

Hirnantia Fauna from the Condroz Inlier, Belgium: another case of a relict Ordovician shelly fauna in the Silurian?

Sofia Pereira,^{1*} Jorge Colmenar,² Jan Mortier,³ Jan Vanmeirhaeghe,³ Jacques Verniers,³ Petr Štorch,⁴ David Alexander Taylor Harper,⁵ and Juan Carlos Gutiérrez-Marco⁶

¹Centro de Geociências, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal <ardi_eu@hotmail.com>

²Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, Madrid, Spain <jorgecolmenarlallena@gmail.com>

³Department of Geology, Ghent University, Krijgslaan, 281/S8, Belgium <janlmortier@gmail.com> <Jan.Vanmeirhaeghe@wienerberger.com> <jacques.verniers@ugent.be>

⁴Institute of Geology AS CR, Praha, Czech Republic <storch@gli.cas.cz>

⁵Palaeoecosystems Group, Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Durham, UK <david.harper@durham.ac.uk>

⁶Instituto de Geociencias (CSIC, UCM) and Área de Paleontología GEODESPAL, Facultad de Ciencias Geológicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain <jcgrpto@geo.ucm.es>

Abstract.—The end-Ordovician mass extinction, linked to a major glaciation, led to deep changes in Hirnantian–Rhuddanian biotas. The *Hirnantia* Fauna, the first of two Hirnantian survival brachiopod-dominated communities, characterizes the lower–mid Hirnantian deposits globally, and its distribution is essential to understand how the extinction took place. In this paper, we describe, illustrate, and discuss the first macrofossiliferous *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblage from Belgium, occurring in the Tihange Member of the Fosses Formation at Tihange (Huy), within the Central Condroz Inlier. Six fossiliferous beds have yielded a low-diversity, brachiopod-dominated association. In addition to the brachiopods (*Eostropheodonta hirnantensis*, *Plectothyrella crassicosta*, *Hirnantia* sp., and *Trucizetina?* sp.), one trilobite (*Mucronaspis* sp.), four pelmatozoans (*Xenocrinus* sp., *Cyclocharax* [col.] *paucicrenulatus*, *Conspectocrinus* [col.] *celticus*, and *Pentagonocyclicus* [col.] sp.), three graptolites (*Cystograptus ancestralis*, *Normalograptus normalis*, and *Metabolograptus* sp.), together with indeterminate machaeridians and bryozoans were identified. The graptolite assemblage, from the *Akidograptus ascensus*-*Parakidograptus acuminatus* Biozone, indicates an early Rhuddanian (Silurian) age, and thus, an unexpectedly late occurrence of a typical *Hirnantia* Fauna. This Belgian association may represent an additional example of relict *Hirnantia* Fauna in the Silurian, sharing characteristics with the only other known from Rhuddanian rocks at Yewdale Beck (Lake District, England), although reworking has not been completely ruled out. The survival of these Hirnantian taxa into the Silurian might be linked to delayed post-glacial effects of rising temperature and sea-level, which may have favored the establishment of refugia in these two particular regions that were paleogeographically close during the Late Ordovician–early Silurian.

Introduction

The Hirnantian (latest Ordovician) was a special time in Earth's history, marked by the second largest extinction of the Phanerozoic (Sepkoski, 1996) and the onset of a major glaciation associated with the extinctions (e.g., Brenchley et al., 1994; Sheehan, 2001). The Hirnantian glaciation, centered on the northern margin of Gondwana, led to dramatic sea level changes and, consequently, to changes in oceanic circulation, water chemistry, oxygen concentrations, and nutrients availability, causing severe disturbances of global ecosystems (Sheehan, 2001). Traditionally, two major extinction pulses are recognized during the Hirnantian (Brenchley et al., 1995; Harper et al., 2014). However, some authors have recognized a prolonged three-phased interval of biodiversity loss and suggested that the extinctions could have started earlier (e.g., Rasmussen et al., 2019). Others (e.g., Wang et al., 2019) defended a single-pulse extinction, followed by

different recovery phases through the Hirnantian and early Silurian.

Regardless of its causes and pulses, brachiopod-dominated communities originated and thrived worldwide in shallow-marine environments at intermediate to low latitudes after the first extinction pulse. These communities characterize the Hirnantian deposits globally, known as the *Hirnantia* Fauna (Temple, 1965). The appearance, lifespan, composition, and geographical distribution of these survivor communities are essential to understand what triggered the extinction and how it happened. Recently, Rong et al. (2020a) analyzed all the reported occurrences of brachiopod Hirnantian fossil assemblages and recognized two successive evolutionary faunas related to the first and second phases of the extinction: the *Hirnantia* Fauna *sensu stricto*, a widespread and diachronous community related to the glacial acme in the early-middle Hirnantian; and the newly named Edgewood-Cathay Fauna, adapted to the warmer waters of the late Hirnantian and early Rhuddanian (Silurian) post-glacial stage, occupying the low-latitude biotopes left vacant by the disappearance of the *Hirnantia* Fauna.

*Corresponding author

In Belgium, the occurrence of Hirnantian sequences was first postulated by Billiaert (2000) and Herbosch et al. (2002) based on the presence of coarse terrigenous beds in the Génicot Formation of the Central Condruz Inlier, which was interpreted as the sedimentological expression of the Hirnantian glaciation sea level drop (Vanmeirhaeghe and Verniers, 2004). Shortly after, Vanmeirhaeghe (2006a) reassigned the Génicot Formation to the Aeronian (Silurian). However, based on its stratigraphical position and sedimentological characteristics, he recognized another probable Hirnantian succession in the Central Condruz Inlier: the uppermost part of the Fosses Formation, which he defined as the Tihange Member (Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a). Mortier (2014), in his PhD thesis, confirmed the Hirnantian age for the upper part of the Tihange Member based on brachiopods.

In this paper, we describe, illustrate, and discuss the first macrofossiliferous *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblage from Belgium, from the Tihange Member at Tihange (Huy). A systematic paleontology section is provided for all the identified groups. The age and depositional settings of the upper member of the Fosses Formation are herein discussed based on the biostratigraphy and the paleobiogeographical affinities of this new assemblage, framed within the most recent perspectives on the latest Ordovician Hirnantian brachiopod faunas (Rong et al., 2020a).

Geographical and geological setting

Location of the study area.—The studied material is from central-eastern Belgium, geologically located in the eastern part of the Condruz Inlier, in two sections of the Tihange Member, in the village of Tihange (Huy), between Namur and Liège (Fig. 1.1, 1.2).

The studied sections are exposed on the ‘Rue Bonne Espérance’ (50°31′35.6″N, 5°15′42.7″E) and the ‘Rue Rouge Lion’ (50°31′33.2″N, 5°15′37.1″E; Figs. 2, 3). Two data points were created to locate the samples (Mortier, 2014): a northern one at the northern junction of the Rue Bonne Espérance and the Rue Rouge Lion, and a southern one at the southern junction of these same streets (Fig. 1.3). Along the Rue Bonne Espérance, the outcrops start at 99.8 m east of the northern datum point, being almost continuous from 142–213 m. In this section, the best exposures are located along the southwestern side of the street, the succession being poorly represented and weathered on the opposite side. Along the Rue Rouge Lion section, the exposures are mainly on its southwestern side, from 45.6–96.7 m south of the northern datum point. These sections were studied previously by Malaise (1907), Michot (1932a, 1934, 1954), Martin (1969), Vanmeirhaeghe (2006a), and Mortier (2014).

The Central Condruz Inlier and Ordovician stratigraphy of the Tihange Sector.—The Condruz Inlier, also known as the ‘Bande de Sambre-et-Meuse,’ ‘Bande condrusienne,’ ‘Ride du Condruz,’ or ‘Bande de Dave’ (e.g., d’Omalius d’Halloy, 1842; Malaise, 1910; Maillieux, 1939; Verniers and Van Grootel, 1991), is a long (~65 km) and narrow (0.5–4 km) WSW-ESE to SW-NE strip of Ordovician and Silurian of predominantly siliciclastic sedimentary rocks exposed roughly between Charleroi and Liège (Fig. 1.2). They contact with the

Middle Devonian to lower Carboniferous succession of the Brabant Parautochthon to the north and the Lower Devonian of the Ardenne Allochthon to the south. Together with the Brabant Massif and the four Ardennes Inliers, this is one of the six areas in Belgium where the lower Paleozoic crops out. At least three tectonic zones can be distinguished within the Condruz Inlier, all of them having a distinct evolutionary history prior to conjoining together in this narrow band by Variscan tectonics (Michot, 1980; Verniers et al., 2001).

The study area (Fig. 1.2) belongs to the central and main part of the Condruz Inlier termed the ‘Central Condruz Inlier,’ comprising a post-Cambrian metasedimentary sequence, ranging from the Lower Ordovician (only represented in the Wépion borehole) to the upper Silurian, represented by siliciclastic rocks deposited in a deeper shelf setting (Verniers et al., 2002). Continuous sections are rare owing to the difficulty of properly correlating individual sedimentary successions and lithostratigraphic units, in addition to estimating their thicknesses across the inlier. Therefore, herein we will focus only on the litho- and biostratigraphical data from the study sections at Tihange village, avoiding uncertain stratigraphical correlations with other Condruz Inlier sectors.

The studied stratigraphic succession at Tihange (Fig. 2) was assigned to the Fosses Formation (Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a). Its contact with the underlying lithostratigraphical unit (lithology and stratification are similar to those of the Rue de Corrière Member of the Vitriaval-Bruyère Formation) is faulted (Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a). The lower unit of the Fosses Formation, the Bois de Presles Member, is represented only in the Rue Bonne Espérance Section, being composed of brown-gray decalcified mudstones, bearing limestone nodules with marcasite and few macrofossil remains (Michot, 1954; Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a; Mortier, 2014). Michot (1932a, 1934, 1954) cited the brachiopod *Orthis calligramma* Dalman, 1828, from this member in Rue Bonne Espérance Section, reidentified later by Sheehan (1987) as *Portranella akymatata* Sheehan, 1987, which is restricted to middle to upper Katian rocks. Chitinozoans collected by Mortier (2014) also suggest correlation with the middle to upper Katian.

Upwards, the lithology changes to gray-green, micaceous siltstones containing dark gray fusiform to elliptical, bioturbation traces (the ‘schistes mouchetés’ of Lassine, 1913) and small rusty cubes (possibly weathered pyrite) at the top of the Faulx-les-Tombes Member. The rare macrofossils found in this member (brachiopods, trilobites, and graptolites), together with a long-ranging chitinozoan assemblage, provide poor age constraints for this unit at this location (Mortier, 2014). However, in its type locality (Faulx-les-Tombes, Namur), this member is assigned to the Rawtheyan (=upper Katian, Ka4 stage slice; Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a, b). A possible fault marks the boundary with the overlying Tihange Member. This member may be lithostratigraphically subdivided into a lower and an upper unit. The lower unit shows dark gray micaceous mudstones and rusty patches similar to the underlying Faulx-les-Tombes Member, suggesting a gradual transition between both members. Most of the chitinozoan specimens could not be attributed to species level. The specimens that are identifiable are only long ranging or reworked (Mortier, 2014).




Figure 1. Geographical and geological setting of the Condroz Inlier and the studied sections. (1) Simplified geological map of Belgium (adapted from Fielitz and Mansy, 1999; Mortier, 2014); (2) location of the Tihange area and the main outcrop localities of the Condroz Inlier (map compiled from Michot, 1932a, b, 1934, 1944, 1969; Vandeveldt, 1976; Maes et al., 1978; and modified after Mortier, 2014); (3) overview of the sections of rue Bonne Espérance and rue Rouge Lion, with exact positions of the fossiliferous levels.

The upper part of the Tihange Member is represented by light gray micaceous mudstones that coarsen upwards to cross-bedded fine-grained sandstones, fining to mudstones towards

the top. The macrofossil assemblages are from this latter section of the Tihange Member, discussed in detail below. Mortier (2014) recovered a poorly preserved specimen of the




Figure 2. Lithostratigraphy of the two studied sections at Tihange, with exact positions of the fossiliferous levels. Abbreviations: A., *Akidograptus*; bioz., biozones; C., *Cystograptus*; complan., *complanatus*; D., *Dicellograptus*; extraord., *extraordinarius*; Fm, Formation; M., *Metabolograptus*; Mb, Member; N., *Normalograptus*; pars., part; Obs., Observational; P., *Pleurograptus*; Par., *Parakidograptus*.

chitinozoan *Ancyrochitina ellisbayensis?* Soufiane and Achab, 2000, (bed JM 06-58 in Fig. 2), suggesting a late Hirnantian age (Hi2) for the upper part of the Tihange Member. This unit is overlain by the Bonne Espérance Formation (Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a, 2007), a lower Rhuddanian (Silurian) succession of laminated dark graptolitic mudstones (Michot, 1932a, 1934, 1954; Verniers et al., 1995; Mortier, 2014).

The Tihange Member.—Vanmeirhaeghe (2006a, 2007) erected the Tihange Member, which later was promoted to formation rank by Mortier (2014). The unit, however, <20 m thick (Figs. 1, 2) and is not mappable at a regional scale (sensu Murphy and Salvador, 1992). We thus follow Vanmeirhaeghe (2006a,

2007) in recognizing the unit as a member. The Tihange Member corresponds to the “c” level of Michot (1954, p. 65), a 15 m succession of sandy shale and thin sandstone beds that coarsen upwards in the Rue Bonne Espérance Section. So far, this unit is known only from the Tihange study area.

Malaise (1907) was probably the first to document these levels, when he reported for the first time from Belgium the brachiopod species “*Strophomena siluriana*” from the ‘schistes quartzeux’ immediately underlying the Silurian graptolitic mudstone. Malaise’s identification is questionable, and probably corresponds to *Eostropheodonta hirnantensis* (M’Coy, 1851), which is one of the most common brachiopod species in the Tihange Member fossil assemblage of the Rue Bonne Espérance




Figure 3. Examples of the interbedded accumulations layers: (1) and (2) from JC3 level; (3) and (4) from JC4 level. (1) IRSNB a13492, which shows several complete *Eostropheodonta hirnantensis* (M'Coy, 1851) valves, numerous fragments of brachiopods, and several disarticulated pelmatozoan columnal plates; the white arrow indicates a *Pentagonocyclicus* (col.) sp. columnal; (2) IRSNB a13504, which shows numerous brachiopod and bryozoan fragments, as well as disarticulated pelmatozoan columnal plates; the white arrow indicates a *Conspicocrinus* (col.) *celticus* Le Menn in Chauvel and Le Menn, 1973, columnal; (3) IRSNB a13509, which shows numerous brachiopod and bryozoan fragments, as well as disarticulated pelmatozoan columnal plates; the white arrow on the left indicates a trilobite sclerite; the white arrow on the right indicates a machaeridian plate; (4) IRSNB a13508, which shows numerous brachiopod and bryozoan fragments, as well as disarticulated pelmatozoan columnal plates; the white arrow indicates a *Cyclocharax* (col.) *paucicrenellatus* Le Menn in Chauvel and Le Menn, 1973, columnal. All scale bars = 2 mm.

section. Unfortunately, Malaise (1907) neither figured the specimens nor detailed their stratigraphic position, simply listing the species together with other fossil taxa, without specifying if they all occurred in the same bed or across several meters below the 'Llandoverly.' This may have led the importance of this report to go unnoticed by subsequent authors, who probably considered Malaise's identification of "*Strophomena siluriana*" to correspond to other taxa occurring in the diverse brachiopod assemblage known from the lower members of the Fosses Formation (Sheehan, 1987). Furthermore, the Tihange specimens identified as "*Strophomena siluriana*" were not reported from Malaise's Ordovician brachiopod collection when revised by Sheehan (1987) and Lespérance and Sheehan (1987). It is possible that Malaise's (1907) material is lost.

The lower part of the Tihange Member crops out in the Rue Bonne Espérance section, 160–174 m eastwards from the northern datum point, with a thickness of 8.7 m. The boundary with the upper part of the Tihange Member is apparently conformable. In the Rue Rouge Lion section, from 74.4–77.8 m

southwards of the northern datum point, we measured a thickness of 2.2 m; the boundary with the upper part of the Tihange Member is also a conformable stratigraphical contact, although modified by faults.

Mortier (2014) distinguished five subdivisions within the upper part of the Tihange Member, which we follow here (Fig. 2). The succession starts with light gray, micaceous mudstones with the same grain size as the lower part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 1). Upwards, it becomes progressively coarser (subdivision 2), from very coarse-grained mudstones, to laminated siltstones and very fine-grained sandstones (subdivision 3), with beds up to 5 cm thick in the coarsest part that show crossbedding, indicating normal stratigraphical polarity in both sections. When grain size is coarser, lamination is observed. Towards the top, the grain size becomes finer again (subdivision 4), with mudstones having a similar grain size as those occurring at the base, and rare 3 cm beds of coarser mudstone towards the top (subdivision 5). The rocks are dark gray (not as dark as in the lower part of the Tihange Member) with

rusty patches, similar to those in the lower part of the Tihange Member. In the Rue Bonne Espérance section, the thickness of the upper part of the Tihange Member, exposed from 174–184 m eastwards of the northern datum point, is 9.4 m, possibly with a faulted contact with the overlying lithostratigraphical unit. In the Rue Rouge Lion section, from 77.8–95.2 m southwards of the northern datum point, the thickness is 19.3 m, again with a possible faulted contact marking its upper limit. The fossil assemblages are from subdivision 3 of the upper part of the Tihange Member (Figs. 1.3, 2).

Materials and methods

The material originates from the eastern part of the Condroz Inlier, from two nearby sections of the Tihange Member that are exposed in the village of Tihange (Huy), between Namur and Liège (Fig. 1.2). Collecting took place during several field campaigns conducted by JM in 2007–2009 and recent campaigns in the spring and summer of 2020 by JC and SP.

Six fossiliferous beds from the upper part of the Tihange Member were sampled (Figs. 1.3, 2), two from the Rue Rouge Lion section (beds JC1 and JC2, corresponding, respectively, to JM 07–18 and JM 07–19 of Mortier, 2014) and four from the Rue Bonne Espérance section (beds JC3, JC4, JC5, and JC6; JC4 corresponding to JM 06–28 of Mortier, 2014; see detailed description below). These beds yielded a total of 168 brachiopods, 22 trilobites, and four graptolite specimens, as well as numerous crinoid columnals, disarticulated machaeridian plates, and bryozoans. Groups occurring in each sampled bed are shown in Figure 2.

