RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Excuse of (II)legality in Discriminating and Persecuting Religious Minorities: Anti-Mosque Legal Violence in Myanmar

Nyi Nyi Kyaw

Asia Research Institute, National University of Singapore, Singapore E-mail: nnkster@gmail.com

Abstract

This article highlights the convenient excuse of (il)legality used by (1) religious majoritarian mobs to justify attacks against places of worship and religious buildings of minorities; and (2) police and local authorities to absolve themselves of the failure to uphold public order and the rule of law, protect religious minorities, and to punish religious minorities. This article traces the emergence of legal violence in the form of anti-mosque vigilante extremism in Myanmar from 2012 onwards and analyzes cases of attacks against: (1) "illegal" mosques; (2) madrasas being used as or reconstructed into mosques; (3) buildings allegedly being constructed as mosques; (4) private homes and public spaces being used as mosques; and cases of (5) closed mosques not being allowed to reopen. The author primarily used Myanmar-language resources as well as interviews to conduct the research.

Keywords: legality; legal violence; anti-mosque extremism; religious minorities; Myanmar

I. Introduction

During intercommunal violence in which one community affiliated with a faith or religion is pitted against another community affiliated with a different faith, churches, mosques, synagogues, temples, and other religious buildings of the former or the latter are usually targeted, and either partially or completely destroyed. Muslim religious sites in Hindumajority India¹ and Buddhist-majority Myanmar,² and Christian religious sites in Muslim-majority Indonesia³ and Muslim-majority Malaysia⁴ have been vandalized, destroyed, and burnt by members of majority groups. Several instances in which places of worship or religious sites were attacked did not originate from controversies or conflicts over the existence of such places or sites. More specifically, the legality of those places and sites was not an issue. Rioters often target them because they symbolically and physically represent or belong to the religious communities whom they want to attack or hurt. Usually, the destruction, partial or complete, of religious places and sites usually stems from either one-sided or mutual religious violence between two religious communities sparked by other issues. For example, Muslims attacked churches in Malaysia in January 2010 by hurling bricks and stones, throwing petrol bombs, and spraying paint in the wake of a court decision that allows Christians to use the Arabic term Allah—which Muslims

¹ Brass (2003).

² Kyaw (2019b).

³ Lim (2008).

⁴ Yee & Liow (2017).

[©] The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Asian Journal of Law and Society

claim sole ownership of—to refer to God in their Malay-language religious publications.⁵ Likewise, mosques were ransacked and torched in Meiktila in Myanmar in March 2013 during anti-Muslim religious violence that originated from an argument at a Muslim-owned gold shop between the shopkeepers and a Buddhist couple.⁶ In September 2012, extremist Muslim mobs in Bangladesh torched and ransacked Buddhist temples, triggered by a Facebook post allegedly defaming the Islamic holy book Qur'an.⁷ More recently, mosques in Sri Lanka were damaged by Buddhist mobs in May 2019 apparently out of revenge for Easter bombings of churches and luxury hotels by extremist Muslims.⁸ Two facts are noteworthy: (1) religious buildings only became *indirectly* involved or targeted in the later stage(s) of violence; and (2) non-religious houses and shops were also attacked together with religious buildings, showing that the latter were not the *only* targets.

In comparison, there are instances in which religious buildings have been targeted in the first place by riotous mobs under the pretext of (il)legality. Rioters and mobs, interestingly, give a legal excuse that they have the right to destroy or remove, or at least demand the removal and destruction of, religious buildings that are alleged to have been built illegally, namely without getting proper legal or prior official approval. Often, the (il) legality of sacred spaces is considered not just in terms of contemporary or modern administrative law, but also in terms of historical continuity. For example, the famous case of the demolition of the sixteenth-century medieval Babri Mosque in the city of Ayodhya in Uttar Pradesh, India on 6 December 1992 is based on a Hindu historical and archaeological claim that the mosque was built on the birthplace of Rama.9 Likewise, in recent years, several Muslim madrasas and mosques have been burnt, destroyed, or shuttered by force by Buddhist mobs in Myanmar who allege that the Muslim religious buildings in question were built illegally.¹⁰ Also, extremist Muslims in Indonesia have protested and intimidated "illegal" churches and Ahmadiyah mosques across Indonesia, and often successfully forced authorities to close down those churches and mosques.¹¹ Mobs and rioters apparently take the law into their own hands and act as vigilantes. The mobbish use of the language of law or (il)legality in instances of attack upon and destruction of religious sites and buildings thus deserves closer scrutiny by legal scholars, especially those working in the subfields of law and religion, and law and society.

In terms of law enforcement, alleged, controversial, or undecided illegality also seems to have provided national and local authorities with an excuse to accede to mobbish demands that churches and mosques be destroyed or sealed. Because extremists who almost always belong to religious majorities gather and engage in unruly, disorderly, and mobbish behaviour in meting out themselves to or demanding legal action against minorities' religious buildings, police and local authorities who themselves usually belong to religious majorities expediently use the issue of il(legality) and absolve themselves of their failure to protect religious minorities and their places of worship and religious buildings. They seem to reason that, although mobs must not attack or destroy places of worship and religious buildings, the mobs have a justification, however weak it is, for their wrath upon the places and buildings with questionable legal status.

This article identifies and examines this important reason, or more correctly this excuse, of illegality provided by: (1) religious majoritarian mobs in justifying violence against places of worship and religious buildings of minorities; and (2) police and local

⁵ Al Jazeera (2010).

⁶ BBC (2013).

⁷ Bdnews24 (2012).

⁸ Channel News Asia (2019).

⁹ Chhibber & Misra (1993).

¹⁰ Kyaw, supra note 2.

¹¹ Pearson (2013).

authorities in absolving themselves of the failure to uphold public order and the rule of law, protect religious minorities who are citizens, and punish religious minorities. To support this argument, by primarily using Myanmar-language resources, this article discusses and analyzes cases of (1) allegedly illegal mosques; (2) madrasas being used as or reconstructed into mosques; (3) buildings being constructed as mosques; (4) private homes and public spaces being used as mosques; and (5) closed mosques not being allowed to reopen. By discussing those five instances in which illegality is invoked to justify the destruction and closure of religious buildings, this paper argues that what was done to the buildings constitutes legal violence.

The article has five further sections. In Section 2, the author discusses the role of religion in violence and the concept of legal violence. In Section 3, the author discusses religious demography and second-class citizenship of Muslims in Myanmar. In Section 4, the author traces the colonial roots of mosques. In Section 5, the author discusses the occurrence of interreligious violence post 2012 and the consequent emergence of anti-mosque extremism and vigilantism. In Section 6, the author describes and analyzes five illustrative cases of illegal or allegedly illegal mosques, madrasas, etc. Finally, Section 7 offers some conclusions. It should here be clarified that the focus of this article is on anti-mosque and anti-madrasa-cum-mosque narratives and activities in Myanmar from 2012 onwards. To be clear, such narratives and activities are not entirely new, but the focus on post-2012 developments is important because they are unprecedented in terms of scale and longevity.

2. Religion, violence, and legal violence

Negating the grand theory of secularization, religiosity that constitutes existential security has been on the rise in many societies.¹² Studies of religious or interreligious violence in general highlight the ambivalent role of religion¹³—whose peace-making or mediating role amidst conflict is often taken for granted or overemphasized—that may invoke itself in violence, especially when religion or religious identity is used to mobilize actors and institutions, and legitimize their actions.¹⁴ Zooming in on the religion–conflict nexus, many studies highlight the role of religion, or, more correctly, religious identity, in creating dichotomous social identities of in-groups and out-groups, thereby making such groups prone to intergroup conflicts and violence.¹⁵ But other studies have only made modest claims about the role of religion in violence and remind that religion is not *the* only factor behind violence; religion has a role in causing it in the first place or increasing the tempo of violence along the way if it was the original cause.¹⁶ Therefore, in short, the religion–conflict or religion–violence nexus is a contested terrain, necessitating nuanced, in-depth, and empirical analysis of *actual* cases of violence in which religion or religious identity played or has been *the cause* or *one of the causes* behind the violence.

To further zoom in on this contested relationship between religion and violence, let us look at what role law plays in violent religious episodes and how. Law and law enforcement, which are supposed to prevent violence in the first place, deal with it along the way, and punish it in the aftermath, can also commit violence, though it may sound paradoxical.¹⁷ The literature on criminal laws used in immigration control and their impacts on immigrants terms it *legal violence* and highlights "the complex and often overlooked effects

¹² Norris & Inglehart (2011).

¹³ Mani (2012); Haynes (2009).

¹⁴ Appleby (2000); Wellman & Tokuno (2004); Fox (1999).

¹⁵ Seul (1999); Ysseldyk, Matheson, & Anisman (2010); Juergensmeyer (2017); Burstein (2018).

¹⁶ Fox (2004).

¹⁷ Menke (2010).

of the law."¹⁸ Though the concept of legal violence is predominantly employed in contemporary immigration studies, it has also been invoked in studies of the effect of different bodies of law upon native communities during colonial times,¹⁹ upon non-immigrant citizens,²⁰ upon indigenous peoples,²¹ and upon women.²²

This paper draws upon that concept of legal violence to shed light on the way in which (il)legality has been invoked and misused, and legal violence committed against Muslim religious places of worship and buildings by state and non-actors in Myanmar, as they deem convenient and expedient.

3. Religious demography and second-class citizenship of Myanmar Muslims

Islam is recognized *by name* as one of the five religions professed in Myanmar—the others being Buddhism, Christianity, Hinduism, and Animism—by the Constitution of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, which has been in operation since January 2011.²³ There is no official state religion, although the Constitution recognizes Buddhism as the majority religion, effectively making the other four minority religions.²⁴ In terms of religious demography, Myanmar is home to Buddhists (87.9%), Christians (6.2%), Muslims (4.3%), Hindus (0.5%), and Animists (0.8%).²⁵ However, one important fact about this Myanmar Muslim population is that it includes the Rohingya, who were not counted in the most recent 2014 census, but who had an estimated population of 1,090,000 people. If we exclude the Rohingya, the Myanmar Muslim population significantly decreases to only 2.3% of the total.²⁶ In other words, about half of the Myanmar Muslim population are the Rohingya, whom successive Myanmar governments have failed to recognize as citizens and provide identity documentation for at least since the early 1990s.²⁷

Although Islam is constitutionally enshrined, its adherents in Myanmar suffer from legal disabilities due to their uncertain citizenship status and they are frequently discriminated against. Myanmar officially recognizes 135 ethnic groups or national races as citizens and, among these, only one Muslim or predominantly Muslim group or race called the Kaman is included. The Kaman are a tiny minority numbering not more than 50,000 in total and many, if not most, of them have lived in Rakhine for centuries.²⁸ The Kaman form only 4.4% of the total Muslim population (1,147,495 persons)²⁹ in Myanmar and only they are considered native under the law. The rest of the Muslim population, namely 95.6%, are instead considered people of South Asian ancestry (Indian, Pakistani, or Bengali) or are of mixed ancestry, and thereby do not have clear citizenship status. In Myanmar, citizens of native ancestry are considered as second-class citizens. In contrast, Muslims are legally and socially treated as second-class citizens according to the current Myanmar Citizenship Law (1982).³⁰ This double-minority status of most Muslims in terms of both religious affiliation and ancestral origin has made them

- ²¹ Murdocca (2010).
- ²² Villegas (2019); Adamson, Menjívar, & Walsh (2020).
- ²³ Constitution of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, ss. 361–2.
- ²⁴ Ibid., s. 361.
- ²⁵ Ministry of Labour, Immigration and Population (2016), p. 4.
- ²⁶ Ibid., p. 3.
- ²⁷ Kyaw (2017b).
- ²⁸ Kyaw (2018).
- ²⁹ Ministry of Labour, Immigration and Population, *supra* note 25, p. 3.
- ³⁰ Kyaw (2015); Cheesman (2017).

