## Changes in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare*) genetic diversity and structure in Jordan over a period of 31 years

I. Thormann<sup>1</sup>\*, P. Reeves<sup>2</sup>, S. Thumm<sup>3</sup>, A. Reilley<sup>2</sup>, J. M. M. Engels<sup>1</sup>, C. M. Biradar<sup>4</sup>, U. Lohwasser<sup>3</sup>, A. Börner<sup>3</sup>, K. Pillen<sup>5</sup> and C. M. Richards<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bioversity International, Via dei Tre Denari 472/A, 00057 Maccarese Rome, Italy, <sup>2</sup>United States Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service, National Center for Genetic Resources Preservation, 1111 South Mason Street, Fort Collins, CO 80521, USA, <sup>3</sup>Genebank Department, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research, Corrensstr. 3, D-06466 Stadt Seeland, OT Gatersleben, Germany, <sup>4</sup>International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), P.O. Box 950764, Amman 11195, Jordan and <sup>5</sup>Plant Breeding, Institute for Agricultural and Nutritional Science, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Betty-Heimann-Str. 3, 06120 Halle/Saale, Germany

#### Received 13 August 2016; Accepted 6 January 2017 - First published online 15 May 2017

#### Abstract

In many regions of the world, the cultivation of landraces is still common, in particular in centres of crop diversity. Significant effort has been put into *ex situ* conservation of landraces but limited data exist on the changes in genetic diversity that occur over time in farmers' fields. We assessed temporal changes in barley landrace diversity in Jordan using seed samples collected in 1981 and 2012 from the same locations. We did not observe significant changes in the amount of genetic diversity, but samples collected in 2012 were more homogenous and less locally distinct. In two sites, we observed replacement of the old material. We observed a change in phenotype, and phenotypes were found to be more homogenous among sites in 2012. Climate changed significantly over the study period, becoming hotter and dryer, but we did not identify any correlation between the changes in climate and genetic and phenotypic variations. While the amount of genetic diversity in terms of allelic richness and number of multi-locus genotypes has been maintained, local distinct-iveness among landrace barley populations in Jordan was reduced.

Keywords: genetic erosion, landrace, re-collection

### Introduction

Landraces are defined as dynamic populations of a cultivated species associated with traditional farming systems (Jarvis *et al.*, 2000; Camacho Villa *et al.*, 2005). While locally adapted and genetically distinct, they usually lack a history of formal crop improvement. Landraces are a critical element of food security. In many regions of the world, the cultivation of landraces is still common, in particular in the centres of crop diversity (Ceccarelli, 1996; Mercer and Perales, 2010; Jarvis *et al.*, 2011). They are used in breeding

because they are an important source of unique variability, in particular regarding adaptive traits (FAO, 2010; Bertoldo *et al.*, 2014; Dwivedi *et al.*, 2016). Although their conservation in *ex situ* collections is quite extensive – nearly half of the genebank material, for which the biological status of accessions (as defined in Alercia *et al.*, 2015) is known, are landraces (FAO, 2010) – gaps in *ex situ* collections are still being reported, and limited data exist on numbers and diversity of landraces currently grown in farmers' fields (FAO, 2010). On-farm management of landraces, i.e. maintenance of genetic diversity in production systems, is therefore an essential complement to *ex situ* conservation. Importantly, it allows adaptive processes to continue, which shape landrace genetic diversity and result in unique

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: i.thormann@cgiar.org

resources for farmers and breeders (Henry *et al.*, 1991). How landraces evolve under on-farm management and under the pressure of environmental change requires further research (Mercer and Perales, 2010; Dornelas *et al.*, 2013).

Barley is the fourth most important cereal crop worldwide in terms of production, yield and area harvested. It is adapted to marginal areas and stress-affected environments and is therefore important to small farmers in developing countries. In Jordan, barley is the predominant crop in areas with <300 mm of annual rainfall. In these areas, barley is mainly grown for animals; both grain and straw are utilised (Al-Tabbal and Al-Fraihat, 2012). Given that water scarcity is a major environmental challenge in Jordan, a crop such as barley will likely remain an essential component of the landscape, providing food security in Jordan's rain-fed agricultural system.

Genetic diversity in cultivated barley is known to be particularly high in the near East (Malysheva-Otto *et al.*, 2006; Varshney *et al.*, 2008). To examine how landrace barley diversity has changed in Jordan over a period of 31 years, we sourced landrace samples collected in Jordan in 1981 from a genebank and re-collected contemporary samples from the same sites in 2012. We examined genetic and phenotypic diversity at both time points to investigate the pattern of temporal changes in diversity and tested whether these changes were associated with geography or climate.

## Material and methods

### Barley germplasm collecting missions

Barley landrace samples were collected in Jordan in 1981 (18 May-2 June) during a collecting trip carried out under a regional FAO project operated by the IBPGR (International Board for Plant Genetic Resources) (Witcombe et al., 1982) and were re-sampled from the same sites in 2012 (21 May-3 June) in collaboration with the Jordanian National Center for Agricultural Research and Extension (NCARE). Using map coordinates and location descriptions from the 1981 collecting reports, and information provided by the original collector, precise site locations were determined for resampling in 2012. Seed samples in 1981 were collected from farmers' fields by walking a transect across the field, collecting up to 200 spikes, and trying to maximize variability expressed in phenotypes while collecting. After threshing, seeds of each sample were divided at random between the three participating organizations (J. Witcombe, pers. commun.). Samples of up to 100 spikes were randomly collected from each site in 2012 and conserved at NCARE and the German federal ex situ genebank of the Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK).

Seeds from the 1981 collecting mission were obtained from the Nordic Genetic Resource Center (NordGen), where the original seed samples had been stored for the long term in sealed aluminium foil bags, in standard household deep freezers at  $-18^{\circ}$ C. The collecting years are referred to in the following also as time point  $t_1$  (1981) and time point  $t_2$  (2012).

## Field trial

Accessions were grown in a standard field trial at IPK during the 2013 growing season. Seeds were sown on 19 April 2013. Each sample was sown in two rows of 1 m each, separated by a row of wheat, in  $1.0 \times 1.5 \text{ m}^2$  plots. No irrigation was provided and hand weeding occurred as necessary. Plants were bagged before flowering to avoid any cross fertilization with adjacent wild barley (Hordeum vulgare subsp. spontaneum (K. Koch) Thell.) plots. A total of 40 accessions, 20 from each collecting year, were used for the study (Fig. 1). Sixteen individual plants per accession were randomly chosen for phenotypic and genotypic data collection. Thinning was carried out between these plants to equalize plant density within plots. Leaf tissue was collected from all labelled plants, dried at 37°C, and then frozen for later DNA extraction. Twenty phenotypic traits were measured during the growing season, at harvest and post-harvest (online Supplementary Table S1) to assess relative phenotypic variation.

## DNA extraction and genotyping

DNA was purified using the Qiagen DNeasy<sup>®</sup> 96 Plant Kit. Thirty-eight EST-derived SSR primers were used for genotyping (Thiel et al., 2003; Stein et al., 2007; Varshney et al., 2007) (online Supplementary Table S2). Loci were distributed across all seven barley chromosomes. DNA amplification and fragment size analysis was completed by the Genomics and Bioinformatics Research Group, USDA-ARS, Stoneville, Mississippi. PCR was carried out in 5-µl reactions consisting of 2-10 ng genomic DNA, 1 × Qiagen Multiplex PCR Master Mix, 225 nM of each primer pair. Fragments were amplified using the following PCR profile: an initial denaturing step of 15 min at 95°C followed by 40 cycles with denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 60 s and extension at 72°C for 60 s. After 40 cycles, a final extension step was performed at 60°C for 20 min. Amplification products were resolved by capillary electrophoresis on an ABI 3730XL Genetic Analyzer. Fragment sizes were calculated using GeneScan 500 (ROX) internal size standards and scored with GeneMapper Software (version 5.0) (Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.).

