

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Natural disasters and economic growth in Northeast Brazil: evidence from municipal economies of the Ceará State

Victor Hugo de Oliveira

Instituto de Pesquisa e Estratégia Econômica do Ceará (IPECE), Fortaleza, Ceará, Brasil

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: victor.hugo@ipece.ce.gov.br

(Submitted 10 October 2017; revised 20 November 2018; accepted 2 December 2018; first published online 30 January 2019)

## Abstract

Based on an unexplored data set on disasters in Brazil, the current study shows that the direct damage of natural disasters reduces the GDP growth rate of municipal economies in Ceará state, Northeast Brazil. The agriculture and service sectors are the most affected economic sectors, while the industrial sector remains unaffected by environmental shocks. Economic growth is particularly responsive to the occurrence of large natural disasters that lead municipalities to declare a state of emergency or public calamity. Regarding public policies, water supply infrastructure increases the resilience of the output growth of services to droughts, whereas disaster microinsurance helps to mitigate the effects of droughts and floods on the economic growth of agriculture in a Brazilian state where family farming is predominant and highly vulnerable to natural disasters.

**Keywords:** Brazil; Ceará; economic growth; natural disasters

**JEL Classification:** O44, Q54

## 1. Introduction

Natural disasters have devastating impacts on human and economic development. In only two decades (1992–2012), environmental disasters affected 4.4 billion people worldwide, claimed 1.3 million lives and caused US\$2 trillion in economic losses (United Nations, 2012). A variety of economic consequences of environmental shocks have been documented in the literature. For instance, extreme natural events may cause population mobility in poor countries (Gray and Mueller, 2012; Drabo and Mbaye, 2015) and rich countries (Strobl, 2011; duPont *et al.*, 2015), affect household income and expenditures (Arouri *et al.*, 2015; Lohmann and Lechtenfeld, 2015), and impact the local labour market of affected countries (Coffman and Noy, 2012; Halliday, 2012). Natural disasters also contribute to the maintenance of armed conflicts (Ghimire and Ferreira, 2015), trap vulnerable populations in poverty (Carter *et al.*, 2006; Jakobsen, 2012; Rodriguez-Oreggia *et al.*, 2012), and depreciate human capital in early stages of life (Torche, 2011; de Oliveira and Quintana-Domeque, 2016; Rosales-Rueda, 2016).

Nonetheless, environmental disasters can have either positive or negative effects on economic growth. Some studies have shown that natural hazards boost economic growth (Albala-Bertrand, 1993; Skidmore and Toya, 2002; Noy and Vu, 2010; Fomby *et al.*, 2011; Cunado and Ferreira, 2014), while others provide evidence of negative effects in the short run (Rasmussen, 2004; Noy, 2009; Strobl, 2011, 2012), medium run (McDermott *et al.*, 2014) and long run (Raddatz, 2009; Hsiang and Jina, 2014).<sup>1</sup> In particular, Loayza *et al.* (2012) show that disasters do affect economic growth, but not always negatively, with effects that differ across types of disasters and economic sectors.

Low-income and developing countries are more likely to experience human and economic losses than are developed countries (Toya and Skidmore, 2007), and the growth performances of such countries are especially responsive to environmental shocks (Noy, 2009; Loayza *et al.*, 2012; Strobl, 2012).<sup>2</sup> Political instability (Cavallo *et al.*, 2013), an absent/imperfect financial sector (McDermott *et al.*, 2014), low access to international markets and a lack of institutional quality (Felbermayr and Gröschl, 2014) appear to be important mediating factors.

Notably, Latin America is exposed to a variety of natural disasters that can jeopardize economic growth (Stillwell, 1992). For instance, Brazil is highly exposed to climate disasters, and ongoing global warming will further increase the risk of such environmental hazards in the near future. Predictions from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change show the intensification of droughts in Northeast Brazil throughout the 21<sup>st</sup> century due to increasing global temperatures (Seneviratne *et al.*, 2012). Between 1995 and 2014, almost half of the total losses due to climate disasters occurred in this specific region of the country (Centro Universitário de Estudos e Pesquisas em Desastres, 2016).

The current investigation aims to provide evidence of the impact of natural disasters on the economic growth of municipalities in Ceará state, which is one of the states most affected by climatic hazards in Brazil (Centro Universitário de Estudos e Pesquisas em Desastres, 2016). Within the great semiarid region lies 87 per cent of the state's territory, as well as 56 per cent of its population.<sup>3</sup> Although its population is the eighth largest among Brazilian states (i.e., 8.5 million, which is slightly larger than the population of Austria), Ceará had the fifth lowest per capita GDP (US\$6,652 PPP) in 2010, which is economically comparable to Guatemala (US\$6,578 PPP). Such a level of exposure and economic vulnerability to environmental hazards is shared by the other Northeast states, making Ceará a suitable and representative case study area.

This investigation relies on an unexplored data source on disasters in Brazil. The information on natural disasters comes from the Damage Assessment Report (Relatório

<sup>1</sup>Several hypotheses about the response of economic growth to environmental catastrophes in the long run have been tested (Hsiang and Jina, 2014), such as: the 'creative destruction' hypothesis (Skidmore and Toya, 2002); the 'build back better' hypothesis (Hallegatte *et al.*, 2007; Cuaresma *et al.*, 2008; Hallegatte and Dumas, 2009); the 'recovery to trend' hypothesis (Strobl, 2011); and the 'no recovery' hypothesis (Anttila-Hughes and Hsiang, 2013).

<sup>2</sup>Developed countries with a large concentration of wealth in hazardous areas are also highly exposed to environmental hazards. However, they are often better equipped financially and institutionally to adopt explicit measures to effectively respond and adapt to natural disasters than are developing countries (Lal *et al.*, 2012).

<sup>3</sup>The Brazilian semiarid region is characterized by annual precipitation below 800mm, a dryness index of 0.5 or below, and a risk of drought of at least 60 per cent.

de Avaliação de Danos – AVADAN) carried out by the Civil Defence when a disaster occurs. Findings show that economic growth is negatively affected by damage from droughts and floods, which have consequences for the agriculture and service sectors. The output growth of municipalities is particularly responsive to large natural disasters that lead municipalities to declare a state of emergency or public calamity.

Furthermore, water supply infrastructure (WSI) increases the resilience of the service sector to droughts but not that of the agriculture sector, which is highly dependent on water resources. It reflects rural-urban differences in the access to WSI in Ceará. Since 2000, public investment in infrastructure of water provision has been focused on ensuring the access to water in large urban areas of the state (Ceratti, 2013), while public policy in rural areas has mainly focused on small WSI such as rainfed water cisterns and water delivery trucks (Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2014).

Last but not least, the Garantia-Safra (GS) programme – a microinsurance policy for natural disasters – helps municipalities to mitigate the effects of droughts and floods on the economic growth of the agriculture sector. Beneficiaries may use the (extra) resources from the GS programme to maintain their livestock instead of smoothing human consumption, once family farmers usually access cash transfer-based programmes such as Bolsa Família and rural pension (Silva, 2014). The programme may help family farmers in rural areas to avoid large losses due to natural disasters.

Therefore, this paper contributes to the growing literature dedicated to understanding the effects of natural disasters on economic growth (Cavallo and Noy, 2011) by adding new evidence from a large developing country. In particular, the current study shows how responsive economic growth is to environmental shocks in a poor region of Brazil, whereas other recent studies provided evidence from developed regions of the country (Ribeiro *et al.*, 2014; Haddad and Teixeira, 2015; de Lima and Barbosa, 2018). Moreover, the study provides evidence of the role played by WSI (Hallegatte, 2009; Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2014) and disaster microinsurance (Cummins and Mahul, 2009; Clarke and Grenham, 2013) in the adaptation and response to natural disasters associated with climate change.

The remainder of this study is structured as follows: section 2 describes the data sources, section 3 presents the empirical strategy, and section 4 analyses the results. Finally, section 5 concludes the study.