¹⁸ Menjívar & Abrego (2012), p. 1382; see also Alvord, Menjívar, & Cervantes (2018); Stuesse (2018); Abrego & Lakhani (2015).

¹⁹ Smith (2018).

²⁰ Yonucu (2018).

Territory	Percentage of Buddhists	Percentage of Muslims
Union	89.8	2.3 (excluding Rohingya) 4.3 (including Rohingya)
Yangon region	91.0	4.7
Bago region	93.5	1.2
Mandalay region	95.7	3.0 0.3 1.1
Magway region	98.8	
Sagaing region	92.2	
Ayeyarwady region	92.1	1.4
Tanintharyi region	87.5	5.1
Kachin state	64.0	1.6
Kayah state	49.9	1.1
Kayin state	84.5	4.6
Chin state	13.0	0.1

Table I. Demographic statistics of Buddhists and Muslims in Myanmar

Source: Ministry of Labour, Immigration and Population (2016), p. 3.

vulnerable in Myanmar. Table 1 shows the demographic comparison between the majority Buddhists and minority Muslims in Myanmar. Muslims, notably, constitute a smaller minority than Christians do, although the latter have different relations with the Buddhist majority.

In sheer demographic terms, Buddhists constitute absolute majorities in 13 out of 15 territories—seven states, seven regions, and Nay Pyi Taw.³¹ This demographic breakdown could be significantly manipulated with the inclusion or exclusion of the Rohingya in the Myanmar Muslim population. The Rohingya Muslims form almost half of the population of Muslims in Myanmar. In particular, they are a sizeable group in Rakhine state. If they are included in the demographic statistics, Rakhine Muslims form 35.6% of the state population. Rakhine Buddhists form only a bare majority of 63.3%. However, if Rohingya Muslims are excluded at the Rakhine state level, Rakhine Buddhists become an absolute majority of 96.2%.

The discrimination and persecution of Muslims in Myanmar, especially since the 1990s, have been increasingly discussed in academic and political commentaries. In recent years, there has also been a securitization of the Muslim-minority issue in Myanmar.³² The Rohingyas have faced the worst of this wave of discrimination as they "faced a citizenship and identity crisis (2012–), a campaign for their wholesale disenfranchisement (2015), and attacks culminating in a refugee exodus (2017–present)."³³ Despite ample evidence that the Rohingya have been arbitrarily deprived of citizenship documentation and enfranchisement legally, politically, and ideologically,³⁴ the government of Myanmar adamantly claims that the citizenship of the Rohingya is pending, subject to never-ending vigorous

³¹ Ministry of Labour, Immigration and Population, *supra* note 25, p. 3.

³² Kyaw, *supra* note 30.

³³ Kyaw, supra note 2, p. 1.

³⁴ Kyaw, supra note 30; Cheesman, supra note 30; Crouch (2019).

scrutiny. Echoing the government perspective, a majority of people in Myanmar, most of whom hold strong anti-Rohingya sentiments, also presume the Rohingya to be "illegal." This has had the indirect effect of attaching illegality to Muslim religious buildings and sites that the Rohingya have built and used—a case to be discussed in detail later. But why is the mosque, legal or otherwise, a heated issue in Myanmar? A basic but extremely important question is: How many mosques are there in Myanmar? I turn to this below.

4. Colonial roots of mosques in Myanmar

There is currently no official updated list of the number of mosques, let alone *madrasas*, in Myanmar. However, a confidential Myanmar government report prepared in the year 2000 states that there were 1,716 mosques in Myanmar. Of these, 649, 225, and 164 were in Rakhine state, Mandalay region, and Yangon region, respectively.³⁵ This means that more than a third of the total number of mosques in Myanmar, according to this confidential report, were in the Rakhine state. This is not surprising since, as stated above, the Rohingya constitute about half of the total Muslim population in Myanmar.

Notably, several new mosques were built after independence in 1948 during the parliamentary period (1948-62) because the U Nu government was not hostile towards Muslims and Islam, despite the fact that U Nu himself was an extremely pious Buddhist and drew upon Buddhist majoritarian identity in politically salient issues such as the move to declare Buddhism as State Religion in 1961.³⁶ However, this seemingly benign attitude towards Muslims drastically changed during subsequent regimes: the military-coup Revolutionary Council regime (1962-74); the socialist, one-party, and military-dominated Burma Socialist Programme Party regime (1974-88); and the military-coup State Law and Order Restoration Council/State Peace and Development Council (SLORC/SPDC) regime (1988-2011).³⁷ The construction of new mosques from the 1960s onwards became almost impossible. Even repairing or maintaining existing ones became increasingly difficult.³⁸ But, this does not mean that no new mosques were built between 1962 and 2011. In fact, several "small" local mosques were built post 1962. Since 2013, these mosques have been especially vulnerable to contestation over their legality and opposition from anti-mosque vigilante extremists. To be clear, there is no official law or statement explicitly prohibiting the building of mosques. There is also no clarity as to the type of the land grants required to build mosques. However, it is common knowledge that, during the period between 1962 and 2011, building new mosques became impossible.³⁹ This is especially problematic as the Muslim community has grown. The number of mosques is unsustainable for housing Muslim prayers, as it has not increased in a way proportional to the growth in the size of the community.

In Yangon, which used to be the capital of Myanmar until it was moved to Nay Pyi Taw in 2005, the shortage of mosques was particularly felt. Most mosques built during the colonial period are concentrated in downtown Yangon, providing space for Muslim communities living in townships such as Kyauktada, Pabedan, and Botataung. However, sizeable Muslim communities are also found in relatively newer suburbs or satellite towns—South Okkalapa, North Okkalapa, and Thaketa, which were built by the Caretaker Government (1958–60) in the late 1950s; and ten satellite towns (Hlaingtharyar, Dagon Myothit (further divided into East Dagon, North Dagon, South Dagon, and Dagon Seikkan townships), and Shwepyithar are the three biggest ones) built by the SLORC/SPDC in the 1990s. As Table 1

³⁵ Tagliacozzo (2014), p. 96.

³⁶ Frasch (2013); Smith (1965); Mendelson (1975).

³⁷ The SLORC took power in a coup in September 1988, and it changed its name to the SPDC in 1997.

³⁸ Soe (2018).

³⁹ Interviews with three Muslim leaders, Yangon, February 2019.

No.	Township	No. of Muslims	No. of Mosques	Period of construction
١.	Kyauktada	6,679	8	Pre-independence ^a
2.	Pabedan	14,445	П	Pre-independence
3.	Botataung	5,374	10	Pre-independence
4.	Mingala Taungnyunt	39,303	12	Pre-independence
5.	Tamwe	28,561	6	Pre-independence
6.	Thingankyun	29,082	7	Pre-independence
7.	South Okkalapa	3,568	I	Post-independence
8.	North Okkalapa	5,872	2	Post-independence
9.	Thaketa	24,582	8	Post-independence
10.	Hlaingtharyar	3,700	0	Military rule
11.	Dagon Seikkan	2,354	0	Military rule
12.	South Dagon	16,685	0	Military rule
13.	North Dagon	1,552	0	Military rule
14.	East Dagon	1,154	l (rural mosque) ^b	Military rule
15.	Shwepyithar	5,266	l (rural mosque)	Military rule

Table 2. Numbers of Muslims and mosques in selected townships in Yangon (as of 2017)

^aAlthough many townships including those I mention here were only administratively and geographically structured by name in the 1970s, I categorize them here as pre-independence, i.e. pre-1948, because inhabitation in them began before or during the British colonization and mosques were built in that period.

^bThe General Administration Department does not explain what a "rural mosque" means; it most probably means that a rural mosque is small and largely unnoticeable.

Source: General Administration Department (2017).

shows, eight mosques exist in Thaketa township, which has 24,582 Muslims, whereas three exist in South Okkalapa and North Okkalapa, where a combined population of 9,440 live. But almost no mosques have been allowed to be built in satellite towns built in the 1990s. This reflects the SLORC/SPDC largely "anti-Muslim" attitude in their governance and policy-making.⁴⁰

From Table 2, we can see three patterns. First, the geographical spread of mosques in Yangon region is not proportional to the number of Muslim residents. Second, and more importantly, most of the mosques were built before Myanmar's independence from the British. Finally and most importantly, the government of Myanmar has decreasingly permitted the construction of new mosques, especially in the 1990s and 2000s. The most glaring case is South Dagon township, which does not have a single mosque or permanent prayer space, even though it has 16,685 Muslim residents. In contrast, the townships of Kyauktada and Botataung have eight and ten mosques for about 6,679 and 5,374 residents, respectively, all of which were built in the colonial period. In May 2019, member of Yangon-region Parliament U Nyi admitted that Buddhist residents had objected continuously to a request by Muslims in South Dagon for a mosque.⁴¹

Faced with the shortage or non-existence of mosques in close proximity to their homes, Muslim communities have resorted to two pragmatic ways: (1) using madrasas as temporary

⁴⁰ Egreteau (2011).

⁴¹ AsiaNews (2019).

prayer halls especially for weekly Friday prayers and yearly *Taraweeh* prayers⁴²; and (2) using their own homes as makeshift communal prayer spaces.⁴³ With respect to the madrasas, the government and local Buddhist communities have largely tolerated existing and the few new madrasas because they see them as religious schools for Muslim children. However, although the use of madrasas and private homes as communal prayer spaces used to be accepted or at least tolerated by local authorities in Yangon in the 1990s and 2000s and was an open secret among Muslim and Buddhist residents,⁴⁴ it has become a controversial and securitized issue in recent years. It has also been increasingly viewed as an *illegal* Muslim practice by the state and Buddhists. The situation deteriorated severely with the rise in anti-Muslim Buddhist nationalism from 2012 onwards that targeted any matter relating to Islam and Muslims in which mosques and madrasa-cum-mosques found themselves embroiled.