Rue Rouge Lion section (Figs. 1.3, 2).—The assemblages from this section yielded abundant brachiopod and a few trilobite remains. The material is fragmentary, occurring in very fine-grained sandstones (subdivision 3) of the upper part of the Tihange Member, and the preservation, especially of the brachiopods, is quite poor. Two fossiliferous levels have yielded identifiable elements of the *Hirnantia* Fauna: JC 1 (=JM 07–18) level produced brachiopods, exclusively represented by *Eostropheodonta hirsantensis* (~49 specimens); JC 2 (=JM 07–19) level yielded a similar association to that of JC 1, but the brachiopod remains are less abundant with just few fragmentary valves of *Eostropheodonta hirsantensis* (~6 identifiable specimens), and 22 trilobite fragments were collected, seven of them identifiable as *Mucronaspis* sp.

Rue Bonne Espérance section (Figs. 1.3, 2).—The assemblages from this section are more diverse, and the preservation is generally better than in the Rue Rouge Lion Section. The material also is from subdivision 3 of the upper part of the Tihange Member, but in this case the fossils occur in very coarse-grained mudstones that alternate with the fine-grained sandstones of this subdivision. Six fossiliferous levels have yielded macrofossil remains: JC 3 level occurs in a bed of coarse mudstones, 3 cm thick, in which well-preserved brachiopods and graptolites occur, and a thin interbedded layer (<1 cm) consisting of accumulations of fragments (mostly isolated pelmatozoan columnals, ramose bryozoans and brachiopod fragments), with occasionally some complete

brachiopod valves (Fig. 3.1, 3.2). This is the richest fossiliferous level, yielding ~83 brachiopod specimens (*Eostropheodonta hirsantensis* 89%, *Plectothyrella crassica* 7%, *Hirnantia* sp. 3%, *Trucizetina?* sp. 1%), 11 identifiable pelmatozoan columnals (*Pentagonocyclicus* [col.] sp. 64%, *Cyclocharax* [col.] *paucicrenulatus* 18%, *Conspectocrinus* [col.] *celticus* 9%, *Xenocrinus* sp. 9%), four graptolites (*Normalograptus normalis* 50%, *Cystograptus ancestralis* 25%, ?*Metabolograptus* sp. 25%), and indeterminate ramose bryozoans. JC 4 (=JM 06–28) level occurs in a bed of very fine-grained sandstones, ~3 cm thick, with a thin interbedded layer (<1 cm) of accumulations of fragments, mostly isolated pelmatozoan columnals, ramose bryozoans, brachiopod fragments, occasionally with some complete brachiopod valves, disarticulated machaeridian plates, and rare trilobite sclerites (Fig. 3.3, 3.4). This level has yielded ~23 identifiable brachiopods (*Eostropheodonta hirsantensis* 95%, *Plectothyrella crassica* 5%), eight identifiable disarticulated pelmatozoan columnals (*Pentagonocyclicus* [col.] sp. 75%, *Cyclocharax* [col.] *paucicrenulatus* 25%), one machaeridian plate, a few indeterminate trilobite sclerites, and ramose bryozoans. JC 5 level consists of a bed of very fine-grained sandstones, ~2 cm thick, with a thin interbedded layer (<1 cm) of accumulations of fragments, mostly isolated pelmatozoan columnals, ramose bryozoans, and brachiopod fragments, with some occasional complete brachiopod valves and occasional trilobite sclerites. This level has yielded only a few identifiable remains, of which about four are identifiable brachiopods (*Eostropheodonta hirsantensis* 75%, *Plectothyrella crassica* 25%), three identifiable disarticulated pelmatozoan columnals (*Pentagonocyclicus* [col.] sp. 66%, *Cyclocharax* [col.] *paucicrenulatus* 33%), a few indeterminate trilobite sclerites, and ramose bryozoans. JC 6 level consists of a bed of very fine-grained sandstones, ~3 cm thick, yielding isolated brachiopod shells, only three of which are identifiable (*Eostropheodonta hirsantensis* 66%, *Plectothyrella crassica* 33%).

Repository and institutional abbreviation.—The study material is housed at the Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences (RBINS), Brussels, Belgium, with numbers IRSNB a13491 to a13523 (figured specimens) and Invert-34332 (non-figured specimens).

Systematic paleontology

The use of open nomenclature follows Bengtson (1988). Systematic assignment of the clade Machaeridia follows the proposal of Parry et al. (2019).

- Phylum Brachiopoda Duméril, 1806
- Subphylum Rhynchonelliformea Williams et al., 1996
- Class Strophomenata Williams et al., 1996
- Order Strophomenida Öpik, 1934
- Superfamily Strophomenoidea King, 1846
- Family Leptostrophidiidae Caster, 1939
- Genus *Eostropheodonta* Bancroft, 1949

Type species.—*Orthis hirsantensis* M'Coy, 1851 from the Hirnant Formation (Hirnantian), Upper Ordovician, Aber Hirnant, near Bala, Wales, UK.

Eostropheodonta hirnantensis (M'Coy, 1851)

Figure 4

1851 *Orthis hirnantensis* M'Coy, p. 395.

2020b *Eostropheodonta hirnantensis* (M'Coy, 1851); Rong et al., p. 13, figs.16C, G, H. [and the synonymy therein]

Holotype.—Holotype was not selected by Davidson (1871). Lectotype selected by Temple (1965, p. 410), internal mold of ventral valve (A 28831) from the Hirnant Formation (Hirnantian) of North Wales, UK (M'Coy, 1852, pl. 1H, fig. 11c), figured again in Temple (1965, pl. XIX, fig. 1).

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Rouge Lion section, JC 2 [=JM 07–19] and Rue Bonne Espérance section, JC 3, JC 4 [=JM 06–28], JC 5, JC 6), lower Rhuddanian A. *ascensus*-P. *acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Other occurrences of *Eostropheodonta hirnantensis*.—Zalesie Formation, Hirnantian of the Holy Cross Mountains, Poland (Baltica); Langøyene and Husbergøya formations, Hirnantian of Norway (Baltica); Kyrkås Formation, Jämtland and Tommarp Formation, Hirnantian of Västergötland, Sweden (Baltica), Kuldiga Formation, Hirnantian of Estonia, Lithuania, and Latvia (Baltica); Dorozhninsky horizon, Hirnantian of Russia (Gorny Altai); White Head Formation, Hirnantian of Québec (Laurentia); Tirekhtyakh Formation, Hirnantian of Russia (Kolyma Terrane); Hwe Mawng and Pangshsa-Pye formations, Hirnantian of Burma (Sibumasu); Keisley Limestone, Hirnantian of Westmorland, England (Lakesman Terrane); Kildare Limestone, Hirnantian of Ireland (Leinster-Lakesman Terrane); Tirnaskea Formation, Hirnantian of Northern Ireland (Midland Valley Terrane); Glyn, Plas Uchaf, and Cym-y-brain formations and Hirnant Limestone, Hirnantian of N. Wales (E. Avalonia); Haverford, Cwm Clyd, and Wenallt formations, Hirnantian of Mid Wales (E. Avalonia); Gögeren Formation, Hirnantian of NW Turkey (Pontides); Don Braulio Formation, Hirnantian of Argentina (Precordillera); Kosov Formation, Hirnantian of Czech Republic (Bohemia); Marmairane Formation, Hirnantian of France (Mouthoumet); “volcaniclastic unit,” Hirnantian of N. Spain (Iberia).

Materials.—About 150 specimens, all preserved as internal and external molds (figured specimen numbers: IRSNB a13491–a13497 and a13507). Some of the material is fragmented, always disarticulated, but normally well preserved, showing details such as the papillate interior well (Fig. 4.6).

Remarks.—The strong, crenulated dental plates, socket ridges crenulated posteriorly, and the elongated, erect cardinal process lobes indicate inclusion of this material within the genus *Eostropheodonta*. There are several species ascribed to this genus, ranging from the Katian (Upper Ordovician) to the Rhuddanian (Silurian). Among all the species assigned to *Eostropheodonta*, the Belgian shells most strongly resemble *E. hirnantensis* (M'Coy, 1851) from the Hirnant Formation of Wales, *E. squamosa* Havlíček, 1971 from the Kosov

Formation of Bohemia, *E. intermedia* Havlíček, 1971 from the Upper Ktaoua Formation of the Moroccan Anti-Atlas, *E. discumbata* Cocks and Brunton in Cocks et al., 1970, from the Cedarberg Formation of South Africa, and *E. martnai* (Röömusoks, 1993) from the Adila Formation of Estonia, by having mucronate to acute cardinal extremities. The Belgian material shows a ridge/process supporting the chilidium, located between the cardinal process lobes. This character is barely distinguishable, but present, in *E. hirnantensis* (Cocks et al., 1970) and well developed in the other species mentioned above, as well as in some specimens of *E. parvicostellata* Rong, 1984, from the Kuanyinchiao Formation of Yichang, China and in *Sinomena typica* Zeng, Chen, and Zhang in Zeng et al., 2016, now considered a junior synonym of *E. hirnantensis* (see Rong et al., 2020b). This structure has been considered as a third lobe of the cardinal process (Zeng et al., 2016), but more recently has been interpreted as the attachment structure for the adductor muscles in the dorsal valve (Rong et al., 2020b). This ridge might alternatively, or additionally, correspond to a mesocardinal ridge (see Pope, 1976), which is present in other strophomenoids, usually supporting the chilidium when preserved (Colmenar, 2016), or even might be hidden under the chilidium (Pope, 1976). The Belgian material also resembles *E. squamosa* by having a similar rib density of ~12–13 costellae per 5 mm measured at 10 mm anteromedially from the umbo, but differs from the Bohemian material in lacking the strongly marked concentric lamellae typical of the Bohemian species. *Eostropheodonta intermedia* differs from the material described here in having a much finer radial ornament of ~23 costellae at the same valve length and by lacking the mesocardinal ridge. *Eostropheodonta discumbata* differs from the Belgian material in having more elongated cardinal process lobes extending anteriorly as long as socket ridges (while in the material described here, they are slightly shorter) and by having a thicker radial ornament counting ~7–8 ribs per 5 mm measured at 10 mm anteromedially from umbo. *Eostropheodonta martnai* also shows a mesocardinal ridge, but differs from the Belgian specimens by having a more convex ventral umbonal region and slightly concave dorsal valves. *Eostropheodonta hirnantensis* shares all characters such as shell outline, dimensions, rib density, occasional presence of concentric lamellae, size and divergence of dental plates, cardinal process, and notothyrial platform, with the Belgian material, but for the poor development of the mesocardinal ridge. Despite this single difference from the type material of the Welsh species, we assigned the Belgian valves to *E. hirnantensis*.

Class Rhynchonellata Williams et al., 1996

Order Orthida Schuchert and Cooper, 1932

Suborder Dalmanellidina Moore, 1952

Superfamily Dalmanelloidea Schuchert, 1913

Family Dalmanellidae Schuchert, 1913

Subfamily Dalmanellinae Schuchert, 1913

Genus *Trucizetina* Havlíček, 1974

Type species.—*Trucizetina subrotundata* Havlíček, 1974, from the Kosov Formation (Hirnantian), Upper Ordovician of Bohemia.




Figure 4. *Eostropheodonta hirnantensis* (M'Coy, 1851) from the upper part of the Tihange Member, Fosses Formation, Tihange (Huy), all from JC3 level except (9) from JC4 level. (1–3) IRSNB a13491: (1) latex cast of exterior, (2) internal mold, and (3) latex cast of interior of a ventral valve; (4–7) IRSNB a13492: (4) latex cast of exterior, (5) internal mold, (6) detail of pseudopunctae, and (7) latex cast of interior of a dorsal valve; (8) IRSNB a13493: latex cast of exterior of a ventral valve showing mucronate extremities; (9) IRSNB a13507: latex cast of exterior of a dorsal valve showing mucronate extremities showing mucronate cardinal extremities; (10, 11, 15) IRSNB a13494: (10) internal mold, (11) detail of the ichnofossil *Vermiforichnus* Cameron, 1969, on the internal mold surface, and (15) latex cast of interior of a ventral valve; (12–14) IRSNB a13495: (12) internal mold, (13) latex cast of interior, and (14) detail of the cretulated dental plates of a ventral valve; (16–19) IRSNB a13496: (16) internal mold, (17) latex cast of interior, and (18) detail of the cardinalia showing the mesocardinal ridge of a dorsal valve; (19) IRSNB a13497: latex cast of interior of a dorsal valve in latero-oblique view showing the cretulated socket ridges. All scale bars = 2 mm

Trucizetina? sp.
Figure 5.10–5.12

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Bonne Espérance section, JC3), lower Rhuddanian *A. ascensus-P. acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Materials.—Single internal and external molds of a dorsal valve available (figured specimen number IRSNB a13502).

Remarks.—The generic assignment of this dalmanellid shell is difficult, based on the only specimen. The dorsal valve shares some characteristics with the genus *Trucizetina* (e.g., the fascicostellate ornament; the slender, plate-like cardinal process that is shorter than the brachiophore bases, posteriorly protruding through the notothyrium; and the subcircular dorsal muscle field), but the incipient shallow dorsal sulcus contrasts with the characteristic deep, angular dorsal median sulcus of this genus. However, the last character varies within a population, as can be seen in the type material of this genus from the Hirnantian Kosov Formation of Bohemia, with some specimens showing a shallow sulcus (e.g., Havlíček, 1977, pl. 31, fig. 8), as well as in some others assigned to this genus occurring in the upper part of the Trapiche Formation, probably late Katian in age, of the Precordillera (e.g., Benedetto, 1999, figs. 8L, 8T, 8U, 8W, 2002, pl. 1, fig. 28), and from the Hirnantian Hwe Mawng Purple Shale Member of the Naungkangyi Group Myanmar (e.g., Rong et al., 2020b, fig. 19J), which in appearance even lack the sulcus. Until more material is available, the specimen is assigned tentatively to *Trucizetina*.

Suborder Dalmanellidina Moore, 1952
Superfamily Enteletoidea Waagen, 1884
Family Draboviidae Havlíček, 1950
Genus *Hirnantia* Lamont, 1935

Type species.—*Orthis sagittifera* M'Coy, 1851 from the Hirnant Formation (Hirnantian), Upper Ordovician of North Wales.

Hirnantia sp.
Figure 5.13, 5.14

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Bonne Espérance Section, JC3), lower Rhuddanian *A. ascensus-P. acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Materials.—Two incomplete internal molds of dorsal valves available from locality JC3 (figured specimen number IRSNB a13503).

Remarks.—The only dorsal valve available displays a long, thin ridge-like cardinal process, located on a wide notothyrial platform, continuing anteriorly as a wide median ridge bisecting the adductor muscle field, which most probably belongs to *Hirnantia*. The small size of the valve, ~10 mm wide, and the ridge-like cardinal process without a lobate and

crenulated myophore, a character that is usually developed in adult specimens, might indicate that it is likely a juvenile specimen. In the absence of the external characters and the poor preservation of the internal mold, specific determination is not possible.

Order Rhynchonellida Kuhn, 1949
Superfamily Rhynchotrematoidea Schuchert, 1913
Family Trigonirhynchiidae Schmidt, 1965
Subfamily Rostricellulinae Rozman, 1969
Genus *Plectothyrella* Temple, 1965

Type species.—*Plectothyrella platystrophoides*; OD; =*Plectothyrella crassicosta* (Dalman, 1828) from the Hirnant Formation (Hirnantian) of North Wales.

Plectothyrella crassicosta (Dalman, 1828)
Figure 5.1–5.9

1828 *Atrypa?* *crassicostis* Dalman, p. 131.
2020b *Plectothyrella crassicostis* (Dalman, 1828); Rong et al., p. 21, fig. 23A–F. [and the synonymy therein]

Holotype.—Internal mold and portion of external mold of conjoined valves (A 52001) from the Hirnant Formation (Hirnantian) of North Wales, UK (Temple, 1965, Pl. XX, fig. 3).

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Bonne Espérance section, JC3, JC6), lower Rhuddanian *A. ascensus-P. acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Other occurrences of *Plectothyrella crassicosta*.—Tirekhtyakh Formation, Hirnantian (Kolyma Terrane); Kuanyinchiao Formation, Hirnantian of China (South China); Wanyaoshu Formation, Hirnantian of China (N. Sibumasu); Xainza Formation, Hirnantian of Tibet (S. Tibet); White Head Formation, Hirnantian of Québec (Laurentia); Tommarp Formation, Hirnantian of Västergötland, Sweden (Baltica); Kuldiga Formation, Hirnantian of Estonia and Latvia (Baltica); Zalesie Formation, Hirnantian of the Holy Cross Mountains, Poland (Baltica); Kildare Limestone, Hirnantian of Ireland (Leinster-Lakesman Terrane); siltstones at Pond Pitch and Haskell Rock, Hirnantian of Maine (Miramichi Terrane); Glyn Formation and Hirnant Limestone, Hirnantian of N. Wales (E. Avalonia); Graig-wen Sandstone, Hirnantian of C. Wales (E. Avalonia); Wenallt Formation, Hirnantian of Mid Wales (E. Avalonia); Rio San Marco Formation, Hirnantian of Italy (Sardinia).

Materials.—Five internal and external molds (three dorsal valves, two ventral valves) and a specimen with conjoined valves (figured specimen numbers IRSNB a13498–a13501).

Nomenclatural notes.—The original species-group name by Dalman (1828), *crassicostis*, was an attempt to create a combination of the adjective *crassa* (thick) + *costa* (rib). However, the adjective of *costa* would be *costatus/costata*. As it is, “*crassicostis*” is a dative plural form, a declination of the




Figure 5. Brachiopods from the upper part of the Tihange Member, Fosses Formation, Tihange (Huy). All from JC3 level. *Plectothyrella crassicosta* (Dalman, 1828) (1–3) IRSNB a13498: (1) latex cast of exterior, (2) internal mold, and (3) latex cast of interior of a dorsal valve; (4–6, 8) IRSNB a13499: latex cast of a specimen with conjoined valves in (4) ventral, (5) posterior, and (8) lateral views, (6) internal mold of the precedent in ventral view; (7) IRSNB a13500: internal mold of a dorsal valve; (9) IRSNB a13501: internal mold of a ventral valve. *Trucizetina?* sp. (10–12) IRSNB a13502: (10) latex cast of exterior, (11) internal mold, and (12) latex cast of interior of a dorsal valve. *Hirnantia* sp. (13, 14) IRSNB a13503: (13) internal mold and (14) latex cast of interior of a juvenile dorsal valve. All scale bars = 2 mm.

nominative *crassicosta*. According to the ICZN article 32.5.2.7, it must be corrected to the nominative singular (i.e., to *crassicosta*). For this reason, Bergström's (1968) emendation to *crassicosta*, whatever his reasons may have been, is correct. Because *crassicosta* is a nominative singular noun, it does not need to agree with the gender of the genus (although it does phonetically agree with *Plectothyrella*), so the name *crassicosta* should be conserved regardless of future generic assignments.

