



# Completion versus removal of redundancy by perturbation

Ole Christensen and Marzieh Hasannasab

*Abstract.* A sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  has the expansion property if each  $f \in \overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  has a representation  $f = \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k g_k$  for some scalar coefficients  $c_k$ . In this paper, we analyze the question whether there exist small norm-perturbations of  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  which allow to represent all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ; the answer turns out to be yes for frame sequences and Riesz sequences, but no for general basic sequences. The insight gained from the analysis is used to address a somewhat dual question, namely, whether it is possible to remove redundancy from a sequence with the expansion property via small norm-perturbations; we prove that the answer is yes for frames  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $g_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , as well as for frames with finite excess. This particular question is motivated by recent progress in dynamical sampling.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, and suppose that a given sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  has the *expansion property*, i.e., that each  $f \in \overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  has a representation

$$(1.1) \quad f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k g_k$$

for certain coefficients  $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ . Our goal is to address the following question: when and how can we perform small norm-perturbations on the sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and hereby obtain a sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that *arbitrary* elements  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  have an expansion  $f = \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k \psi_k$  for certain coefficients  $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ?

Formulated as above, the question is clearly a *completion problem*. We will show that the completion problem has an affirmative answer for the so-called Riesz sequences and frame sequences, but not for general basic sequences; along the way, we also consider a number of other completion problems. Interestingly, the insight gained from the above analysis can be used to address a somewhat dual question: when and how can a *redundant* system  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be turned into a complete but nonredundant system  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  by small norm-perturbations? We will provide a positive answer to this question for a number of frames, in particular, for the so-called *near-Riesz bases* introduced by Holub in [13]. Additional motivation for this particular question will be provided at the end of the paper.

---

Received by the editors March 1, 2021; revised June 1, 2021; accepted June 2, 2021.

Published online on Cambridge Core July 5, 2021.

AMS subject classification: 42C40.

Keywords: Frames, Riesz bases, completeness, redundancy.

The paper is organized as follows. In the rest of the introduction, we set the stage by providing a number of definitions and results from the literature. In Section 2, we present the results about the completion problem; the dual problem concerning removal of redundancy is considered in Section 3.

A sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a *frame* for  $\mathcal{H}$  if there exist constants  $A, B > 0$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\langle f, g_k \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2, \forall f \in \mathcal{H};$$

suitable numbers  $A, B$  are called *lower, resp. upper frame bounds*. The sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is called a *Bessel sequence* if at least the right-hand inequality in (1.2) holds. A frame which is at the same time a basis is called a *Riesz basis*. Note that several other characterizations of frames and Riesz bases exist, e.g., in terms of operator theory. For example, if  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a given orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ , frames for  $\mathcal{H}$  are precisely the sequences  $\{Ve_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  where  $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded surjective operator; Riesz bases correspond precisely to the case where the operator  $V$  also is injective. Finally, a sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  which is a frame for the (sub)space  $\mathcal{K} := \overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is called a *frame sequence*; Riesz sequences are defined in the analogue way.

One of the key reasons for the interest in frames is that a frame has the expansion property: in fact, given any frame  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , there exists a so-called *dual frame*  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle f, f_k \rangle g_k, \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

In general, the dual frame  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is not unique: indeed, the case where  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Riesz basis is characterized precisely by the existence of a unique dual. We refer to [9] for more information about frames and Riesz bases, also about their history and applications.

The following lemma collects a number of well-known results concerning norm-perturbations of various sequences with the expansion property.

**Lemma 1.1** Consider two sequences  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, \{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , satisfying that

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \|g_k - h_k\|^2 < A,$$

for a value of  $A$  as specified below. Then, the following holds:

- (i) [7] If  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with lower bound  $A$ , then  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- (ii) [8] If  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Riesz sequence with lower bound  $A$ , then  $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Riesz sequence; furthermore,  $\text{codim}(\overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) = \text{codim}(\overline{\text{span}}\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)$ .

Alternative norm-perturbation conditions are formulated in [6]; however, they need that we have access to information about a dual frame, which is not the case in the current paper. Note also that a number of classical results about norm-perturbation (typically for orthonormal sequences) are collected in [17]. Observe that more general

perturbation results are available in the literature, typically formulated in terms of certain operators rather than norm-perturbations (see, e.g., [9] and the references therein).