Care was taken to mitigate scoring errors in the microsatellite data. Out of the 45 loci examined, the 38 used were



Fig. 1. Collecting sites of barley landraces for temporal comparison.

chosen based on polymorphism, low drop-out rates and scoring/amplification consistency. Every sample was inspected manually for allele call fidelity. DNA from four H. vulgare L. accessions from IPK (BCC844, BCC1500, BCC1411 and BCC1390) were used as internal controls for genotype scoring. Unique positioning of controls and blanks on each 96-well plate provided checks for plate identification and orientation as well as for scoring consistency. In the rare case discrepancies were observed, the entire plate was rerun. Samples with ambiguous peaks were also rerun. Because barley is an inbreeding species, and exhibits extreme heterozygote deficiency, deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium could not be used to indicate scoring errors. However, loci with a high incidence of heterozygotes were suspect and were re-examined. There were 40 out of 24,320 (0.17%) missing data points in the SSR data set.

#### Statistical analyses

## Genetic diversity, population differentiation and structure

Summary statistics, such as number of alleles, sample adjusted allelic richness and observed heterozygosity, were calculated with GDA (Lewis and Zaykin, 2001) and FSTAT version 2.93.2 (Goudet, 2001). The PIC (polymorphism information content) and the number of multilocus (ML) genotypes was determined using GenAlEx 6.502 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006, 2012). Population subdivision was quantified using  $F_{ST}$  and Jost's D (Jost, 2008), which is an alternative to  $F_{ST}$  that is unaffected by within-population diversity (Meirmans and Hedrick, 2011). Both were calculated with the R package *diveRsity* (Keenan *et al.*, 2013). Differentiation among populations within a collecting year as well as differentiation within each site between collecting years was calculated.

Population structure was inferred using InStruct (Gao *et al.*, 2007). InStruct extends the algorithm used in STRUCTURE (Pritchard *et al.*, 2000) to account for self-pollination and inbreeding, common in barley. InStruct was run in mode v=2 (infer population structure and population selfing rates) for K=1-10. For each *K*, five chains were run, with 200,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo iterations, a burn-in of 100,000 and a thinning interval of 10 steps. Results from independent chains were summarized using CLUMPP (Jakobsson and Rosenberg, 2007) and graphical representations of cluster assignments were

rendered with DISTRUCT (Rosenberg, 2004).  $\Delta$ K (Evanno *et al.*, 2005) was calculated to identify the appropriate number of clusters.

IBD (Isolation by distance) was estimated using the R package ecodist. Geographic distances were calculated as straight-line distances with the GeographicDistanceMatrix Generator version 1.2.3 (Ersts, Internet, http://biodiversity informatics.amnh.org/open\_source/gdmg/) and log transformed. Genetic distances were calculated as  $F_{\rm ST}/(1-F_{\rm ST})$  (Rousset, 1997). A two-tailed Mantel test was carried out with 10<sup>5</sup> permutations.

#### Climate data analysis

Long-term high-resolution daily and monthly climate data sets were generated by the GIS unit of the International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), using ECMWF (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, ERA-40) with bias correction through use of the WRF (Weather Research and Forecasting) model. Spatial downscaling was done in ArcGIS to generate 1 km surfaces. These high-resolution 1 km time series gridded data sets were used to extract monthly precipitation and mean temperature values for all collecting sites for 1980–2013 for further analysis.

In our analysis, temperature and precipitation trends over the 34-year period from 1980 to 2013 were considered. Annual and monthly precipitation and mean temperatures were tabulated for all years. Precipitation of the driest and wettest month and quarter, and mean temperature of the hottest and coldest month and quarter were calculated. Temporal trends were analysed using least squares regression. These data were compared with precipitation and temperature data from Jordanian weather stations for the years 1978–2008.

#### Phenotypic analysis

All phenotypic measurements were tabulated and the 10 traits marked with \* in Table 3 were used for phenotypic analysis. Given that the field trial was limited to a single season, and was carried out in a location outside the study area, our analysis was limited to relative changes in phenotypes and did not focus on single trait values. For this reason we summarized the individual phenotypes as a multivariate statistic through principal component analysis (PCA). We used the first principal component to describe these multi-trait phenotypes through a single value. We then used a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to assess the influence of collecting year and site on the phenotype. The phenotype was described by the first principle component and treated as the dependant variable. The interaction effects between the independent variables site number and collecting year on phenotype were tested. Additionally, we used one-way ANOVAs to test the significance of phenotypic variation among sites within each collecting year. The first principle component describing phenotype was the dependant variable, and site was used as the independent variable for all phenotypes of the respective collecting year. PCA and ANOVAs were carried out in JMP<sup>®</sup> 12.0.1.

#### Correlation analyses

Correlations between climatic, phenotypic and genetic change, as well as correlations between geographical variables (latitude and elevation) and climatic, phenotypic and genetic change were tested.

Genetic change was measured using the metric  $F_{ST}$  and  $\Delta$  allelic richness.  $F_{ST}$  estimated the differentiation within each site between the  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  population. Change in allelic richness was expressed as the difference in allelic richness estimated in each site for both collecting years. A composite measure of phenotypic change was generated through a PCA on average trait differences between collecting years. The average trait value for each of the 10 traits was calculated at each site and for each collecting year. The differences within each site between average trait values from both collecting years ( $t_2-t_1$ ) were subjected to PCA and the first principal component was used for regression to climatic and genetic change measures.

Similarly, PCA was used to generate a composite measure of climatic change. Annual, quarterly and monthly precipitation and temperature differences between collecting years were calculated based on values averaged over the collecting year and its preceding year, i.e. values for 1980–1981 were used for  $t_1$  and values for 2011–2012 for  $t_2$ . The differences between the five precipitation and five temperature variables within each site were subjected to PCA and the first principal component was used for regression to phenotypic and genetic change measures. Within each time point, we also tested whether single climate variables were correlated with genetic diversity or phenotypic traits, using appropriate Holm-Bonferroni correction (Holm, 1979) to avoid type I error inherent in multiple comparisons. All correlations were tested using least squares regression in JMP<sup>®</sup> 12.0.1.

#### Results

### Genetic diversity

Population and collecting year-specific values for genetic diversity measures are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Overall, genetic diversity was slightly but not significantly higher in 2012 than in 1981. The total number of alleles was 137 ( $t_1$ ) and 149 ( $t_2$ ). Sixteen alleles were unique to 1981 (with frequencies between 0.002 and 0.01) and 28 alleles were unique to 2012 (frequencies between 0.002 and

0.031). In both collecting years these unique alleles were randomly distributed across the study area. Mean allelic richness per site increased from 1.66  $(t_1)$  to 1.80  $(t_2)$ . Overall, the mean number of alleles per site increased significantly, from 63.4  $(t_1)$  to 69.05  $(t_2)$ . Depending on site, the change in number of alleles ranged however from a reduction of 10 alleles to an increase of 25 alleles. In each site there were, on average, 52.2 alleles that were found in both collecting years, 11.2 alleles found only in 1981, and 16.85 found only in 2012. The average number of collecting sites in which a specific allele was found increased from 7.6 to 8.3.

