Excitation of longitudinal waves in a degenerate isotropic quantum plasma

LEVAN N. TSINTSADZ $E^{1,2}$ and NODAR L. TSINTSADZ E^2

¹Graduate School of Science, Department of Physical Science, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Japan

(Received 25 November 2009 and accepted 8 December 2009, first published online 15 January 2010)

Abstract. A dispersion equation, which describes the interaction of low-density electron beam with a degenerate electron quantum plasma, is derived and examined for some interesting cases. In addition to the instabilities similar to those for classical plasma, due to the quantum effect, a new type of instability is found. Growth rates of these new modes, which are purely quantum, are obtained. Furthermore, the excitation of Bogolyubov's type of spectrum by a strong electric field is discussed.

Quantum plasmas are common in planetary interiors, in compact astrophysical objects, in conductors, semiconductors and micro-mechanical systems, as well as in the next generation intense laser-solid density plasma experiments. Though it has a long and diverse tradition, the field of quantum plasma physics is evolving and there are many questions and issues which one has to address. In the past Klimontovich and Silin [1] have studied the properties of linear electron plasma oscillations in a dense Fermi plasma, and since then a huge number of works have been published on collective behavior of quantum plasmas using a set of incorrect hydrodynamic equations [2, 3]. In the previous paper [4] this problem has been solved by deriving a new type of quantum kinetic equations of the Fermi particles of various species, and a general set of fluids equations describing the quantum plasma was obtained. This novel kinetic equation for the Fermi quantum plasma was used in [4] to study the propagation of small longitudinal perturbations in an electron-ion collisionless plasmas, deriving a quantum dispersion equation. The dispersion properties of electrostatic oscillations in quantum plasmas have been discussed very recently in [5]. The effects of the quantization of the orbital motion of electrons and the spin of electrons on the propagation of longitudinal waves in the quantum plasma have been also reported [6]. Special interest was recently drawn to paper by Zheng [7] criticizing [8], in which an attempt has been made to discuss the quantum correction to the Landau damping of electron plasma waves even in normal temperature and high-density plasmas. It is well known that in the classical limit the quantum correction is negligible [9], in contrast to the results of [8]. In our view, the above paper by Zheng [7] analyzing [8] also contains mistakes in physics and mathematics, which we will report elsewhere.

In the present paper, we study an electron beam-plasma instabilities and excitation of Bogolyubov's type of spectrum in the Fermi quantum plasma. For our

² Department of Plasma Physics, E.Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Tbilisi, Georgia (nltsin@yahoo.com)

purpose, we employ the quantum kinetic equation derived in [4], which reads

$$\frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) f_{\alpha} + e_{\alpha} \left(\vec{E} + \frac{\vec{v}_{\alpha} \times \vec{H}}{c} \right) \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial \vec{p}} + \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m_{\alpha}} \nabla \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\alpha}}} \Delta \sqrt{n_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial \vec{p}} = C(f_{\alpha}), \quad (1)$$

where suffix α stands for the particle species, \hbar is the Planck constant divided by 2π , $C(f_{\alpha})$ is the collision integral and the other notation is standard. It should be emphasized that this equation elaborates all the information on the quantum effects. We also note specifically that this equation is rather simple from the mathematical point of view.

Considering the propagation of small longitudinal perturbations ($\vec{H}=0, \vec{E}=-\nabla \varphi$) in an electron-ion collisionless plasmas for a weak field, we look for the electron and ion distribution functions in the form $f_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha 0}+\delta f_{\alpha}$, where $f_{\alpha 0}$ is the stationary isotropic homogeneous distribution function unperturbed by the field and δf_{α} is the small variation in it due to the field. We linearize (1) with respect to the perturbation and assume δf_{α} and $\delta \varphi$ vary like $\exp i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)$.

Using the Poisson's equation

$$\Delta\delta\varphi = \frac{e}{\pi^2\hbar^3} \left\{ \int d^3p \delta f_e - \int d^3p \delta f_i \right\}$$
 (2)

and assuming the Fermi degeneracy temperature $T_F = \frac{\varepsilon_F}{K_B}$ (K_B is the Boltzmann coefficient, the Fermi distribution function is the step function $f_{\alpha 0} = \Theta(\varepsilon_{F\alpha} - \varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon_{F\alpha} = \frac{m_\alpha v_{F\alpha}^2}{2}$) much higher than the Fermi gas temperature, we then obtain after some algebra the quantum dispersion equation [4]

