

Special Issue Research Article

Cite this article: Jirků Pomajbíková K, Jirků M, Levá J, Sobotková K, Morien E, Parfrey LW (2018). The benign helminth *Hymenolepis diminuta* ameliorates chemically induced colitis in a rat model system. *Parasitology* **145**, 1324–1335. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182018000896>

Received: 12 January 2018

Revised: 20 April 2018

Accepted: 27 April 2018

First published online: 18 June 2018

Key words:

Benign helminth; DNBS colitis; gut microbiota; helminth therapy; *Hymenolepis diminuta*; IL-10 cytokines; TNF α

Author for correspondence:

Laura Wegener Parfrey, Kateřina Jirků Pomajbíková, E-mail: lwparfrey@botany.ubc.ca, pomajbikova@paru.cas.cz

The benign helminth *Hymenolepis diminuta* ameliorates chemically induced colitis in a rat model system

Kateřina Jirků Pomajbíková^{1,2}, Milan Jirků¹, Jana Levá^{1,2}, Kateřina Sobotková¹, Evan Morien³ and Laura Wegener Parfrey^{3,4}

¹Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Parasitology, Branišovská 31, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic; ²Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Science, University of South-Bohemia, Branišovská 31, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic; ³Department of Botany, Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia, 3200-6270 University Boulevard BC V6T 1Z4, Vancouver, Canada and ⁴Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, 4200-6270 University Boulevard BC V6T 1Z4, Vancouver, Canada

Abstract

The tapeworm *Hymenolepis diminuta* is a model for the impact of helminth colonization on the mammalian immune system and a candidate therapeutic agent for immune mediated inflammatory diseases (IMIDs). In mice, *H. diminuta* protects against models of inflammatory colitis by inducing a strong type 2 immune response that is activated to expel the immature worm. Rats are the definitive host of *H. diminuta*, and are colonized stably and over long time periods without harming the host. Rats mount a mild type 2 immune response to *H. diminuta* colonization, but this response does not generally ameliorate colitis. Here we investigate the ability of different life cycle stages of *H. diminuta* to protect rats against a model of colitis induced through application of the haptizing agent dinitrobenzene sulphonic acid (DNBS) directly to the colon, and monitor rat clinical health, systemic inflammation measured by TNF α and IL-1 β , and the gut microbiota. We show that immature *H. diminuta* induces a type 2 response as measured by increased IL-4, IL-13 and IL-10 expression, but does not protect against colitis. In contrast, rats colonized with mature *H. diminuta* and challenged with severe colitis (two applications of DNBS) have lower inflammation and less severe clinical symptoms. This effect is not related to the initial type 2 immune response. The gut microbiota is disrupted during colitis and does not appear to play an overt role in *H. diminuta*-mediated protection.

Introduction

Immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (IMIDs) are characterized by acute or chronic inflammation resulting from immune dysregulation that can affect any organ system. They have rapidly risen to high prevalence (7–9%) as populations around the globe adopt industrialized lifestyles (El-Gabalawy *et al.*, 2010; Rook *et al.*, 2014; Lerner *et al.*, 2015). Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) – chronic, disabling gastrointestinal IMIDs that include Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis – conform to these epidemiological trends (Molodecky *et al.*, 2012; Ponder and Long, 2013). The rapid rise in IMIDs, and IBD in particular, implicates environmental factors. Changes in the microbial environment through host development that are associated with the hygienic modern lifestyle are important risk factors (Velasquez-Manoff, 2012; Rook *et al.*, 2014). Indeed, changes in the gut community are common in IBD and other IMIDs (Clemente *et al.*, 2012; Rook *et al.*, 2014). These include detrimental shifts in the composition and diversity (dysbiosis) of bacteria (Lozupone *et al.*, 2012; Sartor and Mazmanian, 2012; Kostic *et al.*, 2014) and fungi (Iliev and Leonardi, 2017), as well as declining prevalence of protists (Rossen *et al.*, 2015) and absence of helminths (Elliott and Weinstock, 2012). We note that while helminths and other eukaryotes associated with mammals are generally considered parasites, their impacts on the host vary across species and host condition (Lukeš *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, we refer to them as gut symbionts, a neutral term that encompasses parasites, commensals and mutualists (Leung and Poulin, 2008).

Reintroduction of missing diversity is a promising therapeutic avenue for the treatment of IMIDs. This idea is rooted in the observations that mammals evolved in the presence of helminths and rich microbial exposure and that absence of these exposures in industrialized populations has profound consequences (Velasquez-Manoff, 2012; Parker and Ollerton, 2013; Rook *et al.*, 2014). The continuous presence of helminths induced changes in the human genome, particularly in genes related to the immune system (Fumagalli *et al.*, 2009); indeed, many of these genetic markers are risk factors for IBD and other IMIDs, suggesting that genetic changes that are beneficial in the presence of helminths are detrimental in their absence (Fumagalli *et al.*, 2009; Mangano and Modiano, 2014). Mammals rely upon early microbial exposures – exposures that were reliably present historically but are disrupted today – for proper development of the immune system and other critical host functions (Blaser, 2014; Lloyd-Price *et al.*, 2016). Helminths are potent regulators of the mammalian

immune system, and their therapeutic potential lies primarily in their ability induce type 2 immune responses and inhibit type 17 and type 1 immune response through activation of regulatory immune mechanisms such as regulatory T cells, regulatory B cells, dendritic cells and production of anti-inflammatory cytokines (Allen and Maizels, 2011; Girgis *et al.*, 2013; Maizels and McSorley, 2016).

Helminth inoculation can prevent and/or treat IBD in animal models and some clinical trials without adverse side-effects (reviewed in Fleming and Weinstock, 2015; Helmy, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2017), though positive outcomes are not universal (Fleming and Weinstock, 2015; McKay, 2015). Further, there is a large and growing community practicing self-inoculation with helminths for a wide range of inflammatory conditions, and symptoms are reduced in the majority of cases (Cheng *et al.*, 2015; Liu *et al.*, 2017). However, a lack of efficacy in large trials (Fleming and Weinstock, 2015; Helmy, 2015) and exacerbation of disease documented in some disease models and in the presence of other infectious agents are serious outstanding concerns (McKay, 2015). Harnessing the power of helminths requires a deeper understanding of their variable impacts on the immune system, particularly in the context of their interactions with other infectious agents and the rest of the gut microbiota (McKay, 2015), and across mammalian hosts that may vary in immune response (Ehret *et al.*, 2017).

The bacterial microbiota residing in the mammalian gut (hereafter referred to as microbiota) also exert a strong influence on the mammalian immune system and can protect against IBD (e.g. *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*) or promote disease (e.g. *Fusobacterium varium*) (Sartor and Mazmanian, 2012; Kostic *et al.*, 2014). Therapies include fecal transplantation of the entire microbiota (Anderson *et al.*, 2012) and targeted introduction of species (e.g. Martín *et al.*, 2014), and antibiotics to target-specific microbiota components (Sartor and Mazmanian, 2012). The need to understand how helminths interact with the rest of the gut microbiota to modulate host immune response and disease outcomes is particularly pressing in light of our growing appreciation for the complexity of the interactions among gut inhabitants (Clemente *et al.*, 2012), which can yield unexpected immunological outcomes (Zaiss *et al.*, 2015; Chudnovskiy *et al.*, 2016). In humans, helminth colonization is sometimes associated with shifts in gut microbial diversity (Lee *et al.*, 2014; Ramanan *et al.*, 2016) and sometimes not (Cooper *et al.*, 2013). Helminth colonization often leads to shifts in the gut microbiota in rodent models (McKenney *et al.*, 2015; Reynolds *et al.*, 2015; Zaiss and Harris, 2016), which can influence the susceptibility of hosts to disease (Reynolds *et al.*, 2014; Zaiss *et al.*, 2015; Ramanan *et al.*, 2016).

The tapeworm *Hymenolepis diminuta* is a good candidate for helminth therapy (Lukeš *et al.*, 2014) as it cannot autoinfect, does not migrate outside of the intestinal lumen, does not harm the host (Roberts, 1980; McKay, 2010), and it is relatively cheap and easy to produce (Smyth *et al.*, 2017). Importantly, *H. diminuta* ameliorates inflammatory disease in many, but not all, animal models [reviewed in (McKay, 2015)] and is effective in the majority of self-treating humans (Smyth *et al.*, 2017).

