The impact of intermittent energy restriction on women's health

Michelle Harvie^{1,2,3,} Mai Haiba^{1,2}

¹Division of Cancer Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

²Department of Dietetics, Wythenshawe Hospital, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, M23 9LT, UK.

³Manchester Breast Centre, Oglesby Cancer Research Centre, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

Corresponding author: Michelle Harvie, <u>michelle.harvie@manchester.ac.uk</u>; telephone 0161 291 5815

Short title Intermittent energy restriction and women's health



This is an Accepted Manuscript for Proceedings of the Nutrition Society. This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its

DOI 10.1017/S0029665125000059

Proceedings of the Nutrition Society is published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society.

Abstract

Intermittent energy restricted diets are used amongst women with overweight and obesity and a healthy weight. For those with overweight and obesity weight control is typically achieved through daily energy restriction (DER) which has reduced adherence and attenuated metabolic benefits over time. Several intermittent energy restriction (IER) regimens have been developed aiming to promote maintained weight loss and additional weight independent metabolic benefits including the 5:2 diet, alternate day fasting (ADF) and time restricted eating (TRE). This review summarises the potential benefits or harms of these regimens for managing women's health. 5:2 and ADF diets have equivalent long term (>6-month) adherence, weight loss and metabolic benefits to DER. Current limited evidence suggests IER is a safe weight loss intervention for women which does not affect reproductive or bone health, increase eating disorders or disturb sleep. Adherence and weight loss with both IER and DER are lower amongst younger women compared to older women and men. Weight loss with ADF and TRE have respectively improved symptoms of polycystic ovarian syndrome and premenstrual syndrome but there is no evidence of weight independent effects of IER on these conditions. There is little evidence of the benefits and/or harms of IER amongst healthy weight women in whom there is a greater potential for adverse effects on reproductive and bone health, fat free mass, eating disorders and sleep. Further research benefits of IER for weight control and metabolic health as well as harms is required.

Key words: Intermittent energy restriction, time restricted eating, women's health, weight loss

Incidence and consequences of overweight and obesity amongst women

Overweight and obesity pose a major health problem for women. In 2023 an estimated 61% of adult females in the UK were living with either overweight or obesity. Twenty-nine percent of these were living with obesity, which had increased from 26% in 2013 and 23% in 2003 (1). Increasing rates of obesity are evident among younger females (11-15 years) where currently 20% living with obesity compared to 17% in the year 2013 and 6.6% in year 2003(2).

There is an ethnic disparity with higher rates of obesity amongst women from black Caribbean (44%), Pakistani (33%) and black African (37%) backgrounds compared to women in the white British population (28%) in 2019(3). These obesity estimates are based on BMI > $30 \text{kg} / \text{m}^2$ so are likely to underestimate the true impact of obesity related health conditions within ethnic groups since these groups experience obesity related comorbidities at a lower BMI than white women i.e. overweight is defined at 23-27 kg/m² and obesity at 27 kg/m² in these groups(4). Women from socially deprived backgrounds experience higher rates of obesity. In 2021 40% of women in the most deprived areas were living with obesity as compared to 19% of women in the least deprived areas(5).

Overweight and obesity amongst women are linked to higher risk of 11 cancers including breast and endometrial cancer(6). Obesity poses a greater cancer burden for women amongst whom 55% of cancers are related to obesity compared to only 24% of cancers among men(7). Obesity also contributes to other health issues such as cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, osteoarthritis and reproductive complications including infertility which significantly impacts their quality of life as well as increasing healthcare costs(8, 9).

Intermittent energy restricted diets

Energy restricted diets are the cornerstone of the management of overweight and obesity and weight related metabolic disease. Current evidence based guidelines recommend daily energy restriction, typically a 600 kcal energy deficit for sustainable weight loss(4). Daily energy restriction (DER) can be effective for weight loss but has reduced adherence over time. Also, the metabolic benefits of DER and weight loss are attenuated once weight is reduced and an individual is euenergetic i.e. in energy balance at a lower weight(10, 11). Several IER regimens have been developed which attempt to overcome these issues i.e. to promote maintained dietary adherence and weight loss as well as potential additional metabolic

benefits beyond those seen with weight loss. Intermittent diets which include periods of limited energy intakes interspersed with normal ad lib eating have gained in popularity in the past decade. The most popular methods involve a 5:2 diet with two consecutive or non-consecutive days of low energy diet (500 – 850 kcal/ day) and 5 days of normal eating each week, or alternate day fasting (ADF) which usually involves 3-4 days of low energy diet (500 – 650 kcal/day) interspersed with days of normal eating across the week . Also, time restricted eating (TRE) where individuals fast for between 12 to 18 hours and eat in a 6–12-hour window each day. TRE aims to restrict energy intake and align eating with the circadian rhythm. TRE usually involves ad lib eating during the eating window (adlib TRE), although some research has tested an energy restricted TRE in which the daily energy restricted diet needs to be eaten in a defined eating window rather than across the day (energy restricted TRE). The most popular IER regimens are summarised in Figure 1.

IER is also popular amongst people who are a healthy weight who are motivated by the purported health benefits of IER for health and disease prevention. A recent US survey of 3000 Americans aged 18 - 80 years including 17% with overweight obesity reported IER as the third most popular diet practiced by 13% of the population(12).

This paper will review evidence for potential benefits and/or harms for each of these three popular IER regimens amongst women who are living with overweight or obesity or who are a healthy weight. Also the potential utility of these diets for managing women's health conditions will be explored .

Weight loss and metabolic effects of IER compared to DER in subjects with overweight and obesity

A recent meta-analysis summarised studies which have randomised people with overweight/obesity with or without type-2 diabetes to an IER (either 5:2 or ADF) or an energy matched DER (13). The review included 11 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and 850 participants, 67% were female and 33% were male. In this review IER achieved slightly better weight loss (7%) compared with DER (5%) in short-term studies (<6 months), but weight loss was comparable between IER and DER in longer-term studies (both ~5–6%)(13). These results suggest superior short-term adherence and weight loss success with IER compared to DER. However, this is not sustained, suggesting IER is hampered by the same behavioural and physiological drivers which promote weight regain with DER i.e. satiety,

hunger, reward, adipose immune cells, adaptive thermogenesis and reduced lipolysis and lipid oxidation lipid metabolism(14).