Remarks.—All the internal and external characters indicate inclusion of this material within the genus *Plectothyrella* Temple, 1965. Among the species described, the Belgian specimens probably belong to the species *Plectothyrella crassicosta* (Dalman, 1828) based on its ribs. Villas et al. (1999) considered the rib density as a criterion for discriminating between *Plectothyrella crassicosta* subspecies. Rong et al. (2020b) considered the Villas et al. (1999) method inaccurate because the number of ribs on *Plectothyrella crassicosta* is highly variable, even within a population, and dependent on the ontogenetic stage of the specimen (i.e., the ribs in both sulcus and fold bifurcate and increase in number during ontogeny). Rib density and the zig-zag pattern formed in the commissure of the Rhynchonellata have been interpreted as a way of increasing the length of the commissure, and thus increasing the volume fluid flow, while preventing entrance to the mantle cavity by grains of sediment exceeding the shell gaps (Benton and Harper, 2009). If this premise is correct, the size of this gap, and thus the thickening and rib density, may be an adaptation for a specific type of substrate and grain size, which explains the variability of this character in *Plectothyrella* species and the presence of numerous ecomorphotypes. Until this hypothesis is properly investigated, it is suggested here to cease using subspecies of *Plectothyrella crassicosta*.

Phylum Arthropoda Siebold and Stannius, 1845

Class Trilobita Walch, 1771

Order Phacopida Salter, 1864

Suborder Phacopina Richter, Richter, and Struve, 1959

Superfamily Dalmanitoidea Vodges, 1890

Family Dalmanitidae Vodges, 1890

Subfamily Mucronaspidinae Holloway, 1981

Genus *Mucronaspis* Destombes, 1963

Type species.—*Dalmanitina (Mucronaspis) termieri* Destombes, 1963, from the Upper Ktaoua Formation, upper Katian (Kralodvorian of the Ibero-Bohemian regional scale) of Morocco.

Remarks.—Zhou et al. (2011) recognized two different subgenera within *Mucronaspis*: *M. (Mucronaspis)* and *M. (Songxites)*. The type-species, *Mucronaspis termieri*, two other species from the Upper Ordovician of Morocco (*M. zagoraensis* Destombes, 1972, and *M. greti* [Destombes, 1963]) were included in *Mucronaspis (Mucronaspis)*, and the *M. mucronata* group, together with Chinese occurrences of the genus, assigned to *M. (Songxites)*. Zhou et al. (2011) emphasized differences in the cephalic lateral border furrow of both, being weak and meeting the lateral margin posteriorly in the Moroccan types and distinct and confluent with the

posterior border furrow at the genal angle in *Mucronaspis (Songxites)*. The dependence of the lateral border furrow on preservation, and differences in its confluence with the posterior border furrow at species level within Dalmanitidae (Pereira, 2017, pl. 45–49), suggest this character alone does not justify the subgeneric classification of Zhou et al. (2011). Other differences listed by Zhou et al. (2011) for subgeneric classification (e.g., hypostome denticles, pygidial border width) also are not reliable in our opinion. The delicate hypostome denticles are entirely comparable in both sets of species (e.g., Destombes, 1963, pl. 3, fig. 11; Zhou et al., 2011, fig. 4J), and the pygidial border width is relevant only at the species level in many dalmanitids (e.g., Henry, 1980). For this reason, we prefer to avoid subgeneric assignments within the *Mucronaspis mucronata* species-group.

Mucronaspis sp.

Figures 6.9–6.11, 6.14–6.16, 6.20, 6.21

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Rouge Lion section, JC2 [=JM 07–19] and Rue Bonne Espérance section, JC4 [JM 06–28]), lower Rhuddanian A. *ascensus*-*P. acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Materials.—The available materials are fairly fragmentary, consisting of isolated sclerites. One cranium (internal mold: IRSNB a13513); two fixigenae (internal mold: IRSNB a13514 and external mold: IRSNB a13515); one eye surface (external mold: IRSNB a13516); one hypostome (internal mold: IRSNB a13518); one pygidial fragment (IRSNB a13519); one meraspid pygidium (internal and external molds: IRSNB a13517).

Remarks.—The available material is crushed and very incomplete, thus best left under open nomenclature. Nevertheless, it allows some comparisons with named species. The genus assignment is possible due to the typical glabellar lobation, eye lobe position, fixigenal border furrows, long genal spine aligned with the lateral border, hypostome morphology and sculpture, and one meraspid pygidium, which entirely matches juveniles of *Mucronaspis* (e.g., Temple, 1952b, pl. 10, figs. 4, 5). Although the palpebral lobe is not entirely preserved, it is possible to verify it does not extend back farther than L2, which differentiates our material from *Mucronaspis matutina* (Dean, 1962) from the Dufton Shales, upper Katian of Cumbria (England). Similarly, the eye lobe does not seem to be so short and the posterior pleural bands of the pygidium are not inflated, as in the Chinese *wuningensis* species-group (sensu Zhou et al., 2011). S1 is not bifurcate, as in *M. guizhouensis* (Yin in Yin and Li, 1978) from the upper Katian of Guizhou Province. The Belgian specimens also differ from a group of *Mucronaspis* species documented by Hints et al. (2012), called morphs D and E, from the Hirnantian of the East Baltic (Hints et al., 2012, fig. 4D–F, M, N), which bear straighter axial furrows, not abaxially deviated by L3. Configuration of the lateral and posterior border furrows (Fig. 6.10) differentiates the Belgian material from the type species, *M. termieri*, as well as from *M. zagoraensis*




Figure 6. Pelmatozoans, trilobites, machaeridians, bryozoans, and graptolites from the upper part of the Tihange Member, Fosses Formation, Tihange (Huy). Trilobite remains all from JC2 level, all graptolite remains from JC3 level. (1) IRSNB a13504: *Conspectocrinus* (col.) *celticus* Le Menn in Chauvel and Le Menn, 1973, internal mold of a disarticulated columnal plate from JC3 level. (2) IRSNB a13505: *Xenocrinus* sp., latex cast of a disarticulated columnal plate from JC3 level. (3, 4, 7, 8) IRSNB a13509: Indeterminate pelmatozoan columnals, latex casts of three different taxa; (3) from JC5 level; (4) IRSNB a13510 from JC3 level; (7) IRSNB a13511; (8) IRSNB a13512 from JC4 level. (5) IRSNB a13506: *Pentagonocyclicus* (col.) sp., latex cast of a disarticulated columnal plate from JC3 level. (6) IRSNB a13508: *Cylocharax* (col.) *paucicrenellatus* Le Menn, 1973, latex cast of a disarticulated columnal plate from JC4 level. *Mucronaspis* sp. (9–11; 14–16; 20, 21). (9) IRSNB a13513: internal mold of a cranidium from JC2 level in dorsal view; (10) IRSNB a13514: internal mold of a fragmented fixigena in dorsal view; (11) IRSNB a13515: external mold of a fragmented fixigena and genal spine in dorsal view; (14) IRSNB a13516: latex cast of external mold of an ocular surface in lateral view; (15, 16) IRSNB a13517: (15) internal mold and (16) latex cast of the external mold of a meraspid pygidium in dorsal view; (20) IRSNB a13518: internal mold of a hypostome in ventral view; (21) IRSNB a13519: internal mold of a fragmented pygidial pleura in dorsal view. (12) IRSNB a13509: Machaeridian plate indet., external mold. (13) IRSNB a13520: *Cystograptus ancestralis* Storch, 1985, complete, partly damaged specimen. (17) IRSNB a13521: ?*Metabolograptus* sp., distal part of the rhabdosome. (18, 19) *Normalograptus normalis* (Lapworth, 1877). (18) IRSNB a13522: specimen preserved in profile view; (19) IRSNB a13523: incomplete specimen in sub-scalariform view. All scale bars = 2 mm.

(Destombes, 1972) and *M. greti* (Destombes, 1972), both from the Katian of Morocco. According to Temple's (1952a) ontogenetic study of *Mucronaspis*, the number of pygidial segments is constant early in development, therefore the Belgian material, which bears at least seven pleural ribs, also is differentiated from *M. termieri* and *M. zagoraensis* (six ribs). Nevertheless, and counter to the smooth surface described by Temple (1952a) in *Mucronaspis olini* (Temple, 1952b) or Budil (1996) in *Mucronaspis grandis* (Barrande, 1852) meraspid, the external and internal surfaces of the Belgian meraspid pygidium exhibits small tubercles aligned along the anterior band of pleurae (Fig. 6.15, 6.16), previously undescribed for *Mucronaspis* juveniles (probably due to preservation). The only available hypostome (Fig. 6.20) does not permit observation of denticles in the posterior border, but it is morphologically identical with documented hypostomes for the genus (e.g., Kielan, 1960; Destombes, 1972; Ingham, 1977; Zhou et al., 2011). Its sculpture, together with the characteristic coarse tubercles in the median body, also includes a fine tuberculation covering its surface, like that described for *M. zagoraensis* (Destombes, 1972, p. 55). Other described species of *Mucronaspis* (see Hammann and Leone, 2007) are too poorly known to allow comparison.

Despite its poor state of preservation, the studied material allows a distinction from several *Mucronaspis* species and agrees with the features of the cosmopolitan *Mucronaspis mucronata* (Brongniart, 1822), originally described from the Jonstorp Formation (upper Katian) in Sweden and distributed almost globally in the Hirnantian (e.g., Kielan, 1960; Owen, 1981; Lespérance, 1988; Yolkin et al., 1988; Zhou et al., 2011).

Mucronaspis sp. is the first record of this genus in Belgium.

Phylum Echinodermata Bruguère, 1791

Subphylum Pelmatozoa Leuckart, 1848

Class Crinoidea Miller, 1821

Subclass Camerata Wachsmuth and Springer, 1885

Order Monobathrida Moore and Laudon, 1943

Family Xenocrinidae Miller, 1890

Genus *Xenocrinus* Miller, 1881

Type species.—*Xenocrinus pencillus* Miller, 1881 from Richmondian strata (upper Katian, Upper Ordovician) of Ohio, USA.

Xenocrinus sp.

Figure 6.2

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Bonne Espérance section, JC3), lower Rhuddanian *A. ascensus*-*P. acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Material.—One single disarticulated columnal plate (number IRSNB a13505).

Remarks.—*Xenocrinus* columnals are easily recognized by their characteristic square outline. This genus is known from at least three Hirnantian localities in Wales (Donovan and Veltkamp, 1993). Ausich and Cournoyer (2019) confirmed the presence of *Xenocrinus*, also across the Ordovician-Silurian boundary, based on occurrences of isolated columnals and complete specimens of the species *X. rubus* Ausich and Copper, 2010 in Rhuddanian rocks of the Becsie Formation, Anticosti. The occurrence of columnals of *Xenocrinus* in the Tihange Formation associated with Rhuddanian graptolites suggests this genus also occurs in the Silurian of Europe (see Age of the Tihange Member fossil associations section for further information).

Pelmatozoa incertae sedis

Remarks.—The pelmatozoan columnals described herein are crinoids, blastozoans, or a mixture of both, and therefore will be considered here as incertae sedis until better material becomes available. Morphogeneric names of pelmatozoans carry the suffix "(col.)" after the genus, as recommended by Stukalina (1968) and Donovan (1986).

Morphogenus *Conspectocrinus* Stukalina, 1969

Type species.—*Conspectocrinus conspectus* Stukalina, 1969 from the Upper Ordovician of Kazakhstan, Russia

Conspectocrinus (col.) *celticus* Le Menn in Chauvel and Le Menn, 1973
Figure 6.1

1973 *Conspectocrinus celticus*, Le Menn in Chauvel and Le Menn, p. 48, pl. 1, figs. 7–9.

2015 *Conspectocrinus* (col.) *celticus*; Jacinto et al., p. 76, fig. 1N. [and the synonymy therein]

2017 *Conspectocrinus* (col.) *celticus*; Colmenar et al., p. 453, fig. 5U.

Holotype.—Specimen LPB-1999 (Le Menn in Chauvel and Le Menn, 1973, pl. I, fig. 7) from the Upper Ordovician rocks of Coat-Carrec, Argol (Finistère, France).

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Bonne Espérance Section, JC3), lower Rhuddanian *A. ascensus*-*P. acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Other occurrences of *Conspicocrinus (col.) celticus*.—Obikalon Beds, lower Sandbian–lower Katian of Uzbekistan (Tien Shan); Zeravshan Range, Sandbian–Katian of Tadzhikistan; Shoeshook Limestone Formation, upper Katian of S. Wales (E. Avalonia); Portixeddu and Tuviois formations Katian of Italy (Sardinia); Rosan Formation, Katian of France (Armorican Massif); Montjoi Formation, upper Katian of France (Mouthoumet Massif); Cystoid Limestone Formation, upper Katian of the Iberian Chains (Iberia); uppermost La Mora Slates, Katian of the Catalonian Coastal Ranges (Iberia); La Devesa Formation, upper Katian of the Cantabrian Zone (Iberia); La Aquiana Limestone and Urbana Limestone, upper Katian of the Spanish Central Iberian Zone (Iberia); Porto de Santa Anna and Ferradosa formations, upper Katian of the Portuguese Central Iberian Zone (Iberia).

Material.—One single disarticulated columnal plate (number IRSNB a13504).

Remarks.—This discoidal columnal, with pentagonal lumen and depressed areola formed by five petaloid lobes, is characteristic of the species *Conspicocrinus (col.) celticus* Le Menn in Chauvel and Le Menn, 1973. This species has been reported so far in upper Katian rocks; the occurrence in Belgium may represent the youngest record of this taxon, co-occurring with elements of the *Hirnantia* Fauna (see discussion on the age of the Tihange Member).

Morphogenus *Cyclocharax* Moore and Jeffords, 1968

Type species.—*Cyclocharax fasciatus* Moore and Jeffords, 1968, from the Silurian of Indiana, USA.

Cyclocharax (col.) paucicrenellatus Le Menn in Chauvel and Le Menn, 1973
Figures 6.6

1973 *Cyclocharax paucicrenellatus*, Le Menn in Chauvel and Le Menn, p. 44, pl. 2, fig. 8.

2015 *Cyclocharax (col.) paucicrenellatus*; Jacinto et al., p. 76, fig. 1M. [and the synonymy therein]

Holotype.—Specimen LPB-1923 (Le Menn in Chauvel and Le Menn, 1973, pl. II, fig. 8) from the Upper Ordovician rocks of Coat-Carrec, Argol (Finistère, France).

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Bonne Espérance Section, JC3, JC4 [JM 06–28], JC5), Rhuddanian, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Other occurrences of *Cyclocharax (col.) paucicrenellatus*.—Portixeddu and Tuviois formations, Katian of Italy (Sardinia); Rosan Formation, Katian of France (Armorican Massif); Montjoi Formation, upper Katian of France (Mouthoumet Massif); Cystoid Limestone Formation, upper Katian of the Iberian Chains (Iberia); La Aquiana Limestone and La Devesa Formation, upper Katian of the Cantabrian Zone (Iberia); Urbana Limestone, upper Katian of the Spanish Central Iberian Zone (Iberia); Porto de Santa Anna and Ferradosa formations, upper Katian of the Portuguese Central Iberian Zone (Iberia).

Materials.—Five disarticulated columnal plates available (figured specimen number IRSNB a13508).

Remarks.—The circular outline, five pointed star lumen, and fine radial crenulae suggest that this columnal plate belongs to *Cyclocharax (col.) paucicrenellatus* Le Menn in Chauvel and Le Menn, 1973. This species has been reported so far in upper Katian rocks. The occurrence in Belgium may represent the youngest occurrence of this taxon, co-occurring with *Hirnantia* Fauna elements (see discussion on the age of the Tihange Member).

Morphogenus *Pentagonocyclicus* Yeltysheva and Schewtschenko, 1960

Type species.—*Pentagonocyclicus haldaranensis* Yeltysheva and Schewtschenko, 1960 from the lower Carboniferous of Russia.

Pentagonocyclicus (col.) sp.
Figure 6.5

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Bonne Espérance section, JC3, JC4 [JM 06–28], JC5), lower Rhuddanian *A. ascensus*-*P. acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Materials.—About 15 disarticulated columnal plates (figured specimen number IRSNB a13506).

Remarks.—The circular outline, pentagonal lumen, rounded pentagonal areola about the same width than the crenularium, and the fine and numerous radial crenulae suggest inclusion of this material within morphogenus *Pentagonocyclicus* Yeltysheva and Schewtschenko, 1960. The Belgian specimens strongly resemble those occurring in the upper Katian la Devesa Formation of the Spanish Cantabrian Zone (Gutiérrez-Marco et al., 1996), the upper Katian Porto de Santa Anna and Ferradosa formations of the Portuguese Central Iberian Zone (Jacinto, 2015, pl. 5, fig. g), and the basal part of the Hirnantian Ribeira do Braçal Formation of the Portuguese Central Iberian Zone (Colmenar et al., 2019, fig. 6.14).

Phylum Annelida Lamarck, 1809
Order Phyllodocida Dales, 1962

Suborder Aphroditiformia Levinsen, 1883
Machaeridia Withers, 1926

Plate indet.
Figures 3.3, 6.12

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Bonne Espérance Section, JC4 [JM 06-28]), lower Rhuddanian *A. ascensus*-*P. acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Material.—One internal mold of an incomplete plate (number IRSNB a13509).

Remarks.—One single incomplete, tiny plate (2 mm long), preserved as an internal mold, does not allow a further identification. The rugae are distinct (~24), continuous, widely spaced in the apical region of the plate, nearly twice as closely spaced as those on the anterior portion (18 rugae per mm), meeting the lateral margin at a slightly obtuse angle. One single gentle inflection is preserved, and no longitudinal folds are present on the plate. The incompleteness of the studied specimen does not allow for a definite differentiation among the three classically accepted families for the group: Lepidocoleidae, Plumulitidae, and Turrilepadidae (Adrain, 1992). The high density of dorso-ventrally aligned rugae and, specially, the more widely spaced rugae towards the apex are the most conspicuous characters, comparable with those present in some lepidocoleids (*Lepidocoleus grayae* Withers, 1922, from the Ashgill of Girvan or *L. sarlei* Clarke, 1896, from the Wenlock of New York, bearing high density of rugae and aberrant spacing towards the apex, respectively). Nevertheless, a turrilepadid or a plumulitid association is also possible.

Phylum Hemichordata Bateson, 1885
Class Pterobranchia Lankester, 1877
Order Graptolithina Bronn, 1849
Suborder Axonophora Frech, 1897
Family Normalograptidae Štorch and Serpagli, 1993
Genus *Normalograptus* Legrand, 1987

Type species.—*Climacograptus scalaris* var. *normalis* Lapworth, 1877; by original designation; from the Llandoverly of County Down, Ireland.

Normalograptus normalis (Lapworth, 1877)
Figures 6.18–6.19, 7.2–7.3

1877 *Climacograptus scalaris* var. *b normalis*, Lapworth, p. 138, pl. 6, fig. 31.

