# Host-specific *Wolbachia* strains in widespread populations of *Phlebotomus perniciosus* and *P. papatasi* (Diptera: Psychodidae), and prospects for driving genes into these vectors of *Leishmania*

### M. Benlarbi and P.D. Ready\*

Molecular Systematics Laboratory, Department of Entomology, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London, SW7 5BD, UK

#### Abstract

A single strain of *Wolbachia* ( $\alpha$ -proteobacteria, Rickettsiales) was found in widespread geographical populations of each of two *Phlebotomus* species, within which there was no indication of 'infectious speciation'. The two strains were identified by sequencing a fragment of wsp (a major surface protein gene), amplified by polymerase chain reaction from DNA extracted from the body parts of individual sandflies. Infection rates were high in the males and females of both sandflies, but they were lower for the B-group wPrn strain of Wolbachia in Phlebotomus perniciosus Newstead (60.3% overall) than for the A-group wPap strain in P. papatasi (Scopoli) (81.7%). Infections were frequent in the thorax, where Leishmania develops infective forms, as well as in the abdomen, where Wolbachia must infect the reproductive tissues to ensure its vertical transmission. These findings were related to knowledge of the population biology of Wolbachia in other insects, leading to the conclusion that this endosymbiont could be useful for driving transgenes through wild populations of both sandflies. This will require characterizing the cytoplasmic incompatibility phenotypes of Wolbachia-sandfly combinations, as well as estimating for them the incidence of paternal transmission and the fidelity of maternal transmission. Paternal transmission is one explanation for finding a single *Wolbachia* strain associated with all mitochondrial haplotypes and lineages of each sandfly species. However, this distribution pattern could also result from multiple horizontal transmissions or the failure of *wsp* to provide strain markers.

#### Introduction

*Wolbachia* is an intracellular maternally-inherited commensal (often a symbiont or parasite) belonging to the  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria (Rickettsiales). It infects filarial worms (Sironi *et al.*, 1995; Egyed *et al.*, 2002), as well as a wide range of arthropods in which it can cause reproductive abnormalities such as parthenogenesis, feminization and

\*Author for correspondence Fax: +44 (0)20 7942 5229 E-mail: P.Ready@nhm.ac.uk cytoplasmic incompatibility (O'Neill *et al.*, 1992; Weeks *et al.*, 2002). The latter is the most common phenotype, and it results in embryonic mortality when *Wolbachia*-infected males mate either with uninfected females or females infected with a different *Wolbachia* strain (Weeks *et al.*, 2002). This ability of *Wolbachia* (when living in germ-line cytoplasm) to modify the reproductive success of its hosts enables it to spread rapidly in a host population without the need of horizontal transmission. Such rapid vertical transmission favours genetically engineering populations of insect pests with genes carried by *Wolbachia* (Curtis & Sinkins, 1998; Turelli & Hoffmann, 1999).

The present report investigates the distribution of Wolbachia in two species of phlebotomine sandflies (Diptera: Psychodidae) and considers the significance of the findings for any attempts to drive through their populations transgenes advantageous for intervening in the transmission of the parasitic protozoan Leishmania (Kinetoplastida: Trypanosomatidae). This is the causative agent of leishmaniasis, a cutaneous and visceral disease with a worldwide prevalence of about 15 million human cases (Ashford et al., 1992). Only two Phlebotomus species have been shown to harbour Wolbachia (Zhou et al., 1998; Cui et al., 1999; Ono et al., 2001): Phlebotomus (Phlebotomus) papatasi (Scopoli), the principal vector of Leishmania major Yakimoff & Schokhor (causative agent of rural zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis) in arid regions of north Africa and Asia; and Phlebotomus (Larroussius) perniciosus Newstead, the most widespread vector of Leishmania infantum Nicolle (causative agent of infantile and canine leishmaniasis) in south-west Europe and north-west Africa.

Any consideration of Wolbachia as a transgene vector requires knowledge of its distribution in host tissues (Dobson et al., 1999), because transgene products may not reach their targets in the anterior gut of the sandfly, where most Leishmania develop, if a Wolbachia strain is restricted to the germ-line tissues. The vectorial potential of a Wolbachia strain also depends on its host prevalences and the population differentiation of its insect hosts, because a strain may not spread throughout, or between, host populations if it occurs in low densities in many individual hosts and there are barriers to host dispersal (Turelli & Hoffmann, 1999; Noda et al., 2001). Until now, Wolbachia has been reported from only a few small pools of *Phlebotomus* genomic DNA: one pool from Italian P. perniciosus (Ono et al., 2001), and seven pools from P. papatasi originating from the Jordan Valley (Zhou et al., 1998; Cui et al., 1999; Ono et al., 2001), Egypt and Saudi Arabia (Cui et al., 1999) and India (Ono et al., 2001). All pools were of flies from laboratory colonies, except for a single pool of two to three wild-caught P. papatasi from the Jordan Valley (Ono et al., 2001). Tissue distribution and density of Wolbachia were not mentioned in any of these reports.

The present results come from a survey of the distribution of Wolbachia strains in the body parts of individual sandflies sampled from widespread wild populations of P. perniciosus and P. papatasi, using a polymerase chain reaction that specifically amplifies a fragment of a variable surface protein gene (wsp) of Wolbachia pipientis Hertig (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae) (Zhou et al., 1998). A polymerase chain reaction was also used to amplify from each sandfly a fragment of their mitochondrial cytochrome b gene (Cyt b), which is a useful marker for geographical races of these sandflies (Esseghir et al., 1997, 2000). Direct sequencing of both amplified DNA fragments was then employed to identify the uniquesequence haplotypes of each gene. Both Wolbachia and mitochondrial genes are usually inherited cytoplasmically and maternally, and so any deviation from strict co-variation can help to identify natural barriers to transgene spread: paternal transmission or imperfect maternal transmission of either genome will uncouple them, preventing the one with enhanced selective fitness (e.g. a Wolbachia strain that induces strong cytoplasmic incompatibility) from 'sweeping' the other through a host population (Turelli & Hoffmann, 1999).