## 2. Data

### 2.1 Study area

Ceará state, the study area, is located in Northeast Brazil (see figure 1) and has a total area of 148,886 km<sup>2</sup> (or 1.8 per cent of the country's territory). The climate is predominantly tropical hot semi-arid, which favours the occurrence of drought episodes that are often associated with large-scale climate phenomena, such as El Niño and La Niña, or with an intense meridional sea surface temperature gradient over the tropical Atlantic (Marengo *et al.*, 2017).

The population size of municipalities in the state is nearly 46 thousand inhabitants on average, with Fortaleza (the state capital) being the largest municipality (2.45 million inhabitants) and Guramiranga being the smallest (4.1 thousand inhabitants). Regarding economic activity, the service sector is the most important economic sector for the municipalities and is responsible for almost 64 per cent of total GDP. The share



**Figure 1.** Map of Ceará State, Northeast, Brazil  
*Source:* Author's own elaboration.

of industry in GDP is 21 per cent, while that of agriculture is only 6 per cent.<sup>4</sup> The metropolitan region of Fortaleza (14 municipalities) has 42 per cent of the total population and approximately 65 per cent of the total GDP (72 and 65 per cent of the respective gross added value of services and industry, and only 9 per cent of the gross added value

<sup>4</sup> Information on GDP is obtained from the Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). The data source of municipal GDP includes total GDP and gross added value from agriculture, services/commerce and industry. It also includes the gross added value from public administration and taxes (with discounted subsidies). It can be accessed at <https://sidra.ibge.gov.br/pesquisa/pib-munic/tabelas>.

of agriculture). In contrast, municipalities within the semiarid region are responsible for slightly more than a third of the total GDP (37 per cent), and 81 per cent of the gross added value of agriculture.

## 2.2 Information on natural disasters in Brazil

This analysis uses information from the AVADAN, which comprises data about natural disasters, human disasters and mixed disasters (i.e., when human actions contribute to natural disasters). It must be filled out by trained professionals of the Civil Defence within the first 120 h following a disaster (Ministério da Integração Nacional, 2007).<sup>5</sup>

The AVADAN collects information about the affected areas (e.g., urban and rural areas), human damage (e.g., number of homeless, displaced, injured, sick, and fatalities), and direct damage to (i) buildings (e.g., homes; health and education establishments; public and community infrastructure; and rural, industrial and commercial buildings), (ii) natural resources (e.g., water, land, air, flora and fauna), (iii) the economy (e.g., crops, livestock, manufacturing, and services/commerce), and (iv) essential services (e.g., the water and power supply, transportation, communication, sewage and garbage collection, health and education service, and food supply). Taking Integrated Research on Disaster Risk (2014) as a reference, the AVADAN provides compatible information to compute loss indicators and covers the same categories of hazards as international databases on disasters such as the EM-DAT.

In 2012, Brazil adopted the classification and corresponding codification of the International Disaster Database (EM-DAT) of the Center for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED) in order to bring national legislation into line with international criteria. In the same year, the AVADAN was replaced by a shorter and less detailed version of the Damage Assessment Report, called the Information Disaster Form (Formulário de Informação do Desastre). To preserve the homogeneity of the data source, this analysis is restricted to the period 2002–2011.

## 2.3 Descriptive statistics on natural disasters in Ceará

As shown in table 1, extreme climate events are the most frequent disaster in Ceará, with droughts (76.4 per cent) accounting for three times more reported disasters than floods (22.9 per cent) from 2002 to 2011. Other natural disasters include coastal erosion, landslides, and forest fires, which account for less than 1 per cent of reported events. It is worth noting that 75 per cent of all recorded disasters (76 per cent of droughts and 74 per cent of flood events) in Ceará have Damage Assessment Reports.<sup>6</sup> Loayza *et al.* (2012), using EM-DATA from 1965 to 2005, show that only 30 per cent and 49 per cent of drought and flood events respectively contain information on damages across countries.

Table 1 also shows that the average annual losses per municipality are approximately R\$6 million (or US\$4.3 million PPP) in Ceará, with losses from floods being almost three times larger than losses from droughts. Such a difference is mainly explained by the direct damage to homes, as well as to public and private infrastructure, when floods take place

<sup>5</sup>The AVADAN database is available at <https://s2id-search.labtrans.ufsc.br/>.

<sup>6</sup> According to Centro Universitário de Estudos e Pesquisas em Desastres (2013), the other 25 per cent of disaster records without a damage assessment report (AVADAN) come from preliminary notifications of disasters, technical reports, public ordinances and decrees, and newspapers.

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics on natural disasters in Ceará State from 2002 to 2011

	Descriptive statistics using the full sample ( $N = 1,840$ )						
	AVADAN Records <sup>a</sup>	Losses (R\$ Million)	Affected population (per 1,000)	Damage assessment reports		Per capita losses	
				One damage assessment report or more	Average number	Average value (R\$)	Natural log
All disasters	1,003/1,330	6.0169	8.6096	0.4467	0.5457	127.2282	1.7592
		(22.8348)	(8.7746)	(0.4973)	(0.6735)	(881.5089)	(2.4369)
Droughts	766/1,009	3.7258	8.8455	0.3386	0.4168	67.3379	1.1700
		(12.5049)	(7.6500)	(0.4734)	(0.6366)	(456.0771)	(2.0830)
Floods	230/311	10.4880	7.8635	0.1245	0.1250	58.5030	0.6394
		(30.6073)	(11.8469)	(0.3302)	(0.3324)	(757.0088)	(1.7557)
Other	7/10	109.8326	7.2094	0.0038	0.0038	1.3873	0.0185
		(131.8186)	(6.5861)	(0.0616)	(0.0616)	(31.5503)	(0.3195)

Notes: Standard deviations are in parentheses. All monetary values are in real terms regarding the GDP deflator of 2012.

<sup>a</sup>Records about natural disasters come from Centro Universitário de Estudos e Pesquisas sobre Desastres (2013).

in urban areas. Other disasters, specifically coastal erosion, have average losses of about R\$110 million (or US\$79 million PPP), making them potential outliers in the sample. Moreover, approximately 45 per cent of the total sample ( $N = 1,840$ ) has at least one Damage Assessment Report between 2002 and 2011, in which 34 per cent of the sample reported damages caused by drought and 12.5 per cent reported damages caused by floods.

In addition, reported droughts and floods are associated with the precipitation in the municipalities. Figure 2(a) shows that drought episodes are highly predominant over the years, except in 2004 and 2009 when floods were the most reported natural disaster.<sup>7</sup> The pairwise correlation across time between the average annual precipitation and total reports of droughts is  $-0.719$  ( $p$ -value  $< 0.05$ ), and regarding total reports of floods, it is  $0.774$  ( $p$ -value  $< 0.05$ ). Across municipalities, the pairwise correlation between total number of reports and average annual precipitation is  $-0.663$  ( $p$ -value  $< 0.05$ ).

Although the number of notifications is informative about the frequency of disasters, the direct damage of natural disasters is useful for capturing the intensity of the environmental shocks.<sup>8</sup> That is,

$$ND_{i,t} = \sum_j \frac{\text{Disaster Losses}_{i,j,t}}{\text{Population}_{i,t-1}},$$

where  $i$  is the index of municipalities,  $j$  indicates the type of disaster (i.e., droughts and floods), and  $t$  is the year of the disaster. Disaster losses are standardized by lagged population size in order to avoid the contemporaneous effect of environmental hazards on population (Noy, 2009). Because the per capita losses exhibit large standard errors, the natural log is computed in order to prevent the potential influence of outliers (see table 1).

#### 2.4 Determinants of output growth

Furthermore, determinants of economic growth may reduce the vulnerability of municipalities to environmental hazards (Toya and Skidmore, 2007), making them important confounding factors if they are not taken into account in the analysis.<sup>9</sup> Table 2 provides average and standard deviations of the output growth and control variables.