In mice, *H. diminuta* protects against colitis induced by dinitrobenzene sulphonic acid (DNBS) by dampening the inflammatory response *via* increased production of interleukin IL-10 with involvement of regulatory T cells (McKay, 2010; Melon *et al.*, 2010; Hernandez *et al.*, 2013), but does not protect against oxazolone-induced chemical colitis (Wang *et al.*, 2010). This protective effect was only found in mice that expel *H. diminuta*, not in rats, and so is presumed to depend on a strong type 2 immune response (Hunter *et al.*, 2005). Interestingly, *H. diminuta* colonization of mothers protects rat neonates against inflammation-

induced brain dysfunction by reducing brain cytokine response, and *H. diminuta* colonization of the weaned pups also prevents cognitive dysfunction in adult rats (Williamson *et al.*, 2016). Here, continuous colonization with *H. diminuta* causes only very minor systemic immune changes in the absence of an immune challenge (Williamson *et al.*, 2016).

The immune response of rats to *H. diminuta* is similar to mice, though muted (McKay 2010). *Hymenolepis diminuta* stably colonizes rats but initially induces a mild type 2 immune response and IL-10 production in the pre-patent period of larval development (the first 18–21 days post-colonization), followed by immunomodulation and a general reduction in immune cells in the patent period (when adult worms are established and reproductive) (McKay, 2010; Parfrey *et al.*, 2017). The pre-patent immune response is elevated when excess helminths are administered as only 2–10 *H. diminuta* establish and the rest are expelled *via* type 2 immune response (Webb *et al.*, 2007). A major difference between rat and mouse permissiveness to *H. diminuta* colonization is caused by differential production of type 2-polarizing cytokines in gut epithelial cells: production is high in mice, very low in rats, and intermediate in humans (Lopes *et al.*, 2015). *Hymenolepis diminuta* does not establish long term in humans (Smyth *et al.*, 2017), and the immune response to *H. diminuta* in humans may generally be intermediate to the strong response observed in mice and mild response in rats (Lopes *et al.*, 2015).

Hymenolepis diminuta alters the bacterial microbiota of rats during the patent period (McKenney *et al.*, 2015; Williamson *et al.*, 2016; Parfrey *et al.*, 2017), though the changes observed differ between studies suggesting they are at least partially dependent on the microbial pool available for colonization, and the magnitude of microbiota change appears to be greatest with continuous colonization beginning *in utero* (McKenney *et al.*, 2015; Williamson *et al.*, 2016).

Here we use a rat model to investigate the ability of *H. diminuta* to protect against colitis induced through application of the haptenizing agent DNBS directly to the colon, which induces acute inflammation that resolves after 3–4 days and resembles human Crohn's disease, a form of IBD. We test the ability of immature *H. diminuta* to ameliorate the effects of colitis during the pre-patent period, when *H. diminuta* induces a type 2 immune response and high IL-10 gene expression. We also test mature *H. diminuta* (patent period) against both moderate (single DNBS application) and severe (two DNBS applications) colitis, a model developed in this study to assess the effects of *H. diminuta* on longer-term disease. It is worth mentioning that this diseased model is an injury model, the initial inflammatory response to this chemical injury is adaptive and, thus, the helminth does not block all response. We monitor the gut bacterial microbiota over time, as well as measures of rat health and tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF α) gene expression, a marker of systemic inflammation. We show that mature *H. diminuta* results in lower inflammation, faster recovery and lesser pathology from severe colitis, but has little impact on moderate colitis. Larval *H. diminuta* induce elevated IL-10 gene expression, but do not protect against severe colitis. The gut microbiota is disrupted during colitis does not appear to play an overt role in *H. diminuta*-mediated protection.

Material and methods

Animal use

Each experiment was carried out with outbred female Wistar rats from 2 to 3 litters per experiment obtained when 13 weeks old and 180–220 g from Charles River Laboratories (Envigo RMS B.V., Horst, Netherlands; the supplier Anlab s.r.o., Prague,

Czech Republic). All rats were group-housed under a controlled temperature (22 °C) and photoperiod (12:12-h light–dark cycle) and were provided unlimited access to rat chow and tap water. Throughout each experiment rat health and morbidity were recorded in regular intervals. Rats in poor condition during the experiment were euthanized by cervical dislocation to minimize suffering if they showed the following signs: complete loss of appetite, extreme weight loss, extreme apathy and lack of activity and very dull coat, in accordance with the legislative regulations of the Czech government and European Union. All rats were euthanized by cervical dislocation at the end of the experiment, also in accordance with all regulations.

Culture of *H. diminuta*, colonization doses and animal colonizations

Hymenolepis diminuta was cultured under laboratory conditions using grain beetles (*Tenebrio molitor*) as the intermediate host and outbred rats as the definitive host and reservoirs for colonization. Grain beetles were fed rat feces containing *H. diminuta* eggs to establish the colonization. Doses of *H. diminuta* were prepared by dissecting the infectious stages, cysticercoids, from grain beetles under hygienic laboratory conditions. Each infectious dose was washed three times with sterile phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.4). Animals were colonized by oesophageal gavage with 10 cysticercoids. Colonization was confirmed during the patent period using a modified Sheather's flotation method (SpG 1.3) to look for eggs (Fig. 1, experiments 1A and 1B). All colonized rats started to shed eggs between 16 and 19 days post-colonization, indicating that mature and reproductive adult worms were established in the rat and marking the beginning of the patent period. We also confirmed the presence of larval *H. diminuta* (for experiment 1C) or adult *H. diminuta* (for experiments 1A and 1B) in each rat during dissection of sacrificed animals. All rats in the *H. diminuta* treatment group harboured between two and six *H. diminuta* individuals in the small intestine at the time of dissection.

Experimental setup and colitis induction

We conducted a series of experiments to test the effect of *H. diminuta* on colitis (see Fig. 1 and Fig. S1 for the experimental design). We initially tested the effect of adult *H. diminuta* (patent period of colonization) on a moderate model of DNBS (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) induced colitis established by Wallace et al. (1995) (Fig. 1, Experiment 1A). DNBS was rectally injected while animals were anesthetized with isoflurane (Forane® 100 mL, AbbVie s.r.o., Prague, Czech Republic) using anaesthesia equipment (Oxygen Concentrator JAY-10-1.4, Longfian Scitech Co. LTD, Baoding, China; Calibrated Vaporizer Matrx VIP 3000, Midmark, Dayton, OH, USA). Rectal injection was by a 10-cm long and 3.3 mm diameter catheter (catheter type Nelaton, Dahlhausen s.r.o., Kuřim, Czech Republic) and advanced such that the tip of catheter was approximately 8-cm proximal to the anus. We injected 0.5 mL of 50% (vol/vol) ethanol containing DNBS in concentration 58 mg mL⁻¹. In all cases the control rats were injected with 0.5 mL of 50% (vol/vol) ethanol only. We observed a measurable, but modest, decrease in inflammation and no impact on rat health overall in accordance with previous results (Hunter et al., 2005). We next established a severe model of colitis (Fig. S1) modelled after Martín et al. (2014) in order to investigate the impact of *H. diminuta* on colitis and gut microbiome over longer time periods. To induce severe colitis, DNBS was administered twice: a full dose of DNBS (0.5 mL of 50% ethanol containing 58 mg mL⁻¹ DNBS), and a second half dose of DNBS (0.5 mL of 50% ethanol containing 29 mg mL⁻¹ DNBS)

3 days later (Fig. S1). We then conducted experiments to test the effect of mature and immature *H. diminuta* on severe colitis (Fig. 1; experiments 1B and 1C, respectively).