#### Materials and methods

#### Geographical origins, collection and identification of sandflies

#### The analysed populations are listed in tables 1 and 2.

All adults of *P. perniciosus* were captured overnight in CDC miniature light traps, placed peri-domestically in gardens and often close to domestic animal shelters (Mahamdallie *et al.*, 2003). The same method was used for adults of *P. papatasi*, which were also captured in Iran using sticky (castor oil) papers left overnight at the entrances to gerbil burrows (Parvizi *et al.*, 2003). All sandflies were stored either in 80% analytical grade ethanol at –20°C or dry in liquid nitrogen and then in a –70°C freezer.

All sandflies were identified by their species-specific Cyt b sequences (see next section). Most were also identified based on morphological characters of the head and abdominal terminalia, which were slide-mounted in Berlese fluid (Lewis, 1982) following dissection with sterilized forceps and micro-needles (Testa *et al.*, 2002). This was carried out in a room away from the molecular biology laboratory, to reduce the risk of polymerase chain reaction carryover, i.e. the 'contamination' of a genomic DNA sample with a product that had already been amplified. Voucher specimens were placed in the phlebotomine collection of the Department of Entomology, The Natural History Museum, London.

#### DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

DNA was extracted (Ready *et al.*, 1991) from either the thorax, the thorax and the attached anterior abdomen, the anterior abdomen or the whole abdomen of individual sandflies. Four hundred and eighty eight base-pairs (bp) of Cyt b were amplified by the polymerase chain reaction using the primers CB1-SE and CB3-R3A (Esseghir *et al.*, 2000) and directly sequenced using the general methods given below for *wsp* and the specific protocols of Testa *et al.* (2002). DNA samples that failed to yield a Cyt b fragment were not included in the study.

Samples were screened for the presence of Wolbachia using the general wsp primers 81F (5'-3' TGGTCCAATAAGTGAT-(5'-3')GAAGAAAC) and 691R AAAAATTAAACGCTACTCCA), shown to be able to amplify an homologous *wsp* gene fragment from the most diverse strains of Wolbachia (Braig et al., 1998; Zhou et al., 1998). A 20 µl polymerase chain reaction mixture consisted of 1× Promega buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 250 µM dNTP, 0.5 µM each of the two primers and one unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Promega, USA). To ensure a reliable amplification of *wsp* fragments from each body part, 2 µl of DNA were added to the polymerase chain reaction mixture (equivalent to 15 ng in the undiluted samples). The amplification was carried out under the following thermal profile using a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 thermal cycler (PE Applied Biosystems, USA): 2 min of denaturation at 94°C; 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 1 min; and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The size and quantity of the amplified DNA fragments were determined by fractionating the polymerase chain reaction products on 1.5% agarose gels along with marker DNA fragments of known sizes and quantities (Promega PCR Markers G316A, or Bioline (UK) HyperLadder IV). The DNA in the excised gel fragments was purified with glassmilk (Geneclean II Kit, BIO 101 Inc, USA) and each strand directly sequenced using one of the *wsp* primers (3.2 pmoles) and the ABI Prism® Big Dye<sup>TM</sup> Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (version 2.0, PE Applied Biosystems). Purification of the extension products was carried out using the ethanol precipitation method. Sequences were resolved using the ABI 373A or 377 system, then edited and aligned with database sequences using Sequencher software (Gene Codes Corporation, USA), all according to ABI protocols (PE Applied Biosystems).

Positive controls for the amplification of the *wsp* fragment were performed using genomic DNA extracted from tripleinfected *Asobara tabida* (Nees) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) donated by Dr James Cook (Imperial College at Silwood Park). The sequences obtained were those previously reported (Vavre *et al.*, 1999), and they were not found in any sandfly DNA sample.

#### Sensitivity and reproducibility of the polymerase chain reaction method of screening for wsp

The threshold of detection and reproducibility of the polymerase chain reaction were determined using serial dilutions of genomic DNA samples (undiluted (= 15 ng), 1/10, 1/100, 1/10,000) from six individuals of *P. perniciosus* and seven individuals of *P. papatasi* (table 3; fig. 1).

#### Results

#### A single host-specific strain of W. pipientis occurs in all mitochondrial lineages and geographical populations of each Phlebotomus species

The polymerase chain reaction assay successfully amplified the targeted fragment of the *wsp* gene from different body parts of 38/63 (60.3%) male and female *P. perniciosus* originating from all three populations with the Typical mitochondrial Cyt b lineage and all three populations with the Iberian Cyt b lineage (table 1). All *wsp* fragments were directly sequenced and found to have the same haplotype (= unique sequence), regardless of geographical origin and Cyt b lineage. The 555-base pair (bp) haplotype (minus primers) was identical to that of the B-group strain of *W. pipientis* (wPrn) previously found only in a pool of genomic DNA extracted from 2–3 flies from a laboratory colony of Italian *P. perniciosus* (GenBank accession no. AF237884; Ono *et al.*, 2001).