Amid the emergence of an extremely popular narrative that Rohingyas, and some non-Rohingyas as well, are undocumented at best and illegal at worst, the implications of this narrative extended to affect mosques, madrasas, and any buildings alleged to be mosques. Many Muslims found not only themselves, but also their communal mosques and madrasas to be tainted with illegality. This targeting of mosques, madrasas, and other places for religious congregation clearly violate Myanmar Muslims' religious freedom to worship individually and in community. Being places where Muslims regularly gather for offering prayers-whether they are for groups or for individuals; held daily, on Friday, or in Ramadan; for giving or attending sermons, and for other religious activities—mosques symbolically and physically represent or belong to Muslims. Although many Muslims offer individual prayers at mosques, most go there for congregational prayers and sermons. Therefore, mosques may be said to largely represent communal or congregational Islam. They are highly visible as physical infrastructures distinct from majority religious sites and buildings where Islam is a minority religion. Also, because mosques often publicly broadcast adhan (the call to Muslim prayers) over the loudspeaker, they are audibly distinct and noticeable in a Muslim-minority context. Perhaps due to these physical, architectural, visual, and audial distinctions of mosques with deep communal links to Muslims, they are naturally prone to targeting and attack whenever there is interreligious or intercommunal tension or violence. Although they are basically physical structures, mosques usually become embroiled in communal or social conflicts, and they are often desecrated, attacked, stoned, ransacked, burnt, bulldozed, destroyed, and closed. In Buddhist-versus-Muslim or largely anti-Muslim riotous conflicts that happened in colonial Burma and independent Burma/Myanmar,⁴⁵ mosques often, unsurprisingly, bear the brunt. When Buddhists are angry with, for any founded or manufactured reasons, and seek to cause harm to Muslims, mosques and madrasas become victims.

The targeting of madrasas is also due to their symbolism. What is a madrasa? What may or may not be done by a madrasa? Why is praying inside a madrasa deemed undesirable, improper, or illegal? Basically, a madrasa is a place where Muslim children are taught how to recite the Qur'an and pray, and other important practices of Islam. Teaching children how to pray is an important part of madrasa education. However, there are no laws or rules about what a madrasa can or cannot do. Whether children or adults may use

⁴² Since Muslims in Myanmar follow the Hanafi madhab, they offer the twenty-*rak'ah* (unit) *Taraweeh* prayers in the evenings in which the whole Qur'an is recited from cover to cover in 29 to 30 days in the month of Ramadan. A single prayer in an evening takes around an hour. Since it is supposed to be a communal prayer, the Taraweeh is usually performed at mosques and prayer halls.

⁴³ Interviews with ten Muslim residents in South Dagon and North Dagon townships, Yangon, February 2019.

⁴⁴ Interviews with five Muslim residents in Thaketa, South Dagon, and North Dagon townships, Yangon, February 2019.

⁴⁵ Burma was changed into Myanmar in 1989. This article uses "Burma" for pre-1989 Myanmar and "Myanmar" for post-1989 Myanmar, whereas it uses "Burma/Myanmar" to refer to the country during both periods of pre-1989 and post-1989.

madrasas for individual or communal prayers is an important administrative question that has not been satisfactorily answered. Nonetheless, to Buddhist nationalists, the Muslim use of madrasas for individual or communal prayers is suspicious because they supposedly expect that the space is only meant for teaching children about Islam. The regulatory ambivalence of the "legal" uses of madrasas can similarly be seen with regard to the use of private homes for occasional or regular communal prayers. There are no clear laws prohibiting the use of private homes for prayers. Objections to their use for religious gathering are often rooted in anti-Muslim sentiment.

Before discussing instances of the destruction or closing-down of mosques and madrasas, let us first take a look at the emergence of anti-mosque Buddhist vigilantism and extremism in Myanmar as an important intervening variable. This anti-mosque/ madrasa-cum-mosque brand of anti-Muslim extremism has provided a scheme of interpretation of Buddhist–Muslim interreligious relations in which the mosque/madrasa-cummosque has featured as a site of controversy, illegality, and riotous violence.

5. Interreligious violence, anti-mosque extremism, and legal violence

At the landmark monks' meeting on 27 June 2013 at which *Ma Ba Tha* was established, Sitagu Sayadaw Nyanissara—arguably the most prominent senior monk in Myanmar and initially the one who held *Ma Ba Tha*'s vice-chair position—claimed that the construction of Soorthi Bazaar (now Theingyi Market) in downtown Rangoon (now Yangon) near Sule Pagoda by the British in the nineteenth century resulted in the demolition of a pagoda as big as Sule Pagoda and many important Buddhist buildings. He also claimed that a mosque built nearby soon after the bazaar was built remains until now. He thus openly lamented the displacement of Buddhist buildings by Muslim ones.⁴⁶ His narrative spread like wildfire online and offline, degrading the mosque largely as a colonial structure that displaced native Buddhist sites.

As mentioned earlier, such anti-mosque discourse is not entirely new. In late 1961, monks protested against five new mosques that were being built, with government permission, in the North/South Okkalapa and Thaketa townships established in the outskirts of Yangon in 1959,⁴⁷ and they even occupied a partially completed mosque in North Okkalapa for two weeks after which they demolished it and burnt another mosque.⁴⁸ Existing mosques have also been targeted in riots that happened in various parts of Myanmar in the 1990s and 2000s. These riots were mostly "seemingly" spontaneous and authorities were slow, at best, and, at worst, did not do much to protect Muslim religious buildings during the violence.⁴⁹ Most of these mosques were partially destroyed and many were allowed to reopen after the violence. Some were completely destroyed and remained closed for years after the violence.⁵⁰ It is noteworthy that the narrative of upholding public order and questioning the legality of those mosques (and often madrasas as well) was not, at least explicitly, used by the then military regime SLORC/SPDC. This is presumably because the regime in power was a dictatorship and did not need to justify the way they acted. Typically, what had happened to mosques was forgotten, and the story went on until another riot happened when mosques were again attacked. Many of those attacks did nonetheless receive coverage in annual reports issued by the US Commission on International Religious Freedom.

⁴⁶ Sopaka (2013), p. 191.

⁴⁷ Kawanami (2016), pp. 42–3.

⁴⁸ Smith, *supra* note 37, pp. 278–9.

⁴⁹ Images Asia (1997); Human Rights Watch (2002).

 $^{^{\}rm 50}$ Interviews with three Muslim leaders, Yangon, February 2019.

Significant political and social changes from 2010 onwards opened a new chapter in the way in which the Myanmar government deals or is supposed to deal with the people. Although power remained to be largely under the control of the military that posits itself as a caretaker,⁵¹ people started enjoying political and civil rights—especially freedoms of association, assembly, protest, and expression—that they did not have in the 1990s and the 2000s. The generals started giving up some of their absolute powers.⁵² After ex-general U Thein Sein—who was prime minister of Myanmar under the previous SLORC/SPDC regime—was sworn in as president in 2011, the new administration apparently felt the need to gain direct popular support. The extreme popularity of Daw Aung San Suu Kyi was formidable and of concern to the regime. She was released from house arrest in November 2010 and became a member of Parliament after winning by-elections in April 2012. The by-elections saw the opposition National League for Democracy (NLD) chaired by Daw Aung San Suu Kyi win 43 out of the 44 seats it contested.⁵³

In this context of a fragile, uncertain political transition, Myanmar faced unprecedented intercommunal or interreligious violence in June 2012 in Rakhine state.⁵⁴ The violence spread like wildfire to other parts of Myanmar in 2013 and 2014.⁵⁵ All those seemingly sectarian conflicts were constructed as sparked by Muslim actions-most commonly rape and/or sexual harassment of Buddhist women and alleged blasphemy—and were met with Buddhist fury.⁵⁶ Again, in the eyes of Buddhists, all those conflicts originated in the rape and murder of a Rakhine Buddhist lady by three Muslim men on 28 May 2012 in Rakhine state. Muslims in Rakhine state, with the exception of Kaman Muslims and a few other non-Kaman/non-Rohingya Muslims in cities such as Thandwe, started to be viewed as illegal Bengali migrants, which is the preferred name for the Rohingva, and portraved as such in the media and public debates on the conflict in Rakhine.⁵⁷ An extreme narrative that accompanied the fierce rejection of the Rohingya as part of the Myanmar citizenry was that Muslims in Myanmar by and large are either, at best, "citizens" to be watched people whose citizenship must either be under vigorous scrutiny—or, worse, illegal.⁵⁸ The narrative of the illegal status of Myanmar Muslims soon extended to questions about the legality of their religious buildings, namely mosques and madrasas.

Clamouring for the defence of innocent, threatened Buddhism and Buddhists, especially Buddhist women,⁵⁹ under siege by the Muslim male aggressor and for Buddhists' religious freedom and supremacy⁶⁰—which resonates with old nationalism of colonial times⁶¹— several national and local Buddhist nationalist networks emerged to claim to be true representatives and defenders of the Buddhist majority.⁶² Two of them that became most prominent were the highly symbolic economic *969* campaign and the extremely legal mobilizational *Ma Ba Tha* (Organization for the Protection of Race and Religion).⁶³ Whereas *969*⁶⁴ was established in October 2012 in the aftermath of the second wave of Rakhine violence, *Ma Ba Tha* was founded in June 2013 amidst mounting international

- ⁵⁴ Zin (2015).
- 55 Kyaw, supra note 2.
- ⁵⁶ Ibid.
- ⁵⁷ Zarni & Cowley (2014).
- ⁵⁸ Kyaw (2019a).

- ⁶⁰ Frydenlund (2017); Frydenlund (2018).
- ⁶¹ Than (2015).

⁶³ Kyaw (2016).

⁶⁴ 969 stands for nine qualities of Buddha, six qualities of Dhamma (Buddha's teachings), and nine qualities of sangha (monastic community of Buddha's disciples or sons). For details, see *ibid*.

⁵¹ Egreteau (2016).

⁵² Callahan (2012).

⁵³ Than (2013).

⁵⁹ Walton, McKay, & Kyi (2015); van Klinken & Aung (2017); McCarthy & Menager (2017).