In the neoclassical growth literature, the accumulation of physical and human capital and technological progress are key determinants of economic growth (Durlauf *et al.*, 2005). Because of the absence of an appropriate measure of physical capital, an index based on principal components is obtained using post offices, radio stations, schools and health establishments. The index ranges from 0 to 100, and each covariate is normalized by population size. Electricity consumption is included as a proxy for investment

<sup>7</sup> Although average annual precipitation was below 600 mm in 2010, the average level of reservoirs was about 70 per cent at the end of rainfall season (May 30, 2010). The excess of rainfall in 2009 explains the relatively small number of droughts in 2010. For further information, access <http://www.hidro.ce.gov.br>.

<sup>8</sup> Several studies have relied on different measures of natural disasters to study their impacts on economic growth. Noy (2009) used people killed/affected divided by lagged population size, and losses due to disaster divided by lagged GDP. Similarly, Toya and Skidmore (2007) measure losses as the number of deaths and economic damage/GDP. Loayza *et al.* (2012) used affected population normalized by population size, while Skidmore and Toya (2002) relied on the number of disaster events.

<sup>9</sup> Table A1 in the online appendix displays pairwise correlations.




Figure 2. Damage assessment reports and annual precipitation in Ceará  
Source: AVADAN/Defesa Civil and Fundação Cearense de Meteorologia e Recursos Hídricos – FUNCEME. (a) Frequency of reports and average annual precipitation over time (b) Scatter-plot: disaster reports and average annual precipitation across municipalities.



**Table 2.** Descriptive statistics of the sample

Outcome variables:	Per capita	Growth rate <sup>a</sup>
Gross Domestic Product (R\$)	5,148.76 (3,128.97)	0.0397 (0.0982)
Value added of agriculture	815.79 (642.85)	0.0055 (0.2832)
Value added of industry	878.54 (1,502.41)	0.0488 (0.1744)
Value added of service/commerce	3,089.87 (1,134.05)	0.0460 (0.0749)
Covariates:	Per capita	Natural log
Electricity consumption (MWh)	0.2724 (0.7047)	-1.8346 (0.8741)
Agriculture	0.1158 (0.1394)	-2.5388 (0.8778)
Industry	0.1076 (0.5514)	-4.6562 (2.2418)
Service/commerce	0.0491 (0.1360)	-3.3732 (0.6935)
Formal workers	0.0030 (0.0027)	-6.1568 (0.8478)
Agriculture	0.0001 (0.0002)	-5.4451 (4.4482)
Industry	0.0005 (0.0007)	-6.8626 (2.9636)
Service/commerce	0.0024 (0.0021)	-6.3621 (0.8193)
Public spending (R\$)	1,089.26 (534.72)	6.9408 (0.3023)
High school enrolment	0.0444 (0.0112)	-3.1412 (0.2997)
Hospital beds	0.0015 (0.0011)	-5.9053 (2.0072)
Infrastructure covariates:		
Number of reservoirs + (water) pipeline systems	1.3973 (1.5768)	- -
Infrastructure index (0–100)	30.5421 (18.0534)	- -
Observations	1,840	1,840

Notes: Standard deviations are in parentheses.

<sup>a</sup>The output growth rate is the difference between the natural logarithm of per capita GDP and its lagged value (i.e.,  $\ln \text{GDP}p_{ct} - \ln \text{GDP}p_{ct-1}$ ).

in physical capital. Enrolment in high school, normalized by population size, is included as a proxy for human capital stock. Technology is assumed to be exogenous and constant across municipalities (Mankiw *et al.*, 1992).

In addition, per capita public spending is included in order to capture the effect of local government consumption on growth (Barro, 1990). The relevance of the formal labour market to economic growth is captured by the proportion of formal workers relative to population size (La Porta and Shleifer, 2014). Finally, vulnerability to natural disasters is accounted for by the following variables: hospital beds per inhabitant (World Health Organization, 2013) and WSI (i.e., number of reservoirs and water pipeline systems) (Hallegatte, 2009).

### 3. Empirical strategy

The empirical strategy relies on the standard empirical growth equation proposed by Islam (1995). Several studies have extended the growth equation to incorporate the intensity of natural disasters, assuming a multiplicative risk formulation (Noy, 2009; Loayza *et al.*, 2012; Felbermayr and Gröschl, 2014). That is,

$$\ln y_{i,t} = \beta \ln y_{i,t-1} + \rho \ln ND_{i,t} + \theta \ln X_{i,t} + \mu_t + \lambda_i + \varepsilon_{i,t}, \quad (1)$$

where  $y_{i,t}$  is the output per capita of municipality  $i$  in year  $t$ , and  $y_{i,t-1}$  is the lagged output per capita. The vector of explanatory variables includes covariates that account for determinants of economic growth in the municipalities,  $X_{i,t}$ , and the measure of the direct damage caused by natural disasters,  $ND_{i,t}$ . The formulation also includes the time-specific effect,  $\mu_t$ , which reflects the potential productivity growth and common shocks over time. The unit-specific fixed effect,  $\lambda_i$ , captures effects from unobserved fixed characteristics of municipalities that can be correlated with economic growth and losses due to natural disasters.

The generalized method of moments (GMM) developed for dynamic models of panel data (Holtz-Eakin *et al.*, 1988; Arellano and Bond, 1991; Arellano and Bover, 1995) is adopted as the empirical strategy, taking advantage of first differences and internal instruments to deal with unobserved heterogeneity and simultaneity issues. However, some explanatory variables may be highly persistent in the short panel, producing weak internal instruments (Durlauf *et al.*, 2005). In this case, the GMM system (Arellano and Bover, 1995; Blundell and Bond, 1998) is used in the current study.<sup>10</sup>

A particular issue is whether damage caused by natural disasters is endogenously determined in equation (1). In the literature, the measures of natural disasters are usually treated as exogenous covariates (Skidmore and Toya, 2002; Raddatz, 2007; Noy, 2009; Loayza *et al.*, 2012), although human and economic losses are likely to depend on the level of development of the affected area (Toya and Skidmore, 2007).<sup>11</sup> Loayza *et al.* (2012) argue that reverse causation is not an issue in equation (1) because economic growth may only help countries or regions reduce their vulnerability to environmental hazards in the long run.

In the short run, however, several unobserved policy responses to natural disasters may compensate their adverse effects or even improve the economic growth of the

<sup>10</sup> This method not only uses lagged levels as instruments for first differences, but lagged first differences are also used as instruments for levels. This use requires an extra set of moment conditions in order to achieve consistency and efficiency of the estimators (Roodman, 2009).

<sup>11</sup> This specific issue is partially addressed by the presence of lagged per capita GDP, a set of time-varying controls that accounts for differences in the level of municipal development (see table 2) and unobserved municipal fixed effects.

affected municipalities.<sup>12</sup> Thus, the direct damage from natural disasters is likely to be endogenously determined in equation (1). Since natural disasters are associated with municipal precipitation in Ceará (see figure 2), the deviation of the annual precipitation of municipalities relative to their historical average over the last 30 years is used as an external instrument.<sup>13</sup> A robustness analysis is provided in order to test whether the output growth of municipalities is responsive to the lack and excess of rainfall.

## 4. Results

### 4.1 Baseline estimations

Table 3 provides the estimated effects of the direct damage of natural disasters, measured by per capita losses (see table 1), on the output growth rate of municipal economies. The results show that disaster damage negatively affects the economic growth of municipalities in Ceará, Brazil. Specifically, the output growth of agriculture is negatively affected by damage from droughts and floods, while the economic growth of services is affected by damage from floods. Industry remains unresponsive to natural disasters.

