Original Article

The automated patient discharge summary: improving communication at transfers of care after completion of radiotherapy

Natalie Rozanec^{1,2}, Edwin Chan¹, Shaziya Malam^{1,3}, James Loudon¹

¹Southlake Regional Health Centre, Newmarket, ON, Canada, ²Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, United Kingdom, ³Athabasca University, Athabasca, AB, Canada

(Received 15 December 2016; revised 27 February 2017; accepted 28 February 2017; first published online 4 May 2017)

Abstract

Aim: To develop an auto-generated patient discharge summary for all patients being treated in the Radiation Therapy Department.

Materials and methods: A patient discharge summary was developed using auto-generated data for all patients being treated in the Radiation Therapy Department. This ensures information relevant to the care of the patient is communicated effectively during transitions of care following radiation treatment, and provides a record of the treatment site(s), dose delivered, start/completion dates and contact information for Radiation Oncologists. The eScribe feature in MosaiQTM is utilised to auto-generate the patient discharge summary in less than one minute, and then printed and given to patients on the last day of treatment. This was piloted with palliative radiotherapy patients (n = 22), who also completed a telephone survey.

Results: Results revealed patients had passed this document onto other healthcare providers and appreciated having a record of their treatments. Feedback was obtained from radiation therapy staff and the Patient and Family Advisory Committee. Subsequently, the language of the patient discharge summary was simplified and a disclaimer was added, indicating the document is not a complete radiation therapy treatment record. This initiative was then rolled out to all radiotherapy patients.

Findings: Overall, the patient discharge summary allows for a quick, automated and standardised approach for transfer of information during care transitions without significant impact to the Radiation Therapy Departmental workflow.

Keywords: communication; patient discharge summary; radiotherapy

INTRODUCTION

Transitions in care can pose several communication challenges for patients, families and healthcare

providers. This time point can also be a source of significant stress for patients, as they try to cope with self-management of symptoms after completing a treatment or a stay in-hospital.^{1,2} Several different

Correspondence to: Natalie Rozanec, MRT(T), Advanced Practice Radiation Therapist (Palliative), Radiation Therapy Department, Southlake Regional Health Centre, 596 Davis Drive, Newmarket, ON Canada L3Y 2P9. Tel: (905) 895 4521. Fax: (905) 952 3058. E-mail: nrozanec@southlakeregional.org CrossMark

groups have developed tools in the form of a patient discharge summary to help alleviate this stress and provide patients and families with a written record of a completed treatment or hospital course.³ When used in the inpatient setting, these summaries often provide patients with record of their hospital stay and clear, concise self-management instructions to be followed after discharge.^{1,4,5}

The North American Nursing Diagnosis Association has highlighted transfers in care as time points that can lead to significant 'relocation stress', which can lead to anxiety, depression, feelings of insecurity, and trust and dependency issues.^{2,6} Several groups have studied the impact of a patient discharge summary generated at transfers in care, and have found that providing diagnosis-specific discharge summaries positively affects patient satisfaction and patient safety, improves communication among care providers, empowers patients to better self-manage their symptoms, and results in fewer hospital re-admissions.^{2,7,8}

For patients receiving radiation treatment, the end of a course of radiotherapy often marks a transition from active treatment, where patients are seen daily by radiation therapists, nurses and oncologists, to a period of follow-up where patient are not coming into the cancer centre on a daily basis. In particular, the 2 weeks following radiotherapy can be associated with increased side effects. In a report published in 2005, the Institute of Medicine recommended that patients completing cancer treatment should be provided with a Survivorship Care Plan to help the transition into follow-up care.⁹ Some of the key components of this care plan include the cancer type, treatments received and the dates of initiation and completion of these treatments, radiation doses delivered, interventions for symptom management, as well as contact information for treatment providers.⁹