⁶² Walton and Hayward (2014); Schissler, Walton, & Thi (2017).

criticisms against Buddhist animosities towards Muslims and the alleged involvement of monks such as U Wirathu and 969 leaders.⁶⁵

969 was mostly a network-type movement with five monks—of a monastic association based in Mawlamyine in Mon State—touring Myanmar and encouraging Buddhists not to buy from Muslims, but to buy from Buddhists. Individual Buddhists, smaller Buddhist networks, associations, and individual Buddhists supported the use of the *969* emblem at homes, offices, shops, taxis, buses, and the like.⁶⁶ With the establishment of *Ma Ba Tha*, anti-Muslim Buddhist nationalism that was by then only several months old reached a stage of advanced institutionalization and *969* leaders also sat on the executive committee of *Ma Ba Tha*, overlapping the two associations/organizations.⁶⁷ *Ma Ba Tha* relentlessly demanded four race and religion laws from 2013 through 2015—monogamy law, Buddhist women's special marriage law, religious conversion law, and populationgrowth-control law—all of which were introduced in legislation by August 2015.⁶⁸

To accomplish their respective projects, *969* and *Ma Ba Tha* campaigners and their likeminded groups and networks—most prominent among them are the layperson-only Myanmar National Network and the monk-only Patriotic Monks of Myanmar—worked tirelessly from 2012 until June 2019 (the time of writing) by constructing an image of the threat of Islam and Muslims. The Islamophobic master narrative of *969* and *Ma Ba Tha* has two parts: (1) Muslims make themselves richer by buying from or eating at Muslim-owned shops and restaurants and avoiding Buddhist-owned ones; and (2) polygamous and hyper-fertile Muslim men plot to convert Buddhist women to Islam through interfaith marriage and create bigger families faster compared to monogamous Buddhist men, seeking to overtake Buddhist-population growth. Therefore, Buddhists must stop buying at Muslim shops and the four laws must be enacted as soon as possible.⁶⁹

It is in this master narrative of the Muslim threat that the mosque (and the madrasa as well, to some extent) figures prominently. Mosques are constructed as a threat to Buddhist Myanmar in at least three ways: (1) mosques are secret and opaque; (2) mosques are enemy bases in which an aggressive anti-Buddhist brand of Islam is taught and propagated; and (3) hundreds of mosques have been illegally built. For example, U Wirathu, the internationally known provocative and media-friendly monk affiliated with both *969* and *Ma Ba Tha*, said in May 2013:

And when they [Muslims] become rich, they build more mosques which, unlike our pagodas and monasteries, are not transparent. They're like enemy base stations for us. More mosques mean more enemy bases, so that is why we must prevent this.⁷⁰

Most importantly, this anti-mosque narrative of U Wirathu targets *all* mosques, legal or otherwise. These three accusations form a twisted, manufactured narrative, or what George has called "hate spin."⁷¹ While Myanmar is a multi-ethnic, multireligious society, it tends to be a "closed" society. With few exceptions, most non-Muslims have never been to mosques, as they think these are only meant for Muslims. Similarly, Muslims would not usually invite non-Muslims to mosques. Mosques, therefore, become *de facto* segregated Muslim-only spaces, which are usually closed except at prayer times. Mosques do not explicitly deny non-Muslims entry, although it is intercommunally understood and

⁶⁵ Ibid.

⁶⁶ Ibid.

⁶⁷ Ibid.

⁶⁸ Monogamy Act (2015); Myanmar Buddhist Women's Special Marriage Act (2015); Religious Conversion Act (2015); Health Care Act for Population Growth Control (2015).

⁶⁹ Ibid.

⁷⁰ Szep (2013).

⁷¹ George (2016).

practised. The same can be generally said of Christian churches and Hindu temples because non-Christians and non-Hindus in Myanmar do not usually visit them, although churches and temples do not disallow it explicitly. Buddhist pagodas and monasteries are the exceptions because they are open to everybody. So, accusations that mosques are opaque or secret seem convincing, at least by *969* and *Ma Ba Tha* followers.

Accusations of opaqueness and secrecy apparently forced mosques in other parts of Myanmar to open their doors for non-Muslim visitors in the immediate aftermath of riotous violence in non-Rakhine places such as Lashio (in May 2013). For example, amid mounting accusations that mosques have secret underground space for storing arms and planning militancy, the mosque in Bo Aung Kyaw Street (lower block) in downtown Yangon hosted on 16 June 2013 about 100 visitors that included monks and lay Buddhists, with the aim of clearing up anti-mosque accusations.⁷² A mosque in Yangon in Botataung township, known as "59th Street Mosque" since it is in the 59th Street, asked prominent student leaders such as Ko Ko Gyi (a Buddhist)⁷³ and Mya Aye (a Muslim himself)⁷⁴ to give talks at the Prophet Day event in October 2013—notably in a few days after the violence in Thandwe in Rakhine state. A few days later, the same mosque invited a Buddhist monk and a Christian pastor to come inside and give interfaith talks.⁷⁵ Special tours to mosques as well as religious buildings of other faiths were arranged in 2014 in the form of interfaith tours⁷⁶ and mosques even offered food and alms to Buddhist monks inside.⁷⁷

Those Muslim-led and often interfaith responses to accusations of opaque mosques, which were repeatedly seen and heard on social media in 2013 and 2014, seemed to have borne fruit by 2015, when such accusations became extremely rare. Ironically, that mosques were made in the first place to open doors to visitors and hold special functions for monks and Buddhist speakers shows the influence of the anti-mosque narrative. More importantly, it also showed that the state, especially its security apparatus, which is supposed to ensure protection to mosques, failed to uphold its duty of law and order. Instead, mosques had to protect themselves by arranging interreligious tours and monastic activities inside that were graciously joined by peaceful Buddhists, monks, or lay Buddhists.

The second accusation that mosques are enemy bases is also backed by its share of truth or reasoning for anti-mosque Buddhist extremists. Some mosques in various places such as Thandwe⁷⁸ and Mandalay⁷⁹ were found by the police to hoard small weapons such as swords, sticks, and marbles during the riotous years, and this was used as evidence to back up the claim that mosques are enemy bases. In 2012, 2013, and 2014, the general pattern of police reaction to religious violence was slow or ineffective at best, and biased or anti-Muslim at worst. This perception of the lack of the rule of law widely shared by both Muslims and peaceful Buddhists led to two societal pushbacks or urgent measures. One is holding informal interfaith peace and conflict-preventing committees formed by local governments⁸⁰ or by people themselves on which Muslims, Buddhists, etc. served in streets, quarters, townships, etc. across the country.⁸¹ The other is Muslims' taking the law into their own hands by guarding mosques and homes at night, apparently using weapons such as knives, sticks, slingshots, and stones. Since one of the main targets of rioters

⁷² Maung (2013).

⁷³ Ko Ko Gyi's speech is available in Oo (2013b).

⁷⁴ Mya Aye's speech is available in Oo (2013c).

⁷⁵ The monk's speech is available in Oo (2013a).

⁷⁶ Radio Free Asia (Burmese) (2014); Sar (2014); Pyae (2014).

⁷⁷ E.g. Sone (2013).

⁷⁸ Narinjara (2012).

⁷⁹ Wai (2014).

⁸⁰ Thuya (2013); Radio Free Asia (Burmese) (2013).

⁸¹ Kyaw, Soe, & Soe (2013).

was mosques, Muslims who would defend mosques hoarded small weapons within mosque compounds or inside mosques, leading to accusations that mosques are enemy bases and arrests by authorities, as stated above.

Looking at the third accusation that mosques have been *illegally* built, it remains highly contested until the time of writing, compared to the first two accusations, which are not heard of any more, especially after the NLD came to power and started taking action in 2017 against *Ma Ba Tha* and, by extension, its extensive networks across the country. Framed in terms of legality or lawfulness, this accusation is particularly interesting for law-and-society researchers because people in Myanmar in transition seemed to have developed a fetish for law, legality, and the rule of law in the country,⁸² which had not had a functioning rule of law for decades.⁸³ Importantly, for anti-mosque extremists, the issue of (il)legality is less of an administrative-law matter than it is of a historically contextualized understanding of lawfulness. They argue that many mosques came to acquire legal existence during the British colonization, especially in cities such as Yangon. There are important exceptions such as several tens of mosques in Mandalay built during the time of the last Burmese kings *before* the colonization. Therefore, the historical lawfulness of Mandalay mosques is not contested as much as that of most other mosques in other cities and towns built during the British colonization.

In the eyes of anti-mosque extremists, most of the mosques that now exist on the soil of Buddhist Myanmar were built in a non-contractual way without the explicit permission of indigenous or native Buddhists. The terms of the narrative are framed on the basis of not only positive law, but also a discourse of ethno-religious rightfulness set in a post-colonial context. For these Buddhists, everyone or every group that existed in pre-colonial Burma is *native*, *indigenous*, *rightful*, *legitimate*, and *lawful*, whereas those that came to exist in colonial Burma and independent Burma/Myanmar is *foreign*, *nonnative*, *ungranted*, *non-legitimate*, *unlawful*, or even *illegal*. In more nuanced terms, for antimosque Buddhist nationalists, mosques built during colonization may be legal because they have legal documents granted by the British colonial government, but these mosques are not legitimate. They are legal in strict literal terms, but they should not have been built in the first place.

In the past seven years, anti-mosque vigilante extremists and activists have actually broadened their net by not only destroying, burning, and closing down illegal mosques, madrasas-cum-mosques, buildings allegedly constructed as mosques, and homes being used as mosques, but also pressuring authorities not to reopen closed mosques. Now, we turn to a selection of such cases from 2012 through to mid-2019. It must be noted that this article does not discuss cases of the destruction and burning-down of existing mosques in riots from 2012 through to 2014, where their legality was not questioned. It is also noteworthy that the complete or partial destruction and closing-down of mosques and alleged mosques have occurred during both administrations in Myanmar in transition—the Union Solidarity and Development Party (USDP) government (2011–16) and the NLD government (2016–).

6. Five illustrative cases

6.1 Cases of illegal mosques

A number of existing mosques, legal or otherwise, became the target of anti-mosque vigilantism. Northern Rakhine state is where most of the Rohingya live—people who are adamantly designated by the Myanmar government as those whose citizenship still needs to

⁸² Cheesman (2014); Cheesman (2015b); Prasse-Freeman (2014).

⁸³ Cheesman (2009); Cheesman (2015a).

undergo scrutiny and recognition at best, or as illegal Bengali migrants who entered Myanmar from neighbouring Bangladesh at worst. The most illustrative and extensive case of illegal mosques is, therefore, found in Rakhine state. Alleging that about 3,326 illegal buildings including 12 mosques and 35 madrasas exist in Maungdaw and Buthidaung where the Rohingya are most concentrated in, Rakhine state-security and border-affairs minister Colonel Htein Lin said in September 2016: "We are working to bring down the mosques and other buildings constructed without permission in accordance with the law."84 But, due to international sensitivities implicated because the Rohingya are involved, the Rakhine state government later said there is no plan yet to actually demolish these buildings, including the mosques and madrasas referred to.⁸⁵ That (il)legality was invoked by Htein Lin showed the extent of the anti-mosque/madrasa narrative couched in law. On 21 November 2016, the Ministry of Religious Affairs and Culture announced that village officials with the General Administration Department of Shwegyin township in Bago Region orally ordered the closure of three mosques in three villages in the township by stating that the mosques were illegally built without approval.⁸⁶ In both instances in Rakhine state and Bago Region, authorities themselves were anti-mosque agents by alleging that the mosques in question were illegally built and must be demolished or sealed. When authorities are involved, it does not lead to the mobbish demolishing and burningdown of illegal mosques.

In contrast, on 1 July 2016, a Buddhist mob of about 500 people burnt down a mosque or prayer hall in Lone Khin village in Hpakant township in Kachin state, shouting: "Victory! Victory!"87 A month later, the Ministry of Religious Affairs and Culture issued an 11-point statement on 3 August that effectively justified the arson as if it stemmed from the illegality of the mosque that included four buildings.⁸⁸ It stated that the mosque was built in 2014 by Sonny Thein, a Muslim engineer who worked in the project to build Uru Creek Bridge. Local monks and Buddhists complained to authorities after they discovered in March 2016 that Muslims nearby were using it as a prayer space, forcing township authorities to ask mosque trustees on 25 and 28 June to demolish all four buildings. The trustees only agreed to demolish three buildings themselves, saying that, because the prayer hall is a private donation, they could not demolish it, but the state may choose to do so. While it was still under negotiation between the authorities and the trustees, the Buddhist mob burnt all four buildings down.⁸⁹ Although the Ministry said it would punish both the extremists and the Muslims related to the mosque, the issue of illegality obviously came to the fore. Apparently to show itself as a nondiscriminatory state body and to contend that Muslim religious buildings alone were not targeted, the ministry, interestingly, claimed that hundreds of *illegal* monasteries would also be demolished across Myanmar.⁹⁰

6.2 Cases of madrasas being used as or reconstructed into mosques

On 22 November 2013, about 120 monks protested against the repair work of a madrasa in Thingangyun township in Yangon, which was about 20 years old. The repair work was done with the *permission* of Yangon City Development Committee, but the monks claimed that the madrasa had significantly grown in size and it had dedicated prayer space. U Pyinnya Zawta, one of the monk protesters, said:

- ⁸⁹ Ibid.
- ⁹⁰ Ibid.