The total number of ML genotypes increased from 164  $(t_1)$  to 181  $(t_2)$ . The mean number of ML genotypes per population increased from 10.8  $(t_1)$  to 12.1  $(t_2)$ . Of the 164 ML genotypes present in 1981, 118 (71.96%) were unique (i.e. found in one individual only), 25 were present in more than one individual within the same site, and 21 were shared across 2-14 sites (average 3.52). In 2012, 150 (82.87%) ML genotypes were present in only one individual, nine were present in more than one individual within the same site, and 22 were shared among 2-15 sites (average 3.82). Among both collecting years, a total of 317 ML genotypes were recorded. In total, 136 were found only in 1981, 153 only in 2012 and 28 were common to both collecting years. Of the 28 common genotypes, 10 were recorded in the same sites in both years (seven in only one site each, and one genotype in 5, 6 and 13 sites). Overall, all but four sites (7578, 7594, 7596 and 7635) had 1-3 ML genotypes found in both collecting years.

#### Population differentiation and structure

Population subdivision decreased as evidenced by a reduction in *D* and  $F_{ST}$ . *D* decreased from 0.157 ( $t_1$ ) to

 Table 1. Genetic diversity measures by collecting year

0.021 ( $t_2$ ),  $F_{ST}$  from 0.486 ( $t_1$ ) to 0.14 ( $t_2$ ) (Table 1). *D* and  $F_{ST}$  values calculated at each site between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  samples to estimate differentiation within a location varied from 0.0 to 0.54 (*D*) and 0.0 to 0.85 ( $F_{ST}$ ) (Table 2). The  $F_{ST}$  values for sites 7594 and 7596 were particularly high (0.85 and 0.78), indicating much change, while the average  $F_{ST}$  of the remaining sites was as low as 0.07, indicating very little change. Pairwise genetic distances measured as  $F_{ST}$  within collecting years were significantly high r in 1981 than in 2012.

The high collecting year-specific  $F_{ST}$  and D values in 1981 were mainly caused by samples collected in sites 7594 and 7596. When these two sites were excluded from the estimates, the  $F_{ST}$  and D of the remaining 18 samples were reduced to 0.217 and 0.036 for 1981, while values for 2012 remained mostly unvaried with 0.147 and 0.021, still showing stronger subdivision in 1981.

The  $\Delta K$  method (Evanno *et al.*, 2005) applied to InStruct results suggested subdivision into two clusters in 1981. Sites 7594 and 7596 constituted one small cluster, while all remaining 18 populations were assigned to the second cluster (Fig. 2). The average population assignment coefficient was >0.97 for both clusters. Fifteen individuals were genetically admixed, i.e. had a cluster assignment coefficient q < 0.8 and 14 of these were located in site 7578.

For comparison, we examined InStruct results for 2012 populations at the same K=2. One cluster contained two sites, the other 18. The average population assignment coefficient to clusters was 0.82, significantly lower than in 1981 (P < 0.0001, Tukey–Kramer HSD (honestly significant difference)). Genetic admixture was much higher than in 1981, found in 135 individuals. Five populations were also physically admixed, i.e. where an individual assigned

Variable	1981	2012	Significance <sup>a</sup>
Total number of alleles	138	149	
Number of alleles unique to collecting year	16	28	
Mean allelic richness per population	1.658	1.799	ns
Mean number of alleles per population	63.4	69.05	P = 0.0497
D	0.157 Cl (95%): 0.148–0.165	0.021 Cl (95%): 0.017–0.026	
F <sub>ST</sub>	0.486 Cl (95%): 0.456–0.512	0.14 Cl (95%): 0.113-0.168	
Total number of ML genotypes	164	181	
ML genotypes unique to collecting year	136	153	
ML genotypes recorded in one individual only	118	150	
ML genotypes repeated within a site	25	9	
ML genotypes repeated/shared across sites	21	22	
Mean number of ML genotypes per population	10.8	12.1	ns

ML, multi-locus.

<sup>a</sup>Tukey–Kramer HSD.

Site	IPK accession number 1981 samples <sup>a</sup>	IPK accession number 2012 samples	F <sub>ST</sub> between collecting years	D between collecting years	Allelic richness 1981	Allelic richness 2012	ML genotype 1981
7574	HOR 22359	HOR 22643	0.0916	0.0052	1.70	1.60	11
7578	HOR 22363	HOR 22650	0.4701	0.1192	2.02	1.75	15
7582	HOR 22365	HOR 22653	0.0225	0.0012	1.31	1.72	8
7572	HOR 22358	HOR 22642	0.0511	0.0019	1.65	1.62	13
7566	HOR 22356	HOR 22633	-0.0097	$2.00 \times 10^{-04}$	1.47	1.72	8
7567	HOR 22357	HOR 22634	0.0297	0.0039	1.70	2.09	11
7594	HOR 22369	HOR 22659	0.8466	0.5384	1.10	1.76	6
7596	HOR 22370	HOR 22662	0.7771	0.5119	1.43	1.92	3
7618	HOR 22377	HOR 22677	-0.0013	0.0018	1.88	2.22	5
7608	HOR 22374	HOR 22669	0.0943	0.0061	1.26	1.63	13
7611	HOR 22375	HOR 22671	0.0034	$9.00 \times 10^{-04}$	1.57	1.67	12
7613	HOR 22376	HOR 22673	-0.0056	$6.00 \times 10^{-04}$	1.65	1.83	10
7619	HOR 22378	HOR 22678	0.0047	0.0011	1.47	1.68	11
7620	HOR 22379	HOR 22679	0.0224	0.0018	1.70	1.60	12
7626	HOR 22382	HOR 22683	0.0358	0.0054	1.86	1.69	12
7622	HOR 22380	HOR 22680	0.0074	0.0016	1.96	2.00	14
7630	HOR 22383	HOR 22685	0.0976	0.0089	1.83	1.73	13

0.0255

0.2261

0.0485

0.0027

0.0342

0.0032

1.70

1.96

1.93

1.97

2.14

1.67

ML, multi-locus.

HOR 22385

HOR 22386

HOR 22387

<sup>a</sup>Seed samples obtained from NordGen were accessioned in IPK.

HOR 22686

HOR 22687

HOR 22688

Alleles present in

years

both collecting

Alleles Alleles

ML

genotypes present in

both years

ML

genotypes



Fig. 2. Assignment of barley landrace individuals and populations to clusters identified by InStruct for K = 2 and K = 6.

to one cluster resides in a site dominated by individuals belonging to another cluster.

The  $\Delta K$  method applied to InStruct results for the samples collected in 2012 suggested a subdivision into six clusters (Fig. 2). All 20 populations were physically admixed containing individuals assigned to 2–5 different clusters. When we applied K = 6 to the 1981 populations, 13 populations were physically admixed with individuals assigned to two or three populations. The population assignment coefficient was not significantly higher in 1981 (0.54) compared with 2012 (0.47).

#### Phenotypic variation

Growth habit, leaf hairiness, stem pigmentation, awn type, awn barbs, lemma colour, kernel covering, spike density and row number showed no or little variation between populations and collecting years. Quantitative traits varied within sites and across the study area in both collecting years (Table 3 and online Supplementary Table S4). Days from emergence to heading, plant height at harvest, number of tillers, spike length, total seed harvested per plant, showed comparatively larger differences between collecting years than other traits. Plants from 2012 tended to take more days from emergence to heading, to have more and longer tillers, and to produce more seed per plant (Table 3).

The first principal component represented 31.1% of phenotypic variation. ANOVA showed that multi-trait phenotype, measured as the first principal coordinate, differed among sites in 1981 but not in 2012 (Table 4). Site by collecting year effect was not significant, indicating that differences among collecting years were similar in direction and magnitude within sites. Relative change in phenotype among collecting years was statistically significant (Table 4).

#### Climate changes in Jordan from 1980 to 2013

Values for all five temperature variables (annual mean temperature, mean temperature of coldest and hottest month and quarter) increased significantly over the 34-year period in the 20 sites. Precipitation values measured as annual precipitation, precipitation of wettest and driest month and quarter showed a negative trend over the 34-year period in all 20 sites. The decreases over time in precipitation of driest month and quarter were statistically significant in most sites. The results of the regression analyses on temporal trends are summarized in online Supplementary Table S3. These trends confirm that the precipitation and temperature values of the two collecting years are part of a broader trend and do not represent anomalous values.