## 2 Completion via norm-perturbation

Our main interest is to consider the completion problem for sequences  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  having the expansion property. However, we first state a number of other completion properties, some of which will be needed in latter proofs. Given any sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , we define its *excess*  $\mathcal{E}(\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)$  as the maximal number of elements that can be removed without changing the spanned space, i.e.,

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{E}(\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) := \max \#\{J \subset \mathbb{N} \mid \overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus J} = \overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\}.$$

Furthermore, we will use the standard convention and say that a sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is *norm-bounded below* if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|g_k\| \geq C$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, the following hold:*

(i) *If  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is not norm-bounded below, there exists a complete sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \|g_k - \psi_k\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty;$$

(ii) *If  $\mathcal{E}(\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) \geq \text{codim}(\overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)$ , there exists a complete sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that (2.2) holds.*

(iii) *If  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is convergent, there exists a complete sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that (2.2) holds; in particular,  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  converges to the same limit as  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ .*

*In all the stated cases, given any  $\delta > 0$ , the sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  can be chosen such that additionally  $\|g_k - \psi_k\| \leq \delta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof** For the proof of (i), given  $\delta > 0$ , choose a frame  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|f_k\| \leq \delta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\|f_k\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ; for example, letting  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  denote any orthonormal basis, we can take

$$\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \left\{ \delta e_1, \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}} e_2, \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}} e_2, \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{3}} e_3, \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{3}} e_3, \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{3}} e_3, \dots \right\}.$$

Denote the lower frame bound for the frame  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  by  $A$ . Choose now a subsequence  $\{g_{k_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\|g_{k_n}\|^2 \leq \frac{3A}{n^2 n^2}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|f_n - (f_n + g_{k_n})\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|g_{k_n}\|^2 \leq \frac{A}{2}.$$

Using Lemma 1.1(i), this implies that  $\{f_n + g_{k_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  and hence complete. Thus, the sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  formed from  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  by replacing the elements  $\{g_{k_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  by  $\{f_n + g_{k_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  will satisfy the requirements.

For the proof of (ii), we first assume additionally that  $M := \text{codim}(\overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)$  is finite. Without loss of generality and only for notational convenience, assume that

the sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is ordered such that  $g_1, \dots, g_M \in \overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=M+1}^\infty$ , and take an orthonormal basis  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^M$  for the orthogonal complement  $(\overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)^\perp$ . Then, the sequence

$$\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \left\{ g_1 + \delta e_1, g_2 + \frac{\delta}{2} e_2, \dots, g_M + \frac{\delta}{M} e_M, g_{M+1}, g_{M+2}, \dots \right\}$$

satisfies the requirements. The case where  $\mathcal{E}(\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) = \text{codim}(\overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) = \infty$  is similar and only requires minor notational modifications.

For the proof of (iii), assume that the sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  converges to  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Given  $\delta > 0$ , choose  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|f - g_k\| \leq \delta/2$  for  $k \geq K$ . Let  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  denote an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ , and define  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  by

$$\psi_k := \begin{cases} g_k, & \text{if } k = 1, \dots, K - 1; \\ f, & \text{if } k = K; \\ f + \frac{\delta}{2^{k-K}} e_{k-K}, & \text{if } k > K. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\text{span}\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq \text{span}\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , so  $\text{span}\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is clearly complete. Furthermore, for  $k \geq K$ ,

$$\|g_k - \psi_k\| \leq \|g_k - f\| + \|f - \psi_k\| \leq \delta,$$

and  $\|g_k - \psi_k\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . ■

We are now ready to consider the completion problem for Riesz sequences and frame sequences. The proofs rely on an interesting result proved recently by Olevskii.

**Lemma 2.2** [15, 16] *If  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is an orthonormal sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{\chi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$\|e_k - \chi_k\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

*In addition, given any  $\delta > 0$ , the sequence  $\{\chi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  can be chosen such that  $\|e_k - \chi_k\| \leq \delta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, the following hold:*

- (i) *If  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Riesz sequence, there exists a Riesz basis  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \|g_k - \psi_k\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$
- (ii) *If  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a frame sequence, there exists a frame  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that (2.3) holds.*
- (iii) *If  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Bessel sequence, there exists a complete Bessel sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that (2.3) holds.*

*In all the stated cases, given any  $\delta > 0$ , the sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  can be chosen such that  $\|g_k - \psi_k\| \leq \delta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof** We first prove (iii). Thus, let  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a Bessel sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and let  $\mathcal{K} := \overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ ; we can assume that  $\mathcal{K}^\perp \neq \{0\}$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{K}$  is finite-dimensional, clearly  $\mathcal{E}(\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) = \infty$ , and thus the results follow from Proposition 2.1(ii).