TRE can either ad lib TRE which has an eating window but no prescribed energy restriction, or an energy restricted TRE diet with a prescribed eating window. Ad lib TRE diets typically reduce energy intake by 200- 300 kcal/day and are associated with a modest weight loss of 3% in short-term studies(15) and ~ 1% in longer-term studies(16). Studies of TRE diets vs matched energy restricted diets consumed across the day have reported both superior(17) (18) or equivalent(19, 20) weight loss with TRE. These data do not confirm superiority of TRE alongside energy restriction, but show that an eating window can sometimes increase adherence and weight loss with DER.

A further question is whether IER confers additional weight independent effects on metabolic health evidenced by markers including blood pressure, lipids and insulin resistance. IER may have short-term beneficial effects on these markers during the repeated spells of energy restriction each week. In addition, there could be more sustained beneficial effects across the week if for example there were preferential reductions in body fat and ectopic fat stores with IER vs DER. Current evidence does not however support this assertion. Shubel et al reported equivalent weight loss parallelled with proportional reductions in visceral and subcutaneous fat stores with IER vs DER(21). Cioffi et al reviewed 11 RCTs of 5:2, ADF (not TRE) studies including 630 patients (range 8 - 24 weeks) and concluded there were small favourable differences in metabolic markers with IER vs DER (22). These effects were modest and of doubtful clinical significance i.e. IER had 0.05 mmol/L (3%) higher high-density lipoprotein and 0.9 mmol/L (15% reductions in insulin). These beneficial effects were mainly reported in short-term studies and may simply reflect slightly greater weight loss in these studies. Also, two of these studies involving 223 of the 630 (34%) of participants were testing an intermittent low carbohydrate diet which may have superior glycaemic effects(22).

Studies of TRE have reported modest improvements in some glycaemic parameters which are largely driven by reduced energy intakes and weight loss. Some potential weight independent metabolic benefits have been reported when TRE has an early eating window and avoids the adverse metabolic effects of late-night eating. Whilst promising, early TRE can be difficult to integrate into family / social lives(23).

Weight loss and dietary adherence with IER in women vs. men

Lower dietary adherence and weight loss across a range of behavioural weight loss interventions have been reported amongst women vs. men, and amongst younger vs older subjects(24) and amongst parents with children living at home(25). Barriers to adherence in younger women include competing demands on time, stress, multiple role expectations, fatigue, limited family support and often unhealthy home food environments which include high energy foods, snacks and drinks(26).

Consistent with this data adherence and weight loss success with 12 weeks of ADF has been reported to be lower amongst premenopausal women ($-4.6 \pm 3.2\%$) compared to postmenopausal women ($-6.5 \pm 3.2\%$) and men ($-6.2 \pm 4.4\%$)(27). Similarly, Barnowsky et al reported lower weight loss with 6 months of ADF amongst premenopausal ($-6.0 \pm 1.1\%$) versus postmenopausal women $11.6 \pm 2.3\%$) (28).

In contrast to this, Schroor undertook a systematic review and meta – analyses of 28 RCTs of 5:2, ADF and TRE diets(29). The review concluded the three different IER diets resulted in comparable weight loss and cardiometabolic risk markers change compared with DER diets. However, a sub-group analysis in 7 studies which involved women only (n = 507) showed IER had greater effects on reductions in body weight, body fat and waist circumference than DER. Body weight (Weighted mean differences WMD –1.01 kg; 95% CI: –1.52 to –0.50), body fat (WMD: –1.08 kg; 95% CI: –1.68 to –0.48;), and waist circumference (WMD: –1.40 cm; 95% CI: –2.64 to –0.15). No significant differences between IER and DER were observed in studies with men only or mixed cohorts. Caution is required when interpreting cross study comparisons. The findings in women may reflect different features of the IER and DER regimens and study design in the women only studies, rather than being evidence of a gender specific effect of IER.

Cyclic changes in hormones in premenopausal women are likely to influence appetite and energy expenditure and dietary adherence across each month. Energy intake is often increased in the luteal phase due to cravings for high fat and / or carbohydrate foods(30) making this a potentially challenging time for adherence to a low energy diet for some women. Adherence to the different IER diets across the menstrual cycle is not known. However a menstrual cycle adapted DER weight loss programme which attempted to align with these cyclic variations has had limited success compared to a standardised DER across

the month(31). Premenopausal women are reported to have a greater lipolytic response and higher plasma free fatty acids with extended overnight fasting compared to men and postmenopausal women which has a negative impact on postprandial glycaemia, summarised in (32). However the clinical significance of this normal physiological response to fasting is unclear.

Potential harms of IER in women

Effects of IER on Fat Free Mass

One concern is whether IER leads to greater loses of fat free mass (FFM) for a given weight loss than seen with DER. In people with overweight / obesity around 25% of weight loss with daily energy restricted diets is loss of FFM(33). IER could lead to greater reductions in FFM for a given weight loss as a result of spontaneous decreases in physical activity during energy restricted periods(34), insufficient protein intakes(35),or sub-optimal regularity of protein intake to optimise muscle protein synthesis(36);the latter being particularly relevant with TRE Greater losses of FFM with energy restriction are seen amongst subjects with lower fat mass i.e. lean compared to those with overweight/obesity, men compared to women, and alongside more severe energy / protein restriction(37), and in older subjects(38).Weight loss studies with IER amongst women with overweight / obesity have shown that reductions of FFM align with the weight loss achieved with comparable reductions of FFM per kg body weight reduction to DER as summarised in Table 1(17,18,19,69,70,71,72,73,74)

There are no data on the effects of IER on FFM in cohorts of healthy weight women who will be more susceptible to reductions in FFM than women with overweight or obesity. However, several studies report large reductions of FFM in groups of lean men and women exposed to ADF with alternate day 24-hour fasts. Heilbronn et al studied 8 women and 8 men for 22 days who experienced a weight loss of 2.5%, of which 57% was FFM (39). Likewise, Templeman et al compared the effects of this ADF with an energy matched DER over 3 weeks in 12 lean women and 12 lean men. Mean (SD) weight loss and % of weight loss as FFM with DER were -1.91 (0.99) and 92% compared to -1.60 (1.06) and 46% for IER(34). These data highlight a potentially lower FFM retention amongst healthy weight subjects undertaking IER.

There are few data of the effects of IER on FFM amongst postmenopausal women who are at higher risk of developing sarcopenia(40). In the absence of data, it is prudent to advise

adequate protein and exercise alongside IER diets. Exercise is well known to attenuate loss of FFM with energy restricted diets(38). This has been reported alongside an ADF diet (mean age 45 years, 81 women, 3 men)(41). Two relatively small studies have examined whether exercise attenuates reductions in FFM with IER. Cooke et al reported that 10 minutes of sprint exercise three times a week was not sufficient to attenuate FFM loss that occurred alongside an intermittent 5:2 diet (mean age 35 years, 8 women, 3 men)(42). However a study young trained women (13 TRE 13 control diet aged 18–30 years), reported that TRE eating in a 7.5 hour window did not compromise accretion of FFM alongside a resistance exercise and high protein diet compared to consumption of regular meals throughout the day(43).