Precipitation of wettest month was significantly lower and all temperature values were significantly higher in  $t_2$ compared with  $t_1$ . Precipitation and temperature values for  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are summarized in online Supplementary Figs S1 and S2. Changes in precipitation and temperature values within single sites are visualized in online Supplementary Figs S3 and S4. These latter show that increases in warmest quarter and warmest month temperatures as well as decrease in annual and wettest quarter precipitation were more pronounced in the southern sites.

**Table 3.** Phenotypic trait values by collecting year, providing percentages for categorical variables and means followed by standard deviation for quantitative variables

Trait	1981	2012	
Days to emergence <sup>a</sup>	9 days: 70% 10 days: 30%	9 days: 100%	
Growth habit <sup>a</sup>	Erect	Erect	
Leaf hairiness	Present	Present	
Stem pigmentation	Green: 29.47% purple (basal only): 70.53%	Green: 35.63% Purple (basal only): 64.38%	
Awn type	Awnleted: 5.46% Awned: 94.53%	Awnleted: 4.76 Awned: 95.24	
Awn barbs	Smooth: 17.42% Intermediate: 68.39% Rough: 14.19%	Smooth: 0.0% Intermediate: 71.75% Rough: 0.95%	
Lemma colour	Amber	Amber	
Days from emergence to heading*	61.82 (10.17)	65.51 (9.61)	
Plant height*	65.87 (14.86)	76.57 (15.31)	
Number of tillers*	9.08 (8.41)	12.53 (9.81)	
Spike length*	6.4 (1.85)	7.3 (1.59)	
Spike density	Lax: 70.0% Intermediate: 30.0%	Lax: 66.56% Intermediate: 33.44%	
Kernel number per ear*	14.36 (5.95)	15.76 (4.44)	
Kernel row number	2	2	
Kernel covering	Semi-covered	Semi-covered	
TSW*	52.15 (7.64)	52.97 (7.06)	
Seed area*	32.9 (2.44)	32.26 (2.13)	
Seed width*	3.46 (0.17)	3.46 (0.17)	
Seed length*	11.52 (0.76)	11.29 (0.61)	
Number of seeds harvested per plant*	71.76 (64.32)	103.00 (80.83)	

<sup>a</sup>Traits 'days to emergence' and 'growth habit' were recorded at population level, all other traits at individual level.

**Table 4.** One way ANOVA on multi-trait phenotypes for each collecting year to test effect of site within year (type of ANOVA = 1) and two way ANOVA on multi-trait phenotypes of both collecting years to test effect of year, site and their interaction (type of ANOVA = 2)

Type of ANOVA	Source of variation	Number of parameters	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	F Ratio	Prob > F
1	Site in 1981	19	19	121.63	2.13	0.0048
1	Site in 2012	19	19	50.21	0.8	0.7088
2	Collecting year	1	1	68.16	21.55	<0.0001
2	Site	19	19	97.25	1.62	0.0475
2	Collecting year × site	19	19	74.14	1.23	0.2246

Temperature and precipitation data at 16 weather stations in Jordan showed the same trends as the interpolated data at the collecting sites. Annual mean temperature measured at the weather stations trended significantly upwards, while annual precipitation fell during the period 1978–2008 (data not shown).

#### Correlation analyses

Overall climate change, expressed as the first principal component (64% of variation) was strongly correlated with latitude ( $R^2 = 0.85$ , P < 0.0001) and altitude ( $R^2 = 0.66$ , P < 0.0001). No other significant correlation between climate, phenotypic and genetic change was found. Neither was phenotypic or genetic change correlated with latitude or elevation. We found no correlations in any time point between phenotypic traits and genetic or climatic variables.

### Discussion

In the present study we used resurrection of old seed samples and re-collection (Davis *et al.*, 2005; Franks *et al.*, 2008) to assess genotypic and phenotypic changes over time. We compared landrace barley from Jordan, collected in the same locations 31 years apart. We observed a slight increase in genetic diversity between 1981 and 2012. Samples collected in 2012 were more admixed than in 1981 and differentiation among samples was lower in 2012. There was a relative change in phenotype among collecting years, and phenotypes were found to be more homogeneous among sites in 2012. In two sites, we observed complete replacement of the old material. Climate became hotter and dryer, but we did not identify any correlation with the observed genetic and phenotypic variations.

## Study system and sampling

Genebanks maintain living germplasm and associated provenance information from collections of agriculturally

important species. Well-documented and conserved collections can be used to reveal past diversity and serve as a starting point for temporal studies in genetic diversity through re-collecting contemporary samples for comparison (Maxted and Guarino, 2006; Franks *et al.*, 2008; Deu *et al.*, 2010; van de Wouw *et al.*, 2010; Thormann *et al.*, 2015). Several studies have used re-collecting to investigate temporal changes in landrace diversity, for example in sorghum and pearl millet in Niger (Bezançon *et al.*, 2009) and rice in Guinea (Barry *et al.*, 2008). Others have compared barley and wheat samples collected from the same areas several decades apart (Khlestkina *et al.*, 2004, 2006).

Differences in sampling protocols between historical and contemporary collections have been suggested as possible reasons for observed temporal genetic changes (del Rio et al., 1997; Barry et al., 2008). Up to 200 spikes per sample were collected in 1981, trying to maximize variability expressed in phenotypes. Thus, rare types could likely have been oversampled compared with common types. Samples of up to 100 spikes were randomly collected from each site in 2012. These sample sizes are considered sufficient to adequately represent the diversity of a population in the sample (Brown and Marshall, 1995; Hoban and Schlarbaum, 2014). The difference in sampling method between 1981, where phenotypic variability was maximized along a transect, and 2012, where sampling was random, does not explain our results as higher genetic diversity might have been expected in the 1981 samples, but this was not observed, in fact the diversity was slightly higher in 2012.

Genetic diversity in *ex situ* collections can be affected by regeneration and/or other management practices, including the sample sizes (Rao *et al.*, 2006; Dulloo *et al.*, 2008). Gomez *et al.* (2005) observed lower genetic diversity in *ex situ* conserved bean samples compared with recollected *in situ* samples due to regeneration processes. The 1981 seeds were from the original collected samples, which had not been regenerated and been conserved under standard long-term storage conditions. This excludes the possibility that genetic diversity has been affected by

inappropriate regeneration and/or other management practices.

Another concern related to seed storage is the potential selective loss of genotypes during long-term conservation. Barley has an orthodox, desiccation tolerant seed storage behaviour under long-term storage conditions (van Hintum and Menting, 2003; Nagel *et al.*, 2009) and all samples used in the present study germinated normally. There is no indication to suspect that major genotypic changes have affected seed samples from 1981. In a similar study on pearl millet landraces, no impact of seed storage over time was found (Vigouroux *et al.*, 2011). To the best of our knowledge, no study has yet demonstrated conclusively that selective mortality occurs during storage.

Our study has furthermore included a relatively large number of sites covering a range of agro-ecological conditions to provide a good estimate of the overall distribution of diversity across Jordan. We used 38 SSRs to describe genetic diversity, while 20 are considered sufficient to provide resolution and representation of diversity for genetic erosion studies (Hoban *et al.*, 2014). We therefore assume that the seed samples used in this study provide comparable snapshots of diversity existing at the respective collecting times, and that observed differences are not significantly affected by sampling bias or *ex situ* management practices.