Therefore, we now assume that  $\mathcal{K}$  is infinite-dimensional. Now, by the standard properties of a Bessel sequence [9], choose an orthonormal basis  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  for  $\mathcal{K}$  and a bounded operator  $U : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  such that  $g_k = Ue_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Associated with the orthonormal sequence  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , choose the orthonormal basis  $\{\chi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  as in Lemma 2.2, and define a bounded operator  $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  by

$$(2.4) \quad V = U \text{ on } \mathcal{K}, \quad V = I \text{ on } \mathcal{K}^\perp.$$

Because the range of the operator  $U$  contains the vectors  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , it is dense in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Thus, the range of the operator  $V$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ ; this implies that the sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty := \{V\chi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is complete in  $\mathcal{H}$ . A direct calculation reveals that  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Bessel sequence. Furthermore, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|g_k - \psi_k\| = \|Ue_k - V\chi_k\| = \|Ve_k - V\chi_k\| \leq \|V\| \|e_k - \chi_k\|.$$

Because the operator  $V$  only depends on the sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  (and the fixed choice of  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ ), this proves (iii). This also gives the proof of (i) and (ii). Indeed, if  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a frame sequence, the range of the operator  $U$  equals  $\mathcal{K}$ , which implies that the range of the operator  $V$  equals  $\mathcal{H}$ , and hence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ ; and if  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Riesz sequence, the operator  $U : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is bijective, implying that  $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is bijective, and hence that  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Riesz sequence. ■

**Remark 2.4** Despite the fact that  $\delta > 0$  can be chosen arbitrarily small in Theorem 2.3, there is a restriction on how “close” the sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  can be to the sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ . Indeed, if  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a (noncomplete) Riesz sequence with lower bound  $A$ , then the sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in Theorem 2.3(i) must satisfy that

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|g_k - \psi_k\|^2 \geq A;$$

otherwise, Lemma 1.1(ii) would imply that  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is noncomplete as well. A similar result holds for frame sequences, although the lower bound on the infinite sum in (2.5) will involve the gap between two particular subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$  (see [8, 10] for more detailed information).

Theorem 2.3 makes it natural to ask whether a basic sequence (i.e., a Schauder basis for a subspace) also can be extended to a Schauder basis for  $\mathcal{H}$  by small norm-perturbations of the elements. The following example shows that the answer is no, in general, unless additional assumptions are added.

**Example 2.5** Let  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  denote an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ , and consider the sequence

$$\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \{2e_2, 4e_4, 6e_6, \dots\} = \{2ke_{2k}\}_{k=1}^\infty.$$

Clearly,  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a basic sequence. Now, given any  $\delta \in ]0, 2\sqrt{6}\pi^{-1}[$ , consider a sequence  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|g_k - \psi_k\| \leq \delta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e_{2k} - \frac{1}{2k} \psi_k\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4k^2} \|g_k - \psi_k\|^2 \leq \frac{\pi^2 \delta^2}{24} < 1.$$

Because  $\{e_{2k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  forms a Riesz sequence with lower bound  $A = 1$ , Lemma 1.1(ii) implies that  $\{(2k)^{-1}\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  also forms a Riesz sequence, spanning a space of the same codimension as  $\{e_{2k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ ; in particular,  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  cannot be complete in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and hence is not a Schauder basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

### 3 Removal of redundancy via norm-perturbations

In this section, the focus is on sequences  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  having the expansion property on the entire underlying Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Such expansions might be redundant, i.e., a given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  might have expansions  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k g_k$  for more than one choice of the scalar coefficients  $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . A typical example of a redundant sequence is a frame  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  which is not a Riesz basis. Our goal is to show that for certain frames  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ , the redundancy can be removed via small norm-perturbations of the vectors  $g_k$ .

Our first observation, stated next, does not even need the frame assumption or any other expansion property.