⁸⁴ VOA News (2016).

⁸⁵ Soe (2016).

⁸⁶ Ministry of Religious Affairs and Culture (2016).

⁸⁷ Mon (2016).

⁸⁸ Global New Light of Myanmar (2016).

We asked [the authorities] to stop or demolish [the construction] according to rules and regulations. But, they [the authorities] did not do what they should have. If they [the authorities] continue to allow [the reconstruction], we monks will create nation-wide protests because the Sangha and the people are not satisfied with many things.⁹¹

It apparently forced the Yangon-region government to order the madrasa reconstruction to stop. Probably due to the residence of a sizeable Muslim community and the existence of several Buddhist religious networks, Thaketa township in Yangon has been an area of significant anti-Muslim and anti-mosque extremism in recent years. The first anti-madrasa incident in Thaketa happened in April 2013 when extremists protested against the repair and construction work being done to a madrasa and alleged that a mosque was being constructed in its place. After some initial destruction by a mob, authorities sealed the madrasa. Most interestingly, by invoking the law as if the issue was one of law *alone*, a prominent leader of the 88 Generation (Peace and Open Society), Ko Ko Gyi, who acted as mediator between extremists and Muslims, said: "Now the building [madrasa] has been sealed. Nothing can be done in relation to the building. [People] must follow relevant laws."⁹²

Madrasa education for Myanmar Muslim children is part-time and usually conducted from the late afternoons until the evenings-when two of five daily prayers are offered (Maghrib (sunset prayer) and Isha (evening or night-time prayer))—at mosques, standalone madrasas, or madrasas attached to mosques. Although students at mosques and at madrasas attached to mosques learn and offer prayers at the mosques, students at stand-alone madrasas have no other option but to learn how to pray and offer prayers at their own madrasas together with teachers. Also, because Muslims are required to perform ablution before prayers, madrasas have designated a space for ablution. These practices of having an ablution space and children and teachers' praying at madrasas apparently made anti-mosque extremists suspicious that a madrasa is, in fact, a mosque, whether this suspicion was sincere or not. This accusation became more convincing or forceful because many Muslims in Thaketa township in Yangon use madrasas for communal prayers. For example, upon the forced shuttering of two madrasas in April 2017, a Muslim man said: "It's our mosque as well as our school."93 There are such eight stand-alone madrasas in Thaketa. Hence, when, in October 2015, extremists complained to local authorities that children were not only learning Islam, but also praying, the authorities banned childrens', and adults' by extension, praying at *all* those madrasas.⁹⁴

However, praying at madrasas did not seem to stop, making "local" Buddhist neighbours notice it. These neighbours might have reported it or at least talked about it among themselves. Although Muslims used to pray at madrasas without controversy before the transition and the post-2012 religious violence, it became highly noticeable and suspicious amid the rise of anti-mosque extremism. Therefore, the most recent madrasa drama ensued two years later. On 28 April 2017, a 100-strong "non-local" Buddhist mob of monks and people came over and pressured the Thaketa township authorities to shutter Madrasa No. 1 and Madrasa No. 9 in the Anawmar 1 quarter again by alleging that prayers are held and offered there. The authorities gave in to the demand of those vigilantes again by locking the two madrasas.⁹⁵ The political timing of this conflict is important because the mob was only created in the afternoon after a court hearing of a case that involved anti-Muslim extremist monks and people such as the chair and secretary of the Patriotic Myanmar

⁹¹ Author's translation, Chan (2013).

 $^{^{92}}$ Author's translation, Thein (2013).

⁹³ Channel News Asia (2017).

⁹⁴ Mon (2015).

⁹⁵ Min (2017b).

Monks Union U Nyarna Dhamma and U Thu Seitta, the head monk of Magway Monastery Sayadaw U Parmaukkha, and nationalist activist Ko Win Ko Ko Latt.⁹⁶ Again, the comment made by a lower-house representative of Thaketa township, Wai Phyo Aung, after inspecting the madrasas, such that he must have seen the ablution space, prayer mats, and so on inside, invokes law and legality: "I think everybody has the rights and freedom to practice their faith. But there need to be steps to ensure that religious buildings are built in accordance with the instructions and procedures of religious law."⁹⁷

6.3 Cases of buildings allegedly constructed as mosques

The most concrete and illustrative evidence on the extent of anti-mosque extremism in Myanmar in recent years is the demolition by authorities of a two-storey building being constructed by a non-local *Buddhist* in Kyaukpadaung township in Mandalay region. There is not a single Muslim dweller in Kyaukpadaung, whereas there are 291,540 Buddhists.⁹⁸ Aye Khaing, elected representative of Kyaukpadaung at the Mandalay region Parliament, expressed his disbelief: "I cannot think of how the rumour started in a town that takes pride in the fact that there is no believers of religions [read: Muslims] other than Buddhism."⁹⁹ Likewise, Zaw Htay, spokesperson of State Counsellor Daw Aung San Suu Kyi's Office, echoed: "Kyaukpadaung is a town without any mosques and mosques are not allowed to be built. The owner of the house is a Buddhist."¹⁰⁰ Despite the fact that there is no Muslim dweller and the building in question is Buddhist-owned, the 1,000-strong mob who gathered in front of the building on 27 July 2017 after hearing a rumour that a mosque was being built in the Muslim-free town apparently succeeded in having local authorities demolish it by heavy machinery.¹⁰¹

The Buddhist owner was a movie director and later admitted that he had failed to seek official permission for the construction. What is equally as interesting as the authorities' demolishing of the building as they were told by extremists is the root of the rumour. To cite the owner:

I am building my house as a closed structure because I want to use it as a studio. A hole was dug downstairs to prop up the studio and it was alleged to be an ablution space like those found in mosques.¹⁰²

It shows the lack of political will and action and the weakness of the authorities, at least on the ground, even when the accused is a Buddhist, let alone a Muslim, and a "mosque," actual or rumoured, is involved.

The second incident, which naturally involved a Muslim, occurred a few months after the NLD government had come to power. The incident occurred in Thuye Thumain village in Waw township in Bago Region.¹⁰³ A Muslim villager by the name of Abdul Rashid was constructing a building as storage for his shop and a school for Muslim children, but his Buddhist neighbours alleged that the construction was a "mosque" and reported it to local authorities a month earlier. But a quarrel between the Muslim and a female Buddhist neighbour on 23 June 2016 eventually led to the destruction of the building in question,

- ¹⁰⁰ Ibid.
- ¹⁰¹ Ibid.
- ¹⁰² Min (2017a).

⁹⁶ Kyaw (2017a). They were being sued for their protest without approval in front of the US Embassy in April 2016 for the embassy's use of the term "Rohingya" in a statement.

⁹⁷ Min, supra note 99.

⁹⁸ General Administration Department, supra note 42.

⁹⁹ Lynn (2017).

¹⁰³ 7 Day Daily (2016).

an existing mosque in the village, the man's house, and other Muslim homes by Buddhist vigilantes from neighbouring villages after learning about the quarrel on Facebook, according to Bago Region Chief Minister Win Thein.¹⁰⁴ Rashid was also stabbed and beaten by the mob.¹⁰⁵

6.4 Cases of private homes and public space being used as mosques

In Ramadan 2017, which fell from late May until late June, having no mosques nearby apparently made about 4,000 Muslims in Thiri Mingalar quarter in Meiktila choose to pray communally on their own in their quarters from late May 2017 onwards in Ramadan. It seemed to provoke not extremists, but local authorities who ordered Muslims to stop praying communally.¹⁰⁶ Most importantly, those Muslims prayed *without* official approval, making them highly susceptible to action against them.

As usual, in Thaketa in Yangon, after two madrasas were shuttered by a Buddhist mob on 28 April 2019,¹⁰⁷ about 50 Muslims nearby, at least partially in protest, had the courage to offer Ramadan prayers in the street on 31 May. The next day, the Thaketa township administration issued an order that Muslims must not illegally pray in public and provoke public disorder.¹⁰⁸ On 2 June, the Yangon-region government sued Muslim men who organized the prayer.¹⁰⁹ It led to the issuance of more orders on 22 and 23 August in townships in Yangon, including Dagon, Hlaing, and Dawbon, against communal Ramadan prayers at places other than mosques.¹¹⁰ Notably, in April 2018, namely one year later, seven Muslim men who organized the Thaketa Ramadan street prayer were jailed for three months.¹¹¹ Again, Thaketa Muslims had held prayers *without* official approval.

In contrast, although the Yangon-region government failed to control anti-mosque vigilantes in 2017, it *officially* allowed Muslims in South Dagon township to hold communal Ramadan prayers from 6 May until 7 June in 2019 at three Muslim houses in Quarters No. 26, 64, and 106, respectively, in the township.¹¹² But having the official permission did not allow Muslims to pray in peace and, on 15 May, a vigilante mob of 150 people roamed around in the township and pressured Muslims to stop praying at the sites and sign an agreement. Mob leader Michael Kyaw Myint clamoured: "This is for our race and religion! We members of the public will tear down the Muslim mosque here in this township."¹¹³ He also alleged: "If the government cannot control illegal mosques, we will!"¹¹⁴ Authorities did not do anything as usual, but the township administrator sued Michael Kyaw Myint and another man two days later under the Penal Code.¹¹⁵ Most notably, on 17 May, the three Ramadan sites were reopened by authorities, in stark contrast to what they did before in Thaketa and elsewhere.¹¹⁶ Michael Kyaw Myint and his partner in crime went into hiding but were arrested in June 2019 and the case is pending.¹¹⁷

¹¹⁷ Irrawaddy (2019).

¹⁰⁴ Tun (2016).

¹⁰⁵ Thu (2016).

¹⁰⁶ BBC (Burmese) (2017a).

 $^{^{\}rm 107}$ Tha & Weng (2017).

¹⁰⁸ Lwin (2017).

¹⁰⁹ Channel News Asia, *supra* note 97.

¹¹⁰ BBC (Burmese) (2017b).

¹¹¹ Naing (2018).

 $^{^{112}}$ Order of the Yangon Region Government, dated 8 May 2019, on file with the author.

¹¹³ Win (2019).

¹¹⁴ Author's translation, BBC (Burmese) (2019).

¹¹⁵ Htun (2019).

¹¹⁶ Radio Free Asia (Burmese) (2019).