$$\varepsilon = 1 + \sum_{\alpha} \frac{3\omega_{p\alpha}^2}{\Gamma_{\alpha} k^2 v_{F\alpha}^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\omega}{2k v_{F\alpha}} \ln \frac{\omega + k v_{F\alpha}}{\omega - k v_{F\alpha}} \right\} = 0, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\alpha} = 1 + \frac{3\hbar^2 k^2}{4m_{\alpha} v_{F\alpha}^2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{2kv_{F\alpha}} \ln \frac{\omega + kv_{F\alpha}}{\omega - kv_{F\alpha}} \right),$$

and ω can be more or less than kv_{Fe} . Note that for $\omega \gg kv_{Fi}$, $\Gamma_i \approx 1$.

For the electron Langmuir waves supposing the ion mass $m_i \to \infty$ and $\omega \gg kv_{Fe}$, or the range of fast waves, when the phase velocity exceeds the Fermi velocity of electrons, we recover the dispersion relation derived by Klimontovich and Silin [1]

$$\omega^2 = \omega_{pe}^2 + \frac{3k^2v_{Fe}^2}{5} + \frac{\hbar^2k^4}{4m_-^2} + \dots \tag{4}$$

where ω_{pe} is the electron plasma frequency. This expression shows that the high-frequency oscillations of electrons of a degenerate plasma remain undamped in the absence of particles collisions. Note that the Landau damping is also absent, since according to the Fermi distribution there are no particles with velocities greater than the Fermi velocity which could contribute to the absorption.

We now propose the excitation of the Klimontovich and Silin's spectrum (4) by a straight electron beam with the density n_b much less than the plasma density, which is injected into a degenerate electron gas. The electron beam is assumed to be classical (since the density is low) obeying the Maxwellian distribution function with non-relativistic temperatures. Taking into account the Madelung term in the kinetic equation of the beam electrons, we write down the desired dispersion equation for

the plasma-beam system

$$1 + \delta \varepsilon_p + \delta \varepsilon_b = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$1 + \frac{3\omega_{pe}^2}{k^2v_{Fe}^2\Gamma_e} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\omega}{2kv_{Fe}} \ln \frac{\omega + kv_{Fe}}{\omega - kv_{Fe}} \right\} + \frac{\omega_b^2}{k^2v_{tb}^2\Gamma_b} \left\{ 1 - I_+ \left(\frac{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u}}{kv_{tb}} \right) \right\} = 0,$$

where \vec{u} is the velocity of beam, $v_{tb} = \sqrt{T/m_e}$ and ω_b are the thermal speed and the Langmuir frequency of the beam electrons, respectively. The function $I_+(x) = xe^{-x^2/2} \int_{i\infty}^x d\tau e^{\tau^2/2}$ has been studied in detail in [10] and has such asymptotes

$$I_{+}(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{x^{2}} + \frac{3}{x^{4}} + \dots - i\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}xe^{-x^{2}/2}$$
 if $x \ge 1$, (6)

$$I_{+}(x) \simeq -i\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}x$$
 if $x \leqslant 1$. (7)

With the asymptote (6) at hand, supposing $\omega \gg kv_{Fe}$ we obtain

$$1 - \frac{\omega_L^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega_b^2 (1 + \iota \beta)}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u})^2 - \omega_g^2 (1 + \iota \beta)} = 0, \tag{8}$$

where ω_L is the Klimontovich-Silin dispersion relation (4), $\omega_q = \hbar k^2/2m_e$ is the frequency of quantum oscillations of electron and β is due to Landau damping

$$\beta = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u})^3}{(kv_{tb})^3} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u})^2}{2k^2 v_{tb}^2}\right\}. \tag{9}$$

We examine the dispersion (8), which describes the interaction of low-density electron beam with the degenerate electron plasma, for some interesting cases. First, we assume that the electron beam is a monoenergetic straight beam, so that the thermal motion of electrons of the beam is neglected, $\beta = 0$. In this case (8) reduces to the following dispersion relation, which was recently discussed by Kuzelev and Rukhadze [11]

$$1 - \frac{\omega_L^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega_b^2}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u})^2 - \omega_a^2} = 0.$$
 (10)

As is known in the classical case ($\omega_q = 0$), the interaction of an electron beam with a plasma is strong when the Cherenkov resonance condition ($\omega \simeq \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u}$) is fulfilled. However, in the quantum plasma $\omega_q \neq 0$, the quantum interaction is governed by first-order poles (the last term in (10)). Hence, the quantum frequency ω_q of the de Broglie waves in (10) leads to the Doppler resonance alone, $\omega = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} - \omega_q$. Equation (10), therefore, admits the solution at $\omega = \omega_L + \gamma$ and $\omega = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} - \omega_q + \gamma$, with $|\gamma| \ll \omega$

$$Im\gamma = \frac{\omega_b}{2} \left(\frac{\omega_L}{\omega_q}\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (11)

This expression describes the excitation of the Klimontovich-Silin waves by the monoenergetic electron beam. It should be noted that the growth rate is purely quantum.