**Theorem 3.1** Consider any sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $g_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, given any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a Riesz basis  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\|g_k - \psi_k\| \leq \delta, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Proof** First, given any  $\delta > 0$ , choose  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|g_k\| < \delta/2$  for  $k \geq K$ . We will now construct  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  recursively, of the form  $\psi_k := g_k + \varphi_k$  with the vectors  $\varphi_k$  chosen as described next. First, take  $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|\varphi_1\| \leq \delta$  and  $\psi_1 \neq 0$ . Then, choose  $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|\varphi_2\| \leq \delta$  and  $\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$  is linearly independent. Continuing recursively, we finally choose  $\varphi_K \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|\varphi_K\| \leq \delta$  and  $\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_K\}$  is linearly independent. Then,  $\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_K\}$  is a Riesz basis for the subspace  $V := \text{span}\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_K\}$ . Now, choose an orthonormal basis  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  for  $V^\perp$  and define  $\psi_k$  for  $k > K$  by  $\psi_k := \frac{\delta}{2} e_k$ . Then,  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\|g_k - \psi_k\| \leq \delta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . ■

The result in Theorem 3.1 immediately applies to a number of well-known frames in the literature.

**Example 3.2** We state a number of examples of frames  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $g_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

- (i) Given any orthonormal basis  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ , the family

$$\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} := \left\{ e_1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_2, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_2, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e_3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e_3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e_3, \dots \right\}$$

is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Clearly,  $g_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that this particular frame was used in the proof of Proposition 2.1.

- (ii) Let again  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ , and fix any  $\alpha \in ]0, 1[$ . Let  $\lambda_\ell := 1 - \alpha^{-\ell}$  for  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , and define the vectors

$$g_k := \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \lambda_\ell^k \sqrt{1 - \lambda_\ell^2} e_\ell, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then,  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a frame (the so-called Carleson frame), a result proved by Aldroubi et al. in [1, 2]. It is easy to see that  $g_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is heavily redundant: it can be proved that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , any subfamily  $\{g_{Nk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a redundant frame as well. From this point of view, it is surprising that  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  can be approximated by a Riesz basis, as stated in Theorem 3.1.

- (iii) More generally than (ii), it was proved in [12] that any redundant frame that can be represented as an operator orbit  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \{T^k \varphi\}_{k=1}^\infty$  for a bounded operator  $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and some  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$  will have the property that  $g_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

In order to reach the next result, we need the following lemma. Recall that the *deficit* of a sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is defined as the codimension of the vector space  $\overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Given any  $\delta > 0$  and any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an orthonormal system  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  with deficit  $N$  such that  $\|e_k - \varepsilon_k\| \leq \delta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof** Take any orthonormal system  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  with deficit  $N$ , and choose via Lemma 2.2 an orthonormal basis  $\{\chi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|\varphi_k - \chi_k\| \leq \delta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, choose the unitary operator  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $e_k = U\chi_k$ , and let  $\varepsilon_k := U\varphi_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is an orthonormal system with deficit  $N$ , and  $\|e_k - \varepsilon_k\| = \|U\chi_k - U\varphi_k\| = \|\chi_k - \varphi_k\| \leq \delta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , as desired. ■

**Theorem 3.4** *Consider a frame of the form  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \{g_k\}_{k=1}^N \cup \{g_k\}_{k=N+1}^\infty$ , where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{g_k\}_{k=N+1}^\infty$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, given any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a Riesz basis  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\|g_k - \psi_k\| \leq \delta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof** First, consider an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$  indexed as  $\{e_k\}_{k=N+1}^\infty$ , and choose the bounded bijective operator  $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $g_k = Ve_k$  for  $k = N + 1, N + 2, \dots$ . Using Lemma 3.3, choose an orthonormal system  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=N+1}^\infty$  with deficit  $N$  such that  $\|e_k - \varepsilon_k\| \leq \delta/\|V\|$  for  $k = N + 1, N + 2, \dots$ . Then, letting  $\psi_k := V\varepsilon_k, k = N + 1, N + 2, \dots$ , the family  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=N+1}^\infty$  is a Riesz sequence with deficit  $N$ , and  $\|g_k - \psi_k\| = \|Ve_k - V\varepsilon_k\| \leq \delta$  for  $k = N + 1, N + 2, \dots$ .