It is worth noting that the estimations in table 3 account for the infrastructure of municipalities, which implies that the effects do not operate through physical capital formation (Loayza *et al.*, 2012). In agriculture, the effects of droughts are likely to operate through the loss of efficiency caused by the lack of water resources. For instance, droughts can cause crop losses and reduce livestock (Chimeli *et al.*, 2008). Floods, in contrast, can destroy crops that are sensitive to excessive rainfall, such as corn, beans, rice and cassava, which are predominant in Ceará (Sun *et al.*, 2006). In the service sector, floods can lead firms to suffer asset loss, prevent workers from arriving at their workplaces or leaving the job earlier (Haddad and Teixeira, 2015). Consequently, floods reduce labour productivity (Leitner *et al.*, 2009).

The results from table 3 also contrast with studies that have documented a positive effect of floods on the output growth rate of agriculture (Loayza *et al.*, 2012; Cunado and Ferreira, 2014). One hypothesis is that water accumulation from floods might result in relative gains for total factor productivity (e.g., intensive use of irrigation technology), which might outweigh losses from the destruction of public infrastructure and land (Loayza *et al.*, 2012). However, rainfed agriculture is predominant in Ceará, since only 1.5 per cent of the total area of all rural establishments uses irrigation technology. In addition, family farming occupies 44 per cent of the total area of rural establishments in Ceará, making it responsible for 64 per cent of total crops and 51 per cent of livestock (Guilhoto *et al.*, 2009).<sup>14</sup> In Brazil, family farming exhibits low access to agricultural policies (e.g., credit policies and technical assistance) and technologies, as well as poor market and socioeconomic integration (Medina *et al.*, 2015), suggesting a high vulnerability to environmental shocks.

<sup>12</sup> For instance, drought responses may involve the distribution of seeds and equipment (e.g., a rainfed water cistern) in rural areas, access of family farmers to a disaster microinsurance programme, availability of credit in public banks and fund transfers to cope with disaster damage, and improvements in the WSI of municipalities (Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2014).

<sup>13</sup> Validation of the instruments is obtained by using the Hansen test for overidentifying restrictions, in which the null hypothesis is the exact identification of the model.

<sup>14</sup> According to the 2006 Brazilian Agriculture Census (Censo Agropecuário 2006), carried out by the Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Further information can be accessed at <https://sidra.ibge.gov.br/pesquisa/censo-agropecuario/censo-agropecuario-2006/segunda-apuracao>.

**Table 3.** Effects of direct damage from natural disasters on the output growth of municipalities

	Economic sectors (growth rate of per capita added value)				
	Growth rate per capita GDP		Agriculture	Industry	Service
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
All natural disasters	−0.0129*** (0.0038)				
Droughts		−0.0117*** (0.0044)	−0.0298** (0.0118)	0.0045 (0.0087)	−0.0030 (0.0031)
Floods		−0.0132** (0.0053)	−0.0240** (0.0116)	−0.0070 (0.0090)	−0.0057** (0.0027)
Lagged per capita GDP	−0.5347*** (0.1222)	−0.5456*** (0.1156)	−0.8518*** (0.0854)	−0.3855*** (0.1012)	−0.7876*** (0.0868)
<i>Specification tests (p-values)</i>					
Hansen test of overidentification	0.5340	0.5376	0.3220	0.4613	0.5073
Arellano-bond test for AR(1) in FD	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0001	0.0235
Arellano-bond test for AR(2) in FD	0.6414	0.6857	0.6379	0.6888	0.1890
<i>Number of Instruments</i>	67	73	72	73	73
Observations	1,656	1,656	1,656	1,656	1,656

Notes: The vector of endogenous variables includes the lagged natural log of per capita GDP, the natural log of per capita electricity consumption, the natural log of formal workers relative to the total population, the natural log of per capita government expenditures, and the natural log of per capita losses due to natural disasters. The vector of predetermined variables includes the natural log of enrolments in high school relative to the total population, the infrastructure index (0–100), water supply infrastructure (i.e., number of reservoirs plus water pipeline systems), and the natural log of hospital beds per inhabitants. The deviation of annual precipitation relative to the historical average and its lagged values are used as external instruments. Robust standard errors are in parentheses.

All variables are in log terms. \*\*\* $p$ -value < 0.01, \*\* $p$ -value < 0.05.

In terms of magnitude, an increase of one standard deviation in direct damage from natural disasters reduces the output growth rate by 3.1 per cent ( $= -0.0129 \times 2.4369$ ). This effect is about one-third of the estimated effect of natural disasters on the output growth of developing countries, as documented by Noy (2009). The same variation in the direct damage from droughts leads to a decrease of approximately 2.4 per cent ( $= -0.0117 \times 2.0830$ ) in the GDP growth rate and nearly 6.5 per cent ( $= -0.0298 \times 2.0830$ ) in output growth of agriculture. In the case of floods, a similar variation in direct damage implies a drop of approximately 2.3 per cent ( $= -0.0132 \times 1.7557$ ) in overall output growth and a reduction of 4.2 per cent ( $= -0.0240 \times 1.7557$ ) and 1 per cent ( $= -0.0057 \times 1.7557$ ), respectively, in the economic growth rates of agriculture and services.

#### 4.2 Robustness analysis

It is important to confirm whether the results from table 3 find support in alternative estimations of equation (1). The current subsection present two robustness checks that

**Table 4.** Regressing output growth rate during drought and flood episodes

	Growth rate per capita GDP	Economic sectors (growth rate of per capita added value)		
		Agriculture	Industry	Service
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Lack of rainfall (= 1 if Deviation < p25)	0.0213 (0.0317)	-0.1266** (0.0560)	-0.0193 (0.0507)	0.0015 (0.0055)
Excess of rainfall (= 1 if Deviation > p75)	-0.0457** (0.0229)	-0.1334*** (0.0429)	-0.0408 (0.0385)	-0.0177** (0.0078)
Lagged per capita GDP	-0.5277*** (0.1141)	-0.8428*** (0.0948)	-0.3027*** (0.0597)	-0.8096*** (0.0777)
<i>Specification tests (p-values)</i>				
Hansen test of overidentification	0.3847	0.2457	0.5419	0.2276
Arellano-Bond test for AR(1) in FD	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0219
Arellano-Bond test for AR(2) in FD	0.6937	0.3288	0.7491	0.1619
<i>Number of Instruments</i>	62	62	62	62
Observations	1,656	1,656	1,656	1,656

Notes. See the notes to table 3 for the list of control variables included in the regressions. Robust standard errors are in parentheses.

All variables are in log terms. \*\*\*p-value < 0.01, \*\*p-value < 0.05.

aim to verify (i) whether the output growth of municipalities is responsive to the lack and excess of rainfall, and (ii) whether the economic growth of municipalities is affected by the size of natural disasters, according to the classification of the Civil Defence regarding the intensity of disasters.

#### 4.2.1 Response of output growth to the lack and excess of rainfall

In this subsection, equation (1) is re-estimated by replacing the direct damage from natural disasters with the following binary variables: (i) the lack of rainfall is defined as  $I(\text{Deviation} < p25 \text{ or } -24.9\%)$ ; and (ii) the excess of rainfall is expressed as  $I(\text{Deviation} > p75 \text{ or } 21.7\%)$ .<sup>15</sup>

Table 4 shows that the overall growth rate is responsive to excessive rainfall but not to the lack of rainfall. Municipalities with excessive rainfall experience a 4.6 per cent drop in overall output growth, which is particularly driven by the effects on the agriculture and service sectors.<sup>16</sup> The output growth of agriculture is also responsive to the lack of rainfall, which is the most susceptible economic sector to natural disasters in Ceará. Such evidence supports the baseline results in table 3.

<sup>15</sup> The measure is expressed as  $\text{Deviation} = 100 \times (P_{it} - \bar{P}_i)$ , where  $P_{it}$  is precipitation in millimeters of municipality  $i$  in year  $t$ , and  $\bar{P}_i$  is the historical mean of precipitation in the previous 30 years relative to year  $t$ . The average deviation is  $-0.52$  per cent (and median value is  $-4.4$  per cent) with a standard deviation of 34.4.

