Palliative and Supportive Care

cambridge.org/pax

Original Article

Cite this article: Ko E, Keeney AJ, Higgins D, Gonzalez N, Palomino H (2022). Rural Hispanic/Latino cancer patients' perspectives on facilitators, barriers, and suggestions for advance care planning: A qualitative study. *Palliative and Supportive Care* 20, 535–541. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951521001498

Received: 25 June 2021 Accepted: 15 August 2021

Key words:

Advance care planning; Barriers; Cancer; Facilitators; Rural Hispanic/Latino

Author for correspondence:

Eunjeong Ko, School of Social Work, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Drive, San Diego, CA 92182-4119, USA.

E-mail: eko@sdsu.edu

Rural Hispanic/Latino cancer patients' perspectives on facilitators, barriers, and suggestions for advance care planning: A qualitative study

Eunjeong Ko, PH.D., L.C.S.W.¹, Annie J. Keeney, PH.D., M.S.W.¹,

Doreen Higgins, PH.D., M.S.S.W.², Nayeli Gonzalez, M.S.W.³ and Helen Palomino, L.C.S.W.³

¹School of Social Work, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA; ²Social Work Professional Programs, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay, Green Bay, WI and ³Cancer Resource Center of the Desert, El Centro, CA

Abstract

Objective. Hispanic/Latinos living in rural areas have limited healthcare resources, including palliative and hospice care. Moreover, little is known about advance care planning (ACP) among Hispanic/Latino cancer patients in rural areas. This study explores facilitators and barriers for ACP. It elicits suggestions to promote ACP among rural Hispanic/Latino cancer patients in a US/Mexico border region.

Methods. Hispanic/Latino cancer patients (n = 30) were recruited from a nonprofit cancer organization. Data were collected via in-person interviews. Interviews were transcribed and translated from Spanish to English. Data were uploaded into NVivo 12 and analyzed using thematic analysis. **Results.** A common theme for facilitators and barriers for ACP was safeguarding family. Additional facilitators included (1) Desire for honoring end-of-life (EoL) care wishes and (2) experience with EoL care decision making. Additional barriers include (1) Family's reluctance to participate in EoL communication and (2) Patient-clinicians' lack of EoL communication. Practice suggestions include (1) Death education and support for family, (2) ACP education, and (3) Dialogue vs. documentation.

Significance of results. ACP functions not only as a decisional tool; its utility reflects complex dynamics in personal, social, and cultural domains. ACP approaches with this underserved population must consider family relationships as well as cultural implications, including language barriers.

Introduction

Advance care planning (ACP) is a process of helping individuals to reflect and share their personal values and goals of care and preferences for future medical care. In this process, individuals are encouraged to communicate with their family and healthcare providers and designate a healthcare proxy to represent them if they can no longer speak for themselves (Sudore et al., 2017). This is particularly pertinent for patients with chronic illness because their illness trajectories often involve rapid health decline (Cohen-Mansfield et al., 2018). However, previous studies have consistently found racial/ethnic differences in ACP such that when compared with non-Hispanic White participants, Hispanic/Latino participants are less likely to express and document their end-of-life (EoL) treatment preferences (Smith et al., 2008; Carr, 2011; Clark et al., 2018).

Scholars have identified complexities in EoL decision-making that need to consider various influences, including individual and socio-cultural factors. Typically, Hispanic/Latino individuals prefer family-centered decision-making in EoL care (Kelley et al., 2010; Braun et al., 2014; Cervantes et al., 2017), value family input, and prefer the family to be the decision-maker even when the patient can make their own decisions (Kelley et al., 2010; Cervantes et al., 2017). However, due to the topic's sensitivity, some Hispanic/Latino individuals do not feel comfortable initiating EoL discussions due to a fear of upsetting the family (Clark et al., 2018). In addition to cultural differences in values and beliefs, language barriers, limited literacy, and structural barriers intensify ACP complexities resulting in decision uncertainty and/or ill-informed choice (Smith et al., 2009; Sudore et al., 2010). Nonetheless, other studies have found that Hispanic/Latino individuals consider ACP valuable and learning about EoL treatment options essential (Maldonado et al., 2019). They also prefer that physicians provide clear information about the patients' health conditions and expected outcomes to both patients and family members (Braun et al., 2008).