Effects of IER on bone health

Weight loss with energy restricted diets in individuals with overweight or obesity can reduce bone quantity, bone density and bone quality. The latter is already compromised in subjects with obesity. Bone effects may be partly through reduced mechanical loading at a reduced weight, and may also relate to increased bone marrow adipose tissue and associated cytokine production and adipokines and reduced osteoblast formation(44). Weight loss has been associated with reductions in total hip bone mineral density (BMD), but not lumbar spine BMD(45). BMD reductions of approximately 1-1.5% in weight loss studies are comparable to annual losses in older women, which have been associated with a 10% to 15% increase in fracture risk(45).

The effects of 5:2, ADF and TRE on bone health are not known, nor whether they differ from those of DER. IER could exert detrimental effects on bone health alongside reduced physical activity during the energy restricted spells of intermittent diets. In addition, elevated post-prandial insulin resistance in response to the first post fast meal consumed with IER has the potential to supress concentrations of C-terminal telopeptide (CTX) and osteocalcin(85). The bone effects of an IER regime will also relate to its nutritional adequacy for bone health (calcium, vitamin D intake)(46).

One of the few data on IER and bone reported that 6 months of ADF or DER both resulted in a weight loss of 8% and that neither diet was associated with reductions in total body dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) measured bone mineral density, or in circulating bone turnover markers osteocalcin, bone alkaline phosphatase or CTX(28). This study has limitations and is likely to be underpowered for these bone measures, and it did not collect specific hip/spine BMD responses. Powered research of the bone effects of IER are required using validated bone end points i.e. hip / lumbar spine BMD, bone microstructure and fracture risk(46).

Reproductive hormone levels

Energy restriction may disturb regularity of the menstrual cycle and fertility. Menstrual cycle disturbances i.e. shortened luteal phase, anovulation and / or oligomenorrhea (cycle length 36–90 days, have been observed amongst healthy weight eumenorrheic women aged 18–30 years when exposed to daily energy deficits of between 470-810 kcal day (22- 42% energy restriction) over 4 menstrual cycles(47). Energy restriction can suppress the menstrual cycle through inhibition of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) pulsatility. There are few data on the effect of IER on reproductive hormones and menstrual cycle function. One study of a 5:2 diets amongst premenopausal women with overweight / obesity has shown average cycle length across the dietary intervention to be slightly higher with IER than with DER, 29.7 (3.8)

27.4 (2.7), but had comparable increases in sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG)+14% vs +6% and reductions in free androgen index -6% vs -10% The increased cycle length may reflect a slightly longer follicular phase when undertaking IER.However, the clinical significance of this observation is not known. For example research to date has not linked menstrual cycle length with risk of breast cancer(48).

Intermittent diets and eating disorders

Another frequently cited concern is whether IER could trigger or exacerbate disordered eating. These concerns are based on a theoretical risk and cross-sectional studies which report higher eating disorder scores amongst populations undertaking IER. For example, a recent survey amongst 2762 Canadian adolescents and young adults aged 16–30 years (1477 women, mean age 23, 40% who consider themselves overweight) reported that 47% had engaged in IER in the past 3 and 12 months(49).. The majority of these had undertaken TRE (80%), 9% ADF and 11 % other IER regimes. The group reporting IER had higher scores for overeating, loss of control, binge eating, vomiting, laxative use, compulsive eating than those not undertaking IER(49). Likewise, a survey which included 40 women undertaking time restricted eating (fasting for > or = 16 hours / day, mean age 33, mean BMI 27kg / m^2)

reported that those engaging with IER had higher eating disorder scores for binge eating, vomiting and laxative use than community and clinical norms(50). Approximately a third of this cohort reported eating disorder symptom scores above the diagnostic cut offs. These cross-sectional studies do not allow us to ascertain the direction of the relationship between IER and eating disorders. It is likely that IER is not a cause of these symptoms, but that those with eating disorder traits may be more likely to undertake IER. It is also possible that individuals with eating disorder traits may be attracted to volunteer for studies which focus on IER. It would be prudent to conduct baseline screening using validated eating disorder scales (51)so these subjects can be excluded from studies and directed to appropriate support where relevant.

In contrast, prospective intervention studies of IER in those with overweight and obesity have shown either no change or reductions in eating disorder scores alongside weight loss. A systematic review of 4 studies of TRE (194 participants, 71% women) concluded TRE had neither beneficial or adverse effects on disordered eating(52). Whilst an 8 week ADF intervention amongst women with overweight and obesity reported reduced scores for depression, binge eating and concern about body size/shape assessed with the Multidimensional Assessment of Eating-Disorder Symptoms alongside mean (SD) % weight loss of 4.2 (0.3) %(53). The lack of effect or reductions in eating disorders reported with IER is consistent with reports with weight loss from other behavioural weight management programmes(54).

IER and sleep

Sleep quality is a key factor for health and well-being Women may be more predisposed to disturbed sleep than men associated with fluctuating hormone levels. During the luteal phase women can experience more daytime sleepiness, decreased sleep efficiency and difficulty initiating sleep. Sleep disturbance is well documented during the menopause and reported by around 60% of women. This hormonal predisposition can be exacerbated by social factors with many working women undertaking domestic tasks and childcare(55).

Intermittent diets have the potential to have either beneficial or adverse effects on sleep quality. TRE may have a beneficial effect on sleep if the eating window avoids bedtime eating /snacking which can disturb sleep (56). Alternatively, IER regimens with an overall

energy restriction may disturb sleep if people experience hunger at bedtime and during the nighttime(57).