<sup>16</sup> Felbermayr and Gröschl (2014) find that a drought episode reduces the economic growth rate across countries by 1.3 per cent.

The non-significance of the estimate for the lack of rainfall, however, does not mean that output growth is unaffected by droughts. Indeed, the economic growth performance of municipalities in Ceará may be responsive to severe droughts. This leads to the following robustness analysis that aims to verify whether the output growth of municipalities is responsive to small and large natural disasters.

#### 4.2.2 Response of output growth to large natural disasters

The AVADAN provides information about the scale of disasters (i.e., small, medium, large and very large), taking into consideration not only human and material losses but also the level of local vulnerability and the risks of a worsening disaster scenario. Based on such classification of disasters, Civil Defence recommends whether the Federal Government should recognize a state of emergency or a public calamity (Ministério da Integração Nacional, 2007).

Large and very large disasters,  $ND_{it}^L$ , which can lead to abnormal conditions in affected municipalities (i.e., a state of emergency or public calamity) are reported by 10.4 per cent of the total sample ( $N = 1,840$ ) with average per capita losses of about R\$484.6 (or US\$384 PPP). Low and medium disasters,  $ND_{it}^S$ , account for 36.5 per cent of the total sample with average per capita losses of R\$193.7 (or US\$139.1 PPP). Thus, equation (1) is re-estimated with the number of small ( $ND_{it}^S$ ) and large disasters ( $ND_{it}^L$ ), that is,

$$\Delta \ln y_{i,t} = \beta \ln y_{i,t-1} + \phi \ln ND_{it}^L + \varphi \ln ND_{it}^S + \theta \ln X_{i,t} + \mu_t + \lambda_i + \varepsilon_{i,t}. \quad (2)$$

Table 5 shows that output growth is only affected by the number of large natural disasters, particularly by large droughts and floods.

Notice that the economic growth rate of the service sector is particularly affected by large floods. Recent evidence from Brazil has shown the negative consequences of intense floods on economic growth in developed states. For instance, Ribeiro *et al.* (2014) show that the 2008 floods in Santa Catarina reduced industrial production by 5.1 per cent, while de Lima and Barbosa (2018) show a drop of approximately 7.6 per cent in GDP per capita. Haddad and Teixeira (2015) find that floods reduce city growth and residents' welfare in São Paulo, although economic activity in large urban centres tends to recover quickly from severe floods (Kocornik-Mina *et al.*, 2015).

Notice that the linear combination of estimated coefficients ( $\hat{\varphi} + \hat{\phi}$ ) provides the average effect of the episodes of natural disasters regardless of their scale of magnitude. This evidence is aligned with the baseline results in table 3. The linear combination in column (1) suggests that an increase of one standard deviation in the number of natural disasters leads to a reduction of approximately 1.6 per cent ( $= -0.0302 \times 0.5457$ ) in the output growth rate.

#### 4.2.3 Additional robustness analyses

Two additional robustness checks are performed in the current study, both of which are available in the online appendix. Table A2 shows the absence of persistence in the effects of the direct damage from natural disasters, while table A3 replicates table 3 by including variables that capture the party alignment of mayors with state governors and presidents between 2002 and 2011. If a mayor's party alignment facilitates the recognition of a state of emergency or a public calamity, then such an alignment would favour municipalities in accessing fund transfers that help them cope with the disaster damage, undermining

**Table 5.** Effects of episodes of natural disasters on the output growth of municipalities

	Growth rate per capita GDP		Economic sectors (growth rate of per capita added value)		
			Agriculture	Industry	Service
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
<b>Large disasters</b>					
All natural disasters	-0.0215*** (0.0079)				
Droughts		-0.0181* (0.0096)	-0.0609*** (0.0196)	-0.0132 (0.0135)	-0.0073 (0.0071)
Floods		-0.0320* (0.0177)	-0.0732** (0.0357)	-0.0033 (0.0220)	-0.0206** (0.0101)
<b>Small disasters</b>					
All natural disasters	-0.0087 (0.0053)				
Droughts		-0.0035 (0.0055)	-0.0191 (0.0129)	-0.0041 (0.0096)	-0.0014 (0.0033)
Floods		-0.0163 (0.0105)	-0.0380 (0.0236)	0.0120 (0.0165)	-0.0064 (0.0053)
Lagged per capita GDP	-0.5772*** (0.1179)	-0.5276*** (0.1134)	-0.8772*** (0.0948)	-0.3060*** (0.0632)	-0.8045*** (0.0817)
<i>Linear combination (<math>\hat{\psi} + \hat{\phi}</math>)</i>					
All natural disasters	-0.0302*** (0.0108)				
Droughts		-0.0216* (0.0121)	-0.0800*** (0.0264)	-0.0173 (0.0188)	-0.0086 (0.0088)
Floods		-0.0484** (0.0214)	-0.1112** (0.0462)	0.0087 (0.0281)	-0.0270** (0.0114)
<i>Specification tests (p-values)</i>					
Hansen test of overidentification	0.4034	0.1619	0.2518	0.5314	0.3770
Arellano-Bond test for AR(1) in FD	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0308
Arellano-Bond test for AR(2) in FD	0.7258	0.5357	0.4566	0.6992	0.1804
<i>Number of Instruments</i>	46	64	64	64	64
Observations	1,656	1,656	1,656	1,656	1,656

Notes: See the notes to table 3 for the list of control variables included in the regressions. Robust standard errors are in parentheses.

All variables are in log terms. \*\*\*p-value < 0.01, \*\*p-value < 0.05, and \*p-value < 0.1.

estimates in table 3. Results show that this is not the case in Ceará, since the estimates remain unchanged after accounting for mayors' party alignments.

### 4.3 Analysis of mitigating factors

#### 4.3.1 The role of water supply infrastructure

In the past two decades, investment in WSI has been the main resilience policy for droughts in Ceará (Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, it is important to test whether the WSI of municipalities can attenuate the effects of natural disasters on the output growth rate. Water supply infrastructure is proxied by the sum of total water reservoirs and water pipeline systems (with a mean value and standard deviation equal to 1.34 and 1.58, respectively). To test such a hypothesis, equation (1) is re-estimated to include interactions between direct damage and the measure of WSI, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \ln y_{i,t} = & \beta \ln y_{i,t-1} + \rho \ln \text{ND}_{i,t} + \varphi \text{WSI}_{i,t} + \delta (\ln \text{ND}_{i,t} \times \text{WSI}_{i,t}) \\ & + \theta \ln X_{i,t} + \mu_t + \lambda_i + \varepsilon_{i,t}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In table 6, estimated interactions show that WSI helps municipalities to mitigate the effect of natural disasters on the output growth of the service sector but does not mitigate the impact on the agriculture sector. A water reservoir or water pipeline system would reduce the magnitude of the impact of the direct damage of droughts on the output of services by almost 30 per cent.

Perhaps this evidence reflects rural-urban differences in the access to water resources in Ceará, since public investments in water reservoirs and pipeline systems aim to guarantee access to water in urban areas rather than rural areas. For instance, public investment in WSI amounts to US\$730 million between 2000 and 2011, expanding guaranteed water supply from 10,333.4 million m<sup>3</sup> to 1,692.7 billion m<sup>3</sup> in that period. The built infrastructure mainly benefited the metropolitan region of Fortaleza (3.6 million people) and rural communities around the new reservoirs (300,000 people) (Ceratti, 2013).

As highlighted by Gutiérrez *et al.* (2014), one-fourth of the population live in rural areas and most of them are dispersed and disconnected from the perennial water supplies.<sup>17</sup> Moreover, the existing infrastructure (i.e., water dams) are small, precarious (or abandoned), and insufficient to serve rural population during extended periods of droughts.<sup>18</sup> All these factors combined with drought events increase the cost of water provision in rural communities. Thus, the construction of rainfed water cisterns and well drilling, as well as the use of water delivery trucks, have become the main alternatives policies to WSI in rural areas of Ceará state (Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2014).