Hispanic/Latino patients residing in rural areas encounter various challenges in accessing and utilizing healthcare resources, including palliative/hospice care programs and trained

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press



536 Eunjeong Ko *et al.*

palliative care specialists, often leaving cancer patients with limited opportunities to plan ACP and receive palliative/hospice care (Virnig et al., 2006; Lynch, 2012; Ko et al., 2020). Residing in a border town, proximity to country of origin (Mexico), cultural beliefs, and values that permeate the community may influence their ACP perspectives. Many cultural studies with Hispanic/ Latino cancer patients on ACP include decision-making patterns (Carrion et al., 2013) and intervention studies (Fischer et al., 2015; Sudore et al., 2018; Maldonado et al., 2019). However, little is known about the challenges and needs for ACP among rural participants in a geographically unique region. This study aimed to explore ACP experiences, particularly what helps and hampers ACP engagement, and elicit suggestions to improve the ACP process. Interviews focused on participants' experiences in ACP, particularly (1) what facilitated or hindered the participants from engaging in ACP, (2) what would help to promote ACP, and (3) how healthcare professionals (HCPs) can improve the ACP process.

Methods

Study design and setting

This study is part of a larger ACP study exploring cancer patients' ACP engagement and the effectiveness of a patient navigator-led ACP educational intervention. As a follow-up study, this study employed a qualitative method where Hispanic/Latino cancer patients were interviewed in-person at a local cancer organization at the US/Mexico border in southern California.

Participants and recruitment procedures

A purposive sampling method was used to recruit participants from a local cancer organization. This community-based service agency served exclusively socio-economically marginalized cancer patients referred from regional oncology clinics in the border town. ACP education is a part of the programs offered at the organization. During the initial intake for the cancer organization services, patients were assessed as to whether they have completed an advance directive (AD) which is a legal document for individuals to express their EoL care preferences and designate healthcare proxy to represent them should they become incapacitated and unable to make decisions about their health care (Yadav et al., 2017). Those who have not completed an AD were offered an ACP session, led by social work navigators focusing on eliciting patient's values, concerns, and education on EoL communication and completion of AD. For this study, participants who agreed to participate in the ACP session were invited to participate 6 months after receiving the ACP session.

Eligibility criteria included adults age 18 and older, identifying as Hispanic/Latino, and having a cancer diagnosis. Among the 49 cancer patients invited, 14 declined participation in the study due to various reasons (e.g., transportation issues, out of town, busy) and five passed away, resulting in a total sample size of 30. The IRB from the San Diego State University approved all study procedures.

Data collection

Data were collected via face-to-face interviews from October 2018 to December 2019 by a trained bilingual/bicultural research assistant using a semi-structured interview guide developed based on previous literature findings (Schickedanz et al., 2009; Simon et al., 2015 see Table 1). All interviews were conducted in-person and

Table 1. Interview guide

Facilitators

- 1 What led you to engage in advance care planning (i.e., talking about what you want and what you think is important regarding your care during the end of life, and/or documenting your wishes in an Advance Directive)? Please describe the situation.
- 2 Please describe how you talked with your family about Advance Care Planning.

Prompt: Describe how you felt when you talked to your family or doctor about what you want and what is important regarding your care during the end of life?

Prompt: How did your family respond when you talked with them?

Prompt: What was the most difficult or challenging part in talking to your family about it?

3 Can you describe your experience with communicating with your doctor about what you want and what is important regarding your EoL care?

Prompt: Who initiated the conversation? How did the topic come up?

Prompt: Describe how you felt when you talked to your doctor about your preferences and values regarding your care during the end of life.

Prompt: During the discussion with your doctor, what was the most difficult or challenging part to talk about?

Barriers/Challenges

- 4 What made it difficult for you to complete an advance directive? What got in the way, if anything?
- 5 What prevented you from talking with your family about your preferences and values regarding your care during the end of life?

Prompt: How did you feel about talking with your family about your preferences and values regarding your care during the end of life?

Prompt: What prevented you from talking with your doctor about your preferences and values regarding your care during the end of life?