At the start of each experiment rats were randomly assigned to experimental treatment cages in pairs or groups of three, taking care to change cage mates compared to the initial month long acclimatization period to minimize initial microbiota similarity within a cage. During the experimental period, rats were held in isolator cages and incoming air was filtered through HEPA filters; they were given unlimited access to autoclaved rat chow and water. Rats were acclimated to their new cages for seven days prior to *H. diminuta* colonization or colitis induction in the case of severe colitis optimization. Each experiment included two treatment groups with balanced numbers: control with colitis only and *H. diminuta* colonized plus colitis (Fig. 1; experiments 1A, N=3 per group; 1B, N=10 per group; 1C, N=10 per group), or control and colitis (Fig. S1, N=7 per group). Differential mortality led to unbalanced numbers by the end of experiments 1B and 1C (Table 1).

Collection of blood and fecal samples

During each experiment we collected: (i) blood and spleen samples for analyses of cytokine gene expression, (ii) fecal samples for microbiological analyses and (iii) clinical data (see Fig. 1 and Fig. S1 for time intervals of collection). Spleen samples were collected during dissection of rats in experiment 1A only. During the collection of blood samples and clinical data were done under isoflurane anaesthesia as above. Blood samples were collected from ocular blood plexus, with 150–200 µL added to 0.5 mL EDTA Minicollect® tubes (Greiner Bio-one GmbH, Kremsmünster, Austria), vortexed in EDTA tubes and transferred to 750 µL RiboEx LSTM (GeneAll Biotechnology, Seoul, Korea) on ice. Similarly, 150–250 µg of spleen tissue was collected and transferred to 750 µL of RiboEx LSTM (Gene All). Blood and spleen samples were then processed for cytokine gene expression (see section 'Analyses of cytokine gene expression'). Fecal samples were collected at the same time by transferring fecal pellets to sterile 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes, or when animals had diarrhoea swabs of fecal material were collected and placed in sterile 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes. Fecal samples were stored at –20 until DNA extraction. In experiment 1A, we also collected spleen tissue by dissection following sacrifice, which was preserved and RNA extracted to assay cytokine expression.

Clinical activity

Colitis was quantified using clinical parameters of weight loss, stool consistency and haematochezia throughout the experiments. Clinical parameters were not collected in a blinded fashion so we restrict discussion to cases with overt differences between treatment groups. Stool consistency was evaluated semi-quantitatively using scale 1 to 5, while the grade 5 corresponds to normal consistency of feces of healthy animal and grade 1 to watery diarrhoea (4-normal consistency, but feces are softer; 3-consistency of feces corresponds to consistency of dense yoghurt, 2-consistency corresponds to more liquid yoghurt). In the case of haematochezia, we assessed visually presence or absence of blood in the rat feces (yes/no). We also qualitatively observed other clinical signs of colitis, including apathy and dull coat.

Absolute weight values are used for experiment 1A because rats were sacrificed over time, and thus not available for repeated measurements. Change in weight was assessed using percent weight loss calculated compared with the weight on the day before colitis induction for experiments 1B, 1C and S1. Percent weight change was compared between treatment groups on each day

Table 1. Clinical response to colitis with and without *Hymenolepis diminuta*

Experiment	Days post-colitis ^a	Number of individuals ^b		% with haematochezia		Mean fecal consistency	
		Control	<i>H. diminuta</i>	Control	<i>H. diminuta</i>	Control	<i>H. diminuta</i>
1A: moderate colitis in patent period	1	3	3	100	100	1.0	1.0
	2	3	3	100	100	1.0	1.0
	3	3	3	67	0	2.0	3.7
1B: severe colitis in patent period	1	10	10	100	100	1.3	1.3
	4	9	9	89	78	1.6	2.7
	6	8	9	50	0	2.8	4.4
	8	7	9	0	0	4.1	4.8
	10	7	9	0	0	4.4	4.7
1C: severe colitis in pre-patent period	1	10	10	100	100	1.0	1.0
	4	10	10	100	100	1.1	1.4
	6	9	10	100	90	2.2	1.4
	8	9	10	67	60	2.3	2.8
	10	8	9	0	56	2.9	2.3

^aIndicates first colitis induction. Colitis induced a second time at 3 days post-colitis in severe experiments.

^bDecreasing number of individuals over course of experiment indicates mortality due to sacrifice of animals in poor health condition.




Fig. 1. Designs of experiments testing the impact of *Hymenolepis diminuta* on colitis. *Experiment 1A*: effect of mature *H. diminuta* (patent period of colonization) on moderate colitis. $N = 3$ per group. *Experiment 1B*: effect of mature *H. diminuta* (patent period of colonization) on severe colitis $N = 10$ per group. *Experiment 1C*: effect of *H. diminuta* larval stages (pre-patent period of colonization) on severe colitis $N = 10$ per group.

with Welch's t -tests followed by Benjamini–Hochberg correction to an α value of 0.05. Analyses conducted in R (R_Core_Team, 2013) and visualized using Statistica 12.0 software package (Dell technologies, TE, USA).

Analyses of cytokine relative gene expression

Total RNA from blood samples was extracted using HybridR Blood RNA Kit (GeneAll Biotechnology, Seoul, South Korea) and then reverse transcribed using High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Total RNA from spleen was extracted using HybridR RNA Kit (GeneAll Biotechnology, Seoul, South Korea) then reverse transcribed as above. Real-time PCR reactions were prepared using master-mix HOT FIREPol® Probe qPCR Mix Plus (Solis Biodyne, Tartu, Estonia). Expression of cytokines were measured using Taqman gene expression assay for rats with specific primers and probes spanning exons, all ordered from Thermo Fisher

Scientific: tumour necrosis factor (TNF α ; amplicon length 92 bp), IL-10 (IL-10; amplicon length 70 bp), IL-4 (amplicon length 85 bp), IL-13 (amplicon length 73 bp), IL-1 β (amplicon length 74 bp) and ubiquitin C (UBC; amplicon length 88 bp). A Light Cycler LC480 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) as used for qPCR analysis and relative expressions of cytokines was normalized to UBC using the mathematical model of Pfaffl (2001). Normalized C_t values were compared between experimental and control animals on each day by Welch's t -tests followed by Benjamini–Hochberg correction to an α value of 0.05. Maximum normalization was used for graphical visualization of cytokines' relative expressions for better illustration.

Microbial DNA extraction, amplification and analyses

Total DNA was purified using PSP® SPIN Stool DNA Plus Kit (Stratec Biomedical, Birkenfeld Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The 16S ribosomal DNA was amplified using

the following primers that target the V4 region of 16S rRNA in Bacteria and Archaea: barcoded 515f (5'-GTGYCAGCMGC CGCGGTA-3') and 806r (5'-GGACTACNVGGGTWCTA AT-3') (protocol modified from <http://www.earthmicrobiome.org/emp-standard-protocols/16s/>). Amplifications were conducted using 25 μ L reactions containing Phusion flash polymerase (manufacturer) and 1 μ L of genomic DNA. The PCR conditions were an initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 25 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, 50 °C for 60 s, and 72 °C for 90 s, followed by a final extension step at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were visualized on a gel and quantified using Picogreen (ThermoFisher) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Subsequently, 50 ng of each sample PCR product were pooled. The final pool was cleaned using the Ultraclean PCR cleanup kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and sent for sequencing at Integrated Microbiome Resource at Dalhousie University. The pool was sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform with paired end 2 \times 300 sequencing and a separate 13-nucleotide index read. Each experiment was processed and sequenced separately.

Sequence processing

Each dataset was separately demultiplexed in QIIME version 1.9 (Caporaso *et al.*, 2010b), then trimmed, clipped and quality filtered using the Fastx Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit) to 250 bp with a minimum quality threshold of Q19. The four datasets were then combined and processed into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using Minimum Entropy Decomposition (MED, Eren *et al.*, 2014) with the minimum substantive abundance (-m) parameter set to 250, yielding 4659 unique OTUs. Taxonomy was then assigned to the representative sequence for each MED node by matching it to the SILVA 128 (Quast *et al.*, 2013) database clustered at 99% similarity in QIIME using the closed reference OTU picking pipeline with uclust V1.2.22q (Edgar, 2010). OTUs that matched exactly a reference sequence in SILVA inherited the reference accession, taxonomy and sequence. Taxonomy was assigned to OTUs that did not match exactly a reference sequence using `assign_taxonomy.py` (QIIME) at 99% sequence similarity, and then at 97% for sequences that had no match at 99%. A matrix of read counts per sample per OTU (hereby referred to as OTU table) was transcribed into biom format. Chimeric, chloroplast, mitochondrial, sequences unassigned at the domain level, and eukaryotic sequences were filtered out. For each sample we removed all OTUs with less than 0.01% abundance for that sample to minimize potential cross-contamination across wells. Lastly, samples with fewer than 1000 reads were removed. The final OTU table across all studies consisted of 4622 unique sequences and 41 942 523 reads, with a mean of 58 012 reads per sample. Representative sequences were aligned with PyNAST (Caporaso *et al.*, 2010a) in QIIME and a phylogenetic tree was generated using RAXML's EPA placement algorithm (Berger *et al.*, 2011) and CAT model, with the SILVA 128 tree as a guide tree. Sequencing data and full MiMARKs compliant metadata are accessioned at the European Bioinformatics Institute, accession number PRJEB25354.

