# Introduction

TOBY YOUNG

What is a composer, and what do they do? In the broadest (if perhaps most conservative) sense, a composer might be someone who creates music through the assembly of elements into an 'aesthetically rewarding form'.<sup>1</sup> 'Give me some stuff, and I'll organise it for you' writes composer and musician Frank Zappa. 'That's what I do.'<sup>2</sup> Composing might mean manipulating notes on manuscript paper to create a reproducible 'musical work' for instrumentalists in a concert hall, but it could equally involve working exclusively in a Digital Audio Workstation (DAW) on a computer, using music production techniques and electronic instruments to write the music for films or video games. The figure of a composer might also might become blurred towards the margins: is John Cage still composing when he blends vegetables into a smoothie for an iteration of his piece 0'0"(1962) for instance, or Pauline Oliveros, when her text-based score invites the performer to lie '[b]y a river or stream, listen[ing] for the key tones in the rushing waters' instead of picking up an instrument?<sup>3</sup> The word 'composer' might also come with baggage around who it may or may not apply to. Even today, in Western traditions especially, composition is often seen as following 'patriarchal structures in the way it is created, disseminated, and even perceived', enacted largely by 'a privileged, upper class, white "he" ... [whose] creation seemingly springs from his mind, untouched by his surroundings or his situation'.<sup>4</sup>

Composers today have access to an unimaginable diversity of musical styles and forms of production to choose from, and because of this face an unprecedented complexity of musical cultures and attitudes with which to engage. Composers may employ notational systems, or they may express their ideas through recordings, oral traditions, or other platforms. They might include the manipulation of sonic properties and characteristics such as pitch, timbre, rhythm, and so on (either generated themselves through virtual instruments or for others to perform), or may offer more open-ended, dialogical invitations for performers to explore the sounding possibilities of their environments on their own terms. Their approach to structure and instruction will sit on a spectrum between meticulously formalised and fully indeterminate, and their activities might range from solitary time writing on manuscript paper to more dynamic and social forms of creativity such as group jamming and other activities that blur the boundaries between composer, performer, theatre-maker, and artist. As technology continues to democratise the practice of composing – for example with music creation and notation software now available on the phone in most people's pockets – the production and distribution of new music is far more accessible now than ever, and the list of ways that someone might create music and sound is becoming endless.

In truth, there are as many ways of creating music as there are composers in the world, but despite (or even because of) the incredible plurality of practices to engage with, it can be daunting for an emerging composer to 'take the plunge' and create music. The idea of 'composition' should be an invitation rather than a barrier. Composing is a discipline rich with potential - one that means many things to many people and continues to be moulded and explored by the many different communities of music-makers around the world today - and anyone who strives to create 'with' and 'through' sound should consider themselves a composer, regardless of how engaged they are with communities of practice or how they approach writing music. That said, because anyone can compose does not mean that they can necessarily compose well (i.e. by effectively or idiomatically employing compositional techniques and devices for specific situations and requirements). The different contexts and circumstances a composer may choose to engage with - for example concert music, music for film and media, music for worship, interdisciplinary art (such as gallery installations or music for theatre), social or educational contexts (participatory and social events, pedagogic music, etc.) - all require certain skills and knowledge around the techniques, cultures, and histories of different approaches to music-making. This book seeks to furnish readers with some of this knowledge (or at least pathways to it) through discussions of both broader compositional processes and techniques as well as more in-depth examinations of several specific disciplines and practices. In this introduction I begin by tracing a brief cultural history of the composer in the classical music tradition and their shifting role in society in order to frame discussions later in the book. I will then explore alternative narratives and definitions of composition, challenging us to think about what composition might (and perhaps even *should*) mean for us in the twenty-first century, before finally outlining the approach taken in this volume and explaining how it is structured in light of these discussions.

## A Western History of the Composer

Whilst people have been creating and ordering sounds for millennia, the labelling (and subsequent professionalisation) of composition as distinct from other music-making activities appears to have only originated in twelfth and thirteenth centuries, specifically in the European art tradition. As practices of worship music developed to incorporate increasingly florid musical lines, for example the complex melismatic extemporisations of plainchant that we encounter in the music of Hildegard of Bingen, so too developed a need for the accurate notation to provide 'a detailed plan for coordinating the actions of two or more performers'.<sup>5</sup> The practice of separating parts into harmony became more widespread, and while the older theory and practice of discant (adding harmony to a plainchant melody with parallel intervals such as thirds and sixths) was predominantly shared orally, the complexity of the isorhythmic methods of polyphony being developed during the Ars Nova movement required a method of notation capable of communicating precise pitches and durations.<sup>6</sup> Where the standardised plainchants used as source texts were taken as divine, and therefore without worldly author, the new composition techniques being explored by the so-called Notre Dame school composers quickly earned infamy, and thus attribution. Where other vernacular idioms like the troubadour songs continued to function as orally transmitted and performer-led,<sup>7</sup> the act of 'making and notating a polyphonic "work" came to be recognised as a specialised skill<sup>8</sup> and by the late fifteenth century the authors who produced such works were credited as compositores (literally those who 'put together' the separate musical elements required for a choral partbook).