Next, we consider the kinetic instability. Noting that $|\beta| \leq 1$, in (8) we neglect β in the denominator at $(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u})^2 \neq \omega_q^2$ and the beam contribution to the real part in the numerator. With this assumption the dispersion relation (8) casts into the form

$$1 - \frac{\omega_L^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{\iota \omega_b^2 \beta}{(\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u})^2 - \omega_q^2} = 0, \tag{12}$$

which has a solution of the form $\omega = \omega_L + \iota \gamma$, and the growth rate is

$$\gamma = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}} \frac{\omega_L \omega_b^2}{(k v_{tb})^3} \frac{(\omega_L - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u})^3}{(\omega_L - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u})^2 - \omega_q^2} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\omega_L - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u})^2}{2k^2 v_{tb}^2}\right\}.$$
(13)

We note here that at $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} > \omega_L$, $\gamma > 0$ and the growth rate due to the Cherenkov resonance is larger than the classical one at $\omega_q = 0$.

Equation (8) has another solution due to the quantum effect. Namely, for the range of frequencies $\omega < \omega_L$, $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{u}$ and $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} \simeq \omega_q$, in which β is positive, from (8) we get

$$\frac{\omega_L^2}{\omega^2} + \iota \frac{\omega_b^2}{\omega_q^2 \beta} = 0. \tag{14}$$

The solution of which is

$$\omega = \frac{1+\iota}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\omega_L \omega_q}{\omega_b} \beta^{1/2}. \tag{15}$$

It should be emphasized that this instability has no analogy in the classical plasma. We now return to (3) and introduce the Thomas-Fermi screening wave vector $k_{TF} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\omega_{pe}}{v_{Fe}}$. Noting that in the limit $k^2 \gg k_{TF}^2$, ω tends to kv_{Fe} (at $m_i \to \infty$) and we obtain from (3)

$$\omega = k v_{Fe} \left(1 + 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{2 \left(\frac{k^2}{k_{TF}^2} + \frac{\omega_q^2}{\omega_{pe}^2} \right)}{1 + \frac{\omega_q^2}{\omega_{pe}^2}} \right\} \right).$$
 (16)

If we neglect the quantum term ω_q in (16), then we recover waves known as the zero sound, which are the continuation of the electron Langmuir wave (4) into the range of short wavelength. Thus the expression (16) represents the quantum correction to the zero sound.

In the following we discuss the excitation of the zero sound by a low-density cold beam. As we have shown above, at $\omega = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} - \omega_q + \gamma$, $|\gamma| \leq \omega$ the dielectric permittivity of the beam is

$$\delta\varepsilon_b = \frac{\omega_b^2}{2\omega_a \gamma},\tag{17}$$

whereas in the dielectric permittivity of the plasma $\delta \varepsilon_p$, we suppose that

$$\omega \approx k v_{Fe} + \gamma. \tag{18}$$

Substituting (17) into (5) and taking into account (18), we get the spectrum (16) for real ω and for the growth rate

$$Im\gamma = \frac{\omega_L k v_{Fe}}{\omega_L^2 + \omega_q^2} \ \omega_b \left(\frac{2k v_{Fe}}{\omega_q} \exp\left\{ -\frac{2\left(\frac{k^2}{k_T^2} + \frac{\omega_q^2}{\omega_L^2}\right)}{1 + \frac{\omega_q^2}{\omega_L^2}} \right\} \right). \tag{19}$$

Note that this growth rate is also purely quantum.