Analysis and visualization of sequencing data

Analyses and visualizations of sequencing data were conducted using R version 3.4.1 (Team, 2016). Rarefaction, α diversity, ordinations for β diversity and distance matrices were calculated using Phyloseq (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). We use the Chao 1 index of richness (Chao, 1984) for α diversity analyses. β diversity was calculated using unweighted UniFrac (Lozupone and Knight,

2005) and Bray–Curtis (Bray and Curtis, 1957). Both beta diversity metrics gave similar results and we present Bray–Curtis, which takes into account relative abundance. For α and β diversity analyses, the data were rarefied to the minimum sample count for the particular sample set in question, which corresponds to 36 000 for experiment 1A, 19 000 for experiment 1B, 25 000 for experiment 1C and 5000 for experiment S11. PERMANOVA and β dispersion analyses were done with vegan (Oksanen *et al.*, 2017). Differential abundance analyses were done with DESeq2 (Love *et al.*, 2014) on non-rarefied data. Plots were made with ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009) in R. We used an α value of 0.01 for DESeq2, which tends to be conservative and an α of 0.05 for *t*-tests comparing α diversity between treatment groups over time, PERMANOVA, and β dispersion analyses; all *P* values were Benjamini–Hochberg corrected.

Results

Impact of mature *H. diminuta* on moderate colitis

Mature *H. diminuta* reduce inflammation following colitis induction, but do not alter disease progression. Systemic inflammation as measured by TNF α gene expression in the blood is significantly lower 2 days after colitis induction in the rats harbouring mature *H. diminuta* (day 21; *t*-test: *P* = 0.015, *df* = 2; Fig. 2A), but not 1 or 3 days after colitis induction (Fig. 2A). We see elevated IL-4 and IL-13 gene expression in the presence of *H. diminuta* in splenic tissue at day 9 (Fig. 2C and D), indicating a type 2 immune response. However, IL-10 does not differ between groups (Fig. 2E), likely because the peak in IL-10 gene expression is over by 9 days post-colonization (Figs 3B and 4B). There is a non-significant trend towards faster recovery in rats with *H. diminuta*. Haematochezia (blood in stool) is absent at 3 days post-colitis (0 of three rats) and mean fecal consistency is 3.7 for rats with *H. diminuta*, indicating the return to solid stools (Table 1). In comparison, within the control group 2/3 rats have haematochezia and all rats still have diarrhoea (fecal consistency of 2; Table 1). Weight did not differ between the two treatment groups (Fig. 2D).

Model of severe colitis

We established a model of severe colitis in order to study the effects of *H. diminuta* on longer-term inflammation, clinical outcomes and the microbiota (Fig. S1), inspired by a mouse model of severe DNBS-induced colitis (Martín *et al.*, 2014). DNBS was injected rectally 3 days apart, and the second injection is a half dose. The resulting colitis persists 9–10 days and is characterized by significantly elevated inflammation (measured by TNF α relative gene expression) that peaks at 6 days post-colitis (dpc; here and throughout refers to days post-initial colitis; for severe colitis a second DNBS injection is given 3 days dpc), and persists through 10 days (Fig. S1B). Severe colitis is accompanied by significant weight loss (roughly 15% of total body weight; Fig. S1B). All animals with induced colitis had haematochezia and diarrhoea through 6 dpc (Table S1). Rats also showed other clinical signs of disease including apathy, fur coat with no gloss and ragged backs. Animals were recovering at 10 dpc: none had haematochezia, mean fecal consistency increased to 2.7 (Table S1), and fur and activity levels were returning to normal.

Impact of mature *H. diminuta* on severe colitis

Mature *H. diminuta* appears to ameliorate the effects of severe colitis (Fig. 1, experiment 1B). We observe significantly lower inflammation as measured by TNF α relative expression in the rats colonized with *H. diminuta* at 6 dpc (day 27; Welch's *t*-test:




Fig. 2. Effect of mature *Hymenolepis diminuta* on moderate colitis. *Hymenolepis diminuta* treatment group in black triangle ($N=3$) and control group in grey diamonds ($N=3$); both groups were sampled on the same day, but are offset for visualization. Colonization and colitis induction are labelled with vertical dashed lines. (A) TNF α relative gene expression relative to ubiquitin C housekeeping gene, both measured from peripheral blood. (B) Rat body weight. (C–E) cytokine gene expression relative to ubiquitin C housekeeping gene measured from splenic tissue collected at days 0, 9, 17, 22 after sacrifice, from three animals each time. (C) IL-4 relative gene expression. (D) IL-13 relative gene expression. (E) IL-10 relative gene expression. Differences between groups calculated with Welch's t -tests followed by Benjamini–Hochberg correction. Error bars are standard error. * $P=0.05$ –0.01, ** $P=0.01$ –0.001, *** $P<0.001$. See Table 1 for corresponding clinical data.

corrected $P=0.001$, $df=10.7$) and 8 dpc (day 29; Welch's t -test: corrected $P=0.006$, $df=9.7$), and a trend towards reduced inflammation at 4 dpc (day 25; Welch's t -test: corrected $P=0.069$, $df=14.6$; Fig. 3A). We also observe significantly lower expression of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1 β at 6 and 8 dpc (days 27 and 29; Fig. 3B). Expression of IL-10 peaks shortly after *H. diminuta* colonization and is not elevated during colitis (Fig. 3C). Reduced inflammation during colitis is accompanied by significantly less severe weight loss (Fig. 3D). Weight loss for rats with *H. diminuta* peaks at 4 dpc (day 25) with an average loss of 12% body weight followed by weight gain, on average (Fig. 3D). Weight loss for control animals receiving only DNBS peaks at 8 dpc (day 29) with an average weight loss of nearly

18% of body weight (Fig. 3D). Weight loss is significantly different between treatment groups at 6 dpc, with an average weight loss of 9% in the *H. diminuta* group vs 17.7% in the control group (day 27; average loss for Welch's t -test: corrected $P=0.016$, $df=11.5$) and 8 dpc (day 29; Welch's t -test: corrected $P=0.016$, $df=13.8$). Rats with *H. diminuta* recover more quickly. At 6 dpc all rats with *H. diminuta* are free of haematochezia, while half of the rats in the control group have haematochezia (χ^2 test: $P=0.02$). The average fecal consistency is also higher in the rats with *H. diminuta* (Table 1), and they exhibited other signs of recovery, with more activity and a gradual return to glossy coat beginning at 6 dpc. We note that the effects of colitis appear to have been less severe overall in this experiment as the control




Fig. 3. Effect of mature *Hymenolepis diminuta* on severe colitis. *Hymenolepis diminuta* treatment group in black triangle ($N = 10$) and control group in grey diamonds ($N = 10$); both treatment groups were sampled on the same day, but are offset for visualization. Several rats were sacrificed due to poor condition during the experiment; see Table 1. (A) TNF α gene expression relative to ubiquitin C housekeeping gene. (B) IL-1 β gene expression relative to ubiquitin C housekeeping gene. (C) IL-10 relative gene expression relative to ubiquitin C housekeeping gene. (D) % weight loss following colitis induction calculated by comparing with weight at day 20. Differences between groups calculated with Welch's t -tests followed by Benjamini-Hochberg correction. (A–C) error bars are standard error, (D) error bars are standard deviation. * $P = 0.05$ – 0.01 , ** $P = 0.01$ – 0.001 , *** $P < 0.001$. See Table 1 for corresponding clinical data.

group returns to solid stools and no haematochezia faster than in experiment 1C or during optimization of colitis (Table 1 and Table S1), though weight loss trends are similar across experiments (Figs 3D and 4D, and Fig. S1C).