Currently, at our institution, patients receive a card on the last day of radiotherapy signed from his/her radiotherapy team which contains sitespecific self-management instructions. For patients receiving palliative radiotherapy, follow-up care is often provided by the referring or palliative care physician without a return visit to the radiation oncologist.¹⁰ This time point can also lead to significant stress for patients and families.^{2,11,12}

An automated electronic discharge summary was designed for patients completing radiation therapy to help improve communication between care providers and provide patients with a printed record of treatment.¹⁰ This can then be passed onto other care providers involved in a patient's circle of care. It has been recognised that patients receiving radiation therapy often travel significant distances to receive care at a cancer centre, therefore these patients can potentially rely on several different emergency departments if they run into difficulty with radiation-induced side effects post-treatment.¹³ The discharge summary is intended to also help better direct management of radiation side effects, and provide valuable contact information so that staff from emergency departments can more easily contact the appropriate radiation oncologist.

METHODS

In order to facilitate communication during transitions of care, the discharge summary for radiation therapy included the following information: patient identification, radiation oncologist information, radiation treatment site, total dose delivered, as well as the start and completion dates of radiation treatment. Manual generation of this document can be time consuming and prone to errors during the transcription process, therefore an auto-generated process was favoured. Utilisation of the eSCRIBE function within the current version of the Electronic Health Record, MosaiQ enabled automatic generation of the discharge summary (Figure 1). eSCRIBE is an automated document-creation tool that uses Microsoft WordTM templates to customise specific documents that meet the needs of the department, saving time when creating and editing documents.¹⁴ eSCRIBE allows for numerous merge fields that automatically retrieve information from the patient's chart during the transcription process.¹⁴

In order to ensure the generation and dissemination of the discharge summary to every patient completing radiation treatment, treatment delivery radiation therapists generated and distributed the document to patients on the last day of treatment (Figure 2). This process was designed to minimally impact daily workflow, and as such, generation of the discharge summary currently

Patient Copy

Radiation Treatment Summary

Date: April 13, 2016

Patient:

Date of Birth:

Radiation Oncologist: Dr.

The above patient has received a course of radiation therapy at the Stronach Regional Cancer Centre. The information is listed below. For detailed information, please contact the attending Radiation Oncologist at (905) 895-4521 ext 6595.

Treatment Summary:

	Radiation Oncology - Course: 1			Protocol:		
Treatment Site	Current Dose	Modality	From	То	Elapsed Days	Fx.
PROS + NODES	5,000 cGy	per plan	2/18/2016	3/23/2016	34	25
PROSTATE	2,800 cGy	per plan	3/24/2016	4/13/2016	20	14

Disclaimer: This document is not a complete Radiation Therapy Treatment Record and reflects the most recent course of Radiation Treatment. It is intended to be shared with other members of your healthcare team.

Figure 1. An example of a discharge summary. Date of document creation, patient's name, patient date of birth, responsible radiation oncologist and radiation treatment summary will be merged automatically from MosaiQ into the document.

requires less than one minute. The discharge summary was also automatically captured in the patient's chart as a permanent document. As described in previous work, our department has implemented radiation oncology care plans and IQ scripts to facilitate daily workflow.¹⁵ The utilisation of these functions allows different tasks to be prompted automatically and safeguards tasks from being missed (Figure 2). An IQ script was created in MosaiQ to facilitate the creation of the discharge summary. Thus, completion of the last day education task triggers a cascade of automated workflow prompts. This forced function allows the discharge summary document to be automatically generated as a standard of practice for all radiation therapy patients.

The discharge summary was piloted with patients receiving palliative radiotherapy (n = 22), as it was hypothesised that this patient population has a higher risk of post-radiotherapy emergency department visits than patients treated in the radical setting. A telephone survey was also conducted in which patients were asked multiple



Figure 2. Flowchart (partial) depicting incorporation of the generation of the discharge summary into the end-to-end radiation therapy workflow.

questions regarding the discharge summary (Table 1).