## Changes in genetic diversity and population structure

Landraces are typically genetically heterogeneous (Brown, 1999; Camacho Villa *et al.*, 2005). This has been shown for barley in Jordan and Syria (Jana and Pietrzak, 1988; Russell *et al.*, 2003, 2011), Ethiopia (Bekele, 1983), Iran (Brown and Munday, 1982), Nepal (Pandey *et al.*, 2006) and Spain (Yahiaoui *et al.*, 2008). Landraces of predominantly self-fertilizing species like barley (Wagner and Allard, 1991; Parzies *et al.*, 2000) are usually composed of mixtures of many, mostly homozygous, ML genotypes (Pérez de la Vega and Garcia, 1997). It should also be noted that the barley landraces collected in Jordan were predominantly used as animal feed and thus, most likely very limited selection might have taken place by farmers other than for straw quality and seed yield.

Genetic diversity was maintained between 1981 and 2012. Most of the alleles were found in both time points. Only 12 and 18% of alleles in 1981 and 2012 were unique to the respective time point, and these alleles were found at very low frequencies, between 0.002 and 0.031. Given that most alleles were found in both time points and ML genotypes were maintained in collecting sites, no replacement by exotic or uniform material seems to have taken place. Also the very low *D* and  $F_{ST}$  values between time points within most collecting sites indicate limited genetic change

between time points within sites. Ceccarelli and Grando (1999, 2009) reported that conventional breeding and highyielding varieties have had rather limited success in the Fertile Crescent. However, two sites, 7594 and 7596, present an exception. Their very high site-specific D and  $F_{ST}$  values indicate that the samples collected there in 2012 are quite different from those collected earlier. Samples from 1981 collected in these two sites were genetically and phenotypically distinct from all other samples, as they formed a separate genetic cluster and were significant-ly smaller in height and less productive. In 2012, samples collected in these two sites were no longer distinct. The barley landraces grown in these two sites in 1981 appear therefore to have been replaced with material similar to that re-collected in other sites.

We observed important changes in population structure. The collection in 2012 showed less genetic differentiation among sites and smaller average genetic distances between samples. The clustering at K=6, increased genetic admixture, and the presence of physical admixture in all populations in 2012, indicate that population structure has decreased. Furthermore, the reduction in *D* and  $F_{ST}$  values shows that barley samples have become more homogeneous across the territory compared with 1981. This homogenization might be the result of increased seed flow within the country and increased use of common seed sources. Accordingly the number of ML genotypes found in 2012 was higher and the number of ML genotypes unique to the collecting time point increased from 72 to 83%.

In the 1980s, the barley grown in Jordan was primarily landrace material, from farmers who had been using their own seeds for generations (Weltzien, 1982, 1988; Jana and Pietrzak, 1988; Brush, 2004; Russell et al., 2011). The three decades between 1981 and 2012 were characterized by increased urbanization and infrastructure development due to rapid population growth in Jordan, conversion of rangelands into cultivated land, and a generalized intensification of agricultural activities (Khresat et al., 1998; Al-Bakri et al., 2001, 2008; FAO, 2006; NCARTT, 2007). Jordan operated a conventional national barley-breeding programme, which had released a first variety in 1966. Further varieties were released in the late 1980s and 2004 (Friedt et al., 2011). Since 2000, the breeding programme has been decentralized by adopting and implementing the participatory plant breeding (PPB) approach (ICARDA, 2003; Ceccarelli and Grando, 2007) involving farmers in different ecogeographical regions. About 30 PPB varieties were distributed to a number of regions in Jordan (Al-Yassin, 2012). The Jordan Cooperative Corporation (JCC) started to coordinate seed production and distribution throughout Jordan in 1982 (Al-Yassin, 2012). JCC has become the primary seed source for the majority of barley farmers (N. Al-Hajaj, pers. commun. NCARE; ICARDA, 2003). The increased availability of varieties released by the breeding programme and the operation of the seed cooperative have likely contributed to decreasing differentiation and reduction in population structure, because seed exchange and genetic population structure in landraces are found to be interdependent (Parzies *et al.*, 2004; Allinne *et al.*, 2008; Thomas *et al.*, 2011; Samberg *et al.*, 2013).

Similar observations of weak and unstructured clustering between barley landrace samples were made in Ethiopia (Abebe and Leon, 2013; Abebe et al., 2013) and Tunisia (Ould Med Mahmoud and Hamza, 2009). These observations were attributed to seed-mediated gene flow among farmers. Farmer seed management can have a stronger influence on population structure than any landscape structure (Samberg et al., 2013), and can explain why correlations between genetic structure and ecogeographic variables are often weak or non-existent (Ould Med Mahmoud and Hamza; 2009; Abebe and Leon, 2013). Traditional practices and cultural factors are known to influence population structure and genetic diversity in landraces of other crops and regions (Guo et al., 2012; Westengen et al., 2014). To explain population structure and spatial distribution of diversity in landraces and to design conservation strategies, it appears therefore necessary to complement the knowledge about the species biology and the study of the ecogeographical landscape with the farmers' social landscape and their seed management and exchange practices.

#### Phenotypic variation

High phenotypic variation was found in both collecting periods in our study in Jordan, consistent with the findings of other studies. Barley landraces collected from the Fertile Crescent (Weltzien, 1982, 1988; Ceccarelli *et al.*, 1987; Jaradat 1989a, b) and other countries (Damania *et al.*, 1985; Demissie and Bjornstad, 1996; Lasa *et al.*, 2001; Assefa and Labuschagne, 2004; Haseneyer *et al.*, 2010) are reported to be morphologically very variable. These observations can also be confirmed for Ethiopia during the mid-1980s from collecting over 100 barley landraces across the country (Engels 2016, unpublished data).

We observed a significant change in multi-variate phenotype, where plants from 2012 were on average taller, had longer spikes and more tillers. While there was significant heterogeneity between sites in 1981, this was not found in 2012 anymore. Because our data do not include multi-year and multi-location data, the observed differences in phenotype could be attributable to maternal effects and environmental plasticity (Kirkpatrick and Lande, 1989; Mousseau and Fox, 1998). The year of harvest and the age of seeds – the 1981 seeds being 31 years older – could have led to differences in the fitness of the seeds and played a role in development of the plants. On the other hand, farmers in Jordan are known to prefer taller plants, which are easier to harvest from stony fields, and plant height has been an important selection criterion in PPB activities (ICARDA, 2003). To determine whether observed phenotypic differences between collecting years might be influenced by farmer selection, seed fitness or  $G \times E$  effects, would require a complex set of additional common garden experiments in different environments.

#### Responses to environmental variation

The analysis of the climate data demonstrated that climate has been changing, in particular the already dry months have become drier and temperatures have been increasing. This presents an increasing challenge to agriculture. These changes were not found to have affected genotypic diversity, however. Two studies have made similar findings. Significant changes in phenotypic traits were observed by Cui et al. (2016) in a temporal study (1980-2007) on rice landraces in China, as a decrease in plant height and an increase in number of grains per panicle. This was attributed to on-farm selection. Vigouroux et al. (2011) observed earlier flowering and a reduction in plant and spike size as an adaptation to drought in pearl millet in a similar diachronic study (1976-2003) conducted in Niger. Analogous to our results, in both cases, genetic diversity was maintained in landraces on-farm despite environmental change.

# Genetic erosion in landraces and on-farm conservation

Climate change, replacement with improved varieties, environmental degradation, changing agricultural systems and needs, legislation and policy, civil strife and war are reported as main causes for past genetic erosion and threats to the survival of existing diversity (FAO, 2010, 2012). The picture that so far has emerged from research about the extent of genetic erosion in landraces, however, appears very complex and no coherent body of data are available because methods of assessment are not uniform (Thormann and Engels, 2015).