Similarly, the targeted *wsp* fragment was amplified from different body parts of 125/153 (81.7%) male and female P. papatasi originating from all five geographical populations sharing the single Cyt b lineage known from this species (table 2). Most of these *wsp* fragments were sequenced (85%) and, as for *P. perniciosus*, only a single haplotype was found. However, the 564-bp haplotype (minus primers) found in all P. papatasi was distinct from that of P. perniciosus. It was identical to that of the A-group strain of W. pipientis (wPap) previously found only in pools of genomic DNA extracted from 2-20 individuals of P. papatasi originating from the Jordan Valley (GenBank accession no. AF237883; Ono et al., 2001; Cui et al., 1999), India (GenBank accession no. AF237882; Ono et al., 2001), Egypt and Saudi Arabia (Cui et al., 1999). Only one of these pools came from 'field collected' sandflies: the sequence accessioned as AF237883 was amplified from a single pool of two to three P. papatasi captured in the Jordan Valley (Ono et al., 2001). The wPap sequence was originally reported as having an ambiguous base (C/T) at nucleotide position 102 (GenBank accession no. AF020082; Zhou *et al.*, 1998).

#### Tissue tropism of Wolbachia

Most specimens had been used for other studies, and so not all their body parts could be screened for *Wolbachia*. In most cases, the posterior third of the abdomen (including some or all of the reproductive organs) had been slidemounted for morphological identification, and then genomic DNA had been extracted from either the thorax with the anterior abdomen attached or from only one of these parts if proteins had been extracted from the other.

However, one result was clear: *Wolbachia* was usually detectable in the thorax of both species. Indeed, infection rates for the thorax were usually higher than those for the anterior abdomen of males and females of *P. perniciosus* (table 1) and only a little less than infection rates for the whole abdomen of both species (tables 1, 2).

#### Maternal transmission of Wolbachia, and infection rates in male and female sandflies

The Italian *P. perniciosus* came from three laboratoryreared F1 broods (table 1). *Wolbachia* was detected in all six siblings (four males, two females) from one brood, but in neither of the two females from each of the other two broods. This is consistent with the successful maternal transmission of *Wolbachia* by only one out of three of the wild mothers, probably because only this mother was infected and had a high enough density of bacteria to permit transmission (Turelli & Hoffmann, 1999). Alternatively, *Wolbachia* may have been transmitted from the other two mothers to their eggs, but the densities of the bacteria may have been too low to permit detection by the polymerase chain reaction.

Wolbachia was detected consistently less often in wildcaught males of *P. perniciosus* (table 1) and *P. papatasi* (table 2) than in the females of the same species, except when genomic DNA from complete abdomens was screened. This is likely to result from true differences in the densities of *Wolbachia* in various tissues of each sex, rather than from any difference in the quantities of genomic DNA extracted, as explained in the following section.

This is the first report to identify *Wolbachia* in both sexes of these two species. Previously, *wsp* was isolated from unspecified material (Zhou *et al.*, 1998), from mixed pools of ten males and ten females (Cui *et al.*, 1999) or from two to three flies of unspecified sex (Ono *et al.*, 2001).

#### Wolbachia density in host populations

The method used to screen for the *wsp* gene fragment was not a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (Sinkins *et al.*, 1995a; Noda *et al.*, 2001), i.e. the quantity of the *wsp* fragment amplified could not be expected to be strictly proportional to the density of *Wolbachia* in each tissue sample. However, there was strong empirical evidence that the absence/presence of an amplified fragment and its quantity were both determined by the density of *Wolbachia* in each tissue sample, rather than by the quantity of genomic DNA extracted from it. This conclusion was based on two observations. First, the sensitivity of the polymerase chain

fragment.			* )			·		, )	)			
Population (settlement, province/ department, country)	Cyt b lineage*					% san	ndflies wsp+ Part of b for DNA (	-ve (no. scre ody used extraction	ened)			
		Th	loraX	Thor Anterior 6	ax + abdomen	Anterio	r abdomen	Abd	lomen	An	ıy body part	
		Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	All
Parabitá, Apulia , Italy Laboratory-reared, F1	Typical	Q	ND	100.0(4) {++/++}	33.3 (6) {+++}	ŊŊ	ŊŊ	ND	ND	100.0 (4)	33.3 (6)	60.0 (10)
Goubellat, Medjez El-Bab, Tunisia Field collected	Typical	ŊŊ	ND	40.0 (10)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	40.0 (10)	ŊŊ	40.0 (10)
Beaulieu-sur-mer, Alpes Maritimes, France Field collected	Typical	50.0 (4) {+/++}	100.0(2) {+/++}	ND	ND	50.0 (6) {+++}	100.0(1) {+++}	ND	ND	50.0 (10)	100.0 (3)	61.5 (13)
St Just, Barcelona , Spain ** Field collected	Iberian	80.0 (5) {+/++}	100.0(5) {+/++}	ND	ND	ND	ND	100.0(5) {+/++}	100.0 (5) {++}	100.0 (5)	100.0 (5)	100.0 (10)
Torvizcón, Granada, Spain Field collected	Iberian	ND	60.0(5) {+/++}	ND	ND	ND	60.0 (5) {+/++}	ND	ND	ND	60.0 (10)	60.0 (10)
Trevélez, Granada, Spain Field collected	Iberian	QN	40.0 (5) {+/++}	ŊŊ	ND	0.0 ( 2)	66.6 ( 3) {+/++}	ND	ND	0.0 (2)	50.0 ( 8)	40.0 (10)
Total % <i>wsp</i> +ve (no.) (All samples)		66.7 (9)	70.6 (17)	57.1 (14)	33.3 (6)	37.5 (8)	(6) (6)	100.0 (5)	100.0 (5)	58.1 (31)	62.5 (32)	60.3 (63)
Total % $wsp$ +ve (no.) (Samples with both sexes)		66.7 (9)	100.0 (7)	I	I	37.5 (8)	75.0 (4)	100.0 (5)	100.0 (5)	66.7 (21)	63.6 (22)	65.1 (43)
{Density: + to +++ = increas	ing quantit	ty of polym	erase chain r	eaction proc	luct} *Essegl	hir <i>et al</i> . (200	00). **The th	orax and ab	domen of ea	ach of five m	ales and five	females. ND,

