., Myllys, L. & Stenroos, S. (2002a) Phylogeny and ecology of Cetraria obtusata, Coelopogon epiphorellus, and related taxa (Parmeliaceae, lichenized ascomycetes). Mitteilungen aus dem Institut für Allgemeine Botanik Hamburg 30– 32: 283–296.
- Thell, A., Stenroos, S., Feuerer, T., Kärnefelt, I., Myllys, L. & Hyvönen, J. (2002b) Phylogeny of cetrarioid lichens (*Parmeliaceae*) inferred from ITS and βtubulin sequences, morphology, anatomy and secondary chemistry. *Mycological Progress* 1: 335–354.
- Thell, A., Högnabba, F., Elix, J. A., Feuerer, T., Kärnefelt, I., Myllys, L., Randlane, T., Saag, A., Stenroos, S., Ahti, T., et al. (2009) Phylogeny of the cetrarioid core (*Parmeliaceae*) based on five genetic markers. *Lichenologist* **41**: 489–511.
- Thomson, J. W. (1984) American Arctic Lichens 1. The Macrolichens. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Tønsberg, T. & Elvebakk, A. (1993) Cetraria inermis new to Europe. Graphis Scripta 5: 73–74.

Appendix

Fig. A1. Maximum likelihood phylogram for the cetrarioid lichens with new ITS sequences for *Masonhalea inermis* and *M. richardsonii* added to the full alignment in Nelson *et al.* 2011a. ML bootstrap values \geq 70 and Baysian posterior probabilities \geq 0.95 are listed either above or below line or left and right of slashes, respectively.