While many studies and reports document genetic erosion (FAO, 2010; Thormann and Engels, 2015), other studies show that in some cases introduction of improved varieties has not caused loss in landrace diversity or landrace diversity has been maintained on-farm. Steele *et al.* (2009) monitored the adoption of modern rice varieties in a high-altitude region in Nepal. They found that partial adoption of a modern variety can increase the overall genetic diversity within the agricultural system, if at least 35% of the cultivated area continues to be planted to traditional varieties. Landrace diversity of pearl millet and sorghum in Niger (Bezançon *et al.*, 2009), sorghum in eastern Ethiopia (Mekbib, 2008) or rice in Yunnan, China (Cui *et al.*, 2016) and Guinea (Barry *et al.*, 2008) was maintained over time by farmers. No loss of genetic diversity was found in wheat collected over an interval of 40–50 years in Albania, Austria, India and Nepal (Khlestkina *et al.*, 2004).

The presence and extent of erosion varies for the same crop, by geography, national policy environment and agricultural system. While Khlestkina *et al.* (2006) observed maintenance in diversity in barley collected from Austria and India over an interval of 40–50 years, loss of barley landrace diversity has been reported from Serbia (Petrovic and Dimitrijevic, 2012) and Ethiopia (Megersa, 2014).

Our study has for the first time analysed temporal variation in barley landrace diversity in Jordan. We revealed a localized loss of genetically and phenotypically distinct populations in two nearby sites. While genetic diversity in terms of allelic richness and ML genotypes has been maintained - and even slightly increased, we observed a decrease in differentiation between populations, which have become less locally distinctive. Our results underline the importance of seed management practices on shaping diversity in landraces maintained by farmers, which have likely led to reduced distinctiveness and potential for local adaptation of landrace populations. The potential for evolution and adaptation to changing environmental conditions is one of the major advantages of active on farm conservation of landrace diversity. The assessment of changes in landrace diversity over time, combined with analysis of seed management practices, can provide useful input to devise concrete interventions for conservation of landrace diversity.

## **Supplementary Material**

The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S1479262117000028

#### References

- Abebe TD and Leon J (2013) Spatial and temporal genetic analyses of Ethiopian barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) landraces reveal the absence of a distinct population structure. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 60: 1547–1558.
- Abebe TD, Mathew B and Léon J (2013) Barrier analysis detected genetic discontinuity among Ethiopian barley (*Hordeum* vulgare L.) landraces due to landscape and human mobility on gene flow. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 60: 297–309.
- Al-Bakri JT, Taylor JC and Brewer TR (2001) Monitoring land use change in the Badia transition zone in Jordan using aerial photography and satellite imagery. *The Geographical Journal* 167: 248–262.
- Al-Bakri JT, Ajlouni M and Abu-Zanat M (2008) Incorporating land use mapping and participation in Jordan. *Mountain Research and Development* 28: 49–57.

- Alercia A, Diulgheroff S and Mackay M (2015) FAO/Bioversity Multi-Crop Passport Descriptors. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Bioversity International.
- Allinne C, Mariac C, Vigouroux Y, Bezançon G, Couturon E, Moussa D, Tidjani M, Pham J-L and Robert T (2008) Role of seed flow on the pattern and dynamics of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* [L.] R. Br.) genetic diversity assessed by AFLP markers: a study in south-western Niger. *Genetica* 133: 167–178.
- Al-Tabbal JA and Al-Fraihat AH (2012) Genetic variation, heritability, phenotypic and genotypic correlation studies for yield and yield components in promising barley genotypes. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 4: 193–201.
- Al-Yassin A (2012) Jordan: in search of new benefit-sharing practices through participatory plant breeding. In: Ruiz M and Vernooy R (eds) *The Custodians of Biodiversity. Sharing Access and Benefits to Genetic Resources*. Abingdon, UK: Earthscan, pp. 67–78.
- Assefa A and Labuschagne MT (2004) Phenotypic variation in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) landraces from north Shewa in Ethiopia. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 13: 1441–1451.
- Barry MB, Pham JL, Béavogui S, Ghesquière A and Ahmadi N (2008) Diachronic (1979–2003) analysis of rice genetic diversity in Guinea did not reveal genetic erosion. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 55: 723–733.
- Bekele E (1983) Some measures of gene diversity analysis on land race populations of Ethiopian barley. *Hereditas* 98: 127–143.
- Bertoldo JG, Meirelles Coimbra JL, Guidolin AF, Braatz de Andrade LR and Nodari RO (2014) Agronomic potential of genebank landrace elite accessions for common bean. *Sci. Agric.* 71: 120–125.
- Bezançon G, Pham JL, Deu M, Vigouroux Y, Sagnard F, Mariac C, Kapran I, Mamadou A, Gérard B, Ndjeunga J and Chantereau J (2009) Changes in the diversity and geographic distribution of cultivated millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.) and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) varieties in Niger between 1976 and 2003. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 56: 223–236.
- Brown AHD (1999) The genetic structure of crop landraces and the challenge to conserve them *in situ* on farms. In: Brush SB (ed.) *Genes in the Field: On-Farm Conservation of Crop Diversity*. Ottawa, Canada: IDRC, pp. 29–48.
- Brown AHD and Marshall DR (1995) A basic sampling strategy: theory and practice. In Guarino L, Ramanat ha Rao V and Reid R (eds) Collecting Plant Genetic Diversity: Technical Guidelines. Rome, Italy: International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI), Plant Production and Protection Division. Rome, Italy: FAO, World Conservation Union (IUCN). Gland, Switzerland: CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, pp 75–91.
- Brown AHD and Munday J (1982) Population-genetic structure and optimal sampling of land races of barley from Iran. *Genetica* 58: 85–96.
- Brush SB (2004) Farmers' Bounty: Locating Crop Diversity in the Contemporary World. New Haven, USA: Yale University Press.
- Camacho Villa TC, Maxted N, Scholten M and Ford-Lloyd B (2005) Defining and identifying crop landraces. *Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization* 3: 373–384.
- Ceccarelli S (1996) Adaptation to low/high input cultivation. *Euphytica* 92: 203–214.
- Ceccarelli S and Grando S (1999) Barley landraces from the Fertile Crescent: a lesson for plant breeders. In: Brush SB (ed.) *Genes in the Field: On-Farm Conservation of Crop Diversity.* Ottawa, Canada: IDRC, pp. 51–76.