6.5 Cases of closed mosques that were not allowed to reopen

Several mosques, whose number is not publicly known and which were partially destroyed or left untouched during the violence but had to close their doors regardless, have not been allowed yet by authorities to reopen. Facing the most severe interreligious riot outside Rakhine state in recent years, Meiktila remains fragile and rumours about mosques abound. For example, in late April 2016, at the very beginning of the coming-to-power of the NLD government, rumours of Muslims' protests about closed mosques spread, forcing Muslims and authorities to emphatically deny the rumours.¹¹⁸ By June 2018, only five out of 13 closed mosques in Meiktila, whose doors were sealed during the violence in March 2013, had been reopened.¹¹⁹ Muslims in rural Meiktila had the courage to re-enter a closed mosque in a Hta Mon Kan village tract, again provoking authorities to close the doors of the mosque in June 2018.¹²⁰ Notably, there were no visible, active movements by vigilantes against mosques. So, whether anti-mosque extremists pressured authorities or played a role behind the scenes is unclear, but it is not wrong to assume that authorities acted against Muslims and mosques with concerns that allowing Muslims to reuse closed mosques might provoke extremists.

But, on several other occasions, vigilante extremists actively campaigned against the reopening of closed mosques or the rebuilding of destroyed mosques. In early November 2018, Buddhist vigilantes in Monyo township in Bago Region, where an anti-Muslim violent episode in March 2013 had destroyed a mosque, launched a signature campaign with the permission of authorities against reports that the government of Myanmar may allow reconstruction of the mosque upon finishing a check.¹²¹ Hundreds of Buddhist residents signed the campaign, arguing that the mosque might provoke violence.¹²² In addition to questionable plausibility of that popular Buddhist claim, what is more interesting and relevant, from a law-and-society perspective, is that the authorities utterly failed to do anything against such provocative statements that correlated a mosque with violence, even permitting the signature campaign in the first place.

Another case involved two mosques in Chauk township in Magway region, which were burnt but not entirely destroyed during violence in 2006, but have remained closed for about 13 years to date. The case occurred in March 2019, during the time of the NLD administration. Most importantly, they are legal mosques, but their legality has been taken away by extremist pressures, effectively making their legality or lawfulness void. It also shows a new feature of anti-mosque activism in Myanmar that uses the voting power of Buddhist neighbours in rejecting the existence of mosques as in the aforementioned example of the mosque in Monyo township, though it is not officially written into laws, rules, regulations, etc. yet, as it is only in the 2006 joint ministerial decree on procedures to build places of worship in Indonesia.¹²³ The way in which the authorities responded this time was even more "innovative" in how they disobeyed the rule of law because they themselves organized two voting sessions in the No. 9 and No. 11 Quarters in Chauk, in which 536 (Buddhist) voters out of more than 700 from the two quarters, unsurprisingly, overwhelmingly voted "No."124 The voting was followed by the spread of fake news on Facebook in June that NLD-appointed Magway region Chief Minister Dr Aung Moe Nyo would allow new mosques and take action against those who objected.¹²⁵

¹¹⁸ Chan (2016).

¹¹⁹ News-eleven (2018).

¹²⁰ Ibid.

¹²¹ Kaung (Pyay) (2018).

¹²² Aung (2018).

¹²³ Crouch (2010).

¹²⁴ Naing (2019); Democratic Voice of Burma (Burmese) (2019).

¹²⁵ Hlaing (2019).

7. Conclusion

This article has shown how anti-mosque vigilante extremists in Myanmar justify attacking, destroying, and burning down or demanding the closure of mosques, madrasas, and Muslim prayer space across the country in the past six years by using a narrative of illegality and how that narrative has been endorsed and acted upon by authorities as well. The narrative of illegality constitutes legal violence, and it underlies the consequent mobbish and official action against targets that vary from illegal mosques to madrasas being used as mosques to closed mosques. The state, as usual, and the Buddhist majority, increasingly so, have dictated what religious practice and worship an individual Muslim or a group of Muslims may perform, where, and when. By invoking illegality, the state stands ready to punish Muslims who dare to disobey the orders of the state while the extremist mob waits to act once Muslims err. In other words, legal violence is committed by both the state and non-state actors acting *as if* they are upholding the law that arbitrarily and murkily decides or fails to decide whether a Muslim religious building or forms of Muslim group worship in private premises or public space is legal.

Political timing seems to have played a significant role in the emergence of legal violence in Myanmar in the form of anti-mosque/madrasa-cum-mosque narrative and mobbish attacks, as well as in influencing state action because they coincided with a bumpy political transition from military dictatorship to a more democratic form of government. That said, singling out the transition as *the* cause behind anti-mosque vigilante extremism in Myanmar is not entirely accurate because actors and groups—ranging from authorities, to ideologue monks, and to grassroots vigilantes—are all deeply involved and implicated in instances of attacking and destroying illegal mosques, madrasas being used as or reconstructed into mosques, and buildings being constructed as mosques, each of the aforementioned actors and groups taking punitive measures against Muslims for the use of private homes and public spaces for prayers, and continuously shuttering closed mosques.

The article shows the murky nature of so-called law and legality when a government and a community, both of which belong to a religious majority, find and use loopholes in the law to discriminate and attack a religious minority. What is written in the law, what is omitted (intentionally or not), and what is actually implemented by authorities have apparently created those loopholes in the case of Myanmar Muslims and their religious worship. It also highlights how vigilante activism may be tolerated, if not directly encouraged, by authorities and may lead to the lack of protection of religious minorities by authorities. The targeting of mosques and places of worship clearly violates the religious freedom of Muslims in Myanmar. Places of worship are critical to the freedom to manifest one's religion and to worship in community. The building of places of worship and the ability to maintain them have been considered crucial to the freedom of religion, which is stated in General Comment No. 22 of Article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Notably, Myanmar plans on signing the covenant in a few years' time.

Despite the nature of this article as a single-country study, it has broad implications because many religious minorities across the world, such as Christians and Ahmadis in Indonesia, face similar legal restrictions relating to building, maintaining, and using places of worship and vigilante attacks, where the language of (il)legality is apparently invoked. Likewise, the way in which Myanmar authorities have failed Muslims in the country is also strikingly similar to the way in which, for example, Indonesian authorities have dealt with Ahmadis and Christians there.

In particular, by highlighting legally violent ways of restricting and closing down the use of private premises and public space for Muslim religious worship in Myanmar, this paper has added legal violence as a new layer or dimension to the broader literature on religious, religiously motivated, or religiously expressed violence in Myanmar and other places. Thus, the Babri Masjid affair—which recently saw the Supreme Court of India reach

a "legal" verdict upon the (il)legality of the Masjid torn down on 6 December 1992 that decided on 9 November 2019¹²⁶ that a Hindu temple could be built in the site—could be seen and theorized as state and non-state legal violence. Likewise, legal violence may be used in studying cases of the forced closure of Christian churches and Ahmadi mosques in Indonesia.

Acknowledgements. The earlier version of the paper was presented at the 14th Asian Law Institute (ASLI) Conference entitled "A Uniting Force? Asian Values and the Law" held in Quezon City, Metro Manila, the Philippines on 18 and 19 May 2017. The author is grateful to the Centre for Asian Legal Studies at the Faculty of Law, National University of Singapore for funding his attendance at the conference and for the post-doctoral fellowship at the centre where he drafted the paper for the conference and revised the paper for publication. The author is also grateful for Professor Kevin Y. L. Tan of the Faculty of Law, National University of Singapore for giving feedback on the draft paper.

References

- 7 Day Daily (2016) "Conflict in Thuye Thamain Village, Waw Township Calms Down," 24 June, http://www. 7daydaily.com/story/68405 (accessed 24 June 2019) (in Burmese).
- Abrego, Leisy J., & Sarah M. Lakhani (2015) "Incomplete Inclusion: Legal Violence and Immigrants in Liminal Legal Statuses." 37 Law & Policy 265–93.
- Adamson, Erin, Cecilia Menjívar, & Shannon D. Walsh (2020) "The Impact of Adjacent Laws on Implementing Violence Against Women Laws: Legal Violence in the Lives of Costa Rican Women." 45 Law & Social Inquiry 432–59.
- Al, Jazeera (2010) "More Malaysia Churches Attacked," https://www.aljazeera.com/news/asia-pacific/2010/01/ 2010110225838805341.html (accessed 1 July 2019).
- Al, Jazeera (2019) "Ayodhya Verdict: Indian Top Court Gives Disputed Site to Hindus," https://www.aljazeera.com/ news/2019/11/babri-mosque-case-indian-top-court-disputed-site-hindus-191109052713446.html (accessed 25 March 2020).
- Alvord, Daniel R., Menjívar Cecilia, & Cervantes Andrea Gómez (2018) "The Legal Violence in the 2017 Executive Orders: The Expansion of Immigrant Criminalization in Kansas." 5 *Social Currents* 411–20.
- Appleby, R. Scott (2000) The Ambivalence of the Sacred: Religion, Violence, and Reconciliation, Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- AsiaNews (2019) "Three Mosques Closed near Yangon Following Threats by Buddhist Nationalists," http://www. asianews.it/news-en/Three-mosques-closed-near-Yangon-following-threats-by-Buddhist-nationalists-47042.html (accessed 17 July 2019).
- Aung, Aung (2018) "Objection Signature Campaign Held for Effort to Construct Religious Building for Other Religion," 14 Pyidaungsu 24 (in Burmese).
- BBC (2013) "Burma Communal Rioting Spreads outside Meiktila," 25 March, https://www.bbc.com/news/worldasia-21921080 (accessed 1 May 2019).
- BBC (Burmese) (2017a) "Muslim Prayer Sites in Meiktila Closure," 4 June, https://www.bbc.com/burmese/burma-40151828 (accessed 2 August 2019) (in Burmese).
- BBC (Burmese) (2017b) "Order Issued Against Prayers in Non-Permitted Places," 24 August, https://www.bbc. com/burmese/41044609 (accessed 1 August 2019) (in Burmese).
- BBC (Burmese) (2019) "The Man Who Accused the Government of Powerlessness in Dealing with Illegal Mosque Sued," 17 May, https://www.bbc.com/burmese/burma-48316879 (accessed 30 May 2019) (in Burmese).
- Bdnews24 (2012) "Buddhist Temples, Homes Burned, Looted in Ramu," 29 September, https://bdnews24.com/ bangladesh/2012/09/29/buddhist-temples-homes-burned-looted-in-ramu (accessed 1 May 2019).
- Brass, Paul R. (2003) The Production of Hindu-Muslim Violence in Contemporary India, Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Burstein, Alon (2018) "Armies of God, Armies of Men: A Global Comparison of Secular and Religious Terror Organizations." 30 Terrorism and Political Violence 1–21.
- Callahan, Mary (2012) "The Opening in Burma: The General Loosen Their Grip." 23 Journal of Democracy 120–31. Chan, Nyein (2013) "After Monks' Protest, Order to Stop Building Islamic School and Prayer Space Issued," 7 Day Daily, 23 November, http://www.7daydaily.com/story/4863 (accessed 28 June 2019) (in Burmese).
- Chan, Nyein (2016) "Meiktila Residents Worry Due to Rumours," 7 Day Daily, 28 April, http://7daydaily.com/story/ 63383 (accessed 21 July 2019) (in Burmese).