Through the vast epistemic reach of the Holy Roman Empire, compositional knowledge and practice became solidified and disseminated in theoretical treatises during the Renaissance. Works like Gioseffo Zarlino's *Le Istitutioni Harmoniche* ('The Art of Counterpoint') (1558) set out to unite music theory – associated with God and the 'music of the spheres' – with the human craft of composition, directing composers to combine their instinct towards sonically appealing traits with an understanding of the philosophical, cosmological, and mathematical principles of music in order that their works become 'more perfect'.<sup>9</sup> Whilst the work of Zarlino and others might be seen to us now as emancipatory, it needs to be remembered that the new discipline of composition was still carefully controlled by the church at this time, only being taught to 'pious men', and with the primary method of employment as a composer being from religious institutions.<sup>10</sup> They could find work as an organist or Kapellmeister in a local church for instance or seek freelance relationships with wealthy landowners who had private chapels. It was only towards the end of the seventeenth century that the composer became more commonly employed by aristocrats and royalty, primarily engaged to write religious music but also increasingly songs, dances, and other functional music intended to entertain the court.

The proliferation of music genres around this period also expanded the composer's possible mediums for creative expression away from the clear binary of sacred and profane. As the development of opera became entangled with its social desirability, for example, the aspiration of wealthy patrons to have bespoke works created for them led to a boom in commissioning of new pieces exploring a range of historical and contemporary topics.<sup>11</sup> Employment from royal and aristocratic patrons was often financially attractive, but despite the high salaries some composers still held a preference for avoiding the dependence and servility that this form of employment entailed. Court composers in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries 'typically had the status of lackeys, serving at the lord's whim and forced figuratively to prostrate themselves at the lord's feet in asking submissively for the slightest favour. To be sure, the relationship between lord and court composer varied with the master's personality and the extent to which he respected his servant's genius.'12 Infamously for example, Johann Sebastian Bach was briefly imprisoned for his 'impertinent' attempt to retire from the service of the Duke of Weimar in 1717.

During the Western Enlightenment in the late eighteenth century, a new scientific obsession with measurement and taxonomy led to an ontological revolution in the standardisation of musical genres. Prescribed orderings of movements and categories of works pervaded all instances of sacred and secular music from instrumental works (the sonata, string quartet, symphony, etc.) to opera and oratorio, that 'challenged composers to design coherent sequences of textural and stylistic oppositions'.<sup>13</sup> As the church's grip on society was loosened, composers 'underwent "social emancipation" from the extra-musical demands of church and court and experienced the vagaries of independence', seeking funding instead from other establishments: 'professional organizations, private musical societies and a new species of music institution intended to embody the ideal of musical autonomy ... [such] as, in Britain, the Philharmonic Society in 1813 and the Royal Academy of Music in 1826'.<sup>14</sup>

This period also brought the formation of standard operatic and concert repertories against which new pieces were composed and evaluated, creating an emergent paradigm of distinctive musical 'works' in critical circles, narrowing the potential for performer improvisation and extemporisation that had been a key part of earlier musical cultures.<sup>15</sup> This created increasingly strong demands for autonomy and originality,<sup>16</sup> and generated a narrative of compositional 'greatness' and 'genius' in eighteenth- and nineteenth-century culture.<sup>17</sup> Composers were frequently public figures, and composer-performers like Franz Liszt are well documented as being treated like major celebrities.<sup>18</sup> Outside the major cultural institutions of concert halls and opera houses, compositional activities also included the less professional, but no less formal, writing of music to be played by and with friends in a domestic setting. This was the predominant way that many female composers without access to major resources of orchestras and concert halls were able to develop their musical voices, for example.<sup>19</sup> The pursuit of chamber music evenings that marks this period's Biedermeier aesthetic was very much a middle-class endeavour, and even into the nineteenth century we see that those without access to the material resources of instruments, leisure time, and private space were relatively unlikely to be engaged in compositional endeavours.