Prompt: How do you feel about talking with your doctor about this?

6 What is the best way for healthcare professionals (e.g., doctors, nurses, social workers) to help you engage in Advance Care Planning (talking to your family and doctors about advance care planning and completion of the AD)?

two participants were accompanied by their spouses. Interviews were conducted either in Spanish or English and lasted 30–45 min. Participants' nonverbal behaviors (i.e., facial expression, body language, and difficulty with articulation) were observed and documented in field notes that were used to add contextual meaning during analysis. Debriefing sessions were conducted between the researcher and the research assistant who reviewed any unexpected circumstances or situations that might impact the interview quality. All interviews were audio-taped, transcribed, and translated from Spanish to English. For accuracy of the translation, parts where the translation appeared unclear were reviewed and discussed with the bilingual researcher. Informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to data collection.

Data analysis

Data were uploaded into a qualitative software program, NVivo 12, for analysis. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis (Braun and Clarke, 2006) that included the following steps.

First, translation and transcription of all individual interview data supplemented with researcher notes from recoded data was conducted in a word processing program. Second, we implemented data condensation, where code words were grouped around a "particular concept in the data, called categorizing" (Merriam, 2002, p. 149). Each case was coded independently using line-by-line coding. During this stage in the analysis, researchers (EK and AK) reviewed the transcripts multiple times independently for the purpose of generating an initial set of codes and themes. Discrepancies in the codes were resolved via discussion between the two authors. Once preliminary codes were established and agreed upon between the authors, cases were reviewed independently a second time by the authors to ensure saturation. The third step of the data analysis consisted of data display, where data were organized to identify overarching themes across the participants to gain a greater understanding of the factors that influence EoL communication and AD completion. The last step in the analysis consisted of the two researchers, again comparing findings to ensure no additional themes or codes emerged. Using qualitative data from two sources (interviews and field notes), translating the transcripts conducted in Spanish verbatim to English, and having two researchers independently code the data and compare findings (Braun and Clarke, 2006) were all done to improve the trustworthiness of the data.

Results

Participants' characteristics

Participants' sociodemographic and health-related information and ACP-related information are presented in Table 2. Most of the participants were female (80%) with an average age of 59.8 years old. The majority (70%) reported to have a high school degree or less, and about 63% reported having an annual income of less than \$20.000. More than half (53.3%) were diagnosed with breast cancer and 20% were diagnosed in Mexico. The majority (83.3%) spoke Spanish in the interviews. In terms of ACP, only 20% of the participants have completed an AD. About two-thirds of the participants have engaged in EoL communication with family, but only 7% had done so with physicians.

Themes from the qualitative data

Participants offered details of the facilitators and barriers they experienced as related to ACP. A common theme was safeguarding participants' desires to emotionally protect family members and was found as both a facilitator and barrier. Additional ACP facilitators included (1) a desire for honoring EoL care wishes and (2) experiences with EoL decision making. ACP barriers included (1) family's reluctance to participate in EoL communication and (2) clinician—patient's lack of initiation on EoL communication. Participants' suggestions to improve ACP efforts included death education and support for family, ACP education, and person-to-person dialogue as opposed to providing AD document.

Common theme

Safeguarding family as a facilitator

Concerns about family suffering during the patient's EoL phase frequently emerged. Safeguarding family from having conflicts (i.e., decision-making conflict, emotional distress) was an important reason for ACP engagement. A participant explained her

Table 2. Participants' socio-economic, health, and ACP related variables (n = 30)