Therefore, it is not a surprise that large WSI increases the resilience of the service sector to direct damage from droughts in Ceará. Whether small infrastructures of water provision can help to mitigate the effects of natural disasters on the agriculture sector in Northeast Brazil is still an open question.

<sup>17</sup> According to the 2010 Demographic Census, about 96 per cent of urban domiciles and 48 per cent of rural domiciles have access to piped water from the public water supply system (<https://sidra.ibge.gov.br/tabela/3497>).

<sup>18</sup> The abandonment of water dams in rural areas of Ceará state led to degradation of the dam walls, deforestation, increased soil erosion, silting of reservoirs, inappropriate uses of water, poor swage drainage, and the build-up of garbage and pesticides in the water source (Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2014).



**Table 6.** Heterogeneous effects of natural disasters on output growth due to water supply infrastructure

	Growth rate per capita GDP		Economic sectors (growth rate of per capita added value)		
			Agriculture	Industry	Service
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
<i>Average effect</i>					
All natural disasters	−0.0124** (0.0049)				
Droughts		−0.0110** (0.0046)	−0.0298** (0.0121)	0.0042 (0.0081)	−0.0068** (0.0033)
Floods		−0.0122** (0.0060)	−0.0273** (0.0136)	−0.0151 (0.0103)	−0.0033 (0.0031)
<i>Interactions with WSI</i>					
All natural disasters × WSI	−0.0001 (0.0013)				
Droughts × WSI		0.0001 (0.0013)	0.0009 (0.0022)	−0.0017 (0.0027)	0.0020** (0.0009)
Floods × WSI		0.0003 (0.0012)	0.0011 (0.0021)	0.0021 (0.0019)	−0.0004 (0.0007)
Lagged per capita GDP	−0.5288*** (0.1203)	−0.5901*** (0.1026)	−0.8528*** (0.0870)	−0.3679*** (0.0915)	−0.8385*** (0.0819)
<i>Specification tests (p-values)</i>					
Hansen test of overidentification	0.4877	0.4083	0.2759	0.3675	0.5121
Arellano-Bond test for AR(1) in FD	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0252
Arellano-Bond test for AR(2) in FD	0.6280	0.7947	0.6376	0.7226	0.2571
<i>Number of Instruments</i>	67	85	84	85	85
Observations	1,656	1,656	1,656	1,656	1,656

Notes: See the notes to table 3 for the list of control variables included in the regressions. Robust standard errors are in parentheses.

All variables are in log terms. \*\*\**p*-value < 0.01, \*\**p*-value < 0.05.

### 4.3.2 *The role of the Garantia-Safra programme*

The GS programme is a disaster microinsurance policy funded by the federal and state governments, as well as the municipalities.<sup>19</sup> It is one of the actions of the National Programme for Strengthening Family Agriculture (Plano Nacional de Fortalecimento da Agricultura Familiar – PRONAF) and aims to ensure a minimum income to family farmers who joined the programme before the planting season and who live in municipalities

<sup>19</sup> The GS programme was created by the Provisional Act N. 11/2001 and converted into the Law N. 10,420/2002.

with at least 50 per cent of crop losses due to droughts or floods.<sup>20</sup> The programme covers the Northeast region and part of Minas Gerais and Espírito Santo states, especially municipalities within the semiarid region.<sup>21</sup> On average, 190,619 family farmers joined the programme between 2003 and 2011, while 98,200 received benefits.<sup>22</sup> The programme helps family farmers to smooth consumption and can even be used to maintain their livestock.

To test whether the GS programme can mitigate the impact of natural disasters on the output growth of agriculture, equation (1) is reformulated to include the interaction between the measure of natural disasters and the measure of the GS programme. That is,

$$\ln y_{i,t} = \beta \ln y_{i,t-1} + \rho \ln \text{ND}_{i,t} + \varphi \text{GS}_{i,t} + \delta (\ln \text{ND}_{i,t} \times \text{GS}_{i,t}) + \theta \ln X_{i,t} + \mu_t + \lambda_i + \varepsilon_{i,t}. \quad (4)$$

In table 7, *Specification 1* uses the number of small farmers benefiting from the programme, normalized by lagged population size (with a mean and standard deviation equal to 2.18 per cent and 3.71, respectively), while *Specification 2* uses the total amount of payments to small farmers, normalized by lagged total output (with a mean and standard deviation equal to 0.38 per cent and 0.66, respectively).

Estimated interactions in both *Specifications 1* and *2* show that the GS programme alleviates the effects of direct damage from droughts and floods on the output growth of agriculture. On average, the GS programme in affected municipalities reduces the magnitude of the impact of the direct damage of droughts by almost 18 per cent and by nearly 27 per cent in the case of floods.

A potential explanation behind this evidence is that family farmers make use of the benefit from the GS programme as investment in their small production. Silva (2014) investigates the allocation of resources from the GS programme by family farmers in a small rural settlement in the municipality of Ocara, Ceará. The findings suggest that the benefit is mainly used to treat and prevent diseases, as well as to feed animals. It is also allocated to buy water and food, according to the consumption of the family production unit. These families also access cash transfer-based programmes such as Bolsa Família and rural pension, which allow them to allocate the extra resources from the GS programme with their livestock (Silva, 2014).<sup>23</sup>

Therefore, the allocation of the benefit to production rather than to human consumption could explain the mitigating role of the GS programme regarding the effects of natural disasters on the growth performance of the agricultural sector, especially

<sup>20</sup> The target population of Garantia-Safra consists of family farmers who (i) have an average monthly household income equal to or smaller than 1.5 times the minimum wage in the last 12 months before programme registration; (ii) have no irrigated crops; and (iii) have a cultivation area between 0.6 and 5 hectares of beans, corn, rice, cassava and cotton. Small farmers must contribute 2 per cent of the premium value at the time of registration. They must be registered in the programme at the beginning of the agricultural year or before planting season (i.e., from January to March).

<sup>21</sup> Information about the programme can be accessed at <http://www.mda.gov.br/sitemda/secretaria/saf-garantia/sobre-o-programa>.

<sup>22</sup> Data on the GS programme comes from the Ministry of Agrarian Development (Ministério do Desenvolvimento Agrário). See table A4 in the online appendix for descriptive statistics about the GS programme in Ceará.

<sup>23</sup> It is worth mentioning that Bolsa Família and rural pension are the main social welfare policies in the rural northeast of Brazil (Bedran-Martins and Lemos, 2017).

**Table 7.** Heterogeneous effects of natural disasters on output growth due to the Garantia-Safra programme

	Specification 1 (Beneficiaries per lagged population)	Specification 2 (Total amount of payments per lagged output)
Average effect		
Drought	−0.0398*** (0.0138)	−0.0419*** (0.0149)
Flood	−0.0230** (0.0111)	−0.0264** (0.0123)
Interaction with GS		
Drought × GS	0.0032** (0.0015)	0.0205** (0.0089)
Flood × GS	0.0029** (0.0013)	0.0215** (0.0088)
GS	−0.0189*** (0.0055)	−0.1148*** (0.0347)
Lagged per capita GDP	−0.7586*** (0.0946)	−0.7782*** (0.0921)
<i>Specification tests (p-values)</i>		
Hansen test of overidentification	0.0000	0.0000
Arellano-Bond test for AR(1) in FD	0.6537	0.6106
Arellano-Bond test for AR(2) in FD	91	91
Observations	1,656	1,656

Notes: See the notes to table 3 for the list of control variables included in the regressions. All variables are in log terms. \*\*\*p-value < 0.01, \*\*p-value < 0.05.

because family farming is responsible for the great part of rural production in Ceará state (Guilhoto *et al.*, 2009).