Impact of immature *H. diminuta* on severe colitis

Previous work has shown that the initial immune response to *H. diminuta* is critical for protective effects against models of inflammatory disease in mice (Hunter et al., 2005; McKay, 2010). Thus, we tested the effect of *H. diminuta* on severe colitis in the pre-patent period of colonization larval stages and later immature adults are present and are the most immunogenic (McKay, 2010; Parfrey et al., 2017). Colitis was induced 4 days after *H. diminuta* inoculation, and again 3 days later (Fig. 1C). Inflammation is significantly lower in the *H. diminuta* treatment group, as measured by TNF α and IL-1 β relative gene expression between experimental and control rats 1 dpc (day 6; Welch's t -test: corrected $P < 0.05$; Fig. 4A and B). There is also strongly significant elevation of IL-10 gene expression 1 dpc, which corresponds to 6 days post-colonization, indicating a short activation of type 2 immune response (Fig. 4C). There was no difference in weight loss or clinical parameters between groups (Fig. 4D and Table 1).

Gut microbiota

Given the apparent protective effect of mature *H. diminuta* against severe colitis (experiment 1B), we focused microbial

analyses on this experiment. Initially, both treatment groups have similar α diversity (at day 4; the first day of extensive sampling) (Fig. 5A). At the beginning of the patent period, and prior to colitis induction, rats colonized with *H. diminuta* have significantly lower diversity (Fig. 5A). Diversity drops for all groups with colitis induction, and rebounds more quickly in the *H. diminuta* treatment group, though diversity remains below pre-colitis levels 10 dpc (Fig. 5A). Substantial variation across individuals means the difference between treatment groups post-colitis is not significant. As richness is lower during active colitis, we see correlations between low α diversity and the markers of colitis, including weight loss, haematochezia, elevated TNF α expression and diarrhoea.

Hymenolepis diminuta and control treatment groups harbour compositionally distinct communities in the pre-patent period and before colitis is induced (Table 2). Sampling problems at day 0 mean that our first samples come from 4 days post-colonization, preventing robust determination of whether communities differ because of *H. diminuta* colonization or happened to be different prior to colonization. We note that cage mates were reassigned during the experimental acclimation period, 7 days prior to the *H. diminuta* colonization (day-7) with the aim of homogenizing microbiota between treatments. Similarity within cages explains 21% of the variation overall community composition variation before colitis, while colonization status explains 7% of variation and 66% is unexplained (R^2 values from Adonis; Table 2). Communities change over time before and after colitis induction (Fig. S2), and were also observed to change over time




Fig. 4. Effect of immature *Hymenolepis diminuta* on severe colitis. *Hymenolepis diminuta* treatment group in black triangle ($N = 10$) and control group in grey diamonds ($N = 10$); both treatment groups were sampled on the same day, but are offset for visualization. Several rats were sacrificed due to poor condition during the experiment, see Table 1. (A) TNF α gene expression relative to ubiquitin C housekeeping gene. (B) IL-1 β gene expression relative to ubiquitin C housekeeping gene. (C) IL-10 relative gene expression relative to ubiquitin C housekeeping gene. (D) % weight loss following colitis induction calculated by comparing with weight at day 4. Other notes as in Fig. 3.

in a previous study (Parfrey *et al.*, 2017). Thus, overall Adonis analyses are conducted with experiment day as a strata, and therefore experiment day is not a significant factor. Differences among cages become stronger and differences by colonization status are maintained as time progresses before colitis is induced (days 4–20; Fig. S2 and Table S2). However, similarity among cagemates and colonization treatment is disrupted by colitis: neither are significant explanatory factors on individual days past day 22 (1 dpc; Table S2). Colonization status remains a significant explanatory factor post-colitis and overall (Adonis, $P = 0.001$), but explains only ~2% of the overall variation in community composition (Table 2). These differences by colonization status are largely driven by differential abundance of common members of the rodent gut microbiota, including *Bacteroides*, Bacteroidales S24-7 group, *Butyrivimonas* (Bacteroides) and Ruminococcaceae and Lachnospiraceae (Clostridia) (LRT test within DESeq2, Fig. 5B); these clades contain some OTUs that are enriched with *H. diminuta* and some enriched in the uncolonized treatment (Fig. 5B and Table S4). *Lactococcus*, *Lactobacillus* and *Escherichia* are less abundant in the group with *H. diminuta* (Fig. 5B). Sample type (fecal pellets, representing normal stool or fecal swabs from animals with diarrhoea), explained 20% of variation in the whole experiment, while sample type and haematochezia explained roughly 20% variation in the post-colitis period (Table 2). Taxa are enriched during the diarrhoea that accompanies colitis include *Escherichia*, *Bacteroides* and *Streptococcus* (Fig. 5C).

We see contrasting microbiota composition patterns when severe colitis is induced in the pre-patent period when *H. diminuta* are immature (Fig. 1, experiment 1C) compared with mature (Fig. 1, experiment 1B). Here the microbiota between *H. diminuta* colonized and uncolonized control rats becomes more distinct following colitis induction. Colonization status is a significant explanatory factor of community composition throughout the experiment, but explains only ~2% of the variation before colitis induction at day 4 and overall (Table S3). The variability explained by colonization status increases to ~5% post-colitis induction (Table S3). This is largely due to a significant enrichment of *Akkermansia* (Verrucomicrobiaceae) in *H. diminuta* colonized rats (DESeq2: log₂ fold increase = 5.8; $P < 0.001$); a substantial increase of *Akkermansia* occurred in seven of 10 rats from four out of five cages (Fig. S3B). *Akkermansia* is rare in experiment 1B (Fig. 1), but is enriched in uncolonized rats following colitis (Table S4). As in the experiment with mature *H. diminuta*, we see that α diversity drops following colitis induction and then incompletely rebounds (Fig. S3A). There are no significant differences associated with colonization status (Fig. S3A).

Discussion

Helminths and their products are promising therapeutic avenues for combatting the rise in IMIDs (Cheng *et al.*, 2015; Fleming and Weinstock, 2015; McKay, 2015; Maizels and McSorley, 2016). Yet, the results are not universally positive with some clinical trials

Table 2. Adonis analysis of community composition during experiment 1B: the effect of mature *H. diminuta* on severe colitis

	Whole experiment					Before colitis				Post colitis			
	df	F	R ²	P value	Permdisp	df	F	R ²	P value	df	F	R ²	P value
<i>H. diminuta</i> ^a	1	4.7	0.02	0.001	0.885	1	7.1	0.07	0.001	1	3.4	0.02	0.003
Cage	8	2.8	0.08	0.001	0.362	8	2.6	0.21	0.001	8	2.2	0.11	0.001
Hematochezia	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	2.9	0.12	0.001
Sample type ^b	1	59.0	0.20	0.003	0.001	–	–	–	–	1	26.2	0.08	0.112
Day ^c	9	4.3	0.13	0.372	0.001	3	2.2	0.07	0.001	5	5.1	0.13	0.366
Residuals	164		0.57			67		0.66		87		0.54	
Total	183		1			79		1		103		1	

^a*H. diminuta* refers to *Hymenolepis diminuta* colonized or uncolonized controls.

^bSample type: refers to swabs collected for animals with diarrhoea and loose stools, or pellets.

^cDay: Adonis analysis run with experiment day as a strata to account for changes by day. Sequential model with factors added in the order above.