A recent review of TRE and sleep reported no effect of overall sleep quality assessed with the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), but some reports of reduced sleep efficiency with both a late TRE (eating window 12.00 – 8.00 pm) and an early TRE (eating window 7.00 am – 3.00 pm), and reduced sleep duration and sleep onset latency with an early TRE (eating window 7.00 am–3.00 pm)(58). Studies of ADF in all female(59) and predominately female cohorts (81%)(60) have shown no impact on sleep quality assessed with the PSQI. This aligns to data from a range of behavioural diet and exercise weight loss interventions using DER showing no effects on sleep(61). Improved sleep with weight loss in these studies may not be seen since many participants already have good baseline sleep scores (58,59,60). One study reported that TRE can reduce sleep disturbance amongst shift workers with circadian rhythm misalignment and disturbed sleep.(62) Although it did not impact on the other measurements of sleep quality i.e. latency, daytime dysfunction, efficiency, overall quality, need for medication.Future studies should focus on the effects of IER in those with circadian rhythm misalignment and explore whether TRE has potential adverse effects on sleep in those with good baseline sleeping patterns.

but had latency, daytime dysfunction, efficiency, overall quality, need for medication, and a total scoresome but not all elements of sleep quality

Intermittent diets and Polycystic Ovary Syndrome

An estimated 3.5% of women in the UK have Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS), which is increasingly linked to increasing rates of overweight and obesity(63). Women with PCOS have hyperandrogenism, sub-fertility and are at increased risk of type-2 diabetes and cardiovascular events. First line treatment involves diet, weight loss and exercise. Li et al tested the effects of 5 weeks of an 8hr TRE amongst 18 premenopausal women with overweight/obesity and PCOS(64). There was a weight loss of 2% over 5 weeks alongside reductions in testosterone (9%) increased SHBG (2%) and decreased free androgen index (26%). These positive results provide some evidence that TRE is a potential weight loss diet for women with PCOS. However, the reported hormonal effects are in line with those expected alongside the weight loss seen and do not verify a weight independent effect of TRE for those with PCOS

Intermittent diets and premenstrual syndrome

Weight control is a potential strategy for management of **premenstrual syndrome** (PMS) (65). Hooshier et al conducted a small short-term trial assessed the impact of ADF (alternate days of 75% energy restriction and consuming estimated energy requirements) compared to a matched DER on premenstrual symptoms amongst 60 women with overweight or obesity(66). The ADF diet group reported reductions in some PMS traits i.e. mood lability and expressed anger, but no global reduction in PMS scores. These beneficial changes were seen alongside greater percentage weight loss in the ADF group vs DER (-6.7% vs -3.7%). The study shows greater short-term weight loss success with ADF vs DER in this population but is unable to conclude there is a weight independent effect of ADF on symptoms of PMS.

Intermittent diets and gestational diabetes

Gestational diabetes (GDM) occurs in between 1 and 25% of pregnancies worldwide affecting an estimated 16% of pregnancies in the UK(67). Rates are rising linked to higher levels of obesity and maternal age. GDM and poor glycaemic control lead to maternal and neonatal complications i.e. macrosomia, shoulder dystocia, neonatal hypoglycaemia and/or hyperbilirubinemia, preterm delivery, caesarean-sections, preeclampsia, and stillbirth.Diet and exercise are first line therapies for the management of GDM. (68) Metformin and / or insulin are utilised if blood glucose targets are not met by changes to diet and exercise. UK NICE guidance promotes healthy eating and promotes low glycaemic index foods and physical activity but has no specific guidance for energy restriction. Other countries advocate carbohydrate and energy restriction, and optimal timing of meals and many include targets for limiting gestational weight gain (GWG). There are no specific targets for GWG for GDM. Many GDM guidelines follow the Institute of Medicine Guidelines (USA) for GWG which recommend lower weight amongst women with overweight or obesity i.e. healthy weight women weight (BMI 18.5 to 24.9) should gain 11.5 to 16 kg (25 to 35 pounds) during pregnancy. Overweight women (BMI 25 to 29.9) should gain 7 to 11.5 kg (15 to 25 pounds) and obese women (BMI greater than 30) should only put on 5 to 9 kg (11 to 20 pounds).(68). A range of daily dietary approaches have been studied including low-GI diets (limiting refined and promoting complex carbohydrates), low carbohydrate diets or modest energyrestriction (1800 Kcal/day)(9). However, there is currently no strong evidence to recommend one dietary regimen to improve outcomes in GDM. IER has potential utility for the

management of GDM. GDM is a strongly associated with obesity and insulin resistance and is considered a form of evolving Type 2 diabetes. ER has been associated with greater or equivalent reductions in weight and hyperglycaemia in patients with Type 2 diabetes when compared to DER(22, 69) and so could potentially also have benefits for GDM. Dietary management of GDM typically requires a 10–12-week dietary intervention in the third trimester. Since 5:2 or ADF diets appear to have a greater adherence and weight loss than daily diets in the short-term (<3 months)(13), they could have superior adherence to DER in the required short-term treatment period.

Our team are currently undertaking a feasibility study (n = 48) to test the safety, feasibility and acceptability of a 5:2 diet between diagnosis of GDM and delivery in our MIDDAS – GDM study (Trial Registration Number: NCT053440660). The 5:2 diet involves 2 nonconsecutive days of 1000kcal which includes 100g low-GI carbohydrate and 70g of protein, and 5 days/week of the NICE healthy eating low-GI diet and physical activity recommended for the best NHS care group(70).

The benefits of a 5:2 diet vs DER has been tested over 12 months amongst non-pregnant women with a history of GDM. The 5:2 diet included 2 non-consecutive days of 500kcal to include 45g low-GI carbohydrate and 50g of protein and 5 days / week of their habitual diet. vs a daily 25% energy restricted diet which typically included 1500 kcal (6000 kJ) per day (170 g carbohydrate, 110 g protein) (71). There was an equivalent high drop-out (49%) and modest weight loss both groups (IER, $5.0 \pm 5.4\%$; DER, $3.5 \pm 5.6\%$; P = 0.3). These results reflect the challenge of weight control within the GDM population and that this challenge was not overcome by those attempting to follow IER.

Conclusion

Research findings and gaps in research of IER and DER amongst women is summarised in Table 3. IER (5:2 or ADF) diets are an equivalent (but not superior) approach to DER for weight loss and managing weight related metabolic conditions for women with overweight and obesity. Adherence to behavioural weight control interventions can be a particular challenge for younger women, which is seen with both DER and IER diet. IER has some potential theoretical harms for women affecting reproductive health, bone health, disordered eating, and sleep, but there are few data to support or refute these.

Weight loss with ADF and TRE have respectively improved symptoms of polycystic ovarian syndrome and premenstrual syndrome but there is no evidence of weight independent effects of IER on these conditions. Thus, IER as a possible weight loss strategy for these conditions but it is not currently advocated as a strategy for treating / managing these conditions. IER is a popular diet amongst women who are healthy weight but there are few data to inform its potential benefit or harms which needs to be a focus of ongoing IER research.