This institutionalisation and mythologising of the composer became entangled with ideologies of dogma and control. As musicologist Lydia Goehr observes, a nineteenth-century composition is 'not just a score but a cultural object designed to guard against a performer daring to avoid adherence to the composer's wishes'.<sup>20</sup> The establishment of a group of male composers in major positions at universities and conservatoires at the 'nucleus of a musical pantheon'<sup>21</sup> provides a skewed picture of the state of musical composition during this era that academia is in the process of trying to disentangle. Through this period the vocabulary of functional harmony became extended by the use of increasingly 'extreme' chromaticism into a realm of ambiguity and colour. This necessitated ontological questions about what music 'was' or 'did' beyond any pre-existing reliance on dissonance technique (i.e. the maintenance and release of tension through particular relationships of consonance and dissonance) to govern other musical parameters like flow, texture, and structure. More than ever, the growing social autonomy of the artist positioned musical expression as 'the individual composer's subjective will or self:<sup>22</sup> a doctrine of the affections that assumed certain sounds and gestures to be inescapably linked to emotional response, where 'music was "about" something ... [and] "said" something to man's deepest emotions'.<sup>23</sup>

In the expanding world brought about by the industrial revolution with its increased focus on globalisation, the autonomy of the composer was becoming increasingly challenged. Within the thriving economies resulting from growing labour markets, the rationality of European thought was replaced by aspirations of power and desires for 'compositions that would symbolize a nation's identity'<sup>24</sup> became ubiquitous, marked by a shift in the cultural landscape from compositional autonomy and towards folk music and heritage.<sup>25</sup> Compositional practices also became increasingly imbricated with other art forms and disciplines - particularly scientific developments and psychoanalysis - and through a series of 'World Fairs' held in cities including London (1851) and Paris (1855-1937). European musical culture was exposed to music from colonised nations in the Global South. Tribal art and jazz music particularly sparked the imagination of emerging composers, and by the early twentieth century the complex stratification of music and blurring between 'high' and 'low' art that came to characterise the rest of the century had already begun with the arrival of radio and cinema offering access to new creative opportunities and audiences (as well as sources of income). In the domain of visual art, works like Marcel Duchamp's Fountain (1917) radically changed the aesthetic conversations through its use of readymade and found objects, fundamentally challenging the work-concept, and bringing about what Paul Griffiths calls 'the demise of the great composer' as a default cultural position.<sup>26</sup> Another important challenge to the composer's agency was recording technology, which made it possible to reproduce and distribute works, both detaching the artwork from the artist as works acquired new uses and meanings away from the control of the artist – much to the concern of thinkers like Walter Benjamin and Theodor Adorno - but also facilitating much wider forms of profile-building and use for music.

The rupture of World War I with its mass physical and social destruction in Europe and Russia brought about radical developments in music. Composers searched for artistic 'solutions' to reconstruct the broken world (and 'broken' tonal system) around them, finding order in mathematical procedures (Arnold Schoenberg), Greco-Roman aesthetics (Igor Stravinsky), and natural structures and design (Béla Bartok).<sup>27</sup> In response to a perceived decadence of Romantic values that no longer seemed suitable in a society in such flux, there was also a shift back to a 'functional approach to composition – for example with Paul Hindemith's *Gebrauchsmusik* ('music for use') movement – where the composer filled a social need by objectively 'making' work for national and civic events. After World War II, the devastation was even more severe, and required a post-war rebuilding programme of radical cultural renewal. 'It can be no surprise that 1945 represents a shift in music', writes Paul Griffiths. 'The destruction, havoc, grief, and misery felt across the world - and the widespread hopes for a new social order, and therefore a new culture - demanded not just reconstruction but an alternative paradigm.<sup>28</sup> In America for example, Minimalism was bursting to life in response to the country's newfound financial dominance,<sup>29</sup> whilst experimentalists like John Cage were deploying aleatory techniques to create 'works which are indeterminate with respect to [their] performance<sup>30</sup> Many of the developing practices around this time explored the blurred edges of the role of the composer by challenging the limitations of the role, for example by either affording the performer increased compositional agency (e.g. through improvisation) or removing responsibility from the composer altogether (through chance procedures or musical quotation) on one hand, and the composer taking over some of the traditional functions of the performer (such as in electronic music) on the other.

### Towards a New Definition

By the end of the twentieth century, globalisation and the radically changing technologies and aesthetics of modernity had produced such a diversity of musical activity that it is almost impossible to comprehend the entire compositional landscape. Where it might have been possible to understand the musical innovations of the post-war decades such as musique concrète or postmodernism with relative contextual ease, the blurring and unravelling of new music through the twentieth century make the formulating of 'easy' unifying elements nigh-on impossible. As Tim Rutherford-Johnson observes, we cannot 'set the music of [today] within the same contextual depth as, say, the serial music composed in the early 1950s (a product of wartime technologies ... and the desires of a young generation to start again) or the early minimalist music of the mid-1960s (a product of jazz and non-Western influences, counterculture, and influences from the visual arts).<sup>31</sup> The connectivity of the Internet at the end of the last century further catalysed a fervent pluralism. Composers and audiences could now access sounds from across the globe at the click of a mouse, 'emancipating' the genres and idioms such as concert music, film music, and popular music that were previously far more siloed by performance institutions and the commercial categories that underpinned record labels. One particularly impactful thread has been the breakdown of distinction between 'art music' and popular music, as younger generations of composers grow up with increasingly broad listening habits. Equally, as Alex Ross observes,