Fig. 5. Change in the gut microbiota in experiment 1B: effect of mature *Hymenolepis diminuta* on severe colitis. (A) Chao 1 metric of richness over time. Thin lines are individual rats; thick line represents the mean. Significant differences between treatments assessed by Welch's *t*-tests followed by Benjamini–Hochberg correction represented by *. Dashed vertical lines represent DNBS colitis induction. (B) OTUs that are significantly differentiated between *H. diminuta* colonized and uncolonized control treatment groups across the whole experiment. (C) OTUs that are significantly differentiated between sample type: fecal pellets (normal stool) and fecal swabs (diarrhoea). Differential relative abundance estimated with DESeq2 followed by Benjamini–Hochberg correction to an α of 0.01. Each circle is one differentially abundant OTU. OTUs are coloured by class and arranged according to genus.

showing no effect, and reports that helminths can exacerbate other diseases in model systems (Osborne *et al.*, 2014; McKay, 2015). Further, an emerging body of literature makes clear that helminths often alter the rest of the gut ecosystem, including the bacterial microbiota (Reynolds *et al.*, 2015), and these interactions can affect disease outcomes (e.g. Zaiss *et al.*, 2015). Thus, investigating additional systems in which helminths protect against inflammatory disease will enhance understanding of the range of mechanisms and clinical outcomes, and how these might be altered by co-infection or the other components of the gut ecosystem (Reynolds *et al.*, 2015). Similarly, it is important to explore additional candidates and existing candidates in diverse context, particularly those that do not harm their host (Lukeš *et al.*, 2014).

We show here that the benign tapeworm *H. diminuta* appears to protect rats from severe colitis, resulting in lower inflammation, less severe weight loss, and faster recovery (Fig. 3; Table 1). Further, we find that *H. diminuta* does not protect against moderate colitis (Fig. 2). This is consistent with a previous study showing no protective effect of *H. diminuta* in either the pre-patent or patent period in rats (Hunter *et al.*, 2005). We do see a modest reduction in TNF α expression, indicating that *H. diminuta* does reduce inflammation even if it does not result in clinical improvement (Fig. 2A). Moderate colitis consists of a single rectal application of 58 mg DNBS, and is the standard used in most studies (e.g. Wallace *et al.*, 1995; Hunter *et al.*, 2005). Moderate colitis is ameliorated in mouse models by *H. diminuta* and their products (McKay, 2010). We prolonged this model of colitis following Martín *et al.* (Martín *et al.*, 2014) by including a second rectal injection containing a half dose of DNBS to enable study of clinical and microbiota changes over a longer time period. The resulting colitis lasts for 8–10 days compared with 3 days, as measured by elevated TNF α expression and clinical recovery (Fig. S1, Table S1). Recovery was assessed by cessation of diarrhoea and haematochezia, stabilization of weight, and return to normal activity levels.

The protective effect of *H. diminuta* is observed only when rats harbour mature *H. diminuta* adults at the time of severe colitis induction, and not when they harbour immature *H. diminuta* (pre-patent period of colonization). There is no difference in the clinical outcomes between DNBS only group and DNBS + *H. diminuta* in the pre-patent period of colonization (Fig. 4). The pre-patent period is the time when larval helminths as

establishing themselves in the small intestines, and when they induce a type 2 immune response (Roberts, 1980; Webb *et al.*, 2007). Thus, it is likely that immunosuppression induced by *H. diminuta* adults or their excretory/secretory products are responsible for protection against colitis, and not the initial immune response.

The mechanism of protection was not determined here, but likely involves regulation and suppression of the rat immune system by mature *H. diminuta*. In mice, the protective effects of *H. diminuta* are tied to the induction of a type 2 immune response that expels the worm and are dependent on STAT6 (the IL-4/IL-13 transcription factor) and IL-10 (McKay and Khan, 2003; Hunter *et al.*, 2005; McKay, 2010). Rats mount a qualitatively similar type 2 immune response when colonized with excess worms (Webb *et al.*, 2007; McKay, 2010), and we have previously documented an increase in IL-10 expression in the patent period (Parfrey *et al.*, 2017). Thus, we hypothesize that the brief anti-inflammatory activity observed in the pre-patent period results from a type 2 immune response and associated increase in IL-10 expression (Fig. 4; Parfrey *et al.*, 2017) which overlaps with the elevation of IL-4 and IL-13 (Fig. 2C and D), but that it is too weak to protect against disease (Fig. 4). However, in mice the anti-colitic effect of *H. diminuta* is not solely due to induction of the type 2 immune response and IL-10 gene expression; *H. diminuta* colonization also regulates and suppresses the immune system via induction of regulatory T-cells, B-cells and alternatively activated macrophages (Persaud *et al.*, 2007; McKay, 2010; Reyes *et al.*, 2015). The intestinal epithelium also plays a direct role in the response to *H. diminuta* in mice and protection from colitis (Reyes *et al.*, 2016). The McKay laboratory has shown that a high molecular weight fraction from adult *H. diminuta* and excretory/secretory products are immunosuppressive, and that these products can protect against induced colitis (e.g. Wang and McKay, 2005; Johnston *et al.*, 2010; Reyes *et al.*, 2016). Similarly, helminth products from other species are commonly found to be immunoregulatory and mitigate inflammatory disease (Maizels and McSorley, 2016). For example, Johnston *et al.*, also found HdHMW elevated IL-10 expression, but that the anti-colitic effect of HdHMW was not dependent on IL-10 (Johnston *et al.*, 2010) consistent with study Melon *et al.* (2010), while Persaud *et al.*, showed that the anti-colitic effect of *H. diminuta* is dependent on CD4+ (predominately T-cells) cells, though worm expulsion is not (Persaud *et al.*, 2007). Consistent with the expectation that *H. diminuta* adults are protecting rats via immunosuppression, we previously documented immunoregulation during the patent period of *H. diminuta* colonization in rats, consisting of reduced lymphocyte numbers (Parfrey *et al.*, 2017). Future studies elucidating the mechanisms that underlie *H. diminuta*'s protective effects against severe colitis in rats will further the development of *H. diminuta*, or its products, as a therapy against IMIDs. Future work should investigate inflammation at the site of injury or disease as well as systemic inflammation, which was investigated here.

We find that the microbiota changes in response to *H. diminuta* colonization. In each experiment we see a drop in diversity at colitis induction followed by increasing diversity during recovery. Community composition does not return to the pre-colitis state and diversity does not fully rebound, suggesting that the microbiota takes longer than ten days to recover and/or that colitis pushes the microbiota to an alternative state. Overall, changes in community composition are small and are not consistent across experiments. We find that compositional differences associated with *H. diminuta* are largely disrupted by severe colitis in the presence of mature *H. diminuta* (Fig. 5), when we see suppression of clinical symptoms (Fig. 3). However, differences between treatment groups become stronger in experiment 1C (Fig. 1)

when colitis was induced in the pre-patent period (Fig. S3), and clinical symptoms do not respond to *H. diminuta* (Fig. 4). Further, we do not see consistent taxa associated with *H. diminuta* across experiments here even though experimental conditions are consistent (Fig. 5, Figs S3 and S4). The taxonomic changes observed in response to *H. diminuta* here also differ from other studies with *H. diminuta* in rats (McKenney *et al.*, 2015; Parfrey *et al.*, 2017). For example, we observe a drop in *Lactobacillus* in *H. diminuta* colonized animals (Fig. 5, Fig. S3), but Reynolds *et al.*, showed through extensive experimental work that *Lactobacillus* is associated with, and facilitates, infection with the helminth *Heligmosomoides polygryus* (Reynolds *et al.*, 2014). This points to the importance of the microbial pool available for colonization in establishing the microbiota. We note that we obtained all rats from the same supplier in the same condition, but we did not test the initial microbiota of newly acquired rats; future studies should do so. Moving forward, it will be important to investigate the functional significance of microbiota changes in *H. diminuta*, for example using SCFAs, especially when they vary taxonomically. We note that the clinical severity of colitis varies across experiments and is generally severe in experiment 1B compared with 1C and the optimization of colitis (Table 1 and Table S1). It is possible that some of this variation is due to microbiota variation across experiments. Future work should explicitly test the impact of microbiota composition as a cause of variation in protection; doing so with natural vs laboratory conditions, as done in Williamson *et al.* (2016), would provide additional relevance.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182018000896>

Acknowledgements. Thanks to Jordan Lin, Cody Foley, Cassandra Jensen, Marcus Campbell, Oldřiška Hložková and Zuzana Lhotská for laboratory assistance. Radek Šíma, Mirka Soldánová and Pascale Vonaesch for advice on immunological and statistical analyses. This manuscript was improved following comments from two anonymous reviewers.