Variables	N(%)/M(SD)
Gender	
Female	24 (80%)
Male	6 (20.0%)
Age	59.8 (9.4)
Language for the Interviews	
Spanish	25 (83.3%)
English	5 (16.7%)
Marital status	
Married	14 (46.7%)
Separated	5 (16.7%)
Divorced	5 (16.7%)
Widowed	5 (16.7%)
Never married	1 (3.3%)
Income	
Less than \$20,000	19 (63.3%)
\$20,000-\$39,000	8 (26.7%)
\$40,000-\$60,000	2 (6.7%)
More than \$60,000	1 (3.3%)
Education	
Elementary school	12 (40.0%)
HS/GED	9 (30.0%)
Some college	7 (23.3%)
College graduate	2 (6.7%)
Cancer Diagnosis	
Breast	16 (53.3%)
Prostate	3 (10.0%)
Lung	2 (6.7%)
Thyroid	2 (6.7%)
Other	7 (23.3%)
Health Insurance	
Full coverage	22 (73.3%)
Partial coverage	7 (23.3%)
No insurance	1 (3.3%)
Place of Cancer Diagnosis	
USA	23 (76.7%)
Mexico	6 (20%)
AD completion (yes)	6 (20%)
EoL communication with family (yes)	11 (63.3%)
EoL communication with physicians (yes)	2 (6.9%)

reasoning for ACP. "Due to different religious beliefs or thoughts, not just traditions, one will say, 'We are going to pull the plug' and someone else will say, 'no'... it made me see everything much clearer. I have always believed in regards to how to decide and make those decisions — sign the papers and everything" (52 y.o.

538 Eunjeong Ko *et al.*

female). While the EoL topic might cause discomfort in the family, this participant decided to address their EoL wishes to protect their family from emotional suffering later. Another participant noted,

"I have preferred to tell them, even though it might hurt them ... I don't want to be resuscitated because I don't want myself and my family to suffer. The pain from seeing someone in a hospital, suffering with artificial life support, no..., I don't want that." (77 y.o. female)

Safeguarding family as a barrier

Participants' desires to protect family members from experiencing distress with EoL topics was a clear barrier to ACP. Expressing EoL treatment preferences, particularly forgoing or withdrawing life supports was expected to evoke family's strong emotional reactions. "It hurts them because thinking that the day will come" (68 y.o. female). When the patient is the sole caregiver, protecting family from emotional vulnerability becomes even more important. "They [children] only have me. I did not tell them because it is sensitive — that they can feel bad ..." (51 y.o. female). Another participant described a sense of discomfort on the EoL topic, amplified by cultural differences in ACP.

"Mexicans do not get used to that kind of thing [ACP]. In here [US], everything is written in detail but in the Mexican family, we do not like to touch the heart [hurt feelings] ... it hurts me to make that decision" (57 y.o. female)

Additional ACP facilitators

Desire for honoring EoL care wishes

Participants shared that EoL communication with family was necessary to remind them of their EoL wishes. For example, one participant stated, "They say, "Mom, you already told us. I reiterate because I don't want them to forget" (52 y.o. Female). In other cases, participants found the completion of an AD contributing to their peace of mind, knowing their wishes would be fulfilled. "It gives me peace knowing that my children and my husband already know what I want" (56 y.o. female).

A straightforward approach to expressing their wishes was considered essential to affirm their desires.

"... well, I can tell you that right now, I can say a bunch of plans about it [EoL care] but everything can change suddenly when the time comes. Right? That is why it's very important to be straightforward." (52 y.o. female)

Experience with EoL care decision making

Participants' experiences with family and friends relating to EoL care helped them accept death and think about values and goals of care, which further propelled them to engage in ACP. "We learn to accept end-of-life from the hardships [we experienced] from the deaths of parents ..." (63 y.o. Male). Additionally, participants noted that family dynamics could potentially intensify the complexities of EoL decision making, and that completion of an AD was viewed to prevent future family discord. "I think that filling it out [AD] is something important ... in the end there will be problems if it's not filled out because it reminds me of the time with my mom. We were all in agreement except for one. And I don't want that to happen with my sons" (69 y.o. female).

Additional barriers to ACP

Family's reluctance to participate in EoL communication

Family members' avoidance to engage in EoL communication swayed participants' engagement in ACP. One participant shared the experience of her husband stifling discussions on her thoughts and plan stating, "When I mention it [end-of-life care], he tells me right away, "Don't think about that because the doctor says there are still options" (55 y.o. female). Cultural taboos on death and dying also led to the family's lack of participation. "We have a culture in which we don't talk about it. I started to talk about it with them and they change the subject" (61 y.o. female). Family members' strong emotional reaction relating to denial, and the difficulty of accepting loved one's mortality raised participants' concerns about their EoL wishes being honored.