some of the liveliest reactions to twentieth-century and contemporary classical music have come from the pop arena, roughly defined. The microtonal tunings of Sonic Youth, the opulent harmonic designs of Radiohead, the fractured, fast-shifting time signatures of math rock and intelligent dance music, the elegiac orchestral arrangements that underpin songs by Sufjan Stevens and Joanna Newsom ... [all] carry on the long-running conversation between classical and popular traditions.<sup>32</sup>

Yet in spite of this sonic diversification, many of the ideas that constitute the composer and composition are still deeply enmeshed in the eighteenthcentury Western paradigms of knowledge, dissemination, documentation, and ownership, where a composition is primarily assumed to be a musical product or 'work' that exists as a repeatable (and therefore commodifiable) entity and the hegemony of the 'authorial voice' underpins any definition of what 'composing' actually is and who is doing it. The Enlightenment's positioning of the 'composer as "master" over ideas, music, and performers'33 further established assumptions around value and worth which obfuscated the power dynamics of global relations and rendered much of the creativity and innovation of global musical traditions insignificant. This has left us with complex and uncomfortable legacies and repercussions of colonialist culture in our present-day musical community, where our paradigms, tools, and creative models of creation are firmly inherited from 'Eurological' music-making (to use George Lewis' term<sup>34</sup>) whether that be in 'the commissioning paradigm, the concert protocol and experience, the clearly defined roles and hierarchies ... [or] the musical instruments that are . . . composed for'.<sup>35</sup> Even today in many institutions, compositional practices that are performer-led or improvisational – defying the colonialist ideologies of compositions as fixed, transmutable texts, capable of transmission via notation or sound recording - are treated with suspicion. Elaine Mitchener observes that these ideological pitfalls are replicated in many of the ways that composition is taught, created, and evaluated, where there is a persistent belief that:

Western European classical music is the epitome and apotheosis of musical excellence, by which all other music is to be judged. Anything outside of the Western classical music canon is an add-on, exotic and without intellectual foundation. This backward, reductionist viewpoint is dangerous . . . [and] has caused a narrowing of sound world experiences. These long-held views underpin notions of musical hierarchies and serve to undermine anything or anyone that doesn't look or 'sound' like them or what they know.  $^{36}$ 

Trying to consider a decolonised history of composition poses complex methodological challenges, since the framework of 'composition' as an autonomous activity is itself a Eurocentric construct - which largely did not exist as a concept in continents outside the Global North until the early twentieth century<sup>37</sup> - and is deeply enmeshed with Western ideals and approaches to music.<sup>38</sup> As in pre-Enlightenment Europe, the blurring of roles between poet, songwriter, philosopher, and composer in many Indigenous cultures poses ontological questions around where to boundary any definition of composition as an activity (and indeed, a profession). Let us take as an example the Indigenous communities that make up the Aboriginal Australian peoples. These communities often create music alongside social practices, such as bonding rituals and education, with 'composed' musical elements (as might be thought about in the context of this book) like melody and rhythm being deeply intertwined with the storytelling and religious counsel tendered by a community elder. These societies place great value on songs particularly those given as gifts or acquired by inheritance - as well as instrumental pieces used for dances or ceremonies.<sup>39</sup> Music in this form is inseparable from everyday life, and our earlier framework of composition as making music objects (or facilitating encounters with music) by assembling sound materials in an expressive way falls short in identifying what the value and intent of creating new music is in this context. Additionally, there is a belief in many of these communities that songs are received from the spiritual domain, and as such are distinct from the human agents who acquire them.

The subtle distinction between discovery and creation is further nuanced by musical practices in Papua New Guinea, as Stephen Blum observes.