Financial support. Human Frontier Science Program Young Investigators grant (RGY0078/2015) to K.J.P. and L.W.P.

Conflicts of interest. None.

Ethical standards. This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Czech legislation (Act No. 166/1999 Coll., on veterinary care and on change of some related laws, and Act No. 246/1992 Coll., on the protection of animals against cruelty). The present experiments and protocols were approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animals Experiments of the Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences (České Budějovice, Czech Republic; permit number: 1/2014) and by the Resort Committee of the Czech Academy of Sciences (Prague, Czech Republic).

References

- Allen JE and Maizels RM (2011) Diversity and dialogue in immunity to helminths. *Nature Reviews Immunology* **11**, 375–388.
- Anderson JL, Edney RJ and Whelan K (2012) Systematic review: faecal microbiota transplantation in the management of inflammatory bowel disease. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics* **36**, 503–516.
- Berger SA, Krompass D and Stamatakis A (2011) Performance, accuracy, and Web server for evolutionary placement of short sequence reads under maximum likelihood. *Systematic Biology* **60**, 291–302.
- Blaser MJ (2014) *Missing Microbes: How the Overuse of Antibiotics Is Fueling Our Modern Plagues*. New York: Henry Holt & Company.
- Bray JR and Curtis JT (1957) An ordination of upland forest communities of southern Wisconsin. *Ecological Monographs* **27**, 325–349.
- Caporaso JG, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, DeSantis TZ, Andersen GL and Knight R (2010a) PyNAST: a flexible tool for aligning sequences to a template alignment. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 266–267.

- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Pena AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig JE, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pirrung M, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Tumbaugh PJ, Walters WA, Widmann J, Yatsunenkov T, Zaneveld J and Knight R (2010b) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature Methods* 7, 335–336.
- Chao A (1984) Non-parametric estimation of the number of classes in a population. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* 11, 265–270.
- Cheng A, Jaint D, Thomas S, Wilson J and Parker W (2015) Overcoming evolutionary mismatch by self-treatment with helminths: current practices and experience. *Journal of Evolutionary Medicine* 3, art235910.
- Chudnovskiy A, Mortha A, Kana V, Kennard A, Ramirez JD, Rahman A, Remark R, Mogno I, Ng R, Gnjatich S, Amir ED, Solovoyov A, Greenbaum B, Clemente J, Faith J, Belkaid Y, Grigg ME and Merad M (2016) Host-protozoan interactions protect from mucosal infections through activation of the inflammasome. *Cell* 167, 444–456 e414.
- Clemente JC, Ursell LK, Parfrey LW and Knight R (2012) The impact of the gut microbiota on human health: an integrative view. *Cell* 148, 1258–1270.
- Cooper P, Walker AW, Reyes J, Chico M, Salter SJ, Vaca M and Parkhill J (2013) Patent human infections with the whipworm, *Trichuris trichiura*, are not associated with alterations in the faecal microbiota. *PLoS ONE* 8, e76573.
- Edgar RC (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2460–2461.
- Ehret T, Torelli F, Klotz C, Pedersen AB and Seeber F (2017) Translational rodent models for research on parasitic protozoa—A review of confounders and possibilities. *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology* 7, 238.
- El-Gabalawy H, Guenther LC and Bernstein CN (2010) Epidemiology of immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: incidence, prevalence, natural history, and comorbidities. *Journal of Rheumatology Supplement* 85, 2–10.
- Elliott DE and Weinstock JV (2012) Where are we on worms? *Current Opinion in Gastroenterology* 28, 551–556.
- Eren AM, Morrison HG, Lescault PJ, Reveillaud J, Vineis JH and Sogin ML (2014) Minimum entropy decomposition: unsupervised oligotyping for sensitive partitioning of high-throughput marker gene sequences. *The ISME Journal* 9, 968.
- Fleming JO and Weinstock JV (2015) Clinical trials of helminth therapy in autoimmune diseases: rationale and findings. *Parasite Immunology* 37, 277–292.
- Fumagalli M, Pozzoli U, Cagliani R, Comi GP, Riva S, Clerici M, Bresolin N and Sironi M (2009) Parasites represent a major selective force for interleukin genes and shape the genetic predisposition to autoimmune conditions. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine* 206, 1395–1408.
- Girgis NM, Gundra UM and Loke P (2013) Immune regulation during helminth infections. *PLoS Pathogens* 9, e1003250.
- Helmsby H (2015) Human helminth therapy to treat inflammatory disorders – where do we stand? *BMC Immunology* 16, 12.
- Hernandez JL, Leung G and McKay DM (2013) Cestode regulation of inflammation and inflammatory diseases. *International Journal for Parasitology* 43, 233–243.
- Hunter MM, Wang A, Hirota CL and McKay DM (2005) Neutralizing anti-IL-10 antibody blocks the protective effect of tapeworm infection in a murine model of chemically induced colitis. *Journal of Immunology* 174, 7368–7375.
- Iliev ID and Leonardi I (2017) Fungal dysbiosis: immunity and interactions at mucosal barriers. *Nature Reviews. Immunology* 17, 635–646.
- Johnston MJ, Wang A, Catarino ME, Ball L, Phan VC, MacDonald JA and McKay DM (2010) Extracts of the rat tapeworm, *Hymenolepis diminuta*, suppress macrophage activation in vitro and alleviate chemically induced colitis in mice. *Infection and Immunity* 78, 1364–1375.
- Kostic AD, Xavier RJ and Gevers D (2014) The microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease: current status and the future ahead. *Gastroenterology* 146, 1489–1499.
- Lee SC, Tang MS, Lim YA, Choy SH, Kurtz ZD, Cox LM, Gundra UM, Cho I, Bonneau R, Blaser MJ, Chua KH and Loke P (2014) Helminth colonization is associated with increased diversity of the gut microbiota. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 8, e2880.
- Lerner A, Jeremias P and Matthias T (2015) The world incidence and prevalence of autoimmune diseases is increasing. *International Journal of Celiac Disease* 3, 151–155.
- Leung T and Poulin R (2008) Parasitism, commensalism, and mutualism: exploring the many shades of symbioses. *Vie Milieu* 58, 107–115.
- Liu J, Morey RA, Wilson JK and Parker W (2017) Practices and outcomes of self-treatment with helminths based on physicians' observations. *Journal of Helminthology* 91, 267–277.
- Lloyd-Price J, Abu-Ali G and Huttenhower C (2016) The healthy human microbiome. *Genome Medicine* 8, 51.
- Lopes F, Reyes JL, Wang A, Leung G and McKay DM (2015) Enteric epithelial cells support growth of *Hymenolepis diminuta* in vitro and trigger Th2-promoting event in a species specific manner. *International Journal for Parasitology* 45, 691–696.
- Love MI, Huber W and Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* 15, 550.
- Lozupone C and Knight R (2005) UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 71, 8228–8235.
- Lozupone CA, Stombaugh JI, Gordon JI, Jansson JK and Knight R (2012) Diversity, stability and resilience of the human gut microbiota. *Nature* 489, 220–230.
- Lukeš J, Kuchta R, Scholz T and Pomajbíková K (2014) (Self-) infections with parasites: re-interpretations for the present. *Trends in Parasitology* 30, 377–385.
- Lukeš J, Stensvold CR, Jirků Pomajbíková K and Parfrey LW (2015) Are human intestinal eukaryotes beneficial, or commensals? *PLoS Pathogens* 11, e1005039.
- Maizels RM and McSorley HJ (2016) Regulation of the host immune system by helminth parasites. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 138, 666–675.
- Mangano VD and Modiano D (2014) Host genetics and parasitic infections. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection: The Official Publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* 20, 1265–1275.
- Martin R, Chain F, Miquel S, Lu J, Gratadoux JJ, Sokol H, Verdu EF, Bercik P, Bermudez-Humaran LG and Langella P (2014) The commensal bacterium *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* is protective in DNBS-induced chronic moderate and severe colitis models. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* 20, 417–430.
- McKay DM (2010) The immune response to and immunomodulation by *Hymenolepis diminuta*. *Parasitology* 137, 385–394.
- McKay DM (2015) Not all parasites are protective. *Parasite Immunology* 37, 324–332.
- McKay DM and Khan WI (2003) STAT-6 is an absolute requirement for murine rejection of *Hymenolepis diminuta*. *Journal of Parasitology* 89, 188–189.
- McKenney EA, Williamson L, Yoder AD, Rawls JF, Bilbo SD and Parker W (2015) Alteration of the rat cecal microbiome during colonization with the helminth *Hymenolepis diminuta*. *Gut Microbes* 6, 182–193.
- McMurdie PJ and Holmes S (2013) Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS ONE* 8, e61217.
- Melon A, Wang A., Phan V., McKay D.M. (2010) Infection with *Hymenolepis diminuta* is more effective than daily corticosteroids in blocking chemically induced colitis in mice. *Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology* 2010, article ID 384523, 7. doi: 10.1155/2010/384523.
- Molodecky NA, Soon I. S., Rabi D. M., Ghali W. A., Ferris M., Chernoff G., Benchimol E. I., Panaccione R., Ghosh S., Barkema H. W. and Kaplan G. G. (2012) Increasing incidence and prevalence of the inflammatory bowel diseases with time, based on systematic review. *Gastroenterology* 142, 46–54.e42; quiz e30.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet F. G., Friendly M., Kindt R., Legendre P., McGlenn D., Minchin P. R., O'Hara R. B., Simpson G. L., Solymos P., Stevens M. H. H., Szoecs E. and Wagner H. (2017). *vegan: Community Ecology Package*. R Package version 2.4-3.
- Osborne LC, Monticelli LA, Nice TJ, Sutherland TE, Siracusa MC, Hepworth MR, Tomov VT, Kobuley D, Tran SV, Bittinger K, Bailey AG, Laughlin AL, Boucher JL, Wherry EJ, Bushman FD, Allen JE, Virgin HW and Artis D (2014) Coinfection. Virus-helminth coinfection reveals a microbiota-independent mechanism of immunomodulation. *Science* 345, 578–582.
- Parfrey L, Jirků M, Šima R, Jalovecká M, Sak B, Grigore K and Jirků Pomajbíková K (2017) A benign helminth alters the host immune system and the gut microbiota in a rat model system. *PLoS ONE* 12, e0182205.
- Parker W and Ollerton J (2013) Evolutionary biology and anthropology suggest biome reconstitution as a necessary approach toward dealing