We next consider the excitation of Bogolyubov's type of spectrum, derived in the previous paper [4], by a strong electric field. We recall here that in the adiabatic approximation the velocity of electron may be regarded as constant (ions are assumed to be immobile). The electron part of the dielectric permittivity now reads

$$\delta \varepsilon_e = \frac{3\omega_{pe}^2}{k^2 v_{Fe}^2} \frac{1}{\Gamma_e} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u}}{2k v_{Fe}} \ln \frac{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} + k v_{Fe}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} - k v_{Fe}} \right\}. \tag{20}$$

Here

$$\Gamma_e = 1 + \frac{3\omega_q^2}{k^2 v_{Fe}^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u}}{2k v_{Fe}} \ln \frac{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} + k v_{Fe}}{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} - k v_{Fe}} \right\}.$$

In the range of frequencies $\omega \gg kv_{Fi}$ and $|\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u}| \ll kv_{Fe}$ from (3), where ω is displaced by $\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u}$, we obtain

$$\delta \varepsilon_e = \frac{\frac{3\omega_{pe}^2}{k^2 v_{Fe}^2} \left(1 + \iota \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u}}{k v_{Fe}} \right)}{1 + \frac{3\omega_g^2}{k^2 v_{Fe}^2} \left(1 + \iota \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\omega - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u}}{k v_{Fe}} \right)}$$
(21)

and

$$\delta \varepsilon_i = -\frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega^2}.\tag{22}$$

One can immediately see that (3) reduces to the simple dispersion relation $\delta \varepsilon_e + \delta \varepsilon_i = 0$, solutions ($\omega = \omega' + \iota \omega''$) of which are the Bogolyubov's type of spectrum [4]

$$\omega' = k\sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon_{Fe}}{3m_i} + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{4m_i m_e}} \tag{23}$$

and the imaginary part

$$\omega'' = -\frac{\pi}{12} \frac{k p_{Fe}}{m_i} \frac{\omega' - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u}}{\omega'}.$$
 (24)

For an instability, the following inequality should be satisfied

$$u > \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \sqrt{\frac{p_F^2}{3m_e m_i} + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{4m_e m_i}}.$$
 (25)

In the absence of the external field ($\vec{u} = 0$) (24) reduces to the expression of damping rate obtained in [4]

$$\omega'' = -\frac{\pi}{12}k \frac{p_{Fe}}{m_i},\tag{26}$$

which is much less than ω' . The expression (26) indicates that the damping rate is determined by the electrons alone.

To summarize, we have investigated the excitation of longitudinal waves in a degenerate non-magnetized quantum plasma. Namely, we derived the dispersion equation, which describes the interaction of low-density electron beam with the degenerate electron quantum plasma, and examined it for some interesting cases. In particular, we have shown the excitation of Klimontovich-Silin waves by the monoenergetic electron beam, the growth rate of which is purely quantum. Next, we studied the kinetic instability demonstrating that the growth rate due to the Cherenkov resonance is larger than the classical one. In addition, we disclosed a novel instability due to the quantum effect, which has no analogy in the classical plasma. We obtained the growth rate of this new mode. The excitation of the zero sound by a low-density cold beam is also discussed and the growth rate, which is also purely quantum, is derived. Furthermore, we considered the excitation of Bogolyubov's type of spectrum by a strong electric field. These investigations may play an essential role for the description of complex phenomena that appear in dense astrophysical objects, as well as in the next generation intense laser-solid density plasma experiments.

References

- [1] Klimontovich, Y. L. and Silin, V. P. 1952 Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 23, 151.
- [2] Manfredi, G. and Haas, F. 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64, 075316.
- [3] Marklund, M. and Brodin, G. 2007 Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 025001.
- [4] Tsintsadze, N. L. and Tsintsadze, L. N. 2009 Europhys. Lett. 88, 35001; In: From Leonardo to ITER: Nonlinear and Coherence Aspects, Vol. 18 (ed. J. Weiland). New York: AIP. AIP Proc. No. CP1177; e-print arXiv: physics/0903.5368v1.
- [5] Eliasson, B. and Shukla, P. K. 2010 J. Plasma Phys. 76, 1.
- [6] Tsintsadze, L. N. 2009 Submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.; e-print arXiv: physics/ 0911.0133v1.
- [7] Zheng, J. 2009 Phys. Plasmas 16, 054703.
- [8] Zhu, J., Ji, P. and Lu, N. 2009 Phys. Plasmas 16, 032105.
- [9] Lifshitz, E. M. and Pitaevskii, L. P. 1981 Physical Kinetics. Oxford: Pergamon, p. 161.
- [10] Alexandrov, A. F., Bogdankevich, L. S. and Rukhadze, A. A. 1984 Principals of Plasma Electrodynamics. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.
- [11] Kuzelev, M. V. and Rukhadze, A. A. 2009 Private communication. Bogolyubov Kiev Conference on Modern Problems of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics. Kiev.