- Ceccarelli S and Grando S (2007) Decentralized-participatory plant breeding: an example of demand driven research. *Euphytica* 155: 349–360.
- Ceccarelli S and Grando S (2009) Participatory plant breeding in cereals. In: Carena MJ (ed.) *Cereals*. US: Springer, pp. 395–414.
- Ceccarelli S, Grando S and van Leur JAG (1987) Genetic diversity in barley landraces from Syria and Jordan. *Euphytica* 36: 389– 405.
- Cui D, Li J, Tang C, A X, Yu T, Ma X, Zhang E, Cao G, Xu F, Qiao Y, Dai L and Han L (2016) Diachronic analysis of genetic diversity in rice landraces under on-farm conservation in Yunnan, China. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 129: 155– 168.
- Damania AB, Jackkson MT and Porceddu E (1985) Variation in wheat and barley landraces from Nepal and the Yemen Arab Republic. *Z. Pflanzenzüchtungg* 94: 13–24.
- Davis MB, Shaw RG and Etterson JR (2005) Evolutionary responses to changing climate. *Ecology* 86: 1704–1714.
- Del Rio AH, Bamberg JB, Huaman Z, Salas A and Vega SE (1997) Assessing changes in the genetic diversity of potato genebanks. 2. *In situ* vs *ex situ*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 95: 199–204.
- Demissie A and Bjornstad A (1996) Phenotypic diversity of Ethiopian barleys in relation to geographic regions, altitudinal range, and agro-ecological zones: as an aid to germplasm collection and conservation strategy. *Hereditas* 124: 17–29.
- Deu M, Sagnard F, Chantereau J, Calatayud C, Vigouroux Y, Pham JL, Mariac C, Kapran I, Mamadou A, Gérard B, Ndjeunga J and Bezançon G (2010) Spatio–temporal dynamics of genetic diversity in Sorghum bicolor in Niger. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 120: 1301–1313.
- Dornelas M, Magurran AE, Buckland ST, Chao A, Chazdon RL, Colwell RK, Curtis T, Gaston KJ, Gotelli NJ, Kosnik MA, McGill B, McCune JL, Morlon H, Mumby PJ, Øvreas L, Studeny A and Vellend M (2013) Quantifying temporal change in biodiversity: challenges and opportunities. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 280: 20121931.
- Dulloo ME, Hanson J, Jorge MA and Thormann I (2008) Regeneration guidelines: general guiding principles. In: Dulloo ME, Thormann I, Jorge MA and Hanson J (eds) *Crop Specific Regeneration Guidelines [CD-ROM].* Rome, Italy: CGIAR System-wide Genetic Resource Programme (SGRP), 6 pp.
- Dwivedi SL, Ceccarelli S, Blair MW, Upadhyaya HD, Are AK and Ortiz R (2016) Landrace germplasm for improving yield and abiotic stress adaptation. *Trends in Plant Science* 21: 31–42.
- Ersts PJ [Internet] Geographic Distance Matrix Generator (version 1.2.3). American Museum of Natural History, Center for Biodiversity and Conservation. Available at http://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open\_source/gdmg. Accessed 4 February 2016.
- Evanno G, Regnaut S and Goudet J (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology* 14: 2611–2620.
- FAO (2006) *Country Pasture/Forage Resource Profiles.* Rome, Jordan, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- FAO (2010) *The Second Report on the State of the World's Plant Genetic Re-Sources for Food and Agriculture.* Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- FAO (2012) Second Global Plan of Action for Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

- Franks SJ, Avise JC, Bradshaw WE, Conner JK, Etterson JR, Mazer SJ, Shaw RG and Weis AE (2008) The Resurrection Initiative: storing ancestral genotypes to capture evolution in action. *BioScience* 58: 870–873.
- Friedt W, Horsley RD, Harvey BL, Poulsen DME, Lance RCM, Ceccarelli S, Grando S and Capettini F (2011) Barley breeding history, progress, objectives and technology. In: Ullrich SE (ed.) *Barley: Production, Improvement and Uses.* Ames, USA: Blackwell Publishing Ltd., pp. 160–220.
- Gao H, Williamson S and Bustamante CD (2007) A Markov chain Monte Carlo approach for joint inference of population structure and inbreeding rates from multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 176: 1635–1651.
- Gomez OJ, Blair MW, Frankow-Lindberg BE and Gullberg U (2005) Comparative study of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) landraces conserved *ex situ* in genebanks and *in situ* by farmers. *Genetic Resources and Cop Evolution* 52: 371–380.
- Goudet J (2001) FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices (Version 2.9.3). Available at http://www.unil.ch/popgen/softwares/fstat.html
- Guo Y, Li Y, Huang Y, Jarvis D, Sato K, Kato K, Tsuyuzaki H, Chen L and Long C (2012) Genetic diversity analysis of hulless barley from Shangri-la region revealed by SSR and AFLP markers. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 59: 1543–1552.
- Haseneyer G, Stracke S, Paul C, Einfeldt C, Broda A, Piepho H-P, Graner A and Geiger HH (2010) Population structure and phenotypic variation of a spring barley world collection set up for association studies. *Plant Breeding* 129: 271–279.
- Henry JP, Pontis C, David J and Gouyon PH (1991) An experiment on dynamic conservation of genetic resources with metapopulations. In: Seitz A, Loeschcke V (eds) Species Conservation: A Population-Biological Approach. Basel, Switzerland: Birkhäuser Verlag Basel, pp. 185–198.
- Hoban S and Schlarbaum S (2014) Optimal sampling of seeds from plant populations for ex-situ conservation of genetic biodiversity, considering realistic population structure. *Biological Conservation* 177: 90–99.
- Hoban S, Arntzen JA, Bruford MW, Godoy JA, Hoelzel R, Segelbacher G, Vila C and Bertorelle G (2014) Comparative evaluation of potential indicators and temporal sampling protocols for monitoring genetic erosion. *Evolutionary Applications* 7: 984–998.
- Holm S (1979) A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* 6: 65–70.
- ICARDA (2003) From formal to participatory plant breeding: improving barley production in the rainfed areas of Jordan. Final technical report. International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas. Available at https://idl-bnc.idrc. ca/dspace/handle/10625/35287. accessed 22 April 2016.
- Jakobsson M and Rosenberg NA (2007) CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure. *Bioinformatics* 23: 1801–1806.
- Jana S and Pietrzak LN (1988) Comparative assessment of genetic diversity in wild and primitive cultivated barley in a center of diversity. *Genetics* 119: 981–990.
- Jaradat AA (1989a) Diversity within and between populations of two sympatrically distributed *Hordeum* species in Jordan. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 78: 653–656.
- Jaradat AA (1989b) Ecotypes and genetic divergence among sympatrically distributed populations of *Hordeum vulgare* and *Hordeum spontaneum* from the xeric region of Jordan. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 78: 857–862.

- Jarvis DI, Myer L, Klemick H, Guarino L, Smale M, Brown AHD, Sadiki M, Sthapit B and Hodgkin T (2000) A Training Guide for In Situ Conservation On-farm. Version 1. Rome, Italy: International Plant Genetic Resources Institute.
- Jarvis DI, Hodgkin T, Sthapit BR, Fadda C and Lopez-Noriega I (2011) An heuristic framework for identifying multiple ways of supporting the conservation and use of traditional crop varieties within the agricultural production system. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* 30: 125–176.
- Jost L (2008)  $G_{ST}$  and its relatives do not measure differentiation. Molecular Ecology 17: 4015–4026.
- Keenan K, McGinnity P, Cross TF, Crozier WW and Prodöhl PA (2013) diveRsity: an R package for the estimation of population genetics parameters and their associated errors. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4: 782–788.
- Khlestkina EK, Huang XQ, Quenum FJ-B, Chebotar S, Roeder MS and Börner A (2004) Genetic diversity in cultivated plants – loss or stability? *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 108: 1466– 1472.
- Khlestkina EK, Varshney RK, Röder MS, Graner A and Börner A (2006) A comparative assessment of genetic diversity in cultivated barley collected in different decades of the last century in Austria, Albania and India by using genomic and genic simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers. *Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization* 4: 125–133.
- Khresat SA, Rawajfih Z and Mohammad M (1998) Land degradation in north-western Jordan: causes and processes. *Journal* of Arid Environments 39: 623–629.
- Kirkpatrick M and Lande R (1989) The evolution of maternal characters. *Evolution* 43: 485–503.
- Lasa JM, Igartua E, Ciudad FJ, Codesal P, García EV, Gracia MP, Medina B, Romagosa I, Molina-Cano JL and Montoya JL (2001) Morphological and agronomical diversity patterns in the Spanish barley core collection. *Hereditas* 135: 217–225.
- Lewis PO and Zaykin D (2001) Genetic Data Analysis: Computer program for the analysis of allelic data (version 1.1). Available at http://hydrodictyon.eeb.uconn.edu/people/ plewis/software.php
- Malysheva-Otto LV, Ganal MW and Röder MS (2006) Analysis of molecular diversity, population structure and linkage disequilibrium in a worldwide survey of cultivated barley germplasm (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *BMC Genetics* 7: 6. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2156-7-6.
- Maxted M and Guarino L (2006) Genetic erosion and genetic pollution of crop wild relatives. In: Ford-Lloyd BV, Dias SR and Bettencourt E (eds) *Genetic Erosion and Pollution Assessment Methodologies*. Proceedings of PGR Forum Workshop 5, Terceira Island, Autonomous Region of the Azores, Portugal, 8–11 September 2004. Rome: Published on behalf of the European Crop Wild Relative Diversity Assessment and Conservation Forum, Bioversity International, pp. 35–46.
- Megersa G (2014) Genetic erosion of barley in North Shewa Zone of Oromiya Region, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation* 6: 280–289.
- Meirmans PG and Hedrick PW (2011) Assessing population structure: FST and related measures. *Molecular Ecology* 11: 5–18.
- Mekbib F (2008) Genetic erosion of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) in the centre of diversity, Ethiopia. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 55: 351–364.
- Mercer KL and Perales HR (2010) Evolutionary response of landraces to climate change in centers of crop diversity. *Evolutionary Applications* 3: 480–493.