[For] the Kaluli people (Papua New Guinea), an act of spontaneous composition creates a 'path' (*tok*) so that composer and listeners can 'simultaneously experience a progression of lands and places and a progression of deeply felt sentiments associated with them' (Feld, 1982, p. 151). Listeners who are familiar with the places named and with the techniques of performance have no way of knowing in advance just how the composer will connect and coordinate place names, melodic shapes and ways of using the voice. ... Rather than creating or discovering new paths during performance, musicians may retrace paths inherited from their predecessors or revealed to them in visions.<sup>40</sup>

This intersection of performance practice and composition - where a framework or repertory of events or instructions is then modified to respond to surroundings - is particularly evident in jazz music. A jazz composition, usually written as an 'open text' of melody and chords, is a dialogic and collaborative invitation for the performer to improvise 'with' and 'around' in the moment of performance. The focus on improvisation in jazz is often characterised in academic literature as a 'kind of craft, in contrast to the art of composition<sup>241</sup> and therefore belonging to a tradition of performance practice – 'in the negative sense of something unprepared and unforeseen'<sup>42</sup> – firmly outside the 'carefully notated' European tradition of composition. As Laudan Nooshin points out, the ideological formula where '[a]bsence of notation equals non-cerebral, which in turn equals non-art, which is inferior to real art'43 distracts focus from other aspects of compositional innovation and achievement. 'The jazz assemblage' for instance, writes Georgina Born', is 'lateral and processual. Jazz entertains no split between ideal musical object and mere instantiation, no hierarchy between composer as Creator and performer as interpreter of the Word. ... [J]azz's ontology is primarily material and social.<sup>44</sup> As with much of the African(-American) derived popular music of the twentieth century, jazz's engagement with sociality and group music-creation, the recording process, and re-creation and remixing as part of the richness of a musical object challenges the agency and autonomy of a 'composer' in the eighteenth-century classical sense, in lieu of creativities that embrace the realities of race and class. It is telling that in China - where the figure of a 'professional' composer only emerged in the 1930s - that 'compositional technique' was synonymous with notational literacy for several decades after the Cultural Revolution, despite the movement's proposed depoliticising of art and broader reaction against Maoist uniformity and political control.45

This is not to say that jazz music is necessarily any less 'composed' than an instrumental score; a fact made evident by the awarding of the 2018 Pulitzer Prize for composition to rapper, songwriter, and producer Kendrick Lamar. As many global traditions show us, non-notated practices like improvisation and composition are not binaries: whether musical material, instructions, or prompts are notated, stored in a musician's memory, or only realised in performance, the choices and frameworks underpinning the sounding manifestation necessarily falls within a class of composition of sorts. Recent scholarship by Daniel Leech-Wilkinson and others challenges us to see all modes of composition – including Western common practice – as composite; contingent on a spectrum of strategies that limit the performer's scope of permissible variation in different ways depending on genre and function.<sup>46</sup> The subjects, procedures, models, and formulae of creation may well carry their own names and modus operandi, and work within different circulatory dynamics and regimes of innovation, but provided they can 'give birth to new musical ideas' by combining, patterning, or developing sonic material they are able to be understood within the framework of composition.<sup>47</sup>

Stephen Davies helps us to quantify some of the different forms of authorship, collaboration, and creativity in global music-making traditions by considering compositional practices on a spectrum between 'thin' musical practices, which rely on a small set of invariant properties - for example jazz standards and popular music, where the musical artefact is constituted from its performances and recordings - and 'thick' musical practices, where the 'properties heard in a performance are crucial to its identity and must be reproduced in a fully faithful rendition of the work'.<sup>48</sup> In other words, the 'thicker' the work, the more the composer controls the sonic detail of its manifestation. Implicit in this model is the view that compositional practice is grounded within specific performance institutions and their individual social organisation of knowledge but is nonetheless flexible in providing an adaptive frame that changes across situations and motives. In other words, this view of composition is not centred on the substance or form of a sonic statement, but rather the way it has been made and the action it accomplishes in the world, in relation to the societies and conventions. To paraphrase Benedict Anderson, this approach to composition does not simply mean learning to speak in a certain language as means of communication but also 'learn the way of thinking and feeling of a people who speak and write a language which is different from ours ... [and] learn the history and culture underlying their thoughts and emotions and so to learn to empathize with them'.<sup>49</sup> Take for example Adrian McNeil's account of North-Indian classical music.

[A]s in many other musical contexts, simplistic interpretations of improvisation as an opposition to composition are not really helpful in trying to understand how creative practices work . . . This is especially so given the complex configurations of composed materials in Hindustani music and how they function as launching pads for creative outcomes – rather than as the creative outcome itself. Spontaneous creativity in the performance of a rāga is better represented through the idea of the growth and expansion of these composed materials, which function as seed ideas for that creativity. Framing creativity in this way grounds musical processes in the thought and practices of traditional forms of knowledge and ways of knowing in India . . . [but] this process of growing or expansion is often conflated with Western notions of improvisation . . . a term which carries Western ideological and historical baggage.  $^{50}$ 