Table 1. Prevalences and densities of Wolbachia (B-group strain wPrn) in Phlebotomus perniciosus from different geographical regions, estimated by detecting the wsp gene

Table 2. Prevalences and densities of Wolbachia (A-group strain wPap) in wild-caught Phlebotomus papatasi, estimated by detecting the wsp gene fragment.

QQQ										
Population (settlement, province, country)	Cyt b lineage*			% sa	ndflies <i>wsp</i> +. Part of the for DNA e	ve (no. scred body used extraction	ened)			
		Tho	rax**	The Anterior	rax + abdomen	Abd	omen**	Ar	ıy body part	
		Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	All
Granada , Spain	Typical	ND	ŊŊ	50.0 (6) {+/++}	QN	ŊŊ	ND	50.0 (6)	ND	50.0 (6)
Habib Abad, Isfahan, Iran	Typical	100.0 (5)	90.0 (10)	69.7 (43)	92.0 (25)	100.0 (5)	100.0 (10)	75.5 (48)	94.3 (35)	83.1 (83)
		{+}	{+++/++}	{+++/++/+}	{+++/++/+}	{++/+}	{+++/++}			
Khorzoogh, Isfahan, Iran	Typical	ND	ND	73.1 (26)	91.6 (24)	ND	ND	73.1 (26)	91.7 (24)	82.0 (50)
				{+++/++/+}	{+++/++/+}					
Hamadan, Hamadan , Iran	Typical	ND	ŊŊ	83.3 (12)	QN	ND	ND	83.3 (12)	ND	83.3 (12)
				$\{+++/++/+\}$						
Karaj, Tehran , Iran	Typical	ND	QN	100.0 (2) {+/+++}	QN	ŊŊ	ND	100.0 (2)	ND	100.0 (2)
Total % <i>wsp</i> +ve (no.) (All samples)		100.0 (5)	90.0(10)	73.0 (89)	91.8 (49)	100.0 (5)	100.0 (10)	74.5 (94)	93.2 (59)	81.7 (153)
Total % $usp$ +ve (no.) (Samples with both sexes)		100.0 (5)	90.0 (10)	71.0 (69)	91.8 (49)	100.0 (5)	100.0 (10)	74.3 (74)	93.2 (59)	82.7 (133)
{Density: + to +++ = increa males and ten females. ND,	sing quanti , not done.	ity of polym	ierase chain	reaction pr	oduct.} *Esse	eghir <i>et al.</i> (	1997). **The	thorax and	l abdomen c	f each of five

reaction (fig. 1) was not affected by a ten-fold reduction in the quantity of target genomic DNA of either *Phlebotomus* species (table 3). Secondly, the quantities of tissue used for DNA extraction varied two-fold to five-fold in volume, and not ten-fold, between different body parts.

Based on this reasoning, it is concluded that the densities of *Wolbachia* were higher in *P. papatasi* (compared with *P. perniciosus*; fig. 1), higher in the abdomen of both species (compared with the thorax), and higher in the female of both species. The largest observed differences between the sexes were for the anterior abdomen of *P. perniciosus* (table 1) and the thorax-with-anterior abdomen of *P. papatasi* (table 2). These differences are consistent with the highest densities of *Wolbachia* being in the posterior abdomen (including the gonads), more of which can be lost by dissection in the males than in the females.

#### Wolbachia in sandfly populations



Fig. 1. Threshold of detection of the *wsp* gene fragment by the polymerase chain reaction, tested using 1/10 serial dilutions of total genomic DNA extracted from a female *Phlebotomus perniciosus* (BEA04) and a female *P. papatasi* (IRN184). Two microlitres of DNA were added to each polymerase chain reaction, equivalent to 15 ng in the undiluted samples. Marker: Bioline HyperLadder IV has nine DNA fragments (top to bottom) of 1000, 800, 700, 600, 500, 400, 300, 200 (weak), 100 (weak) base pairs (bp). *wsp* fragments have migrated about as far as the 600 bp band (60 ng of DNA), consistent with their sequence lengths of 599 bp (*P. perniciosus*) and 608 bp (*P. papatasi*).

#### Discussion

Apparent absence in Phlebotomus of strict co-variation of Wolbachia and mitochondrial DNA: no 'infectious speciation'

Phylogenetic concordance between *Wolbachia* strains and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) lineages has been noted for arthropod sibling species, e.g. Crustacea (Marcadé *et al.*, 1999), Hymenoptera (Shoemaker *et al.*, 2000) and Diptera (Behura *et al.*, 2001). However, naturally occurring *Wolbachia* infections do not always cause cytoplasmic incompatibility (Hoffmann *et al.*, 1996), and this phenotype will not always lead to reproductive isolation and the creation of new species by 'infectious speciation' (Wade, 2001). The preliminary evidence suggests there is no infectious speciation within *P. perniciosus* and *P. papatasi*.