### 5. Conclusion

Based on an unexplored database on natural disasters in Brazil, the current study shows that damage from environmental shocks reduces the GDP growth rate of municipal economies in Ceará state, Northeast Brazil. The output growth of agriculture is affected by damage caused by droughts and floods, while the output growth of services is only responsive to damage caused by floods. The economic growth of municipalities is especially responsive to the occurrence of large natural disasters that lead to an emergency condition or public calamity. This is worrisome evidence, since global warming has tended to intensify environmental hazards in Northeast Brazil throughout the 21st century (Seneviratne *et al.*, 2012) with particular consequences for agricultural productivity in Ceará state (Ferreira Filho and de Moraes, 2014; Assunção and Chein, 2016).

Despite improvements in the management of water resources over the last few decades, there are still challenges in responding and adapting to natural disasters, especially droughts in Ceará (Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2014). For instance, WSI increases the resilience

of the economic growth of the service sector to droughts but not for the agriculture sector. This may reflect urban-rural inequality in the access to water resources in Ceará. Thus, public policy should prioritize water provision to rural areas, incorporating technologies that help small farmers to better adapt to environmental hazards (e.g., water desalination and reuse). In terms of policy response, the Garantia-Safra programme plays an important role by mitigating the effects of droughts and floods on the economic growth of agriculture in a Brazilian state where family farming is predominant and highly vulnerable to natural disasters.

**Supplementary material.** The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355770X18000517>.

**Author ORCIDs.**  Victor Hugo de Oliveira, 0000-0002-4737-7062

**Acknowledgements.** I thank two anonymous referees for helpful comments and suggestions. This is a substantially revised version of a previous paper, 'Natural disasters and economic growth in the northeastern Brazil: evidence from municipal economies of the State of Ceará', that circulated as a working paper (IPECE Texto para Discussão n.122 October/2017). Any errors contained in the paper are my own.

## References

- Albala-Bertrand JM** (1993) *Political Economy of Large Natural Disasters*. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press.
- Anttila-Hughes JK and Hsiang SM** (2013) *Destruction, Disinvestment, and Death: Economic and Human Losses Following Environmental Disaster*. Working paper, Berkeley, CA: University of California.
- Arellano M and Bond S** (1991) Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. *Review of Economic Studies* **58**, 277–297.
- Arellano M and Bover O** (1995) Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-components models. *Journal of Econometrics* **68**, 29–52.
- Arouri M, Nguyen C and Youssef AB** (2015) Natural disasters, household welfare, and resilience: evidence from rural Vietnam. *World Development* **70**, 59–77.
- Assunção J and Chein F** (2016) Climate change and agriculture productivity in Brazil: future perspectives. *Environmental and Development Economics* **21**, 1–22.
- Barro RJ** (1990) Government spending in a simple model of endogenous growth. *Journal of Political Economy* **98**, S103–S125.
- Bedran-Martins AM and Lemos MC** (2017) Politics of drought under Bolsa Família program in Northeast Brazil. *World Development Perspectives* **7–8**, 15–21.
- Blundell R and Bond S** (1998) Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models. *Journal of Econometrics* **87**, 115–143.
- Carter MR, Little PD, Mogues T and Negatu W** (2006) Poverty traps and natural disasters in Ethiopia and Honduras. *World Development* **35**, 835–856.
- Cavallo E and Noy I** (2011) Natural disasters and the economy – a survey. *International Review of Environmental and Resource Economics* **5**, 63–102.
- Cavallo E, Galiani S, Noy I and Pantano J** (2013) Catastrophic natural disasters and economic growth. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* **95**, 1549–1561.
- Centro Universitário de Estudos e Pesquisas em Desastres** (2013) Atlas brasileiro de desastres naturais – 1991 a 2012. Available at <https://s2id.mi.gov.br/paginas/atlas/> (in Portuguese).
- Centro Universitário de Estudos e Pesquisas em Desastres** (2016) Relatório dos danos materiais e prejuízos decorrentes de desastres naturais em Santa Catarina: 1995–2014. Available at <http://www.cepel.ufsc.br/relatorio-dos-danos-materiais-e-prejuizos-decorrentes-de-desastres-naturais-em-santa-catarina/> (in Portuguese).
- Ceratti M** (2013) Better water supply for Northeast Brazil. World Bank. Available at <http://www.worldbank.org/en/results/2013/04/03/Brazil-Northeast-Ceara-water-resources-management-project>.

- Chimeli AB, de Souza Filho FA, Holanda MC and Petterini FC** (2008) Forecasting the impacts of climate variability: lessons from the rainfed corn market in Ceará, Brazil. *Environment and Development Economics* **13**, 201–227.
- Clarke DJ and Grenham D** (2013) Microinsurance and natural disasters: challenges and options. *Environment Science & Policy* **27S**, S89–S98.
- Coffman M and Noy I** (2012) Hurricane Iniki: measuring the long-term economic impact of a natural disaster using synthetic control. *Environment and Development Economics* **17**, 187–205.
- Cuaresma JC, Hlouskova J and Obersteiner M** (2008) Natural disasters as creative destruction? Evidence from developing countries. *Economic Inquiry* **46**, 214–226.
- Cummins JD and Mahul O** (2009) *Catastrophe Risk Financing in Developing Countries: Principles for Public Interventions*. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Cunado J and Ferreira S** (2014) The macroeconomic impacts of natural disasters: the case of floods. *Land Economics* **90**, 149–168.
- de Lima RCA and Barbosa AV** (2018) Natural disasters, economic growth and spatial spillovers: evidence from flash floods in Brazil. *Papers in Regional Science*. doi:10.1111/pirs.12380.
- de Oliveira VH and Quintana-Domeque C** (2016) Natural disaster and early human development: Hurricane Catarina and birth outcomes in Brazil. Available at <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1h2znPIOFIBU3tTheMUF9wsqMvIFRLoMe/view>.
- Drabo A and Mbaye LM** (2015) Natural disasters, migration and education: an empirical analysis in developing countries. *Environment and Development Economics* **20**, 767–796.
- duPont IV W, Noy I, Okuyama Y and Sawada Y** (2015) The long-run socio-economic consequences of a large disaster: the 1995 earthquake in Kobe. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0138714.
- Durlauf SN, Johnson PA and Temple JRW** (2005) Growth econometrics. In Aghion P and Durlauf SN (eds), *Handbook of Economic Growth*, vol. 1A. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 555–677.
- Felbermayr G and Gröschl J** (2014) Naturally negative: the growth effects of natural disasters. *Journal of Development Economics* **111**, 92–106.
- Ferreira Filho JBS and de Moraes GI** (2014) Climate change, agriculture and economic effects on different regions of Brazil. *Environment and Development Economics* **20**, 37–56.
- Fomby T, Ikeda Y and Loayza N** (2011) The growth aftermath of natural disasters. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* **28**, 412–434.
- Ghimire R and Ferreira S** (2015) Floods and armed conflict. *Environment and Development Economics* **21**, 23–53.
- Gray C and Mueller V** (2012) Drought and population mobility in rural Ethiopia. *World Development* **40**, 134–145.
- Guilhoto JJM, Azzoni CR and Ichihara SM** (2009) A participação da agricultura familiar no PIB do Ceará. MPRA Paper No. 30691. Available at [https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/30691/1/MPRA\\_paper\\_30691.pdf](https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/30691/1/MPRA_paper_30691.pdf) (in Portuguese).
- Gutiérrez AP, Engle NL, Nys E, Molejón C and Martins ES** (2014) Drought preparedness in Brazil. *Weather and Climate Extremes* **3**, 95–106.
- Haddad EA and Teixeira E** (2015) Economic impacts of natural disasters in megacities: the case of floods in São Paulo, Brazil. *Habitat International* **45**, 106–113.
- Hallegatte S** (2009) Strategies to adapt to an uncertain climate change. *Global Environmental Change* **19**, 240–247.
- Hallegatte S and Dumas P** (2009) Can natural disasters have positive consequences? Investigating the role of embodied technical change. *Ecological Economics* **68**, 777–786.
- Hallegatte S, Hourcade J-C and Dumas P** (2007) Why economic dynamics matter in assessing climate change damages: illustration on extreme events. *Ecological Economics* **62**, 330–340.
- Halliday TJ** (2012) Intra-household labor supply, migration, and subsistence constraints in a risky environment: evidence from rural El Salvador. *European Economic Review* **56**, 1001–1019.
- Holtz-Eakin D, Newey W and Rosen HS** (1988) Estimating vector autoregressions with panel data. *Econometrica* **56**, 1371–1395.
- Hsiang SM and Jina AS** (2014) The causal effect of environmental catastrophe on long-run economic growth: evidence from 6,700 cyclones. NBER Working Paper Series No. 20352, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.