2007 *Normalograptus normalis*; Loydell, p. 38, pl. 1, figs. 4, 7, text-figs. 12E, F, 16C, H, N, 19. [and the synonymy therein]

Holotype.—Specimen (BU 1136) from the *acuminatus* Biozone of the Birkhill Shales at Dob's Linn, Moffat, southern Scotland, UK (Lapworth, 1877, pl. 6, fig. 31; refigured by Elles and Wood, 1906, pl. 26, fig. 2a).




Figure 7. Drawings of the graptolites from the JC3 level of the upper part of the Tihange Member, Fosses Formation, Tihange (Huy). (1) IRSNB a13521: ? *Metabolograptus* sp., distal part of the rhabdosome with prominent nema, median septum, and little geniculated thecae. (2, 3) *Normalograptus normalis* (Lapworth, 1877); (2) IRSNB a13522: incomplete specimen preserved in profile view, (3) IRSNB a13523: proximal part of sub-scalariform flattened specimen with particularly long virgella. (4) IRSNB a13520: *Cystograptus ancestralis* Štorch, 1985, partly damaged specimen with characteristic semicircular proximal end. Scale bar = 1 mm.

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Bonne Espérance section, JC3), lower Rhuddanian *A. ascensus*-*P. acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Materials.—Two incomplete rhabdosomes available from locality JC3 (numbers IRSNB a13522, a13523).

Remarks.—The two specimens, one preserved in profile and one flattened in sub-scalariform view, can be assigned to *Normalograptus normalis* with reasonable certainty. Both proximal parts represent a slightly, but markedly tapering rhabdosome with long and stout virgella. The dorso-ventral width (DVW) increases from 1.0 mm across the apertures of the first thecal pair, through 1.7 mm at th10, to the maximum

observed DVW of 1.8 mm at th12. In addition, the two thecae repeat distance (2TRD 2 = 1.4–1.5 mm; 2TRD 10 = 1.8 mm) matches values reported by Loydell (2007) in his revision of the type material. *Normalograptus normalis* is a cosmopolitan species with a long stratigraphic range (Goldman et al., 2011), comprising the entire Hirnantian and Rhuddanian. Chen et al. (2005) noted that *N. normalis* disappeared from the fossil record during early Hirnantian and reappeared as a Lazarus taxon in the late Hirnantian and early Rhuddanian. Some proliferation of the species can be observed in the *ascensus-acuminatus* Biozone, although misidentification with *Normalograptus ajjeri* (Legrand, 1977), another species of almost equal stratigraphic range, is common (Loydell, 2007), especially in northwestern Gondwana and peri-Gondwanan terranes. *Normalograptus ajjeri* can be differentiated from *N. normalis* by having a less-tapering rhabdosome and lesser maximum width (1.5 mm). *Normalograptus premedius* (Waern, 1948), which is characteristic of Hirnantian/Rhuddanian boundary beds in Sweden (Koren' et al., 2003), can be readily differentiated from the Belgian specimens by its more tapering rhabdosome with a narrower proximal end and widely spaced thecae. Closely similar *Normalograptus transgrediens* (Waern, 1948), which is also reported from the Ordovician/Silurian boundary interval in Sweden (Koren' et al., 2003), exhibits a slightly narrower rhabdosome and generally shorter virgella. Some other diagnostic features, such as point of insertion of median septum, are not preserved in our specimens.

Family Neodiplograptidae Melchin et al., 2011
Genus *Cystograptus* Hundt, 1942

Type species.—*Diplograptus vesiculosus* Nicholson, 1868; subsequently designated by Jones and Rickards (1967), from the Llandovery of Dumfriesshire, Scotland.

Cystograptus ancestralis Štorch, 1985
Figures 6.13, 7.4

- 1985 *Cystograptus ancestralis*, Štorch, p. 97, pl. 4, figs. 1, 3–5; text-fig 3A–D.
1993 *Cystograptus ancestralis*; Štorch and Serpagli, p. 16, pl. 2, fig. 3, pl. 3, figs. 2–4, 7, 8, text-fig. 5C, J–M.
1995 *Cystograptus ancestralis*; Piçarra et al., fig. 3.7.
1999 “*Neodiplograptus*” sp., Maletz, fig. 2.10.
?1999 *Cystograptus vesiculosus*; Maletz, p. 350, figs. 2.13, 4.11.
2003 *Cystograptus ancestralis*; Koren' et al., fig. 3.34.
2008 *Cystograptus ancestralis*; Štorch and Feist, p. 948, figs. 5.12, 14, 21; 9.10, 10.8.
2009 *Cystograptus ancestralis*; Piçarra et al., fig. 4C.
2017 *Cystograptus ancestralis*; Loydell et al., fig. 12F.
2019 *Cystograptus ancestralis*; Štorch et al., figs 8n, 12j.

Holotype.—Specimen (PŠ 73/1) from the lower *acuminatus* Biozone of the Želkovice Formation at Prague-Řepy, Czech Republic (Štorch, 1985, pl. 4, fig. 1, text-fig. 3A).

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Bonne

Espérance Section, JC3), lower Rhuddanian *A. ascensus-P. acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Material.—One complete but partly damaged rhabdosome available from locality JC3 (number IRSNB a13520).

Remarks.—A single specimen of *Cystograptus ancestralis* shows a semicircular proximal end and a markedly incised, concave sicular aperture characteristic of this species. The present assignment is further supported by slightly convex and moderately inclined ventral walls and broad, distally facing apertures of the subsequent thecae. Thecal geniculation is almost absent or hidden under apertural margin of preceding theca. Basic morphometry of the specimen (DVW th1 = 1.85 mm, DVW th3 = 1.95 mm, DVW th7–8 = 2.45 mm, 2TRD 2 = 1.75 mm; 2TRD 7 = 2.0 mm) matches values measured in the Czech type material (Štorch, 1985). This characteristic and widespread species, confined in the upper *ascensus* and lower *acuminatus* biozones (i.e., middle part of combined *ascensus-acuminatus* Biozone), has been recorded by Štorch (1985) in central Bohemia; Štorch and Serpagli (1993) in Sardinia; Piçarra et al. (1995) in south Portugal; Koren' et al. (2003) in Scania, Sweden; Štorch and Feist (2008) in Montagne Noire, France; Piçarra et al. (2009) in Brittany, France; Loydell et al. (2017) in Bornholm, Denmark; Štorch et al. (2019) in the Pyrenees, Spain; and in some other regions of Avalonia, Baltica, and northwestern Gondwana. A specimen with a semicircular proximal end and rather short sicula, reported from the middle part of the *acuminatus* Biozone of Deerlijk 404 well (Maletz, 1999) can be assigned to this species with some reservation; similarly *Cystograptus vesiculosus* (Nicholson, 1868) and *Diplograptus modestus*, figured from British *acuminatus* Biozone by Rickards (1988, fig. 1m, q), and *Cystograptus vesiculosus* reported from the *acuminatus* Biozone of Seville Province, Spain, by Jaeger and Robardet (1979). *Cystograptus* sp., however, recorded in the *acuminatus* Biozone of northwestern Spain by Gutiérrez-Marco and Robardet (1991) clearly belongs to *Cystograptus ancestralis*.

Genus ?*Metabolograptus* Obut and Sennikov, 1985

Type species.—*Diplograptus modestus sibiricus* Obut, 1955; by original designation; from the lower Llandovery of Siberia.

?*Metabolograptus* sp.
Figures 6.17, 7.1

Occurrence.—Upper part of the Tihange Member (subdivision 3; Fig. 2) of Fosses Formation in Tihange, Huy (Rue Bonne Espérance section, JC3), lower Rhuddanian *A. ascensus-P. acuminatus* Biozone, Condroz Inlier, Belgium.

Material.—One incomplete rhabdosome available from locality JC3 (number IRSNB a13521).

Remarks.—The available specimen represents the distal part of a septate biserial graptolite with long and robust nema, 2TRD = 2.0–2.1 mm and DVW = 2.2–2.4 mm, characters that match either *Metabolograptus* ex gr. *M. persculptus*, a primitive

Rickardsograptus, or one of the robust early Rhuddanian species assigned to *Korenograptus*. Flattened specimens of *M. persculptus* usually possess less-robust nema and more-geniculated thecae with apertures that occupy less of the rhabdosome width (Štorch and Loydell, 1996). Two thecae repeat distance (2TRD) and the thecal overlap match those of *M. persculptus*, but the rhabdosome width (DVW) is greater. More specific determination of the specimen is not possible in the absence of a proximal part with diagnostic characters.

Affinities of the Belgian *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblage

Based on a comprehensive dataset, Rong et al. (2020a) recognized two successive Hirnantian evolutionary brachiopod faunas; the *Hirnantia* Fauna and the succeeding Edgewood-Cathay Fauna. The former, widespread and diachronous, was related to the glacial acme in the early-mid Hirnantian, and the latter thrived during post-glacial, warmer, shallow-water carbonate and siliciclastic environments of low latitudes (e.g., Laurentia, Baltica, Kolyma, Central Asia, Siberia, and South China). Through statistical methods, Rong et al. (2020a) determined the core members of both faunas. The Belgian assemblage comprises three of the *Hirnantia* Fauna key taxa (*Eostropheodonta*, *Plectothyrella*, and *Hirnantia*), indicating a reliable assignment to this instead of the later Edgewood-Cathay Fauna. The only other brachiopod occurring in the studied assemblage is tentatively assigned to *Trucizetina*, which is an uncommon yet distinctive taxon of the *Hirnantia* Fauna, known from the Miramichi Terrane, Gorny Altai, Perunica, Sibumasu, South China, and the Precordillera (upper Katian–Hirnantian? Trapiche Formation). Furthermore, presence of the trilobite *Mucronaspis*, the core taxon of the so-called *Mucronaspis* Fauna (Lespérance, 1974), which concurs with the *Hirnantia* Fauna, supports this assignment. Brachiopods are predominant in abundance and diversity in the studied assemblage (~84% of the entire reported association), which is largely dominated by *Eostropheodonta hirnantensis* (73%), followed by *Plectothyrella crassica* (5%). The remaining occurring groups (crinoids, bryozoans, machaeridians, and graptolites), present only at some of the fossiliferous levels, are in line with what has been reported for *Hirnantia* Fauna communities worldwide (Rong et al., 2020a, fig. 22).

Rong et al. (2020a) analyzed faunal changes of the *Hirnantia* Fauna according to water depth, substrate, latitude, and geographical position. The Belgian association occurs in fine-grained sandstones, a somewhat coarser substrate than usual for the *Hirnantia* Fauna, especially for *Plectothyrella* and *Trucizetina* (see Rong et al., 2020a, fig. 12). Nevertheless, *Plectothyrella* occasionally also occurs in coarser substrates, such as siltstone (e.g., Bergström, 1968; Benedetto, 1986) and sandstone (e.g., Brenchley and Cullen, 1984; Leone et al., 1991; Villas et al., 1999; Brenchley et al., 2006). Furthermore, the presence and preservation of graptolites in laminated siltstone intercalations may indicate the existence of slightly calmer (protected or deeper) environments where fauna could have inhabited. In terms of water depth distribution, the studied brachiopod assemblage suggests assignment to Benthic Assemblage (BA) 3 (Boucot, 1975) due to the predominance of *Eostropheodonta* (see Rong et al., 2020a, fig. 11). The

occurrence of several fairly fragmented specimens of *Plectothyrella*, typically common in the slightly shallower environments of BA2, might suggest assignment of the Belgian assemblage to the upper BA3, where those specimens might have been transported and accumulated from the shoreward-adjacent BA. Two different *Hirnantia* Fauna provinces have been classically differentiated based on latitudinal distribution of some key endemic brachiopod genera: the Kosov (subtropical and temperate latitudes) and Bani (polar latitudes) provinces (Rong and Harper, 1988). During the early Paleozoic, Belgium was positioned in southeastern Avalonia (e.g., Owens and Servais, 2007; Harper et al., 2013), a boundary position between low and middle latitudes. The low brachiopod diversity obtained from the studied assemblage is represented by genera co-occurring in both latitudinal settings. The boundaries of these provincial divisions are, in our opinion, less well defined; their limits having faded as more high-latitude *Hirnantia* Fauna associations are described (e.g., Bernárdez et al., 2015; Álvaro et al., 2016; Colmenar et al., 2018; Popov et al., 2019). Focusing on the identified core taxa of the *Hirnantia-Mucronaspis* fauna, the Belgian assemblage is comparable in composition and diversity with others from eastern Avalonia, especially some from North and Central Wales (e.g., Temple, 1965; Cocks and Price, 1975; Brenchley and Cullen, 1984) and the Ganderian Leinster-Lakesman Terrane (Kildare, Ireland; Wright, 1968), where *Eostropheodonta hirnantensis* dominates a low-diversity assemblage with fewer representatives of *Plectothyrella crassica*, *Hirnantia*, *Mucronaspis*, and, for the Aber Hirnant assemblage (N. Wales), fewer pelmatozoan columnals and bryozoans (Cocks and Price, 1975). It is also interesting to note that the *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblages of the above-mentioned regions, especially that of the Kildare Limestone, overlie Katian brachiopod- and trilobite-bearing beds with several elements in common with the lower members of the Fosses Formation (Lespérance and Sheehan, 1987; Sheehan, 1987). The Belgian *Hirnantia* Fauna also bears some resemblance to occurrences from Baltica (e.g., Västergötland, Sweden; Bergström, 1968; Chen and Rong, 2019) and even marginal Laurentia (Québec, Canada; Lespérance and Sheehan, 1976). However, it is less diverse than those, which possess the same basic elements, but with the addition of other taxa. In fact, the low diversity of the Belgian *Hirnantia* Fauna and the broad geographical distribution of the identified species, make a comparison of its composition less robust. On the other hand, differences in the brachiopod community from Belgium, such as the absence of *Dalmanella* and *Leptaena*, which are some of the most characteristic taxa in the Avalonia domain, may be more related to other causes (e.g., age [see below], substrate, or geographical position) rather than only to latitude (Rong et al., 2020a).

Age of the Tihange Member fossil associations

Prior to this work, an Hirnantian age was proposed for the Tihange Member based on: (1) the stratigraphical position overlying the upper Rawtheyan (uppermost Katian) Faulx-les-Tombes Member and underlying the Silurian Bonne Espérance Formation (Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a); (2) the presence of a brachiopod *Hirnantia*-Fauna assemblage, plus a poorly preserved

specimen of the chitinozoan *Ancyrochitina ellisbayensis*? (Mortier, 2014); and (3) the lithological succession reflecting a relative sea level drop consistent with the pronounced glacio-eustatic sea-level fall, globally recorded in Hirnantian sediments (Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a; Mortier, 2014). However, a Rhuddanian age cannot be excluded in any of these cases (Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a). Biostratigraphical data from the lowermost overlying Bonne Espérance Formation indicate a range from the upper(?) part of the *P. acuminatus* graptolite Biozone to the *A. atavus* graptolite Biozone (lower to middle Rhuddanian); the basal Silurian *ascensus* graptolite Biozone has not been detected in this unit. On the other hand, *Ancyrochitina ellisbayensis*, an identification that was tentative and the preservation state insufficient (Mortier, 2014), is not restricted to the Hirnantian. Although this species biozone, defined on Anticosti Island, characterizes the uppermost Hirnantian (Soufiane and Achab, 2000), this chitinozoan was reported by Verniers and Vandenbroucke (2006) from 12 cm below to 5 cm above the global boundary stratotype section and point (GSSP) for the base of the Silurian System, located in Dob's Linn, southern Scotland (Williams, 1988). Finally, there are a few reported occurrences of taxa typical of the *Hirnantia* Fauna crossing the Ordovician–Silurian boundary and others lacking detailed biostratigraphic data (Rong et al., 2020a).

The JC 3 level of the Rue Bonne Espérance section, located ~1.5 m below the sample (JM 06–58) bearing *Ancyrochitina ellisbayensis*?, has yielded *Cystograptus ancestralis*, a characteristic graptolite of the *Akidograptus ascensus*–*Parakidograptus acuminatus* Zone, suggesting an early Rhuddanian (Rh1 stage slice) age for the uppermost part of the Tihange Member. The GSSP for the base of the Silurian (Williams, 1988; Melchin, 2003; Fan et al., 2005) is placed at the base of the *A. ascensus* Biozone, characterized by the first appearance of *A. ascensus* and *P. praematurus*. Hence, because graptolites have formed the basis for reliable correlation of Hirnantian rocks worldwide and for determining the age of the *Hirnantia* Fauna (Rong et al., 2020a), their presence is critical for discussion on the age of the upper part of the Tihange Member. In light of these new biostratigraphic data, we discuss the significance of this *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblage from Belgium and the implications of a Rhuddanian age for this unit.

An early Rhuddanian age for the upper part of the Tihange Member somewhat calls into question the presumed continuous sedimentation in the Tihange area (eastern Condroz Inlier) during the Hirnantian (Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a; Mortier, 2014). For this to be confirmed, the lower part of the Tihange Member would have been a condensed sequence comprising most of the Hirnantian. The grain size of the lower part of the Tihange Formation is similar to that of the Faulx-les-Tombes Member, so it was probably deposited before eustatic sea-level fall when we assume that the shelf was not uplifted. An age of late Katian is preferred, although an age of late Katian to early Hirnantian cannot be excluded. On the other hand, the tectonic complexity of the outcropping Tihange sections makes evaluation of stratigraphical continuity difficult, so we are unable to draw any further conclusions.

The co-occurrence in the JC3 level of core brachiopods of the *Hirnantia* Fauna (*Eostropheodonta hirnantensis* and *Plectothyrella crassicosta*) and disarticulated pelmatozoan columnals

(*Pentagonocyclicus* [col.] sp., *Cyclocharax* [col.] *paucicrenulatus*, *Conspectocrinus* [col.] *celticus*), all known so far from upper Katian rocks, is problematic and confuse the dating of these levels. Underlying this level, three fossiliferous beds in the upper part of the Tihange Member (JC 4–6) yield a similar assemblage with *Eostropheodonta hirnantensis*, *Plectothyrella crassicosta*, *Pentagonocyclicus* (col.) sp., and *Cyclocharax* (col.) *paucicrenulatus*, but no graptolites were recovered. On the other hand, the lowermost Bonne Espérance Formation, immediately overlying the Tihange Member, has a diverse graptolite assemblage characteristic of the *P. acuminatus* to *A. atavus* biozones, where, among those previously reported Tihange Formation graptolites, only *N. normalis* is still present (Mortier, 2014).

Besides the tentative identification of *Ancyrochitina ellisbayensis*, the uppermost Tihange Member (subdivision 4, level JM 06–33) in the Rue Rouge Lion section also provided poorly preserved specimens of *Desmochitina erinacea* Eisenack, 1931, and *Desmochitina juglandiformis* Laufeld, 1967 (Mortier, 2014), both characteristic of upper Sandbian–lower Katian deposits (Webby et al., 2004; Vandenbroucke, 2005). Nevertheless, their presence is probably caused by reworking, as suggested by Mortier (2014), as well as by Vanmeirhaeghe (2006a, sample JVM 05-182, table 10) for a poorly preserved and questionable *D. juglandiformis* specimen at the base of the Tihange Member in the same section. Furthermore, chitinozoans have not been reported from the Hirnantian GSSP, located in Wangjiawan, Yichang (Vandenbroucke et al., 2008), limiting the confidence in its applicability for worldwide correlations.