"They said, 'I will not take you off [from machine] and you will not die' ... Sometimes it makes me feel bad because they need to carry out [the conversation about EoL] otherwise, you are not going to die with your preferences." (57 y.o. female)

Patient-Clinicians' lack of initiation on EoL care communication Lack of time with clinicians. Overall, there was a consensus about clinicians' lack of initiation for ACP. A participant described the clinicians' lack of time due to a high volume of patients. "Time for them is like ... the faster the better because here comes another one, he/she is waiting. There are lots of people" (60 y.o. female). Hence, it leaves the healthcare practitioner with little time to discuss anything other than primary medical concerns. "Usually, you receive one testing before each appointment and they give you results, and then the time goes quickly. He can almost never treat other issues" (51 y.o. female).

ACP is not a priority. Participants emphasized the importance of focusing on current medical treatment and that ACP was not a priority. "I am continuing with my medications and going to my appointments. I know that the advance directives are important but in reality, I haven't felt it necessary right now" (58 y.o. female). ACP is deemed an inviable option for those who have available curative options. "I am hesitant to fill out the form [AD]. Because they (doctors) still haven't told me that there is nothing else that can be done. There is always this little light that says, you're still going" (55 y.o. female).

Suggestions for promoting ACP

Death education and family support

Participants expressed that the family's difficulty in accepting a loved one's death adds complexity to the ACP process. One participant noted, "Many times, it is the family who is in denial and does a lot of things like keeping a patient who is seriously ill connected" (63 y.o. male). HCP's death education and family support were thought to ease the family's distress and enhance their coping. A participant expressed particular concern about his grand-children's distress over their loss.

"What I would like is that they [HCP] prepare them and tell them about what is going to happen and that it (death) is the most certain thing we have in life ... that they don't allow them to suffer by seeing that I will no longer exist. I think some people have told them (grandchildren), 'Your papa-grandpa isn't going to last much longer' ... She was crying and told me that she didn't want me to die." (63 y.o. male)

A participant noted witnessing family suffering intensified the patient's suffering. Family-centered intervention and support were deemed to potentially mitigate the family's fear and emotional distress.

"They need a specialist to help the family understand what is going to happen ... To talk thoroughly with the family so that one accepts that people are going to die. Sometimes a person who is ill suffers when they see their family suffering." (56 y.o. female)

ACP education

Participants valued ACP education, relating it to "what it is and what its benefits are" (51 y.o. female); they also wanted it be offered to family members, as well, as a form of family support. Participants notably suggested addressing the misperception of ACP being only necessary for dying people. "Explain its concept, and it's not that you are dying already" (46 y.o. female). ACP can be facilitated in various ways and settings as demonstrated by a participant who suggested incorporating ACP in a school curriculum or providing a special class for parents. "There should be more awareness about this. I think they should give a special class for the parents" (56 y.o. female).

Dialogue vs. documentation

Most participants wanted physicians to address EoL care with patients and family members. The HCP's conversation with patients on EoL care is important for obtaining knowledge and "taking the patients' fear away." The HCP's active dialogue in one's native tongue (e.g., Spanish), beyond providing written documents, was seen as helpful for enhancing patients' comprehension. "I think that the best way to get more people to accept [ACP] is teach them and talk to them. Talk to them in our language and not just [giving] the one that is written there which makes our eyes pop out whenever we read it" (52 y.o. female).

Discussion

Our study explored the facilitators and barriers for rural cancer patients' ACP and suggestions to promote ACP from the perspectives of rural cancer patients. There was a strong theme of familial implications for ACP, which needs to be understood within the cultural context. Although this is an essential factor for ACP, our study found complex interplays between individual safeguarding and family protection, suggesting a critical practice implication.

For those who engaged in ACP, it was considered an important process to ensure their EoL wishes were known and honored. This was shaped by their experiences witnessing family suffering or family conflicts relating to EoL care, which propelled their motivation to engage in ACP. This was also supported by a previous study (Carr, 2012). Our participants expressed a strong desire to safeguard the family from emotional distress and EoL decisionmaking conflicts. They exerted their EoL care preferences to be clearly communicated with the family. Scholars addressed that cultural values/traditions on medical decision-making such as filial duty can lead the surrogates to experience family distress and conflicts (Su et al., 2014). A previous study (Chiarchiaro et al., 2015) yielded that surrogates experience moderate or high levels of decisional conflict over patients' EoL care. Those who had previous ACP conversations with patients had lower decisional conflicts than those who had not.