Acknowledgments

Professor Tony Howell who was a key investigator on the Manchester Intermittent Diet studies. Research dietitians and project management teams who supported the Manchester Intermittent studies; Dr Mary Pegington, Cheryl Lombardelli, Sarah McDiarmid, Grace Cooper, Kath Sellers, Suzy Krizak, Helen Ruane. Also, co-investigators working on the MIDDAS-GDM (gestational diabetes) study; Basil Issa, Liz Dapre, Avni Vyas, Brian Mcmillan, Helen Morley and Emma Thorpe for proofreading the manuscript

Financial Support

Michelle Harvie receives part funding from the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre award NIHR203308). Mai Haiba is undertaking a PhD funded by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre (award NIHR203308). The funders had no role in the design, conduct, analysis or write up of this review paper.

Declaration of Interests

Michelle Harvie has co- authored three self-help books for the public to follow intermittent diets. All author proceeds are paid directly to the charity Prevent Breast Cancer (Registered Charity Number 1109839) to fund breast cancer research.

Authorship

M Harvie devised the concept of the paper. M Harvie and M Haiba wrote the original draft. Both authors approved the final manuscript.

Popular regimes for intermittent energy restriction

5:2 diet

· Limit energy intake 2 days a week (days together or apart), eat healthily 5 days a week

	DAY 1	DAY 2	DAY 3	DAY 4	DAY 5	DAY 6	DAY 7
12am							
4am		500-850	500-850				
8am	500-850 Kcal	Kcal					
12pm	Food or to-	Food or total diet	Healthy eating	Healthy eating	Healthy eating	Healthy eating	Healthy eating
4pm	tal diet re- placements	replace-					
8pm		ments	ments				
12am							

Ad Lib Alternate day fasting

· Limit energy intake 3-4 days a week, eat healthily 3-4 days a week

	DAY 1	DAY 2	DAY 3	DAY 4	DAY 5	DAY 6	DAY 7
12am							
4am		500-850		500-850			
8am		Kcal		Kcal		500-850 Kcal	
12pm	Healthy eating	Food or total diet	Healthy eating	Food and/ or total diet	Healthy eating	Food or to-	Healthy eating
4pm		replace-		replace-		tal diet re- placements	
8pm		ments		ments			
12am							

Time Restricted Eating (TRE)

16:8 (shown) or 18:6 or 12:12

Fast (no food or energy containing drinks) for 12-18 hours a day and eat normally 6-12 hours a day Usually ad-lib / normal eating in the eating window (ad- lib TRE) but can be an energy restricted diet (Energy restricted TRE).

	DAY 1	DAY 2	DAY 3	DAY 4	DAY 5	DAY 6	DAY 7	
12am								
4am	Fast							
8am								
12pm	Eat							
4pm	Normally							
8pm	Fast	Fast	Fast	Fast	Fast	East	Faat	
12am	rast	rast	rast	rast	rast	Fast	Fast	

Figure1 Popular regimes for intermittent energy restriction regimens

Table 1. Loss of weight and fat free m	ass amongst women u	ndertaking intermitten	t energy restriction ve	rsus daily energy restriction
β	8	8		

Reference	Cohort	Body	Duration/	IER/ DER regimens	DER		IER	
	Sample size Age BMI	composition measurement	weeks		Weight loss- Kg	% loss as FFM	Weight loss- Kg	% loss as FFM
Alternate day	fasting							
Hutchison et al 2019 (72)	N = 88 (All female) Age 50 ± 1 years, BMI 32.3 ± 0.5 Kg/m ²	DEXA	3 week	Both 30% energy restricted diets: ADF 70% ER & energy requirements on non-fasting days DER 30% ER	-3.9 (0.4)* (n = 24)	19.0 (6%)*	-5.4 (0.5)* (n =22)	19.0 (7.0) *
Beaulieu et al 2020 (73)	N = 54 (All female) Age 35 ± 11 years, BMI 29 ± 2.5 Kg/m ²	Air displacement plethysmography (Bod Pod)	12 week	ADF alternate days 75% ER/ adlib DER 25% ER	-4.0	32.5%	-5.3	24.5%
Coutinho et al 2017 (74)	N = 35 (79% female) Age 39.4 ± 11.0 years BMI 35.6 ± 3.2 Kg/m ²	Air displacement plethysmography (Bod Pod)	12 week	Both 33% energy restricted diets ADF alternate days 550 – 660 kcal formula diet & prescribed food based diet DER 33% ER	-8.2	23.2%	-13.9	20.1%
Bowen et al 2018 (75)	N -164 (81% female) Age 40.0 \pm 8.3 years BMI 35.7 \pm 5.9 Kg/m ²	DEXA	16 week	ADF alternate days 1200 kcal and 600 kcal meal replacement and food diet & 1 ad lib eating day DER 1200 kcal meal replacement diet and prescribed food diet	-12.4	15.3%	-12	14.0%
5:2 diet	N = 107	Single frequences	26 waales	5.2.750/ ED 2 dava (650	61 (21.00/	56 (60	21.00/
Harvie et al	N = 107	Single frequency	26 weeks	5:2 :75% ER 2 days (650	-6.4 (-	21.0%	-5.6 (-6.9	21.0%

2011(76)	All premenopausal Age 40.1 ± 4.1 years BMI 30.7(5)Kg/m ²	bioelectrical Impedance (leg to leg)		kcal) DER 25% ER	7.9 to - 4.8) kg		to *** -4.4) kg**	
Harvie 2013 et al (77)	N = 115(All female) Age 45.6 ± 8.3 years BMI 29.7 ± 4.1 Kg/m ²	bioelectrical	16 weeks	5:2 75% ER (650 kcal < 50 g carbohydrate) DER : 25% ER	-5.5	36·0 (26·4- 41·3) % ^{**}	-3.8	29·3 (25.0- 38·1)* %*
Time restricted eating								
Lin 2022 et al (17)	N = 63 (All female) Age 50.1 ± 7.5 years BMI 25.9 ± 3.7 Kg/m ²	50, 250, 550,	8 weeks	TRE: 1400 kcal (8 hour eating window) DER: 1400 (no time restriction) Both groups 8 x 30 minute exercise sessions	-1.6	12.5%	-2.7	26.0%
Jamshed et al 2022 (18)	N = 90 (80%) female) Age 43.0 ± 10 years BMI 40.1 ± 6.6 Kg/m ²	DEXA	14 weeks	TRE (500 kcal/d below their resting energy expenditure) +8 hour eating window DER – 500 kcal deficit diet no time restriction Both groups advised to exercise 75 -150 mins/	-4.0	26.0%	-6.3	22.0%

				week				
	N = 81 (85%)	DEXA	39 weeks	TRE (33% ER + 10 hour	-5.1	21.6%	-6.2	24.2%
Thomas et	female)			eating window within 3 hrs				
al 2022(19)	Age 38.0 ± 8.0			of waking				
	years			DER : 33% ER no time				
	BMI_34.1 ± 5.7			restriction				
	Kg/m ²			Both groups advised to				
				exercise 150 mins/ week				
Ribiero et al	N = 24 (83%)	Multi-frequency	8 weeks	TRE (20% ER+8 hr eating	-6.3	22.2%	-5.7	0.0%
2022 (20)	female) Age 33.0	bioelectrical		window)				
	\pm 8.7 years	impedance 20,		DER (20% ER no time				
	BMI 31.7 ± 8.6	100 kHz		restriction)				
	Kg/m ²			Both groups active: 3x 20				
				min/ week aerobics				
				exercises + resistance				
				training + exercises to				
				balance and				
				proprioception.				