Assuming that the age of the upper part of the Tihange Member and, consequently, that of the studied macrofossiliferous assemblages is lower Rhuddanian, based on a graptolite assemblage indicative of the *Akidograptus ascensus*–*Parakidograptus acuminatus* combined biozone, we propose alternative hypotheses to explain this (apparent or not) incongruent occurrence of normally temporally separated faunal-representative assemblages.

Hypothesis 1.—Relict *Hirnantia* Fauna: all fossils are contemporaneous and Rhuddanian in age. Globally, many *Hirnantia* Fauna occurrences are chronostratigraphically well constrained from continuous graptolite-bearing successions across the Ordovician and Silurian transition. Both regional and worldwide correlations show that most *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblages occur in lower to middle Hirnantian units, correlating mostly with the *M. extraordinarius* Biozone, straddling the interface with or being overlain by the *M. persculptus* Biozone (Rong et al., 2020a, fig. 4). Fewer, but still representative, were correlated with the *M. persculptus* Biozone, both with its lower (e.g., Leone and Benedetto, 2019) and its uppermost (e.g., Štorch et al., 2019) part. Based on this worldwide chronostratigraphic distribution, Rong et al. (2020a) proposed diachronism of the *Hirnantia* Fauna, with its predominance in the lower to middle Hirnantian interpreted as an ecological preference for cool-water environments established during the major Gondwanan glaciation, in contrast with the succeeding post-glacial warm-water environment and consequent sea-level rise in the late




Figure 8. Paleogeographic map of late Hirnantian–early Rhuddanian times showing positions of the Condroz Inlier and other terranes across the Iapetus Ocean. Paleoreconstruction based on Liljerøth et al. (2017) and Torsvik and Cocks (2016). Note that a modified version of the Gall’s projection is used. Abbreviations: BL, Brompton Line; BVL, Baie Verte Line; C Nfl., Central Newfoundland Terrane; Dashw, Dashwoods Terrane; E. Avalonia, East Avalonia; E Nfl., East Newfoundland; Expl, Exploits Zone; Fm, Formation; Kilb, Kilbucho; Leins, Leinster-Lakesman Terrane; Monian C, Monian Composite Terrane; MV, Midland Valley Terrane; Nova Sc, Nova Scotia; Österg, Östergötland; PANTHA, PANTHALASSIC; RIL, Red Indian Line; S. Mayo, South Mayo Terrane; Shrop, Shropshire; SU, Southern Uplands Terrane; TH, Table Head (west Newfoundland, foreland basin); S. Wales, South Wales; W. Avalonia, West Avalonia.

Hirnantian and afterwards. Nevertheless, some *Hirnantia* Fauna occurrences are not calibrated with graptolites—their age assignment to lower or middle Hirnantian based on lithological correlation (e.g., Daijiagou and Honghuayuan, Tongzi, China; Chen et al., 2000) or assumed through the presence of a typical assemblage of this fauna (e.g., Ribeira do Braçal Formation, Portugal; Colmenar et al., 2019). On the other hand, there are upper Hirnantian assemblages bearing core taxa of the *Hirnantia* Fauna (e.g., uppermost Kosov Formation, Czech Republic, Havlíček, 1989; Don Braulio Formation, Argentina, Leone and Benedetto, 2019), and high-latitude peri-Gondwana *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblages occurring in post-glacial sediments (e.g., Amouktir Member of the Upper Second Bani Formation, Anti-Atlas of Morocco; Colmenar and Álvaro, 2015), which may result from the diachronism suggested by Rong et al. (2020a). There are also other occurrences that do not entirely fit in composition or expected chronostratigraphical distribution of the *Hirnantia* or Edgewood-Cathay faunas (e.g., Wenallt Formation, Central Wales, Williams and Wright, 1981; Cedarberg Formation, South Africa, Rong and Harper, 1988).

Following Hirnantian glaciation, a global warming occurred, triggering ice cap melting, sea level rise, expansion of the oxygen minimum zone (OMZ) towards shallower waters, and subsequent global anoxia, which provoked the second phase of the Hirnantian extinction (LaPorte et al., 2009; Rong et al., 2020a). These caused extinction of most of the *Hirnantia* Fauna, and its replacement by the Edgewood-Cathay faunas, in shallow-water settings (BA2-3) that likely were unaffected

by the anoxia (Rong et al., 2020a). But a few *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblages were located in refugia in deep, poorly oxygenated environments (Rong et al., 2020a). A clear example is the *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblage reported from the lowermost Silurian, *P. acuminatus* graptolite biozone of the Skelgill Formation, Yewdale Beck, in the Lake District, England (Harper and Williams, 2002), representing the youngest documented occurrence of this fauna so far. The assemblage is composed of small but mature specimens, interpreted as a relict fauna seeking refuge in less-oxygenated, deep-water environments of the Cumbria basin (Rong et al., 2020a). This is the only currently accepted Silurian record of the *Hirnantia* Fauna, occurring interbedded with a graptolite assemblage typical of the *A. ascensus*-*P. acuminatus* biozone (Hutt, 1974, 1975).

The described assemblage herein from Belgium possibly represents an additional relict *Hirnantia* Fauna from the Rhuddanian, sharing characteristics with the Yewdale Beck occurrence that support and strengthen this hypothesis. Both regions were paleogeographically close during the Late Ordovician–early Silurian, with Belgium (Condroz Inlier) located in East Avalonia and Yewdale Beck in the Ganderian Leinster-Lakesman Terrane (Fig. 8), thus increasing the chances that, if there were a surviving *Hirnantia* Fauna, it would have developed and inhabited contiguous areas. The geographical proximity between both regions during the Late Ordovician is also highlighted by the close similarity of the Katian fossil assemblages from the Fosses Formation and those from coeval sequences within Cautley and Dent districts (Sheehan, 1987,

and Ingham, 1966, respectively). Both alleged relict assemblages are interbedded with graptolites of the *A. ascensus-P. acuminatus* Biozone, and in both sequences (upper part of the Tihange Member and lowest Skelgill Formation) there are different brachiopod-rich levels intercalating laminated shales/siltstones. The remaining shelly assemblage also bears some elements in common, namely pelmatozoan columnals and machaeridian plates (Harper and Williams, 2002, p. 77), but these have never been illustrated or published for further comparison. Despite the similarities, the Belgian assemblage is composed of larger individuals and has a slightly lower diversity than that of the Yewdale Beck, with which it only shares one species (*Plectothyrella crassicosta*) and, questionably, *Hirnantia*. The genus *Eostropheodonta*, the most abundant taxon of the Belgian assemblage, was not reported from the Yewdale Beck relict assemblage. Nevertheless, these composition differences are not significant because, in both assemblages, specimens are disarticulated, broken, and accumulated in certain levels that are inferred to have been transported with granulometric hydrodynamic selection, and because faunal changes are highly dependent on water depth, temperature, and substrate (Rong et al., 2020a). A good example is that of the uppermost Kuanyinchiao Formation (Tongzi, Guizhou, China), in which a change in faunal composition, from a *Dalmanella*, *Plectothyrella*, and *Hindella* (DP) Community to an *Eostropheodonta*-dominated (EDP) Community, was interpreted as being related to substrate and temperature changes due to differences in environmental settings. Lastly, although brachiopod specimens are often disarticulated and fragmented, there are no obvious signs of reworking in the fossils of the Tihange Member, nor clasts of different lithologies suggesting a more complex taphonomic history. On the other hand, the presence of disarticulated pelmatozoan columnals, known so far from the upper Katian, may be better related to poor documentation of the occurrences of this group, which is commonly reported but not described in Hirnantian–Rhuddanian fossil assemblages, stressing the importance of including, whenever possible, the associations in their entirety and not only the most-studied groups (brachiopods and trilobites), to avoid biasing chronostratigraphical distribution.

Skelgill Formation deposition was characterized by low-density turbiditic flows (e.g., Rickards and Woodcock, 2005), whereas a slightly different, likely shallower depositional context may be represented in the upper part of the Tihange Formation, in which the fossiliferous beds would correspond to storm-dominated intervals of accumulation (tempestites) periodically disturbing a relatively low-energy environment in which laminated mudstones and siltstones were deposited. The presence of *Eostropheodonta*, normally characteristic of an upper BA3 (Rong and Harper, 1988), as well as the normal (large) size of the specimens in the Belgian assemblages, contrasts with the small (but mature) individuals of the lower Skelgill Formation, interpreted as inhabiting deep-water, poorly oxygenated environments (Rong et al., 2020a) of the lower BA3 (Harper and Williams, 2002). Brett et al. (1993), using different sedimentological evidence published in the literature, estimated mean depths of ~30–40 m for BA3 (ranging from ~10–20 m to 60 m), even documenting cases with clear influence of the fair weather waves. These mean depths likely correspond to the offshore transition zone (OTZ) from the shoreface to the offshore, defined

as the zone comprised from directly below fair weather wave base (FWWB) to storm weather base (SWB) (Nichols, 2009; Peters and Loss, 2012; Ortiz and Ashton, 2016).

The causes or determinant factors for survival of these *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblages are not obvious. A possible explanation could be the geographical position of eastern Avalonia (~30°S) during Late Ordovician–early Silurian times, with an active tectonic context, which may have favored establishment of refugia, likely controlled by particular oceanic circulation patterns that may have geographically isolated these Avalonian sectors, delaying post-glacial effects of rising temperature and sea level. Geographic isolation previously has been noted as the most likely cause for the high diversity and unusual provinciality in shallow-water regimes of South China during the Late Ordovician–early Silurian (Wang et al., 1984; Zhan and Cocks, 1998; Rong et al., 2006; Rasmussen and Harper, 2011). On the other hand, the Belgian assemblage may be a product of the prolonged process of the later stage of glaciation in this particular geographical sector, which would be consistent with the low diversity and absence of other biological groups commonly present in warmer waters.

Hypothesis 2.—Relict *Hirnantia* Fauna with reworked pelmatozoan columnals: Rhuddanian assemblage mixed with older reworked fossils (Fig. 9). Vanmeirhaeghe (2006a) compared the sequences of the Puagne Inlier (western Condroz Inlier) with those of the central Condroz Inlier (e.g., in Faulx-les-Tombes) and farther to the east in the Tihange area (eastern part, central Condroz Inlier). Middle Katian successions in the eastern sectors are represented by shallower facies, inferring a platform deepening towards the west, where coeval successions are represented by deeper facies (Fig. 9.1). During the late Katian, an inversion followed (Fig. 9.2), which Vanmeirhaeghe (2006a) explained by tectonic uplift in the western Condroz Inlier with two possible causes: the Ardennian Deformation Phase or emplacement of a large pluton nearby. In view of the important sea-level drop occurring worldwide during the early Hirnantian (e.g., Brenchley, 1988; Nielsen, 2004), this model would explain the putative continuous sedimentation in eastern sectors (Tihange), while in the western sectors (Puagne Inlier) emersion would inhibit sedimentation from the Hirnantian (Fig. 9.3) up to the late mid Aeronian (Fig. 9.4), creating the stratigraphical hiatus. This emersion certainly led to erosion of the youngest units in the western sectors, possibly the Faulx-les-Tombes Member (the unit immediately underlying the sedimentary hiatus in the Puagne Inlier) and/or a younger unit (uppermost Katian–lowermost Hirnantian?), that has since been completely eroded. In fact, the Faulx-les-Tombes Member has a limited thickness in the Puagne Inlier (Michot, 1928) compared to the central and western sectors of the Condroz Inlier, supporting an erosional event prior to deposition of the overlying Gécicot Formation (Aeronian). Furthermore, evidence of erosion in the Puagne Inlier is provided by reworked microfossils from the Fosses Formation within the basal part of the Gécicot Formation (Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a).

Considering the easterly slope of the Condroz Inlier and the putative continuous sedimentation in the Tihange area during




Figure 9. Proposed model for the Condroz Inlier basin evolution during (1) mid to late Katian (Ka2–Ka3); late Katian (Ka4) (1, 2); early to late Hirnantian (Hi1–Hi2) (3); and early Rhuddanian (Rh1) (4) times, illustrating the preferred hypothesis for the age of the studied assemblages (modified after Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a). Uplift may be related to the Ardennian Deformation Phase or to thermal uplift. Abbreviations: FWWB, Fair Weather Wave Base; Mb, Member; SWB, Storm Wave Base; u., upper.

the Hirnantian–Rhuddanian (Mortier, 2014), it is expected that western-eroded Katian material provided a sediment source for Hirnantian and Rhuddanian deposition in the Tihange sector, as suggested by the presence of reworked Katian chitinozoans in the Tihange Member (Vanmeirhaeghe, 2006a; Mortier, 2014). Thus, the studied assemblages of the Tihange Member also may include reworked macrofossils, which, considering the fine granulometry of the units, could have been transported and accumulated during high-energy events. The presence of disarticulated pelmatozoan columnals in the Tihange Member, which are known so far from the upper Katian, despite the previously mentioned biasing of poorly documented groups in the *Hirnantia* Fauna occurrences, allow us to hypothesize that they may represent reworked material from the western sectors

(Fig. 9.3, 9.4), where the Fosses Formation is characterized by fossiliferous calcareous shales, with trilobites (Lespérance and Sheehan, 1987), brachiopods (Sheehan, 1987), and abundant crinoid fragments in some levels (Michot, 1934). The pelmatozoan columnals from the studied macrofossil assemblage in the Tihange Member occur mostly as disarticulated debris in particular levels, with rare, partially articulated stems and fewer well-preserved specimens. Each columnal is a single calcite crystal, favoring them to resist and behave like a clast when eroded, thus being hard to distinguish between accumulated/resedimented and reworked entities (sensu Fernández-López, 1991). The occurrence of reworked pelmatozoan columnals in younger units is quite common (e.g., Zamora et al., 2009; Ernst et al., 2011; Donovan et al., 2016), including pluricolumnal specimens robust

enough to resist reworking processes (e.g., Donovan et al., 2020). In terms of crinoid erosion indexes (e.g., CEI in Debout and Denayer, 2018), the Tihange pelmatozoan assemblage includes intact, unbroken columnals, to abraded and non-recognizable elements (Figs. 3, 6.1–6.8), all preserved as molds, which makes it impossible to evaluate reworking signatures, such as coatings, or evidence of pre-fossilization (Brett and Baird, 1990; Clausen and Smith, 2008). Reworked pelmatozoan columnal debris is common within TST sequences (Carlucci et al., 2014), roofed by black shales (Brett and Baird, 1990), which is expected in upper Hirnantian–lower Rhuddanian successions due to global sea-level rise (e.g., basal part of the upper? Hirnantian Ribeira do Braçal Formation of Portugal; Colmenar et al., 2018, figs. 6–19). The remaining fossil assemblage of the Tihange Member (e.g., brachiopods, trilobites, machaeridians, and graptolites) show some fragmentation but are also represented by complete sclerites (Fig. 6.9, 6.15, 6.16) and specimens with conjoined valves (Fig. 5.4–5.6, 5.8), lacking clear evidence of reworking. Furthermore, somatic remains of brachiopods, trilobites, machaeridians, and graptolites are not as susceptible to reworking as single-crystal pelmatozoan columnals. Thus, the fossil assemblage from the Tihange Member may be composed of accumulated Rhuddanian fossils, including graptolites and representatives of the *Hirnantia* Fauna, together with reworked Katian pelmatozoan columnals that were disarticulated and concentrated in debris levels (Fig. 2). Such surfaces could be associated with major tempestites, during which the sediment was reworked, or alternatively with condensed sections formed during times of rapid sea-level rise (e.g., Brett and Baird, 1990). The implications of a relict Rhuddanian *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblage in Belgium, discussed for Hypothesis 1, also apply in Hypothesis 2.

Hypothesis 3.—Reworked *Hirnantia* Fauna: graptolites are the only Rhuddanian fossils, mixed with a reworked Hirnantian assemblage (Fig. 9.4). This hypothesis is raised here due to the problematic presence of a typical *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblage in the Rhuddanian, as previously discussed. Considering the stratigraphical hiatus in the western sectors (Puagne Inlier), spanning the Hirnantian and Rhuddanian stages, and following the facies model of Vanmeirhaeghe (2006a) for the Katian–Rhuddanian of the Condroz Inlier (Fig. 9), emersion may have led to erosion of a Hirnantian unit that had since been completely eroded before the deposition of the Génicot Formation (Aeronian). If this hypothetical unit were fossiliferous, incorporation of eroded sediments in the upper part of the Tihange Member could have resulted in the presence of reworked Hirnantian fossils (the studied *Hirnantia* Fauna assemblage) in this Rhuddanian unit. However, we would like to emphasize that this hypothesis is unlikely because there is no clear evidence of reworking, other than fragmentation, in the fossils of the index taxa that typify the *Hirnantia* Fauna of the Tihange Member. This hypothesis is also raised because the only other known Rhuddanian *Hirnantia* Fauna record, from Yewdale Beck, in the Lake District (Harper and Williams, 2002), was challenged in the same way by Cocks (2019, p. 19), who suggested that “...whilst the beds themselves are of undoubted Llandovery age, the shells themselves may have undergone redeposition from unconsolidated older muds at the edge of the continental shelf...”.

In the Tihange assemblage, *Eostropheodonta hirnantensis* and *Plectothyrella crassica*, index species of the typical *Hirnantia* Fauna, are represented by internal and external molds of large and complete isolated valves. Among testable taphonomic criteria, some of these fossils show no fracture surfaces in fragile areas (e.g., posterolateral mucronate cardinal extremities, cardinalia, etc.), ferruginous or phosphatic coatings, or traces of bioerosion or encrusting organisms, except for perforations found on some *E. hirnantensis* valves (see Fig. 4.1–4.3, 4.9–4.13, 4.15) likely attributed to the ichnogenus *Vermiforichnus* Cameron, 1969, probably produced by a symbiotic or parasitic polychaete (e.g., Pickerill, 1976). There are also no differences in lithological composition between inner mold and the matrix of one specimen with conjoined valves of *P. crassica* (Fig. 5.6). This would imply that reworking would necessarily take place before complete lithification of older units and dissolution of the original shells and exoskeletons. Although under favorable conditions some modern brachiopod shells that are more resistant than fossil mineralized shells may be preserved in fair-weather deposits generated under high energy conditions (Simões et al., 2007), they usually show extremely rounded margins and a pronounced bias among the ventral and dorsal valve ratio (Holland, 1988), which is not observed in the studied material. Brett and Bordeaux (1991) showed that the fragile nature of brachiopod shells, especially regarding the brachial valves, resulted in a high degree of attrition, being rapidly broken down to unrecognizable fragments. Thus, it is very unlikely that brachiopod shells could survive intact following reworking. Finally, with this hypothesis one would expect the occurrence of Hirnantian biozones in the reworked chitinozoan assemblages of the Tihange Member; however, Mortier (2014) documented mainly *Desmochitina juglandiformis* and *Desmochitina erinacea* (besides the doubtful specimen attributed to *A. ellisbayensis*, which, due to poor preservation, does not allow support or rejection of any of the hypotheses), which characterize the Katian associations of the Faulx-les-Tombes and Bois de Presles members. Evidence of reworked brachiopod shells is generally rare, and the documented occurrences are usually of shells incorporated into hardgrounds or preserved as reworked fragmented fossil debris (e.g., Gil-Peña et al., 2001; Pérez-Pueyo et al., 2018).