Nevertheless, participants' desire for safeguarding family from confronting death, thus causing distress which, in turn, hindered them from initiating EoL discussions with family. The topic of EoL care can make patients and families feel uncomfortable (Ke et al., 2016), particularly in Hispanic/Latino culture where death is a taboo subject, as noted by a participant in the current study. The family's reluctance or refusal of EoL communication

was found to further dissuade the participants from engaging in ACP. Such family's response might be an act of protecting them from emotional distress, as our participants were troubled by the idea that family may not honor their EoL wishes. This was mainly attributed to the family's lack of knowledge or disagreement with participants' desire for forgoing life-prolonging treatments. Familism, a strong sense of interdependence, and solidarity appear to inflict a dilemma for advocating their wishes with a fear of hurting family. HCP can perhaps mitigate participant's challenges, yet clinician-patients' lack of EoL communication negatively contributed to ACP. Participants pointed to the clinicians' lack of time with a high volume of patients, leaving limited time for them to discuss issues beyond attending to the patient's acute medical needs. This is a significant challenge in rural settings with limited healthcare resources (i.e., physicians) (Weinhold & Gurtner, 2014; Ko et al., 2018) which could impose additional burdens and pressure on clinicians due to time restraints. Participants' lack of initiation for EoL communication was related to "timing" in that ACP was deemed appropriate when participants would become seriously ill (Barnes et al., 2007).

Recognizing the importance of ACP, our participants offered various suggestions, including family support and death education. It was apparent that participants' EoL decision-making is complicated by a temporal element — acute and future distress relating to family relationships. Participants' suggestion for family support extends to grandchildren, suggesting that age-appropriate and multigenerational family support is imperative and culturally relevant. Aoun and colleagues (Aoun et al., 2017) emphasize the importance of HCP's assessment on the family's emotional/psychological distress and needs for support at pre-bereavement. Integrating early family support such as death education can, perhaps, effectively address the family's fear of death and facilitate meaningful patient-family communication.

Participants also pointed to the need for correcting the misperception that ACP is needed only for those with impending death. Due to the limited healthcare professionals and their time restraints, facilitating community-based ACP education might be an optimal solution. For example, collaborating with patient navigators who have in-depth community knowledge and resources, language efficiency, and are well-versed in cultural norms can effectively engage in underserved racial/ethnic groups for ACP/palliative care (Fischer et al., 2007; Fink et al., 2020). These could help bridge the structural gaps in the rural region. Indeed, engagement in ACP in language concordant dialogue was perceived to increase patients' active participation and comprehension. Given the negative impacts of cancer communication affected by language barriers and literacy among rural Hispanic/Latino cancer patients (Ko et al., 2018), HCPs need to tailor ACP communication to consider patients' language preferences and literacy.

Limitations

To our knowledge, this study is the first to explore facilitators and barriers for ACP among Hispanic/Latino cancer patients in a rural US/Mexico border region. While our study expands our knowledge of EoL decision-making and suggests culturally relevant interventions for ACP practice, there are some limitations. Although the study site is the largest community-based cancer organization in this rural region, recruiting participants from a single site limits generalizability. A future study that includes multiple locations with a larger sample size may improve representativeness. It will also be necessary to have family members as a

540 Eunjeong Ko *et al*.

dyad to explore ACP perspectives between patients and family members. Given that safeguarding family was an emergent theme in this study, exploring similarities/differences toward ACP might offer ACP contextual explanations among rural Hispanic/Latino populations.

Conclusion

Our findings draw attention to the barriers and facilitators Hispanic/Latino cancer patients residing in a rural, medically underserved region experience when planning for EoL care. Of particular importance is the role family members can play in ACP engagement. Findings demonstrate that how patients' responses to ACP engagement are often contingent on perceived family member's responses. Inclusion of family in EoL care during physician visits to acknowledge and address the importance of ACP education with the patient and family is critical to promote ACP. Physician attempts to prioritize ACP education while including family members could have a meaningful impact on AD completion rates among culturally diverse cancer patients.