RESULTS

After conducting the telephone questionnaire, it was reported that 45% of patients surveyed received the discharge summary (Figure 3). Of the 55% of patients who did not receive the document, 8% of patients indicated they lost the summary, 15% of patients had passed away since completing treatment, and 23% were in-hospital at the time of radiation treatment. A retrospective chart review of the remaining 54% of these patients revealed that the summary had not been printed (Figure 3).

For patients who received the summary, 78% stated that the discharge summary was easy to

understand and 89% kept a personal copy for their records. A total of 33% of patients forwarded the discharge summary onto another healthcare provider; 9% of this cohort of patients required an emergency department visit post-treatment, and all of these patients reported bringing the discharge summary with them. Patients also suggested that the language on certain parts of the discharge summary should be simplified, which was addressed before rolling out the discharge summary to all radiotherapy patients.

DISCUSSION

Telephone questionnaire

A total of 22 patients who were scheduled to receive the discharge summary were contacted to complete a telephone questionnaire. Nine patients reported receiving the discharge summary, while 13 patients either did not receive the summary or were reported by a family member as having passed away. For patients who had passed away, the questionnaire was not administered, therefore it is hypothesised that some of these patients likely did receive the discharge summary. A small sample of patients also reported that they received the discharge summary, but lost the document.

For patients who did not receive the discharge summary, several reported being inpatients at the time of radiotherapy. When attending treatment appointments, documentation is placed inside a paper-based hospital chart. It was hypothesised that these patients did not receive the discharge summary likely because it was placed in the hospital chart and was not given directly to the patient. As a result of this, the discharge summary

Table 1.	Summary of pil	ot questionnaire fo	r palliative rad	liotherapy patients	receiving a	discharge summar	y (n =	22)
----------	----------------	---------------------	------------------	---------------------	-------------	------------------	--------	-----

Telephone questionnaire for palliative radiotherapy patients receiving a discharge summary							
	Yes	No	Unsure	N/A	Comments		
1. Did you receive a document summarising your radiation treatment on your last day?	9	11	0	2			
2. Was the document easy to understand?	7	2	0	13			
3. Do you find the document useful to explain your radiation treatment?	7	1	1	13			
4. Have you kept the document for your records?	8	1	0	13			
5. Have you forwarded the document onto another healthcare professional? (i.e., general practitioner)	3	6	0	13			
6a. (If Yes' to Question 5) Did you visit the emergency department after completing radiation treatment?	2	5	0	15			
6b. (If Yes' to Question 6a) Did you bring the radiation treatment summary with you?	2	0	0	20			
7. Do you have suggestions to improve the summary?			Three patients commented the language was too technical				



Figure 3. Graphs describing distribution of patients who did or did not receive a radiation treatment discharge summary.

has been modified to indicate the document is a 'Patient Copy' and two copies are printed for inpatients. One copy is given directly to the patient while the second copy is placed in the hospital chart as part of the medical record.

Integration of discharge summary into daily workflow

The discharge summary was piloted with patients receiving palliative radiotherapy. These patients were contacted for the telephone questionnaire, and received radiotherapy on all treatment units within the Radiation Therapy Department. Therefore, treatment unit staff had to remember to print the discharge summary specifically for this patient population before this process becoming a part of the daily departmental workflow. This resulted in some patients not receiving the discharge summary as it had not been printed. As the discharge summary has been incorporated into the daily workflow for all patients and is an automated process, this issue has been resolved.

Addition of a disclaimer

During the development process, several concerns were raised that the discharge summary might be mistaken for a complete set of previous radiotherapy records. As patients receiving palliative radiotherapy can receive several courses of radiotherapy over time, radiation oncologists and radiation therapists planning further treatment need to request and obtain previous radiotherapy records. This is important to ensure a full understanding of the previous doses delivered to targets and organs at risk. Misinformation here can result in normal tissues and targets being over or under-dosed. As the discharge summary lists how much dose was most recently delivered, but does not comment on dose to organs at risk or show anatomically where the dose was deposited, the department wanted to ensure that the discharge summary does not replace a request for a patient's previous radiotherapy records.