Phlebotomus papatasi has a single mtDNA lineage throughout its wide geographical range in the arid belt from Spain and Morocco to India. The Cyt b haplotypes differ little (by 1-6/441 bp in pairwise comparisons), and their geographical distribution is consistent with a genetic bottleneck followed by a radial dispersal from the eastern Mediterranean subregion within the last 135,000 years (Esseghir et al., 1997). More intensive sampling in Iran has shown that there can be many local Cyt b haplotypes (> 30) derived by one to two mutations from the predominant regional haplotype (Parvizi et al., 2003), but all are a part of the single network (or minimum-spanning phylogenetic tree) that was first recognized by Esseghir et al. (1997). Despite this large amount of small-scale mtDNA variation, only one wsp strain of Wolbachia (wPap) has been discovered in P. papatasi originating from India, Ŝaudi Arabia, the Jordan Valley and Egypt (Cui et al., 1999; Ono et al., 2001), and now from Iran and Spain. Based on the findings of Turelli & Hoffmann (1995, 1999), there are three likely explanations for this apparent absence of Wolbachia-sandfly co-variation. Firstly, the wPap strain may have recently invaded P. papatasi by multiple horizontal transmissions, and the ectoparasitic mites found on this sandfly (Lewis, 1982) could be vectors. Secondly, wPap may have invaded by a single horizontal transmission and then become associated with different mtDNA haplotypes throughout the sandfly's range because of paternal transmission. In this case, the failure of wPap to sweep along the mtDNA haplotype of the sandfly it first invaded would be explained by one or more of the following: a weak cytoplasmic incompatibility phenotype in *P. papatasi*, curing of Wolbachia infections (by natural antibiotics), and imperfect maternal inheritance of one or both of the cytoplasmic genomes. Thirdly, there may be different strains of Wolbachia associated with some or all of the regional Cyt b haplotypes of *P. papatasi*, but the *wsp* gene may evolve too slowly to provide markers. The latter would indicate that *wsp* evolves far more slowly than its discoverers (Braig et al.,

Table 3. Sensitivity of polymerase chain reaction detection of Wolbachia, tested using serial dilutions of sandfly DNA.

		Individual				Diluti	ons of san	dfly DNA	L
Species	Population	screened	Sex	Body part	Undiluted	1/10	1/100	1/1000	1/10000
P. perniciosus	Parabitá , Italy	Ita121	Female	Thor.+ ant.ab.	+++	++	_	_	_
,	Parabitá , Italy	Ita122	Female	Thor.+ ant.ab.	+++	++	-	-	-
	Beaulieu-sur-mer, France	BEA04	Female	Ant. ab.	++	+	-	-	-
	Beaulieu-sur-mer, France	BEA06	Male	Ant. ab.	+++	++	+	-	-
	Beaulieu-sur-mer, France	BEA16	Male	Thorax	+	+	-	ND	ND
	Beaulieu-sur-mer, France	BEA18	Female	Thorax	+	+	-	ND	ND
P. papatasi	Habib Abad, Iran	IRN40 (1)	Male	Thor.+ ant.ab.	+++	++	+	+	_
	Habib Abad, Iran	IRN76 (1)	Male	Thor.+ ant.ab.	+++	+++	-	-	-
	Habib Abad, Iran	IRN184	Female	Thor.+ ant.ab.	+++	+++	++	+	+
	Khorzoogh, Iran	IRN66	Male	Thor.+ ant.ab.	+++	++	+	-	ND
	Habib Abad, Iran	IRN40 (2)	Male	Thor.+ ant.ab.	+++	++	+	+	ND
	Habib Abad, Iran	IRN76 (2)	Male	Thor.+ ant.ab.	+++	+++	+	ND	ND
	Habib Abad, Iran	IRN80	Male	Thor.+ ant.ab.	+	+	-	ND	ND
	Shapour Abad, Iran	IRN104	Male	Thor.+ ant.ab.	+	+	-	ND	ND
	Zardjane J, Iran	IRN176	Male	Thor.+ ant.ab.	+	+	-	ND	ND

ND, not done; -, no polymerase chain reaction product; + to +++ = increasing quantity of polymerase chain reaction product, comparable for both species; Thor., thorax; Ant.ab., anterior abdomen; 1, first test; and 2, second test of the same sample.

1998) could ever have expected of a surface protein gene that is the most variable of *Wolbachia* markers (Zhou et *al.*, 1998). All the samples contained amplifiable mtDNA and most samples contained amplifiable *Wolbachia* DNA, and so it is most unlikely that alternative *wsp* alleles were not amplified because of the absence of available target DNA. However, it is possible that only one *wsp* allele was amplified because it preferentially hybridized to one or both of the 'general' *wsp* primers used, even though their sequences are highly conserved across taxa (Braig *et al.*, 1998; Zhou et *al.*, 1998). Where allele-specific hybridization of primers has been suspected, the amplification of multiple alleles of other *Wolbachia* genes has occasionally permitted the identification of double infections (Mitsuhashi *et al.*, 2002).

The apparent absence of Wolbachia-mtDNA co-variation is even more remarkable for P. perniciosus, because this species has two geographically distinct lineages of Cyt b - the Iberian, and the Typical in Tunisia, Malta, Italy and France (Esseghir et al., 1997, 2000; Mahamdallie et al., 2003) - and within the Iberian lineage there is strong evidence for little or no gene flow between north-east and southern Spain, based population genetics analyses of polymorphic on microsatellite DNA and isoenzyme loci (Aransay et al., 2001, 2003; Mahamdallie et al., 2003). The timeframe of dispersal is different from P. papatasi. The Iberian and Typical lineages of *P. perniciosus* are believed to have been isolated during the last Pleistocene Ice Age, at the end of which (c. 12,000 years ago) they dispersed northwards (Esseghir et al., 2000; Aransay et al., 2003). A single wsp strain of Wolbachia (wPrn) was found in P. perniciosus, and the explanations proposed for *P. papatasi* also apply.