Availability of data and material. The data that used to report the findings of this study are available upon request. The data are not publicly available.

Acknowledgment. The authors appreciate the patients at the Cancer Resource Center of the Desert for their participation.

Funding. This study is partially funded by the San Diego State University.

Conflicts of interests. The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethics approval. All research procedures in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the San Diego State University Institutional Review Board and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (Number: HS-2017-0304).

Consent to participate. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Consent for publication. The authors affirm that human research participants provided informed consent for publication of the data.

References

- **Aoun SM, Rumbold B, Howting D, et al.** (2017) Bereavement support for family caregivers: The gap between guidelines and practice in palliative care. *PLoS ONE* **12**(10), e0184750. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0184750
- Barnes K, Jones L, Tookman A, et al. (2007) Acceptability of an advance care planning interview schedule: A focus group study. *Palliative Medicine* **21**(1), 23–28. doi:10.1177/0269216306073638
- Braun V and Clarke V (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology 3(2), 77–101. doi:10.1191/1478088706qp0630a
- Braun UK, Beyth RJ, Ford ME, et al. (2008) Voices of African American, Caucasian, and Hispanic surrogates on the burdens of end-of-life decision making. Journal of General Internal Medicine 23(3), 267–274. doi:10.1007/ s11606-007-0487-7
- Braun UK, Beyth RJ, Ford ME, et al. (2014) Decision-making styles of seriously ill male veterans for end-of-life care: Autonomists, altruists, authorizers, absolute trusters, and avoiders. Patient Education and Counseling 94(3), 334–341. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2013.10.013
- Carr D (2011) Racial differences in end-of-life planning: Why don't Blacks and Latinos prepare for the inevitable? OMEGA – Journal of Death and Dying 63(1), 1–20. doi:10.2190/OM.63.1.a

Carr D (2012) "I don't want to die like that ...": The impact of significant others' death quality on advance care planning. *The Gerontologist* **52**(6), 770–781. doi:10.1093/geront/gns051