- Mousseau TA and Fox CW (1998) The adaptive significance of maternal effects. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 13: 403–407.
- Nagel M, Vogel H, Landjeva S, Buck-Sorlin G, Lohwasser U, Scholz U and Börner A (2009) Seed conservation in *ex-situ* genebanks - genetic studies on longevity in barley. *Euphytica* 170: 1–10.
- NCARTT (2007) The Second Country Report on the State of the Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan. Amman, Jordan: National Center for Agricultural Research and Technology Transfer NCARTT.
- Ould Med Mahmoud MA and Hamza S (2009) Genetic diversity in local barley accessions collected from different geographical regions of Tunisia. *Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization* 7: 169–176.
- Pandey M, Wagner C, Friedt W and Ordon F (2006) Genetic relatedness and population differentiation of Himalayan hulless barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) landraces inferred with SSRs. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 113: 715–729.
- Parzies HK, Spoor W, Ennos AR (2000) Outcrossing rates of barley landraces from Syria. *Plant Breeding* 119: 520–522.
- Parzies HK, Spoor W and Ennos AR (2004) Inferring seed exchange between farmers from population genetic structure of barley landrace Arabi Aswad from Northern Syria. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 51: 471–478.
- Peakall R and Smouse PE (2006) GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.
- Peakall R and Smouse PE (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research-an update. *Bioinformatics* 28: 2537–2539.
- Pérez de la Vega M and Garcia P (1997) Genetic structure of self-pollinating species: the case of wild *Avena*. *Bocconea* 7: 141–152.
- Petrovic S and Dimitrijevic M (2012) Genetic erosion of diversity in cereals. *Genetika* 44: 217–226.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M and Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 155: 945–959.
- Rao NK, Hanson J, Dulloo ME, Ghosh K, Nowell D and Larinde M (2006) Manual of Seed Handling in Genebanks. Handbooks for Genebanks No. 8. Rome, Italy: Bioversity International.
- Rosenberg NA (2004) Distruct: a program for the graphical display of population structure. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4: 137–138.
- Rousset F (1997) Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under isolation by distance. *Genetics* 145: 1219–1228.
- Russell JR, Booth A, Fuller JD, Baum M, Ceccarelli S, Grando S and Powell W (2003) Patterns of polymorphism detected in the chloroplast and nuclear genomes of barley landraces sampled from Syria and Jordan. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 107: 413–421.
- Russell J, Dawson IK, Flavell AJ, Steffenson B, Weltzien E, Booth A, Ceccarelli S, Grando S and Waugh R (2011) Analysis of 1000 single nucleotide polymorphisms in geographically matched samples of landrace and wild barley indicates secondary contact and chromosome-level differences in diversity around domestication genes. *New Phytologist* 191: 564–578.
- Samberg LH, Fishman L and Allendorf FW (2013) Population genetic structure in a social landscape: barley in a traditional Ethiopian agricultural system. *Evolutionary Applications* 6: 1133–1145.
- Steele KA, Gyawali S, Joshi KD, Shrestha P, Sthapit BR and Witcombe JR (2009) Has the introduction of modern rice

varieties changed rice genetic diversity in a high-altitude region of Nepal?. *Field Crops Research* 113: 24–30.

- Stein N, Prasad M, Scholz U, Thiel T, Zhang H, Wolf M, Kota R, Varshney RK, Perovic D, Grosse I and Graner A (2007) A 1000-loci transcript map of the barley genome: new anchoring points for integrative grass genomics. *Theoretical* and Applied Genetics 114: 823–839.
- Thiel T, Michalek W, Varshney RK and Graner A (2003) Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of gene-derived SSR-markers in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 106: 411–422.
- Thomas M, Dawson JC, Goldringer I and Bonneuil C (2011) Seed exchanges, a key to analyze crop diversity dynamics in farmer-led on-farm conservation. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 58: 321–338.
- Thormann I and Engels JMM (2015) Genetic diversity and erosion a global perspective. Chapter 10. In: Ahuja MR and Jain SM (eds) Genetic Diversity and Erosion – Indicators and Prevention, vol. 1. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, pp. 263–294.
- Thormann I, Fiorino E, Halewood M and Engels JMM (2015) Plant genetic resources collections and associated information as baseline resource for genetic diversity studies – an assessment of the IBPGR supported collections. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 62: 1279–1293.
- Van de Wouw M, Kik C, van Hintum T, van Treuren R and Visser B (2010) Genetic erosion in crops: concept, research, results and challenges. *Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization* 8: 1–15.
- Van Hintum T and Menting F (2003) Diversity in ex situ genebank collections of barley. In: von Bothmer R, van Hintum T and Knüpffer H (eds) *Diversity in Barley (Hordeum vulgare)*. Developments in Plant Genetics and Breeding, vol. 7. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 247–257.

- Varshney RK, Marcel TC, Ramsay L, Russell J, Röder M, Stein N, Waugh R, Langridge P, Niks RE and Graner A (2007) A high density barley microsatellite map with 775 SSR loci. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 114: 1091–1103.
- Varshney RK, Salem KFM, Baum M, Röder MS, Graner A and Börner A (2008) SSR and SNP diversity in a barley germplasm collection. *Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization* 6: 167–174.
- Vigouroux Y, Mariac C, De Mita S, Pham J-L, Gérard B, Kapran I, Sagnard F, Deu M, Chantereau J, Ali A, Ndjeunga J, Luong V, Thuillet AC, Saidou AA and Bezancon G (2011) Selection for Earlier Flowering Crop Associated with Climatic Variations in the Sahel. *PLoS ONE* 6: e19563.
- Wagner DB and Allard RW (1991) Pollen migration in predominantly self-fertilizing plants: barley. *Journal of Heredity* 82: 302–304.
- Weltzien E (1982) Barley collection and evaluation in Syria and Jordan. *Plant Genetic Resources Newsletter* 52: 5–6.
- Weltzien E (1988) Evaluation of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) landrace populations originating from different growing regions in the Near East. *Plant Breeding* 101: 95–106.
- Westengen OT, Okongo MA, Onek L, Berg T, Hai Upadhyaya H, Birkeland S, Khalsa SDK, Ring KH, Nils C, Stenseth NC and Brysting AK (2014) Ethnolinguistic structuring of sorghum genetic diversity in Africa and the role of local seed systems. *PNAS* 111: 14100–14105.
- Witcombe JR, Bourgois JJ and Rifaie R (1982) Germplasm collections from Syria & Jordan. *Plant Genetic Resources Newsletter* 50: 2–8.
- Yahiaoui S, Igartua E, Moralejo M, Ramsay L, Molina-Cano JL, Ciudad FJ, Lasa JM, Gracia MP and Casas AM (2008) Patterns of genetic and eco-geographical diversity in Spanish barleys. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 116: 271–282.