#### Prospects for using Wolbachia to drive genes into Phlebotomus populations

Three observations favour the use of Wolbachia for the genetic manipulation of P. perniciosus and P. papatasi. Firstly, widespread populations of these sandflies are naturally infected. The absence of natural infections of Wolbachia in anopheline mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae), the vectors of malaria and dengue, was discouraging for Kittayapong et al. (2000). Wolbachia might be rarer in neotropical sandflies (Psychodidae), because only one strain was detected (in both Lutzomyia shannoni (Dyar) and L. whitmani (Antunes & Coutinho)) among the ten species screened (Ono et al., 2001). Few insect species have been sampled extensively for Wolbachia, some exceptions being Drosophila simulans Sturtevant (Diptera: Drosophilidae) (Hoffmann & Turelli, 1988; Turelli & Hoffmann, 1991; 1995; Turelli et al., 1992; Hoffmann et al., 1996), tsetse flies (Diptera: Glossinidae) (Cheng et al., 2000) and mosquitoes (Kittayapong et al., 2000). Most surveys have involved very small numbers of each species, often taken from colonies, but even so it is clear that Wolbachia infects 16-76% of insect species in a range of natural communities (Jeyaprakash & Hoy, 2000).

The second favourable observation was that both sandfly species usually had *Wolbachia* infections in the thorax, where *Leishmania* establishes infections and produces infective forms. *Wolbachia* was at first thought to be restricted to the reproductive tissues of insects (Weeks *et al.*, 2002), but it is now known to be widespread in the somatic tissues of some species, including fruit flies and mosquitoes (Dobson *et al.*, 1999) and tsetse-fly vectors of *Trypanosoma* (Cheng *et al.*, 2000).

The third favourable observation was that some populations of sandflies had high infection rates and densities of *Wolbachia*, which are necessary for the successful genetic manipulation of field populations (Sinkins *et al.*, 1995a; Turelli & Hoffmann, 1999). Iranian populations of *P. papatasi* certainly have these characteristics (table 2; Parvizi *et al.*, 2003), but this was not the case for all populations of *P. perniciosus* (table 1).

The failure to detect associations between sandfly mtDNA haplotypes and Wolbachia strains need not indicate an absence of cytoplasmic incompatibility, as the following example illustrates. The mosquito Culex pipiens Linnaeus (Diptera: Culicidae) has a number of incompatibility crossing types, but these are not obviously correlated with different mtDNA haplotypes or distinctive Wolbachia strains (Guillemaud et al., 1997). The presence of uninfected Phlebotomus, too, need not indicate an absence of cytoplasmic incompatibility. For example, imperfect maternal transmission of Wolbachia, curing of its infections (by natural antibiotics) and immigration of flies from uninfected populations were sufficient to explain the presence of uninfected D. simulans in wild Californian populations (Turelli & Hoffmann, 1995, 1999), even at a time when a strain of Wolbachia with a cytoplasmic incompatibility phenotype was spreading through these populations and sweeping along with it a single mtDNA haplotype (Turelli & Hoffmann, 1991; Turelli et al., 1992). Furthermore, the introduction of transgenes into wild populations would not necessarily require Wolbachia strains of sandfly origin (Braig et al., 1994). Bi-directional cytoplasmic incompatibility (Sinkins et al., 1995b; Curtis & Sinkins, 1998) would have to be used for the genetic manipulation of sandflies if their naturally occurring Wolbachia have a cytoplasmic incompatibility phenotype.

To drive a transgene through a wild insect population, it is first necessary to introduce the engineered gene into some individuals and to couple it to the maternal transmission of *Wolbachia*. Theoretically, this would be best achieved by directly integrating the transgene into the *Wolbachia* genome (Turelli & Hoffmann, 1999), which is feasible if there are insect cell cultures susceptible to *Wolbachia*, as there are for the mosquito *Aedes albopictus* Skuse (Diptera: Culicidae) (O'Neill *et al.*, 1997). Cell cultures are available for *P. papatasi* (Saraiva *et al.*, 2000).

Based on all these considerations, it would be worthwhile to test experimentally for cytoplasmic incompatibility and geographical variability of incompatibility types (Hoffmann & Turelli, 1988; Turelli & Hoffmann, 1995) in *P. perniciosus* and *P. papatasi*. Even then, the rational use of cytoplasmic incompatibility to drive transgenes through sandfly populations would be possible only if more was known about *Wolbachia* population biology. Predictive models of *Wolbachia* spread (Turelli & Hoffmann, 1999) are particularly sensitive to variations in the incidence of paternal transmission (Hoffmann & Turelli, 1988), maternal transmission fidelity (including natural curing), biotic environment (including temperature). All of these should be assessed for *P. perniciosus* and *P. papatasi*.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Ana Aransay, Montserrat Gallego, Shazia Mahamdallie, Francisco Morillas-Marquez and Bernard Pesson for sandfly samples, James Cook for *Asobara* specimens, and Julia Llewellyn-Hughes and Johann Testa for help with DNA sequencing. Field collections were assisted by an Acción Integrada grant (MECD-British Council, Madrid) to P.D.R and Francisco Morillas-Marquez (Universidad de Granada, Spain).