FFM = fat free mass DEXA = Dual energy X - Ray absorptiometry ADF = alternate day fasting DER daily energy restriction IER intermittent

energy restriction TRE = time restricted eating * mean(SD) ** mean (95% confidence interval)

Table 2. Summary of research findings and gaps in IER research for weight control and metabolic health for women

	Effects in women who are overweight and	obese	Effects in women who are a healthy weight		
Outcome	Current evidence	Research gaps	Current evidence	Research gaps	
Weight loss	ADF/ 5:2 diets are superior to DER in	Need effective	No evidence	Are there potential benefits	
	short-term studies(< 6 months) but	strategies for weight		of IER for metabolic	
	equivalent inlonger-term studies (≥ 6	management with DER		health or weight gain	
	months)	or IER for young		prevention?	
	Dietary adherence & weight loss success	women			
	is reduced with ADF/ 5:2 diets and DER				
	amongst younger women.				
Potential harms	s of IER				
Bone health	Limited data	Require powered	No evidence	What are the effects of	
		research with validated		IER on bone health in	
		bone end points i.e. hip		women who are a healthy	
		/ lumbar spine BMD,		weight?	
		bone microstructure			
		and fracture risk			
Reproductive	No evidence of harm but minimal data	Studies of IER should	No evidence	Does IER affect	
hormones		collect this information		reproductive hormones in	
				lean individuals who are	
				more susceptible to the	
				hormonal effects of energy	
				restriction?	
Eating	Either no effect or beneficial effect	Studies of IER should	No evidence	Does IER lead to	
disorders	amongst overweight/ obese alongside	collect this information		disordered eating in	
	weight loss			healthy weight	
				individuals?	

Sleep quality	Some reports of decreased sleep	Need more evidence in	No evidence	What are the effects of
	efficiency and sleep onset latency with	those with circadian		IER on sleep in women
	TRE. No effect on sleep with ADF which	misalignment and		who are a healthy weight?
	aligns with findings from DER weight	those with current good		
	loss interventions.	sleep. Also,		
		populations at high risk		
		of disturbed sleep i.e.		
		menopausal women		
Benefits to	Weight loss with TRE improved PMS	Need randomised trials	No evidence	Require more research of
specific	Weight loss with ADF improved PCOS	to compare the effects		the effects of IER on
women's	These IER diets are feasible alternative	of IER to DER for		specific women's health
health	weight loss diets in these populations.	these conditions		conditions amongst
conditions	However, there is no evidence of weight			women who are a healthy
	independent effects of IER on these			weight
	conditions			
	The effects of IER on GDM is under			
	study.			

PMS = Premenstrual syndrome PCOS =polycystic ovarian syndrome GDM =gestational diabetes IER= intermittent energy restriction DER= Daily energy restriction TRE= Time restricted eating ADF = Alternate day fasting BMD = Bone mineral density

References

1House of Commons Library (2023)Obesity Statistics.

https://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN03336/SN03336.pdf(accessed 23 September 2024)

2.Department of Health and Social Care (2024). Obesity profile. .

https://fingertips.phe.org.uk/profile/national-child-measurement-programme (accessed 23 September 2024)

3.NHS Digital.(2022) Health Survey England Additional Analyses, Ethnicity and Health, 2011-2019 Experimental statistics. <u>https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-</u>

information/publications/statistical/health-survey-england-additional-analyses/ethnicity-andhealth-2011-2019-experimental-statistics/overweight-and-obesity (accessed 23 September 2024)

4.National Institute of Clinical Excellence (2021) Obesity: identification, assessment and management Clinical guideline [CG189 National Institute of Clinical Excellence.

https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg189#:~:text=This%20guideline%20covers%20identifyin g,%20assessing%20and%20managing%20obesity%20in%20children (accessed 23 September 2024)

5.NHS Digital(2021) Health Survey England, Part 1 <u>https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/health-survey-for-england#latest-statistics</u>: accessed 23 September 2024)

6. Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M et al . Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. Lancet. 2008;371(9612):569-78.

7. Argyrakopoulou G, Dalamaga M, Spyrou N, et al. Gender Differences in Obesity-Related Cancers. Curr Obes Rep. 2021;10(2):100-15.

8. Harrison SM, Hughes LA, Chang S. Obesity, Cardiovascular Disease, and Diabetes: Insights from Recent Research. *The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology*. 2021;9(6):408-23.

9. Shulman RJ, McMahon M, Newnham T. The Impact of Obesity on Osteoarthritis and Reproductive Health: Recent Evidence. Journal of Women's Health 2021;30(10):1502-11.

10. Wing RR, Blair EH, Bononi P, et al. Caloric restriction per se is a significant factor in improvements in glycemic control and insulin sensitivity during weight loss in obese NIDDM patients. Diabetes Care. 1994;17(1):30-6.

11. Henry RR, Scheaffer L, Olefsky JM. Glycemic effects of intensive caloric restriction and isocaloric refeeding in noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1985;61(5):917-25.

12. International Food Information ouncil (2024) Food and Health Survey. . https://foodinsight.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/2024-IFIC-Food-Health-Survey.pdf (accessed 28.8.2024)

13. He S, Wang J, Zhang J, Xu J. Intermittent Versus Continuous Energy Restriction for Weight Loss and Metabolic Improvement: A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2021;29(1):108-15.

14. van Baak MA, Mariman ECM. Obesity-induced and weight-loss-induced physiological factors affecting weight regain. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2023;19(11):655-70.

15. Jefcoate PW, Robertson MD, Ogden J, Johnston JD. Exploring Rates of Adherence and Barriers to Time-Restricted Eating. Nutrients. 2023;15(10).