In addition, patients expressed that they were sometimes overwhelmed by large amounts of information they received about their diagnosis and some had forgotten the purpose of the discharge summary. Therefore a disclaimer was added to the bottom of each discharge summary which states, 'This document is <u>not</u> a complete Radiation Therapy Treatment Record and reflects the most recent course of Radiation Treatment. It is intended to be shared with other members of your healthcare team'.

Transfer of information

The discharge summary represents a transfer of information process among healthcare providers, which helps to reduce the risk of miscommunications. The use of a template to generate the document facilitates a standardised approach to communicate radiation treatment information and ensures that the necessary information is conveyed. Upon generating the discharge summary, an electronic copy of the document is also automatically saved into the patient's electronic chart as a record that it was generated. The document is identified as 'Patient Copy', and the patient is encouraged to bring the printed copy of the document when visiting other healthcare providers. Should another member of the patient's healthcare team have any questions, regarding the radiation treatment received and its impact on any care provided, the document provides clear contact information to consult with the patient's radiation medicine team.

Patient family and advisory council

As the intention of the discharge summary was to provide patients with a physical record of their radiation treatment, input regarding the content of the document was also sought from the Patient and Family Advisory Committee (PFAC) of the Cancer Centre. Suggestions were consolidated with feedback gathered during the pilot. This feedback was used to further guide revisions to the document before implementing the initiative broadly to all patients receiving radiation therapy treatment. Overall, the discharge summary was viewed positively by the PFAC. There were general comments regarding the language of the document, such as referring to the 'Attending Physician' as 'Radiation Oncologist', and the order that the information was presented. These changes were easily implemented. A suggestion was also made to add a statement to remind patients of the document's purpose. The rationale was that over time, patients may not remember that the discharge summary was intended to be shared with other healthcare providers, if needed. As noted above, this was also expressed by patients during the telephone questionnaire. As such, a line specifying the purpose of the document was added as part of the disclaimer to the template of the discharge summary.

Although this study was designed as a pilot, there were a few limitations encountered. The sample size for the telephone questionnaire was small, which was attributed to the nature of the study population. Conducting research with patients who are undergoing palliative treatment can be particularly challenging, as they often are not feeling well and also have varying life expectancies. Although the telephone questionnaire was specifically designed to be short so as not to pose a significant burden on patients, some patients did not feel well enough to provide answers. At the time the telephone questionnaire was administered, it was discovered that several patients' conditions had deteriorated and other patients had passed away.

CONCLUSION

Care transitions can pose significant challenges in communication for healthcare professionals, patient and families.^{1,2} For patients receiving radiotherapy, a significant transition of care often occurs at the completion of radiation treatment. Literature has shown that development of a discharge summary can help alleviate stress for patients while improving compliance by providing concise discharge instructions.^{1,4} The discharge summary can also provide patients with a communication tool should further medical management be necessary during a time when radiation-induced side effects can become more prominent.

Future directions for this initiative involve incorporating other aspects of patients' care into the discharge summary. For example, it was queried whether chemotherapy treatment information could be included with the discharge summary. This would provide the patient with a consolidated document of any chemoradiation treatment received into a broader 'Treatment Summary'. Although this was perceived as important information to include within the document, including chemotherapy information presented challenges. There was a logistical challenge, regarding when the document should be generated, as the completion dates for chemotherapy and radiation treatment were often different. There was also a technical challenge, as more work was required to understand the process of extracting medical oncology information into the treatment summary. As a result, the addition of chemotherapy information was determined to be outside the scope of this project, but was highlighted as a future direction to enhance the discharge summary.