#### References

- Aransay, A.M., Malarky, G. & Ready, P.D. (2001) Isolation (with enrichment) and characterization of trinucleotide microsatellites from *Phlebotomus perniciosus*, a vector of *Leishmania infantum*. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 1, 176–178.
- Aransay, A.M., Ready, P.D. & Morillas-Marquez, F. (2003) Population differentiation of *Phlebotomus perniciosus* in Spain following post-glacial dispersal. *Heredity* 90, 316–325.
- Ashford, R.W., Desjeux, P. & De Raadt, P. (1992) Estimation of population at risk of infection and number of cases of leishmaniasis. *Parasitology Today* 8, 104–105.
- Behura, S.K., Sahu, S.C., Mohan, M. & Nair, S. (2001) Wolbachia in the Asian rice gall midge, Orseolia oryzae (Wood-Mason): correlation between host mitotypes and infection status. Insect Molecular Biology 10, 163–171.
- Braig, H.K., Guzman, H., Tesh, R.B. & O'Neill, S.L. (1994) Replacement of the natural Wolbachia symbiont of Drosophila simulans with a mosquito counterpart. Nature 367, 453–455.
- Braig, H.K., Zhou, W., Dobson, S.L. & O'Neill, S.L. (1998) Cloning and characterization of a gene encoding the major surface protein of the bacterial endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis*. Journal of Bacteriology **180**, 2373–2378.
- Cheng, Q., Ruel, T.D., Zhou, W., Moloo, S.K., Majiwa, P., O'Neill, S.L. & Aksoy, S. (2000) Tissue distribution and prevalence of Wolbachia infections in tsetse flies, *Glossina* spp. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 14, 44–55.
- Cui, L., Chang, S.H., Stickman, D. & Rowton, E. (1999) Frequency of Wolbachia infection in laboratory and field sand fly (Diptera: Psychodidae) populations. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* 15, 571–572.
- Curtis, C.F. & Sinkins, S.P. (1998) Wolbachia as a possible means of driving genes into populations. *Parasitology* 116, S111–115.
- Dobson, S.L., Bourtzis, K., Braig, H.R., Jones, B.F., Zhou, W., Rousset, F. & O'Neill, S.L. (1999) Wolbachia infections are distributed throughout insect somatic and germ line tissues. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 29, 153–160.
- Egyed, Z., Sréter, T., Széll, Z., Nyirö, G., Márialigeti, K. & Varga, I. (2002) Molecular phylogenetic analysis of Onchocerca lupi and its Wolbachia endosymbiont. Veterinary Parasitology **108**, 153–161.
- Esseghir, S., Ready, P.D., Killick-Kendrick, R. & Ben-Ismail, R. (1997) Mitochondrial haplotypes and phylogeography of *Phlebotomus* vectors of *Leishmania major*. *Insect Molecular Biology* 6, 211–225.
- Esseghir, S., Ready, P.D. & Ben-Ismail, R. (2000) Speciation of *Phlebotomus* sandflies of the subgenus *Larroussius* coincided with the late Miocene-Pliocene aridification of the Mediterranean subregion. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 70, 189–219.
- Guillemaud, T., Pasteur, N. & Rousset, F. (1997) Contrasting levels of variability between cytoplasmic genomes and incompatibility types in the mosquito *Culex pipiens*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London series B* 264, 245–251.

- Hoffmann, A.A. & Turelli, M. (1988) Unidirectional incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*: inheritance, geographic variation and fitness effects. *Genetics* 119, 435–444.
- Hoffmann, A.A., Clancy, D. & Duncan, J. (1996) Naturallyoccurring *Wolbachia* infection in *Drosophila simulans* that does not cause cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Heredity* 76, 1–8.
- Jeyaprakash, A. & Hoy, M.A. (2000) Long PCR improves Wolbachia DNA amplification: wsp sequences found in 76% of sixty-three arthropod species. Insect Molecular Biology 9, 393–405.
- Kittayapong, P., Baisley, K.J., Baimai, V. & O'Neill, S. (2000) Distribution and diversity of Wolbachia infections in southeast Asian mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 37, 340–345.
- Lewis, D.J. (1982) A taxonomic review of the genus *Phlebotomus* (Diptera: Psychodidae). *Bulletin of the British Museum* (*Natural History*) (*Entomology*) **45**, 121–209.
- Mahamdallie, S.S., Pesson, B., Morillas-Marquez, F., Perrotey,
  S. & Ready, P.D. (2003) Adaptive variation in fringe populations of *Phlebotomus perniciosus*, an important vector of leishmaniasis in Europe. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 97, in press.
- Marcadé, I., Souty-Grosset, C., Bouchon, D., Rigaud, T. & Raimond, R. (1999) Mitochondrial DNA variability and *Wolbachia* infection in two sibling woodlice species. *Heredity* 83, 71–78.
- Mitsuhashi, W., Saiki, T., Wei, W., Kawakita, H. & Sato, M. (2002) Two novel strains of *Wolbachia* coexisting in both species of mulberry leafhoppers. *Insect Molecular Biology* 11, 577–584.
- Noda, H., Koizumi, Y., Zhang, Q. & Deng, K. (2001) Infection density of *Wolbachia* and incompatibility level in two planthopper species, *Laodelphax striatellus* and *Sogatella furcifera*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **31**, 727–737.
- O'Neill, S.L., Giordano, R., Colbert, A.M., Karr, T.L. & Robertson, H.M. (1992) 16S *rRNA* phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplamic incompatibility in insects. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **89**, 2699–2702.
- O'Neill, S.L., Pettigrew, M.M., Sinkins, S.P., Braig, H.R., Andrealis, T.G. & Tesh, R.B. (1997) In vitro cultivation of Wolbachia pipientis in an Aedes albopictus cell line. Insect Molecular Biology 6, 33–39.
- Ono, M., Braig, H.R., Munstermann, L.E., Ferro, C. & O'Neill, S.L. (2001) Wolbachia infections of phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 38, 237–241.
- Parvizi, P., Benlarbi, M. & Ready, P.D. (2003) Maternallyinherited DNA markers (cytochrome b and *wsp*) for *Phlebotomus papatasi* from domestic sites and gerbil burrows in Isfahan province, Iran. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine & Hygiene* 97, in press.
- Ready, P.D., Lainson, R., Shaw, J.J. & Souza, A.A. (1991) DNA probes for distinguishing *Psychodopygus wellcomei* from *Psychodopygus complexus* (Diptera: Psychodidae). *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 86, 41–49.
- Saraiva, E., Fampa, P., Cedeno, V., Bergoin, M., Mialhe, E. & Miller, L.H. (2000) Expression of heterologous promoters in *Lutzomyia longipalpis* and *Phlebotomus papatasi* (Diptera: Psychodidae) cell lines. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 37, 802–806.