16. de Oliveira Maranhão Pureza IR, da Silva Junior AE, Silva Praxedes DR, et al. Effects of time-restricted feeding on body weight, body composition and vital signs in low-income women with obesity: A 12-month randomized clinical trial. Clin Nutr. 2021;40(3):759-66.

17. Lin YJ, Wang YT, Chan LC, et al. Effect of time-restricted feeding on body composition and cardio-metabolic risk in middle-aged women in Taiwan. Nutrition. 2022;93:111504.

18. Jamshed H, Steger FL, Bryan DR, et al. Effectiveness of Early Time-Restricted Eating for Weight Loss, Fat Loss, and Cardiometabolic Health in Adults With Obesity: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2022;182(9):953-62.

19. Thomas EA, Zaman A, Sloggett KJ, et al. Early time-restricted eating compared with daily caloric restriction: A randomized trial in adults with obesity. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2022;30(5):1027-38.

20. Ribeiro D, Santiago AF, Cesar de Abreu W. Continuous energy restriction (CER) plus16/8 time-restricted feeding improve body composition and metabolic parameters in overweight and obese, but no more than CER alone. Nutrition and Healthy Aging. 2021;6:147-56.

21. Schübel R, Nattenmüller J, Sookthai D, et al. Effects of intermittent and continuous calorie restriction on body weight and metabolism over 50 wk: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2018;108(5):933-45.

22. Cioffi I, Evangelista A, Ponzo V, et al. Intermittent versus continuous energy restriction on weight loss and cardiometabolic outcomes: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. J Transl Med. 2018;16(1):371.

23. Chang Y, Du T, Zhuang X, Ma G. Time-restricted eating improves health because of energy deficit and circadian rhythm: A systematic review and meta-analysis. iScience. 2024;27(2):109000.

24. Chopra S, Malhotra A, Ranjan P, et al. Predictors of successful weight loss outcomes amongst individuals with obesity undergoing lifestyle interventions: A systematic review. Obes Rev. 2021;22(3):e13148.

25. Bramante CT, Thornton RLJ, Pilla SJ, et al. Parents lose less weight than nonparents in an intensive lifestyle intervention. Obes Sci Pract. 2020;6(5):494-506.

26. Burgess E, Hassmén P, Pumpa KL. Determinants of adherence to lifestyle intervention in adults with obesity: a systematic review. Clin Obes. 2017;7(3):123-35.

27. Lin S, Lima Oliveira M, Gabel K, et al. Does the weight loss efficacy of alternate day fasting differ according to sex and menopausal status? Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2021;31(2):641-9.

28. Barnosky A, Kroeger CM, Trepanowski JF, et al. Effect of alternate day fasting on markers of bone metabolism: An exploratory analysis of a 6-month randomized controlled trial. Nutr Healthy Aging. 2017;4(3):255-63.

29. Schroor MM, Joris PJ, Plat J, et al Effects of Intermittent Energy Restriction Compared with Those of Continuous Energy Restriction on Body Composition and Cardiometabolic Risk Markers - A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials in Adults. Adv Nutr. 2024;15(1):100130.

30. Davidsen L, Vistisen B, Astrup A. Impact of the menstrual cycle on determinants of energy balance: a putative role in weight loss attempts. Int J Obes (Lond). 2007;31(12):1777-85.

31. Geiker NR, Ritz C, Pedersen SD, et al. A weight-loss program adapted to the menstrual cycle increases weight loss in healthy, overweight, premenopausal women: a 6-mo randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;104(1):15-20.

32. Rius-Bonet J, Macip S, Closa D, et al. Intermittent fasting as a dietary intervention with potential sexually dimorphic health benefits. Nutr Rev. 2024.

 Willoughby D, Hewlings S, Kalman D. Body Composition Changes in Weight Loss: Strategies and Supplementation for Maintaining Lean Body Mass, a Brief Review. Nutrients. 2018;10(12).

34. Templeman I, Smith HA, Chowdhury E et al. A randomized controlled trial to isolate the effects of fasting and energy restriction on weight loss and metabolic health in lean adults. Sci Transl Med. 2021;13(598).

35. Lowe DA, Wu N, Rohdin-Bibby L, et al. Effects of Time-Restricted Eating on Weight Loss and Other Metabolic Parameters in Women and Men With Overweight and Obesity: The TREAT Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2020;180(11):1491-9.

36. Areta JL, Burke LM, Ross ML, et al. Timing and distribution of protein ingestion during prolonged recovery from resistance exercise alters myofibrillar protein synthesis. J Physiol. 2013;591(9):2319-31.

37. Forbes GB. Lean body mass-body fat interrelationships in humans. Nutr Rev. 1987;45(8):225-31.

38. Heymsfield SB, Gonzalez MC, Shen W, et al Weight loss composition is one-fourth fat-free mass: a critical review and critique of this widely cited rule. Obes Rev. 2014;15(4):310-21.

39. Heilbronn LK, Smith SR, Martin CK, A et al Alternate-day fasting in nonobese subjects: effects on body weight, body composition, and energy metabolism. AmJClinNutr. 2005;81(1):69-73.

40. Messier V, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Barbat-Artigas S, et al Menopause and sarcopenia: A potential role for sex hormones. Maturitas. 2011;68(4):331-6.

41. Bhutani S, Klempel MC, Kroeger CM, et al. Alternate day fasting and endurance exercise combine to reduce body weight and favorably alter plasma lipids in obese humans. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013;21(7):1370-9.

42. Cooke MB, Deasy W, Ritenis EJ, et al. Effects of Intermittent Energy Restriction Alone and in Combination with Sprint Interval Training on Body Composition and Cardiometabolic Biomarkers in Individuals with Overweight and Obesity. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(13).

43. Tinsley GM, Moore ML, Graybeal AJ, et al. Time-restricted feeding plus resistance training in active females: a randomized trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2019;110(3):628-40.

44. Rosen CJ, Horowitz MC. Nutrient regulation of bone marrow adipose tissue: skeletal implications of weight loss. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2023;19(11):626-38.

45. Zibellini J, Seimon RV, Lee CM, et al. Does Diet-Induced Weight Loss Lead to Bone Loss in Overweight or Obese Adults? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Clinical Trials. J Bone Miner Res. 2015;30(12):2168-78.

46. Clayton DJ, Varley I, Papageorgiou M. Intermittent fasting and bone health: a bone of contention? Br J Nutr. 2023;130(9):1487-99.