- Integrated Research on Disaster Risk** (2014) Peril classification and hazard glossary. IRDR DATA Publication No. 1. Beijing: Integrated Research on Disaster Risk. Available at <http://www.irdrinternational.org/2014/03/28/irdr-peril-classification-and-hazard-glossary/>.
- Islam N** (1995) Growth empirics: a panel data approach. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **110**, 1127–1170.
- Jakobsen KT** (2012) In the eye of the storm – the welfare impacts of a hurricane. *World Development* **40**, 2578–2589.
- Kocornik-Mina A, McDermott T, Michaels G and Rauch F** (2015) Flooded cities. CEP Working Paper No. 1398, London, UK.
- La Porta R and Shleifer A** (2014) Informality and development. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **28**, 109–126.
- Lal PN, Mitchell T, Aldunce P, Auld H, Mechler R, Miyan A, Romano LE and Zakaria S** (2012) National systems for managing the risks from climate extremes and disasters. In: Field CB, Barros V, Stocker TF, Qin D, Dokken DJ, Ebi KL, Mastrandrea MD, Mach KJ, Plattner G-K, Allen SK, Tignor M, and Midgley PM (eds.) *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation*. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, Chapter 6, pp. 339–392.
- Leitner AM, Oberhofer H and Raschky PA** (2009) Creative disasters? Flooding effects on capital, labour and productivity within European firms. *Environment Resources Economics* **43**, 333–350.
- Loayza N, Olaberria E, Rigolini J and Christiaensen L** (2012) Natural disasters and growth: going beyond the averages. *World Development* **40**, 1317–1336.
- Lohmann S and Lechtenfeld T** (2015) The effect of drought on health outcomes and health expenditures in rural Vietnam. *World Development* **72**, 432–448.
- Mankiw NG, Romer D and Weil DN** (1992) A contribution to the empirics of economic growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* **107**, 407–437.
- Marengo JA, Alves LM, Alvala RCS, Cunha AP, Brito S and Moraes OLL** (2017) Climatic characteristics of the 2010–2016 drought in the semi-arid Northeast Brazil region. *Annals of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences* **90**(2), Supplement 1. doi: 10.1590/0001-3765201720170206.
- McDermott TKJ, Barry F and Tol RSJ** (2014) Disasters and development: natural disasters, credit constraints, and economic growth. *Oxford Economic Papers* **66**, 750–773.
- Medina G, Almeida C, Novaes E, Godar J and Pokorny B** (2015) Development conditions of family farming: lessons from Brazil. *World Development* **74**, 386–396.
- Ministério da Integração Nacional** (2007) Manual para decretação de estado de emergência ou estado de calamidade pública. Available at [http://www.mi.gov.br/c/document\\_library/get\\_file?uuid=aac1713a-727f-4275-be98-6e06abc775cc&groupId=10157](http://www.mi.gov.br/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=aac1713a-727f-4275-be98-6e06abc775cc&groupId=10157) (in Portuguese).
- Noy I** (2009) The macroeconomic consequences of disasters. *Journal of Development Economics* **88**, 221–231.
- Noy I and Vu TB** (2010) The economics of natural disasters in a developing country: the case of Vietnam. *Journal of Asian Economics* **21**, 345–354.
- Raddatz C** (2007) Are external shocks responsible for the instability of output in low-income countries? *Journal of Development Economics* **84**, 155–187.
- Raddatz C** (2009) The wrath of God: macroeconomic costs of natural disasters. Available at [http://siteresources.worldbank.org/DEC/Resources/Wrath\\_of\\_God\\_20080325\\_KCP.pdf](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/DEC/Resources/Wrath_of_God_20080325_KCP.pdf).
- Rasmussen TN** (2004) Macroeconomic implications of natural disasters in the Caribbean. IMF Working Paper No. 224, International Monetary Fund.
- Ribeiro FG, Stein G, Carraro A and Ramos PL** (2014) O impacto econômico dos desastres naturais: o caso das chuvas de 2008 em Santa Catarina. *Planejamento e Políticas Públicas* **43**, 299–322 (in Portuguese).
- Rodriguez-Oreggia E, de la Fuente A, de la Torre R and Moreno HAM** (2012) Natural disasters, human development and poverty at the municipal level in Mexico. *Journal of Development Studies* **49**, 442–455.
- Roodman D** (2009) A note on the theme of too many instruments. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* **71**, 135–158.
- Rosales-Rueda M** (2016) Impact of early life shocks on human capital formation: evidence from El Niño floods in Ecuador. Available at [http://sites.uci.edu/mrosales/files/2014/10/nino\\_paper\\_v22\\_ForEJ\\_long.pdf](http://sites.uci.edu/mrosales/files/2014/10/nino_paper_v22_ForEJ_long.pdf).
- Seneviratne SI, Nicholls N, Easterling D, Goodess CM, Kanae S, Kossin J, Luo Y, Marengo J, McInnes K, Rahimi M, Reichstein M, Sorteberg A, Vera C and Zhang X** (2012) Changes in climate extremes and

- their impacts on the natural physical environment. In Field CB, Barros V, Stocker TF, Qin D, Dokken DJ, Ebi KL, Mastrandrea MD, Mach KJ, Plattner G-K, Allen SK, Tignor M, and Midgley PM (eds.) *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)*, chapter 3. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, pp. 109–230.
- Silva JGS** (2014) *Contribuições do programa Garantia-Safra para a reprodução de unidades produtivas familiares assentadas* (Master's thesis). Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará (in Portuguese).
- Skidmore M and Toya H** (2002) Do natural disasters promote long-run growth? *Economic Enquiry* **40**, 664–687.
- Stillwell HD** (1992) Natural hazards and disasters in Latin America. *Natural Hazards* **6**, 131–159.
- Strobl E** (2011) The economic growth impact of hurricanes: evidence from U.S. coastal counties. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* **93**, 575–589.
- Strobl E** (2012) The economic growth impact of natural disasters in developing countries: evidence from hurricane strikes in the Central American and Caribbean regions. *Journal of Development Economics* **97**, 130–141.
- Sun L, Li H, Ward MN and Moncunill DF** (2006) Climate variability and corn yields in semiarid Ceará, Brazil. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology* **46**, 226–240.
- Torche F** (2011) The effect of maternal stress on birth outcomes: exploiting a natural experiment. *Demography* **48**, 1473–1491.
- Toya H and Skidmore M** (2007) Economic development and the impacts of natural disasters. *Economic Letters* **94**, 20–25.
- United Nations** (2012) Impacts of disasters since the 1992 Rio de Janeiro Earth Summit. The United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. Available at [http://preventionweb.net/files/27162\\_infographic.pdf](http://preventionweb.net/files/27162_infographic.pdf).
- World Health Organization** (2013) Emergency risk management for health: overview. World Health Organization, Public Health England and partners. Available at [http://www.who.int/hac/techguidance/preparedness/risk\\_management\\_overview\\_17may2013.pdf](http://www.who.int/hac/techguidance/preparedness/risk_management_overview_17may2013.pdf).

---

**Cite this article:** de Oliveira VH (2019). Natural disasters and economic growth in Northeast Brazil: evidence from municipal economies of the Ceará State. *Environment and Development Economics* **24**, 271–293. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355770X18000517>