Based in all the available data, Hypothesis 1 is the most robust interpretation for these biostratigraphically incongruous assemblages. Both the Tihange and the Yewdale Beck assemblages are more likely to represent relict *Hirnantia* Fauna occurrences than taphonomical reworked records. Although rare, occurrences of relict faunas following mass extinction, a phenomenon termed “dead clade walking” by Jablonski (2002), have been described from several time intervals (e.g., Baarli and Harper, 1986; Landing et al., 2011), as well as today (e.g., Spikkeland et al., 2016). Refugia occur during the most catastrophic extinction events (e.g., Schulte et al., 2010), and a combination of the incompleteness of the fossils record and artificial factors (sampling bias) may contribute to these records.

Conclusions

The Belgian association reported herein represents an unexpectedly late record for a typical *Hirnantia* fauna, co-occurring with a graptolite assemblage indicative of the *Akidograptus*

ascensus-Parakidograptus acuminatus Biozone, from the lower Rhuddanian (Silurian). Although reworking processes could justify inconsistent temporal occurrences of geochronologically well-constrained communities, the index species of the Belgian *Hirnantia* Fauna are represented by complete specimens with no evident signs of reworking from lower horizons. In this sense, the Belgian association represents an additional relict *Hirnantia* Fauna in the Silurian, sharing characteristics with the only other known Silurian occurrence, from lower Rhuddanian rocks at Yewdale Beck (Lake District, England). Both regions were located paleogeographically close during the Late Ordovician–early Silurian. These anomalous occurrences are possibly related to a delay of post-glacial effects in this geographical sector, which may have favored the establishment of refugia. The lowermost Silurian occurrence of *Hirnantia* Fauna supports the diachronous establishment of this community reported by Rong et al. (2020a), in this case particularly induced by temporal and spatial differences at the termination of the major glaciation.

Acknowledgments

We thank Associate Editor C. Sproat as well as B. Gudveig Baarli and Y. Candela, whose comments helped to improve the quality of this manuscript. We also thank C. Esteves and A. Cravinho for their assistance during fieldwork, C. Marques da Silva for various discussions, and S. Morrisson for providing literature. We also acknowledge M. Liljeröth for kindly sharing her detailed paleogeographic maps for the terranes across the Iapetus that helped preparation of the paleogeographic reconstruction presented here.

This study was supported by Portuguese funds from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P. (Portugal) in the frame of UID/Multi00073/2019, UIDB/00073/2020, and UIDP/00073/2020 projects of the I & D unit Geosciences Center (CGEO). SP was supported by a SYNTHESYS (Synthesis of Systematic Resources) grant (BE-TAF-2620). JC and JCGM were supported by CGL2017-87631-P project from the Spanish MICINN. JM was supported during his PhD by the BOF of Ghent University and the FWO-Flandres. PŠ acknowledges in-house support no. RVO 67985831 received from the Institute of Geology of the Czech Academy of Sciences. This is a contribution to the IGCP project 735 “Rocks and the Rise of Ordovician Life: Filling knowledge gaps in the Early Palaeozoic Biodiversification.”

References

Adrain, J.M., 1992, Machaeridian classification: *Alcheringa*, v. 16, p. 15–32.

Álvarez, J.J., Colmenar, J., Monceret, E., Poulet, A., and Vizcaíno, D., 2016, Late Ordovician (post-Sardic) rifting branches in the North Gondwanan Montagne Noire and Mouthoumet massifs of southern France: *Tectonophysics*, v. 681, p. 111–123.

Ausich, W.I., and Copper, P., 2010, The Crinoidea of Anticosti Island, Québec (Late Ordovician to Early Silurian): *Palaeontographica Canadiana*, v. 29, 157 p.

Ausich, W.I., and Cournoyer, M.E., 2019, New taxa and revised stratigraphic distribution of the crinoid fauna from Anticosti Island, Québec, Canada (Late Ordovician–early Silurian): *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 93, p. 1137–1158.

Baarli, B.G., and Harper, D.A.T., 1986, Relict Ordovician brachiopod faunas in the lower Silurian of Asker, Oslo Region, Norway: *Norsk Geologisk Tidsskrift*, v. 66, p. 87–97.

Bancroft, B.B., 1949, Welsh Valentian brachiopods and the *Strophomena antiquata* group of fossil brachiopods, in Lamont, A., ed., *Welsh Valentian*

brachiopods and the *Strophomena antiquata* group of fossil brachiopods: Preprint from Quarry Managers' Journal, Mexborough, Times Printing Co., Inc., p. 2–16.

Barrande, J., 1852, *Système Silurien du Centre de la Bohême. I. Recherches Paléontologiques*, v. 1 (Crustacés Trilobites): Paris, Praha, 935 p.

Bateson, W., 1885, The later stages in the development of *Balanoglossus kowalevskii*, with a suggestion as to the affinities of the Enteropneusta: *Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science*, v. 25, p. 81–122.

Benedetto, J.L., 1986, The first typical *Hirnantia* Fauna from South America (San Juan Province, Argentine Precordillera): *Biostratigraphie du Paléozoïque*, v. 4, p. 439–447.

Benedetto, J.L., 1999, Braquiópodos de la Formación Trapiche, Ordovícico Tardío de la Precordillera de San Juan y La Rioja, Argentina: *Ameghiniana*, v. 36, p. 327–341.

Benedetto, J.L., 2002, The Ordovician brachiopod faunas of Argentina: chronology and biostratigraphic succession. Aspects of the Ordovician System in Argentina. *INSUGEO: Correlación Geológica*, v. 16, p. 87–106.

Bengtson, P., 1988, Open nomenclature: *Palaeontology*, v. 31, p. 223–227.

Benton, M., and Harper, D.A.T., 2009, *Introduction to Paleobiology and the Fossil Record*: Chichester, UK, John Wiley and Sons Ltd., 604 p.

Bergström, J., 1968, Upper Ordovician brachiopods from Västergötland, Sweden: *Geologica et Palaeontologica*, v. 2, p. 1–35.

Bernárdez, E., Colmenar, J., Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., Rábano, I., and Zamora, S., 2015, New peri-Gondwanan records of the *Hirnantia* Fauna in the latest Ordovician of Spain, in Pankhurst, R.J., Castiñeiras, P., and Sánchez Martínez, S., eds., *Proceedings of the Gondwana 15 Meeting*, 14–18 July, 2014, Madrid, Spain: Madrid, Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, p. 15.

Billiaert, B., 2000, *Kartering, lithostratigrafie en biostratigrafie met Chitinozoa van de Ordovicium-Siluur typesecties rond Fosses (westelijke Condrozstrook)* [M.Sc. thesis]: Ghent, Ghent University, 83 p.

Boucot, A.J., 1975, *Evolution and Extinction Rate Controls*: New York, Elsevier, 427 p.

Brenchley, P.J., 1988, Environmental changes close to the Ordovician boundary, in Cocks L.R.M., and Rickards R.B., eds. *A Global Analysis of the Ordovician-Silurian Boundary*: *Bulletin of the British Museum, Natural History (Geology)*, v. 43, p. 377–385.

Brenchley, P.J., and Cullen, B., 1984, The environmental distribution of associations belonging to the *Hirnantia* fauna—Evidence from North Wales and Norway, in Bruton, O.L., ed., *Aspects of the Ordovician System*: *Palaeontological Contributions from the University of Oslo*, v. 295, p. 113–125.

Brenchley, P.J., Marshall, J.D., Carden, G.A.F., Robertson, D.B.R., Long, D.G.F., Meidla, T., Hints, L., and Anderson, T.F., 1994, Bathymetric and isotopic evidence for a short-lived Late Ordovician glaciation in a greenhouse period: *Geology*, v. 22, p. 295–298.

Brenchley, P.J., Carden, G.A.F., and Marshall, J.D., 1995, Environmental changes associated with the “first strike” of the Late Ordovician mass extinction: *Modern Geology*, v. 20, p. 69–82.

Brenchley, P.J., Marshall, J., Harper, D.A.T., Buttler, C.J., and Underwood, C.J., 2006, A Late Ordovician (*Hirnantian*) karstic surface in a submarine channel, recording glacio-eustatic sea-level changes: *Meifod, central Wales: Geological Journal*, v. 41, p. 1–22.

Brett, C.E., and Baird, G.C., 1990, Submarine erosion and condensation in a foreland basin: examples from the Devonian of Erie County, New York., in Lash, G., ed., *Field Trip Guidebook*, New York State Geological Association, 62nd Annual Meeting: Fredonia, New York, p. SUN A1–A56.

Brett, C.E., and Bordeaux, Y.L., 1991, Taphonomy of brachiopods from a Middle Devonian shell bed: implications for the genesis of skeletal accumulations, in MacKinnon, D.L., Lee, D.E., and Campbell, J.D., eds., *Brachiopods Through Time*: Rotterdam, A.A. Balkema, p. 219–226.

Brett, C.E., Boucot, A.J., and Jones, B., 1993, Absolute depths of Silurian benthic assemblages: *Lethaia*, v. 26, p. 25–40.

Brongniart, A., 1822, *Les Trilobites*, in Brongniart, A., and Desmarest, A.G., eds., *Histoire Naturelle des Crustacés Fossiles*, sous les Rapports Zoologiques et Géologiques: Paris and Strasbourg, F.-G. Lavrault, p. 1–65.

Bronn, H.G., 1849, *Handbuch der Geschichte der Natur. Dritter Band, Zweite Abtheilung. II. Theil: Organisches Leben (Schluss). Index palaeontologicus oder Übersicht der bis jetzt Bekannten Fossilien Organismen*: Stuttgart, Schweizerbart, 972 p.

Bruguère, J.G., 1791, *Tableau Encyclopédique et Méthodique des trois Règnes de la Nature. Contenant l'Helminthologie ou les vers infusoires, les vers intestins, les vers mollusques, etc. 7^{ème} livraison*: Paris, Panckoucke, 171 p.

Budil, P., 1996, Representatives of genera *Mucronaspis* and *Songxites* (Trilobita) from the Bohemian Upper Ordovician: *Journal of the Czech Geological Society*, v. 41, p. 63–78.

Cameron, B., 1969, Paleozoic shell-boring annelids and their trace fossils: *American Zoologist*, v. 9, p. 689–703.

Carlucci, J.R., Westrop, S.R., Brett, C.E., and Burkhalter, R., 2014, Facies architecture and sequence stratigraphy of the Ordovician Bromide

- Formation (Oklahoma): a new perspective on a mixed carbonate-siliciclastic ramp: *Facies*, v. 60, p. 987–1012.
- Caster, K.E., 1939, A Devonian fauna from Colombia: *Bulletin of American Paleontology*, v. 24, p. 1–218.
- Chauvel, J., and Le Menn, J., 1973, Échinodermes de l'Ordovicien supérieur de Coat-Carrec, Argol (Finistère): *Bulletin de la Société géologique et minéralogique de Bretagne C*, v. 4, p. 36–61.
- Chen, D., and Rong, J.Y., 2019, A new craniid brachiopod genus from the terminal Ordovician *Hirnantia* Fauna of Myanmar and South China: *Papers in Palaeontology*, v. 5, p. 521–535.
- Chen, X., Rong, J.Y., Mitchell, C.E., Harper, D.A.T., Fan, J.X., Zhan, R.B., Zhang, Y.D., Li, R.Y., and Wang, Y., 2000, Late Ordovician to earliest Silurian graptolite and brachiopod biozonation from the Yangtze region, South China, with a global correlation: *Geological Magazine*, v. 137, p. 623–650.
- Chen, X., Fan, J.-X., Melchin, M.J. and Mitchell, C.E., 2005, Hirnantian (Late Ordovician) graptolites from the Upper Yangtze region, China: *Palaeontology*, v. 48, p. 235–280.
- Clarke, J.M., 1896, The structure of certain Palaeozoic barnacles: *American Geologist*, v. 17, p. 137–143.
- Clausen, S., and Smith, A.B., 2008, Stem structure and evolution in the earliest pelmatozoan echinoderms: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 82, p. 737–748.
- Cocks, L.R.M., 2019, Llandovery brachiopods from England and Wales: *Monographs of the Palaeontographical Society*, v. 172, p. 1–262.
- Cocks, L.R.M., and Price, D., 1975, The biostratigraphy of the Upper Ordovician and lower Silurian of south-west Dyfed, with comments on the *Hirnantia* Fauna: *Palaeontology*, v. 18, p. 703–724.
- Cocks, L.R.M., Brunton, C.H.C., Rowell, A.J., and Rust, I.C., 1970, The first lower Palaeozoic fauna proved from South Africa: *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society*, v. 125, p. 583–600.
- Colmenar, J., 2016, Ordovician Rafinesquininae (Brachiopoda, Rhynchonelliformea) from peri-Gondwana: *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, v. 61, p. 293–326.
- Colmenar, J., and Álvaro, J.J., 2015, Integrated brachiopod based bioevents and sequence-stratigraphic framework for a Late Ordovician subpolar platform, eastern Anti-Atlas, Morocco: *Geological Magazine*, v. 152, p. 603–620.
- Colmenar, J., Pereira, S., Pires, M., da Silva, C.M., Sá, A.A., and Young, T.P., 2017, A Kralodvorian (upper Katian, Upper Ordovician) benthic association from the Ferradosa Formation (Central Portugal) and its significance for the redefinition and subdivision of the Kralodvorian Stage: *Bulletin of Geosciences*, v. 92, p. 443–464.
- Colmenar, J., Villas, E., and Rasmussen, C.M.Ø., 2018, A synopsis of Late Ordovician brachiopod diversity in the Anti-Atlas, Morocco: *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, v. 485, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP485.3>.
- Colmenar, J., Pereira, S., Young, T.P., da Silva, C.M., and Sá, A.A., 2019, First report of Hirnantian (Upper Ordovician) high-latitude peri-Gondwanan macrofossil assemblages from Portugal: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 93, p. 460–475.
- Dales, R.P., 1962, The polychaete stomodeum and the inter-relationships of the families of Polychaeta: *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, v. 139, p. 389–428.
- Dalman, J.W., 1828, *Upställning och Beskrifning af de i sverige funne Terebratuliter: Kongliga Vetenskapsakademien Handlingar för År 1827*, p. 85–155.
- Davidson, T., 1871, *British Fossil Brachiopoda*, vol. III. Silurian, part 7, no. 4: *Palaeontographical Society Monograph*, London, v. 24, p. 249–397.
- Dean, W.T., 1962, The trilobites of the Caradoc Series in the Cross Fell Inlier of northern England: *Bulletin of the British Museum, Natural History (Geology)*, v. 7, p. 65–134.
- Debout, L., and Denayer, J., 2018, Palaeoecology of the upper Tournaisian (Mississippian) crinoidal limestones from South Belgium: *Geologica Belgica*, v. 21, p. 111–127.
- Destombes, J., 1963, Quelques nouveaux Phacopina (trilobites) de l'Ordovicien supérieur de l'Anti-Atlas (Maroc): *Notes et Mémoires du Service Géologique du Maroc*, v. 23, p. 47–65.
- Destombes, J., 1972, Les trilobites du sous-ordre des Phacopina de l'Ordovicien de l'Anti-Atlas (Maroc): *Notes et Mémoires du Service Géologique du Maroc*, v. 240, p. 1–113.
- d'Omalius d'Halloy, J.-B., 1842, Coup d'œil sur la géologie de la Belgique: *Bruxelles*, M. Hayez, 133 p.
- Donovan, S.K., 1986, *Pelmatozoan columnals from the Ordovician of the British Isles (part 1)*: *Palaeontographical Society Monographs*, London, v. 138, p. 1–68.
- Donovan, S.K., and Veltkamp, C.J., 1993, Crinoids from the upper Ashgill (Upper Ordovician) of Wales: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 67, p. 604–613.
- Donovan, S.K., Jagt, J.W.M., and Deckers, M.J.M., 2016, Reworked crinoidal cherts and screwstones (Mississippian, Tournaisian/Visean) in the bedload of the River Maas, south-east Netherlands: *Swiss Journal of Paleontology*, v. 135, p. 343–348.
- Donovan, S.K., Deckers, M.J., Jagt, J.W., and de Winter, A.T., 2020, Palaeozoic micro-pelmatozoan thecae from the bedload of the River Maas (province of Limburg, the Netherlands): *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, v. 132, p. 66–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pgeola.2020.09.006>.
- Duméril, A.M.C., 1806, *Zoologie analytique ou méthode naturelle de classification des animaux*: Paris, Allais, 344 p.
- Eisenack, A., 1931, Neue Mikrofossilien des baltischen Silurs. I: *Palaeontologische Zeitschrift* v. 13, p. 74–118.
- Elles, G.L., and Wood, E.M.R., 1906, A monograph of British graptolites. Part 5: *Monograph of the Palaeontographical Society*, v. 60, p. 181–216.
- Ernst, A., Königshof, P., Taylor, P.D. and Bohaty, J., 2011, Microhabitat complexity—an example from Middle Devonian bryozoan-rich sediments in the Blankenheim Syncline (northern Eifel, Rheinisches Schiefergebirge): *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments*, v. 91, p. 257–284.
- Fan, J.X., Melchin, M.J., and Williams, S.H., 2005, Restudy of the Hirnantian (latest Ordovician) graptolites from Dob's Linn, southern Scotland, in Sheehan, P.M., and Servais, T., eds., *Abstracts for the Second International Symposium of IGCP 503 on Ordovician Palaeogeography and Palaeoclimate*: Milwaukee, Milwaukee Public Museum, p. 7–8.
- Fernández-López, S., 1991, Taphonomic concepts for a theoretical biochronology: *Revista Española de Paleontología*, v. 6, p. 37–49.
- Fielitz, W., and Mansy J.-L., 1999, Pre- and synorogenic burial metamorphism in the Ardenne and neighbouring areas (Rhenohercynian zone, central European Variscides), in Sintubin, M., Vanduycke, S., and Camelbeeck T., eds., *Palaeozoic to Recent Tectonics in the NW European Variscan Front Zone*. *Tectonophysics*, v. 309, p. 227–256.
- Frech, F., 1897, *Lethaea Geognostica. Lethaea Paleozoica, Graptolithen*: Stuttgart, Schweizerbart, p. 544–684.
- Gil-Peña, I., Barnolas, A., Sanz-López, J., García-Sansegundo, J., and Palau, J., 2001, Discontinuidad sedimentaria del Ordovícico terminal en los Pirineos centrales: *Geogaceta*, v. 29, p. 57–60.
- Goldman, D., Mitchell, C.E., Melchin, M.J., Fan, J.-X., Wu, S.-Y. and Sheets, D.H., 2011, Biogeography and mass extinction: extirpation and re-invasion of *Normalograptus* species (Graptolithina) in the Late Ordovician palaeotropics: *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*, v. 58, p. 227–246.
- Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., and Robardet, M., 1991, Découverte de la zone à *Parakidograptus acuminatus* (base du Llandovery) dans le Silurien du Synclinorium de Truchas (Zone Asturo-léonaise, Nord-Ouest de l'Espagne): conséquences stratigraphiques et paléogéographiques au passage Ordovicien–Silurien : *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris [IIa]*, v. 312, p. 729–734.
- Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., Aramburu, C., Arbizu, M., Méndez-Bedia, I., Rábano, I., and Villas, E., 1996, Rasgos estratigráficos de la sucesión del Ordovícico Superior en Portilla de Luna (Zona Cantábrica, noroeste de España): *Geogaceta*, v. 20, p. 11–14.
- Hammann, W., and Leone, F., 2007, The trilobites from the “post-Sardic” (Upper Ordovician) sequence of the Iglesias, Arburese and Sarabus regions, southern Sardinia, Italy. Part 2: *Beringeria*, v. 38, p. 3–138.
- Harper, D.A.T., and Williams, S.H., 2002, A relict Ordovician brachiopod fauna from the *Parakidograptus acuminatus* Biozone (lower Silurian) of the English Lake District: *Lethaea*, v. 35, p. 71–78.
- Harper, D.A.T., Rasmussen, C.M.Ø., Liljeroth, M., Blodgett, R.B., Candela, Y., Jin, J., Percival, I. G., Rong, J.-Y., Villas, E., and Zhan, R.B., 2013, Biodiversity, biogeography and phylogeography of Ordovician rhynchonelliform brachiopods, in Harper, D.A.T., and Servais, T., eds., *Early Palaeozoic Biogeography and Palaeogeography*: *Geological Society of London Memoirs*, v. 38, p. 127–44.
- Harper, D.A.T., Hammarlund, E.U. and Rasmussen, C.M.Ø., 2014, End Ordovician extinctions: A coincidence of causes: *Gondwana Research*, v. 25, p. 1294–1307.
- Havlíček, V., 1950, *Ramenonožci Českého Ordoviku [The Ordovician Brachiopoda from Bohemia]*: *Rozpravy Ústředního ústavu geologického*, v. 13, p. 1–72.
- Havlíček, V., 1971, Brachiopodes de l'Ordovicien du Maroc: *Notes et Mémoires du Service géologique du Maroc*, v. 230, p. 1–135.
- Havlíček, V., 1974, New genera of Orthidina (Brachiopoda) in the lower Palaeozoic of Bohemia: *Věstník Ústředního ústavu geologického*, v. 49: p. 167–170.
- Havlíček, V., 1977, Brachiopods of the order Orthida in Czechoslovakia: *Rozpravy Ústředního ústavu geologického*, v. 44, p. 1–327.
- Havlíček, V., 1989, Climatic changes and development of benthic communities through the Mediterranean Ordovician. *Sborník geologických věd: Geologie*, v. 44, p. 79–116.
- Henry, J.-L., 1980, Trilobites ordoviensiens du Massif Armoricaïn: *Mémoire de la Société Géologique et Minéralogique de Bretagne*, v. 22, p. 1–250.
- Herbosch, A., Verniers, J., Debaker, T., Billiaert, B., de Schepper, S., and Belmans, M., 2002, The lower Palaeozoic stratigraphy and sedimentology of the Brabant Massif in the Dyle and Orneau valleys and of the