47. Williams NI, Leidy HJ, Hill BR, et al Magnitude of daily energy deficit predicts frequency but not severity of menstrual disturbances associated with exercise and caloric restriction. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2015;308(1):E29-39.

48. Olsson HL, Olsson ML. The Menstrual Cycle and Risk of Breast Cancer: A Review. Front Oncol. 2020;10:21.

49. Ganson KT, Cuccolo K, Hallward L, et al Intermittent fasting: Describing engagement and associations with eating disorder behaviors and psychopathology among Canadian adolescents and young adults. Eat Behav. 2022;47:101681.

50. Cuccolo K, Kramer R, Petros T, et al. Intermittent fasting implementation and association with eating disorder symptomatology. Eat Disord. 2022;30(5):471-91.

51. Schaefer LM, Crosby RD, Machado PPP. A systematic review of instruments for the assessment of eating disorders among adults. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2021;34(6):543-62.

52. Vizthum D, Katz SE, Pacanowski CR. The impact of time restricted eating on appetite and disordered eating in adults: A mixed methods systematic review. Appetite. 2023;183:106452.

53. Hoddy KK, Kroeger CM, Trepanowski JF, et al. Safety of alternate day fasting and effect on disordered eating behaviors. Nutr J. 2015;14:44.

54. Jebeile H, Libesman S, Melville H, et al. Eating disorder risk during behavioral weight management in adults with overweight or obesity: A systematic review with metaanalysis. Obes Rev. 2023;24(6):e13561.

55. Andersen MH, H. Antunes Ishikura, I.Tufik, S. Sleep in women: a narrative review of hormonal influences, sex differences and health implications. Frontiers in Sleep. 2023;2.

56. Simon SL, Blankenship J, Manoogian ENC, et al. The impact of a self-selected time restricted eating intervention on eating patterns, sleep, and late-night eating in individuals with obesity. Front Nutr. 2022;9:1007824.

57. Steger FL, Jamshed H, Bryan DR, et al. Early time-restricted eating affects weight, metabolic health, mood, and sleep in adherent completers: A secondary analysis. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2023;31 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):96-107.

58. Bohlman C, McLaren C, Ezzati A, et al. The effects of time-restricted eating on sleep in adults: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Front Nutr. 2024;11:1419811.

59. Teong XT, Hutchison AT, Liu B,et al. Eight weeks of intermittent fasting versus calorie restriction does not alter eating behaviors, mood, sleep quality, quality of life and cognitive performance in women with overweight. Nutr Res. 2021;92:32-9.

60. Kalam F, Gabel K, Cienfuegos S, Alternate Day Fasting Combined with a Low Carbohydrate Diet: Effect on Sleep Quality, Duration, Insomnia Severity and Risk of Obstructive Sleep Apnea in Adults with Obesity. Nutrients. 2021;13(1).

61. Knowlden AP, Ottati M, McCallum M, et al. The relationship between sleep quantity, sleep quality and weight loss in adults: A scoping review. Clin Obes. 2024;14(2):e12634.

62. Manoogian ENC, Zadourian A, Lo HC, et al. Feasibility of time-restricted eating and impacts on cardiometabolic health in 24-h shift workers: The Healthy Heroes randomized control trial. Cell Metab. 2022;34(10):1442-56.e7.

63. Berni TR, Morgan CL, Rees DA. Rising incidence, health resource utilization and costs of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome in the United Kingdom. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2024.

64. Li C, Xing C, Zhang J, Zhao H, et al. Eight-hour time-restricted feeding improves endocrine and metabolic profiles in women with anovulatory polycystic ovary syndrome. J Transl Med. 2021;19(1):148.

65. Bertone-Johnson ER, Hankinson SE, Willett WC, et al. Adiposity and the development of premenstrual syndrome. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2010;19(11):1955-62.

66. Hooshiar SH, Yazdani A, Jafarnejad S. Does an alternate-day modified fasting diet improve premenstrual syndrome symptoms and health-related quality of life in obese or overweight women with premenstrual syndrome? A randomized, controlled trial. Front Nutr. 2023;10:1298831.

67. Zhu Y, Zhang C. Prevalence of Gestational Diabetes and Risk of Progression to Type2 Diabetes: a Global Perspective. Curr Diab Rep. 2016;16(1):7.

68. Kapur K, Kapur A, Hod M. Nutrition Management of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Ann Nutr Metab. 2021:1-13.

69. Wang X, Li Q, Liu Y, Jiang H, et al. Intermittent fasting versus continuous energyrestricted diet for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and metabolic syndrome for glycemic control: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2021;179:109003. 70. Dapre E, Issa BG, Harvie M, et al. Manchester Intermittent Diet in Gestational Diabetes Acceptability Study (MIDDAS-GDM): a two-arm randomised feasibility protocol trial of an intermittent low-energy diet (ILED) in women with gestational diabetes and obesity in Greater Manchester. BMJ Open. 2024;14(2):e078264.

71. Gray KL, Clifton PM, Keogh JB. The effect of intermittent energy restriction on weight loss and diabetes risk markers in women with a history of gestational diabetes: a 12-month randomized control trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2021;114(2):794-803.

72. Hutchison AT, Liu B, Wood RE, et al. Effects of Intermittent Versus Continuous Energy Intakes on Insulin Sensitivity and Metabolic Risk in Women with Overweight. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2019;27(1):50-8.

73. Beaulieu K, Casanova N, Oustric P, et al. Matched Weight Loss Through Intermittent or Continuous Energy Restriction Does Not Lead To Compensatory Increases in Appetite and Eating Behavior in a Randomized Controlled Trial in Women with Overweight and Obesity. J Nutr. 2020;150(3):623-33.

74. Coutinho SR, Halset EH, Gåsbakk S, et al. Compensatory mechanisms activated with intermittent energy restriction: A randomized control trial. Clin Nutr. 2018;37(3):815-23.

75. Bowen J, Brindal E, James-Martin G, et al Randomized Trial of a High Protein, Partial Meal Replacement Program with or without Alternate Day Fasting: Similar Effects on Weight Loss, Retention Status, Nutritional, Metabolic, and Behavioral Outcomes. Nutrients. 2018;10(9).

76. Harvie MN, Pegington M, Mattson MP, et al. The effects of intermittent or continuous energy restriction on weight loss and metabolic disease risk markers: a randomized trial in young overweight women. IntJ Obes(Lond). 2011;35(5):714-27.

77. Harvie M, Wright C, Pegington M, et al. The effect of intermittent energy and carbohydrate restriction v. daily energy restriction on weight loss and metabolic disease risk markers in overweight women. BrJNutr. 2013;110(8):1534-47.