McNeil's model of fixed 'seed ideas' that are creatively 'grown' and embellished during performance vividly demonstrates the blurring between performer as conduit and performer as agent and reminds us that the role of the composer is necessarily entangled within specific cultural contexts and ways of listening. For instance, where Eurocentric approaches to composition historically privileged harmony and structure, music from Afrocentric lineages may have governing music principles based around the rhythmic, social, and embodied language of dance.<sup>51</sup> According to Olly Wilson, such an approach to composition is necessarily founded in

the notion of music as a ritualistic, interactive, communal activity in which everyone is expected to participate . . . a conception of music based on the assumption of the principle of rhythmic contrast; the predilection for call and response; cyclical musical structures; the propensity to produce percussive stratified musical textures; a heterogeneous timbral sound ideal; the notion of physical body motion conceived as an integral part of the music-making process; and so on.<sup>52</sup>

Similarly, the composer Tōru Takemitsu observes that the compositional paradigm of Eastern traditions centres on a logic of sonority and timbre.

Western music has been carefully classified within a narrow system of sounds, and its presentation has been systematically notated. Rests within a score tend to be placed with mathematical compromises. Here the sound has lost its strength within the limitation of functionalism. . . . [In traditional Japanese music], a richness of sound undivided by rigid classifications can be recognized . . . [as] a 'stream of sounds'. This is not only an impressionistic description but a phrase intended to contrast with the usual method of construction in music – that of superimposing sounds one on another. This is not a matter of creating new space by merely dividing it, but . . . by admitting a new perception of space and giving it an active sense.<sup>53</sup>

This discussion resonates with Ed McKeon's notion of 'musicality' as the ideal goal of composition: where temporal experience is shaped through sound by producing encounters between performers and audience that uses sound practice to highlight relationality between them without relying on a priori values or concepts. 'Subjects and objects, nature and culture, mind and body, values and facts, theory and practice, freedom and necessity – these need not be structuring oppositional terms, requiring the intervention of  $a[n] \dots$  agent to "balance" or resolve the tensions they articulate. . . . In this sense, [music] manifests through practices that do not make the question

of mediation foundational.<sup>54</sup> McKeon's provocation invites reflection on the 'letting go of authorship'<sup>55</sup> and welcomes a more curatorial approach to composition that is less reliant on non-synchronous activities (i.e. music created outside the performing situation, edited, or manipulated in some way to form the performing text) than our other definitions explored so far. This view of a dialogic and connected composer is far from the isolated figure of the 'master discourse' of the Enlightenment and modernism.

### How to Use This Book

So, what does this all mean for composers writing today? This book aims to answer this by offering some critical and practical tools for composers as they try to navigate this complex landscape, whilst also offering provocations for practitioners discovering their own voices and solidifying their place in their musical communities. It is designed to be a companion in the truest sense; a partner along the composition process, offering practical support, thought-provoking insights, and sometimes even challenging advice along the way, regardless of what compositional approach you are taking. Some chapters may be more helpful to those earlier on in their journeys, particularly those offering practical guidance, whereas the more theoretical or aesthetically focused chapters later in the book might be more suitable for composers pursuing more research-led or advanced pursuits. Nevertheless, the book does not try to essentialise or 'sum up' the vast breadth and depth of compositional practice in the world right now but rather offers some ways in to thinking about, around, and through composition by presenting a cross-section of some of the different approaches and questions being explored in the world right now. Instead of asking what composition is, this is a book that thinks about how composing works and what the technical, aesthetic, and cultural implications of being a composer are today.

It is the central aim of this book to celebrate and normalise composition as an embodied, relational practice that is not solely privileged as the exclusive domain of 'classical music', academia, or elite cultural organisations. Whilst it is not possible to be fully 'cross-genre' in this format, the book takes Berg's dictum that 'music is music'<sup>56</sup> firmly to heart by attempting to tread lightly across genre and community divides. Many of the authors featured write predominantly concert music, this is not a book solely about classical composition: some chapters are explicit in discussing commercial and non-classical music whilst many of the rest can be read and interpreted for a range of viewpoints, genres, and aesthetics. Similarly, the prose styles and approaches of contributions come from a range of disciplines and approaches. A composer's writings on music will often reveal some aspect of their outlook on the world, and whilst many of the composers featured here do not write directly about their own music, I invite you to read each chapter thinking about the music the author makes.