- Condroz Inlier at Fosses: an excursion guidebook: *Geologica Belgica*, v. 5, p. 71–143.
- Hints, L., Pärnaste, H., and Gailite, L.I., 2012, *Hirnantia sagittifera* (Brachiopoda) and *Mucronaspis mucronata* sl (Trilobita) in the Upper Ordovician of the East Baltic: taxonomy and distribution: *Estonian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 61, p. 65–81.
- Holland, S.M., 1988, Taphonomic effects of sea-floor exposure on an Ordovician brachiopod assemblage: *Palaios*, v. 3, p. 588–597.
- Holloway, D.J., 1981, Silurian Dalmanitacean trilobites from North America, and the subfamilies Dalmanitinae and Synphoriinae: *Palaeontology*, v. 24, p. 695–731.
- Hundt, R., 1942, Beiträge zur Kenntnis des Mitteldeutschen Graptolithenmeers: Beiträge zur Geologie von Thüringen, v. 6, p. 205–231.
- Hutt, J., 1974, The Llandovery graptolites of the English Lake District: *Palaeontographical Society Monograph*, v. 128, p. 1–56.
- Hutt, J., 1975, The Llandovery graptolites of the English Lake District: *Palaeontographical Society Monograph*, v. 129, p. 57–137.
- Ingham, J.K., 1966, The Ordovician rocks in the Cautley and Dent districts of Westmorland and Yorkshire: *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*, v. 35, p. 455–505.
- Ingham, J.K., 1977, A monograph of the Upper Ordovician trilobites from the Cautley and Dent districts of Westmorland and Yorkshire: *Palaeontographical Society Monographs*, v. 130, p. 89–121.
- Jablonski, D., 2002, Survival without recovery after mass extinctions: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, v. 99, p. 8139–8144.
- Jacinto, A.F.M., 2015, *Equinodermos del Ordovícico Superior de Portugal* [MSc Dissertation]: Madrid, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 57 p.
- Jacinto, A.F.M., Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., and Zamora, S., 2015, Upper Ordovician echinoderms from Buçaco, Portugal, in Zamora, S., and Rábano, I., eds. *Progress in Echinoderm Palaeobiology: Cuadernos del Museo Geominero*, v. 19, p. 75–78.
- Jaeger, H., and Robardet, M., 1979, Le Silurien et le Devonien basal dans le nord de la province de Séville (Espagne): *Geobios*, v. 12, p. 687–714.
- Jones, W.D.V., and Rickards, R.B., 1967, *Diplograptus penna* Hopkinson, 1869, and its bearing on vesicular structures: *Paläontologische Zeitschrift*, v. 41, p. 173–185.
- Kielan, Z., 1960, On two olenellid trilobites from Hornsund, Vestspitsbergen: *Studia Geologica Polonica*, v. 4, p. 83–92.
- King, W., 1846, Remarks on certain genera belonging to the class Palliobranchiata: *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* (ser. 1), v. 18, p. 26–42, 83–94.
- Koren, T.N., Ahlberg, P., and Nielsen, A.T., 2003, The post-*persculptus* and pre-*ascensus* graptolite fauna in Scania, south-western Sweden: Ordovician or Silurian?, in Ortega, G., and Aceñolaza, G.F., eds. *Proceedings of the 7th International Graptolite Conference and Field Meeting of the ISSS: INSUGEO, Serie Correlación Geológica*, v. 18, p. 133–138.
- Kuhn, O., 1949, *Lehrbuch der Paläozoologie*: Stuttgart, E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, 326 p.
- Lamarck, J.B.P.A. de M. de, 1809, *Philosophie Zoologique, ou exposition des considérations relatives à l'Histoire naturelle des animaux; à la diversité de leur organisation et des facultés qu'ils en obtiennent; aux causes physiques qui maintiennent en eux la vie et donnent lieu aux mouvements qu'ils exécutent; enfin, à celles qui produisent, les unes le sentiment, et les autres l'intelligence de ceux qui en sont doués*: Paris, Dentu, 422 p.
- Lamont, A., 1935, The Drummuck Group, Girvan; a stratigraphical revision, with descriptions of new fossils from the lower part of the group: *Geological Society of Glasgow Transactions*, v. 19, p. 288–334.
- Landing, E., Westrop, S.R., Kroeger, B., and English, A.M., 2011, Left behind—delayed extinction and a relict trilobite fauna in the Cambrian-Ordovician boundary succession (east Laurentian platform, New York): *Geological Magazine*, v. 148, p. 529–557.
- Lankester, E.R., 1877, Notes on embryology and classification of the animal kingdom: comprising a revision of speculations relative to the origin and significance of the germ-layers: *Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science*, v. 17, p. 399–454.
- LaPorte, D.F., Holmden, C., Patterson, W.P., Loxton, J.D., Melchin, M.J., Mitchell, C.E., Finney, S.C., and Sheets, H.D., 2009, Local and global perspectives on carbon and nitrogen cycling during the Hirnantian glaciation: *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, v. 276, p. 182–195.
- Lapworth, C., 1877, On the graptolites of County Down: *Proceedings of the Belfast Naturalists' Field Club—Appendix IV*, p. 125–148.
- Lassine, A., 1913, Quelques graptolithes nouveaux du Silurien d'entre Sambre et Meuse. Coupe de Silurien de Fosse: *Annales de la Société géologique de Belgique*, v. 41, p. B46–B49.
- Laufeld, S., 1967, Caradocian Chitinozoan from Dalarna, Sweden: *Geologiska Föreningens i Stockholm Förhandlingar*, v. 89, p. 275–349.
- Legrand, P., 1977, Contribution à l'étude des graptolites du Llandoveryen inférieur de l'Oued In Djerane (Tassili N'ajjer oriental, Sahara algérien): *Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de l'Afrique du Nord*, v. 67, p. 141–196.
- Legrand, P., 1987, Modo de desarrollo del suborden Diplograptina (Graptolithina) en el Ordovícico superior y en el Silúrico. Implicaciones taxonómicas: *Revista Española de Paleontología*, v. 2, p. 59–64.
- Leone, M.F., and Benedetto, J., 2019, The brachiopod *Dalmanella testudinaria* across the end Ordovician extinction in the Cuyania Terrane of western Argentina: *Ameghiniana*, v. 56, p. 228–242.
- Leone, F., Hammann, W., Laske, R., Serpagli, E., and Villas, E., 1991, Lithostratigraphic units and biostratigraphy of the post-sardic Ordovician sequence in south-west Sardinia: *Bolletino della Società Paleontologica Italiana*, v. 30, p. 201–235.
- Lespérance, P.J., 1974, The Hirnantian fauna of the Perce area (Quebec) and the Ordovician-Silurian boundary: *American Journal of Science*, v. 274, p. 10–30.
- Lespérance, P.J., 1988, Trilobites, in Cocks, L.R.M., and Rickards, R.B., eds., *A global analysis of the Ordovician-Silurian boundary: Bulletin of the British Museum, Natural History (Geology)*, v. 43, p. 359–376.
- Lespérance, P.J., and Sheehan, P.M., 1976, Brachiopoda from the Hirnantian stage (Ordovician–Silurian) at Perce, Quebec: *Palaeontology*, v. 19, p. 719–731.
- Lespérance, P.J., and Sheehan, P.M., 1987, Trilobites et brachiopods Ashgilliens (Ordovicien Supérieur) de l'«Assise» de Fosses, Bande de Sambre-Meuse (Belgique): *Bulletin de l'Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Sciences de la Terre*, v. 57, p. 91–123.
- Leuckart, R. von, 1848, *Über die Morphologie und die Verandtschaftsverhältnisse der wibellosen Thiere*: Braunschweig, F. Vieweg und Sohn, 180 p.
- Levensen, G.M.R., 1883, *Systematisk-geografisk Oversigt over de nordiske Annulata, Gephyrea, Chaetognathi og Balanoglossi*: Videnskabelige Meddelelser fra Dansk naturhistorisk Forening i København, 1882, p. 160–251.
- Liljeroth, M., Harper, D.A.T., Carlisle, H., and Nielsen, A.T., 2017, Ordovician rhynchonelliform brachiopods from Co. Waterford, SE Ireland: palaeobiogeography of the Leinster Terrane: *Fossils and Strata*, v. 62, p. 1–164.
- Loydell, D.K., 2007, Graptolites from the Upper Ordovician and lower Silurian of Jordan: *Special Papers in Palaeontology*, v. 78, p. 1–66.
- Loydell, D.K., Walasek, N., Schovsbo, N.H., and Nielsen, A.T., 2017, Graptolite biostratigraphy of the lower Silurian of the Sommerodde-1 core, Bornholm, Denmark: *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Denmark*, v. 65, p. 135–160.
- Maes, G., Rickards, B., Rombouts, L., and Vandeveldel, N., 1978, Silurian formations between Neuville-sous-Huy and Ombret: their correlation, age and structure: *Annales de la Société Géologique de Belgique*, v. 101, p. 31–36.
- Maillieux, E., 1939, L'Ordovicien de Sart-Bernard: *Mémoires du Musée Royal d'Histoire naturelle de Belgique, Bruxelles*, v. 86, 59 p.
- Malaise, C., 1907, Graptolithes de Llandovery à Tihange-Jez-Huy: *Annales de la Société géologique de Belgique*, v. 34, p. 75–76.
- Malaise, C., 1910, Sur l'évolution de l'échelle du siluro-cambrien de Belgique: *Bulletin de la Société belge de Géologie, de Paléontologie et d'Hydrologie*, v. 24, p. 415–437.
- Maletz, J., 1999, Lowermost Silurian graptolites of the Deerlijk 404 well, Brabant Massif (Belgium): *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen*, v. 213, p. 335–354.
- Martin, F., 1969, Les acritarches de l'Ordovicien et du Silurien belge. Détermination et valeur stratigraphique: *Mémoires de l'Institut Royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique*, v. 160, p. 1–176.
- M'Coy, F., 1851, On some new Cambro-Silurian fossils: *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* (ser. 2), v. 8, p. 387–409.
- M'Coy, F., 1852, *Systematic description of the British Palaeozoic Fossils in the Geological Museum of the University of Cambridge. Fasc. II*: London and Cambridge, John W. Parker and Son, Deighton, Bell, & Co. and Macmillan & Co, 661 p.
- Melchin, M.J., 2003, Restudying global stratotypes for the base of the Silurian: a progress report, in Ortega, G., and Aceñolaza, G.F., eds., *Proceedings of the 7th International Graptolite Conference & Field Meeting of the International Subcommission on Silurian Stratigraphy: INSUGEO, Serie Correlación Geológica*, v. 18, p. 147–149.
- Melchin, M.J., Mitchell, C.E., Naczek-Cameron, A., Fan, J.-X., and Loxton, J., 2011, Phylogeny and adaptive radiation of the Neograptina (Graptoloida) during the Hirnantian mass extinction and Silurian recovery: *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*, v. 58, p. 281–309.
- Michot, P., 1928, La bande silurienne de Sambre-et-Meuse entre Fosse et Bouffoulx: *Annales de la Société géologique de Belgique*, v. 51, p. M57–M103.
- Michot, P., 1932a, La tectonique de la bande silurienne de Sambre-et-Meuse entre Huy et Ombret: *Annales de la Société géologique de Belgique*, v. 55, p. M73–M94.
- Michot, P., 1932b, La tectonique de la bande silurienne de Sambre-et-Meuse entre Dave et le Samson: *Annales de la Société géologique de Belgique*, v. 55, p. B129–B144.