Now, consider the vector  $g_N$ . If  $g_N \notin \overline{\text{span}}\{\psi_k\}_{k=N+1}^\infty$ , let  $\psi_N := g_N$ ; then,  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=N}^\infty$  is a Riesz sequence with deficit  $N - 1$ . On the other hand, if  $g_N \in \overline{\text{span}}\{\psi_k\}_{k=N+1}^\infty$ , choose any normalized vector  $\varphi_N \notin \overline{\text{span}}\{\psi_k\}_{k=N+1}^\infty$ , and let  $\psi_N := g_N + \delta\varphi_N$ ; then, again  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=N}^\infty$  is a Riesz sequence with deficit  $N - 1$ , and  $\|g_k - \psi_k\| \leq \delta$  for  $k = N, N + 1, N + 2, \dots$ . Applying now the same procedure on  $g_{N-1}, g_{N-2}, \dots, g_1$ , we arrive at the desired Riesz basis  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in a finite number of steps. ■

Interestingly, frames of the type considered in Theorem 3.4 were called *near-Riesz bases* by Holub in the paper [13]; the above result provides an additional reason for this name being very appropriate.

**Remark 3.5** Despite the fact that  $\delta > 0$  can be chosen arbitrarily small in Theorem 3.4, the Riesz basis  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  must satisfy that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \|g_k - \psi_k\|^2 \geq A$ , where  $A$  is the lower frame bound for  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ ; otherwise, the results in [5] show that  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  would be a frame with the same excess as  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ .

We want to point out that the proof of Theorem 3.4 somewhat hides the fact that it is highly nontrivial to get direct access to the Riesz basis  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , especially due to the intriguing and deep construction by Olevskii playing a key role in the argument. The next example illustrates this by a concrete construction.

**Example 3.6** Let again  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ , and consider the frame

$$\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty := \{e_1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, \dots\},$$

consisting of the orthonormal basis and a single extra element. A natural way to try to remove the redundancy would be to fix a small  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $\psi_1 := e_1$  and for  $k > 1$ ,  $\psi_k := \frac{1}{2}e_{k-1} + (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)e_k$ . Then, for any finite sequence  $\{c_k\}_{k=2}^\infty$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=2}^\infty c_k \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \right) e_k - \psi_k \right) \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left\| \sum_{k=2}^\infty c_k e_{k-1} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=2}^\infty |c_k|^2.$$

Observe that  $\{e_1\} \cup \{(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)e_k\}_{k=2}^\infty$  is a Riesz basis with lower bound  $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ . Considering  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  as a perturbation of this Riesz basis, it now follows from the results in [5] that  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Note that

$$\|g_k - \psi_k\| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right)^2};$$

however, this construction does not allow us to obtain  $\|g_k - \psi_k\| \leq \delta$  when  $\delta < 2^{-1/2} \approx 0.7$ . In fact, in order to obtain the result in Theorem 3.4 for smaller values of  $\delta$ , it would be necessary to consider much more complicated perturbations  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , making it highly nontrivial to do this in practice.

**Remark 3.7** The question of removal of redundancy is partly motivated by the research topic *dynamical sampling*, introduced in the papers [2, 3]. One of the key issues in dynamical sampling is the construction of frames as orbits  $\{T^k \varphi\}_{k=0}^\infty$  of a bounded operator  $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , for some  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ ; we encountered such frames already in Example 3.2(ii,iii). Unfortunately, it is very difficult to construct such frames, and the only concrete examples available in the literature are indeed Riesz bases [11] and the Carleson frame [2] considered in Example 3.2(ii). Furthermore, it was proved in [11] that a near-Riesz basis never has this property. This raises the natural question whether a near-Riesz basis can be approximated by a Riesz basis, and hence by an orbit of a bounded operator; Theorem 3.4 confirms that this indeed is possible. We



will phrase this consequence of Theorem 3.4 as a separate result, where we index the given near-Riesz basis by  $\{g_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  for notational convenience.