Each of the book's four parts begins with a brief introduction to its themes and ideas, followed by a series of chapters written by composers from a variety of backgrounds, identities, and aesthetics. Each section reflects on compositional practice from different angles to elucidate key aspects of the craft of - or discourse on - composition through the minds of composers in the field. Part I ('Creative Processes') focuses on the procedures and methods of writing music, beginning with Howard Skempton's discussion on inspiration (Chapter 1). Three chapters about development follow: Robert Saxton (Chapter 2), who uses two different approaches to the same interval to consider musical unfolding and extemporisation; Kenneth Hesketh (Chapter 3), who considers how to work creatively with structures of time and space in linear music; and Tonia Ko (Chapter 4), who shows how responding to the sonorities and limitations of instruments can unlock alternative ways of thinking about the creative process. Finally, Julian Anderson's (Chapter 5) demonstration of the sketching process offers some practical ways to approach the compositional process that embraces its messiness and complexity. Part II ('Techniques') offers practical and aesthetic suggestions around specific situations and combinations of instruments or voices. Chapters in this section are not intended to be exhaustive 'how to guides' but rather provide pragmatic advice about how to approach situations, with some contributions focusing on the technical implications of instrumentations (Chapters 7–9) and others offering takes on the interpersonal and logistical aspect of working with certain musicians in specific conditions (Chapters 6, 10, and 11).

Part III ('Styles, Conventions, and Issues') explores some of the central – if sometimes slightly ephemeral – concepts and theories that underpin compositional practice, such as discussion of notation (Chapter 12), sound (Chapter 13), technology (Chapter 14), and influence (Chapter 15). Rather than collating a series of 'isms' (serialism, postmodernism, etc.), this section thinks more generally about some of the aesthetic questions that lie at the heart of compositional practice and concludes with two chapters that offer provocations: on 'borrowing', adaptation, and originality (Chapter 16) and composing in a climate emergency (Chapter 17). Finally, Part IV ('Building a Career') addresses the reality of life as a composer. Where establishing a clear artistic profile is more important (but also more complex now) than ever before within this 'post-everything' mindset,<sup>57</sup> Eleanor Alberga's chapter (Chapter 18) offers some advice for composers trying to navigate the labyrinth of self-expression and influence. Naomi Belshaw's contribution (Chapter 19) extends this 'real world' advice to the domains of marketing and public relations, for composers seeking financial security and social recognition in their work. Finally, an epilogue co-written with Hollie Harding (Chapter 20) offers some practical suggestions on the realities of building a professional practice and managing the complex personal and emotional demands of life as an artist.

#### Notes

- 1. Andy Hamilton, 'The Aesthetics of Imperfection Reconceived: Improvisations, Compositions, and Mistakes', *The Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism*, 78/3 (2020), 291.
- Frank Zappa, *The Real Frank Zappa Book* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1989), 139.
- 3. Pauline Oliveros, *Anthology of Text Scores*, ed. Samuel Golter and Lawton Hall (New York: Deep Listening Publications, 2013), 46.
- 4. Claudia Molitor, 'Composition as Hyperobject', *Apria* (2021), www.apria .artez.nl/composition-as-hyperobject (accessed 1 February 2023).
- Stephen Blum, 'Composition', *Grove Music Online* (2001), www.oxfordmusic online.com/grovemusic/view/10.1093/gmo/9781561592630.001.0001/omo-9781561592630-e-0000006216 (accessed 1 February 2023).
- Thomas Forrest Kelly, 'Notation I', in Mark Everist and Thomas Forrest Kelly (eds.), *The Cambridge History of Medieval Music* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2018), 236–99.
- Judith Ann Peraino, *Giving Voice to Love: Song and Self-Expression from the Troubadours to Guillaume de Machaut* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2011).
- 8. Blum, 'Composition'.
- Jairo Moreno, Musical Representations, Subjects, and Objects: The Construction of Musical Thought in Zarlino, Descartes, Rameau, and Weber (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2004), 25–49.

- 10. See Thomas Schmidt-Beste (ed.), *Institutions and Patronage in Renaissance Music* (Oxford: Routledge, 2019).
- 11. See Daniel Snowman, *The Gilded Stage: A Social History of Opera* (London: Atlantic, 2010).
- Frederic M. Scherer, 'Servility, Opportunity, and Freedom in the Choice of Music Composition as a Profession', *The Musical Quarterly*, 85/4 (2001), 718– 34.
- 13. Blum, 'Composition'.
- 14. Lydia Goehr, *The Imaginary Museum of Musical Works: An Essay in the Philosophy of Music* (New York: Clarendon Press, 1992), 2.
- 15. Ibid.
- 16. See Larry Siedentop, *Inventing the Individual: The Origins of Western Liberalism* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2014).
- Jim Samson, 'The great composer', in Jim Samson (ed.), *The Cambridge History of Nineteenth-Century Music* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001), 259–284.
- Oliver Hilmes, *Franz Liszt: Musician, Celebrity, Superstar*, trans. Stewart Spencer (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2016).
- See Anna Beer, Sounds and Sweet Airs: The Forgotten Women of Classical Music (London: Oneworld Publications, 2016).
- 20. Goehr, The Imaginary Museum of Musical Works, 234.
- 21. Samson, 'The Great Composer', 260.
- 22. Barney Childs and Elliott Schwartz (eds.), *Contemporary Composers on Contemporary Music* (New York: Hachette Books, 2009), xv.
- 23. Ibid.
- 24. Blum, 'Composition'.
- 25. See Karen Painter, *Symphonic Aspirations: German Music and Politics, 1900–1945* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2008).
- Paul Griffiths, *Modern Music and After* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2011), 324.
- 27. See Richard Taruskin, *Music in the Early Twentieth Century: The Oxford History of Western Music* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2009), 303–494.
- 28. Griffiths, Modern Music and After, 1.
- 29. Tim Rutherford-Johnson, *Music After the Fall: Modern Composition and Culture Since 1989* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2017).
- John Cage, Silence: Lectures and Writings (Middletown: Wesleyan University Press 1961), 35.
- 31. Rutherford-Johnson, Music After the Fall, 5.
- 32. Alex Ross, *The Rest Is Noise: Listening to the Twentieth Century* (New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2007), 407.
- Joanna Ward, 'Redefining Compositional Practices Under Contemporary Capitalism', I Care if You Listen (2021), www.icareifyoulisten.com/2021/09/