Overall, the implementation of a discharge summary for patients completing radiotherapy was well-received by patients. It has allowed for improved communication between different healthcare facilities and providers, while also providing the patient with a record of completion of treatment. The discharge summary was also developed with careful consideration of work load for radiation therapy staff. Automation and integration of the electronic discharge summary into the daily workflow for all patients has made the entire process very user-friendly and has not disrupted efficiency or daily workflow on radiation treatment units. This has enabled this initiative to also be very well-received by radiotherapy staff. Currently, the discharge summary has been rolled out to all patients receiving radiotherapy and has been integrated into regular workflow within the Radiation Therapy Department.

Acknowledgement

Thank you to the Radiation Medicine Team for their continued support of this project.

Financial support

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of Interest

None.

Ethical Standards

As this work is considered a quality initiative/ project, the authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work were reviewed by the Chair of the Institutional Research Ethics Board (REB). The Chair has issued a formal letter confirming that this study does not constitute research involving humans as defined under Article 2.5 of the Tri Council Policy Statement (TCPS2 2014) – Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans, and therefore does not fall under the scope of REB review and approval, however, regular administrative and/or other approvals as required by institutional policy/ procedures were sought as appropriate.

References

 Barton M B, Hudson H M, Delaney G, Gruver P, Liu Z. Patterns of retreatment by radiotherapy. Clin Oncol 2011; 23 (1): 10–18.

- 2. Tindale J. Community nurses and the electronic discharge summary. Primary Health Care 2012; 22 (7): 25–27.
- Nekhlyudov L, Schnipper J L. Cancer survivorship care plans: what can be learned from hospital discharge summaries? J Oncol Pract 2012; 8 (1): 24–29.
- 4. van der Linden Y M, Steenland E, van Houwelingen H C et al. Patients with a favourable prognosis are equally palliated with single and multiple fraction radiotherapy: results on survival in the dutch bone metastasis study. Radiother Oncol 2006; 78 (3): 245–253.
- Moore C, Wisnivesky J, Williams S et al. Medical errors related to discontinuity of care from an inpatient to an outpatient setting. J Gen Intern Med 2003; 18: 646–651.
- Carpenito-Moyet L. Handbook of Nursing Diagnosis, 13th edition. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Williams, 2010.
- Hall W, Keane P, Wang S, Debell F, Allana A, Karia P. Intensive care discharges: improving the quality of clinical handover through changes to discharge documentation. BMJ Qual Improv Rep 2015; 4 (1): u209711–w4036.
- Lo S, Diane L S, Rodriguez L. The impact of diagnosisspecific discharge instruction of patient satisfaction. J Perianesth Nurs 2009; 24 (3): 156–162.
- Hewitt M, Greenfield S, Stovall E. (eds). From Cancer Patient to Cancer Survivor: Lost in Transition. Washington, DC: The National Academic Press, 2005.
- Lau M, Bezjak A. Enhancing continuity of care with the use of palliative radiotherapy treatment summaries (PaRTS). J Med Imaging Radiat Sci 2014; 46: 182.
- van der Linden Y M, Lok J J, Steenland E et al. Single fraction radiotherapy is efficacious: a further analysis of the dutch bone metastasis study controlling for the influence of retreatment. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2004; 59 (2): 528–537.
- Hernanz R, Montero A, Fernandez-Lizarbe E, Polo A, Ramos A. Retreatment with radiotherapy for symptomatic bone, brain or visceral metastases. Clin Transl Oncol 2013; 15: 72–78.
- Lamont E B, Hayreh D, Pickett K E et al. Is patient travel distance associated with survival on phase II clinical trials in oncology? J Natl Cancer Inst 2003; 95 (18): 1370–1375.
- IMPAC Medical System. Document management: eSCRIBE/ eSCAN training module. Sunnyvale, California: IMPAC Medical System; 2015.
- Chan E H, Malam S, Loudon J. Implementing radiation oncology care plans as a foundation for process improvement. J Radiother Pract 2016; 15 (1): 91–98.