**Corollary 3.8** *Consider any near-Riesz basis  $\{g_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ . Then, given any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$  and a bounded operator  $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$\|g_k - T^k \varphi\| \leq \delta, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The results in Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 do not cover the standard (regular) redundant Gabor frames and wavelet frames: they consist of vectors with equal norm, and they have infinite excess [4]. Due to the complications discussed in Example 3.1 and the preceding text, it seems to be very difficult to answer the question whether all frames indeed can be approximated by a Riesz basis. At least for Gabor frames and wavelet frames, we can apply the following adaption of the Feichtinger theorem (finally proved in one of its equivalent formulations in [14]), showing that any frame which is norm-bounded below can be approximated by a *finite* collection of Riesz bases.

**Theorem 3.9** *Let  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a frame which is norm-bounded below. Then, there exists a finite partition  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \bigcup_{j=1}^J \{g_k\}_{k \in I_j}$  with the property that for each  $\delta > 0$ , there exist Riesz bases  $\{\psi_k\}_{k \in I_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|g_k - \psi_k\| \leq \delta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof** Choose according to the Feichtinger theorem a finite partition  $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \bigcup_{j=1}^J \{g_k\}_{k \in I_j}$  such that each sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k \in I_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , is a Riesz sequence; using Theorem 2.1 in [11], we can shuffle the elements around to ensure that each of the index sets  $I_j$  is infinite. Now, the result follows directly from Theorem 2.3(i). ■

The result in Theorem 3.9 can be formulated as an operator-theoretic result, similarly to Corollary 3.8; we leave the precise formulation to the interested reader.

**Acknowledgment** We would like to express our sincere gratitude for the anonymous reviewer for helpful comments, which improved the presentation of the results.

## References

- [1] A. Aldroubi, C. Cabrelli, A. F. Çakmak, U. Molter, and A. Petrosyan, *Iterative actions of normal operators*. J. Funct. Anal. 272(2017), no. 3, 1121–1146.
- [2] A. Aldroubi, C. Cabrelli, U. Molter, and S. Tang, *Dynamical sampling*. Appl. Harm. Anal. Appl. 42(2017), no. 3, 378–401.
- [3] A. Aldroubi, J. Davis, and I. Krishtal, *Dynamical sampling: time-space trade-off*. Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal. 34(2013), no. 3, 495–503.
- [4] R. Balan, P. Casazza, C. Heil, and Z. Landau, *Deficits and excesses of frames*. Adv. Comp. Math. 18(2003), 93–116.
- [5] P. G. Casazza and O. Christensen, *Frames containing a Riesz basis and preservation of this property under perturbation*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 29(1998), no. 1, 266–278.
- [6] D. Y. Chen, L. Li, and B. T. Zheng, *Perturbations of frames*. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 30(2014), no. 7, 1089–1108.
- [7] O. Christensen, *Frame perturbations*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123(1995), 1217–1220.
- [8] O. Christensen, *Operators with closed range and perturbation of frames for a subspace*. Canad. Math. Bull. 42(1999), no. 1, 37–45.

- [9] O. Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*. 2nd expanded ed., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2016.
- [10] O. Christensen, C. deFlicht, and C. Lennard, *Perturbation of frames for a subspace of a Hilbert space*. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 30(2000), no. 4, 1237–1249.
- [11] O. Christensen and M. Hasannasab, *Frame properties of iterated systems*. Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal. 46(2019), 664–673.
- [12] O. Christensen, M. Hasannasab, and F. Philipp, *Frame properties of operator orbits*. Math. Nachr. 293(2020), 52–66.
- [13] J. Holub, *Pre-frame operators, Besselian frames and near-Riesz bases*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 122(1994), 779–785.
- [14] A. W. Marcus, D. A. Spielman, and N. Srivastava, *Interlacing families II: mixed characteristic polynomials and the Kadison–Singer problem*. Ann. of Math. (2) 182(2015), no. 1, 327–350.
- [15] V. Olevskii, *On orthonormal bases and translates*. J. Approx. Theory 202(2016), 1–4.
- [16] V. Olevskii, *Completion by perturbations*. Preprint, 2020. [arXiv:2008.12877](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.12877)
- [17] R. Young, *An introduction to nonharmonic Fourier series*. Academic Press, New York, 1980 (revised first edition 2001).

DTU Compute, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

e-mail: [ochr@dtu.dk](mailto:ochr@dtu.dk)

TU Berlin Institute of Mathematics, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany

e-mail: [hasannas@math.tu-berlin.de](mailto:hasannas@math.tu-berlin.de)