- Carrion IV, Nedjat-Haiem FR, Martinez-Tyson D, et al. (2013) Advance care planning among Colombian, Mexican, and Puerto Rican women with a cancer diagnosis. Supportive Care in Cancer 21(5), 1233–1239. doi:10.1007/s00520-012-1652-z
- Cervantes L, Jones J, Linas S, et al. (2017) Qualitative interviews exploring palliative care perspectives of Latinos on dialysis. Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology: CJASN 12(5), 788–798. doi:10.2215/ CJN.10260916
- Chiarchiaro J, Buddadhumaruk P, Arnold RM, et al. (2015) Prior advance care planning is associated with less decisional conflict among surrogates for critically ill patients. Annals of the American Thoracic Society 12(10), 1528–1533. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.201504-253OC
- Clark MA, Person SD, Gosline A, et al. (2018) Racial and ethnic differences in advance care planning: Results of a statewide population-based survey. Journal of Palliative Medicine 21(8), 1078–1085. doi:10.1089/ jpm.2017.0374
- Cohen-Mansfield J, Skornick-Bouchbinder M and Brill S (2018) Trajectories of end of life: A systematic review. *The Journal of Gerontology: Series B* 73(4), 564–572.
- Fink RM, Kline DM, Bailey FA, et al. (2020) Community-based conversations about advance care planning for underserved populations using lay patient navigators. Journal of Palliative Medicine 23(7), 907–914. doi:10.1089/jpm.2019.0470
- **Fischer SM, Sauaia A and Kutner JS** (2007) Patient navigation: A culturally competent strategy to address disparities in palliative care. *Journal of Palliative Medicine* **10**(5), 1023–1028. doi:10.1089/jpm.2007.0070
- Fischer SM, Cervantes L, Fink RM, et al. (2015) Apoyo con cariño: A pilot randomized controlled trial of a patient navigator intervention to improve palliative care outcomes for Latinos With serious illness. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* 49(4), 657–665. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman. 2014.08.011
- Ke L-S, Huang X, Hu W-Y, et al. (2016) Experiences and perspectives of older people regarding advance care planning: A meta-synthesis of qualitative studies. Palliative Medicine 31(5), 394–405. doi:10.1177/ 0269216316663507
- Kelley AS, Wenger NS and Sarkisian CA (2010) Opiniones: End-of-life care preferences and planning of older Latinos. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 58(6), 1109–1116. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010. 02853.x
- Ko E, Zúñiga ML, Peacher D, et al. (2018) Efficacy of cancer care communication between clinicians and latino patients in a rural US-Mexico border region: A qualitative study of barriers and facilitators to better communication. *Journal of Cancer Education* 33(1), 116–127. doi:10.1007/s13187-016-1100-8
- Ko E, Fuentes D, Singh-Carlson S, et al. (2020) Challenges and facilitators of hospice decision-making: A retrospective review of family caregivers of home hospice patients in a rural US-Mexico border region—A qualitative study. BMJ Open 10(7), e035634. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035634
- **Lynch S** (2012) Hospice and palliative care access issues in rural areas. *American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine* **30**(2), 172–177. doi:10.1177/1049909112444592
- Maldonado LY, Goodson RB, Mulroy MC, et al. (2019) Wellness in sickness and health (The W.I.S.H. Project): Advance care planning preferences and experiences among elderly Latino patients. Clinical Gerontologist 42(3), 259–266. doi:10.1080/07317115.2017.1389793
- Merriam SB (2002) Qualitative Research in Practice: Examples for Discussion and Analysis. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Schickedanz AD, Schillinger D, Landefeld CS, et al. (2009) A clinical framework for improving the advance care planning process: Start with patients' self-identified barriers. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 57(1), 31–39. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2008.02093.x
- Simon J, Porterfield P, Bouchal SR, *et al.* (2015) Not yet' and 'Just ask': barriers and facilitators to advance care planning-a qualitative descriptive study of the perspectives of seriously ill, older patients and their families. BMJ Supportive Palliat Care 5(1), 54–62

- Smith AK, McCarthy EP, Paulk E, et al. (2008) Racial and ethnic differences in advance care planning among patients with cancer: Impact of terminal illness acknowledgment, religiousness, and treatment preferences. *Journal* of Clinical Oncology: Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 26(25), 4131–4137. doi:10.1200/JCO.2007.14.8452
- Smith AK, Sudore RL and Pérez-Stable EJ (2009) Palliative care for Latino patients and their families: Whenever we prayed, she wept. *JAMA* **301** (10), 1047–E1. doi:10.1001/jama.2009.308
- Su CT, McMahan RD, Williams BA, et al. (2014) Family matters: Effects of birth order, culture, and family dynamics on surrogate decision-making. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 62(1), 175–182. doi:10.1111/ jgs.12610
- Sudore RL, Schillinger D, Knight SJ, et al. (2010) Uncertainty about advance care planning treatment preferences among diverse older adults. *Journal of Health Communication* 15(Suppl 2), 159–171. doi:10.1080/10810730.2010. 499982

- Sudore RL, Lum HD, You JJ, et al. (2017) Defining advance care planning for adults: A consensus definition from a multidisciplinary Delphi panel. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 53(3), 821–832.
- Sudore RL, Schillinger D, Katen MT, et al. (2018) Engaging diverse Englishand Spanish-speaking older adults in advance care planning: The PREPARE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Internal Medicine* 178(12), 1616–1625. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.4657
- Virnig B, Ma H, Hartman L, et al. (2006) Access to home-based hospice care for rural populations: Identification of areas lacking service. *Journal of Palliative Medicine* 9, 1292–1299. doi:10.1089/jpm.2006.9.1292
- Weinhold I and Gurtner S (2014) Understanding shortages of sufficient health care in rural areas. *Health Policy* 118(2), 201–214. doi:10.1016/j.healthpol.2014.07.018
- Yadav KN, Gabler NB, Cooney E, et al. (2017) Approximately one in three US adults completes any type of advance directive for end-of-life care. Health Affairs 36(7), 1244–1251. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2017.0175