¹²⁶ Al Jazeera (2019).

- 128 Nyi Nyi Kyaw
- Asia Channel News (2017) "Yangon Authorities Sue Muslims for Praying in the Street," https://www.channelnewsasia. com/news/asia/yangon-authorities-sue-muslims-for-praying-in-the-street-8907934 (accessed 20 June 2019).
- Asia Channel News (2019) "Sri Lanka Imposes New Curfew as Mosques Attacked," https://www.channelnewsasia. com/news/world/sri-lanka-bombings-block-facebook-whatsapp-11527832 (accessed 30 May 2019).
- Cheesman, Nick (2009) "Thin Rule of Law or Un-Rule of Law in Myanmar?" 82 Pacific Affairs 597-613.
- Cheesman, Nick (2014) "What Does the Rule of Law Have to Do with Democratisation (in Myanmar)?" 22 South East Asia Research 213–32.
- Cheesman, Nick (2015a) Opposing the Rule of Law: How Myanmar's Courts Make Law and Order, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cheesman, Nick (2015b) "That Signifier of Desire, the Rule of Law." 82 Social Research: An International Quarterly 267-90.
- Cheesman, Nick (2017) "How in Myanmar 'National Races' Came to Surpass Citizenship and Exclude Rohingya." 47 Journal of Contemporary Asia 461–83.
- Chhibber, Pradeep K., & Misra Subhash (1993) "Hindus and the Babri Masjid: The Sectional Basis of Communal Attitudes." 33 Asian Survey 665–72.
- Crouch, Melissa (2010) "Implementing the Regulation on Places of Worship in Indonesia: New Problems, Local Politics and Court Action." 34 Asian Studies Review 403–19.
- Crouch, Melissa (2019) "States of Legal Denial: How the State in Myanmar Uses Law to Exclude the Rohingya." *Journal of Contemporary Asia* 1–24.
- Democratic Voice of Burma (Burmese) (2019) "Votes Collected for Praying Again in Two Mosques in Chauk," 31 March, http://burmese.dvb.no/archives/320448 (accessed 13 June 2019) (in Burmese).
- Egreteau, Renaud (2011) "Burmese Indians in Contemporary Burma: Heritage, Influence, and Perceptions since 1988." 12 Asian Ethnicity 33–54.
- Egreteau, Renaud (2016) *Caretaking Democratization: The Military and Political Change in Myanmar*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Fox, Jonathan (1999) "Towards a Dynamic theory of Ethno-Religious Conflict." 5 Nations and Nationalism 431-63.
- Fox, Jonathan (2004) "The Rise of Religious Nationalism and Conflict: Ethnic Conflict and Revolutionary Wars: 1945–2001." 41 Journal of Peace Research 715–31.
- Frasch, Tilman (2013) "The Relic and the Rule of Righteousness: Reflections on U Nu's Dhammavijaya," in J. Whalen-Bridge & P. Kitiarsa, eds., Buddhism, Modernity, and the State in Asia: Forms of Engagement, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 115–38.
- Frydenlund, Iselin (2017) "Religious Liberty for Whom? The Buddhist Politics of Religious Freedom during Myanmar's Transition to Democracy." 35 Nordic Journal of Human Rights 55–73.
- Frydenlund, Iselin (2018) "The Birth of Buddhist Politics of Religious Freedom in Myanmar." 4 Journal of Religious and Political Practice 107–21.
- General Administration Department (2017) "Regional Statistics," http://www.gad.gov.mm (accessed 12 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- George, Cherian (2016) Hate Spin: The Manufacture of Religious Offense and Its Threat to Democracy, Cambridge, MA and London: The MIT Press.
- Global New Light of Myanmar (2016) "Press Release on Concerning Illegally Construction of Religious Edifices in Hpakant," Ministry of Religious Affairs and Culture.
- Haynes, Jeffrey (2009) "Conflict, Conflict Resolution and Peace-Building: The Role of Religion in Mozambique, Nigeria and Cambodia." 47 *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics* 52–75.
- Hlaing, Myint Kyaw (2019) "How to Clean Garbage on Social Media," 7 Day Daily, 28 June, http://7daydaily.com/ story/159533 (accessed 13 June 2019) (in Burmese).
- Htun, Htun (2019) "Township Administrator Sues Nationalists over Ramadan Prayer Site Closures in Yangon," Irrawaddy (English), 17 May, https://www.irrawaddy.com/news/burma/township-administrator-suesnationalists-ramadan-prayer-site-closures-yangon.html (accessed 1 August 2019).
- Human Rights Watch (2002) Crackdown on Burmese Muslims, Washington, DC: Human Rights Watch, https://www. hrw.org/report/2002/07/18/crackdown-burmese-muslims (accessed 4 May 2020).
- Images Asia (1997) Report on the Situation for Muslims in Burma, Bangkok: Images Asia.
- Irrawaddy (2019) "Nationalist Who Lead Closure of Ramadan Prayer Sites Arrested," https://www.irrawaddy. com/news/burma/nationalist-lead-closure-ramadan-prayer-sites-arrested.html (accessed 1 August 2019).
- Juergensmeyer, Mark (2017) Terror in the Mind of God: The Global Rise of Religious Violence, 4th edn, Oakland, CA: University of California Press.
- Kaung (Pyay), Maung (2018) "Signatures Collected to Object to Effort to Build Mosque in Monyo," Akonthi, 3 November, https://www.akonthi.com/ShweMoeNyo-7890 (accessed 12 June 2019) (in Burmese).
- Kawanami, Hiroko (2016) "U Nu's Liberal Democracy and Buddhist Communalism in Modern Burma," in Hiroko Kawanami, ed., *Buddhism and the Political Process*, Basingstoke and New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 31–55.

- Kyaw, Kyaw Phone (2017a) "A Day of Tension and Drama as Nationalist Protests *Flare*," *Frontier*, 3 May, https:// frontiermyanmar.net/en/a-day-of-tension-and-drama-as-nationalist-protests-flare (accessed 1 June 2019).
- Kyaw, Nyi Nyi (2015) "Alienation, Discrimination, and Securitization: Legal Personhood and Cultural Personhood of Muslims in Myanmar." 13 Review of Faith & International Affairs 50–9.
- Kyaw, Nyi Nyi (2016) "Islamophobia in Buddhist Myanmar: The 969 Movement and Anti-Muslim Violence," in M. Crouch, ed., Islam and the State in Myanmar: Muslim-Buddhist Relations and the Politics of Belonging, Delhi: Oxford University Press, 183–210.
- Kyaw, Nyi Nyi (2017b) "Unpacking the Presumed Statelessness of Rohingyas." 15 Journal of Immigrant and Refugee Studies 269–86.
- Kyaw, Nyi Nyi (2018) "Myanmar's Other Muslims: The Case of the Kaman," in A. South & M. Lall, eds., Citizenship in Myanmar: Ways of Being In and From Burma, Singapore: ISEAS–Yusof Ishak Institute, 279–300.
- Kyaw, Nyi Nyi (2019a) "Adulteration of the Pure Native Race by Aliens? *Kapya* and Their Socio-Legal Identity in Colonial Burma and Present-Day Myanmar." 25 *Social Identities* 345–59.
- Kyaw, Nyi Nyi (2019b) Interreligious Conflict and the Politics of Interfaith Dialogue in Myanmar, Singapore: ISEAS-Yusof Ishak Institute.
- Kyaw, Phyo Wai, Soe Hlaing Kyaw, & Soe Than Naing (2013) "Mandalay Religious Leaders Unite," *Myanmar Times* (*English*), 17 June, https://www.mmtimes.com/national-news/mandalay-upper-myanmar/7148-mandalay-religious-leaders-to-unite-for-june-23-ceremony.html (accessed 31 July 2019).
- Lim, Jamus (2008) "Jesus versus Jihad: Economic Shocks and Religious Violence in the Indonesian Republic at the Turn of the Twenty-First Century." 19 Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations 269–303.
- Lwin, Khin Moet Moet (2017) "Township Administrator Issues Order against Prayers," 7 Day Daily, 2 June, http:// 7daydaily.com/story/97817 (accessed 11 June 2019) (in Burmese).
- Lynn, Nyan Hlaing (2017) "Angry Mob Forces Destruction of Home in Kyaukpadaung after 'Mosque' Rumours," *Frontier*, 28 July, https://frontiermyanmar.net/en/angry-mob-forces-destruction-of-home-in-kyaukpadaungafter-mosque-rumours (accessed 16 June 2019).
- Mani, Rama (2012) "Cure or Curse? The Role of Religion in Violent Conflict and Peaceful Governance." 18 Global Governance 149-69.
- Maung, Aung Ye Maung (2013) "People Including Monks Visit Yangon Mosque," Voice of America (*Burmese*), 16 June, https://burmese.voanews.com/a/burma-muslim-06-16-13/1682782.html (accessed 28 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- McCarthy, Gerard, & Menager Jacqueline (2017) "Gendered Rumours and the Muslim Scapegoat in Myanmar's Transition." 47 Journal of Contemporary Asia 396–412.
- Mendelson, E. Michael (1975) Sangha and State in Burma: A Study of Monastic Sectarianism and Leadership, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Menjívar, Cecilia, & Abrego Leisy (2012) "Legal Violence: Immigration Law and the Lives of Central American Immigrants." 117 American Journal of Sociology 1380-421.
- Menke, Christoph (2010) "Law and Violence." 22 Law & Literature 1-17.
- Min, Aung Kyaw (2017a) "Demolition of House Suspected Mosque to be Probed," *Myanmar Times (English)*, 2 August, https://www.mmtimes.com/national-news/yangon/27053-demolition-of-house-suspected-mosque-to-beprobed.html (accessed 1 August 2019).
- Min, Aung Kyaw (2017b) "Two Arabic Schools Temporarily Shut Down by Authorities," *Myanmar Times (English)*, 1 May, https://www.mmtimes.com/national-news/yangon/25796-two-arabic-schools-temporally-shut-downby-authorities.html (accessed 1 June 2019).
- Ministry of Labour, Immigration and Population (2016) *The Union Report: Religion: Census Report Volume 2-C*, Nay Pyi Taw: Ministry of Labour, Immigration and Population.
- Ministry of Religious Affairs and Culture (2016) "Case of Closure of 3 Mosques in Shwegyin Township, Bago Region Reported on Social Media by Myanmar Muslim Media," 21 November, http://www.mora.gov.mm/newsview. ASPX?nid=212 (accessed 1 August 2019) (in Burmese).
- Mon, Ma Su (2015) "Prayers at Thaketa Islamic Schools Banned," VOA (Burmese), 20 October, https://burmese. voanews.com/a/tight-security-in-myanmar-muslim-area-/3015093.html (accessed 20 June 2019) (in Burmese).
- Mon, Ye (2016) "Mob Burns Down Muslim Prayer Hall in Hpakant," *Myanmar Times (English)*, 4 July, https://www. mmtimes.com/national-news/21172-mob-burns-down-muslim-prayer-hall-in-hpakant.html (accessed 30 July 2019).
- Murdocca, Carmela (2010) ""There Is Something in that Water': Race, Nationalism, and Legal Violence." 35 Law & Social Inquiry 369–402.
- Naing, Kyaw Thet (2019) "People's Votes Collected Due to Muslims' Demand for Praying Again in Two Mosques Destroyed in Conflict," *Akonthi*, 1 April, https://www.akonthi.com/ShweMoeNyo-14850 (accessed 12 June 2019) (in Burmese).