redefining-compositional-practices-under-contemporary-capitalism-castinglight-5 (accessed 1 February 2023).

- 34. George Lewis, 'Improvised Music after 1950: Afrological and Eurological Perspectives', *Black Music Research Journal*, 22 (2002), 215–46.
- Gabriel Dharmoo, 'Reflections of Coloniality in the New Music Scene', Intersections, 39/1 (2019), 113.
- 36. Elaine Mitchener, 'How to Remove Earwax', *Outer National* (2021), www .van-outernational.com/elaine-mitchener (accessed 1 February 2023).
- See Wen-chung Chou, 'Whither Chinese Composers?', Contemporary Music Review, 26/5–6 (2007), 501–10.
- Kofi Agawu, 'Tonality as a Colonizing Force in Africa', in Ronald Radano and Tejumola Olaniyan (eds.), *Audible Empire: Music, Global Politics, Critique* (Durham: Duke University Press, 2016), 334–56.
- See Byron Dueck, Musical Intimacies and Indigenous Imaginaries: Aboriginal Music and Dance in Public Performance (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013).
- 40. Blum, 'Composition'.
- Bruno Nettl, 'Thoughts on Improvisation: A Comparative Approach', *The Musical Quarterly*, 60/1 (1974), 4.
- 42. Leo Treitler, 'Medieval Improvisation', World of Music, 33/3 (1991), 66.
- 43. Laudin Nooshin and Richard Widdess, 'Improvisation in Iranian and Indian Music', *Journal of the Indian Musicological Society*, 36/37 (2006), 246.
- 44. Georgina Born, 'On Musical Mediation: Ontology, Technology and Creativity', *Twentieth-Century Music*, 2/1 (2005), 27.
- Jiang Jing, 'The Influence of Traditional Chinese Music on Professional Instrumental Composition', *Asian Music*, 22/2 (1991), 83–96.
- 46. Daniel Leech-Wilkinson, *Challenging Performance: Classical Music Performance Norms and How to Escape Them* (London: Self-pub., 2020), www .challengingperformance.com/the-book (accessed 1 February 2023).
- Emmanuelle Olivier, 'Ethnomusicology of the Creative Process', in Nicolas Donin (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of the Creative Process in Music* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018), https://academic.oup.com/editedvolume/42047.
- Stephen Davies, *Musical Works and Performances: A Philosophical Exploration* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001), 20.
- 49. Benedict Anderson, *A Life Beyond Boundaries: A Memoir* (New York: Verso, 2016), 139.
- Adrian McNeil, 'Seed Ideas and Creativity in Hindustani Raga Music: Beyond the Composition–Improvisation Dialectic', *Ethnomusicology Forum*, 26/1 (2017), 116–32.
- Paul Gilroy, 'Sounds Authentic: Black Music, Ethnicity, and the Challenge of a "Changing" Same', *Black Music Research Journal*, 11/2 (1991), 127.

- 52. Olly Wilson, 'Composition from the Perspective of the African-American Tradition', *Black Music Research Journal*, 16/1 (1996), 44.
- 53. Tōru Takemitsu, *Confronting Silence: Selected Writings*, trans. Glenn Glasow and Yoshiko Kakudo (New York: Scarecrow Press, 1995), 17.
- 54. Ed McKeon, *Heiner Goebbels and Curatorial Composing After Cage: From Staging Works to Musicalising Encounters* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2022), 67–8.
- 55. Ibid.
- 56. Quoted in Ross, The Rest Is Noise, 10.
- 57. See Herman Paul and Adriaan van Veldhuizen (eds.), *Post-everything: An Intellectual History of Post-concepts* (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2021).