- Michot, P., 1934, La stratigraphie du Silurien de la bande Sambre-et-Meuse: Académie royale Belge, Classe Sciences, Mémoires, 2^e sér., v. 13, p. 1–108.
- Michot, P., 1944, La bande silurienne de Sambre-et-Meuse entre Fosse et la Meuse: Annales de la Société géologique de Belgique, v. 68, p. B75–B112.
- Michot, P., 1954, Le Silurien, in Fourmarier, P., ed., Prodrôme d'une Description Géologique de la Belgique: Liège, H. Vaillant Carmanne, p. 39–82.
- Michot, P., 1969, La Faille d'Ombret: Annales de la Société Géologique de Belgique, v. 92, p. 243–254.
- Michot, P., 1980, Belgique: introduction à la géologie générale, in 26th International Geology Congress, Guidebook G16: Paris, p. 487–576.
- Miller, J.S., 1821, A Natural History of the Crinoidea, or Lily-Shaped Animals; with Observations on the Genera *Asteria*, *Euryale*, *Comatula* and *Marsupites*: Bristol, England, Bryan and Co., 150 p.
- Miller, S.A., 1881, Description of some new and remarkable crinoids and other fossils of the Hudson River Group and notice of *Strotocrinus bloomfieldensis*: Journal of the Cincinnati Society of Natural History, v. 4, p. 69–77.
- Miller, S.A., 1890, The structure, classification, and arrangement of American Palaeozoic crinoids into families: American Geologist, v. 6, p. 340–357.
- Moore, R.C., 1952, Brachiopoda, in Moore, R.C., Lalicker, C.G., and Fischer, A.G., eds., Invertebrate Fossils: New York, McGraw-Hill, p. 197–267.
- Moore, R.C., and Jeffords, R.M., 1968, Classification and nomenclature of fossil crinoids based on studies of dissociated parts of their columns: University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions Article, v. 9, 86 p.
- Moore, R.C., and Laudon, L.R., 1943, Evolution and classification of Paleozoic crinoids: Geological Society of America Special Paper, v. 46, 151 p.
- Mortier, J., 2014, The evolution of the Upper Ordovician to Silurian basin in the Condroz Inlier and the Brabant Massif from a litho- and biostratigraphical point of view [PhD dissertation]: Ghent, Ghent University, 394 p.
- Murphy, M.A., and Salvador, A., 1992, International stratigraphic guide: an abridged version: Episodes, v. 22, p. 255–271.
- Nichols, G., 2009, Sedimentology and Stratigraphy: Oxford, John Wiley & Sons, 419 p.
- Nicholson, H.A., 1868, On the nature and zoological position of the Graptolidae: Annals and Magazine of Natural History, v. 1, p. 55–61.
- Nielsen, A.T., 2004, Ordovician sea level changes: a Baltoscandian perspective, in Webby, B.D., Paris, F., Droser, M.L., and Percival, I.G., eds., The Great Ordovician Biodiversification Event: New York, Columbia University Press, p. 84–93.
- Obut, A.M., 1955, Graptolity. Polevoy Atlas Ordovikskoy i Silurskoy Fauny Sibirskoy Platformy: Moskva, Gosgeoltekhizdat, p. 136–139.
- Obut, A.M., and Sennikov, N.V., 1985, Osobennosti ilandoveriyevskikh planktonnykh soobshchestv Sibirskoy Platformy, in Betekhtina, O.A., and Zhuravleva, I.T., eds., Sreda i zhizn' v geologicheskoy proshlom (Paleobasseyiny i ich obivateli): Trudy Instituta Geologii i Geofyziki, Nauka Publishing House, Novosibirsk, v. 628, p. 51–60.
- Öpik, A.A., 1934, Über Klitamboniten: Universitatis Tartuens (Dorpatensis) Acta et Commentationes (ser. A), v. 26, p. 1–239.
- Ortiz, A.C., and Ashton, A.D., 2016, Exploring shoreface dynamics and a mechanistic explanation for a morphodynamic depth of closure: Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 121, p. 442–464.
- Owen, A.W., 1981, The Ashgill trilobites of the Oslo region, Norway: Palaeontographica (Abteilung A), v. 175, p. 1–88.
- Owens, R.M., and Servais, T., 2007, The Ordovician of the Condroz Ridge, Belgium: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 245, p. 272–294.
- Parry, L.A., Edgecombe, G.D., Sykes, D., and Vinther, J., 2019, Jaw elements in *Plumulites bengtsoni* confirm that machaeridians are extinct armoured scaleworms: Proceedings of the Royal Society B, v. 286, no. 1907, 20191247. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.1247>.
- Pereira, S., 2017, Trilobites do Ordovícico Superior da Zona Centro-ibérica portuguesa [Ph.D dissertation]: Lisbon, Universidade de Lisboa, 714 p.
- Pérez-Pueyo, M., Bádenas, B., and Villas, E., 2018, Sedimentology and paleontology of the lower member of the Nogueras Fm (Lower Devonian) at Santa Cruz de Nogueras (Teruel, NE Spain): Revista de la Sociedad Geológica de España, v. 31, p. 89–104.
- Peters, S.E., and Loss, D.P., 2012, Storm and fair-weather wave base: a relevant distinction?: Geology, v. 40, p. 511–514.
- Piçarra, J.M., Storch, P., Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., and Oliveira, J.T., 1995, Characterization of the *Parakidograptus acuminatus* graptolite biozone in the Silurian of the Barrancos region (Ossa Morena Zone, South Portugal): Comunicações do Instituto Geológico e Mineiro, v. 81, p. 3–8.
- Piçarra, J. M., Robardet, M., Oliveira, J. T., Paris, F., and Lardeux, H., 2009, Graptolite faunas of the Llandovery “phtanites” at Les Fresnaies (Chalonnais-sur-Loire, southeastern Armorican Massif): palaeontology and biostratigraphy: Bulletin of Geosciences, v. 84, p. 41–50.
- Pickerill, R.K., 1976, *Vermiforichnus* borings from the Ordovician of Central Wales: Geological Magazine, v. 113, p. 159–164.
- Pope, J.K., 1976, Comparative morphology and shell histology of the Ordovician Strophomenacea (Brachiopoda): Palaeontographica Americana, v. 8, p. 129–209.
- Popov, L.E., Legrand, P., Bouterfa, B., and Ghobadi Pour, M., 2019, Ordovician cold water brachiopods from the Ougarta Mountain Range, Algerian Sahara: Bulletin of Geosciences, v. 94, p. 41–70.
- Rasmussen, C.M.Ø., and Harper, D.A.T., 2011, Interrogation of distributional data for the end Ordovician crisis interval: where did disaster strike?: Geological Journal, v. 46, p. 478–500.
- Rasmussen, C. M., Kröger, B., Nielsen, M. L., and Colmenar, J., 2019, Cascading trend of early Paleozoic marine radiations paused by Late Ordovician extinctions: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 116, p. 7207–7213.
- Richter, R., Richter, E., and Struve, W., 1959, Suborder Phacopina Struve, nov., in Moore, R.C., ed., Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology. Part O. Arthropoda 1: Denver and Lawrence, Geological Society of America and University of Kansas Press, p. Q461–Q462.
- Rickards, R.B., 1988, Graptolite faunas at the base of the Silurian, in Cocks, L.R.M., and Rickards, R.B., eds., A Global Analysis of the Ordovician-Silurian boundary: Bulletin of the British Museum, Natural History (Geology), v. 43, p. 345–349.
- Rickards, R.B., and Woodcock, N.H., 2005, Stratigraphical revision of the Windermere Supergroup (Late Ordovician–Silurian) in the southern Howgill Fells, NW England: Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society, v. 55, p. 263–285.
- Rong, J.-Y., 1984, Brachiopods of latest Ordovician in the Yichang district, western Hubei, central China, in Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Academia Sinica, ed., Stratigraphy and Palaeontology of Systemic Boundaries in China, Ordovician–Silurian Boundary: Hefei, Anhui Science and Technology Publishing House, v. 1, p. 111–176.
- Rong, J.-Y., and Harper, D.A.T., 1988, A global synthesis of the latest Ordovician Hirnantian brachiopod faunas: Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of The Royal Society of Edinburgh, v. 79, p. 383–402.
- Rong, J.-Y., Bucout, A.J., Harper, D.A.T., Zhan, R.B., and Neuman, R.B., 2006, Global analyses of brachiopod faunas through the Ordovician and Silurian transition: reducing the role of the Lazarus effect: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 43, p. 23–39.
- Rong, J.-Y., Harper, D.A.T., Bing, H., Rongyu, L., Xiaole, Z., and Di, C., 2020a, The latest Ordovician Hirnantian brachiopod faunas: new global insights: Earth-Science Reviews, v. 208, 103280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103280>.
- Rong, J.-Y., Aung, K.P., Zhan, R.B., Huang, B., Harper, D.A.T., Chen, D., Zhou, H.-H. and Zhang, X.-L., 2020b, The latest Ordovician Hirnantia brachiopod fauna of Myanmar: significance of new data from the Mandalay Region: Palaeoworld, v. 29, p. 1–30.
- Rõõmusoks, A., 1993, A review of Estonian Ordovician rafinesquinids (Brachiopoda, Strophomenacea): Proceedings of the Academy of Sciences of the Estonian SSR. Geology, v. 42, p. 160–166.
- Rozman, K.S., 1969, Late Ordovician brachiopods of the Siberian Platform: Palaeontologicheskij Zhurnal, v. 3, p. 86–108. [in Russian]
- Salter, J.W., 1864, A monograph of British trilobites. Part I: Monographs of the Palaeontographical Society, v. 16, p. 1–80.
- Schmidt, H., 1965, Neue Befunde an Paläozoischen Rhynchonellacea (Brachiopoda): Senckenbergiana Lethaea, v. 46, p. 1–25.
- Schuchert, C., 1913, Class 2. Brachiopoda, in v Zittel, K.A., ed. Text-book of Palaeontology, vol. 1, part 1, 2nd ed. [translated and edited by Eastman, C.R.]: London, MacMillan and Co., Ltd., p. 355–420.
- Schuchert, C., and Cooper, G.A., 1932, Brachiopod genera of the suborders Orthoidea and Pentamerioidea: Memoirs of the Peabody Museum of Natural History, v. 4, 270 p.
- Schulte, P., Alegret, L., Arenillas, I., Arz, J.A., Barton, P.J., Bown, P.R., Bralower, T.J., Christeson, G.L., Claeys, P., Cockell, C.S., and Collins, G.S., 2010, The Chicxulub asteroid impact and mass extinction at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary: Science, v. 327, p. 1214–1218.
- Sepkoski, J.J., Jr., 1996, Patterns of Phanerozoic extinction: a perspective from global data bases, in Walliser, O.H., ed., Global Events and Event Stratigraphy: Berlin, Springer, p. 31–51.
- Sheehan, P.M., 1987, Late Ordovician (Ashgillian) brachiopods from the region of the Sambre and Meuse rivers, Belgium: Bulletin de l'Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Sciences de la Terre, v. 57, p. 5–81.
- Sheehan, P.M., 2001, The late Ordovician mass extinction: Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, v. 29, p. 331–364.
- Siebold, C.T.E., and Stannius, H., 1845, Lehrbuch der Vergleichenden Anatomie: Berlin, Verlag von Veit & Comp., 679 p.
- Simões, M.G., Rodrigues, S.C., de Moraes Leme, J., and Pires-Domingues, R.A., 2007, Brachiopod shells on the beach: taphonomic overprinting in a fair-weather shell accumulation: Journal of Taphonomy, v. 5, p. 205–225.
- Soufiane, A., and Achab, A., 2000, Chitinozoan zonation of the Late Ordovician and the early Silurian of the Island of Anticosti, Québec, Canada: Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, v. 109, p. 85–111.
- Spikkeland, I., Kinsten, B., Kjellberg, G., Nilssen, J.P., and Väinölä, R., 2016, The aquatic glacial relict fauna of Norway—an update of distribution and conservation status: Fauna Norvegica, v. 36, p. 51–65.

- Storch, P., 1985, *Orthograptus* s.l. and *Cystograptus* (Graptolithina) from the Bohemian lower Silurian: *Věstník Ústředního ústavu geologického*, v. 60, p. 87–99.
- Storch, P., and Feist, R., 2008, Lowermost Silurian graptolites of Montagne Noire, France: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 82, p. 938–956.
- Storch, P., and Loydell, D.K., 1996, The Hirnantian graptolites *Normalograptus persculptus* and “*Glyptograptus bohemicus*”: stratigraphical consequences of their synonymy: *Palaeontology*, v. 39, p. 869–881.
- Storch, P., and Serpagli, E., 1993, Lower Silurian graptolites from Southwestern Sardinia: *Bolletino della Società Paleontologica Italiana*, v. 32, p. 3–57.
- Storch, P., Roqué Bernal, J., and Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., 2019, A graptolite-rich Ordovician–Silurian boundary section in the south-central Pyrenees, Spain: *Geological Magazine*, v. 156, p. 1069–1091.
- Stukalina, G.A., 1968, On the systematics of the Pentamerata (Crinoidea) group: *Paleontological Journal*, v. 1, p. 73–82. [in Russian]
- Stukalina, G.A., 1969, Late Ordovician crinoids from central Kazakhstan: *Trudy VSEGEI, Biostratigraf Sbornik, NS*, v. 130, p. 202–216. [in Russian]
- Temple, J.T., 1952a, The ontogeny of the trilobite *Dalmanitina olini*: *Geological Magazine*, v. 89, p. 251–262.
- Temple, J.T., 1952b, A revision of the trilobite *Dalmanitina mucronata* (Brongniart) and related species: *Lunds Universitet Aarskrift*, v. 48, p. 1–33.
- Temple, J.T., 1965, Upper Ordovician brachiopods from Poland and Britain: *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, v. 10, p. 379–427.
- Torsvik, T., and Cocks, L., 2016, *Earth History and Palaeogeography*: Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 317 p.
- Vandenbroucke, T.R.A., 2005, Upper Ordovician Global Stratotype Sections and Points and the British Historical Type Area: a Chitinozoan Point of View [MSc Thesis]: Ghent, Ghent University, 295 p.
- Vandenbroucke, T.R.A., Hennissen, J., Zalasiewicz, J.A., and Verniers, J., 2008, New chitinozoans from the historical type area of the Hirnantian Stage and additional key sections in the Wye Vallay, Wales, UK: *Geological Journal*, v. 43, p. 397–414.
- Vandevelde, N., 1976, Chitinozoa uit het Llandovery–Wenlock te Neuville-sous-Huy (met stratigrafische opnamen) [M.Sc. Thesis]: Ghent, Ghent University, 69 p.
- Vanmeirhaeghe, J., 2006a, The evolution of the Condruz-Brabant Basin from Middle Ordovician to Llandovery: Lithostratigraphical and Chitinozoan Biostratigraphical Approach [Ph.D. Dissertation.]: Ghent, Ghent University, 137 p.
- Vanmeirhaeghe, J., 2006b, Chitinozoan biostratigraphy of the Upper Ordovician of Faulx-les-Tombes (central Condruz Inlier, Belgium): *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, v. 139, p. 171–188.
- Vanmeirhaeghe, J., 2007, Review of the lithostratigraphy of the Middle Ordovician to Llandovery of the Condruz Inlier (Belgium) by chitinozoan biostratigraphy: does the sedimentary succession records the Ardennian deformation?: *Geologica Belgica*, v. 10, p. 162–164.
- Vanmeirhaeghe, J., and Verniers, J., 2004, Chitinozoan bio- and lithostratigraphical study of the Ashgill Fosses and Génicot formations (Condruz Inlier, Belgium): *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, v. 130, p. 241–267.
- Verniers, J., and Vandenbroucke, T.R., 2006, Chitinozoan biostratigraphy in the Dob’s Linn Ordovician–Silurian GSSP, Southern Uplands, Scotland: *GFF*, v. 128, p. 195–202.
- Verniers, J., and Van Grootel, G., 1991, Review of the Silurian in the Brabant Massif, Belgium, in Andre, L., Herbosch, A., Vanguetaine, M., and Verniers, J., eds., *Proceedings of the International Meeting on the Caledonides of the Midlands and the Brabant Massif, Brussels, 20–23 September 1989*: *Annales de la Société Géologique de Belgique*, v. 114, p. 163–193.
- Verniers, J., Nestor, V., Paris, F., Dufka, P., Sutherland, S., and Van Grootel, G., 1995, A global Chitinozoa biozonation for the Silurian: *Geological Magazine*, v. 132, p. 651–666.
- Verniers, J., Herbosch, A., Vanguetaine, M., Geukens, F., Delcambre, B., Pingot, J.-L., Belanger, I., Hennebert, M., Debacker, T., Sintubin, M., and De Vos, W., 2001, Cambrian–Ordovician–Silurian lithostratigraphic units (Belgium): *Geologica Belgica*, v. 4, p. 5–38.
- Verniers, J., Van Grootel, G., Louwye, S., and Diependaele, B., 2002, The chitinozoan biostratigraphy of the Silurian of the Ronquières-Monstreux area (Brabant Massif, Belgium): *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, v. 118, p. 287–322.
- Villas, E., Lorenzo, S., and Gutiérrez-Marco, J.C., 1999, First record of a *Hirnantia* Fauna from Spain, and its contribution to the Late Ordovician palaeogeography of northern Gondwana: *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of The Royal Society of Edinburgh*, v. 89, p. 187–197.
- Vodges, A.W., 1890, A bibliography of Paleozoic Crustacea from 1698 to 1889, including a list of North American species and a systematic arrangement of genera: *US Geological Survey Bulletin*, v. 62–65, 177 p.
- Waagen, W., 1884, Salt Range fossils, vol. I, part 4. *Productus* Limestone fossils, Brachiopoda: *Memoirs of the Geological Survey of India, Palaeontologia Indica* (ser. 13), v. 3–4, p. 547–728.
- Wachsmuth, C., and Springer, F., 1880–1886, Revision of the Palaeocrinoidea: *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia Pt. III, Sec. 1. Discussion of the classification and relations of the brachiate crinoids, and conclusion of the generic descriptions* (1885), p. 225–364.
- Waern, B., 1948, The Silurian strata of the Kullatorp Core: *Bulletin of the Geological Institute of Upsala*, v. 32, p. 433–474.
- Walch, J.E.I., 1771, *Die Naturgeschichte der Versteinerungen, Dritter Theil*: Nuremberg, Paul Jonathan Felstecker, 235 p.
- Wang, G., Zhan, R., and Percival, I.G., 2019, The end-Ordovician mass extinction: a single-pulse event?: *Earth-Science Reviews*, v. 192, p. 15–33.
- Wang, Y., Boucot, A.J., Rong, J.Y., and Yang, X.C., 1984, Silurian and Devonian biogeography of China: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 95, p. 265–279.
- Webby, B.D., Cooper, R.A., Bergström, S.M., and Paris, F., 2004, Stratigraphic framework and time slices, in Webby, B.D., Droser, M., Paris, F., and Percival, I., eds., *The Great Ordovician Biodiversification Event*: New York, Columbia University Press, p. 41–47.
- Williams, A., and Wright, A.D., 1981, The Ordovician–Silurian boundary in the Garth area of Southwest Powys, Wales: *Geological Journal*, v. 16, p. 1–38.
- Williams, A., Carlson, S.J., Brunton, C.H.C., Holmer, L.E., and Popov, L.E., 1996, A supra-ordinal classification of the Brachiopoda: *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* (ser. B), v. 351, p. 1171–1193.
- Williams, S.H., 1988, Dob’s Linn—the Ordovician–Silurian boundary stratotype, in Cocks, L.R.M., and Rickards, R.B., eds., *A Global Analysis of the Ordovician–Silurian Boundary*: *Bulletin of the British Museum, Natural History (Geology)*, v. 43, p. 9–15.
- Withers, T.H., 1922, LXXVII.—The “Cirripede” *Lepidocoleus* in the Upper Ordovician rocks of Scotland: *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, v. 9, p. 653–656.
- Withers, T.H., 1926, *Catalogue of the Machaeridia (Turrelipes and its allies) in the Department of Geology*: London, British Museum (Natural History), 99 p.
- Wright, A.D., 1968, A westward extension of the upper Ashgillian *Hirnantia* Fauna: *Lethaia*, v. 1, p. 352–367.
- Yeltysheva, R.S., and Schewtschenko, T.V., 1960, Crinoid stems from the Carboniferous deposits of Tien Shan and Darvas: *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk Tadzhiskoy SSR, Otdelenie Geologii, Geokhimii, i Tekhnicheskikh Nauk*, v. 1, p. 119–125. [in Russian]
- Yin, G.Z., and Li, S.J., 1978, Trilobita, in *Stratigraphical and Palaeontological Work Team of Guizhou Province, ed., Palaeontological Atlas of Southwest China, Guizhou: Beijing, Geological Publishing House, p. 385–595*. [in Chinese]
- Yolkin, E.A., Obut, A.M., and Sennikov, N.V., 1988, The Ordovician–Silurian boundary in the Altai Mountains, USSR: *Bulletin of the British Museum, Natural History (Geology)*, v. 43, p. 139–143.
- Zamora, S., Álvaro, J.J., and Vizcaíno, D., 2009, Pelmatozoan echinoderms from the Cambrian–Ordovician transition of the Iberian Chains (NE Spain): early diversification of anchoring strategies: *Swiss Journal of Geosciences*, v. 102, p. 43–55.
- Zeng, Q.L., Chen, X.H., Wang, C.S., Zhang, M., and Han, H.Q., 2016, *Hirnantia Fauna of Yichang District, Its Paleogeology and Extinction, and the Biotic Evolution in Llandovery*: Wuhan, China University of Geosciences Press, 112 p. [in Chinese with English abstract]
- Zhan, R.B., and Cocks, L.R.M., 1998, Late Ordovician brachiopods from the South China Plate and their palaeogeographical significance: *Special Papers in Palaeontology*, v. 59, p. 1–70.
- Zhou, Z., Zhou, Z., and Yuan, W., 2011, Late Ordovician (Hirnantian) ‘*Mucronaspis* (‘*Songxites*’)-dominant trilobite fauna from northwestern Zhejiang, China: *Memoirs of the Association of Australasian Palaeontologists*, v. 42, p. 75–92.

Accepted: 16 July 2021