- Naing, Shoon (2018) "A Year after Myanmar Madrassas Shuttered, Street Prayer Organizers Face Ramadan in Jail," *Reuters*, 17 May, https://www.reuters.com/article/us-myanmar-muslims/a-year-after-myanmar-madrassasshuttered-street-prayer-organizers-face-ramadan-in-jail-idUSKCN1II1UO (accessed 16 June 2019).
- Narinjara (2012) "Four Muslims Arrested After Handmade Weapons Found in a Mosque," BNI Multimedia Group, 6 November, https://www.bnionline.net/en/narinjara-news/item/14072-four-muslims-arrested-afterhandmade-weapons-found-in-a-mosque.html (accessed 15 July 2019).
- News-eleven (2018) "Authorities Warn and Lock Sat Pyit Taung Village Mosque in Meiktila After Prayers without Permission," https://news-eleven.com/news/63830 (accessed 2 August 2019) (in Burmese).
- Norris, Pippa, & Inglehart Ronald (2011) Sacred and Secular: Religion and Politics Worldwide, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Oo, Ali Tin Tun (2013a) "Patron of Blood Metta (Social Work Association) Shwe Thanlyin Sayadaw's Speech at Interfaith Peace and Social Harmony Event," *59Mosque*, 14 October, http://59mosque.com/archives/351 (accessed 20 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- Oo, Ali Tin Tun (2013b) "U Ko Ko Gyi's Speech at 1487th Anniversary of Prophet Day at Wish-Fulfilling Mosque," 59Mosque, 9 October, http://59mosque.com/archives/307 (accessed 25 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- Oo, Ali Tin Tun (2013c) "U Mya Aye's Speech at 1487th Anniversary of Prophet Day at Wish-Fulfilling Mosque," 59Mosque, 9 October, http://59mosque.com/archives/337 (accessed 25 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- Pearson, Elaine (2013) In Religion's Name: Abuses against Religious Minorities in Indonesia, New York: Human Rights Watch.
- Prasse-Freeman, Elliott (2014) "Conceptions of Justice and the Rule of Law," in David Steinberg, ed., *Myanmar: The Dynamics of an Evolving Polity*, Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 89–114.
- Pyae, Aye Mon Yar (2014) "Inter-Faith Day Tours to Be Held in 3 Cities," 7 Day Daily, 27 June, http://7daydaily.com/ story/14229 (accessed 30 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- Radio Free Asia (Burmese) (2013) "Prevention Committee Formed to Protect Taunggyi from the Spread of Conflict," 31 March, https://www.rfa.org/burmese/news/myanmar-precaution-conflit-03312013100009.html (accessed 20 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- Radio Free Asia (Burmese) (2014) "Multifaith Youths Visit Religious Buildings," 24 May, https://www.rfa.org/ burmese/news/youth-study-religious-building-05242014101757.html (accessed 31 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- Radio Free Asia (Burmese) (2019) "Muslims Allowed to Pray Again in South Dagon," 17 May, https://www.rfa.org/ burmese/news/south-dagon-islam-religious-building-05172019074433.html (accessed 1 June 2019) (in Arabic).
- Rfa.org (2014) "Multifaith Youths Visit Religious Buildings," https://www.rfa.org/burmese/news/youth-study-religious-building-05242014101757.html (accessed 31 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- Sar, Shwe Ku Thit (2014) "Interfaith Youth Tours to Continue," *Myanmar Times (Burmese)*, 5 March, https://myanmar.mmtimes.com/national-news/9405-2014-03-05-07-24-24.html (accessed 31 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- Schissler, Matt, Matthew J. Walton, & Phyu Phyu Thi (2017) "Reconciling Contradictions: Buddhist-Muslim Violence, Narrative Making and Memory in Myanmar." 47 *Journal of Contemporary Asia* 376–95.
- Seul, Jeffrey R. (1999) "'Ours Is the Way of God': Religion, Identity, and Intergroup Conflict." 36 Journal of Peace Research 553-69.
- Smith, Donald E. (1965) Religion and Politics in Burma, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Smith, Edmond J. (2018) "Reporting and Interpreting Legal Violence in Asia: The East India Company's Printed Accounts of Torture, 1603–24." 46 The Journal of Imperial and Commonwealth History 603–26.
- Soe, Hein Ko (2016) "No Immediate Plans for 'Illegal' Buildings on Border: Rakhine Govt," *Frontier*, 26 September, https://frontiermyanmar.net/en/no-immediate-plans-for-illegal-buildings-on-border-rakhine-govt (accessed 1 August 2019).
- Soe, Hein Ko (2018) "Religion Ministry, GAD Target Islamic Schools," *Frontier*, 24 July, https://frontiermyanmar. net/en/religion-ministry-gad-target-islamic-schools (accessed 1 August 2019).
- Sone, Nyein Pyae (2013) "Monks Offered Alms and Discuss Peace at Mosque," *Irrawaddy (Burmese)*, 3 July, https://burma.irrawaddy.com/news/2013/07/03/43587.html (accessed 31 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- Sopaka, Ashin (2013) History-Making Speeches, Yangon: Eiksathaya Sarpay (in Burmese).
- Stuesse, Angela (2018) "When They're Done with You: Legal Violence and Structural Vulnerability among Injured Immigrant Poultry Workers." 39 Anthropology of Work Review 79–93.
- Szep, Jason (2013) "Special Report: Buddhist Monks Incite Muslim Killings in Myanmar," *Reuters*, 8 April, https:// www.reuters.com/article/us-myanmar-violence-specialreport/special-report-buddhist-monks-incite-muslimkillings-in-myanmar-idUSBRE9370AP20130408 (accessed 1 August 2019).
- Tagliacozzo, Eric (2014) "Burmese and Muslim: Islam and the Hajj in the Sangha State," in Wen-Chin Chang & Eric Tagliacozzo, eds., *Burmese Lives: Ordinary Life Stories Under the Burmese Regime*, New York: Oxford University Press, 83–108.

- Tha, Kyaw Phyo, & Weng Lawi (2017) "Breaking: Nationalist Mob Closes Two Islamic Schools in Rangoon," *Irrawaddy (English)*, 28 April, https://www.irrawaddy.com/news/burma/breaking-nationalist-mob-closestwo-islamic-schools-rangoon.html (accessed 1 June 2019).
- Than, Tharaphi (2015) "Nationalism, Religion, and Violence: Old and New Wunthanu Movements in Myanmar." 13 *The Review of Faith & International Affairs* 12–24.
- Than, Tin Maung Maung (2013) "Myanmar's 2012 By-Elections: The Return of NLD." 38 Southeast Asian Affairs 204-19.
- Thein, Cherry (2013) "Building in 3/Mann Pyay Quarter in Thaketa Sealed," *Myanmar Times (Burmese)*, 21 February, https://myanmar.mmtimes.com/national-news/yangon/3786–2013–02–21–07–02–58.html (accessed 15 June 2019) (in Burmese).
- Thu, Mratt Kyaw (2016) "Dozens Take Refuge after Mosque Ransacked in Bago Village," *Frontier*, 24 June, https:// frontiermyanmar.net/en/news/dozens-take-refuge-mosque-ransacked-bago-village (accessed 24 June 2019).
- Thuya, Aung (2013) "Conflict-Prevention Committees Formed in Yangon," 7 Day Daily, 28 April, http://7daydaily. com/content/196 (accessed 21 July 2019) (in Burmese).
- Tun, Zarni (2016) "Mosque Destroyed in Myanmar Village as Tensions Flare Between Muslims and Buddhists," *Radio Free Asia (Burmese)*, 24 June, https://www.rfa.org/english/news/myanmar/mosque-destroyed-inmyanmar-village-as-tensions-flare-between-muslims-and-buddhists-06242016155224.html (accessed 24 June 2019).
- van Klinken, Gerry, & Aung Su Mon Thazin (2017) "The Contentious Politics of Anti-Muslim Scapegoating in Myanmar." 47 Journal of Contemporary Asia 353–75.
- Villegas, Paloma E. (2019) "I Made Myself Small Like a Cat and Ran Away: Workplace Sexual Harassment, Precarious Immigration Status and Legal Violence." 28 Journal of Gender Studies 674-86.
- VOA News (2016) "Mosques, Madrasas to be Razed in Myanmar's Rakhine State," 21 September, https://www. voanews.com/east-asia/mosques-madrasas-be-razed-myanmars-rakhine-state (accessed 15 July 2019).
- Wai, Khin Su (2014) "Muslims in Mandalay Avoid Mosques after Violence, Arrests," *Myanmar Times (English)*, 12 July, https://www.mmtimes.com/national-news/10956-during-ramadan-mandalay-muslims-stay-away-frommosques.html (accessed 20 July 2019).
- Walton, Matthew J., & Hayward Susan (2014) Contesting Buddhist Narratives: Democratization, Nationalism, and Communal Violence in Myanmar, Honolulu: East-West Center.
- Walton, Matthew J., McKay Melyn, & Mar Kyi Daw Khin Mar (2015) "Women and Myanmar's 'Religious Protection Laws'." 13 Review of Faith & International Affairs 36–49.
- Wellman, J. K., Jr, & Tokuno Kyoko (2004) "Is Religious Violence Inevitable?" 43 Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion 291-6.
- Win, Swe (2019) "Nationalist Mob Shuts Down Ramadan Prayers in Yangon," *Myanmar Now (English)*, 16 May, https://www.myanmar-now.org/en/news/nationalist-mob-shuts-down-ramadan-prayers-in-yangon (accessed 1 June 2019).
- Yee, Choong Pui, & Joseph Chinyong Liow (2017) "Negotiating Religious Freedom: Christianity in Muslim-Majority Malaysia," in C. Satha-Anand & O. Urbain, eds., *Protecting the Sacred, Creating Peace in Asia-Pacific*, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon and New York: Routledge, 107–30.
- Yonucu, Deniz (2018) "The Absent Present Law: An Ethnographic Study of Legal Violence in Turkey." 27 Social & Legal Studies 716–33.
- Ysseldyk, Renate, Matheson Kimberly, & Anisman Hymie (2010) "Religiosity as Identity: Toward an Understanding of Religion from a Social Identity Perspective." 14 Personality and Social Psychology Review 60–71.
- Zarni, Maung, & Alice Cowley (2014) "The Slow-Burning Genocide of Myanmar's Rohingya." 23 Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal 681–752.
- Zin, Min (2015) "Anti-Muslim Violence in Burma: Why Now?" 82 Social Research 375-97.

Cite this article: Kyaw, Nyi Nyi (2021). The Excuse of (II)legality in Discriminating and Persecuting Religious Minorities: Anti-Mosque Legal Violence in Myanmar. Asian Journal of Law and Society 8, 108–131. https://doi.org/10.1017/als.2020.50