- with immune disorders. *Evolution, Medicine and Public Health* **2013**, 89–103.
- Persaud R, Wang A, Reardon C and McKay DM** (2007) Characterization of the immuno-regulatory response to the tapeworm *Hymenolepis diminuta* in the non-permissive mouse host. *International Journal for Parasitology* **37**, 393–403.
- Pfaffl MW** (2001) A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research* **29**, e45.
- Ponder A and Long MD** (2013) A clinical review of recent findings in the epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease. *Clinical Epidemiology* **5**, 237–247.
- Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J and Glockner FO** (2013) The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research* **41**, D590–D596.
- R_Core_Team** (2013) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Ramanan D, Bowcutt R, Lee SC, Tang MS, Kurtz ZD, Ding Y, Honda K, Gause WC, Blaser MJ, Bonneau RA, Lim YA, Loke P and Cadwell K** (2016) Helminth infection promotes colonization resistance via type 2 immunity. *Science* **352**, 608–612.
- Reyes JL, Wang A, Fernando MR, Graepel R, Leung G, van Rooijen N, Sigvardsson M and McKay DM** (2015) Splenic B cells from *Hymenolepis diminuta*-infected mice ameliorate colitis independent of T cells and via cooperation with macrophages. *Journal of Immunology* **194**, 364–378.
- Reyes JL, Fernando MR, Lopes F, Leung G, Mancini NL, Matisz CE, Wang A and McKay DM** (2016) IL-22 restrains tapeworm-mediated protection against experimental colitis via regulation of IL-25 expression. *PLoS Pathogens* **12**, e1005481.
- Reynolds LA, Smith KA, Filbey KJ, Harcus Y, Hewitson JP, Redpath SA, Valdez Y, Yebra MJ, Finlay BB and Maizels RM** (2014) Commensal-pathogen interactions in the intestinal tract: lactobacilli promote infection with, and are promoted by, helminth parasites. *Gut Microbes* **5**, 522–532.
- Reynolds LA, Finlay BB and Maizels RM** (2015) Cohabitation in the intestine: interactions among helminth parasites, bacterial microbiota, and host immunity. *Journal of Immunology* **195**, 4059–4066.
- Roberts LS** (1980) Development of *Hymenolepis diminuta* in its definitive host. In Arai H (ed.), *Biology of the Tapeworm Hymenolepis Diminuta*. New York: Academic Press, pp. 357–423.
- Rook GAW, Raison CL and Lowry CA** (2014) Microbial ‘old friends’, immunoregulation and socioeconomic status. *Clinical & Experimental Immunology* **177**, 1–12.
- Rossen NG, Bart A, Verhaar N, van Nood E, Kootte R, de Groot PF, D’Haens GR, Ponsioen CY and van Gool T** (2015) Low prevalence of *Blastocystis* sp. in active ulcerative colitis patients. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases: Official Publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology* **34**, 1039–1044.
- Sartor RB and Mazmanian SK** (2012) Intestinal microbes in inflammatory bowel diseases. *American Journal of Gastroenterology Supplements* **1**, 15.
- Smyth K, Morton C, Mathew A, Karuturi S, Haley C, Zhang M, Holzkecht ZE, Swanson C, Lin SS and Parker W** (2017) Production and use of *Hymenolepis diminuta* cysticercoids as anti-inflammatory therapeutics. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* **6**, 98.
- Team RC** (2016) *R: A Language Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Velasquez-Manoff M** (2012) *An Epidemic of Absence*. New York: Scribner.
- Wallace JL, Le T., Carter L., Appleyard C. B. and Beck P. L.** (1995) Hapten-induced chronic colitis in the rat: alternatives to trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid. *Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods* **33**, 237–239.
- Wang A and McKay DM** (2005) Immune modulation by a high molecular weight fraction from the rat tapeworm *Hymenolepis diminuta*. *Parasitology* **130**, 575–585.
- Wang A, Fernando M, Leung G, Phan V, Smyth D and McKay DM** (2010) Exacerbation of oxazolone colitis by infection with the helminth *Hymenolepis diminuta*. *American Journal of Pathology* **177**, 2850–2859.
- Wang M, Wu L, Weng R, Zheng W, Wu Z and Lv Z** (2017) Therapeutic potential of helminths in autoimmune diseases: helminth-derived immune-regulators and immune balance. *Parasitology Research* **116**, 2065–2074.
- Webb RA, Hoque T and Dimas S** (2007) Expulsion of the gastrointestinal cestode, *Hymenolepis diminuta* by tolerant rats: evidence for mediation by a Th2 type immune enhanced goblet cell hyperplasia, increased mucin production and secretion. *Parasite Immunology* **29**, 11–21.
- Wickham H** (2009) *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Williamson LL, McKenney EA, Holzkecht ZE, Belliveau C, Rawls JF, Poulton S, Parker W and Bilbo SD** (2016) Got worms? Perinatal exposure to helminth prevents persistent immune sensitization and cognitive dysfunction induced by early-life infection. *Brain, Behaviour and Immunity* **51**, 14–25.
- Zaiss MM and Harris NL** (2016) Interactions between the intestinal microbiome and helminth parasites. *Parasite Immunology* **38**, 5–11.
- Zaiss MM, Rapin A, Lebon L, Dubey LK, Mosconi I, Sarter K, Piersigilli A, Menin L, Walker AW, Rougemont J, Paerewijck O, Geldhof P, McCoy KD, Macpherson AJ, Croese J, Giacomini PR, Loukas A, Junt T, Marsland BJ and Harris NL** (2015) The intestinal microbiota contributes to the ability of helminths to modulate allergic inflammation. *Immunity* **43**, 998–1010.