- Shoemaker, D.D, Ross, K.G., Keller, L., Vargo, E.L. & Werren, J.H. (2000) Wolbachia infections in native and introduced populations of fire ants (Solenopsis spp.). Insect Molecular Biology 9, 661–673.
- Sinkins, S.P., Braig, H.R. & O'Neill, S.L. (1995a) Wolbachia pipientis: bacterial density and unidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility between infected populations of Aedes albopictus. Experimental Parasitology 81, 284–291.
- Sinkins, S.P., Braig, H.R. & O'Neill, S.L. (1995b) Wolbachia superinfections and the expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London series B 261, 325–330.
- Sironi, M., Bandi, C., Sacchi, L., Di Sacco, B., Damiani, G. & Genchi, C. (1995) Molecular evidence for a close relative of the arthropod endosymbiont *Wolbachia* in a filarial worm. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* 74, 223–227.
- Testa, J.M., Montoya-Lerma, J., Cadena, H., Oviedo, M. & Ready, P.D. (2002) Molecular identification of vectors of *Leishmania* in Colombia: mitochondrial introgression in the *Lutzomyia townsendi* series. *Acta Tropica* 84, 205–218.
- Turelli, M. & Hoffmann, A.A. (1991) Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California Drosophila. Nature 353, 440–442.
- Turelli, M. & Hoffmann, A.A. (1995) Cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*: dynamics and parameter estimates from natural populations. *Genetics* 140, 1319–1338.

- Turelli, M. & Hoffmann, A.A. (1999) Microbe-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility as a mechanism for introducing transgenes into arthropod populations. *Insect Molecular Biology* 8, 243–255.
- Turelli, M., Hoffmann, A.A. & McKechnie, S.W. (1992) Dynamics of cytoplasmic incompatibility and mtDNA variation in natural *Drosophila simulans* populations. *Genetics* 132, 713–723.
- Vavre, F., Fleury, F., Lepetit, D., Fouillet, P. & Bouletreau, M. (1999) Phylogenetic evidence for horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* in host–parasitoid associations. *Molecular Biology* and Evolution 16, 1711–1723.
- Wade, M.J. (2001) Infectious speciation. Nature 409, 675-676.
- Weeks, A.R., Reynolds, K.T. & Hoffmann, A.A. (2002) Wolbachia dynamics: what has (and has not) been demonstrated? TRENDS in Ecology and Evolution 17, 257–262.
- Zhou, W., Rousset, F. & O'Neill, S. (1998) Phylogeny and PCRbased classification of *Wolbachia* strains using *wsp* gene sequences. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London series B* 265, 509–515.

(Accepted 8 May 2003) © CAB International, 2003

# NEW BOOK

From CABI PUBLISHING

# Quality Control and Production of Biological Control Agents

**Theory and Testing Procedures** 

Edited by J C van Lenteren, Department of Entomology, Wageningen University, The Netherlands

ISBN June 2003 Hardback 0 85199 688 4 352 pages £65.00 (US\$120.00)

# Readership

Entomology, ecology, crop protection and pest management

## Description

The use of biological control agents has been increasing worldwide and there are now many companies mass-producing such organisms, particularly for the control of insect pests. However, there is a great need for quality control in the production and use of these natural enemies, which include insect parasitoids and predators, fungi and viruses. This book has been written by leading scientists from Europe and North America to provide both background theory and practical guidance on this subject.

# To view full contents or to order online please visit www.cabi-publishing.org/bookshop

**Postage & Packing:** For pre-paid orders in the UK, please add  $\pm 2.75$  for the 1st book and 60p for each additional book ordered (up to max. of 10). For prepaid orders elsewhere, please add  $\pm 4.00$  for the 1st book and  $\pm 1.00$  for each additional book. For orders not pre-paid, postage and packing will be charged according to the weight of the book. CABI Publishing, CAB International Wallingford, Oxon OX10 8DE, UK Tel: +44 (0)1491 832111 Fax: +44 (0)1491 829292 Email: orders@cabi.org

#### **CABI Publishing North America** 44 Brattle Street, 4th Floor

Cambridge, MA 02138, USA Tel: 001 61 7 395 4056 Fax: 001 61 7 354 6875 Email: cabi-nao@cabi.org

